1	Wednesday, 13 November 2024		
2	(9.35 am)		
3	DAME SANDRA JUNE NOBLE DAWSON (continued)		
4	DR KATY MARY STEWARD (continued)		
5	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Mr Beer, I'm sorry about the delay this		
6	morning. I understand there are problems with the		
7	Internet. I won't try and explain what they are.		
8	The effect, I think, is this: that we can all		
9	continue as normal here, but those who watch on live		
10	feed will not be able to do so at the moment. However,		
11	what is occurring now and henceforth will be recorded so		
12	they won't actually miss anything, and we're hoping that		
13	the Internet problem will be solved during the course of		
14	this morning, and then they can rejoin as normal.		
15	MR BEER: Thank you, sir. I think that has been announced		
16	to the public by Tweets and other messages so those		
17	outside the room can understand what's happening.		
18	I think it also afflicts the transcript, but the		
19	transcript is being made, and will be available when the		
20	IT problem has been sorted out.		
21	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. I think we can all do without the		
22	instantaneous facility that we've been enjoying and		
23	I think we're also okay with documents, which would be		
24	a problem, if it was a problem, but I understand it not		
25	to be a problem.		
	1		
1	DAME SANDRA: That is correct.		
2	MR HENRY: But interestingly, at the close of your evidence		
3	yesterday, you were dealing with the fact that		
4	motivations, of course, can be either driven by, let's		
5	say, altruism or ethics, or self-interest, and it's		
6	perfectly acceptable if the selfish reason leads to the		
7	right result. For example, from a risk management		
8	perspective, best practice would dictate that threats,		
9	particularly existential, or potentially existential		
10	threats, are clearly identified and resolved. You'd		
11	agree, obviously, with that?		
12	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> We agree that it is vitally important that		

13 organisations identify the key risks to their business.

14	MR HENRY:	Exactly.	So it would be entirely understandable
15	for a boa	ard, motiv	ated by an ethical sense, to deal with

- 16 the core problem, in this case the failings of Horizon
- 17 and its impact on the risk of wrongful prosecutions,
- 18 because they were driven by a moral imperative that the
- 19 very idea that somebody had been wrongly imprisoned was
- intolerable and so, therefore, they had to get a grip onthe issue, and perform a searching and fearless moral
- 22 inventory, as it were, of the risk.
- 23 So that would be one motivation but, aside from that
- 24 motivation, wherever the consequences lay for the
- 25 organisation, a board doesn't need to have such Olympian
  - 3

- 1 MR BEER: Yes.
- SIR WYN WILLIAMS: So we'll see how we get along. Thank
   you.
   MR BEER: It's a fire alarm day today as well.
- 5 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: It's a fire alarm day. Everything is
- 6 happening today.

8

21

- 7 MR BEER: The order of events, sir, just so that you know,
  - firstly Mr Henry, on behalf of the HJA Core Participants
- 9 for up to 45 minutes, then Mr Stein on behalf of the
- 10 Howe+Co Core Participants for up to 45 minutes, an hour
- 11 and a half, therefore, then the morning break. Then the
- 12 Hudgell Core Participants represented by Mr Moloney for
- 13 up to 30 minutes, the NFSP for up to 15 minutes, and
- 14 then Ms Vennells' representatives for up to 30 minutes
- 15 which should take us to 12.30.
- 16 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I'm sorry that a longstanding engagement
- 17 of mine means that we are having a half day but I don't
- 18 suppose any of you will mind, really.
- 19 Right.
- 20 **MR BEER:** Thank you, sir. Mr Henry.

#### Questioned by MR HENRY

- 22 MR HENRY: Thank you, sir.
- 23 Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, you make it very clear
- that you are not arrogating the role of the Chair in
- 25 reaching any verdict on people's motivations.
  - 2
- 1 standards, does it, because a board might act selfishly 2 by thinking, "Oh, my God, what does that mean for our 3 organisation's reputation? We'd better get to the 4 bottom of this and sort it out". So that would be 5 another perfectly acceptable motivation but it's not 6 driven by the moral imperative: it's driven more by the 7 self-interest, "How are we going to, as it were, look"; 8 do you agree with that? DAME SANDRA: I would agree that there is a duty under the 9 Code for boards to look at the risk of their 10 11 organisation. 12 MR HENRY: Yes, but what a board and an executive, a senior 13 executive group, cannot do is cover things up or 14 wilfully ignore them to protect the reputation of the 15 organisation. I mean, that would be an infamous course 16 of action. 17 DAME SANDRA: We're dealing in hypotheses, as far as we're 18 concerned, because, as I say, we're not adducing motive. MR HENRY: But dealing with that hypothesis, I suppose the 19 20 answer is obvious. 21 DAME SANDRA: The hypothesis that, if people were to cover 22 things up seriously, if they were, then that would be 23 against the Governance Code.
- 24 **MR HENRY:** Absolutely, I know we are continuing on this
- 25 hypothesis, but to take that high risk strategy, it

2		1	right b
2	group would do that off their own bat. Dealing with the	2	unsafe
3	hypothesis, it is more likely, is it not, that they	3	Perkin
4	would have positioned or obtained the acquiescence of	4	DAME SAN
5	the board or the chair?	5	MR HENRY
6	DAME SANDRA: I don't have experience of that and	6	beliefs
7	therefore well, we can hypothesise indeed we can	7	DAME SAN
8	hypothesise and the hypothesis may be correct.	8	MR HENRY
9	MR HENRY: Can I just deal, please, with one paragraph in	9	and Ge
10	your second report, your paragraph 106, and I'm afraid	10	full ran
11	I don't have the page reference, but I'm going to read	11	which
12	out the part that I wish verbatim, but it's	12	trying t
13	paragraph 106, I'll wait until it gets up on the screen.	13	Venne
14	DAME SANDRA: Thank you.	14	DAME SAN
15	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: If anybody is following the hard copy,	15	neithei
16	it's page 31.	16	to the
17	MR HENRY: Thank you very much, sir.	17	your q
18	"In the event, notwithstanding Brian Altman King's	18	should
19	Counsel's knowledge and agreement that Gareth Jenkins	19	MR HENRY
20	was a tainted witness, and his knowledge therefore that	20	Genera
21	prosecutions had in the past been unsafe, it seems	21	to the
22	neither he nor the [General Counsel] brought this matter	22	and no
23	of the tainted witness to the attention of the Board in	23	meetin
24	a way which they fully understood its importance."	24	DAME SAN
25	What I want to explore with you is whether that is	25	yes.
1	MR HENRY: Now, you wouldn't have seen this document and we		
		1	DR STEWA
2	will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in	2	frame
3	will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record	2 3	
3 4	will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and	2 3 4	frame
3 4 5	will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to	2 3 4 5	frame the AR know v was to
3 4 5 6	will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that	2 3 4	frame the AR know v
3 4 5 6 7	will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the	2 3 4 5 6 7	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no
3 4 5 6 7 8	will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh the set
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that. As I recall and of course it's quite difficult not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh the set I ask y
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that. As I recall and of course it's quite difficult not having documents in front of one to be able to comment.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh the ser I ask y have s
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that. As I recall and of course it's quite difficult not having documents in front of one to be able to comment. As I recall, there is reference to it at the ARC</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh the set I ask y have s 27 Aug
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that. As I recall and of course it's quite difficult not having documents in front of one to be able to comment. As I recall, there is reference to it at the ARC meeting, in which that one of those reports is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh the set I ask y have s 27 Aug
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that. As I recall and of course it's quite difficult not having documents in front of one to be able to comment. As I recall, there is reference to it at the ARC meeting, in which that one of those reports is referenced.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh the set I ask y have s 27 Aug "P
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that. As I recall and of course it's quite difficult not having documents in front of one to be able to comment. As I recall, there is reference to it at the ARC meeting, in which that one of those reports is referenced.</li> <li>Do you have anything to add?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh the set I ask y have s 27 Aug "P "S
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that. As I recall and of course it's quite difficult not having documents in front of one to be able to comment. As I recall, there is reference to it at the ARC meeting, in which that one of those reports is referenced.</li> <li>Do you have anything to add?</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I think you're correct in saying that the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh the set I ask y have s 27 Aug "P "S some o Th
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that. As I recall and of course it's quite difficult not having documents in front of one to be able to comment. As I recall, there is reference to it at the ARC meeting, in which that one of those reports is referenced.</li> <li>Do you have anything to add?</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I think you're correct in saying that the 15 October Brian Altman general advice is referenced in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	frame the AR know v was to MR HENRY was no to test Alice F it or sh the set I ask y have s 27 Aug "P "S some o Th adjudio
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that. As I recall and of course it's quite difficult not having documents in front of one to be able to comment. As I recall, there is reference to it at the ARC meeting, in which that one of those reports is referenced.</li> <li>Do you have anything to add?</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I think you're correct in saying that the 15 October Brian Altman general advice is referenced in the paper by Chris Aujard to the ARC in November 2013.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh the set I ask y have s 27 Aug "P "S some o Th adjudio
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that. As I recall and of course it's quite difficult not having documents in front of one to be able to comment. As I recall, there is reference to it at the ARC meeting, in which that one of those reports is referenced.</li> <li>Do you have anything to add?</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I think you're correct in saying that the 15 October Brian Altman general advice is referenced in the paper by Chris Aujard to the ARC in November 2013. So to that extent, there was references to the Board,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh the sen I ask y have s 27 Aug "P "S some o Th adjudio "I Augus
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that. As I recall and of course it's quite difficult not having documents in front of one to be able to comment. As I recall, there is reference to it at the ARC meeting, in which that one of those reports is referenced.</li> <li>Do you have anything to add?</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I think you're correct in saying that the 15 October Brian Altman general advice is referenced in the paper by Chris Aujard to the ARC in November 2013. So to that extent, there was references to the Board, there was the there were some of the references from</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh the set I ask y have s 27 Aug "P "S some o Th adjudio "I Augus The Qu
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that. As I recall and of course it's quite difficult not having documents in front of one to be able to comment. As I recall, there is reference to it at the ARC meeting, in which that one of those reports is referenced.</li> <li>Do you have anything to add?</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I think you're correct in saying that the 15 October Brian Altman general advice is referenced in the paper by Chris Aujard to the ARC in November 2013. So to that extent, there was references to the Board, there was the there were some of the references from the paper to the Board.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh the ser I ask y have s 27 Aug "P "S some o Th adjudio "I Augus The Qu
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>will provide a reference to the purposes of argument in due course, but on 12 December 2020, there is a record of a conversation between a Mr Rodric Williams and Mr Altman where Mr Rodric Williams was saying to Mr Altman, apparently without demur from Mr Altman, that Mr Altman's 2013 report was "an optic piece" for the Board. Would your evidence be that the Altman Review was a major piece of work that the Board ought to have been made explicitly aware of?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I will ask Dr Steward in a minute about that. As I recall and of course it's quite difficult not having documents in front of one to be able to comment. As I recall, there is reference to it at the ARC meeting, in which that one of those reports is referenced.</li> <li>Do you have anything to add?</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I think you're correct in saying that the 15 October Brian Altman general advice is referenced in the paper by Chris Aujard to the ARC in November 2013. So to that extent, there was references to the Board, there was the there were some of the references from</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	frame the AR know v was to <b>MR HENRY</b> was no to test Alice F it or sh the set I ask y have s 27 Aug "P "S some o Th adjudio "I Augus The Qu

would be extremely unlikely that a senior executive

1

- 7

- right because, of course, you are familiar with the
- fe witness email that Paula Vennells sent to Alice
- ns --
- NDRA: Indeed.
- **Y:** -- and who is to say whether their accepted
- s or bias meant that the issue was not pursued?
- NDRA: I'm sorry, can you just repeat the question?
- Y: Yes, who is to say that, rather than Mr Altman
- General Counsel being at fault for not drawing the
- mifications of this to the Board in a way in
- they fully understood its importance, what I'm
- to suggest is that the fault lies with Paula
- ells and Alice Perkins.

NDRA: I mean, I think this is correct. It seems

- er he nor the General Counsel brought this matter
- attention of the Board. That is correct. But
- question is then: but did other people know and
- d they have brought that to the attention?

Y: Absolutely, well, to some extent of course,

- ral Counsel would appear to have wanted to draw it
- attention of the Board but was physically siloed
- not allowed to present to the Board at the July
- ing, 16 July.

NDRA: Indeed. That was the case: she wasn't there,

1	DR STEWARD: In that incidence, yes. I mean, as far as our
2	frame of reference in looking at the general advice in
3	the ARC, that's what we observed. We, of course, don't
4	know what happened, the entirety of what else the Board
5	was told.
6	MR HENRY: Well, I want to now try to work out why the Board
7	was not properly seized of the unsafe witness issue and
8	to test the assumption that that was because neither
9	Alice Perkins or Paula Vennells were inclined to develop
10	it or share it with the Board or apprise the Board of
11	the serious and obvious risk it presented. So could
12	I ask you to reflect on this document, which I think you
13	have seen overnight. It is POL00108065, and it's dated
14	27 August 2013. Could we scroll down, and you see:
15	"Paula your queries answered in red.
16	"Susan, Thanks a really clear note. Noted below
17	some queries (IN CAPS)."
18	Then if we could scroll, please, "Legal and
19	adjudication of future case". These words:
20	"I am waiting for a meeting (either last week of
21	August or first week of September) with Brian Altman QC.
22	The QC will provide an initial steer on the process for
23	existing cases. This session will be the first step in
24	helping us define a process for future cases. We will
25	need to review the current plan once we have this steer. 8

# The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1       IS THIS REF PROSECUTING AUTHORITY? Yes,         2       a recommendation on that will be one of the outputs from         3       this work, also important to have oversight over the         4       process with regard to the review of our criminal         5       Then this:         7       "We are urgently working with our external firms to         8       identify an independent expert to provide evidence on         9       the Horizon system. PREVIOUSLY THIS WAS PROVIDED BY         10       FUJITSU? [THESE ARE IN CAPS, MS VENNELLS] WHY MOVE FROM         11       Then in red, although it doesn't appear so:         13       "We are concerned that this needs to be independent         14       rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy         15       to explain rationale further at our steering board         16       meeting this week."         17       That steering board meeting we know took place on         18       9 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here         19       between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,         10       particularly the need now to find an independent expert         10       witness.         20       Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.         10       We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then r				
1       this work, also important to have oversight over the         4       process with regard to the review of our criminal         5       prosecutions."         6       Then this:         7       "We are urgently working with our external firms to         8       identify an independent expert to provide evidence on         9       the Horizon system. PREVIOUSLY THIS WAS PROVIDED BY         10       FUITSU? [THESE ARE IN CAPS, MS VENNELLS] WHY MOVE FROM         11       THIS? DO WE HAVE TO VALIDATE FUJITSU?"         2       Then in red, although it doesn't appear so:         13       "We are concerned that this needs to be independent         14       rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy         15       to explain rationale further at our steering board         16       meeting this week."         17       That steering board meeting we know took place on         29       August 2013. So there's clear discussion here         19       between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,         20       apaticularly the need now to find an independent expert         21       witness.       Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.         23       We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent       on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to	1	IS THIS REF PROSECUTING AUTHORITY? Yes,		
<ul> <li>process with regard to the review of our criminal</li> <li>prosecutions."</li> <li>Then this:</li> <li>"We are urgently working with our external firms to</li> <li>identify an independent expert to provide evidence on</li> <li>the Horizon system. PREVIOUSLY THIS WAS PROVIDED BY</li> <li>FUJITSU? [THESE ARE IN CAPS, MS VENNELLS] WHY MOVE FROM</li> <li>THIS? DO WE HAVE TO VALIDATE FUJITSU?"</li> <li>Then in red, although it doesn't appear so:</li> <li>"We are concerned that this needs to be independent</li> <li>rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy</li> <li>to explain rationale further at our steering board</li> <li>meeting this week."</li> <li>That steering board meeting we know took place on</li> <li>29 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here</li> <li>between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,</li> <li>particularly the need now to find an independent expert</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> </ul> MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it <ul> <li>may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> </ul> DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite <ul> <li>difficult because, obviously, this is a - you might</li> <li>call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> </ul> MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. <ul> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an e</li></ul>	2	a recommendation on that will be one of the outputs from		
5       prosecutions."         6       Then this:         7       "We are urgently working with our external firms to         8       identify an independent expert to provide evidence on         9       the Horizon system. PREVIOUSLY THIS WAS PROVIDED BY         10       FUJITSU? [THESE ARE IN CAPS, MS VENNELLS] WHY MOVE FROM         11       THIS? DO WE HAVE TO VALIDATE FUJITSU?"         12       Then in red, although it doesn't appear so:         13       "We are concerned that this needs to be independent         14       rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy         15       to explain rationale further at our steering board         16       meeting this week."         17       That steering board meeting we know took place on         19       between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,         19       between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,         20       particularly the need now to find an independent expert         21       witness.         22       Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.         24       we can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent         25       scoroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it         29       1         MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note ar	3	this work, also important to have oversight over the		
<ul> <li>Then this:</li> <li>"We are urgently working with our external firms to identify an independent expert to provide evidence on</li> <li>the Horizon system. PREVIOUSLY THIS WAS PROVIDED BY</li> <li>FUJTSU? [THESE ARE IN CAPS, MS VENNELLS] WHY MOVE FROM</li> <li>THIS? DO WE HAVE TO VALIDATE FULUTSU?"</li> <li>Then in red, although it doesn't appear so:</li> <li>"We are concerned that this needs to be independent</li> <li>rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy</li> <li>to explain rationale further at our steering board</li> <li>meeting this week."</li> <li>That steering board meeting we know took place on</li> <li>29 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here</li> <li>between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,</li> <li>particularly the need now to find an independent expert</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> </ul> 1 MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a – you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people commet. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an	4	process with regard to the review of our criminal		
<ul> <li><sup>7</sup> "We are urgently working with our external firms to</li> <li><sup>8</sup> identify an independent expert to provide evidence on</li> <li><sup>9</sup> the Horizon system. PREVIOUSLY THIS WAS PROVIDED BY</li> <li><sup>10</sup> FUJITSU? [THESE ARE IN CAPS, MS VENNELLS] WHY MOVE FROM</li> <li><sup>11</sup> THIS? DO WE HAVE TO VALIDATE FUJITSU?"</li> <li><sup>12</sup> Then in red, although it doesn't appear so:</li> <li><sup>13</sup> "We are concerned that this needs to be independent</li> <li><sup>14</sup> rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy</li> <li><sup>15</sup> to explain rationale further at our steering board</li> <li><sup>16</sup> meeting this week."</li> <li><sup>17</sup> That steering board meeting we know took place on</li> <li><sup>29</sup> 29 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here</li> <li><sup>19</sup> between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,</li> <li><sup>10</sup> particularly the need now to find an independent expert</li> <li><sup>10</sup> witness.</li> <li><sup>10</sup> Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li><sup>10</sup> We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li><sup>10</sup> on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li><sup>10</sup> scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li><sup>10</sup> 9</li> </ul> <sup>11</sup> MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the <ul> <li><sup>11</sup> stering group meeting, which took place on 29 August</li> <li><sup>20</sup> 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it</li> <li><sup>11</sup> may be that that was draffed by General Counsel.</li> </ul> <sup>10</sup> DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite <ul> <li><sup>11</sup> difficult because, obviously, this is a -you might</li> <li><sup>12</sup> call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li><sup>13</sup> and then other people comment. But as fara as you can</li> <li><sup>14</sup> imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li><sup>15</sup> With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li><sup>16</sup> looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li><sup>16</sup> MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then.</li> <li><sup>17</sup> Could I now ask you, please, to go to P</li></ul>	5	prosecutions."		
a       identify an independent expert to provide evidence on         9       the Horizon system. PREVIOUSLY THIS WAS PROVIDED BY         10       FUJITSU? [THESE ARE IN CAPS, MS VENNELLS] WHY MOVE FROM         11       THIS? DO WE HAVE TO VALIDATE FUJITSU?"         12       Then in red, although it doesn't appears so:         13       "We are concerned that this needs to be independent         14       rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy         15       to explain rationale further at our steering board         16       meeting this week."         17       That steering board meeting we know took place on         19       between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,         19       particularly the need now to find an independent expert         10       witness.         22       Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.         23       We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent         10       on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to         25       scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it         9       9         1       MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the         2       steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August         3       2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definit	6	Then this:		
<ul> <li>the Horizon system. PREVIOUSLY THIS WAS PROVIDED BY</li> <li>FUJITSU? [THESE ARE IN CAPS, MS VENNELLS] WHY MOVE FROM</li> <li>THIS? DO WE HAVE TO VALIDATE FUJITSU?"</li> <li>Then in red, although it doesn't appear so:</li> <li>"We are concerned that this needs to be independent</li> <li>rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy</li> <li>to explain rationale further at our steering board</li> <li>meeting this week."</li> <li>That steering board meeting we know took place on</li> <li>29 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here</li> <li>between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,</li> <li>particularly the need now to find an independent expert</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> </ul> MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a – you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to MS Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last ealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I'v	7	"We are urgently working with our external firms to		
<ul> <li>FUJITSU? [THESE ARE IN CAPS, MS VENNELLS] WHY MOVE FROM THIS? DO WE HAVE TO VALIDATE FUJITSU?"</li> <li>Then in red, although it doesn't appear so:</li> <li>"We are concerned that this needs to be independent rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy</li> <li>to explain rationale further at our steering board meeting this week."</li> <li>That steering board meeting we know took place on 29 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here</li> <li>between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue, particularly the need now to find an independent expert witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> </ul> MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a - you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to MS Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of ocurse was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening. Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering	8	identify an independent expert to provide evidence on		
<ul> <li>THIS? DO WE HAVE TO VALIDATE FUJITSU?"</li> <li>Then in red, although it doesn't appear so:</li> <li>"We are concerned that this needs to be independent</li> <li>rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy</li> <li>to explain rationale further at our steering board</li> <li>meeting this week."</li> <li>That steering board meeting we know took place on</li> <li>29 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here</li> <li>between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,</li> <li>particularly the need now to find an independent expert</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> </ul> MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and the nother people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennelis to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last eenail that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening. Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've	9	the Horizon system. PREVIOUSLY THIS WAS PROVIDED BY		
<ul> <li>Then in red, although it doesn't appear so:</li> <li>"We are concerned that this needs to be independent</li> <li>rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy</li> <li>to explain rationale further at our steering board</li> <li>meeting this week."</li> <li>That steering board meeting we know took place on</li> <li>29 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here</li> <li>between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,</li> <li>particularly the need now to find an independent expert</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> </ul> 1 MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a – you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and the nother people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennelis to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering	10	FUJITSU? [THESE ARE IN CAPS, MS VENNELLS] WHY MOVE FROM		
<ul> <li>"We are concerned that this needs to be independent rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy to explain rationale further at our steering board meeting this week."</li> <li>That steering board meeting we know took place on 29 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue, particularly the need now to find an independent expert witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it 9</li> <li>MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a - you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to MS Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	11	THIS? DO WE HAVE TO VALIDATE FUJITSU?"		
<ul> <li>rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy</li> <li>to explain rationale further at our steering board</li> <li>meeting this week."</li> <li>That steering board meeting we know took place on</li> <li>29 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here</li> <li>between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,</li> <li>particularly the need now to find an independent expert</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> </ul> <b>1 MR HENRY:</b> That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. <b>DAME SANDRA:</b> Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a – you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can iimagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we <b>MR HENRY:</b> Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to MS Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening. Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering	12			
<ul> <li>to explain rationale further at our steering board meeting this week."</li> <li>That steering board meeting we know took place on</li> <li>29 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here</li> <li>between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,</li> <li>particularly the need now to find an independent expert</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> </ul> 1 MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a - you might call i, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. 1 Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. 1 I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September 1 at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the 1 last dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering	13	"We are concerned that this needs to be independent		
<ul> <li>meting this week."</li> <li>That steering board meeting we know took place on</li> <li>29 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here</li> <li>between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,</li> <li>particularly the need now to find an independent expert</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> </ul> MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. MR HENRY: Well, then I will move an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering	14	rather than [Fujitsu] verifying its own system. Happy		
<ul> <li>That steering board meeting we know took place on</li> <li>29 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here</li> <li>between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,</li> <li>particularly the need now to find an independent expert</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> </ul> MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening. Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering				
<ul> <li>29 August 2013. So there's clear discussion here</li> <li>between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,</li> <li>particularly the need now to find an independent expert</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> </ul> <b>1 MR HENRY:</b> That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. <b>DAME SANDRA:</b> Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we <b>MR HENRY:</b> Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening. Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering		-		
<ul> <li>between General Counsel and the CEO about the issue,</li> <li>particularly the need now to find an independent expert</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>g</li> <li>MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the</li> <li>steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August</li> <li>2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it</li> <li>may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite</li> <li>difficult because, obviously, this is a you might</li> <li>call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> </ul> MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, <ul> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>particularly the need now to find an independent expert witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> <li>MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>		5		
<ul> <li>witness.</li> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> <li>MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the</li> <li>steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August</li> <li>2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it</li> <li>may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite</li> <li>difficult because, obviously, this is a you might</li> <li>call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Could I now ask you to go, please, to POL00108087.</li> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> </ul> 1 MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening. Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering				
<ul> <li>We can see it was sent on 20 August 2013 and then resent</li> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> </ul> 1 MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening. Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering				
<ul> <li>on 3 September 2013 at 21.25. Could I ask, please, to</li> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> <li>MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the</li> <li>steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August</li> <li>2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it</li> <li>may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite</li> <li>difficult because, obviously, this is a you might</li> <li>call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>scroll down to the issues concerning Mr Altman so it</li> <li>9</li> <li>MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the</li> <li>steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August</li> <li>2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it</li> <li>may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite</li> <li>difficult because, obviously, this is a you might</li> <li>call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>MR HENRY: That would appear to be a note arising from the</li> <li>steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August</li> <li>2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it</li> <li>may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite</li> <li>difficult because, obviously, this is a you might</li> <li>call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>				
1MR HENRY:That would appear to be a note arising from the2steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August32013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it4may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.5DAME SANDRA:Okay. But we don't know. It's quite6difficult because, obviously, this is a you might7call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts8and then other people comment. But as far as you can9imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.10With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not11looked at that in any detail, we12MR HENRY:14Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.15I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from16Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September17at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the18last email that we have considered, which of course was19Just dealing then with the discussions we know that20there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken21you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering	25	-		
<ul> <li>steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August</li> <li>2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it</li> <li>may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite</li> <li>difficult because, obviously, this is a you might</li> <li>call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August</li> <li>2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it</li> <li>may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite</li> <li>difficult because, obviously, this is a you might</li> <li>call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it</li> <li>may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite</li> <li>difficult because, obviously, this is a you might</li> <li>call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite</li> <li>difficult because, obviously, this is a you might</li> <li>call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite</li> <li>difficult because, obviously, this is a you might</li> <li>call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August		
<ul> <li>difficult because, obviously, this is a you might</li> <li>call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2 3	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it		
<ul> <li>call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts</li> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2 3 4	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.		
<ul> <li>and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> <li>imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite		
<ul> <li>9 imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>10 With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>11 looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>12 MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>13 then.</li> <li>14 Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>15 I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>16 Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>17 at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>18 last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>19 re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>20 Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>21 there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>22 you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. <b>DAME SANDRA:</b> Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might		
<ul> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not</li> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. <b>DAME SANDRA:</b> Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts		
<ul> <li>looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,</li> <li>then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> </ul>		
13then.14Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.15I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from16Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September17at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the18last email that we have considered, which of course was19re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.20Just dealing then with the discussions we know that21there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken22you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. <b>DAME SANDRA:</b> Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not		
14Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.15I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from16Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September17at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the18last email that we have considered, which of course was19re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.20Just dealing then with the discussions we know that21there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken22you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. <b>DAME SANDRA:</b> Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we		
<ul> <li>15 I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from</li> <li>16 Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>17 at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>18 last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>19 re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>20 Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>21 there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>22 you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document,		
<ul> <li>Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September</li> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then.		
<ul> <li>at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the</li> <li>last email that we have considered, which of course was</li> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.		
<ul> <li>re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from		
<ul> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that</li> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September		
<ul> <li>there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken</li> <li>you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the		
22 you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was		
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> </ul>		
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening. Just dealing then with the discussions we know that		
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening. Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken		
24 re-sent on 3 September and about an hour before it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>		
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering</li> </ul>		
25 resent, we have this:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening. Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering group meeting. Then the 30 August email, which was re-sent on 3 September and, about an hour before it was resent, we have this:		
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel.</li> <li>With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we</li> <li>MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then.</li> <li>Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633.</li> <li>I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening.</li> <li>Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering group meeting. Then the 30 August email, which was re-sent on 3 September and, about an hour before it was</li> </ul>		
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	steering group meeting, which took place on 29 August 2013, so I'm afraid I can't answer definitively but it may be that that was drafted by General Counsel. DAME SANDRA: Okay. But we don't know. It's quite difficult because, obviously, this is a you might call it, a multi-layered communication where it starts and then other people comment. But as far as you can imagine, that might be from the General Counsel. With regard to the Seema Misra case, we have not looked at that in any detail, we MR HENRY: Well, then I will move on to the next document, then. Could I now ask you, please, to go to POL00381633. I'm going to start at the top but we have an email from Paula Vennells to Ms Crichton dated Tuesday, 3 September at 7.51 in the evening, so it's about an hour before the last email that we have considered, which of course was re-sent 3 September at around 9.00 in the evening. Just dealing then with the discussions we know that there has been an email on 27 August, which I've taken you to, setting things up for the 29 August steering group meeting. Then the 30 August email, which was re-sent on 3 September and, about an hour before it was resent, we have this:		

1	will be towards the end of the document. Yes, thank you
2	very much. "Legal and adjudication of future case",
3	first bullet point:
4	"Meeting was held with our external law firm who
5	have been completing the criminal case review on
6	Friday."
7	Then this:
8	"The issues that we want Brian Altman QC to advice
9	on were agreed, final sign off of review process; and
10	advice on prosecutions going forward.
11	"Conference agreed for 9 September where the focus
12	will be on our prosecutorial obligations of disclosure
13	of any information which may be of assistance to the
14	defence. After this conference we will finalise the
15	terms of reference for Brian Altman's review; this will
16	include, amongst other things, a consideration of POL's
17	position re criminal prosecutions."
18	Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, I don't know how
19	familiar you are with the Seema Misra case, so depending
20	on your answer to that, will dictate whether I ask you
21	further questions arising from this.
22	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> Before I answer that question, which I'm very
23	happy to do, can you tell me the bold which begins
24	"Conference agreed for 9 September", who is speaking
25	there?
	10

"Thanks Susan."		
Then I omit words:		
"Re the update could you add a para regarding		
costs and timing on [Second Sight]?"		
Then I omit words:		
"My ambition is to reassure Alice that we are on the		
detail."		
But if we could scroll down, please, and it's the		
second page of the document, "Legal and adjudication of		
future case":		
"Meeting was held with our external law firm. The		
issues that we want Brian Altman QC to advise on were		
agreed, final sign off of review process; options		
regarding the appointment of independent expert witness		
(paper to follow); advice on prosecutions going forward.		
"Following this discussion, terms of reference for		
his review to be finalised."		
Can I ask you, please, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward,		
did you actually see any paper regarding the appointment		
of an independent expert witness?		
DAME SANDRA: I don't believe we did. We did see a paper in		
January		
Was it January?		
DR STEWARD: January 2014.		
DAME SANDRA: Yes, January 2014, which suggested that there 12		

(3) Pages 9 - 12

1	were two independent witnesses from Imperial College	1	So here we are. Who should Brian Altman report to?
2	that had been identified. That is, I believe, the only	2	Susan Crichton was going to check with Paula Vennells
3	subsequent things that we have seen.	3	and, as far as one knows, who did Brian Altman report to
4	DR STEWARD: Yes.	4	in the end? There's a gap, isn't there?
5	DAME SANDRA: Of course, there may be other evidence but we	5	(Pause for fire alarm test)
6	have not seen them.	6	MR HENRY: I think I'm safe to speak now. I mean, he did
7	<b>MR HENRY:</b> But here we can see that the CEO is clearly	7	not report in person to the ARC or the Board?
8	sighted on the issues that they want Brian Altman to	8	DAME SANDRA: We would need to look at the relevant
9	advise upon, a final sign-off of the review process, and	9	documents in order for us to give you an opinion on
10	terms of reference for his review to be finalised.	10	that.
11	DAME SANDRA: Indeed.	11	MR HENRY: I think I'm safe on that basis. Assuming I'm
12	MR HENRY: Right. Could I now ask you to go, please, to	12	right that he did not report in person to the Audit and
13	POL00368926. This is 11 September. Susan Crichton to	13	Risk Committee or the Board, whose decision would that
14	Rodric Williams and Hugh Flemington but, if we could	14	have been?
15	scroll up, because this is dealing with who Brian Altman	15	DAME SANDRA: By in person, may I he didn't appear
16	should report to. We can see Susan Crichton,	16	before
17	10 September 2013, in response to Gavin Matthews.	17	MR HENRY: Yes.
18	"Gavin could you sent me the terms of reference	18	DAME SANDRA: or are you saying his report didn't go to?
19	so I can take a look at it.	19	<b>MR HENRY:</b> We know for a fact for the former that he did not
20	"Re reporting to POL I will check with Paula	20	appear in person.
21	maybe Chair of Audit Committee but I will confirm	21	DAME SANDRA: Yes.
22	[I think it is 'now'] he is not needed for Thurs."	22	<b>MR HENRY:</b> But there is also can you help us, how clear
23	That was an Audit and Risk Committee meeting, as far	23	is it about the process of conveying what was obviously
24	as I'm aware:	24	a very important piece of work, how clear is the audit
25	"I have copied Andy Holt our project manager"	25	trail, the paper trail, to establish the Board's
	13		14
1	knowledge and accountability of the issues raised by	1	coming from very senior lawyers who have agreed the
2	Mr Altman?	2	terms of reference, to what extent, in practice, is it
3	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> I don't believe that we can comment on that.	3	expected that that would be challenged?
4	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I don't think you can but perhaps you can	4	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> Oh, the terms of reference, Sir Wyn?
5	help me with this: clearly, a great deal of let's	5	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
6	take out the "great deal" clearly, there was some	6	DAME SANDRA: It might be in fact, I can think of
7	work done to formulate and finalise Mr Altman's terms of	7	instances where I would look at terms of reference, and
8	reference.	8	they'd been agreed and I might say "Are we sure that
9	DAME SANDRA: Evidently.	9	we're doing enough on that?", which would then inform
10	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Should his terms of reference should	10	the nature of the work.
11	it have gone for information and/or approval either to	10	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: So, in other words, there's a practical,
12	the Audit and Risk Committee or the Board before it was	12	useful purpose in it happening?
13	concluded, in your opinion?	12	DAME SANDRA: Indeed.
14	DAME SANDRA: My opinion is that it would have been good	13	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right, fine.
14	practice, had it gone to the Audit and Risk Committee,	14	Sorry Mr Henry, then I'll stop.
16	had there been an appropriate meeting quickly. One	16	Can I ask you the same question about the actual
17	wouldn't wish to delay doing it. And that it would be,	17	written advice, if that's what we'll call it, of
18			
19	again, good practice for the Chair of that committee, if it couldn't come before the committee meeting, to be	18 19	Mr Altman, over the next time period. What is your
	0.		opinion about whether the actual piece of writing or the
20	aware of what those were and then he could report at the	20	substance of it, should that have been transmitted
20	next committee that he had seen the terms of reference	21	either to the Risk Committee or the Board?
21	and that he approved them		
21 22	and that he approved them.	22 23	DAME SANDRA: With regard to the substance, then I'm sure
21 22 23	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. Subject to your point about	23	that it should have been understood and to the
21 22 23 24	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. Subject to your point about independent Executive Directors being there to	23 24	that it should have been understood and to the Committee and, in the report from the committee to the
21 22 23	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. Subject to your point about	23	that it should have been understood and to the

(4) Pages 13 - 16

	chair, who would give that report, should summarise what		
2	the Committee looked at and give it to the Board.		
3	I wouldn't necessarily expect, either at the Committee		
4	or at the Board, the actual piece of paper to come		
5	forward, but I would expect the process that I've		
6	described to happen.		
7	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Then, without wishing to steal Mr Henry's		
8	thunder, but I will, should a reasonable chief executive		
9	and/or should a reasonable general counsel ensure that		
10	those things happened?		
11	DAME SANDRA: Yes.		
12	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right.		
13	MR HENRY: Steal right away, sir!		
14	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: No, no, back to you, now, Mr Henry.		
15	MR HENRY: No, no, thank you.		
16	I'm now going to deal with the concept of critical		
17	friends, and the role of non-executives on boards. Now,		
18	you have actually cited a work of scholarship by Robert		
19	Hazel, Alan Cogbill, David Owen, Howard Webber and Lucas		
20	Chebib, Critical Friends? The Role of Non-Executives on		
21	Whitehall Boards.		
22	DAME SANDRA: Mm.		
23	<b>MR HENRY:</b> You reference it at page 129 of 133 of your first		
24	report. Can you expand upon the term "critical friend",		
25	please?		
	17		
1	role is to support the executive, but it is also to be		
2	critical, to challenge what is going on, if it's seen		
3	not to be in the best interests of the company, which is		
4	what, as it were, motivates their appointment. So it's		
5	that balance which I think we talk a lot about between		
6	support and challenge. And, as an independent		
7	non-executive, your responsibility is to do both.		
8	MR HENRY: Now, would you agree that, throughout this entire		

Chair, who would give that report, should summarise what

1

2	critical, to challenge what is going on, if it's seen		
3	not to be in the best interests of the company, which is		
4	what, as it were, motivates their appointment. So it's		
5	that balance which I think we talk a lot about between		
6	support and challenge. And, as an independent		
7	non-executive, your responsibility is to do both.		
8	MR HENRY: Now, would you agree that, throughout this entire		
9	saga, there has been a pitiful evidence base, so far as		
10	the NEDs acting decisively to reframe the way in which		
11	the executive group was dealing with this matter, and to		
12	ensure the swift and decisive resolution of the matter,		
13	namely Horizon failings and its impact on prosecutorial		
14	risk?		
15	DAME SANDRA: I think yesterday we dealt in some detail		
15 16	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> I think yesterday we dealt in some detail about the fact that, in our view, the Second Sight		
16	about the fact that, in our view, the Second Sight		
16 17	about the fact that, in our view, the Second Sight Report contained enough information, although not		
16 17 18	about the fact that, in our view, the Second Sight Report contained enough information, although not necessarily coherently brought together, to show that		
16 17 18 19	about the fact that, in our view, the Second Sight Report contained enough information, although not necessarily coherently brought together, to show that there should be challenge on those points.		
16 17 18 19 20	about the fact that, in our view, the Second Sight Report contained enough information, although not necessarily coherently brought together, to show that there should be challenge on those points. <b>MR HENRY:</b> In fact, what you had instead was, rather than		
16 17 18 19 20 21	about the fact that, in our view, the Second Sight Report contained enough information, although not necessarily coherently brought together, to show that there should be challenge on those points. <b>MR HENRY:</b> In fact, what you had instead was, rather than selflessness, integrity, objectivity and, you know,		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about the fact that, in our view, the Second Sight Report contained enough information, although not necessarily coherently brought together, to show that there should be challenge on those points. <b>MR HENRY:</b> In fact, what you had instead was, rather than selflessness, integrity, objectivity and, you know, a determination for proper accountability you had, did		
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>about the fact that, in our view, the Second Sight</li> <li>Report contained enough information, although not</li> <li>necessarily coherently brought together, to show that</li> <li>there should be challenge on those points.</li> <li>MR HENRY: In fact, what you had instead was, rather than</li> <li>selflessness, integrity, objectivity and, you know,</li> <li>a determination for proper accountability you had, did</li> <li>you not, the spectacle of the NEDs being outraged about</li> </ul>		

1	DAME SANDRA:	I mean this, as you say,	is in the context of
---	--------------	--------------------------	----------------------

- Whitehall departments and Whitehall departments are, to
- 3 an extent, different to that of --
- 4 MR HENRY: Absolutely --
- 5 DAME SANDRA: -- other matters.
- 6 MR HENRY: -- and I was going to come to that because
- 7 I wanted to know what would be the most useful track, to
- 8 part from your expert report, to enable the Chair,
- 9 should he wish to go further into this subject, to
- 10 understand how the NED model of corporate governance
- 11 could be strengthened and improved, given what we've
- 12 seen in this saga?

13	DAME SANDRA:	I mean, that's a huge question for me to take

- 14 from you from the Chair, and I would be happy to give it
- 15 considerable reflection. The role of the Non-Executive
- 16 Director is described in -- both in governance terms,
- 17 but also in terms of nearly every board review that one
- 18 looks at, and the essence is: independent, absolutely
- 19 not part of the executive in any way, not responsible
- 20 for running the company, responsible for bringing their
- 21 specialist advice, responsible for being questioning,
- 22 challenging, curious, and giving that independent pair
- 23 of eyes to the -- to what the board is attending to.
- 24 The idea of critical friend, I think, goes to the
- 25 balance between support, which is the friend, that their 18

1	about their own personal position and the notification
2	to the Post Office's insurers.
3	DAME SANDRA: Mm.
4	MR HENRY: I mean, that does not strike one, does it, as
5	a sort of courageous and independent voice saying, "We
6	must deal with this; though the heavens fall, we must
7	ensure that justice is done"? That indicates a somewhat
8	craven approach by the NEDs.
9	DAME SANDRA: As we discussed yesterday, we do think this
10	was a missed opportunity, and the other piece in our
11	evidence does draw a distinction: this word "challenge"
12	which is both critical challenge and constructive
13	challenge. And I think we did see evidence of what one
14	might call critical challenge on the way in which, in
15	their view, the report had been produced, and the
16	process by which it had been produced, but we did not
17	see the challenge into the basic assumptions, as you
18	indicate.
19	<b>MR HENRY:</b> The challenges, really, were more to do with the
20	amour propre of the NEDs being exposed and the fact that
21	this looked messy optically, that the Post Office had
22	lost control of the narrative, as it were?
23	DAME SANDRA: I think there is that theme. There is also,
24	as I understand it, their annoyance at them being
25	surprised by, for example, bugs, and they were as 20

# The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1	I understand it, they were annoyed by that. They also,	1
2	as you indicate, asked questions about liability.	2
3	MR HENRY: Did you, in all of your researches, did you ever	3
4	get the impression that Paula Vennells, in particular,	4
5	did not want an optimal NED culture? Do you remember	5
6	the document where she said that she did not want the	6
7	NEDs to, as it were, have a bonding exercise?	7
8	DAME SANDRA: I do recall, I recall that phase that	8
9	phrase.	9
10	MR HENRY: I may have misquoted it, but let's have a look at	10
11	POL00411940.	11
12	DAME SANDRA: This is 2018?	12
13	<b>MR HENRY:</b> Yes, 2018. Do you see the paragraph beginning:	13
14	"I would remove entirely the NEDs as they will meet	14
15	them at dinner, and at the Board, and will arrange 121s	15
16	if they want to. We're not trying to create a team of	16
17	NEDs, the opposite in fact."	17
18	What's your view on that approach?	18
19	DAME SANDRA: I cannot say what was in the mind of	19
20	Ms Vennells when she wrote that. One construction would	20
21	be: we don't want a team of NEDs because we want their	21
22	independent challenge. We want really good independent	22
23	people who will speak their mind and their	23
24	responsibility isn't to form a team; their	24
25	responsibility is to be part of the unitary board, as	25
	21	
1	MR HENRY: Actually, sir, I think, in deference to you, and	1
2	<b>MR HENRY:</b> Actually, sir, I think, in deference to you, and particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall	2
2 3	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there.	2 3
2 3 4	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you.	2 3 4
2 3 4 5	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein?	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction.	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters. Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters. Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work on this matter, producing two reports, going through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters. Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work on this matter, producing two reports, going through a considerable body of material, we're grateful.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters. Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work on this matter, producing two reports, going through a considerable body of material, we're grateful. Governance and regulatory oversight. Now, what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters. Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work on this matter, producing two reports, going through a considerable body of material, we're grateful. Governance and regulatory oversight. Now, what regulations and governance can do is it can provide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters. Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work on this matter, producing two reports, going through a considerable body of material, we're grateful. Governance and regulatory oversight. Now, what regulations and governance can do is it can provide a framework, is that correct, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters. Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work on this matter, producing two reports, going through a considerable body of material, we're grateful. Governance and regulatory oversight. Now, what regulations and governance can do is it can provide a framework, is that correct, that DAME SANDRA: That's true.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters. Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work on this matter, producing two reports, going through a considerable body of material, we're grateful. Governance and regulatory oversight. Now, what regulations and governance can do is it can provide a framework, is that correct, that DAME SANDRA: That's true. MR STEIN: supports hopefully good behaviour, moral	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters. Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work on this matter, producing two reports, going through a considerable body of material, we're grateful. Governance and regulatory oversight. Now, what regulations and governance can do is it can provide a framework, is that correct, that DAME SANDRA: That's true. MR STEIN: supports hopefully good behaviour, moral behaviour; do you agree with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein?</li> <li>Questioned by MR STEIN</li> <li>MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters.</li> <li>Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work on this matter, producing two reports, going through a considerable body of material, we're grateful. Governance and regulatory oversight. Now, what regulations and governance can do is it can provide a framework, is that correct, that</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That's true.</li> <li>MR STEIN: supports hopefully good behaviour, moral behaviour; do you agree with that?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It provides a framework certainly, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters. Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work on this matter, producing two reports, going through a considerable body of material, we're grateful. Governance and regulatory oversight. Now, what regulations and governance can do is it can provide a framework, is that correct, that DAME SANDRA: That's true. MR STEIN: supports hopefully good behaviour, moral behaviour; do you agree with that? DAME SANDRA: It provides a framework certainly, yes. MR STEIN: The reason I think you may be hesitating, Dame	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters. Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work on this matter, producing two reports, going through a considerable body of material, we're grateful. Governance and regulatory oversight. Now, what regulations and governance can do is it can provide a framework, is that correct, that DAME SANDRA: That's true. MR STEIN: supports hopefully good behaviour, moral behaviour; do you agree with that? DAME SANDRA: It provides a framework certainly, yes. MR STEIN: The reason I think you may be hesitating, Dame Sandra, is a framework doesn't necessarily dictate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein?</li> <li>Questioned by MR STEIN</li> <li>MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters.</li> <li>Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work on this matter, producing two reports, going through a considerable body of material, we're grateful. Governance and regulatory oversight. Now, what regulations and governance can do is it can provide a framework, is that correct, that</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That's true.</li> <li>MR STEIN: supports hopefully good behaviour, moral behaviour; do you agree with that?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It provides a framework certainly, yes.</li> <li>MR STEIN: The reason I think you may be hesitating, Dame Sandra, is a framework doesn't necessarily dictate an outcome, so if someone wants to be immoral, someone</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	particularly since we're under pressure of time, I shall stop there. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mr Stein? Questioned by MR STEIN MR STEIN: Thank you, Sir Wyn, for the introduction. My name is Sam Stein and I represent a large number of subpostmasters and also currently serving subpostmasters. Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, thank you for your work on this matter, producing two reports, going through a considerable body of material, we're grateful. Governance and regulatory oversight. Now, what regulations and governance can do is it can provide a framework, is that correct, that DAME SANDRA: That's true. MR STEIN: supports hopefully good behaviour, moral behaviour; do you agree with that? DAME SANDRA: It provides a framework certainly, yes. MR STEIN: The reason I think you may be hesitating, Dame Sandra, is a framework doesn't necessarily dictate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

1	I explained, with the best interests of the company, and
2	to bring their independent expertise to bear. So that
3	would be one construction, which would be in a way
4	a completely legitimate and positive construction.
5	Another construction would be is we don't want
6	a close amalgam of NEDs as in this situation.
7	MR HENRY: Divide and rule?
8	DAME SANDRA: It could be that but I can't say. As I say,
9	there are two constructions.
10	Have you got any view on that?
11	DR STEWARD: I mean, I wouldn't call it "divide and rule",
12	I think there is quite often the case it can be very
13	problematic for executives if you have a team of NEDs
14	who refer to each other without referring to the
15	collective, and that the ambition of every board should
16	be for it to be as collective as possible. So I in
17	a sense, "We're not trying to create a team of NEDs" can
18	be interpreted as "We're not trying to create a two-tier
19	board".
20	MR HENRY: Could I now in conclusion, because I know quite
21	a lot of my time was eaten up but I want to stick to
22	10.15 as close as possible, but
23	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I can't help it, I love it when Mr Henry
24	and Mr Stein edge themselves over the limit, with
25	ever-increasing ingenuity.
	22
1	the framework is: it's a choice?
2	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> Again, human behaviour can be guided by
3	a whole range of different things, as well as the
4	framework in which they sit.
5	<b>MR STEIN:</b> So if individuals within what's happened in the
6	scandal, Ms Vennells, Ms van den Bogerd, the
7	Investigators, Mr Bradshaw and others, Jarnail Singh, if
8	they want to make choices that are either, I suppose,
9	immoral or dishonest or downright criminal, then it's up
10	to them, when it comes down to it.
11	DAME SANDRA: Yes, a framework cannot control behaviour. It
12	sets the boundaries and sets the expectations.
13	<b>MR STEIN:</b> You may be aware that, in the same amount of
14	time, the same period of time that we're talking about
15	for Second Sight, around 2013, where they're conducting
16	their investigations and making their report and they're
17	dealing and setting out the bugs, errors, defects that
18	they're finding, around that same time, the Post Office
19	was also seeking to try and achieve further financial
20	support from Government in to the tune of, I think
21	I think the figures are 1.34 billion. It's almost worth
22	repeating: 1.34 billion.

- 23 So you have these pressures upon the Post Office,
- 24 which are, you know, will it survive? Will it get the
- 25 money, into the future? Also, at the same time you've 24

1	got this bugs, errors and defects in its course systems
2	that are coming along. Those drivers, those attacks
3	upon the survival of the Post Office, it's not going to
4	necessarily going to be helpful to ensure good
5	behaviour; do you agree?
6	DAME SANDRA: You mean the if I just play back, you're
7	asking the question of does the pressure from getting
8	approval for Government of a large amount of money
9	impact people's behaviour in the organisation?
10	MR STEIN: Yes.
11	DAME SANDRA: It may do. It may not.
12	MR STEIN: It goes back to the question of choice, doesn't
13	it?
14	DAME SANDRA: Yes.
15	<b>MR STEIN:</b> Okay, so let's have a quick look, if we can,
16	please, at perhaps some of the governance principles and
17	what exists. We've not heard much about the Nolan
18	Principles as yet, so can I take you, please, to the
19	updated report, which is EXPG0000006 R. I'll be going
20	to page 95 of that report and, if we can go to 95 on our
21	screens, I'd be very grateful. What I'm going to be
22	asking you questions about Dame Sandra, Dr Steward, is
23	Nolan Principles and who they applied to within this
24	complex situation.
25	DAME SANDRA: Yes, yes.
	25
1	when one is appointed to public office, I believe from
2	1995 onwards you're quite clearly given these
3	principles, and but with regard to people employing
4	in the Post Office, without looking at their contracts
5	of employment, I'm afraid I cannot say whether they were
6	specifically required to note and to be told that they
7	should adopt them. I can't tell you that.
8	<b>MR STEIN:</b> So even pre-split, it's pretty complex because,
9	despite the fact it's a Government organisation, you've
10	got layers of different employees, Executive Directors,
11	and the question of whether this would apply might
12	depend on what's in their contract?
13	DAME SANDRA: Exactly. That's what I'm saying. That where
14	it's very clear that they apply, it's usually appended
15	to the contract. I can't say
16	MR STEIN: Okay.
17	Let's move onto the split so the Post Office becomes
18	a private company
19	DAME SANDRA: Mm-hm.

- 19 DAME SANDRA: Mm-hm.
- 20 MR STEIN: -- owned by a single shareholder, as Ms Badenoch
- 21 said the other day, herself as Secretary of State, and
- 22 other Secretaries of State in their time.
- 23 DAME SANDRA: Yes.
- 24 MR STEIN: Now, this is where I almost have to crack my
- 25 knuckles with trying to work out the complexity. We've 27

- 1 MR STEIN: Is that page 95?
- 2 DAME SANDRA: It's the bottom of 95, yes.
- 3 MR STEIN: Bottom of 95, thank you.
  - So there we've got -- and we've got the two sides.
- 5 Now, the left-hand side, I'll put it crudely is
- 6 corporate commercial.
- 7 **DAME SANDRA:** That's right, yes.
- 8 MR STEIN: Right-hand side, if you like, more government.
- 9 Those are the two sides, so that's what we've got by way
- 10 of this schedule. We see there the Nolan Principles and
- 11 they're familiar to I think, us all, 1 to 7, they set
- 12 out basic standards of selflessness, integrity,
- 13 openness, accountability, honesty, leadership. These
- 14 are basic important principles.
- 15 DAME SANDRA: They are.
- 16 MR STEIN: Now, these Nolan Principles would apply, looking
- 17 at the sort of dates we're considering here, they were
- 18 in place from that period of time, 1995, and they apply,
- 19 therefore, when we think about the structure applicable
- 20 to the Post Office. At that time would they have
- 21 applied throughout the organisation -- this is
- 22 pre-split -- do you think?
- 23 DAME SANDRA: Yeah, I think -- I mean, it's a very technical
- 24 response I'm going to give. They would have applied to
- 25 those people who were told they applied to them. So 26

1	got a very odd situation. We've got obviously
2	Government officials, to whom the Nolan Principles
3	apply.
4	DAME SANDRA: Indeed.
5	MR STEIN: Let's work our way down from top level
6	Government. We then have ShEx, that became UKGI. Now,
7	they are essentially the controlling body or arm of
8	state that is involved in the oversight of the Post
9	Office. Now, it applied to them as well. So those ShEx
10	and UKGI members on the Board, Nolan Principles should
11	apply; do we agree?
12	DAME SANDRA: Certainly ShEx. I would have to look and see
13	exactly what UKGI, again, contracts would have said. So
14	I could only speculate whether or not they would.
15	I perhaps should say that I'm very pleased you've
16	brought these Nolan Principles up because, reflecting
17	our side, I thought, "Oh, we hadn't discussed them".
18	And I think that, in terms of public life, in my
19	personal experience, they are very well known.
20	MR STEIN: It is, one would have thought and one would hope
21	frankly likely that the Nolan Principles would be
22	applied through UKGI, it being the body carrying on, if
23	you like, from ShEx?
24	DAME SANDRA: As I say, the specifics. I can't give you

25 an answer on the fact because I don't know the fact. 28

1	<b>MR STEIN:</b> Fingers crossed. Now, then if we move on
2	through, if you like, the structure that exists at that
3	time, the complexity then is that, at that stage when
4	the Post Office is split into its private role, it is
5	still, though, a body with a clear governmental social
6	purpose. We know the requirements and directives to the
7	Post Office is that they should provide a public
8	service, that they've got to keep open post offices up
9	and down the land, and they provide particular services,
10	even though that might be for that particular branch not
11	sustainable as a small business. Okay?
12	DAME SANDRA: Yes.
13	<b>MR STEIN:</b> So we've got this complex picture. It's
14	a private company that is carrying out a social purpose
15	on behalf of the State. But it doesn't seem to have,
16	buried within its ethos, the Nolan principles as
17	a private body. Is that a fair or a bad description?
18	DAME SANDRA: In the documents that I have seen of the Post
19	Office of 2013, in those that I have seen, I haven't
20	seen reference to the Nolan Principles. However, as the
21	appointments, particularly at the Board, had to be
22	approved by the Secretary of State, it's possible that
23	in those appointments there was direction to the Nolan
24	Principles because the appointments were made by the
25	Secretary of State. They weren't well, they were 29
	20
1	frameworks of governance and expected standards, we
2	identified a number of questions. When we came to write
3	report number 2, we simply we could have spent five
4	years seeking through your evidence to answer these
5	questions. We didn't have five years five years full
6	time. So we didn't take these as questions for us, when
7	we sought to answer them.
8	With regard to the specific question about written
9	statements of values, codes of conducts and behaviours,
10	certainly in the I think it's the 2015 job
11	description of the General Counsel, which happened
12	which was one of pieces we looked at, there is
13	a statement of behaviours and there are there is
14	a statement of values. It's an empirical question.
15	Do you want to add?
16	MR STEIN: You've turned neatly
17	I'm sorry, Dr Steward.
18	DAME SANDRA: I just wanted to check.
19 20	DR STEWARD: I was just going to say that the process is
20	exactly as Sandra described it, these questions for the
21 22	Inquiry.
22 23	MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, you helpfully mentioned general
23 24	counsel, which is my next topic. From your evidence there is no actual requirement
24 25	within the Post Office for general counsel to attend
20	31

1	approved by the Secretary of State on the recommendation
2	of the on the recommendation of the Board.
3	<b>MR STEIN:</b> Dame Sandra, I agree and I'm not convinced, and
4	no doubt I'll be corrected by voices around me, but I'm
5	not convinced we actually know the answer to: do the
6	Directors, Chair, and so on, at particular times have
7	these Nolan Principles drawn to their attention on their
8	appointment. So we may learn that at a later stage.
9	DAME SANDRA: Mm, mm.
10	<b>MR STEIN:</b> Can I take you to page 88 of the same document,
11	please. It's a reference to the culture of
12	organisations within, and your questions.
13	DAME SANDRA: Yeah.
14	<b>MR STEIN:</b> So what we've been discussing is on the culture
15	of their organisations, when, if at all, did the
16	executives or boards have written statements on values,
17	codes of conducts and behaviour, which are available to
18	all employees. Our discussion has been about this,
19	hasn't it? It's been about whether you've been able to
20	find, whether it is available, the types of guides and
21	protocols or codes of conduct that may have provided
22	some support for a positive culture. Have you found
23	documents that answered these questions?
24	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> We didn't when we wrote report number 1 and
25	we were trying to assist the Inquiry by showing the 30
	30
1	board meetings. They don't have to and they're not
2	required to.
3	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> As I tried to explain, it is really a matter
4	
5	for the board to decide how they want to relate to their
	for the board to decide how they want to relate to their general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's
6	general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the
6 7	general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general
7 8	general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the
7 8 9	general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters.
7 8 9 10	general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters. <b>MR STEIN:</b> Focusing on POL as an arm's-length body to start
7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Focusing on POL as an arm's-length body to start with, and then I'll move on to then the general question</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Focusing on POL as an arm's-length body to start with, and then I'll move on to then the general question about arm's-length bodies, so POL to start off with,</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Focusing on POL as an arm's-length body to start with, and then I'll move on to then the general question about arm's-length bodies, so POL to start off with, should general counsel, within the Post Office, be</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Focusing on POL as an arm's-length body to start with, and then I'll move on to then the general question about arm's-length bodies, so POL to start off with, should general counsel, within the Post Office, be a Board appointment?</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Focusing on POL as an arm's-length body to start with, and then I'll move on to then the general question about arm's-length bodies, so POL to start off with, should general counsel, within the Post Office, be a Board appointment?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There is no "should" about that. It's</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Focusing on POL as an arm's-length body to start with, and then I'll move on to then the general question about arm's-length bodies, so POL to start off with, should general counsel, within the Post Office, be a Board appointment?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There is no "should" about that. It's a matter for choice.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Focusing on POL as an arm's-length body to start with, and then I'll move on to then the general question about arm's-length bodies, so POL to start off with, should general counsel, within the Post Office, be a Board appointment?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There is no "should" about that. It's a matter for choice.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Would you recommend?</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Focusing on POL as an arm's-length body to start with, and then I'll move on to then the general question about arm's-length bodies, so POL to start off with, should general counsel, within the Post Office, be a Board appointment?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There is no "should" about that. It's a matter for choice.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Would you recommend?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I would recommend that the General Counsel was</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Focusing on POL as an arm's-length body to start with, and then I'll move on to then the general question about arm's-length bodies, so POL to start off with, should general counsel, within the Post Office, be a Board appointment?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There is no "should" about that. It's a matter for choice.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Would you recommend?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I would recommend that the General Counsel was present. I would not recommend or not recommend</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Focusing on POL as an arm's-length body to start with, and then I'll move on to then the general question about arm's-length bodies, so POL to start off with, should general counsel, within the Post Office, be a Board appointment?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There is no "should" about that. It's a matter for choice.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Would you recommend?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I would recommend that the General Counsel was present. I would not recommend or not recommend I would neither recommend nor not recommendation that</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Focusing on POL as an arm's-length body to start with, and then I'll move on to then the general question about arm's-length bodies, so POL to start off with, should general counsel, within the Post Office, be a Board appointment?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There is no "should" about that. It's a matter for choice.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Would you recommend?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I would recommend that the General Counsel was present. I would not recommend or not recommend I would neither recommend nor not recommendation that they stood be a member of the Board because I think</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>general counsel. Sometimes, occasionally, I think it's about 20 per cent now, might be actually members of the board. A larger proportion would have the general counsel in attendance, and some of them would have the general counsel coming in just for specific matters.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Focusing on POL as an arm's-length body to start with, and then I'll move on to then the general question about arm's-length bodies, so POL to start off with, should general counsel, within the Post Office, be a Board appointment?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There is no "should" about that. It's a matter for choice.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Would you recommend?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I would recommend that the General Counsel was present. I would not recommend or not recommend I would neither recommend nor not recommendation that</li> </ul>

- 24 DAME SANDRA: For me, my personal view is that I would like
- 25 to have the General Counsel normally present in Board 32

1	meetings.	1
2	<b>MR STEIN:</b> Dr Steward, I understand the way this works. You	2
3	agree by silence. Further silence.	3
4	DAME SANDRA: I think I've said what I I think I've made	4
5	clear what I think. I hope I've made clear what	5
6	I think.	6
7	<b>MR STEIN:</b> You were asked a question by Mr Beer yesterday,	7
8	and I think your answer was it's outside of your	8
9	knowledge. You don't have knowledge of, if you like,	9
10	the status of general counsel, whether they actually	10
11	have to be a practising lawyer	11
12	DAME SANDRA: No, all I can say is that, in all my	12
13	experience, all the general counsels I've known have	13
14	been practising lawyers.	14
15	<b>MR STEIN:</b> Are you surprised to learn, therefore, that there	15
16	is no requirement for general counsel across	16
17	companies	17
18	DAME SANDRA: And they are called I beg your pardon	18
19	they are called general counsel?	19
20	MR STEIN: Yes.	20
21	DAME SANDRA: I'm surprised.	21
22	<b>MR STEIN:</b> The answer to this is that there are people that	22
23	are capable of describing themselves as lawyers who are	23
24	actually outside of the regulatory requirements by the	24
25	different regulatory bodies, the Bar Standards Board,	25
	33	
1	not directly referenced by their regulators?	1
2	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> As I say, not my area of expertise. Does any	2
3	of this apply to the Post Office?	3
4	MR STEIN: Well, it would apply in terms of the practice of	4
5	general counsel, generally, yes.	5
6	DAME SANDRA: I understand it would apply well, anyway	6
7	it's not for me to ask the questions.	7
8	MR STEIN: Right.	8
9	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: As a matter of fact, just in case I'm	9
10	missing something, all the relevant general counsel were	10
11	practising lawyers, were they not?	11
12	<b>MR STEIN:</b> We believe that's right but the question,	12
13	actually	13
14	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: No, I	14
15	<b>MR STEIN:</b> to their work as general counsel and whether	15
16	that itself is governed by reserved	16
17	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow what you're saying but	17
18	I just didn't want to widen my area of investigation to	18
19	even discover whether there was a general counsel who	19
20	wasn't a practising lawyer. I don't think there is.	20
21	MR STEIN: Now, you've discussed with me and indeed	21
22	yesterday with Mr Beer, and your evidence was that the	22
23	normal expectation is that General Counsel would at	23
24	least have an informal dotted line to the Chair, and	24
25	we've discussed that. You also discussed how these	25
	35	

1	the SRA and others.
2	DAME SANDRA: I am unfamiliar with that.
3	<b>MR STEIN:</b> The other types of lawyers that can be appointed
4	as general counsel generally, could be a lawyer with
5	a qualification or practising certificate abroad, in
6	other words not applicable here.
7	DAME SANDRA: I think that would be a special case which
8	would be considered but, as I say, this not my area of
9	expertise.
10	<b>MR STEIN:</b> No, and in relation to the practice of a general
11	counsel, as a lawyer, do you know whether what they do
12	when they are working as general counsel, whether that
13	is governed in the same way as my practice, as
14	a barrister is; do you know about the regulatory
15	requirements in relation to their types of practice?
16	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> I know in principle and in general.
17	<b>MR STEIN:</b> So you're aware that I operate and all practising
18	barristers and solicitors with practising certificates,
19	we operate under a code of conduct essentially which
20	governs our work on reserved activities, in other words
21	going to courts, addressing courts, giving legal advice.
22	But the work of general counsel is not covered within
23	reserved activities. Are you aware of that distinction,
24	that they essentially occupy this oddity, as general
25	counsel, don't have to be lawyers, doing work that is
	34
1	relationships actually work, work of General Counsel
2	actually work, it's going to depend upon the body in
3	which the organisation works and how that develops.
4	When considering the questions such as General
5	Counsel and bringing to the attention of the Board
6	something like the Simon Clarke Advice, is there, in
7	general corporate practice, a reason to think that
8	privileged documents, documents subject to legal
9	privilege, are not brought to the attention of boards?
10	Now, I note, Dame Sandra and, I think, Dr Steward, you
11	both have very strong experience of working on boards.
12	DAME SANDRA: Yes.
13	MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, I think I saw quite a long list of
14	boards that you've been a member of. So privileged
15	documents such as the Simon Clarke Advice, any reason
16	why it can't go to Board?
17	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> In my experience, I have seen quite a number
18	of privileged documents which come to the board and
19	which the board is taken within the circle of privilege.
20	And so that has been my experience. I have never, in my
21	experience, not seen a document, as far as I know. Of
22	course it's difficult to know what you've not seen, but
23	I've not seen a document where I have been unable, or

- 23 I've not seen a document where I have been unable, or
- 24 I've not known of a document, where, as a board member,
- 25 I've not been able to access it.
  - 36

25

4	MD CTEINI	Derbang emphasizing what bennened within the Dest
1	WR SIEIN:	Perhaps emphasising what happened within the Post

- 2 Office scandal, some legal advices, such as the Simon
- 3 Clarke Advices may be more important than others.
- 4 DAME SANDRA: Mm-hm.
- 5 MR STEIN: Mr Clarke's Advices, in relation to what had been
- 6 discovered by Mr Clarke and his colleagues regarding
- 7 what they thought was the activities of Mr Jenkins, this
- 8 seems to be at the higher end of importance.

#### 9 DAME SANDRA: Mm. mm.

- 10 MR STEIN: So, even if there had been any sort of hesitation
- regarding privilege, this should have gone to the Board? 11
- 12 DAME SANDRA: In regard to that -- the Simon Clarke Advice
- 13 that we looked at, that was never said to be privileged,
- 14 was it, I don't believe. So the reason, if you see what
- 15 I mean -- you're asking me a question --
- MR STEIN: I do agree. 16
- 17 DAME SANDRA: -- which is not directly relevant to that.
- MR STEIN: I understand. Essentially, that doesn't seem to 18
- 19 have been expressed to be the barrier.
- 20 DAME SANDRA: Exactly.
- 21 MR STEIN: Now, as a body, the Post Office has, as I think
- 22 you commented briefly yesterday, it's one of the oldest,
- 23 if not the oldest, country-wide bodies in existence. It
- 24 was, before separation, a Government body. We've got
- 25 also another peculiarity about the Post Office, which is 37

1 MR STEIN: Can we go, please, to your second report, 2 EXPG0000010, Dame Sandra, Dr Steward, page 40, please,

- 3 within the hard copy, and indeed on screen hopefully.
- 4 So I'll be looking at paragraph 140 as well. So
- 5 page 40, 140.

7

6 So I've asked you a question about the peculiarities of the operating system and the way that it appears,

- 8 then your view, from looking at the evidence, it seemed
- 9 to you that the culture of POL did not encouraging

10 listening or learning from subpostmasters.

11 Now, we've got example of that, a helpline that has 12 been described as the "unhelpful line", being told to 13 pay up rather than answer people's queries about 14 problems within the system, so that appears to be the 15 evidence that appears to be behind at least part of this

16 thinking. 17 Paragraph 145, page 41, this was referred to 18 yesterday, that:

19 "So deep were the assumptions embedded in the 20 culture of the organisation, so corrosive was the 21 company ethos that the Board did not call the Executive 22 to account to face up to POL's role in perpetuating the 23 miscarriages of justice which were increasingly evident

24 to others."

25

Now, the miscarriages of justice that you're

39

- that the dependence of the working on the Horizon system
- 2 is run by a third party, Fujitsu. 3
- We seem to have a structure which is peculiar, in
- 4 other words that you've got, first of all, a body that,
- in the matters that we're often referring to, 5
- 6 prosecutions, as an example, it prosecuted -- it was
- 7 a prosecuting authority; its systems that related to
- 8 data that were to be used within prosecution system was
- 9 run by a third party, Fujitsu; it was a body that's
- 10 a private body from the time of separation, using
- 11 corporate jargon, you'll forgive me, with some type of
- dotted line towards Government. 12

#### 13 DAME SANDRA: Mm.

complex history.

- 14 **MR STEIN:** It seemed to be operating in a -- is it a unique
- 15 organisation in your experiences?
- 16 DAME SANDRA: The relationship between the corporation and
- 17 Fujitsu, as far as I'm aware is not unusual. I mean,
- 18 PFIs and other forms, other -- there may be a dependence
- 19 upon the supplier and the maintainer of the system
- 20 through contractual relationships. As we've seen,
- 21 arm's-length bodies, and I think you've heard evidence,
- 22 the length of the arm can vary from inches to yards. So
- 23 I think, I couldn't say is this absolutely unique? It's
- 24 certainly complex and it's certainly, with a long and

#### 38

1 referring to, we need to be careful, don't we, because 2 we are aware of the miscarriages of justice that went 3 through the courts, that were looked at in the criminal 4 courts of appeal and convictions overturned, but there's 5 also the miscarriages of justice that went through the 6 civil courts --7 DAME SANDRA: Mm-hm. 8 **MR STEIN:** -- that were referred to in the High Court. Then 9 there's the miscarriages of justice that also occurred 10 as a result of audits, audits carried out by the Post 11 Office, which would close people's branches down without 12 the intervention of the courts, either civil or criminal 13 courts. 14 So these injustices, that you're referring to, the 15 miscarriages of justice, are not just about court 16 results and behaviour in prosecution, either civil or criminal; do you agree with that? 17 18 DAME SANDRA: We agree. 19 Do you agree? 20 DR STEWARD: Yes. 21 MR STEIN: So hopefully you will agree that, in order, in 22 fact, to try and ensure that an organisation holds true 23 to values of honesty, trust and duty, this needs to 24 be -- these values, this culture, change that clearly 25 has to go from a corrosive culture to something that is

# The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1	the opposite, there has to be significant organisational
2	change?
3	DAME SANDRA: We feel strongly, I think, that significant
4	cultural change, certainly from this, is required.
5	MR STEIN: Now, one of the aspects of cultural change and
6	about people finding a voice is whistleblowing. Okay.
7	DAME SANDRA: Mm-hm.
8	<b>MR STEIN:</b> Whistleblowing is not new, it's been discussed.
9	I noted in your chart, it's, in fact, going back to
10	pre-2000, the
11	DAME SANDRA: Yes, the 1996 Public Interest Disclosure Act,
12	as we talked about, which gives employment rights for
13	whistleblowing.
14	MR STEIN: I'm going to quote from a footnote in Christopher
15	Hodges book, Law and Corporate Behaviour, which is the
16	2015 edition, which is important for context of these
17	matters.
18	DAME SANDRA: Yes.
10	MR STEIN: For anybody that wished to look at it, it's
20	page 527 of that work, it's a quote from a quote. So
21	it's from "Whistleblowing and Corporate Governance: the
22	Role of Internal Audit in Whistleblowing". It says
23	this:
24	"There is a symbiotic relationship between
25	whistleblowing and an organisation's culture. Effective 41
	T1
1	Interest Act is important.
1 2	Interest Act is important. And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of
	·
2	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of
2 3	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention,
2 3 4	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure
2 3 4 5	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is
2 3 4 5 6	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak
2 3 4 5 6 7	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to DR STEWARD: I think I mean, your quote from the book,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to DR STEWARD: I think I mean, your quote from the book, I think it shows two sides of the coin and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to <b>DR STEWARD:</b> I think I mean, your quote from the book, I think it shows two sides of the coin and that whistleblowing is, if you like, that is the legal process, that is the route by which, if I have a genuine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to DR STEWARD: I think I mean, your quote from the book, I think it shows two sides of the coin and that whistleblowing is, if you like, that is the legal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to <b>DR STEWARD:</b> I think I mean, your quote from the book, I think it shows two sides of the coin and that whistleblowing is, if you like, that is the legal process, that is the route by which, if I have a genuine concern about something which is against the public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to <b>DR STEWARD:</b> I think I mean, your quote from the book, I think it shows two sides of the coin and that whistleblowing is, if you like, that is the legal process, that is the route by which, if I have a genuine concern about something which is against the public interest, a poorly performing surgeon, or a genuine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to DR STEWARD: I think I mean, your quote from the book, I think it shows two sides of the coin and that whistleblowing is, if you like, that is the legal process, that is the route by which, if I have a genuine concern about something which is against the public interest, a poorly performing surgeon, or a genuine occlusion of facts, then I have the route to be able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to <b>DR STEWARD:</b> I think I mean, your quote from the book, I think it shows two sides of the coin and that whistleblowing is, if you like, that is the legal process, that is the route by which, if I have a genuine concern about something which is against the public interest, a poorly performing surgeon, or a genuine occlusion of facts, then I have the route to be able to take that without fear of losing my job or of being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to <b>DR STEWARD:</b> I think I mean, your quote from the book, I think it shows two sides of the coin and that whistleblowing is, if you like, that is the legal process, that is the route by which, if I have a genuine concern about something which is against the public interest, a poorly performing surgeon, or a genuine occlusion of facts, then I have the route to be able to take that without fear of losing my job or of being bullied, or whatever.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to <b>DR STEWARD:</b> I think I mean, your quote from the book, I think it shows two sides of the coin and that whistleblowing is, if you like, that is the legal process, that is the route by which, if I have a genuine concern about something which is against the public interest, a poorly performing surgeon, or a genuine occlusion of facts, then I have the route to be able to take that without fear of losing my job or of being bullied, or whatever.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to DR STEWARD: I think I mean, your quote from the book, I think it shows two sides of the coin and that whistleblowing is, if you like, that is the legal process, that is the route by which, if I have a genuine concern about something which is against the public interest, a poorly performing surgeon, or a genuine occlusion of facts, then I have the route to be able to take that without fear of losing my job or of being bullied, or whatever. The other side of the coin is a culture in which, at every level, there is an expectation that people will be able to talk about things which are going wrong. If you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to DR STEWARD: I think I mean, your quote from the book, I think it shows two sides of the coin and that whistleblowing is, if you like, that is the legal process, that is the route by which, if I have a genuine concern about something which is against the public interest, a poorly performing surgeon, or a genuine occlusion of facts, then I have the route to be able to take that without fear of losing my job or of being bullied, or whatever. The other side of the coin is a culture in which, at every level, there is an expectation that people will be able to talk about things which are going wrong. If you like, there is an expectation of being able to speak up,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And I think that, in my experience, both in terms of codes of guidance and in terms of board's attention, there has been a greater attempt to try to make sure that one has systems in place to ensure that there is strength behind the possibility that people could speak up, so the requirement for whistleblowing champions, for example. But it's fundamental to hear the voices without fear, to a healthy culture. Do you want to DR STEWARD: I think I mean, your quote from the book, I think it shows two sides of the coin and that whistleblowing is, if you like, that is the legal process, that is the route by which, if I have a genuine concern about something which is against the public interest, a poorly performing surgeon, or a genuine occlusion of facts, then I have the route to be able to take that without fear of losing my job or of being bullied, or whatever. The other side of the coin is a culture in which, at every level, there is an expectation that people will be able to talk about things which are going wrong. If you

on IT	Inquiry 13 November 2024
1	internal whistleblowing arrangements are an important
2	part of a healthy corporate culture, but it is also
3	crucial to have the right organisational culture, which
4	encourages people to speak out without fear."
5	Now, I've chosen that quote because it emphasises
6	the importance of whistleblowing. I can take you, if
7	you wish, to where you deal with this within I think
8	it's your first report, but perhaps I don't need to go
9	to the page. Why is whistleblowing so important? What
10	does it do to an organisation to actually change
11	culture?
12	DAME SANDRA: If it works well, people don't fear for their
13	livelihood or their job or their prospects, if they see
14	something which they believe is in the public interest
15	for them to reveal. It mustn't be a personal grievance,
16	if I feel very aggrieved by something I must take that
17	through a grievance procedure, as an individual, but if
18	I feel there is a wider public interest and people
19	should know about any element of wrongdoing or behaviour
20	or, even nowadays, spoken harassment, which of course is
21	against the law, that there should be a right to do
22	that. And, increasingly, although it's been there since
23	1996, that right to protection, of course, anyone could
24	speak up since the year dot but they might not have had
25	that protection, so the protection of the Public
	42
1	for organisations to distinguish between the
2	whistleblowing and the talking about grievances which
3	are about things that happen in my team or things that
4	happen in the workplace, and that's a cultural and
5	leadership issue.
6	They join at the top because you want to have
7	an organisation in which speaking up is
8	<b>MR STEIN:</b> Dr Steward, I'm very grateful for that. I'm
9	going to just come back to that in one moment.
10	I'm just going to stay with, if you like, classic
11	whistleblowing and another quote, this time from
12	Professor Hodges himself:
13	"Whistleblowing has been said to involve
14	a triangular relationship between the reporting
15	individual, the detected organisational misconduct at
16	a particular institutional setting, and the regulatory
17	regime that defines the contours of legality."
18	I think what the good professor is saying is that
19	whistleblowing needs to involve someone's ability to be
20	able to report organisational misconduct but not
21	necessarily directly back to the very self-same
22	organisation that they distrust. Within the Post
23	Office, we know that there are whistleblowing champions.
24 25	Is there anything, given the background, to ensure that there is an outcide route?

25 there is an outside route?

44

(11) Pages 41 - 44

# The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

DAME SANDR:The point you're making is that, if you wantto report wrongdoing, then it's clearly inappropriateyou report that wrongdoing to the person who is doingthe wrong. And there have always been arrangements bywhich you could circumvent that.Think you're asking is there a need for anextra organisational route -MR STEIN:Within the Post Office, it's about -DAME SANDRA:Within the Post Office itself because thatreally comes to the Post Office of today, which wehaven't paid - which, you know, is outside the scope ofour report.and it's, of course, a matter of opinion.My personal view is that the more you outsource, theless you absolutely require the board and the executiveto take responsibility themselves, and so I would becautious about having an external route.understand really why it was necessary but I would,above all, like the responsibilities for making surethat whistleblowing is effective to be really held bythe Executive and the Board of the Post Office.MR STEIN:Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we arelearning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,more details about the organisational changes. Butthese are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wynto take into account to consider when we learn more45asaying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and importantfor any organisation and its culture, but also theremust be the ability in a strong moral company for peopleto be able to spea		
<ul> <li>you report that wrongdoing to the person who is doing the wrong. And there have always been arrangements by which you could circumvent that.</li> <li>I think you're asking is there aneed for an extra organisational route -:</li> <li>MR STEIN: Within the Post Office, it's about -:</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Within the Post Office is the because that really comes to the Post Office of today, which we haven't paid - which, you know, is outside the scope of our report. And it's, of course, a matter of opinion.</li> <li>My personal view is that the more you outsource, the less you absolutely require the board and the executive to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be cautious about having an external route. I would need to understand really why it was necessary but I would, above all, like the responsibilities for making sure that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year, more details about the organisational changes. But these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn to take into account to consider when we learn more 45</li> <li>saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important for any organisation and its culture, but also there must be the ability in a strong moral company for people to be able to speak up and speak to other people and find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can have a formal process, but people will not use it, unless they believe that there is that it will work for them. So of the two words that come to mind whon people tak about whistleblowing is "fear" and thuilty", "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process can just having an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the full assurance that the org</li></ul>	1	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> The point you're making is that, if you want
4the wrong. And there have always been arrangements by which you could circumvent that.6I think you're asking is there a need for an extra organisational route extra organisational route7 <b>DAME SANDRA</b> : Within the Post Office it's about <b>DAME SANDRA</b> : Within the Post Office of today, which we havent paid - which, you know, is outside the scope of our report. And it's, of course, a matter of opinion.10MR STEIN: Within the Post Office it's about DAME SANDRA: Within the more you outsource, the less you absolutely require the board and the executive to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be cautious about having an external route. I would need to understand really why it was necessary but I would, a above all, like the responsibilities for making sure that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.11 <b>MR STEIN</b> : Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year, more details about the organisational changes. But these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn to take into account to consider when we learn more $45$ 1saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important for any organisation and its culture, but also there must be the ability in a strong moral company for people to be able to speak up and speak to other people and find others that will listen to them.10 <b>DS TEWARD</b> : I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can have a formal process, but people will not use it, unless they believe that there is that it will work for them. So of the two words that come to mind when people taik about whistleblowing is "fear" and 	2	to report wrongdoing, then it's clearly inappropriate
5which you could circumvent that.6I think you're asking is there a need for an extra organisational route7MR STEIN: Within the Post Office it's about7DAME SANDRA: Within the Post Office it's about7DAME SANDRA: Within the Post Office itself because that10nearty paid which, you know, is outside the scope of11havent paid which, you know, is outside the scope of12our report. And it's, of course, a matter of opinion.13My personal view is that the more you outsource, the14less you absolutely require the board and the executive15to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be16cautious about having an external route. I would need17to understand really why it was necessary but I would,18above all, like the responsibilities for making sure19that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by10the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.11MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are12learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,23more details about the organisational changes. But24these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn25to take into account to consider when we learn more451saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important2for any organisation and its culture, but also there3must be the ability in a strong moral company for people4to be able to speak up and speak to ot	3	you report that wrongdoing to the person who is doing
<ul> <li>think you're asking is there a need for an extra organisational route</li> <li>MR STEIN: Within the Post Office, it's about</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Within the Post Office itself because that really comes to the Post Office of today, which we</li> <li>haven't paid which, you know, is outside the scope of our report. And it's, of course, a matter of opinion.</li> <li>My personal view is that the more you outsource, the</li> <li>less you absolutely require the board and the executive</li> <li>to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be</li> <li>cautious about having an external route. I would need</li> <li>to understand really why it was necessary but I would,</li> <li>above all, like the responsibilities for making sure</li> <li>that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> </ul> MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year, more details about the organisational changes. But these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn to take into account to consider when we learn more 45 1 saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important for any organisation and its culture, but also there must be the ability in a strong moral company for people to be able to speak up and speak to other people and find others that will listen to them. DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can have a formal process, but people will not use it, unless they believe that there is that it will work. 6 of them. So of the two words that come to mind when people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and "fulfility." If I speak up, will the penalised? If I speak up, will anything happen?" So just having the process and just having an external body wouldn', I don't	4	the wrong. And there have always been arrangements by
<ul> <li>extra organisational route</li> <li>MR STEIN: Within the Post Office, it's about</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Within the Post Office itself because that</li> <li>really comes to the Post Office of today, which we</li> <li>haven't paidwhich, you know, is outside the scope of</li> <li>our report. And it's, of course, a matter of opinion.</li> <li>My personal view is that the more you outsource, the</li> <li>less you absolutely require the board and the executive</li> <li>to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be</li> <li>cautious about having an external route. I would need</li> <li>to understand really why it was necessary but I would,</li> <li>above all, like the responsibilities for making sure</li> <li>that whistlebilowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are</li> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> </ul> 1 saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important <ul> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> </ul> 5 DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't <ul> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "ff I speak up, will appen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body would'r, I don't think, provide the</li> &lt;</ul>	5	which you could circumvent that.
<ul> <li>MR STEIN: Within the Post Office, it's about</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Within the Post Office of today, which we</li> <li>haven't paid which, you know, is outside the scope of</li> <li>our report. And it's, of course, a matter of opinion.</li> <li>My personal view is that the more you outsource, the</li> <li>less you absolutely require the board and the executive</li> <li>to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be</li> <li>cautious about having an external route. I would need</li> <li>to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be</li> <li>cautious about having an external route. I would need</li> <li>to understand really why it was necessary but I would,</li> <li>above all, like the responsibilities for making sure</li> <li>that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are</li> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> </ul> 1 saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important <ul> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> </ul> DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't <ul> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is - that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility", "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and jus</li></ul>	6	I think you're asking is there a need for an
<ul> <li><b>DAME SANDRA:</b> Within the Post Office itself because that</li> <li>really comes to the Post Office of today, which we</li> <li>haven't paid which, you know, is outside the scope of</li> <li>our report. And it's, of course, a matter of opinion.</li> <li>My personal view is that the more you outsource, the</li> <li>less you absolutely require the board and the executive</li> <li>to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be</li> <li>cautious about having an external route. I would need</li> <li>to understand really why it was necessary but I would,</li> <li>above all, like the responsibilities for making sure</li> <li>that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> </ul> <b>MR STEIN:</b> Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are <ul> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> </ul> <b>1</b> saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important <ul> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> </ul> <b>DR STEWARD:</b> I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't think at all. 1 think the difficulty is that you can have a formal process, but people will not use it, <ul> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"tutility"; "If speak up, will be penalised? If</li> <li>speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisa</li></ul>	7	extra organisational route
<ul> <li>really comes to the Post Office of today, which we</li> <li>haven't paid - which, you know, is outside the scope of</li> <li>our report. And it's, of course, a matter of opinion.</li> <li>My personal view is that the more you outsource, the</li> <li>less you absolutely require the board and the executive</li> <li>to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be</li> <li>cautious about having an external route. I would need</li> <li>to understand really why it was necessary but I would,</li> <li>above all, like the responsibilities for making sure</li> <li>that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li><b>MR STEIN:</b> Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are</li> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> </ul> 1 saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important <ul> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> </ul> <b>DR STEWARD:</b> I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't <ul> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistl</li></ul>	8	MR STEIN: Within the Post Office, it's about
<ul> <li>haven't paid which, you know, is outside the scope of</li> <li>our report. And it's, of course, a matter of opinion.</li> <li>My personal view is that the more you outsource, the</li> <li>less you absolutely require the board and the executive</li> <li>to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be</li> <li>cautious about having an external route. I would need</li> <li>to understand really why it was necessary but I would,</li> <li>above all, like the responsibilities for making sure</li> <li>that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are</li> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> </ul> 1 saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important <ul> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> </ul> 5 DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't <ul> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>ful assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> </ul> And I think that's Sandra's poi	9	DAME SANDRA: Within the Post Office itself because that
<ul> <li>our report. And it's, of course, a matter of opinion.</li> <li>My personal view is that the more you outsource, the</li> <li>less you absolutely require the board and the executive</li> <li>to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be</li> <li>cautious about having an external route. I would need</li> <li>to understand really why it was necessary but I would,</li> <li>above all, like the responsibilities for making sure</li> <li>that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are</li> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> </ul> 1 saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important for any organisation and its culture, but also there must be the ability in a strong moral company for people to be able to speak up and speak to other people and find others that will listen to them. DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can have a formal process, but people will not use it, unless they believe that there is - that it will work for them. So of the two words that come to mind when people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and "fulfity"; "If I speak up, will 1 be penalised? If I speak up, will anything happen?" So just having the process and just having an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the full assurance that the organisation itself had owned what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work. And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is something that we want t	10	really comes to the Post Office of today, which we
<ul> <li>My personal view is that the more you outsource, the</li> <li>less you absolutely require the board and the executive</li> <li>to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be</li> <li>cautious about having an external route. I would need</li> <li>to understand really why it was necessary but I would,</li> <li>above all, like the responsibilities for making sure</li> <li>that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li><b>MR STEIN:</b> Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are</li> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> </ul> 1 saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important 6 for any organisation and its culture, but also there 3 must be the ability in a strong moral company for people 4 to be able to speak up and speak to other people and 6 find others that will listen to them. <b>DR STEWARD:</b> I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't 1 think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can 8 have a formal process, but people will not use it, 9 unless they believe that there is – that it will work 16 for therm. So of the two words that come to mind when 19 people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and 19 "fullity", "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If 11 speak up, will anything happen?" 13 So just having the process and just having 3 an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the 6 full assurance that the organisation itself had owned 14 what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work. 14 And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to 19 be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is 20 something that we want to make happen i	11	haven't paid which, you know, is outside the scope of
<ul> <li>less you absolutely require the board and the executive</li> <li>to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be</li> <li>cautious about having an external route. I would need</li> <li>to understand really why it was necessary but I would,</li> <li>above all, like the responsibilities for making sure</li> <li>that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are</li> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> <li>saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important</li> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't</li> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility", "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body would', I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> </ul> MR STEIN: M	12	our report. And it's, of course, a matter of opinion.
<ul> <li>to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be</li> <li>cautious about having an external route. I would need</li> <li>to understand really why it was necessary but I would,</li> <li>above all, like the responsibilities for making sure</li> <li>that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are</li> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> </ul> 1 saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important for any organisation and its culture, but also there must be the ability in a strong moral company for people to be able to speak up and speak to other people and find others that will listen to them. DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can have a formal process, but people will not use it, unless they believe that there is that it will work for them. So of the two words that come to mind when people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and "futility", "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If I speak up, will anything happen?" So just having the process and just having an external body wouldn', I don't think, provide the full assurance that the organisation itself had owned what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work. And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is something that we want to make happen in our organisation and make work, make real. MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is discussed in other reports from other inquiries thi	13	My personal view is that the more you outsource, the
<ul> <li>cautious about having an external route. I would need</li> <li>to understand really why it was necessary but I would,</li> <li>above all, like the responsibilities for making sure</li> <li>that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are</li> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> <li>saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important</li> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't</li> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>ful assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> </ul>	14	less you absolutely require the board and the executive
<ul> <li>to understand really why it was necessary but I would,</li> <li>above all, like the responsibilities for making sure</li> <li>that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are</li> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> <li>saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important</li> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't</li> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> </ul>	15	to take responsibility themselves, and so I would be
<ul> <li>above all, like the responsibilities for making sure</li> <li>that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are</li> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> <li>saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important</li> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't</li> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is - that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> </ul>	16	cautious about having an external route. I would need
<ul> <li>that whistleblowing is effective to be really held by</li> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are</li> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> <li>saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important</li> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't</li> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is - that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> </ul>	17	to understand really why it was necessary but I would,
<ul> <li>the Executive and the Board of the Post Office.</li> <li>MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are</li> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year,</li> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> <li>saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important</li> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't</li> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is - that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> </ul> MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is <ul> <li>discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>MR STEIN: Dame Sandra, we're in a situation whereby we are learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year, more details about the organisational changes. But these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn to take into account to consider when we learn more 45</li> <li>saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important for any organisation and its culture, but also there must be the ability in a strong moral company for people to be able to speak up and speak to other people and find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can have a formal process, but people will not use it, unless they believe that there is that it will work for them. So of the two words that come to mind when people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and "futtility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is something that we want to make happen in our organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>	19	
<ul> <li>learning today, and we will learn, it seems, next year, more details about the organisational changes. But these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn to take into account to consider when we learn more 45</li> <li>saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important for any organisation and its culture, but also there must be the ability in a strong moral company for people to be able to speak up and speak to other people and find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can have a formal process, but people will not use it, unless they believe that there is that it will work for them. So of the two words that come to mind when people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and "futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the full assurance that the organisation itself had owned what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is something that we want to make happen in our organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is discussed in other reports from other inquiries this year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>	20	
<ul> <li>more details about the organisational changes. But</li> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more</li> <li>45</li> </ul> 1 saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important <ul> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> </ul> <b>DR STEWARD:</b> I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't <ul> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> </ul> And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to <ul> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> </ul> <b>MR STEIN:</b> My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is <ul> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>these are matters that essentially we are asking Sir Wyn</li> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more 45</li> <li>saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important</li> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't</li> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> </ul> MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is <ul> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>to take into account to consider when we learn more 45</li> <li>saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important</li> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't</li> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		c c
451saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important2for any organisation and its culture, but also there3must be the ability in a strong moral company for people4to be able to speak up and speak to other people and5find others that will listen to them.6DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't7think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can8have a formal process, but people will not use it,9unless they believe that there is that it will work10for them. So of the two words that come to mind when11people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and12"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If131 speak up, will anything happen?"14So just having the process and just having15an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the16full assurance that the organisation itself had owned17what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.18And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to19be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is20something that we want to make happen in our21organisation and make work, make real.22MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is23discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been24discussed in other reports from other inquiries this25year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,		, , , , ,
<ul> <li>saying, that whistleblowing, yes, strong and important</li> <li>for any organisation and its culture, but also there</li> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't</li> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>	25	
2for any organisation and its culture, but also there3must be the ability in a strong moral company for people4to be able to speak up and speak to other people and5find others that will listen to them.0DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't7think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can8have a formal process, but people will not use it,9unless they believe that there is that it will work10for them. So of the two words that come to mind when11people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and12"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If13I speak up, will anything happen?"14So just having the process and just having15an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the16full assurance that the organisation itself had owned17what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.18And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to19be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is20something that we want to make happen in our21organisation and make work, make real.22MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is23discussed in other reports from other inquiries this24year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,		40
2for any organisation and its culture, but also there3must be the ability in a strong moral company for people4to be able to speak up and speak to other people and5find others that will listen to them.0DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't7think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can8have a formal process, but people will not use it,9unless they believe that there is that it will work10for them. So of the two words that come to mind when11people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and12"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If13I speak up, will anything happen?"14So just having the process and just having15an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the16full assurance that the organisation itself had owned17what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.18And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to19be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is20something that we want to make happen in our21organisation and make work, make real.22MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is23discussed in other reports from other inquiries this24year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,		
<ul> <li>must be the ability in a strong moral company for people</li> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and</li> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't</li> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>to be able to speak up and speak to other people and find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't</li> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> </ul> MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is <ul> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>find others that will listen to them.</li> <li>DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't</li> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> </ul> MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is <ul> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
6       DR STEWARD: I mean, nobody would contest with that, I don't         7       think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can         8       have a formal process, but people will not use it,         9       unless they believe that there is that it will work         10       for them. So of the two words that come to mind when         11       people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and         12       "futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If         13       I speak up, will anything happen?"         14       So just having the process and just having         15       an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the         16       full assurance that the organisation itself had owned         17       what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.         18       And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to         19       be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is         20       something that we want to make happen in our         21       organisation and make work, make real.         22       MR STEIN:       My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is         23       discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been         24       discussed in other reports from other inquiries this         25       year, Grenfell Inquiry re		
<ul> <li>think at all. I think the difficulty is that you can</li> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>have a formal process, but people will not use it,</li> <li>unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>9 unless they believe that there is that it will work</li> <li>10 for them. So of the two words that come to mind when</li> <li>11 people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>12 "futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>13 I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>14 So just having the process and just having</li> <li>15 an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>16 full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>17 what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>18 And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>19 be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>20 something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>21 organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>22 MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>23 discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>24 discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>25 year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
10for them. So of the two words that come to mind when11people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and12"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If13I speak up, will anything happen?"14So just having the process and just having15an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the16full assurance that the organisation itself had owned17what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.18And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to19be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is20something that we want to make happen in our21organisation and make work, make real.22MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is23discussed in other reports from other inquiries this24discussed in other reports from other inquiry report,		
<ul> <li>people talk about whistleblowing is "fear" and</li> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>"futility"; "If I speak up, will I be penalised? If</li> <li>I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>13 I speak up, will anything happen?"</li> <li>14 So just having the process and just having</li> <li>15 an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>16 full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>17 what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>18 And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>19 be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>20 something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>21 organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>22 MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>23 discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>24 discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>25 year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>So just having the process and just having</li> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>an external body wouldn't, I don't think, provide the</li> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>full assurance that the organisation itself had owned</li> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		, , , , ,
<ul> <li>what it was going to take to make whistleblowing work.</li> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>And I think that's Sandra's point, that it would need to</li> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		C C
<ul> <li>be discussed by the Executive and the Board that this is</li> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>something that we want to make happen in our</li> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		•
<ul> <li>organisation and make work, make real.</li> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>MR STEIN: My last topic is duty of candour. Now, this is</li> <li>discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>discussed variously, Hillsborough Law, this has been</li> <li>discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li> <li>year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li> </ul>		<b>.</b>
<ul><li>24 discussed in other reports from other inquiries this</li><li>25 year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,</li></ul>		
25 year, Grenfell Inquiry report, the Blood Inquiry report,	23	discussed variously. Hillsborough Law, this has been
	24	discussed in other reports from other inquiries this

1	detail.
2	DAME SANDRA: Yes, but you take my point is clear.
3	I want strong whistleblowing in my view, but I do feel
4	the responsibility for that should be strongly felt by
5	those who are running the Post Office.
6	<b>MR STEIN:</b> Dr Steward, addressing the point you made before
7	I went to, if you like, the classic routes for
8	whistleblowing: essentially whistleblowing provides
9	a route for individuals to be able to make complaints,
10	hopefully without fear, there are issues regarding the
11	statutory regulation as apply, I think, to employees,
12	not necessarily to contractors or individuals that are
13	self-employed. So there are issues regarding the law at
14	the moment.
15	But Dr Steward, you were talking about that
16	whistleblowing is one aspect of matters. What is
17	required? Again, I'll quote from Professor Hodges:
18	"Accordingly, official recommendations stress the
19	need for best practice in policies, accountability,
20	governance, multiple routes for information, including
21 22	the line management, leapfrogging Human Resources audit, audit committees, directors, external routes, feedback
22	on publication after reporting, providing reassurance,
23 24	briefing managers, checking awareness of staff."
25	Unless I misunderstand what Professor Hodges is
20	46
1	the duty of condeur and its applicability
1 2	the duty of candour and its applicability. Do you see that the duty of candour, which is a duty
2	to not just tell the truth, which is not a bad idea, but
4	also a wider duty to actually investigate, look at
5	things in more detail you know, instead of just
6	giving a standard answer, actually look at what there is
7	by way of evidence and information do you see that
8	that duty of candour should apply to a body such as the
9	Post Office which is a hybrid, private/Government body?
10	DAME SANDRA: Do you want to go?
11	<b>DR STEWARD:</b> I'm going to go back a step to the conversation
12	that you had before you got into the specifics of the
13	General Counsel role, and, at that point, I think we
14	were debating the Nolan Principles versus the commercial
15	governance model. Yesterday we drew attention to
16	a paragraph in our report which said that we felt that
17	the governance was underdeveloped or we had heard from
18	numerous Non-Executives that the governance of the Post
19	Office was underdeveloped at the point. If it is
20	underdeveloped, then all of the frameworks, the risk
21	frameworks, the values frameworks, what sort of

- 22 leadership we want, Nolan Principles or a code of
- 23 ethics, those will be underdeveloped.
- 24 So in a sense, irrespective of which framework, it
- 25 wasn't yet at a stage, it felt to me, where those

<sup>48</sup> 

1	frameworks were really driving behaviours. And that
2	could apply as much to the risk management as to the
3	Nolan Principles.
4	So this question, which you were going to answer
5	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> Well, I think on the duty of candour, the
6	question of "legally, should it apply" is a matter for
7	those who make the law. With regard to the duty of
8	candour, as I understand it, and of course it does apply
9	in health and social work as well
10	MR STEIN: The NHS, yes.
11	DAME SANDRA: Yes
12	<b>MR STEIN:</b> Ministerial departments rather more complex.
13	DAME SANDRA: Yes.
14	<b>MR STEIN:</b> In other words, the answer is no.
15	DAME SANDRA: Sorry
16	<b>MR STEIN:</b> At a ministerial level and departments the
17	question of the duty of candour's application is
18	a little bit more debatable. There are other governance
19 20	structures that arguably support better behaviour.
20 21	DAME SANDRA: If I could rephrase the question, which I'll answer, should the meaning of candour apply to the
21	values within the Post Office? Yes, in my view it
22	should. Whether or not that is a duty of candour and
24	how that would be applied, I cannot say. But the
25	candour, in the terms as you say, not simply answering
20	49
1	principles should not apply. One would argue that they
1	principles should not apply. One would argue that they
2	should apply.
2 3	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you.
2 3 4	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director.
2 3 4 5	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much.
2 3 4 5 6	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms
2 3 4 5 6 7	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now.
2 3 4 5 6 7	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You never cease to amaze me, Mr Stein.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You never cease to amaze me, Mr Stein. (10.55 am)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You never cease to amaze me, Mr Stein. (10.55 am) (A short break)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You never cease to amaze me, Mr Stein. (10.55 am) (A short break) (11.11 am)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You never cease to amaze me, Mr Stein. (10.55 am) (A short break) (11.11 am) MR BEER: Have you got a proper line of sight to everyone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You never cease to amaze me, Mr Stein. (10.55 am) (A short break) (11.11 am) MR BEER: Have you got a proper line of sight to everyone you need to see there, Mr Moloney?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You never cease to amaze me, Mr Stein. (10.55 am) (A short break) (11.11 am) MR BEER: Have you got a proper line of sight to everyone you need to see there, Mr Moloney? Questioned by MR MOLONEY
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You never cease to amaze me, Mr Stein. (10.55 am) (A short break) (11.11 am) MR BEER: Have you got a proper line of sight to everyone you need to see there, Mr Moloney? Questioned by MR MOLONEY MR MOLONEY: (Microphone muted) and I promise to take much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You never cease to amaze me, Mr Stein. (10.55 am) (A short break) (11.11 am) MR BEER: Have you got a proper line of sight to everyone you need to see there, Mr Moloney? Questioned by MR MOLONEY MR MOLONEY: (Microphone muted) and I promise to take much longer than I said I will, sir, just to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You never cease to amaze me, Mr Stein. (10.55 am) (A short break) (11.11 am) MR BEER: Have you got a proper line of sight to everyone you need to see there, Mr Moloney? Questioned by MR MOLONEY MR MOLONEY: (Microphone muted) and I promise to take much longer than I said I will, sir, just to SIR WYN WILLIAMS: There's been a running joke: Mr Moloney
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You never cease to amaze me, Mr Stein. (10.55 am) (A short break) (11.11 am) MR BEER: Have you got a proper line of sight to everyone you need to see there, Mr Moloney? Questioned by MR MOLONEY MR MOLONEY: (Microphone muted) and I promise to take much longer than I said I will, sir, just to SIR WYN WILLIAMS: There's been a running joke: Mr Moloney on the whole keeps to time. Now he's defying me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You never cease to amaze me, Mr Stein. (10.55 am) (A short break) (11.11 am) MR BEER: Have you got a proper line of sight to everyone you need to see there, Mr Moloney? Questioned by MR MOLONEY MR MOLONEY: (Microphone muted) and I promise to take much longer than I said I will, sir, just to SIR WYN WILLIAMS: There's been a running joke: Mr Moloney on the whole keeps to time. Now he's defying me. That's all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	should apply. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. DAME SANDRA: In the spirit of being a director. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thanks very much. Well, we're on our best behaviour, Mr Beer, in terms of timing, so we'll take our morning break, I take it now. MR BEER: 11.10, please. MR STEIN: Sir, I'm sorry for letting you down on that. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You never cease to amaze me, Mr Stein. (10.55 am) (A short break) (11.11 am) MR BEER: Have you got a proper line of sight to everyone you need to see there, Mr Moloney? Questioned by MR MOLONEY MR MOLONEY: (Microphone muted) and I promise to take much longer than I said I will, sir, just to SIR WYN WILLIAMS: There's been a running joke: Mr Moloney on the whole keeps to time. Now he's defying me. That's all. MR MOLONEY: Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, I've three matters

1	the face value question, as far as boards are concerned
2	is a requirement to delve deeper.
3	MR STEIN: Excuse me one moment sorry?
4	DR STEWARD: The duty of candour needs to be matched with
5	a duty to listen. I mean, the candour puts
6	an obligation duty on me to speak up if I see something
7	wrong; there needs to be an obligation, corresponding
8	duty.
9	MR STEIN: One moment, please. Thank you very much.
10	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr Stein.
11	I just want to ask you to go back, if you would, to
12	the Nolan Principles. Not in the context of whether,
13	almost as a matter of process, they would apply, but how
14	they relate to what you would expect of the behaviour of
15	a director.
16	DAME SANDRA: Yes, they well describe it. I mean, I would
17	expect exactly
18	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, it was striking me I'm not
19	a company lawyer but I've got a reasonable grasp of
20	what's involved. Effectively, those seven principles
21	are summing-up how a director of a company should
22	behave, are they not?
23	DAME SANDRA: Whether they're exhaustive, I can't say
24	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: No, sure.
25	DAME SANDRA: but one could not argue that those
	50
1	company's secretary; and, thirdly and finally,
2	overboarding.
3	So taking them in turn, if I may, institutional
4	memory first. The Inquiry has heard evidence from
5	a number of individuals who were both involved in the
6	development and rollout of Horizon, and then in the
7	later operation of the business. So for example, you
8	identified the role of Mr Miller, then the Chief
9	Operating Officer in the Cleveleys case that you looked
10	at.
11	I'd like to ask you about institutional memory, and
12	how that should function within a business where you
13	have people who work through a considerable time.
14	Generally, is there a responsibility to ensure that,
15	within any effective governance model, institutional
16	memory concerning risk is preserved?
17	DAME SANDRA: In principle, there is undoubtedly good
18	practice that institutional memory with regard to risk
19	should be maintained and should be built on. In
20	practice, it's often difficult in organisations. So
21	one I can't say that there are many ideal examples
22	where things are not forgotten, and the question is: how
23	do you reduce the possibility of them being forgotten?

And systematic induction and training, which is informedfrom the past, can be one way, and policies which -- by

3 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

1	which I mean not policies which sit on a shelf, are
2	ticked off every year and not regarded, but policies
3	which really are made real in the organisation are
4	another way because those policies, which now, in good
5	practice, should be renewed reviewed at certain
6	periods, maybe annually, maybe twice, every two years,
7	maybe every three years. That very process of policy
8	enunciation, review and implementation can help with
9	maintaining institutional memory.
10	On the other hand, I think there probably is no
11	organisation in the land where something is done and
12	someone says, "Oh, we did that 10 years ago and we
13	didn't learn the lessons", or "we did learn the
14	lessons". So it's a very difficult thing to maintain
15	but it is vitally important to try to do so.
16	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> I suppose the nature of that risk would inform
17	the absolute necessity to ensure that that risk was
18	monitored?
19	DAME SANDRA: The build of risk registers, the build of
20	understanding of where the risks are, and if you
21	can't just forget risks, they're on a register, and you
22	should then be able to see what's happened to them. You
23	may decide to take them off because you may decide
24 25	they're no longer relevant, but forgetting major risks is a major problem.
25	53
1	that risk. With that history of bugs, errors and
2	defects in the development of Horizon, would you have
3	expected that kind of information to have been brought
4	to the attention of the Executive, and/or the Board when
5	apparently similar problems appeared after the rollout
6	of Horizon, when Horizon was being operated in 10,000 or
7	so branches across the country?
8	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> We would certainly have expected that there
9	was discussion, that there was sharing and that,
10	particularly once we got to Second Sight in 2013, which
11	was, as you know, a case that we've looked at, that
12	there was sufficient there for it to be collectively
13	looked at.
14	DR STEWARD: Yes.
15	MR MOLONEY: Are you aware that many witnesses in the
16	Inquiry have said that they knew nothing about the
17	problems and developments in the rollout of Horizon
18	identified in Phase 2 of this Inquiry?
19	DAME SANDRA: In general terms, yes.
20	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> Are you surprised about that institutional
21	amnesia within an organisation like Post Office?
22	DAME SANDRA: I am surprised.
23	

- 23 MR MOLONEY: In that case, can I take it one further: does 24 it beggar belief?
- DAME SANDRA: I think I'll stick at "surprised". 25

- MR MOLONEY: So the types of processes, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, would be risk registers, as you've already mentioned, that's one way of ensuring that an important risk is not forgotten, also perhaps operational management and monitoring of those risk registers, making sure that there are proper structures in place to ensure that those risk registers are effectively monitored. DAME SANDRA: The risk management, identification, mitigation process, the risk management framework, is very important in that regard. Do you want add to anything? DR STEWARD: No, I mean, I was going to simply make the point that stories -- stories and the stories which people tell in organisations tend to become the institutional memory as much as the hardwired risk management processes, which is why you need the risk
- 18 processes.
- 19 MR MOLONEY: Of course and, essentially, a management of
- 20 risk flow, as you describe in your report.
- 21 But, in terms of stories, we know that there was
- 22 a history of problems, of bugs, errors and defects in
- 23 the development of Horizon. This is why I asked you
- 24 about the nature of the risk and the extent to which the
- 25 nature of the risk would inform the necessity to monitor 54

1	MR MOLONEY: Stick at surprised, thank you. Okay.
2	Can I ask you about the Company Secretary and you
3	were asked by Mr Beer yesterday about the role of the
4	Company Secretary in relation to the Board, including as
5	regards responsibility for governance and compliance
6	with legal obligations. You dealt with the division of
7	responsibility between the General Counsel and the
8	Company Secretary.
9	DAME SANDRA: I did, within the context that the Company
10	Secretary has duties within the Code and the General
11	Counsel does not.
12	MR MOLONEY: Of course, of course. The Inquiry heard
13	evidence from a Mr Jonathan Evans, who was the Company
14	Secretary at Royal Mail Group. I don't know if you've
15	come across his name during the course of your
16	researches.
17	DAME SANDRA: We haven't looked at his evidence.
18	MR MOLONEY: You have?
19	DAME SANDRA: We haven't looked at his evidence.
20	MR MOLONEY: I don't need to take you to his evidence,
21	really. I don't need to take you to his evidence, I'll
22	just give you a very brief summary though of what he
23	said. Prior to his appointment as Company Secretary,

- 24 he'd been Network Director at POCL, Post Office Counters
- 25 Limited, during the development of Horizon and, after

4	taking up the Company Constant rale, he remained in	4	was a matter fan desision hy DMC. I maan thans was no
1	taking up the Company Secretary role, he remained in	1	was a matter for decision by RMG. I mean, there was no
2	post at POL and RMG as Company Secretary until 2010. So	2	requirement, as we saw, that they should have
3	that's	3	independent non-executive directors, they could have
4	DAME SANDRA: Until 2010?	4	chosen to have an executive board. So the structure was
5	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> Yes. So, as it were, he's there as the Network	5	within the holding company, within their thing. POL
6	Director at POCL, during the development of Horizon, and	6	couldn't say, "We must have X" because that was not
7	he stays in post until 2010, where he's Company	7	their accountability.
8	Secretary at POL and RMG. He gave evidence that lawyers	8	Having said that, then the role of the Company
9	reported to him at times during that time in post.	9	Secretary is very much in terms of the administration
10	You've observed that, before separation, there was	10	and the running of the Board and the maintaining of
11	a dual accountability for prosecutions shared by RMG and	11	account of the frameworks and codes and laws in
12	POL.	12	practice.
13	DAME SANDRA: Mm-hm.	13	Where an individual has knowledge about not about
14	MR MOLONEY: Would there have been any responsibility on the	14	the governance framework but about whether or not X has
15	Company Secretary, or anybody else, to ensure that both	15	happened in the business, one would normally expect what
16	Boards, that's RMG and POL, were adequately informed of	16	X knew about the business to inform his or her
17	the duties of POL, the duties that POL owed as	17	discussion about matters in the Board, but I don't think
18	a prosecutor, and the steps being taken within the	18	you can say there is the direct requirement. You might
19	business to discharge those duties and protect the	19	say there is an expectation.
20	reputation of the business?	20	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> Around prosecutions, obviously, prosecutions by
21	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> As I say, we've not looked in detail at this,	21	a private body, private/public body
22	or even really in no detail. But with regard to the	22	DAME SANDRA: Yes.
23	specifics of the duties of the POL Board versus that of	23	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> as private prosecutions, not simply
24	RMG, POL was a subsidiary of the Royal Mail Holdings	20	reporting to a public prosecutor
25	Group and, as such, the nature of the board that it had	25	DAME SANDRA: Yes.
	57		58
1	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> with the central involvement of POL in those	1	DAME SANDRA: Sorry, I didn't catch that.
1 2	prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who	1 2	MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive
			-
2	prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who	2	MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive
2 3	prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have	2 3	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such</li> </ul>
2 3 4	prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been	2 3 4	<ul><li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li><li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation? DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation? <b>DAME SANDRA:</b> We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation? DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation? <b>DAME SANDRA:</b> We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we remain surprised, and it appears to us to have been a very important part of Post Office operations, which</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there on the performance of directors in role?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we remain surprised, and it appears to us to have been a very important part of Post Office operations, which we're surprised there wasn't clarity and there wasn't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there on the performance of directors in role?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Of course we're dealing with a large 20-year</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we remain surprised, and it appears to us to have been a very important part of Post Office operations, which we're surprised there wasn't clarity and there wasn't quite clear and strong reporting.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there on the performance of directors in role?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Of course we're dealing with a large 20-year period and that which we would expect now probably wasn't current at the beginning and, in the middle, it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we remain surprised, and it appears to us to have been a very important part of Post Office operations, which we're surprised there wasn't clarity and there wasn't quite clear and strong reporting.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Thank you. Can I finally ask you about</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there on the performance of directors in role?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Of course we're dealing with a large 20-year period and that which we would expect now probably wasn't current at the beginning and, in the middle, it would be half and half. Now, there is very clear</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we remain surprised, and it appears to us to have been a very important part of Post Office operations, which we're surprised there wasn't clarity and there wasn't quite clear and strong reporting.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Thank you. Can I finally ask you about overboarding and the individuals who were appointed to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there on the performance of directors in role?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Of course we're dealing with a large 20-year period and that which we would expect now probably wasn't current at the beginning and, in the middle, it would be half and half. Now, there is very clear requirement to report one's other directorships in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we remain surprised, and it appears to us to have been a very important part of Post Office operations, which we're surprised there wasn't clarity and there wasn't quite clear and strong reporting.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Thank you. Can I finally ask you about overboarding and the individuals who were appointed to the Chairmanship and the Board of RMG and POL, were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there on the performance of directors in role?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Of course we're dealing with a large 20-year period and that which we would expect now probably wasn't current at the beginning and, in the middle, it would be half and half. Now, there is very clear requirement to report one's other directorships in the annual report and, in the recruitment of non-executive</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we remain surprised, and it appears to us to have been a very important part of Post Office operations, which we're surprised there wasn't clarity and there wasn't quite clear and strong reporting.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Thank you. Can I finally ask you about overboarding and the individuals who were appointed to the Chairmanship and the Board of RMG and POL, were generally very experienced individuals, many of whom had</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there on the performance of directors in role?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Of course we're dealing with a large 20-year period and that which we would expect now probably wasn't current at the beginning and, in the middle, it would be half and half. Now, there is very clear requirement to report one's other directorships in the annual report and, in the recruitment of non-executive directors, the question is always asked about do you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we remain surprised, and it appears to us to have been a very important part of Post Office operations, which we're surprised there wasn't clarity and there wasn't quite clear and strong reporting.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Thank you. Can I finally ask you about overboarding and the individuals who were appointed to the Chairmanship and the Board of RMG and POL, were generally very experienced individuals, many of whom had portfolio careers towards retirement. That isn't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there on the performance of directors in role?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Of course we're dealing with a large 20-year period and that which we would expect now probably wasn't current at the beginning and, in the middle, it would be half and half. Now, there is very clear requirement to report one's other directorships in the annual report and, in the recruitment of non-executive directors, the question is always asked about do you have the time? And so there is an initial and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we remain surprised, and it appears to us to have been a very important part of Post Office operations, which we're surprised there wasn't clarity and there wasn't quite clear and strong reporting.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Thank you. Can I finally ask you about overboarding and the individuals who were appointed to the Chairmanship and the Board of RMG and POL, were generally very experienced individuals, many of whom had portfolio careers towards retirement. That isn't an unusual practice, is it, Dame Sandra?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there on the performance of directors in role?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Of course we're dealing with a large 20-year period and that which we would expect now probably wasn't current at the beginning and, in the middle, it would be half and half. Now, there is very clear requirement to report one's other directorships in the annual report and, in the recruitment of non-executive directors, the question is always asked about do you have the time? And so there is an initial and I think the question would have been asked definitely in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we remain surprised, and it appears to us to have been a very important part of Post Office operations, which we're surprised there wasn't clarity and there wasn't quite clear and strong reporting.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Thank you. Can I finally ask you about overboarding and the individuals who were appointed to the Chairmanship and the Board of RMG and POL, were generally very experienced individuals, many of whom had portfolio careers towards retirement. That isn't an unusual practice, is it, Dame Sandra?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Not unusual at all.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there on the performance of directors in role?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Of course we're dealing with a large 20-year period and that which we would expect now probably wasn't current at the beginning and, in the middle, it would be half and half. Now, there is very clear requirement to report one's other directorships in the annual report and, in the recruitment of non-executive directors, the question is always asked about do you have the time? And so there is an initial and I think the question would have been asked definitely in 2013, "Do you have the time to fulfil this role?"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we remain surprised, and it appears to us to have been a very important part of Post Office operations, which we're surprised there wasn't clarity and there wasn't quite clear and strong reporting.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Thank you. Can I finally ask you about overboarding and the individuals who were appointed to the Chairmanship and the Board of RMG and POL, were generally very experienced individuals, many of whom had portfolio careers towards retirement. That isn't an unusual practice, is it, Dame Sandra?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Not unusual at all.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Essentially, experience and the name, those are</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there on the performance of directors in role?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Of course we're dealing with a large 20-year period and that which we would expect now probably wasn't current at the beginning and, in the middle, it would be half and half. Now, there is very clear requirement to report one's other directorships in the annual report and, in the recruitment of non-executive directors, the question is always asked about do you have the time? And so there is an initial and I think the question would have been asked definitely in 2013, "Do you have the time to fulfil this role?"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we remain surprised, and it appears to us to have been a very important part of Post Office operations, which we're surprised there wasn't clarity and there wasn't quite clear and strong reporting.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Thank you. Can I finally ask you about overboarding and the individuals who were appointed to the Chairmanship and the Board of RMG and POL, were generally very experienced individuals, many of whom had portfolio careers towards retirement. That isn't an unusual practice, is it, Dame Sandra?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Not unusual at all.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Essentially, experience and the name, those are attractive commodities, aren't they, for people being</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there on the performance of directors in role?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Of course we're dealing with a large 20-year period and that which we would expect now probably wasn't current at the beginning and, in the middle, it would be half and half. Now, there is very clear requirement to report one's other directorships in the annual report and, in the recruitment of non-executive directors, the question is always asked about do you have the time? And so there is an initial and I think the question would have been asked definitely in 2013, "Do you have the time to fulfil this role?"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>prosecutions it's subpostmasters or postmasters who work for POL who were being prosecuted would you have expected, essentially, the POL Board to have been properly informed about the nature of those prosecutions going forward, prior to separation?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: We have said, I believe, and I think we said or implied this yesterday, that in our view there was a lack of clarity about that responsibility and what the responsibility really entailed, with regard to being a prosecuting authority in this is hybrid way, and we remain surprised, and it appears to us to have been a very important part of Post Office operations, which we're surprised there wasn't clarity and there wasn't quite clear and strong reporting.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Thank you. Can I finally ask you about overboarding and the individuals who were appointed to the Chairmanship and the Board of RMG and POL, were generally very experienced individuals, many of whom had portfolio careers towards retirement. That isn't an unusual practice, is it, Dame Sandra?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Not unusual at all.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Essentially, experience and the name, those are</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>MR MOLONEY: Having experience, having a profile, attractive commodities, as it were, for people being recruited.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Apparently.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: People often develop a portfolio of such appointments?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: They do.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: The Inquiry has seen, in Post Office's case, an example of accountability: the approach taken to the nuclear option of the removal of Mr Staunton. Aside from that sort of nuclear option, what checks are there on the performance of directors in role?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Of course we're dealing with a large 20-year period and that which we would expect now probably wasn't current at the beginning and, in the middle, it would be half and half. Now, there is very clear requirement to report one's other directorships in the annual report and, in the recruitment of non-executive directors, the question is always asked about do you have the time? And so there is an initial and I think the question would have been asked definitely in 2013, "Do you have the time to fulfil this role?"</li> </ul>

(15) Pages 57 - 60

# The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1

1	MR MOLONEY: Can I stop you there, Dame Sandra, just for
2	a moment because the question I'm asking at the moment
3	is not about at the appointment stage: it's more
4	a question of when in role and about what checks there
5	are on directors when they are in role. So, for
6	example, whether or not there is any mandatory training
7	or continual professional development whilst in role, or
8	any appraisal system whilst in role.
9	DAME SANDRA: I began by talking about the appointment
10	because that is, if you like, the initial contract,
11	and the initial implicit contract between the
12	individual and the organisation. With regard to I've
13	talked already, I believe, about induction and training,
14	which is extremely important, and then with regard to
15	their performance of the role, it's normal to have board
16	evaluations every the periodicity changes but it may
17	be every one, every two or every three years, sometimes
18	involving external facilitation. And in that, a good
19	board effectiveness, you not only give your overall
20	views on the effectiveness of the board but one is
20	normally asked about the effectiveness of individuals.
22	anonymously. I mean, they don't Dr Steward will not
22	say, "I think Dame Sandra is" she won't name me but
24 25	she'll give her view on my performance. And, again, in my experience, where it's felt that
20	And, again, in my experience, where it's left that 61
1	recruitment to Boards and about how it was perhaps maybe
2	different in the '90s than it is now.
3	DAME SANDRA: Yes.
4	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> So was the problem of overboarding as well
5	understood in the early part of the period covered by
6	this Inquiry, let's say the late '90s?
7	
	DAME SANDRA: I don't recall there being so much emphasis
8	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> I don't recall there being so much emphasis upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as
8 9	5 1
9	upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as
9 10	upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now.
9 10 11	upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now. MR MOLONEY: There are developments now and we know there is
9 10 11 12	upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now. <b>MR MOLONEY:</b> There are developments now and we know there is guidance, there is the Code, and so on.
	upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now. <b>MR MOLONEY:</b> There are developments now and we know there is guidance, there is the Code, and so on. <b>DAME SANDRA:</b> Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: There are developments now and we know there is guidance, there is the Code, and so on.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Are there any hard legal limits on</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now. MR MOLONEY: There are developments now and we know there is guidance, there is the Code, and so on. DAME SANDRA: Yes. MR MOLONEY: Are there any hard legal limits on overboarding?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now. MR MOLONEY: There are developments now and we know there is guidance, there is the Code, and so on. DAME SANDRA: Yes. MR MOLONEY: Are there any hard legal limits on overboarding? DAME SANDRA: As I say, legal limits legal, actually,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: There are developments now and we know there is guidance, there is the Code, and so on.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Are there any hard legal limits on overboarding?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As I say, legal limits legal, actually, based in law I don't believe so, but I may be</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: There are developments now and we know there is guidance, there is the Code, and so on.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Are there any hard legal limits on overboarding?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As I say, legal limits legal, actually, based in law I don't believe so, but I may be someone may correct me.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: There are developments now and we know there is guidance, there is the Code, and so on.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Are there any hard legal limits on overboarding?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As I say, legal limits legal, actually, based in law I don't believe so, but I may be someone may correct me.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Right.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: There are developments now and we know there is guidance, there is the Code, and so on.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Are there any hard legal limits on overboarding?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As I say, legal limits legal, actually, based in law I don't believe so, but I may be someone may correct me.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Right.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I think, if I could say that, with regard to</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: There are developments now and we know there is guidance, there is the Code, and so on.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Are there any hard legal limits on overboarding?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As I say, legal limits legal, actually, based in law I don't believe so, but I may be someone may correct me.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Right.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I think, if I could say that, with regard to the recruitment now, and in financial services there</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: There are developments now and we know there is guidance, there is the Code, and so on.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Are there any hard legal limits on overboarding?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As I say, legal limits legal, actually, based in law I don't believe so, but I may be someone may correct me.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Right.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I think, if I could say that, with regard to the recruitment now, and in financial services there is there are some specific requirements. I have been</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: There are developments now and we know there is guidance, there is the Code, and so on.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Are there any hard legal limits on overboarding?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As I say, legal limits legal, actually, based in law I don't believe so, but I may be someone may correct me.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Right.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I think, if I could say that, with regard to the recruitment now, and in financial services there is there are some specific requirements. I have been well aware of a number of cases where someone has wanted</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>upon how one was managing one's portfolio in the '90s as there is now.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: There are developments now and we know there is guidance, there is the Code, and so on.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Are there any hard legal limits on overboarding?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As I say, legal limits legal, actually, based in law I don't believe so, but I may be someone may correct me.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Right.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I think, if I could say that, with regard to the recruitment now, and in financial services there is there are some specific requirements. I have been well aware of a number of cases where someone has wanted to take a role which they've been offered, but the</li> </ul>

2	the role, then the chair would have a discussion with
3	them. But with regard to mandatory requirements,
4	I think it is left with the board. There have, from
5	time to time, been no chairman can be chairman of more
6	than one FTSE 350 company at a time, but that at the
7	moment is a bit more relaxed.
8	MR MOLONEY: But essentially, in terms of performance
9	management, if I can use that generic term, then it
10	essentially comes down to the observations of executive
11	directors and other non-executive directors as to the
12	performance of a director?
13	DAME SANDRA: Of board peers, yes.
14	MR MOLONEY: Yes, and perhaps somebody raising a red flag
15	about somebody?
16	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> More likely at the beginning, a quiet
17	conversation, "we're worried you don't read the papers
18	we're worried you're not doing the work, do you have the
19	time?"
20	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> You spoke about the development of, as it were,
21	the recruitment process and, of course, yesterday you
22	were asked about the development of thinking on
23	whistleblowing. So I'd like to take you back and that's
24	why I stopped you, because I wanted to ask you a few
25	more questions about the development of thinking on
	62
1	extent, there is a discussion at that recruitment stage,
1 2	extent, there is a discussion at that recruitment stage, and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon
2	and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon
2 3	and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.
2 3 4	and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio. <b>MR MOLONEY:</b> Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li><li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It's 2020, I see.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It's 2020, I see.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It's 2020, I see.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's been a consultation, a relatively recent consultation on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It's 2020, I see.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's been a consultation, a relatively recent consultation on overboarding and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It's 2020, I see.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's been a consultation, a relatively recent consultation on overboarding and</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It's 2020, I see.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's been a consultation, a relatively recent consultation on overboarding and</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: shall we come back to that once we've just</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It's 2020, I see.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's been a consultation, a relatively recent consultation on overboarding and</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: shall we come back to that once we've just looked at this document? So the Institutional</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio. MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before? DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one. MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document. DAME SANDRA: It's 2020, I see. MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's been a consultation, a relatively recent consultation on overboarding and DAME SANDRA: Yes. MR MOLONEY: shall we come back to that once we've just looked at this document? So the Institutional Shareholders Service gives some guidance, and it reads
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It's 2020, I see.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's been a consultation, a relatively recent consultation on overboarding and</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: shall we come back to that once we've just looked at this document? So the Institutional Shareholders Service gives some guidance, and it reads at the bottom:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio. MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before? DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one. MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document. DAME SANDRA: I t's 2020, I see. MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's been a consultation, a relatively recent consultation on overboarding and DAME SANDRA: Yes. MR MOLONEY: shall we come back to that once we've just looked at this document? So the Institutional Shareholders Service gives some guidance, and it reads at the bottom: "Where directors have multiple board appointments,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio. MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before? DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one. MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document. DAME SANDRA: I t's 2020, I see. MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's been a consultation, a relatively recent consultation on overboarding and DAME SANDRA: Yes. MR MOLONEY: shall we come back to that once we've just looked at this document? So the Institutional Shareholders Service gives some guidance, and it reads at the bottom: "Where directors have multiple board appointments, ISS may recommend a vote against directors who appear to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It's 2020, I see.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's been a consultation, a relatively recent consultation on overboarding and</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: shall we come back to that once we've just looked at this document? So the Institutional Shareholders Service gives some guidance, and it reads at the bottom:</li> <li>"Where directors have multiple board appointments, ISS may recommend a vote against directors who appear to hold an excessive number of board rolls at</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It's 2020, I see.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's been a consultation, a relatively recent consultation on overboarding and</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: shall we come back to that once we've just looked at this document? So the Institutional Shareholders Service gives some guidance, and it reads at the bottom:</li> <li>"Where directors have multiple board appointments, ISS may recommend a vote against directors who appear to hold an excessive number of board rolls at publicly-listed companies"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It's 2020, I see.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's been a consultation, a relatively recent consultation on overboarding and</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: shall we come back to that once we've just looked at this document? So the Institutional Shareholders Service gives some guidance, and it reads at the bottom:</li> <li>"Where directors have multiple board appointments, ISS may recommend a vote against directors who appear to hold an excessive number of board rolls at publicly-listed companies"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>and their acceptance of a role may be conditional upon their giving up other aspects of their portfolio.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Can we look at UKGI00044277, please. Thank you. If we can just go to the bottom of this first page, please, it's a guidance note. Have you seen this guidance note at all before?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: I haven't seen this one.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: It's a UKGI document.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: It's 2020, I see.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: That's it. 2020 is important because there's been a consultation, a relatively recent consultation on overboarding and</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Yes.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: shall we come back to that once we've just looked at this document? So the Institutional Shareholders Service gives some guidance, and it reads at the bottom:</li> <li>"Where directors have multiple board appointments, ISS may recommend a vote against directors who appear to hold an excessive number of board rolls at publicly-listed companies"</li> </ul>

someone really isn't given the time or isn't on top of

1	when people have got multiple board appointments, then
2	the ISS can recommend a vote against directors if it
3	appears to be excessive.
4	DAME SANDRA: Perhaps we could scroll up or down?
5	MR MOLONEY: We're going to because it gives further
6	guidance. You probably know, in fact, but onto the next
7	page, please, at the top.
8	"Any person who holds more than five mandates at
9	listed companies will be classified as overboarded. For
10	the purposes of calculating this limit, a non-executive
11	directorship counts as one mandate, a non-executive
12	chairmanship counts as two mandates, and a position as
13	executive director (or a comparable role) is counted as
14	three mandates.
15	"Also, any person who holds the position of
16	executive director (or a comparable role) at one company
17	and a non-executive chairman at a different company will
18	be classified as overboarded."
19	That guidance is 2020. In 2023, there has been
20	a consultation, hasn't there, to, as it were, develop
21	the Code.
22	DAME SANDRA: Yes, I think if we could just possibly look at
23	the previous page which begins, I think this is the
24	advice from ISS, isn't it?
25	MR MOLONEY: It is, yes.
	65
1	account other demands on the directors' time.
1 2	account other demands on the directors' time. During the consultation, in relation to this FRC
2	During the consultation, in relation to this FRC
2 3	During the consultation, in relation to this FRC Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of
2 3 4	During the consultation, in relation to this FRC Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?
2 3 4 5	During the consultation, in relation to this FRC Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold? DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various
2 3 4 5 6	During the consultation, in relation to this FRC Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold? DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether
2 3 4 5 6 7	During the consultation, in relation to this FRC Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold? <b>DAME SANDRA:</b> There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	During the consultation, in relation to this FRC Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold? DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement. MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	During the consultation, in relation to this FRC Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold? DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement. MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC</li> <li>Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC</li> <li>Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you tell me my understanding is misplaced.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC</li> <li>Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you tell me my understanding is misplaced.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: I'm not going to say that, Dame Sandra, but</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC</li> <li>Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you tell me my understanding is misplaced.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: I'm not going to say that, Dame Sandra, but should there be a statutory limit on the number of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC</li> <li>Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you tell me my understanding is misplaced.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: I'm not going to say that, Dame Sandra, but should there be a statutory limit on the number of mandates or appointments which can be taken at any one</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC</li> <li>Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you tell me my understanding is misplaced.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: I'm not going to say that, Dame Sandra, but should there be a statutory limit on the number of mandates or appointments which can be taken at any one time?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC</li> <li>Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you tell me my understanding is misplaced.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: I'm not going to say that, Dame Sandra, but should there be a statutory limit on the number of mandates or appointments which can be taken at any one time?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As someone who has, in the past, had quite</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC</li> <li>Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you tell me my understanding is misplaced.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: I'm not going to say that, Dame Sandra, but should there be a statutory limit on the number of mandates or appointments which can be taken at any one time?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As someone who has, in the past, had quite a full portfolio, I would say that my personal guidance</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC</li> <li>Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you tell me my understanding is misplaced.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: I'm not going to say that, Dame Sandra, but should there be a statutory limit on the number of mandates or appointments which can be taken at any one time?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As someone who has, in the past, had quite a full portfolio, I would say that my personal guidance has been: do I have the time to do the job properly?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC</li> <li>Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you tell me my understanding is misplaced.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: I'm not going to say that, Dame Sandra, but should there be a statutory limit on the number of mandates or appointments which can be taken at any one time?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As someone who has, in the past, had quite a full portfolio, I would say that my personal guidance has been: do I have the time to do the job properly? And if I should choose to do that by working a week</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you tell me my understanding is misplaced.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: I'm not going to say that, Dame Sandra, but should there be a statutory limit on the number of mandates or appointments which can be taken at any one time?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As someone who has, in the past, had quite a full portfolio, I would say that my personal guidance has been: do I have the time to do the job properly? And if I should choose to do that by working a week which wouldn't be recognised by most people as a working</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you tell me my understanding is misplaced.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: I'm not going to say that, Dame Sandra, but should there be a statutory limit on the number of mandates or appointments which can be taken at any one time?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As someone who has, in the past, had quite a full portfolio, I would say that my personal guidance has been: do I have the time to do the job properly? And if I should choose to do that by working a week which wouldn't be recognised by most people as a working week, then in a way that's up to me and it's up to my</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you tell me my understanding is misplaced.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: I'm not going to say that, Dame Sandra, but should there be a statutory limit on the number of mandates or appointments which can be taken at any one time?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As someone who has, in the past, had quite a full portfolio, I would say that my personal guidance has been: do I have the time to do the job properly? And if I should choose to do that by working a week which wouldn't be recognised by most people as a working week, then in a way that's up to me and it's up to my peers to decide whether or not I'm fulfilling my</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>During the consultation, in relation to this FRC Code, was it floated that there might be a maximum of posts that a director could hold?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: There was a discussion, I believe, in various places around board tables, informally, about whether there was going to be a requirement.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: Yes, and, in the end, there was a conclusion that there was not going to be.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That is what my understanding is, unless you tell me my understanding is misplaced.</li> <li>MR MOLONEY: I'm not going to say that, Dame Sandra, but should there be a statutory limit on the number of mandates or appointments which can be taken at any one time?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: As someone who has, in the past, had quite a full portfolio, I would say that my personal guidance has been: do I have the time to do the job properly? And if I should choose to do that by working a week which wouldn't be recognised by most people as a working week, then in a way that's up to me and it's up to my peers to decide whether or not I'm fulfilling my obligations.</li> </ul>

1	DAME SANDRA: And ISS is an institutional shareholder proxy
2	body. It's not it represents, I believe perhaps
3	we could go down to the bottom of the page? Yes,
4	institution, ISS. That is not their statement and
5	their classification of mandate shows how they will vote
6	at annual general meetings about the appointment of
7	directors.
8	MR MOLONEY: Absolutely.
9	DAME SANDRA: So it shouldn't be confused as guidance, in
10	the way in which we've described guidance here.
11	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> Indeed. But then we see the FRC Code 2018.
12	DAME SANDRA: That they should have sufficient time.
13	I mean, I think that FRC code is exactly the code that
14	I enunciated at the very beginning of our conversation
15	about the question of do non-executives have sufficient
16	time; are they going to provide constructive challenge
17	and strategic guidance, offer specialist advice and hold
18	management to account? That's exactly do they have
19	enough time to do that?
20	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> Precisely, so we have the ISS with the five
21	mandates and
22	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> But the ISS is very different to the FRC Code.
23	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> I completely understand that, Dame Sandra, but
24	then the FRC code is set out there, it's Principle H and
25	paragraph 15, essentially, the board should take into
20	66
	Alexander and the second for the second side and the following the follo
1	they're going to vote for the reappointment of directors
2	or not. For me, that's a rather too formulaic approach,
3	just my personal view, but I'm passionately sure that
4	people need to have the time, and I do think that, when
5	boards have people who are not putting the time in,
6	there should be very clear ways of the discussions going
7	so that they either give up some of their portfolio or
8	they don't give up that particular job.
9	But I think that I would personally have that within
10	the realms of the discussion of the board. But that's
11	a very personal view.
12	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> So you would keep the assessment of whether
13	a person is doing their job properly as it is?
14	DAME SANDRA: I would and I do think boards are the best
15	place for doing that, if they take responsibility for
16	doing so.
17	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> Of course, that's then dependent on the board
18	taking responsibility in that sense, isn't it?
19	DAME SANDRA: It does.
20	MR MOLONEY: Is there a case for a different and more
21	directive approach in the public sector as opposed to
22	the private sector?

- 23 DAME SANDRA: I believe that the public sector needs and
- 24 deserves directors who will do their job properly, and
- 25 that requires them to give the time. I don't see why

1	the approach that I've adopted should be different for	1
2	the public sector to the private sector. But others may	2
3	disappear. But I think the fundamental thing is that	3
4	directors have got responsibilities, and those	4
5	responsibilities should be discharged.	5
6	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> So precisely because you are, as it were,	6
7	determinant of the effectiveness of being a director	7
8	relies on whether or not they are able to give	8
9	sufficient time, then there should be no difference in	9
10	principle between public and private on that basis?	10
11	DAME SANDRA: They should give sufficient time. That, of	11
12	course, isn't sufficient. They should bring expertise,	12
13	they should be good at it, and so on but, at the level	13
14	of time, I don't see that there should be a difference	14
15	between the public and private.	15
16	MR MOLONEY: You believe that the assessment of whether or	16
17	not they are able to devote sufficient time with, of	17
18	course, their expertise and other factors being, as it	18
19	were, taken for granted anyway, on their appointment,	19
20	but whether or not the assessment of that should be as	20
21	it is now: that it's about feedback from other	21
22	directors?	22
23	DAME SANDRA: I wouldn't propose any further regulation but	23
		24
24	I must find out from my colleague what she would say.	24
24 25	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69	25
	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors,	
25	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT	25 1
25 1 2	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir.	25 1 2
25 1 2 3	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking.	25 1 2 3
25 1 2 3 4	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line?	25 1 2 3 4
25 1 2 3 4 5	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking.	25 1 2 3 4 5
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see.	25 1 2 3 4 5 6
25 1 2 3 4 5	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking.	25 1 2 3 4 5
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry.	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward.	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward. I ask questions for the National Federation of	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward. I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and the NFSP has 6,500 postmaster members, across 8,500 post	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward. I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward. I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and the NFSP has 6,500 postmaster members, across 8,500 post	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward. I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and the NFSP has 6,500 postmaster members, across 8,500 post offices in the UK. I'm going to look at your first and	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: I t's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward. I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and the NFSP has 6,500 postmaster members, across 8,500 post offices in the UK. I'm going to look at your first and second reports just in brief summary and then ask	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward. I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and the NFSP has 6,500 postmaster members, across 8,500 post offices in the UK. I'm going to look at your first and second reports just in brief summary and then ask a couple of questions.	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward. I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and the NFSP has 6,500 postmaster members, across 8,500 post offices in the UK. I'm going to look at your first and second reports just in brief summary and then ask a couple of questions. In your first and second reports you highlight not	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward. I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and the NFSP has 6,500 postmaster members, across 8,500 post offices in the UK. I'm going to look at your first and second reports just in brief summary and then ask a couple of questions. In your first and second reports you highlight not just cultural failings at the Post Office but you	1 25 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward. I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and the NFSP has 6,500 postmaster members, across 8,500 post offices in the UK. I'm going to look at your first and second reports just in brief summary and then ask a couple of questions. In your first and second reports you highlight not just cultural failings at the Post Office but you identify the reasons for that. It seems to be embedded	1 25 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward. I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and the NFSP has 6,500 postmaster members, across 8,500 post offices in the UK. I'm going to look at your first and second reports just in brief summary and then ask a couple of questions. In your first and second reports you highlight not just cultural failings at the Post Office but you identify the reasons for that. It seems to be embedded in the way in which the senior leadership over the years	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
1       2       3       4       5       6       7       8       9       10       11       12       13       14       15       16       17       18       19       20	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward. I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and the NFSP has 6,500 postmaster members, across 8,500 post offices in the UK. I'm going to look at your first and second reports just in brief summary and then ask a couple of questions. In your first and second reports you highlight not just cultural failings at the Post Office but you identify the reasons for that. It seems to be embedded in the way in which the senior leadership over the years treated the employees and stakeholders, such as the	1 25 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 1	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69 Questioned by MS WATT MS WATT: Thank you, sir. DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line? DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking. I can see. MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry. Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward. I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and the NFSP has 6,500 postmaster members, across 8,500 post offices in the UK. I'm going to look at your first and second reports just in brief summary and then ask a couple of questions. In your first and second reports you highlight not just cultural failings at the Post Office but you identify the reasons for that. It seems to be embedded in the way in which the senior leadership over the years treated the employees and stakeholders, such as the postmasters. You highlight a complete failure of the	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
1       2       3       4       5       6       7       8       9       10       11       12       13       14       15       16       17       18       19       22       22       23	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69           Questioned by MS WATT           MS WATT: Thank you, sir.           DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking.           SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line?           DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking.           I can see.           MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry.           Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward.           I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and the NFSP has 6,500 postmaster members, across 8,500 post offices in the UK. I'm going to look at your first and second reports just in brief summary and then ask a couple of questions.           In your first and second reports you highlight not just cultural failings at the Post Office but you identify the reasons for that. It seems to be embedded in the way in which the senior leadership over the years treated the employees and stakeholders, such as the postmasters. You highlight a complete failure of the Board across the years to identify risks, and a failure	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	DR STEWARD: I think it's feedback from other directors, 69           Questioned by MS WATT           MS WATT: Thank you, sir.           DAME SANDRA: I can't see where I'm looking.           SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have we got a direct sight line?           DAME SANDRA: It's fine now, I know where I'm looking.           I can see.           MS WATT: Good afternoon not quite, still it's good morning, sorry.           Good morning, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward.           I ask questions for the National Federation of SubPostmasters, a Core Participant in the Inquiry, and the NFSP has 6,500 postmaster members, across 8,500 post offices in the UK. I'm going to look at your first and second reports just in brief summary and then ask a couple of questions.           In your first and second reports you highlight not just cultural failings at the Post Office but you identify the reasons for that. It seems to be embedded in the way in which the senior leadership over the years treated the employees and stakeholders, such as the postmasters. You highlight a complete failure of the Board across the years to identify risks, and a failure to be transparent, properly induct incoming Board	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23

	including the executive, and the executive team will
2	have a strong sense in the public sector as to whether
5	they want to use non-executive directors in order to do
	things which might be outside the bounds of a commercial
;	board non-executive director, like spending more time
;	visiting services, or but that I do think there's
	a danger of blurring the boundaries between the
5	non-executives and the executives if you say that the
)	non-executives in the public sector should have more
0	time to give to the role.
1	I think that's
2	MR MOLONEY: Dr Steward, I was focusing, rather, at that
3	stage not on the distinction between the public and
4	private but whether or not, in general, assuming that
5	the acid test for whether or not a director is
6	discharging their duties properly will be, in essence,
7	the extent to which they can properly give time, that
8	the assessment of that is best left as it is, which is
9	through the review at the end of the year or at the end
0	of the three years or at the end of the two years,
1	whichever it is.
2	DR STEWARD: Yes.
3	<b>MR MOLONEY:</b> Thank you. That's all I ask, sir.
4	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr Moloney.
5	I think it's Ms Watt next, yes? 70
	10
	not going to call it up, I'm just going to summarise
	what you say there:
	"In Report 1 we described the culture as the
	attitudes, values and beliefs which are experienced in
,	the company, in other words the company ethos, which is
;	revealed in the way the company treats all its workforce
,	and stakeholders, it's hard to change. It is by no
5	means unusual for strong, damaging beliefs and
)	attitudes, a corrosive ethos, to persist unless
0	seriously and systematically changed. Determination to
1	effect cultural change requires that the culture is seen
2	by the board and one hopes, at least in time, following
3	direction by the board, the executive as seriously
4	damaging to the company. Only then can culture be
5	systematically addressed through changes and structures,
6	policies, practices, as well as in addressing apparent
7	indifference or even violations of core human values,
8	commonly used language, and exhibited behaviour in
9	everyday life in the organisation."
0	So taking that, based on what you say in your
1	reports, is it fair to say that it's going to take
2 3	a huge and consistent effort to effect the necessary
	change at the Post Office and in the Board, given what you describe?
4	

25 **DAME SANDRA:** It is a huge job. It is not to be underrated. 72

1	The extent to which one can change culture has many,	1
2	many, many different aspects. They need to be	2
3	coordinated and, above all, there needs to be very	3
4	strong commitment to sustain the work in order to change	4
5	the culture.	5
6	Do you want add?	6
7	DR STEWARD: I would agree that culture changes is	7
8	protracted and people think it's easy or think it's	8
9	a quick fix; there isn't. Having said that, I think	9
10	there are ways of improving governance, which can be	10
11	done quite quickly, and the relationship between	11
12	governance and culture is a very close one.	12
13	MS WATT: So not necessarily instant but could be short,	13
14	medium and long-term ways in which things could be dealt	14
15	with?	15
16	DR STEWARD: Yes.	16
17	DAME SANDRA: One wants a direction of travel but the	17
18	sustained emphasis and the coordinated emphasis is	18
19	really important.	19
20	MS WATT: How difficult do you think that is, or has been,	20
21	when you've been looking back at the level of change	21
22	across the Board and in the senior leadership? Does	22
23	that take a difference if you've effectively got	23
24	a revolving door?	24
25	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> Well, we're dealing with a long period here. 73	25
1	terms of achieving oversight, challenge, curiosity, the	1
2	things that you've identified in your report as being	2
3	necessary, the NFSP has put forward to Government and	3
4	the Post Office the idea of an oversight committee. It	4
5	would be made up of a range of representative bodies,	5
6	consumer champions, Government representation, and it	6
7	would have a role in overseeing the Board.	7
8	DAME SANDRA: Mm.	8
9	MS WATT: The former head of UKGI, Sir Alex Chisholm, in his	9
10	witness statement and recent evidence, and I appreciate	10
11	you're not across the whole of Phase 7, thought that	11

something like this might unfortunately be necessary,	12	ic
given the history of what's happened. As I say, I hear	13	DAME
what you say about the longer-term aim being for the	14	С
board to perhaps, if I can summarise, stand on its own	15	W
two feet and do the right thing at the right time, but	16	tł
thinking about that reasoning of Sir Alex, it may be	17	s
something for the medium term to steady the ship and	18	а
build public confidence in the Post Office.	19	0
I'll summarise some of the key aims for it and then	20	а
ask you a question. This is very broad. It doesn't	21	tł
have to be this oversight committee: an oversight	22	

- committee or something like it. The key aims would be
- to challenge the strategy of the Post Office and the
- Board and ask questions about risk, to improve

	The revolving door can be a great opportunity because
	you get a fresh pair of eyes. The revolving door can be
	a very great disadvantage because people become cynical
	and think everyone who comes in will say they'll do
	something different and won't do anything different so
	I think it could be both the revolving door could be
	both an advantage and disadvantage. It depends upon the
	commitment and the real understanding that there is the
	need for change.
	<b>MS WATT:</b> Would you agree that the real losers of the
	failures that you've looked at and outlined in the
	culture and governance at every turn are the postmasters
	and the public they serve?
	DAME SANDRA: I didn't hear the beginning of the question?
	MS WATT: Would you agree that the real losers of the
	failures that you identify in culture and governance are
	the postmasters and the public they serve?
	DAME SANDRA: Well, I think that those people who work in
	organisations with the sort of culture that we describe
	are not working at their best and, mostly, are not going
	to be able to do the jobs that they, I'm sure, wish that
	they could do. So with regard to who is the loser,
	I think that's for everyone to decide. I think the
	impact of having a poor culture is felt by everybody.
,	<b>MS WATT:</b> Just changing topics slightly but following on, in 74
	transparency and corporate accountability, to ensure the

transparency and corporate accountability, to ensure the
Government uses the powers it has to improve the culture
at the Post Office and rebuild trust in the brand, and
to demonstrate that a diverse group of people can work
together for the needs of the Post Office Network.
So what I wanted to ask was: would you accept that
something like an oversight committee could be
established as one of the ways forward, in at least the
medium term, in restoring public and postmaster
confidence in the Post Office while the Board does
indeed get to grips with the things that you've
identified as being past failures?
DAME SANDRA: You'll find a theme from me about future
change, which is that I don't want to suggest anything
which suggests that the Board of the Post Office
themselves have to own these problems and find ways of
solving them. I don't want the possibility that
an oversight board or would be "Well, that's for the
oversight board". I want the extent of responsibility
and accountability to be felt deeply for doing all the
things that we have described in our report.
And, for that reason, I'm not sure about the
oversight committee. I understand its relationship, for
example, to the dual board structure that one might have
oxample, to the dual board of dotate that one might have

1	and it's rather similar to that.	1	take away from the responsibilities of the Board itself
2	However, I think and the things you identified,	2	to do the right thing.
3	challenge, improving transparency, ensuring Government	3	<b>MS WATT:</b> So there could be an adaptation or some of the
4	use powers appropriately, demonstrating that people can	4	principles could be adopted and looked at how that could
5	work together, these are exactly the things the Board	5	be introduced?
6	has got to do and, therefore, I am I want to put the	6	DAME SANDRA: I've described how I think it might be done
7	spotlight on the Board to do them.	7	and I think there are models with other public bodies
8	However, the importance of consultation, the	8	which put those into their governance.
9	importance of listening, is so great that I do think	9	<b>MS WATT:</b> Just thinking about something you mentioned there
10	that one could begin to look at something like the	10	in relation to listening, and just the final topic that
11	present arrangements with the FCA, with Financial	11	I have, and looking at some examples this is looking
12	Conduct Authority, which from when it was set up.	12	back a little bit in relation to the Board and the
13	There are a number of panels there are consumer	13	ways in which it has, in the more recent past, tried to
14	panels, market practitioner panels, market which are	14	improve the optics, if I might put it like that, by
15	required to they're properly appointed, their Chair	15	creating the role of Postmaster NEDs. But in their
16	reports in to the Board, they have to be listened to.	16	Inquiry witness statements and in their oral evidence,
17	And I can speak as someone who, in the previous	17	again, Phase 7, and appreciate you haven't seen all of
18	incarnation on the Financial Services Authority, those	18	that, Mr Jacobs, one of those Postmaster NEDs, in his
19	reports that came in from the panels were extremely	19	witness statement said:
20	important.	20	"Mr Ismail and I have raised issues in meetings and
21	So my view would be something within the structure	21	made requests that do not seem to result in action. As
22	which does give confidence that there is a real voice,	22	set out above, we had to make several requests for
23	where the how they work is a subject for agreement.	23	updates on Past Roles and Project Phoenix before we
24	That is important. And I would personally prefer that	24	received satisfactory updates. This creates the
25	to the notion often an oversight board which I fear may 77	25	impression that Mr Ismail and I are an annoyance to the 78
1	Post Office Board. My impression is that the Board	1	I'm going to ask two sets of questions. The first
2	would prefer a more passive Postmaster NED not	2	are questions are based on assumed facts, which I'm
3	challenging decisions and Senior Executives directly."	3	going to ask you to assume without asking you to accept
4	Now, that's the recent past. So it would appear not	4	them, on various matters. Then my second set of
5	very much listening, according to them, is going on.	5	questions will be about the role of the general counsel.
6	What I wanted to ask you, and you mentioned also	6	Firstly, I'd ask you to assume that, in between
7	yesterday you talked about conflicts of interest, and of	7	Board meetings, Ms Vennells and Ms Perkins were in
8	course that can arise with directors' duties, but would	8	regular contact about the matters covered in your second
9	you agree that, having the Postmaster NEDs, the Post	9	report. My question is: on the assumption that that is
10	Office still needs to ensure they use other routes such	10	correct, that they were in regular discussions about
11	as representative bodies for postmaster engagement and	11	Second Sight Report and the prosecution case review,
12	obtaining postmaster views, in other words those NEDs	12	would that, in principle, have assisted Ms Perkins as
13	can't be the only word on postmaster views to the Board?	13	Chairman to determine what issues should come before the
14	DAME SANDRA: I would agree.	14	Board?
15	MS WATT: Thank you. Those are my questions.	15	DAME SANDRA: As I understand the question, if we assume
16 17	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: It's Mr Casey now, is it?	16 17	they were in regular contact, would we assume that that
17	MR CASEY: Yes, it is.	17	would mean that Ms Perkins was appraised of matters to
18	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Have you got a proper side to everyone,	18	been before the Board; was that the question?
19 20	Mr Casey?	19	<b>MR CASEY:</b> Well, the question is slightly more general than
20	MR CASEY: I can see them both now, yes.	20	that, that on the assumption that they were in regular
21	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: We can hear you clearly now I think,	21	contact between Board meetings, would that contact have
22	fine.	22	assisted Ms Perkins in deciding what issues should come
23 24	Questioned by MR CASEY	23	before the Board for discussion?
24	<b>MR CASEY:</b> I'm going to ask some questions on behalf of Paula Vennells, the former CEO.	24 25	DAME SANDRA: I imagine it would. MR CASEY: The next assumption I want to put to you is this:
25			

1	whilst Second Sight were working on the postmaster cases
2	between 2012 and the publication of their report in July
3	2013, there was a core team from Post Office who were
4	liaising with Second Sight. I want you to assume that
5	that core team consisted of, firstly, a Senior IT
6	Manager; secondly, a Manager from the Accounting
7	Department of Post Office, which dealt with postmaster
8	complaints and disputes; thirdly, a Senior Manager from
9	Network which liaised with the Branch Network; and,
10	fourthly, Susan Crichton, the General Counsel.
11	Now, you spoke yesterday of the importance of
12	sharing knowledge horizontally across the business and
13	my question is: on those assumed facts, would that be
14	an example of knowledge being shared horizontally in
15	relation to the issues covered in your second report?
16	DAME SANDRA: If it were to exist it would be an example
17	that there was a structure in which it was possible to
18	share information. Whether or not that information was
19	actually shared, I can't say.
20	MR CASEY: Yes, I'm not asking you to assume that.
21	DAME SANDRA: There is a structure there, apparently.
22	MR CASEY: Yes. So my next related assumption is that that
23	same team considered the Second Sight Interim Report
24	when it was published in July 2013, and that the
25	information that was presented to the Executive and the 81

1 It says:

1	It says:
2	"The General Counsel is responsible for managing the
3	Company Secretariat, Internal Audit, Legal, Risk and
4	Compliance and Security Teams."
5	Then in the second paragraph, it says:
6	"The Legal Team of 12 are responsibility for
7	managing all aspects of legal risk, identifying and
8	solving strategic and operational legal issues, and
9	providing understandable, accurate and timely advice to
10	the business on a very wide-ranging and changing set of
11	complex issues, which are frequently novel and to short
12	deadlines."
13	Now, you've said today that you haven't looked into
14	the regulatory rules by which general counsel must
15	operate.
16	DAME SANDRA: Yes.
17	MR CASEY: I take it you've also not looked into the
18	question of the legal duties that they will owe to Post
19	Office as their client.
20	DAME SANDRA: We're no, you're I have to not looked in
21	detail at the role of the general counsel in this
22	regard. That's right. I should say that the first
23	paragraph is, of course, this is the Post Office's
24	construction of the role of the general counsel.
25	MR CASEY: Yes.
	83

- Board about the Second Sight Interim Report, was derived
- 2 from the work product of that team. So the question is
- 3 again: would that be an example of a structure where
- knowledge was to be shared horizontally? 4

DAME SANDRA: But I don't believe we saw any evidence of 5 6 that.

- 7 MR CASEY: I'm not asking you whether you source code any
- 8 evidence of it; I'm asking you to assume that that was
- the team who, on behalf of Post Office, considered the 9
- 10 merits of the Second Sight Interim Report.
- DAME SANDRA: If you're saying to me: if it were to have 11
- been considered by people from different functions, 12
- 13 would that have been example of multi-functional
- 14 consideration --
- MR CASEY: Yes. 15
- DAME SANDRA: -- well, self-evidently it would. 16
- 17 MR CASEY: Thank you very much. I want to move on to the
- 18 role of the General Counsel, please, and could we bring
- 19 up WITN10010101. Is this a document you have seen?
- 20 DAME SANDRA: Is this the 2015 document?
- 21 MR CASEY: Yes.
- 22 DAME SANDRA: Yes, I have.
- 23 MR CASEY: Now, if we go over to the second page of this
- 24 document, the first two paragraphs contain a summary of
- 25 the role and responsibilities of the General Counsel. 82
- 1 DAME SANDRA: Yes. MR CASEY: What I'd just like to ask you about, though, are 2 3 the expectations that the Board would have of what 4 a General Counsel would bring to them. So, just looking 5 at that second paragraph, it identifies three functions. 6 The first of those is responsibility for managing all 7 aspects of legal risk. Do you agree it would be 8 an expectation of the POL Board that the General Counsel 9 would collate legal risks to them? DAME SANDRA: Yes, nothing can abrogate the responsibility 10 of the chief executive for overall responsibility. One 11 12 can define the responsibility of the director of 13 marketing, the director of strategy, the finance 14 director, unless he or she is a member of the board, and 15 the general counsel, one can define their responsibilities but that responsibility is held within 16 17 an executive team, and the chief executive is the 18 responsible person for that executive team. I don't know if that's relevant to your question but it seemed 19 20 relevant to me. MR CASEY: Thank you. My question is: would you agree that 21 a Board of POL would have an expectation that the 22 23 General Counsel would alert them to legal risks? 24 DAME SANDRA: Absolutely.
- 25 MR CASEY: Mr Aujard, who was one of the General Counsel 84

1	during Ms Vennells' tenure, agreed with a proposition
2	during his oral evidence that a general counsel should
3	be proactive in identifying legal risks to the business;
4	do you agree with that?
5	DAME SANDRA: Indeed I do. I agree that, above all, all the
6	executive directors, and some with special
7	responsibility, should be proactive, creative, do their
8	job. I completely agree with that.
9	MR CASEY: Would you agree that the reason for proactivity
10	in identifying legal risks is that the GC may be aware,
11	by nature of their profession, of risks of which a lay
12	board and a lay executive may well be unaware?
13	DAME SANDRA: I'm sorry, I didn't catch that.
14	<b>MR CASEY:</b> Would you agree that the reason why a GC needs to
15	be proactive in alerting the business to legal risks
16	that and my emphasis is on legal is that the GC
17	may well be aware, because of their profession, of risks
18	of which a non-lawyer may be unaware?
19	DAME SANDRA: Indeed.
20	MR CASEY: Now, the second role identified in this document
21	of the GC is solving strategic and operational legal
22	issues. Again, do you agree a board would have
23	an expectation that that is something that a GC would
24	do?
25	DAME SANDRA: As I say, within the overall structure of what
	85
1	<b>DAME SANDRA</b> . The assumption would be that an executive
1	<b>DAME SANDRA:</b> The assumption would be that an executive director, a member of a senior team, was performing
2	director, a member of a senior team, was performing
2 3	director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it
2 3 4	director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management
2 3 4 5	director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.
2 3 4 5 6	director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters. MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> <li>MR CASEY: Thank you very much, I've no more questions.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Maybe I since there is that document which</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> <li>MR CASEY: Thank you very much, I've no more questions.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Maybe I since there is that document which you have kindly brought to our attention, maybe we could</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> <li>MR CASEY: Thank you very much, I've no more questions.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Maybe I since there is that document which you have kindly brought to our attention, maybe we could have it once more up? It did strike us, when we looked</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> <li>MR CASEY: Thank you very much, I've no more questions.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Maybe I since there is that document which you have kindly brought to our attention, maybe we could have it once more up? It did strike us, when we looked at it, there didn't seem to be any mention of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> <li>MR CASEY: Thank you very much, I've no more questions.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Maybe I since there is that document which you have kindly brought to our attention, maybe we could have it once more up? It did strike us, when we looked at it, there didn't seem to be any mention of prosecutions.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> <li>MR CASEY: Thank you very much, I've no more questions.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Maybe I since there is that document which you have kindly brought to our attention, maybe we could have it once more up? It did strike us, when we looked at it, there didn't seem to be any mention of prosecutions.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Is your point, Dame Sandra, that, in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> <li>MR CASEY: Thank you very much, I've no more questions.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Maybe I since there is that document which you have kindly brought to our attention, maybe we could have it once more up? It did strike us, when we looked at it, there didn't seem to be any mention of prosecutions.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Is your point, Dame Sandra, that, in relation to something as potentially life changing for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> <li>MR CASEY: Thank you very much, I've no more questions.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Maybe I since there is that document which you have kindly brought to our attention, maybe we could have it once more up? It did strike us, when we looked at it, there didn't seem to be any mention of prosecutions.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Is your point, Dame Sandra, that, in relation to something as potentially life changing for the people involved as being prosecuted, there ought to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> <li>MR CASEY: Thank you very much, I've no more questions.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Maybe I since there is that document which you have kindly brought to our attention, maybe we could have it once more up? It did strike us, when we looked at it, there didn't seem to be any mention of prosecutions.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Is your point, Dame Sandra, that, in relation to something as potentially life changing for the people involved as being prosecuted, there ought to be some mention of it?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> <li>MR CASEY: Thank you very much, I've no more questions.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Maybe I since there is that document which you have kindly brought to our attention, maybe we could have it once more up? It did strike us, when we looked at it, there didn't seem to be any mention of prosecutions.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Is your point, Dame Sandra, that, in relation to something as potentially life changing for the people involved as being prosecuted, there ought to be some mention of it?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Particularly as this is 2015. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> <li>MR CASEY: Thank you very much, I've no more questions.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Maybe I since there is that document which you have kindly brought to our attention, maybe we could have it once more up? It did strike us, when we looked at it, there didn't seem to be any mention of prosecutions.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Is your point, Dame Sandra, that, in relation to something as potentially life changing for the people involved as being prosecuted, there ought to be some mention of it?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Particularly as this is 2015. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>director, a member of a senior team, was performing their role appropriately, and that, if they weren't, it would be picked up through performance management matters.</li> <li>MR CASEY: If a board received a paper from the GC, from which it was clear that the GC had received external advice and was providing a summary of that external advice in their paper to the board, wouldn't the assumption of the board be that that paper contained what they needed to know?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: That would be a reasonable assumption.</li> <li>MR CASEY: Thank you very much, I've no more questions.</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Maybe I since there is that document which you have kindly brought to our attention, maybe we could have it once more up? It did strike us, when we looked at it, there didn't seem to be any mention of prosecutions.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Is your point, Dame Sandra, that, in relation to something as potentially life changing for the people involved as being prosecuted, there ought to be some mention of it?</li> <li>DAME SANDRA: Particularly as this is 2015. Yes.</li> </ul>

1	an executive team is, with the chief executive being
2	ultimately responsible, I completely agree with this
3	statement
4	MR CASEY: And
5	DAME SANDRA: because it's their statement. I think it's
6	very important to know that this is what POL determined
7	in 2015 that they wanted of their General Counsel and it
8	would be reasonable for the Board to believe that what
9	they wanted would be what they got.
10	MR CASEY: Obviously a general counsel may have spent their
11	professional life in one particular area of the law. Do
12	you think that a general counsel should, if an issue
13	crops up that they are not familiar with, engage
14	external counsel to assist them?
15	DAME SANDRA: I do.
16	MR CASEY: Then the third role of the General Counsel set
17	out here is to provide understandable, accurate and
18	timely advice to the business. Can you agree that that
19	would be an
20	DAME SANDRA: I do.
21	MR CASEY: would be an expectation?
22	Do you agree a board would have an expectation that
23	a GC who was performing these roles, unless they knew
24	something to the contrary, would be performing them
25	competently?
	86
1	MR BEER: I don't think we know the precise date in 2015
2	and, therefore, I don't think we know whether it pre or
3	post-dated the Post Office's decision, effectively, to
4	cease prosecution activities.
5	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, no doubt, when you and I reflect
6	upon it, Mr Beer, if we think it important, we will send
7	an appropriate notice to the Post Office asking them to
8	provide the date.
9	<b>MR BEER:</b> I think we can probably narrow it down from other
10	evidence because, in fact, this is a job spec. It is
11	essentially the job specification for a new General
12	Counsel, and so I think
13	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: So that I can put this in context, it's
14	the job specification to which Ms MacLeod ultimately
15	responded and got the job?
16	<b>MR BEER:</b> I believe that to be the case.
17	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine okay.
18	<b>MR BEER:</b> Sir, I haven't any questions arising. Do you?
19	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: No, thank you.
20	So, Dame Sandra and Dr Steward, I'm extremely
21	grateful to you both. Anyone just looking at your
22	written evidence will know that you must have put in
23	a huge effort to produce it in the timescales you were
24	asked to, so I'm extremely grateful to you, and I'm
25	extremely grateful for your oral answers over the course 88

# The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

SIR 

1	of yesterday and this morning. So thank you very much.
2	We still have about five minutes to go, I suspect,
3	with various announcements, so I'm very happy for you to
4	skedaddle, so to speak, or you can sit there and listen
5	for five minutes.
6	DAME SANDRA: We'll stay.
7	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You'll stay. You remind me of the jurors
8	who like to stay even for the sentencing process.
9	Right.
10	All right then, Mr Beer, over to you.
11	Statement by MR BEER
12	MR BEER: Sir, that is presently all of the oral evidence
13	that we intend to call before you in the Inquiry.
14	As I have explained previously, at the end of some
15	of the previous phases, to ensure that the Inquiry has
16	obtained as full a picture of the issues as possible,
17	Rule 9 requests were sent to a very wide pool of
18	individuals, a wider pool than those who have ultimately
19	been called before you to give their oral evidence.
20	Where the Inquiry has decided that it is not
21	necessary to hear oral evidence from such individuals,
22	their statements will be admitted into evidence and
23	treated as having been read into the record. Their
24	witness statements will be shortly disclosed to the
25	public on the Inquiry's website.
	89
1	Human Impact statements or supplemental Human Impact
1 2	Human Impact statements or supplemental Human Impact statements.
2	statements.
2 3	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer.
2 3 4	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we
2 3 4 5	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark;
2 3 4 5 6	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd
2 3 4 5 6 7	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the record, please, Malcolm Macleod's witness statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the record, please, Malcolm Macleod's witness statement. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the record, please, Malcolm Macleod's witness statement. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. MR BEER: Phases 5 and 6, there's a lot of these: Alan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the record, please, Malcolm Macleod's witness statement. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. MR BEER: Phases 5 and 6, there's a lot of these: Alan Barrie; Simon Baker; Peter Batten; Sir Donald Brydon;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the record, please, Malcolm Macleod's witness statement. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. MR BEER: Phases 5 and 6, there's a lot of these: Alan Barrie; Simon Baker; Peter Batten; Sir Donald Brydon; David Cavender KC; Catherine Churchard; Jeremy Cope;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the record, please, Malcolm Macleod's witness statement. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. MR BEER: Phases 5 and 6, there's a lot of these: Alan Barrie; Simon Baker; Peter Batten; Sir Donald Brydon; David Cavender KC; Catherine Churchard; Jeremy Cope; Peter Corbett; David Courtley.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	statements. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the record, please, Malcolm Macleod's witness statement. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. MR BEER: Phases 5 and 6, there's a lot of these: Alan Barrie; Simon Baker; Peter Batten; Sir Donald Brydon; David Cavender KC; Catherine Churchard; Jeremy Cope; Peter Corbett; David Courtley. Over the page: Gareth Davies; Dr Naranker Dulay;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>statements.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer.</li> <li>MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the record, please, Malcolm Macleod's witness statement.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Phases 5 and 6, there's a lot of these: Alan Barrie; Simon Baker; Peter Batten; Sir Donald Brydon; David Cavender KC; Catherine Churchard; Jeremy Cope; Peter Corbett; David Courtley.</li> <li>Over the page: Gareth Davies; Dr Naranker Dulay; Douglas Evans; Richard Francis; Andy Furey; Robert</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>statements.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer.</li> <li>MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the record, please, Malcolm Macleod's witness statement.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Phases 5 and 6, there's a lot of these: Alan Barrie; Simon Baker; Peter Batten; Sir Donald Brydon; David Cavender KC; Catherine Churchard; Jeremy Cope; Peter Corbett; David Courtley.</li> <li>Over the page: Gareth Davies; Dr Naranker Dulay; Douglas Evans; Richard Francis; Andy Furey; Robert Gilbert; William Hayes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>statements.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer.</li> <li>MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the record, please, Malcolm Macleod's witness statement.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Phases 5 and 6, there's a lot of these: Alan Barrie; Simon Baker; Peter Batten; Sir Donald Brydon; David Cavender KC; Catherine Churchard; Jeremy Cope; Peter Corbett; David Courtley.</li> <li>Over the page: Gareth Davies; Dr Naranker Dulay; Douglas Evans; Richard Francis; Andy Furey; Robert Gilbert; William Hayes.</li> <li>Over the page, please: Darren Heilig; Jane Hill; Jonathan Hill; Andy Holt; Gareth James; Sir Sajid Javid; The Right Honourable Alan Johnson.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>statements.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer.</li> <li>MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the record, please, Malcolm Macleod's witness statement.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Phases 5 and 6, there's a lot of these: Alan Barrie; Simon Baker; Peter Batten; Sir Donald Brydon; David Cavender KC; Catherine Churchard; Jeremy Cope; Peter Corbett; David Courtley.</li> <li>Over the page: Gareth Davies; Dr Naranker Dulay; Douglas Evans; Richard Francis; Andy Furey; Robert Gilbert; William Hayes.</li> <li>Over the page, please: Darren Heilig; Jane Hill; Jonathan Hill; Andy Holt; Gareth James; Sir Sajid Javid; The Right Honourable Alan Johnson.</li> <li>Over the page, please: Professor Jeffrey Kramer; Sir</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>statements.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer.</li> <li>MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the record, please, Malcolm Macleod's witness statement.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Phases 5 and 6, there's a lot of these: Alan Barrie; Simon Baker; Peter Batten; Sir Donald Brydon; David Cavender KC; Catherine Churchard; Jeremy Cope; Peter Corbett; David Courtley.</li> <li>Over the page: Gareth Davies; Dr Naranker Dulay; Douglas Evans; Richard Francis; Andy Furey; Robert Gilbert; William Hayes.</li> <li>Over the page, please: Darren Heilig; Jane Hill; Jonathan Hill; Andy Holt; Gareth James; Sir Sajid Javid; The Right Honourable Alan Johnson.</li> <li>Over the page, please: Professor Jeffrey Kramer; Sir Norman Lamb; John Lloyd; Baroness Neville-Rolfe, her</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>statements.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course, Mr Beer.</li> <li>MR BEER: Thank you, slide 3, please. In Phase 3 can we read into the record the statements of Alison Clark; John Courtley; Paul Gardner; Russell Hancock; Davyd Nash; Jane Smith; and Philippa Wright.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Next slide please. Phase 4, can we read into the record, please, Malcolm Macleod's witness statement.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>MR BEER: Phases 5 and 6, there's a lot of these: Alan Barrie; Simon Baker; Peter Batten; Sir Donald Brydon; David Cavender KC; Catherine Churchard; Jeremy Cope; Peter Corbett; David Courtley.</li> <li>Over the page: Gareth Davies; Dr Naranker Dulay; Douglas Evans; Richard Francis; Andy Furey; Robert Gilbert; William Hayes.</li> <li>Over the page, please: Darren Heilig; Jane Hill; Jonathan Hill; Andy Holt; Gareth James; Sir Sajid Javid; The Right Honourable Alan Johnson.</li> <li>Over the page, please: Professor Jeffrey Kramer; Sir</li> </ul>

necessarily untested by examination in this room.
So, sir, I'm going to read into the record, if
I may, a series of witness statements made across the
phases. Can we have, please, on the screen INQ00002029,
the PowerPoint presentation.
Thank you. Essentially, sir, this identifies in
each slide that I'm going to present the statements and
their URNs that are to be read into the record.
Rather than me either reading the URNs out, less
still reading the witness statements out, as is
sometimes done in other contexts, I'm going to read in
the statements across Phases 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7, and
that reflects the fact that, even though the previous
phases have closed, the Inquiry has continued to seek
evidence about relevant matters, or has been provided
without conditioning for such evidence.
Can we look at slide 2, please. May we treat as
read into the record, please, the witness statements of
David Farry; Julie Kay, that's Mrs Wolstenholme; Siema
Kamran; John Kenneth Macaldowie; Tracy Ann Merrit; and
Robert Thompson, with those URNs. They are essentially 90
second statement.
Over the page: Tim McCormack; Arthur Owen (Les
Owen); Laura Thompson; Sophie Underwood; Mark Underwood;
Dave Ward; Tom Wechsler; Michael Whitehead. In Phase 5
and 6, please.
Can we move to Phase 7, please. May we read into
the record the statements of: Sarah Bell; Thomas Cooper,
that's his second statement; Sir Ross Cranston; Carl
Creswell, his third statement; Andrew Darfoor; Brian
Gaunt; Sarah Gray; Calum Greenhow, a second statement;
Lisa Harrington; Sir Gary Hickinbottom; Professor
Christopher Hodges; Simon Jeffreys.
Over the page please: Christopher Leach; Dan
O'Mahoney; Zarin Patel; Martin Roberts; Rachel
Scarrabelotti, her seventh statement; Carla Stent;
Richard Taylor; Rod Williams, a second statement; Owen
Woodley; and Paul Wood. As read into the record,
please.
SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
<b>MR BEER:</b> Thank you very much. I should say that, after
today, the Inquiry will continue to seek evidence in
pursuit of its investigation and in discharge of its
Terms of Reference. Any statements that we obtain will
be disclosed to Core Participants and uploaded to the
Inquiry's website. 92
JL

I should say that the fact that the statements are

accounts given within them are necessarily agreed by

each or any of the Core Participants. That evidence is

to be read into the record does not mean that the

5

1	Finally, sir, you know that we resume for oral
2	closing submissions from the Core Participants but not
3	from your counsel, over two days on 16 and 17 December
4	this year.
5	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
6	MR BEER: Thank you, sir.
7	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: In relation to what I might call
8	statements which will arrive from now onwards, some, if
9	not all of those, may also, in due course, become
10	evidence in the Inquiry but I don't presume to convene
11	a hearing simply so that Mr Beer can say to me "Will you
12	read these into the record?"
13	It will be read into the record by my accepting them
14	as evidence and Core Participants will be notified when
15	that occurs.
16	MR BEER: Yes, they will be sent out to Core Participants
17	with a note to that effect, uploaded to the website.
18	For those that come in between now and 16 December, if
19	you'll permit me, I might do what I've just done now, on
20	the morning of 16 December.
21	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
22	<b>MR BEER:</b> We anticipate a smattering of statements between
23	now and then.
24	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, fine.
25	MR BEER: Thank you very much, sir. 93

Statement by SIR WYN WILLIAMS

1

<ul> <li>run as smoothly as every other phase has done. That if</li> <li>a remarkable achievement, in my opinion, because it</li> <li>means that every phase of this Inquiry has operated as</li> <li>it should have done and, for that, I can only express my</li> <li>considerable thanks, firstly to my magnificent team, in</li> <li>all its aspects, which goes from lawyers, on the one</li> <li>hand, to the lady who uploads the documents onto the</li> <li>screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and</li> <li>everybody in between.</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>to say at this stage?</li> <li>Well, firstly, I want to say that I am extremely</li> <li>grateful to everyone who has helped to make this phase</li> <li>run as smoothly as every other phase has done. That i</li> <li>a remarkable achievement, in my opinion, because it</li> <li>means that every phase of this Inquiry has operated as</li> <li>it should have done and, for that, I can only express my</li> <li>considerable thanks, firstly to my magnificent team, in</li> <li>all its aspects, which goes from lawyers, on the one</li> <li>hand, to the lady who uploads the documents onto the</li> <li>screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and</li> <li>everybody in between.</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	2	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, then I suppose, as they say in
<ul> <li>Well, firstly, I want to say that I am extremely</li> <li>grateful to everyone who has helped to make this phase</li> <li>run as smoothly as every other phase has done. That i</li> <li>a remarkable achievement, in my opinion, because it</li> <li>means that every phase of this Inquiry has operated as</li> <li>it should have done and, for that, I can only express my</li> <li>considerable thanks, firstly to my magnificent team, in</li> <li>all its aspects, which goes from lawyers, on the one</li> <li>hand, to the lady who uploads the documents onto the</li> <li>screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and</li> <li>everybody in between.</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	3	court, the judge gets the last word. So what do I want
<ul> <li>grateful to everyone who has helped to make this phase</li> <li>run as smoothly as every other phase has done. That i</li> <li>a remarkable achievement, in my opinion, because it</li> <li>means that every phase of this Inquiry has operated as</li> <li>it should have done and, for that, I can only express my</li> <li>considerable thanks, firstly to my magnificent team, in</li> <li>all its aspects, which goes from lawyers, on the one</li> <li>hand, to the lady who uploads the documents onto the</li> <li>screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and</li> <li>everybody in between.</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	4	to say at this stage?
<ul> <li>run as smoothly as every other phase has done. That i</li> <li>a remarkable achievement, in my opinion, because it</li> <li>means that every phase of this Inquiry has operated as</li> <li>it should have done and, for that, I can only express my</li> <li>considerable thanks, firstly to my magnificent team, in</li> <li>all its aspects, which goes from lawyers, on the one</li> <li>hand, to the lady who uploads the documents onto the</li> <li>screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and</li> <li>everybody in between.</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	5	Well, firstly, I want to say that I am extremely
<ul> <li>a remarkable achievement, in my opinion, because it</li> <li>means that every phase of this Inquiry has operated as</li> <li>it should have done and, for that, I can only express my</li> <li>considerable thanks, firstly to my magnificent team, in</li> <li>all its aspects, which goes from lawyers, on the one</li> <li>hand, to the lady who uploads the documents onto the</li> <li>screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and</li> <li>everybody in between.</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	6	grateful to everyone who has helped to make this phase
<ul> <li>means that every phase of this Inquiry has operated as</li> <li>it should have done and, for that, I can only express my</li> <li>considerable thanks, firstly to my magnificent team, in</li> <li>all its aspects, which goes from lawyers, on the one</li> <li>hand, to the lady who uploads the documents onto the</li> <li>screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and</li> <li>everybody in between.</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	7	run as smoothly as every other phase has done. That is
<ul> <li>it should have done and, for that, I can only express my</li> <li>considerable thanks, firstly to my magnificent team, in</li> <li>all its aspects, which goes from lawyers, on the one</li> <li>hand, to the lady who uploads the documents onto the</li> <li>screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and</li> <li>everybody in between.</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	8	a remarkable achievement, in my opinion, because it
<ul> <li>considerable thanks, firstly to my magnificent team, in</li> <li>all its aspects, which goes from lawyers, on the one</li> <li>hand, to the lady who uploads the documents onto the</li> <li>screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and</li> <li>everybody in between.</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	9	means that every phase of this Inquiry has operated as
<ul> <li>all its aspects, which goes from lawyers, on the one</li> <li>hand, to the lady who uploads the documents onto the</li> <li>screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and</li> <li>everybody in between.</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	10	it should have done and, for that, I can only express my
<ul> <li>hand, to the lady who uploads the documents onto the</li> <li>screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and</li> <li>everybody in between.</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	11	considerable thanks, firstly to my magnificent team, in
<ul> <li>screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and</li> <li>screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and</li> <li>everybody in between.</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	12	all its aspects, which goes from lawyers, on the one
<ul> <li>everybody in between.</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degra</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	13	hand, to the lady who uploads the documents onto the
<ul> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help</li> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	14	screen, and the lady who transcribes, on the other, and
<ul> <li>they have afforded to me during all these phases.</li> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	15	everybody in between.
<ul> <li>Without your assistance, it could not have happened in</li> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	16	I'd like to thank all Core Participants for the help
<ul> <li>the way that it has happened.</li> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degr</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	17	they have afforded to me during all these phases.
<ul> <li>I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose</li> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	18	Without your assistance, it could not have happened in
<ul> <li>has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,</li> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degr</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	19	the way that it has happened.
<ul> <li>for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence</li> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degr</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	20	I'd like to pay a special tribute to everybody whose
<ul> <li>that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree</li> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	21	has attended this Inquiry over, now, about three years,
<ul> <li>of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,</li> <li>people have kept themselves well under control, and has</li> </ul>	22	for their exemplary behaviour. Some of the evidence
25 people have kept themselves well under control, and ha	23	that we have heard, not unnaturally, has caused a degree
	24	of tension in the room, should I say, yet, despite that,
	25	people have kept themselves well under control, and have 95

- 1 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, thank you, Mr Beer.
- 2 MR HENRY: Can I just mention one thing, please, arising
- 3 from that, and I do apologise, perhaps I should have
  - actually dealt with this administratively.
    - In view of the volume of extra statements and
- 6 appreciating that you, sir, will have to read the
- 7 closing submissions before the oral submissions are
- 8 made, I was wondering if I could ask for a very modest
- 9 extension from Friday, 6 to Monday, 9 December for the
- 10 submission of the written submissions?
- 11 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: You mean you want to deprive me of the
- 12 opportunity of using my weekend to read your statement,
- 13 Mr Henry?
- 14 **MR HENRY:** I'm afraid so, sir.
- 15 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, I think I'll graciously accede to
- 16 that.

- 17 MR HENRY: Thank you.
- 18 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I think, let's be formal now about it.
- 19 I will say, unless Mr Beer wants to contradict me,
- 20 that the time for providing the written closing
- 21 statement is now extended to 2.00 pm on the Monday that
- 22 follows Friday, the 6th.
- 23 MR BEER: Thank you, sir.
- 24 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it?
  - **MR BEER:** It is from me, sir. 94

1	treated the Inquiry with the respect it deserves.
2	I say "the Inquiry" because often, I've just been
3	a figure on a screen but that has not led to anybody
4	misbehaving. So for that, I'm extremely grateful.
5	I want to say one thing about the closing
6	submissions. We've invited those writing the closing
7	submissions for the 16th and 17th to confine themselves
8	to 100 pages. There is one exception to that, which
9	I want to explain publicly so that there is no
10	misunderstanding about it.
11	Mr Gareth Jenkins' representatives have asked to be
12	allowed to write a longer closing statement. I have
13	acceded to that request because you may recall that
14	Mr Jenkins's attempts to give evidence were thwarted for
15	various reasons over the course of the Inquiry and so
16	his representatives were deprived of the opportunity of
17	making closing submissions at the end of particular
18	phases.
19	So I have thought it appropriate to permit them to
20	make a longer statement because everybody else has had
21	the opportunity to make statements as we are going
22	along. So that's the reason for it, if anybody says,
23	"Why have they got 140 pages and we've got 100?" They
24	all add up to roughly the same when you put them
25	together.

1	Then I have two announcements to make about
2	publications. Well, it's one announcement, really, but
3	about two different issues.
4	I have had to determine an issue raised by the Post
5	Office about the reports which they supplied to the
6	Inquiry from a barrister named Jonathan Laidlaw KC.
7	That determination will appear on the website shortly
8	after we stop this afternoon now. I have decided to do
9	it in that way, not read it out, because it is
10	essentially a legal document and it is much better to be
11	read and digested rather than me sound off and drone
12	away here for ten minutes. So that will appear shortly.
13	In the same document, I will invite those who are
14	making written closing submissions to address a specific
15	topic which I will call post-conviction disclosure.
16	Again, it's number of paragraphs which deal with that
17	issue, and I don't seek to the summarise what I will say
18	in the document but, again, it will be a request by me
19	that, in closing submissions, that issue is dealt with
20	in the way that I describe in the document.
21	So with those words and my repeat of the thanks to
22	everyone, I bring this session to an end. I will see
23	you all on the 16th and 17th and, unless I am persuaded
24	otherwise, I won't see you again before I produce
25	a report.
	07

#### INDEX

DAME SANDRA JUNE NOBLE DAWSON (continued)

DR KATY MARY STEWARD (continued)	1
Questioned by MR HENRY	2
Questioned by MR STEIN	23
Questioned by MR MOLONEY	51
Questioned by MS WATT	71
Questioned by MR CASEY	79
Statement by MR BEER	89
Statement by SIR WYN WILLIAMS	95

- But I'll see you on the 16th and 17th. So thank you
- 2 all very much.
- 3 MR BEER: Thank you, sir.
- 4 (12.23 pm)
- 5 (The hearing adjourned until Monday, 16 December 2024)

	31/22 32/10 32/17	<b>133 [1]</b> 17/23	6	45/14 66/8 84/24
	32/23 33/2 33/7 33/15			accede [1] 94/15
DAME SANDRA:	33/20 33/22 34/3	140 pages [1] 96/23	<b>6,500 [1]</b> 71/12	acceded [1] 96/13
[193]	34/10 34/17 35/4 35/8		6th [1] 94/22	accept [2] 76/6 80/3
DR STEWARD: [17]	35/12 35/15 35/21	<b>15 [2]</b> 2/13 66/25	7	acceptable [2] 3/6
7/18 8/1 12/24 13/4	36/13 37/1 37/5 37/10			4/5
22/11 31/19 40/20	37/16 37/18 37/21	<b>16 [3]</b> 6/23 93/3	<b>7.51 [1]</b> 11/17	acceptance [1] 64/2
43/12 47/6 48/11 50/4	38/14 39/1 40/8 40/21		8	accepted [1] 6/5
54/13 55/14 69/25	41/5 41/8 41/14 41/19		-	accepting [1] 93/13
70/22 73/7 73/16	44/8 45/8 45/21 46/6	93/18 98/5	<b>8,500 [1]</b> 71/12	access [1] 36/25
MR BEER: [23] 1/15	47/22 49/10 49/12	<b>16th [3]</b> 96/7 97/23	<b>88 [1]</b> 30/10	according [1] 79/5
2/1 2/4 2/7 2/20 51/9	49/14 49/16 50/3 50/9		9	Accordingly [1]
51/15 88/1 88/9 88/16	51/10	<b>17 [1]</b> 93/3		46/18
88/18 89/12 91/4 91/9	<b>MS WATT: [11]</b> 71/2		9 December [1] 94/9	account [5] 39/22
91/12 92/20 93/6	71/7 73/13 73/20	98/1	9 September [2]	45/25 58/11 66/18
93/16 93/22 93/25	74/10 74/15 74/25	<b>1995 [2]</b> 26/18 27/2	10/11 10/24	67/1
94/23 94/25 98/3	75/9 78/3 78/9 79/15	<b>1996 [2]</b> 41/11 42/23	<b>9.00 [1]</b> 11/19	
MR CASEY: [26]	SIR WYN WILLIAMS:		<b>9.35 [1]</b> 1/2	accountability [9] 15/1 19/22 26/13
79/17 79/20 79/24	<b>[53]</b> 1/5 1/21 2/2 2/5	2	<b>95 [5]</b> 25/20 25/20	46/19 57/11 58/7 60/9
80/19 80/25 81/20	2/16 5/15 15/4 15/10	<b>2.00 [1]</b> 94/21	26/1 26/2 26/3	76/1 76/20
81/22 82/7 82/15		<b>20 August [1]</b> 9/23	Α	
82/17 82/21 82/23	15/23 16/5 16/11	<b>20 per cent [1]</b> 32/6		Accounting [1] 81/6
83/17 83/25 84/2	16/14 17/7 17/12 17/14 22/23 23/4 35/9		ability [2] 44/19 47/3	accounts [1] 90/3
84/21 84/25 85/9		<b>2000 [1]</b> 41/10	able [14] 1/10 7/13	accurate [2] 83/9
85/14 85/20 86/4	35/14 35/17 50/10 50/18 50/24 51/3 51/5		30/19 36/25 43/18	86/17
86/10 86/16 86/21		57/7	43/23 43/24 44/20	achieve [1] 24/19
87/6 87/13	51/11 51/20 70/24		46/9 47/4 53/22 69/8	achievement [1] 95/8
MR HENRY: [41]	71/4 79/16 79/18	2012 [1] 81/2 2013 [14] 7/7 7/20	69/17 74/21	achieving [1] 75/1
2/22 3/2 3/14 4/12	79/21 87/19 87/24 88/5 88/13 88/17	8/14 9/18 9/23 9/24	about [96] 1/5 7/11 7/24 9/19 11/17 11/24	acid [1] 70/15
4/19 4/24 5/9 5/17 6/5	88/19 89/7 91/3 91/8	11/3 13/17 24/15	14/23 15/23 16/16	acquiescence [1] 5/4 across [10] 33/16
6/8 6/19 7/1 7/24 8/6	91/11 92/19 93/5 93/7		16/19 19/5 19/16	55/7 56/15 71/12
11/1 11/12 13/7 13/12	93/21 93/24 94/1	81/3 81/24	19/23 20/1 21/2 24/14	
14/6 14/11 14/17	94/11 94/15 94/18	<b>2014 [2]</b> 12/24 12/25	25/17 25/22 26/19	81/12 90/7 90/16
14/19 14/22 17/13	94/24 95/2	<b>2015 [6]</b> 31/10 41/16		act [3] 4/1 41/11 43/1
17/15 17/23 18/4 18/6		82/20 86/7 87/23 88/1	32/12 32/15 34/14	acting [1] 19/10
19/8 19/20 20/4 20/19	•	<b>2018 [3]</b> 21/12 21/13	37/25 39/6 39/13	action [2] 4/16 78/21
21/3 21/10 21/13 22/7	'90s [3] 63/2 63/6	66/11	40/15 41/6 41/12	activities [4] 34/20
22/20 23/1 94/2 94/14	63/8	<b>2020 [4]</b> 7/3 64/10	42/19 43/16 43/23	34/23 37/7 88/4
94/17	'now' [1] 13/22	64/11 65/19	44/2 44/3 45/8 45/16	actual [4] 16/16
MR MOLONEY: [50]		<b>2023 [1]</b> 65/19	45/23 46/15 47/11	16/19 17/4 31/24
51/18 51/23 53/16	1	<b>2024 [2]</b> 1/1 98/5	51/24 52/11 54/24	actually [17] 1/12
54/1 54/19 55/15	1.34 billion [2] 24/21	<b>21.25 [1]</b> 9/24	55/16 55/20 56/2 56/3	
55/20 55/23 56/1	24/22	27 August [2] 8/14	58/13 58/13 58/14	32/6 33/10 33/24
56/12 56/18 56/20	10 September [1]	11/21	58/16 58/17 59/5 59/9	
57/5 57/14 58/20	13/17	29 August [3] 9/18	59/16 60/19 60/24	48/4 48/6 63/15 81/19
58/23 59/1 59/16	10 years [1] 53/12	11/2 11/22	61/3 61/4 61/9 61/13	94/4
59/23 60/2 60/5 60/8	<b>10.000 [1]</b> 55/6		61/21 62/15 62/20	adaptation [1] 78/3
61/1 62/8 62/14 62/20	10.15 [1] 22/22	3	62/22 62/25 63/1 66/6	
63/4 63/10 63/13	<b>10.55 [1]</b> 51/12	3 September [4] 9/24	66/15 67/6 67/24	31/15 54/12 73/6
63/18 64/4 64/9 64/11	100 [1] 96/23	11/16 11/19 11/24	69/21 75/14 75/17	96/24
64/15 64/25 65/5	100 pages [1] 96/8	<b>30 [2]</b> 2/13 2/14	75/25 76/13 76/22	address [1] 97/14
65/25 66/8 66/11	<b>106 [2]</b> 5/10 5/13	30 August [1] 11/23	76/25 78/9 79/7 80/5	addressed [1] 72/15
66/20 66/23 67/8	11 September [1]	<b>31 [1]</b> 5/16	80/8 80/10 82/1 84/2	addressing [3] 34/21
67/12 68/12 68/17	13/13	<b>350 [1]</b> 62/6	89/2 90/19 94/18	46/6 72/16
68/20 69/6 69/16	<b>11.10 [1]</b> 51/9	4	95/21 96/5 96/10 97/1	
70/12 70/23	<b>11.11 [1]</b> 51/14		97/3 97/5	adequately [1] 57/16
MR STEIN: [68] 23/7	<b>12 [1]</b> 83/6	<b>40 [2]</b> 39/2 39/5	above [4] 45/18 73/3	adjourned [1] 98/5
23/18 23/21 24/5 24/13 25/10 25/12	12 December [1] 7/3	41 [1] 39/17	78/22 85/5	adjudication [3] 8/19
25/15 26/1 26/3 26/8	<b>12.23 [1]</b> 98/4	<b>45 [2]</b> 2/9 2/10	abroad [1] 34/5	10/2 12/9
26/16 27/8 27/16	<b>12.30 [1]</b> 2/15	5	abrogate [1] 84/10	administration [1]
27/20 27/24 28/5	<b>121s [1]</b> 21/15		absolute [1] 53/17	58/9
28/20 29/1 29/13 30/3	<b>129 [1]</b> 17/23	<b>527 [1]</b> 41/20	absolutely [8] 4/24	administratively [1]
30/10 30/14 31/16	13 November 2024		6/19 18/4 18/18 38/23	
	<b>[1]</b> 1/1			admitted [1] 89/22
L	L	1	(26) D	AME SANDRA: - admitted

Α	51/22 59/22 64/7	28/25 30/5 31/4 31/7	appreciate [2] 75/10	assessment [4]
	70/23 72/6 73/3 76/20	33/8 33/22 39/13 48/6		68/12 69/16 69/20
adopt [1] 27/7 adopted [2] 69/1 78/4	78/17 83/7 84/6 85/5	49/4 49/14 49/21	appreciating [1] 94/6	70/18
advantage [1] 74/7	85/5 89/10 89/12 93/9		apprise [1] 8/10	assist [2] 30/25
advice [17] 7/19 8/2	95/12 95/16 95/17	30/23	approach [6] 20/8	86/14
10/8 10/10 12/15	96/24 97/23 98/2 allowed [2] 6/22	answering [1] 49/25 answers [1] 88/25	21/18 60/9 68/2 68/21 69/1	assistance [2] 10/13 95/18
16/17 18/21 34/21	96/12	anticipate [1] 93/22	appropriate [3] 15/16	
36/6 36/15 37/12	almost [3] 24/21	any [27] 2/18 2/25	88/7 96/19	80/22
65/24 66/17 83/9 86/18 87/8 87/9	27/24 50/13	10/13 11/11 12/19	appropriately [2]	assume [7] 80/3 80/6
advices [3] 37/2 37/3	along [3] 2/2 25/2	18/19 22/10 35/2	77/4 87/3	80/15 80/16 81/4
37/5	96/22	36/15 37/10 42/19	approval [2] 15/11	81/20 82/8
advise [2] 12/12 13/9	already [2] 54/2 61/13	47/2 52/15 57/14 61/6 61/8 63/13 65/8 65/15		assumed [2] 80/2 81/13
afflicts [1] 1/18	also [23] 1/18 1/23	67/14 69/23 82/5 82/7	29/22 30/1	assuming [2] 14/11
afforded [1] 95/17	9/3 14/22 18/17 19/1	87/17 88/18 90/4	ARC [4] 7/14 7/20 8/3	
afraid [4] 5/10 11/3 27/5 94/14	20/23 21/1 23/9 24/19		14/7	assumption [8] 8/8
after [6] 10/14 46/23	24/25 35/25 37/25	anybody [5] 5/15	are [105]	80/9 80/20 80/25
55/5 56/25 92/20 97/8	40/5 40/9 42/2 47/2	41/19 57/15 96/3	area [4] 34/8 35/2	81/22 87/1 87/10
afternoon [2] 71/7	48/4 54/4 65/15 79/6	96/22	35/18 86/11	87/12
97/8	83/17 93/9 although [3] 9/12	anyone [2] 42/23 88/21	aren't [1] 59/24 arguably [1] 49/19	assumptions [2] 20/17 39/19
again [12] 15/18 24/2	19/17 42/22	anything [7] 1/12	argue [2] 50/25 51/1	assurance [1] 47/16
28/13 46/17 61/25 64/25 78/17 82/3	Altman [17] 5/18 6/8	7/17 44/24 47/13	argument [1] 7/2	at [123]
85/22 97/16 97/18	7/5 7/6 7/6 7/8 7/19	54/12 74/5 76/14	arise [1] 79/8	attacks [1] 25/2
97/24	8/21 9/25 10/8 12/12	anyway [2] 35/6		
against [6] 4/23	13/8 13/15 14/1 14/3	69/19	88/18 94/2	attempts [1] 96/14
23/24 42/21 43/16	15/2 16/18 Altman's [3] 7/7	apologise [1] 94/3 apparent [1] 72/16	arm [2] 28/7 38/22 arm's [3] 32/10 32/12	attend [1] 31/25 attendance [1] 32/8
64/20 65/2	10/15 15/7	apparently [4] 7/6	38/21	attended [1] 95/21
aggrieved [1] 42/16	altruism [1] 3/5	55/5 60/4 81/21	arm's-length [2]	attending [1] 18/23
ago [1] 53/12 agree [31] 3/11 3/12	always [2] 45/4 60/19		32/12 38/21	attention [11] 5/23
4/8 4/9 19/8 23/19	am [9] 1/2 8/20 34/2	appear [9] 6/20 9/12	around [6] 11/19	6/16 6/18 6/21 30/7
25/5 28/11 30/3 33/3	51/12 51/14 55/22		24/15 24/18 30/4	36/5 36/9 43/3 48/15
37/16 40/17 40/18	77/6 95/5 97/23 amalgam [1] 22/6	64/20 79/4 97/7 97/12 appeared [1] 55/5	arrange [1] 21/15	55/4 87/15 attitudes [2] 72/4
40/19 40/21 73/7	amaze [1] 51/11	appears [6] 7/25 39/7		72/9
74/10 74/15 79/9 79/14 84/7 84/21 85/4	ambition [2] 12/6	39/14 39/15 59/12	42/1 45/4 77/11	attractive [2] 59/24
85/5 85/8 85/9 85/14	22/15	65/3	arrive [1] 93/8	60/2
85/22 86/2 86/18	amnesia [1] 55/21	appended [1] 27/14	arrogating [1] 2/24	audit [10] 13/21
86/22	amongst [1] 10/16	applicability [1] 48/1	Arthur [1] 92/2	13/23 14/12 14/24
agreed [8] 10/9 10/11	amount [2] 24/13 25/8	applicable [2] 26/19 34/6	as [161] aside [2] 3/23 60/10	15/12 15/15 41/22 46/21 46/22 83/3
10/24 12/13 16/1 16/8	amour [1] 20/20	application [1] 49/17	ask [29] 7/11 8/12	audits [2] 40/10
85/1 90/3	Andrew [1] 92/9	applied [7] 25/23	9/22 9/24 10/20 11/14	40/10
agreement [2] 5/19 77/23	Andy [3] 13/25 91/17	26/21 26/24 26/25	12/18 13/12 16/16	August [8] 8/14 8/21
aim [1] 75/14	91/20	28/9 28/22 49/24	35/7 50/11 51/24	9/18 9/23 11/2 11/21
aims [2] 75/20 75/23	Ann [1] 90/24	apply [18] 26/16 26/18 27/11 27/14	52/11 56/2 59/16 62/24 70/23 71/10	11/22 11/23
Alan [3] 17/19 91/12	announced [1] 1/15 announcement [1]	28/3 28/11 35/3 35/4	71/14 75/21 75/25	Aujard [2] 7/20 84/25 authority [5] 9/1 38/7
91/21	97/2	35/6 46/11 48/8 49/2	76/6 79/6 79/24 80/1	59/11 77/12 77/18
alarm [3] 2/4 2/5 14/5 Alasdair [1] 91/25	announcements [2]	49/6 49/8 49/21 50/13	80/3 80/6 84/2 94/8	available [3] 1/19
alert [1] 84/23	89/3 97/1	51/1 51/2	asked [11] 21/2 33/7	30/17 30/20
alerting [1] 85/15	annoyance [2] 20/24		39/6 54/23 56/3 60/19	
Alex [2] 75/9 75/17	78/25 annoyed [1] 21/1	34/3 59/17 77/15 appointment [10]	60/21 61/21 62/22 88/24 96/11	13/24 15/20 24/13 34/17 34/23 38/17
Alice [4] 6/2 6/13 8/9	annual [2] 60/18 66/6			40/2 55/15 63/22
12/6	annually [1] 53/6	32/14 56/23 61/3 61/9		85/10 85/17
Alison [1] 91/5 all [36] 1/8 1/21 21/3	anonymously [1]	66/6 69/19	45/24 61/2 80/3 81/20	awareness [1] 46/24
26/11 30/15 30/18	61/22	appointments [7]	82/7 82/8 88/7	away [3] 17/13 78/1
33/12 33/12 33/13	another [5] 4/5 22/5	29/21 29/23 29/24	aspect [2] 46/16	97/12
34/17 35/10 38/4	37/25 44/11 53/4 answer [15] 4/20	60/6 64/19 65/1 67/14 appraisal [1] 61/8	aspects [6] 41/5 64/3	В
45/18 47/7 48/20	10/20 10/22 11/3	appraised [1] 80/17	73/2 83/7 84/7 95/12	<b>B3.3 [1]</b> 71/25
L				(27) adopt - B3 3

(27) adopt - B3.3

В	89/19 89/23 90/19	69/15 70/7 70/13	Brydon [1] 91/13	Carl [1] 92/8
back [12] 17/14 25/6	96/2		bugs [5] 20/25 24/17	Carla [1] 92/15
25/12 41/9 44/9 44/21	Beer [13] 1/5 33/7	93/18 93/22 95/15	25/1 54/22 55/1	carried [1] 40/10
48/11 50/11 62/23	35/22 51/6 56/3 88/6	bias [1] 6/6	build [3] 53/19 53/19	carrying [2] 28/22
64/15 73/21 78/12	89/10 89/11 91/3	billion [2] 24/21	75/19	29/14
background [1]	93/11 94/1 94/19	24/22	built [1] 52/19	case [18] 3/16 6/24
44/24	99/16	bit [3] 49/18 62/7	bullet [1] 10/3	8/19 10/2 10/5 10/19
bad [2] 29/17 48/3	before [19] 10/22 11/17 11/24 14/16	78/12 Blood [1] 47/25	bullied [1] 43/20	11/10 12/10 22/12 34/7 35/9 52/9 55/11
Badenoch [1] 27/20	15/12 15/19 37/24	blurring [1] 70/7	buried [1] 29/16 business [13] 3/13	55/23 60/8 68/20
Baker [1] 91/13	46/6 48/12 57/10 64/7	board [116]	29/11 52/7 52/12	80/11 88/16
balance [2] 18/25	78/23 80/13 80/18	board's [2] 14/25	57/19 57/20 58/15	cases [4] 8/23 8/24
19/5 Bar [1] 33/25	80/23 89/13 89/19	43/3	58/16 81/12 83/10	63/22 81/1
Baroness [1] 91/23	94/7 97/24	boards [13] 4/10	85/3 85/15 86/18	Casey [4] 79/16
Barrie [1] 91/13	beg [1] 33/18	17/17 17/21 30/16	but [112]	79/19 79/23 99/14
barrier [1] 37/19	began [1] 61/9	36/9 36/11 36/14 50/1	С	catch [2] 60/1 85/13
barrister [2] 34/14	beggar [1] 55/24	57/16 59/25 63/1 68/5		Catherine [1] 91/14
97/6	begin [1] 77/10	68/14	calculating [1] 65/10 call [9] 11/7 16/17	caused [1] 95/23
barristers [1] 34/18	beginning [5] 21/13 60/15 62/16 66/14	bodies [7] 32/12 33/25 37/23 38/21	20/14 22/11 39/21	cautious [1] 45/16 Cavender [1] 91/14
base [1] 19/9	74/14	75/5 78/7 79/11	72/1 89/13 93/7 97/15	cease [2] 51/11 88/4
based [3] 63/16	begins [2] 10/23	body [18] 23/13 28/7	called [3] 33/18	cent [1] 32/6
72/20 80/2	65/23	28/22 29/5 29/17	33/19 89/19	central [1] 59/1
basic [3] 20/17 26/12 26/14	behalf [5] 2/8 2/9	32/10 36/2 37/21	Calum [1] 92/10	CEO [3] 9/19 13/7
basis [3] 14/11 67/25	29/15 79/24 82/9	37/24 38/4 38/9 38/10		79/25
69/10	behave [1] 50/22	47/15 48/8 48/9 58/21	can [81] 1/8 1/14	certain [1] 53/5
bat [1] 5/2	behaviour [15] 23/18	58/21 66/2	1/17 1/21 3/4 5/7 5/7	certainly [7] 23/20
Batten [1] 91/13	23/19 24/2 24/11 25/5	0 1 1	5/9 6/7 9/23 10/23 11/8 12/18 13/7 13/16	28/12 31/10 38/24
be [198]	25/9 30/17 40/16 41/15 42/19 49/19	bold [1] 10/23 bonding [1] 21/7	13/19 14/22 15/3 15/4	38/24 41/4 55/8
bear [1] 22/2	50/14 51/6 72/18	book [2] 41/15 43/12	15/4 16/6 16/16 17/24	certificates [1] 34/18
became [1] 28/6	95/22	both [11] 18/16 19/7	22/12 22/17 23/15	chair [11] 2/24 5/5
<b>because [38]</b> 3/18	behaviours [3] 31/9	20/12 36/11 43/2 52/5	23/15 23/25 24/2	13/21 15/18 17/1 18/8
4/1 4/18 6/1 8/8 11/6 13/15 18/6 21/21	31/13 49/1	57/15 74/6 74/7 79/20		18/14 30/6 35/24 62/2
22/20 27/8 28/16	behind [2] 39/15 43/6		30/10 33/12 34/3	77/15
28/25 29/24 32/21	being [28] 1/19 6/9	bottom [7] 4/4 26/2	38/22 39/1 42/6 47/7	chairman [4] 62/5
40/1 42/5 44/6 45/9	15/24 18/21 19/23	26/3 64/5 64/18 64/23	52/25 53/8 55/23 56/2 58/18 59/16 61/1 62/5	
53/4 53/23 58/6 61/2	20/20 20/24 28/22 39/12 43/19 43/24	66/3 boundaries [2] 24/12		59/18 65/12
61/10 62/24 64/11	51/4 52/23 55/6 57/18		67/14 70/17 71/6	challenge [14] 15/25
65/5 69/6 74/1 74/3	59/3 59/10 59/24 60/3		72/14 73/1 73/10 74/1	19/2 19/6 19/19 20/11
85/17 86/5 88/10 95/8 96/2 96/13 96/20 97/9	63/7 69/7 69/18 75/2	Bradshaw [1] 24/7	74/2 75/15 76/4 77/4	20/12 20/13 20/14
become [3] 54/15	75/14 76/12 81/14	branch [2] 29/10	77/17 79/8 79/20	20/17 21/22 66/16
74/3 93/9	86/1 87/21	81/9	79/21 84/10 84/12	75/1 75/24 77/3
becomes [1] 27/17	belief [1] 55/24	branches [2] 40/11	84/15 86/18 88/9 88/13 89/4 90/8 90/21	challenged [1] 16/3
been [61] 1/15 1/20	beliefs [3] 6/6 72/4 72/8	55/7 brand [1] 76/3	91/4 91/9 92/6 93/11	challenges [1] 20/19 challenging [2] 18/22
1/22 3/19 5/21 7/10	believe [18] 12/21	break [3] 2/11 51/7	94/2 95/10	challenging [2] 18/22 79/3
7/25 10/5 11/21 13/2	13/2 15/3 27/1 35/12	51/13	can't [13] 11/3 22/8	champions [3] 43/7
	37/14 42/14 47/9 59/7		22/23 27/7 27/15	44/23 75/6
16/8 16/20 16/23 19/9 19/24 20/15 20/16	61/13 63/16 66/2 67/5			change [13] 40/24
30/14 30/18 30/19	68/23 69/16 82/5 86/8		52/21 53/21 71/3	41/2 41/4 41/5 42/10
30/19 33/14 36/14	88/16	92/9	79/13 81/19	
36/20 36/23 36/25	Bell [1] 92/7	brief [2] 56/22 71/14	candour [11] 47/22	73/4 73/21 74/9 76/14
37/5 37/10 37/19	below [1] 8/16 best [8] 3/8 19/3 22/1	briefing [1] 46/24	48/1 48/2 48/8 49/5 49/8 49/21 49/23	changed [1] 72/10 changes [4] 45/23
39/12 41/8 42/22 43/4	46/19 51/6 68/14	bring [5] 22/2 69/12	49/25 50/4 50/5	61/16 72/15 73/7
44/13 45/4 47/23	70/18 74/20	82/18 84/4 97/22	candour's [1] 49/17	changing [3] 74/25
51/20 55/3 56/24	better [3] 4/3 49/19	bringing [2] 18/20	cannot [5] 4/13 21/19	
57/14 59/4 59/12 60/21 62/5 63/21	97/10	36/5	24/11 27/5 49/24	chart [1] 41/9
63/23 64/12 65/19	between [22] 7/4	broad [1] 75/21	capable [1] 33/23	Chebib [1] 17/20
67/18 73/20 73/21		brought [8] 5/22 6/15	CAPS [2] 8/1/ 9/10	check [3] 13/20 14/2
80/18 82/12 82/13	41/24 44/1 44/14 56/7 60/23 61/11 69/10	6/18 19/18 28/16 36/9 55/3 87/15	careful [1] 59/20	31/18 chocking [1] 46/24
	00/2001/1108/10			checking [1] 46/24

(28) back - checking

C	collectively [1] 55/12	concerned [4] 4/18	continued [5] 1/3 1/4	11/9 17/9 31/11 31/23
checks [2] 60/11	College [1] 13/1	9/13 19/25 50/1	90/18 99/2 99/4	31/25 32/5 32/8 32/9
61/4	come [11] 15/19 17/4		continuing [1] 4/24	32/13 32/18 32/25
chief [5] 17/8 52/8	18/6 36/18 44/9 47/10 56/15 64/15 80/13	52/16 concluded [1] 15/13	contours [1] 44/17 contract [4] 27/12	33/10 33/16 33/19 34/4 34/11 34/12
84/11 84/17 86/1	80/22 93/18	conclusion [2] 22/20	27/15 61/10 61/11	34/22 34/25 35/5
Chisholm [1] 75/9	comes [4] 24/10	67/8	contractors [1] 46/12	35/10 35/15 35/19
<b>choice [3]</b> 24/1 25/12 32/16	45/10 62/10 74/4	condition [1] 63/24	contracts [2] 27/4	35/23 36/1 36/5 48/13
choices [1] 24/8	coming [3] 16/1 25/2	conditional [1] 64/2	28/13	56/7 56/11 80/5 81/10
choose [1] 67/19	32/9	conditioning [1]	contractual [1] 38/20	82/18 82/25 83/2
chosen [2] 42/5 58/4	comment [3] 7/13	90/20	contradict [1] 94/19	83/14 83/21 83/24
Chris [1] 7/20	11/8 15/3	<b>conduct [3]</b> 30/21	contrary [1] 86/24	84/4 84/8 84/15 84/23
Christopher [3]	commented [1] 37/22	34/19 77/12 conducting [1] 24/15	control [3] 20/22	84/25 85/2 86/7 86/10 86/12 86/14 86/16
41/14 92/12 92/13	commercial [3] 26/6	conducts [2] 30/17	controlling [1] 28/7	88/12 93/3
Churchard [1] 91/14	48/14 70/4	31/9	convene [1] 93/10	Counsel's [1] 5/19
circle [1] 36/19 circumvent [1] 45/5	commitment [2] 73/4	conference [3] 10/11	conversation [4] 7/4	counsels [1] 33/13
cited [1] 17/18	74/8	10/14 10/24	48/11 62/17 66/14	counted [1] 65/13
civil [3] 40/6 40/12	committee [20]	<b>confidence [3]</b> 75/19	conveying [1] 14/23	Counters [1] 56/24
40/16	13/21 13/23 14/13	76/10 77/22	<b>conviction [1]</b> 97/15	counting [1] 67/24
clarity [2] 59/9 59/14	15/12 15/15 15/18 15/19 15/21 15/25	confine [1] 96/7 confirm [1] 13/21	convictions [1] 40/4 convinced [2] 30/3	country [2] 37/23 55/7
Clark [1] 91/5	16/21 16/24 16/24	conflicts [1] 79/7	30/5	country-wide [1]
Clarke [5] 36/6 36/15	16/25 17/2 17/3 75/4	confused [1] 66/9	Cooper [1] 92/7	37/23
37/3 37/6 37/12	75/22 75/23 76/7	consequences [1]	coordinated [2] 73/3	counts [2] 65/11
Clarke's [1] 37/5 classic [2] 44/10	76/23	3/24	73/18	65/12
46/7	committees [1]	consider [1] 45/25	Cope [1] 91/14	couple [1] 71/15
classification [1]	46/22	considerable [4]	copied [1] 13/25	courageous [1] 20/5
66/5	commodities [2] 59/24 60/3	18/15 23/13 52/13 95/11	copy [2] 5/15 39/3 Corbett [1] 91/15	course [31] 1/13 3/4 4/15 6/1 6/19 7/3 7/12
classified [2] 65/9	commonly [1] 72/18	consideration [2]	core [14] 2/8 2/10	8/3 11/18 13/5 25/1
65/18	communication [1]	10/16 82/14	2/12 3/16 71/11 72/17	36/22 42/20 42/23
clear [14] 2/23 8/16 9/18 14/22 14/24	11/7	considered [5] 11/18		45/12 49/8 54/19
27/14 29/5 33/5 33/5	companies [3] 33/17	34/8 81/23 82/9 82/12		56/12 56/12 56/15
46/2 59/15 60/16 68/6	64/22 65/9	considering [2]	95/16	60/13 62/21 68/17
87/7	company [29] 18/20	26/17 36/4	corporate [8] 18/10	69/12 69/18 79/8
clearly [8] 3/10 13/7	19/3 22/1 27/18 29/14 39/21 47/3 50/19	consistent [1] 72/22	26/6 36/7 38/11 41/15 41/21 42/2 76/1	83/23 88/25 91/3 93/9 96/15
15/5 15/6 27/2 40/24	50/21 56/2 56/4 56/8	construction [5]	corporation [1] 38/16	
45/2 79/21	56/9 56/13 56/23 57/1		correct [8] 3/1 5/8	95/3
Cleveleys [1] 52/9 client [1] 83/19	57/2 57/7 57/15 58/5	83/24	6/14 6/16 7/18 23/16	Courtley [2] 91/6
close [5] 3/2 22/6	58/8 62/6 65/16 65/17		63/17 80/10	91/15
22/22 40/11 73/12	72/5 72/5 72/6 72/14	22/9	corrected [1] 30/4	courts [7] 34/21
closed [1] 90/18	83/3	constructive [2]	corresponding [1]	34/21 40/3 40/4 40/6
closing [9] 93/2 94/7	company's [1] 52/1 comparable [2]	20/12 66/16 consultation [5]	50/7 corrosive [3] 39/20	40/12 40/13 cover [2] 4/13 4/21
94/20 96/5 96/6 96/12	65/13 65/16	64/12 64/12 65/20	40/25 72/9	cover [2] 4/13 4/21 covered [4] 34/22
96/17 97/14 97/19	competently [1]	67/2 77/8	costs [1] 12/4	63/5 80/8 81/15
Co [1] 2/10 code [14] 4/10 4/23	86/25	consumer [2] 75/6	could [44] 8/11 8/14	crack [1] 27/24
34/19 48/22 56/10	complaints [2] 46/9	77/13	8/18 9/22 9/24 11/14	Cranston [1] 92/8
63/11 65/21 66/11	81/8	contact [4] 80/8	12/3 12/8 13/12 13/14	
66/13 66/13 66/22	complete [1] 71/21	80/16 80/21 80/21	13/18 15/20 18/11	create [3] 21/16
66/24 67/3 82/7	completely [4] 22/4 66/23 85/8 86/2	contain [1] 82/24 contained [2] 19/17	22/8 22/20 28/14 31/3 34/4 42/23 43/6 45/5	creates [1] 78/24
codes [5] 30/17	completing [1] 10/5	87/10	49/2 49/20 50/25 58/3	
30/21 31/9 43/3 58/11	complex [7] 25/24	contest [1] 47/6	63/19 65/4 65/22 66/3	
Cogbill [1] 17/19 coherently [1] 19/18	27/8 29/13 38/24	context [5] 18/1	67/4 73/13 73/14 74/6	Creswell [1] 92/9
coin [2] 43/13 43/21	38/25 49/12 83/11	41/16 50/12 56/9	74/6 74/22 76/7 77/10	
collate [1] 84/9	complexity [2] 27/25	88/13	78/3 78/4 78/4 82/18	13/13 13/16 14/2
colleague [1] 69/24	29/3	contexts [1] 90/15	87/15 94/8 95/18	19/24 81/10
colleagues [1] 37/6	compliance [2] 56/5 83/4	Continental [1] 76/25 continual [1] 61/7	38/23 58/6	criminal [7] 9/4 10/5 10/17 24/9 40/3 40/12
collective [2] 22/15	concept [1] 17/16	continue [2] 1/9	counsel [56] 5/22 6/9	
22/16	concern [1] 43/16	92/21	6/15 6/20 9/19 11/4	critical [7] 17/16
L	I	1	1	

(29) checks - critical

С	deadlines [1] 83/12	18/16 31/20 39/12	50/15 50/21 51/4	53/15 54/12 60/7
critical [6] 17/20	deal [8] 3/15 5/9 15/5	66/10 72/3 76/21 78/6	56/24 57/6 62/12	60/19 60/22 62/18
17/24 18/24 19/2	15/6 17/16 20/6 42/7	describing [1] 33/23	65/13 65/16 67/4 69/7	66/15 66/18 66/19
20/12 20/14	97/16	description [2] 29/17	70/5 70/15 84/12	67/18 67/18 67/19
crops [1] 86/13	dealing [10] 3/3 4/17	31/11	84/13 84/14 87/2	68/4 68/14 68/24 70/3
crossed [1] 29/1	4/19 5/2 11/20 13/15	deserves [2] 68/24	directors [21] 15/24	70/6 73/6 73/20 74/4
crucial [1] 42/3	19/11 24/17 60/13	96/1	27/10 30/6 46/22 58/3	74/5 74/21 74/22
crudely [1] 26/5	73/25	despite [2] 27/9	60/12 60/19 61/5	75/16 77/6 77/7 77/9
cultural [5] 41/4 41/5	dealt [6] 19/15 56/6	95/24	62/11 62/11 64/19	78/2 78/21 84/7 85/4
44/4 71/17 72/11	73/14 81/7 94/4 97/19	detail [8] 11/11 12/7	64/20 65/2 66/7 68/1	85/5 85/7 85/22 85/24
culture [27] 21/5	debatable [1] 49/18	19/15 46/1 48/5 57/21		86/11 86/15 86/20
30/11 30/14 30/22	debating [1] 48/14	57/22 83/21	69/25 70/3 85/6	86/22 88/18 93/19
39/9 39/20 40/24	<b>December [6]</b> 7/3	details [1] 45/23	directors' [2] 67/1	94/3 95/3 97/8
40/25 41/25 42/2 42/3	93/3 93/18 93/20 94/9		79/8	document [21] 7/1
42/11 43/10 43/21	98/5	determinant [1] 69/7	directorship [1]	8/12 10/1 11/12 12/9
47/2 72/3 72/11 72/14	decide [5] 32/4 53/23		65/11	21/6 30/10 36/21
73/1 73/5 73/7 73/12	53/23 67/22 74/23	19/22 72/10 97/7	directorships [1]	36/23 36/24 64/9
74/12 74/16 74/19	decided [2] 89/20	determine [2] 80/13 97/4	60/17	64/16 82/19 82/20
74/24 76/2	97/8		disadvantage [2]	82/24 85/20 87/14
curiosity [1] 75/1	deciding [1] 80/22	determined [1] 86/6	74/3 74/7 disappoar [1] 60/3	97/10 97/13 97/18 97/20
curious [1] 18/22	decision [3] 14/13 58/1 88/3	develop [3] 8/9 60/5 65/20	disappear [1] 69/3 discharge [2] 57/19	documents [10] 1/23
current [2] 8/25	decisions [1] 79/3	development [9]	92/22	7/13 14/9 29/18 30/23
60/15	decisive [1] 19/12	52/6 54/23 55/2 56/25		36/8 36/8 36/15 36/18
currently [1] 23/9	decisively [1] 19/10	57/6 61/7 62/20 62/22		95/13
cynical [1] 74/3	deep [1] 39/19	62/25	70/16	does [16] 4/1 4/2
D	deeper [1] 50/2	developments [2]	disclosed [2] 89/24	20/4 20/4 20/11 25/7
	deeply [1] 76/20	55/17 63/10	92/24	35/2 42/10 49/8 55/23
damaging [2] 72/8 72/14	defects [4] 24/17	develops [1] 36/3	disclosure [3] 10/12	56/11 68/19 73/22
<b>DAME [24]</b> 1/3 2/23	25/1 54/22 55/2	devote [1] 69/17	41/11 97/15	76/10 77/22 90/2
10/18 12/18 23/11	defence [1] 10/14	dictate [3] 3/8 10/20	discover [1] 35/19	doesn't [7] 3/25 9/12
23/21 25/22 30/3	deference [1] 23/1	23/22	discovered [1] 37/6	23/22 25/12 29/15
31/22 36/10 36/13	define [3] 8/24 84/12	did [22] 6/17 12/19	discussed [9] 20/9	37/18 75/21
39/2 45/21 51/23 54/1	84/15	12/21 12/21 14/3 14/6	28/17 35/21 35/25	doing [9] 15/17 16/9
59/21 61/1 61/23	defines [1] 44/17	14/12 14/19 19/22	35/25 41/8 47/19	34/25 45/3 62/18
66/23 67/12 71/9	definitely [1] 60/21	20/13 20/16 21/3 21/3		68/13 68/15 68/16
87/19 88/20 99/2	definitively [1] 11/3	21/5 21/6 30/15 39/9	discussing [1] 30/14	
Dame Sandra [19]	defying [1] 51/21	39/21 53/12 53/13	discussion [10] 9/18	
2/23 10/18 12/18	degree [1] 95/23	56/9 87/16	12/16 30/18 55/9	5/11 8/3 10/18 11/5
23/11 25/22 30/3	delay [2] 1/5 15/17	didn't [11] 14/15	58/17 62/2 64/1 67/5	12/21 15/3 15/4 21/21
36/10 39/2 45/21	delve [1] 50/2	14/18 30/24 31/5 31/6		22/5 28/25 32/1 33/9
51/23 54/1 59/21 61/1	demands [1] 67/1	35/18 53/13 60/1	discussions [3]	34/25 35/20 37/14
61/23 66/23 67/12	demonstrate [1] 76/4		11/20 68/6 80/10	40/1 42/8 42/12 47/6
71/9 87/19 88/20	demonstrating [1]	difference [3] 69/9	dishonest [2] 23/24 24/9	47/15 56/14 56/20
Dan [1] 92/13	77/4 demur [1] 7/6	69/14 73/23 different [14] 18/3	disputes [1] 81/8	56/21 58/17 61/22 62/17 63/7 63/16 68/8
danger [1] 70/7	den [1] 24/6	24/3 27/10 33/25 63/2		68/25 69/14 76/14
Darfoor [1] 92/9	<b>Department [1]</b> 81/7	65/17 66/22 68/20	34/23 70/13	76/17 82/5 84/18 88/1
Darren [1] 91/19	departments [4] 18/2		distinguish [1] 44/1	88/2 93/10 97/17
data [1] 38/8	18/2 49/12 49/16	82/12 97/3	distrust [1] 44/22	Donald [1] 91/13
date [2] 88/1 88/8	depend [2] 27/12	difficult [6] 7/12 11/6		done [9] 15/7 20/7
dated [3] 8/13 11/16	36/2	36/22 52/20 53/14	divide [2] 22/7 22/11	53/11 73/11 78/6
88/3	dependence [2] 38/1	73/20	division [1] 56/6	90/15 93/19 95/7
dates [1] 26/17 Dave [1] 92/4	38/18	difficulty [1] 47/7	do [81] 1/10 1/21 4/8	95/10
David [4] 17/19 90/23	dependent [1] 68/17	digested [1] 97/11	4/13 5/2 7/17 9/11	door [4] 73/24 74/1
91/14 91/15	depending [1] 10/19	dinner [1] 21/15	10/23 19/7 20/9 20/19	
Davies [1] 91/16	depends [1] 74/7	direct [2] 58/18 71/4	21/5 21/8 21/13 23/15	dot [1] 42/24
Davyd [1] 91/6	deprive [1] 94/11	direction [3] 29/23	23/19 23/25 25/5	dotted [2] 35/24
DAWSON [2] 1/3	deprived [1] 96/16	72/13 73/17	25/11 26/22 28/11	38/12
99/2	derived [1] 82/1	directive [1] 68/21	30/5 31/15 34/11	doubt [2] 30/4 88/5
day [4] 2/4 2/5 2/17	describe [5] 50/16	directives [1] 29/6	34/11 34/14 37/16	Douglas [1] 91/17
27/21	54/20 72/24 74/19	directly [4] 35/1	40/17 40/19 42/10	down [12] 8/14 9/25
days [1] 93/3	97/20	37/17 44/21 79/3	42/21 43/11 46/3 48/2	12/8 24/10 28/5 29/9
	described [8] 17/6	director [17] 18/16	48/7 48/10 52/23	40/11 51/10 62/10
	•			(20) critical down

(30) critical... - down

D	effort [2] 72/22 88/23	ethos [4] 29/16 39/21	18/15 18/19 19/1 19/7	16/2 18/3 54/24 64/1
down [3] 65/4 66/3	either [10] 3/4 8/20	72/5 72/9	19/11 27/10 39/21	70/17 73/1 76/19
88/9	15/11 16/21 17/3 24/8		45/14 45/20 47/19	external [10] 9/7 10/4
downright [1] 24/9	40/12 40/16 68/7	evaluations [1] 61/16		12/11 45/16 46/22
DR [22] 1/4 2/23 7/11	90/13	Evans [2] 56/13	62/10 62/11 65/10	47/15 61/18 86/14
10/18 12/18 23/11	element [1] 42/19	91/17	65/11 65/13 65/16	87/7 87/8
25/22 31/17 33/2	else [3] 8/4 57/15	even [9] 27/8 29/10	65/17 70/1 70/1 70/3	extra [2] 45/7 94/5
36/10 39/2 44/8 46/6	96/20 email [5] 6/2 11/15	35/19 37/10 42/20 57/22 72/17 89/8	70/5 72/13 81/25 84/11 84/17 84/17	extra organisational
46/15 51/23 54/2	11/18 11/21 11/23	90/17	84/18 85/6 85/12 86/1	extremely [8] 5/1
61/22 70/12 71/9	embedded [2] 39/19	evening [2] 11/17	86/1 87/1	61/14 77/19 88/20
88/20 91/16 99/4	71/18	11/19	executives [10]	88/24 88/25 95/5 96/4
Dr Naranker [1]	emphasis [4] 63/7	event [1] 5/18	17/17 17/20 22/13	eyes [2] 18/23 74/2
91/16 Dr Steward [18] 2/23	73/18 73/18 85/16	events [1] 2/7	30/16 48/18 66/15	F
7/11 10/18 12/18	emphasises [1] 42/5	ever [2] 21/3 22/25	70/8 70/8 70/9 79/3	
23/11 25/22 31/17	emphasising [1] 37/1		exemplary [1] 95/22	face [2] 39/22 50/1
33/2 36/10 39/2 46/6	empirical [1] 31/14	22/25	exercise [1] 21/7	facilitation [1] 61/18
46/15 51/23 54/2	employed [1] 46/13	every [13] 18/17	exhaustive [1] 50/23	facility [1] 1/22
61/22 70/12 71/9	employees [4] 27/10	22/15 43/22 53/2 53/6		fact [17] 3/3 14/19 16/6 19/16 19/20
88/20	30/18 46/11 71/20	53/7 61/16 61/17 61/17 61/17 74/12	exist [1] 81/16 existence [1] 37/23	20/20 21/17 27/9
drafted [1] 11/4	employing [1] 27/3 employment [2] 27/5	95/7 95/9	existential [2] 3/9 3/9	28/25 28/25 35/9
draw [2] 6/20 20/11	41/12	everybody [4] 74/24	existing [1] 8/23	40/22 41/9 65/6 88/10
drawing [1] 6/9	enable [1] 18/8	95/15 95/20 96/20	exists [2] 25/17 29/2	90/1 90/17
drawn [1] 30/7	encourages [1] 42/4	everyday [1] 72/19	expand [1] 17/24	factors [1] 69/18
drew [1] 48/15 driven [4] 3/4 3/18	encouraging [1] 39/9	everyone [6] 51/15	expect [6] 17/3 17/5	facts [3] 43/18 80/2
4/6 4/6	end [10] 10/1 14/4	74/4 74/23 79/18 95/6		81/13
drivers [1] 25/2	37/8 67/8 70/19 70/19		60/14	failings [4] 3/16
driving [1] 49/1	70/20 89/14 96/17	Everything [1] 2/5	expectation [9]	19/13 71/17 71/24
drone [1] 97/11	97/22	evidence [41] 3/2 7/8		failure [2] 71/21
dual [2] 57/11 76/24	engage [1] 86/13	9/8 13/5 19/9 19/25	58/19 84/8 84/22	71/22 failures [3] 74/11
due [2] 7/3 93/9	engagement [2] 2/16 79/11	20/11 20/13 31/4 31/24 35/22 38/21	85/23 86/21 86/22 expectations [2]	74/16 76/12
Dulay [1] 91/16	enjoying [1] 1/22	39/8 39/15 48/7 52/4	24/12 84/3	fair [2] 29/17 72/21
during [9] 1/13 56/15	enough [3] 16/9	56/13 56/17 56/19	expected [5] 16/3	fall [1] 20/6
56/25 57/6 57/9 67/2	19/17 66/19	56/20 56/21 57/8	31/1 55/3 55/8 59/4	familiar [4] 6/1 10/19
85/1 85/2 95/17	ensure [14] 17/9	75/10 78/16 82/5 82/8		26/11 86/13
duties [8] 56/10 57/17 57/17 57/19	19/12 20/7 25/4 40/22	85/2 88/10 88/22	28/19 33/13 36/11	far [9] 4/17 8/1 11/8
57/23 70/16 79/8	43/5 44/24 52/14	89/12 89/19 89/21	36/17 36/20 36/21	13/23 14/3 19/9 36/21
83/18	53/17 54/7 57/15 76/1	89/22 90/4 90/19	43/2 59/23 60/2 61/25	
duty [16] 4/9 40/23	79/10 89/15	90/20 92/21 93/10	experienced [2]	Farry [1] 90/23
47/22 48/1 48/2 48/2	ensuring [2] 54/3	93/14 95/22 96/14	59/19 72/4	fault [2] 6/9 6/12 FCA [1] 77/11
48/4 48/8 49/5 49/7	77/3 entailed [1] 59/10	evident [1] 39/23 evidently [2] 15/9	experiences [1] 38/15	fear [7] 42/4 42/12
49/17 49/23 50/4 50/5	entire [1] 19/8	82/16	expert [5] 9/8 9/20	43/10 43/19 46/10
50/6 50/8	entirely [2] 3/14	exactly [9] 3/14	12/14 12/20 18/8	47/11 77/25
E	21/14	27/13 28/13 31/20	expertise [5] 22/2	fearless [1] 3/21
each [4] 16/25 22/14	entirety [1] 8/4	37/20 50/17 66/13	34/9 35/2 69/12 69/18	
90/4 90/11	enunciated [1] 66/14	66/18 77/5	EXPG000006 [1]	feed [1] 1/10
early [1] 63/5	enunciation [1] 53/8	examination [1] 90/5	25/19	feedback [3] 46/22
easy [1] 73/8	errors [4] 24/17 25/1	example [13] 3/7	EXPG0000010 [1]	69/21 69/25
eaten [1] 22/21	54/22 55/1	20/25 38/6 39/11 43/8		feel [4] 41/3 42/16 42/18 46/3
edge [1] 22/24	essence [2] 18/18 70/16	52/7 60/9 61/6 76/24 81/14 81/16 82/3	explain [4] 1/7 9/15 32/3 96/9	feet [1] 75/16
edition [1] 41/16	essentially [17] 28/7	82/13	explained [2] 22/1	felt [6] 46/4 48/16
effect [4] 1/8 72/11	34/19 34/24 37/18	examples [2] 52/21	89/14	48/25 61/25 74/24
72/22 93/17	45/24 46/8 54/19 59/4		explicitly [1] 7/10	76/20
effective [3] 41/25 45/19 52/15	59/23 62/8 62/10	exception [1] 96/8	explore [1] 5/25	few [1] 62/24
effectively [4] 50/20	64/25 66/25 88/11	excessive [2] 64/21	exposed [1] 20/20	figure [1] 96/3
54/7 73/23 88/3	90/10 90/25 97/10	65/3	express [1] 95/10	figures [1] 24/21
effectiveness [4]	establish [1] 14/25	exchange [1] 60/23	expressed [1] 37/19	final [4] 10/9 12/13
61/19 61/20 61/21	established [1] 76/8	Excuse [1] 50/3	extended [1] 94/21	13/9 78/10
69/7	ethical [1] 3/15 ethics [2] 3/5 48/23	executive [41] 4/12 4/13 5/1 15/24 17/8	extension [1] 94/9	finalise [2] 10/14 15/7
	CINCS [2] 0/0 40/20	1/0 J/1 1J/24 11/0	extent [9] 6/19 7/21	
				(21) down finaliaa

(31) down... - finalise

F	58/14	36/1 36/4 36/7 48/13	26/4 26/4 26/9 27/10	60/16 60/16
	frameworks [6] 31/1	55/19 56/7 56/10 66/6		Hancock [1] 91/6
finalised [2] 12/17 13/10	48/20 48/21 48/21	70/14 80/5 80/19	37/24 38/4 39/11	hand [4] 26/5 26/8
finally [3] 52/1 59/16	49/1 58/11	81/10 82/18 82/25	48/12 50/19 51/15	53/10 95/13
93/1	Francis [1] 91/17	83/2 83/14 83/21	55/10 65/1 69/4 71/4	handled [1] 19/24
finance [1] 84/13	frankly [1] 28/21	83/24 84/4 84/8 84/15		
financial [4] 24/19	FRC [5] 66/11 66/13	84/23 84/25 85/2 86/7	88/15 96/23 96/23	17/6 44/3 44/4 47/13 47/20
63/20 77/11 77/18	66/22 66/24 67/2 frequently [1] 83/11	86/10 86/12 86/16 88/11	governance [21] 4/23 18/10 18/16	happened [10] 8/4
find [6] 9/20 30/20	fresh [1] 74/2	generally [4] 34/4	23/14 23/15 25/16	17/10 24/5 31/11 37/1
47/5 69/24 76/13	Friday [3] 10/6 94/9	35/5 52/14 59/19	31/1 41/21 46/20	53/22 58/15 75/13
76/16 finding [2] 24/18 41/6	01/22	generic [1] 62/9	48/15 48/17 48/18	95/18 95/19
fine [7] 16/14 51/3	Triena [3] 17/24	genuine [2] 43/15	49/18 52/15 56/5	happening [3] 1/17
51/5 71/5 79/22 88/17	18/24 18/25	43/17	58/14 73/10 73/12	2/6 16/12
93/24	friends [2] 17/17 17/20	get [7] 2/2 3/20 4/3 21/4 24/24 74/2 76/11	74/12 74/16 78/8	happy [4] 9/14 10/23 18/14 89/3
Fingers [1] 29/1	front [1] 7/13	gets [2] 5/13 95/3	governed [2] 34/13 35/16	harassment [1]
fire [3] 2/4 2/5 14/5	FTSE [1] 62/6	getting [1] 25/7	government [13]	42/20
firm [2] 10/4 12/11	FUJITSU [6] 9/10	Gilbert [1] 91/18	24/20 25/8 26/8 27/9	hard [4] 5/15 39/3
firms [1] 9/7 first [14] 8/21 8/23	9/11 9/14 38/2 38/9	give [20] 14/9 17/1	28/2 28/6 37/24 38/12	63/13 72/7
10/3 17/23 38/4 42/8	38/17	17/2 18/14 26/24	48/9 75/3 75/6 76/2	hardwired [1] 54/16
52/4 64/5 71/13 71/16	fulfil [1] 60/22	28/24 56/22 61/19	77/3	Harrington [1] 92/11
80/1 82/24 83/22 84/6	fulfilling [1] 67/22	61/24 63/25 68/7 68/8		has [42] 1/15 1/20
firstly [6] 2/8 51/24	full [5] 6/10 31/5 47/16 67/17 89/16	68/25 69/8 69/11 70/10 70/17 77/22	29/5 governs [1] 34/20	11/21 19/9 30/18 36/20 37/21 39/11
80/6 81/5 95/5 95/11	fully [2] 5/24 6/11	89/19 96/14	graciously [1] 94/15	40/25 41/1 43/4 43/5
five [7] 31/3 31/5	function [1] 52/12	given [7] 18/11 27/2	granted [1] 69/19	44/13 47/23 52/4
31/5 65/8 66/20 89/2 89/5	functional [1] 82/13	44/24 62/1 72/23	grasp [1] 50/19	56/10 58/13 58/14
fix [1] 73/9	functions [2] 82/12	75/13 90/3	grateful [8] 23/13	60/8 63/22 65/19
flag [1] 62/14	84/5	gives [3] 41/12 64/17	25/21 44/8 88/21	67/16 67/18 71/12
Flemington [1] 13/14	fundamental [2] 43/9	65/5	88/24 88/25 95/6 96/4	73/1 73/20 75/3 76/2
floated [1] 67/3	69/3 Furey [1] 91/17	giving [4] 18/22 34/21 48/6 64/3	Gray [1] 92/10	77/6 78/13 89/15 89/20 90/18 90/19
flow [1] 54/20	further [8] 9/15 10/21		<b>great [5]</b> 15/5 15/6 74/1 74/3 77/9	95/6 95/7 95/9 95/19
focus [1] 10/11	18/9 24/19 33/3 55/23		greater [1] 43/4	95/21 95/23 96/3
focusing [2] 32/10	65/5 69/23	25/20 36/16 39/1	Greenhow [1] 92/10	96/20
70/12 follow [2] 12/15	futility [1] 47/12	40/25 42/8 48/10	Grenfell [1] 47/25	hasn't [2] 30/19
35/17	future [6] 8/19 8/24	48/11 50/11 64/5 66/3		65/20
following [4] 5/15	10/2 12/10 24/25	82/23 89/2	42/17	have [161]
12/16 72/12 74/25	76/13	God [1] 4/2	grievances [1] 44/2	haven't [8] 29/19
follows [1] 94/22	G	<b>goes [3]</b> 18/24 25/12 95/12	grip [1] 3/20 grips [1] 76/11	45/11 56/17 56/19 64/8 78/17 83/13
footnote [1] 41/14	gap [1] 14/4	going [48] 4/7 5/11	group [8] 4/13 5/2	88/18
forget [1] 53/21	Gardner [1] 91/6	10/10 11/15 12/15	11/2 11/23 19/11	having [12] 2/17 7/13
forgetting [1] 53/24 forgive [1] 38/11	Gareth [5] 5/19 7/24	14/2 17/16 18/6 19/2	56/14 57/25 76/4	45/16 47/14 47/14
forgotten [3] 52/22	91/16 91/20 96/11	23/12 25/3 25/4 25/19		58/8 60/2 60/2 73/9
52/23 54/4	Gary [1] 92/11	25/21 26/24 31/19	63/11 64/6 64/7 64/17	74/24 79/9 89/23
form [1] 21/24	Gaunt [1] 92/10 gave [1] 57/8	34/21 36/2 41/9 41/14 43/23 44/9 44/10	65/6 65/19 66/9 66/10 66/17 67/17	
formal [2] 47/8 94/18	Gavin [2] 13/17 13/18		guided [1] 24/2	Hazel [1] 17/19 he [16] 5/22 6/15
former [3] 14/19 75/9	<b>GC [8]</b> 85/10 85/14	54/13 59/6 65/5 66/16		13/22 14/6 14/12
79/25	85/16 85/21 85/23	67/7 67/9 67/12 68/1		14/15 14/19 15/20
forms [1] 38/18 formulaic [1] 68/2	86/23 87/6 87/7	68/6 71/13 72/1 72/1	<u>H</u>	15/21 15/22 18/9
formulate [1] 15/7	general [64] 5/22 6/9	72/21 74/20 79/5	had [27] 3/19 3/20	56/22 57/1 57/7 57/8
forward [6] 10/10	6/15 6/20 7/19 8/2	79/24 80/1 80/3 90/6	5/21 13/2 15/15 15/16	
12/15 17/5 59/6 75/3	9/19 11/4 11/9 17/9 31/11 31/22 31/25	90/11 90/15 96/21	15/21 19/20 19/22 19/24 20/15 20/16	he'd [1] 56/24
76/8	32/5 32/7 32/9 32/11	<b>gone [3]</b> 15/11 15/15 37/11	20/21 29/21 37/5	he's [3] 51/21 57/5 57/7
found [1] 30/22	32/13 32/18 32/25	good [13] 15/14	37/10 42/24 47/16	head [1] 75/9
fourthly [1] 81/10	33/10 33/13 33/16	15/18 21/22 23/18	48/12 48/17 57/25	health [1] 49/9
frame [1] 8/2	33/19 34/4 34/10	25/4 44/18 52/17 53/4	59/19 67/16 78/22	healthy [2] 42/2
framework [9] 23/16 23/20 23/22 24/1 24/4	34/12 34/16 34/22	61/18 69/13 71/7 71/7	87/7 96/20 97/4	43/10
24/11 48/24 54/10	34/24 35/5 35/10	71/9	hadn't [1] 28/17	hear [5] 43/9 74/14
	35/15 35/19 35/23	got [27] 22/10 25/1	half [4] 2/11 2/17	75/13 79/21 89/21
				(32) finalised - hear

(32) finalised - hear

Н	Holt [2] 13/25 91/20	77/17 79/20 95/10	I might [4] 16/8 78/14	I understand [11] 1/6
heard [7] 19/25 25/17	honesty [2] 26/13	I can use [1] 62/9	93/7 93/19	1/24 20/24 21/1 33/2
38/21 48/17 52/4	40/23	I can't [10] 11/3 22/8	I misunderstand [1]	35/6 37/18 49/8 67/24
56/12 95/23	Honourable [2] 91/21	22/23 27/7 27/15	46/25	76/23 80/15
hearing [2] 93/11	91/24	28/24 50/23 52/21	I must [2] 42/16	I want [13] 5/25 8/6
98/5	hope [2] 28/20 33/5	71/3 81/19	69/24	22/21 46/3 76/19 77/6
heavens [1] 20/6	hopefully [4] 23/18	I cannot [3] 21/19	I note [1] 36/10	80/25 81/4 82/17 95/3
Heilig [1] 91/19	39/3 40/21 46/10	27/5 49/24	I noted [1] 41/9	95/5 96/5 96/9
held [4] 10/4 12/11	hopes [1] 72/12	I completely [3]	I now [4] 9/22 11/14	I wanted [4] 18/7
45/19 84/16	hoping [1] 1/12	66/23 85/8 86/2	13/12 22/20	62/24 76/6 79/6
help [5] 14/22 15/5	Horizon [12] 3/16 9/9		I omit [2] 12/2 12/5	I was [5] 18/6 31/19
22/23 53/8 95/16	19/13 38/1 52/6 54/23		I operate [1] 34/17	54/13 70/12 94/8
helped [1] 95/6	55/2 55/6 55/6 55/17		I perhaps [1] 28/15	I went [1] 46/7
helpful [1] 25/4	56/25 57/6	I describe [1] 97/20	I produce [1] 97/24	I will [10] 7/11 11/12
helpfully [1] 31/22	horizontally [3]	I did [1] 56/9	I promise [1] 51/18	13/20 13/21 17/8
helping [1] 8/24	81/12 81/14 82/4	I didn't [2] 74/14	I recall [3] 7/12 7/14	51/19 94/19 97/13
helpline [1] 39/11	hour [3] 2/10 11/17	85/13	21/8	97/15 97/17
henceforth [1] 1/11	11/24	<b>I do [11]</b> 21/8 37/16	l reflect [1] 88/5	I wish [1] 5/12
Henry [8] 2/8 2/20	how [21] 2/2 4/7 10/18 14/22 14/24	46/3 68/4 68/14 70/6 77/9 85/5 86/15 86/20	I represent [1] 23/8	I won't [2] 1/7 97/24
2/21 16/15 17/14		94/3		I would [20] 4/9 16/7 17/5 18/14 21/14
22/23 94/13 99/6	18/10 32/4 35/25 36/3 49/24 50/13 50/21	94/3 I don't [29] 2/17 5/6	I saw [1] 36/13	28/12 32/18 32/19
Henry's [1] 17/7	52/12 52/22 63/1 63/8		I say [11] 4/18 22/8 28/24 34/8 35/2 57/21	32/20 32/24 45/15
her [4] 58/16 61/24	66/5 73/20 77/23 78/4	15/4 28/25 35/20	63/15 75/13 85/25	45/16 45/17 50/16
91/23 92/15	78/6	37/14 42/8 47/6 47/15		67/17 68/9 68/14 73/7
here [10] 1/9 9/18	Howard [1] 17/19	56/14 56/20 56/21	<b>I see [2]</b> 50/6 64/10	77/24 79/14
13/7 14/1 26/17 34/6	Howe [1] 2/10	58/17 63/7 63/16	I shall [1] 23/2	I wouldn't [3] 17/3
66/10 73/25 86/17	However [4] 1/10	68/25 69/14 76/14	I should [5] 67/19	22/11 69/23
97/12	29/20 77/2 77/8		83/22 90/1 92/20 94/3	
herself [1] 27/21	Hudgell [1] 2/12	88/2 93/10 97/17	I speak [2] 47/12	62/23 80/6 84/2 95/16
hesitating [1] 23/21	huge [4] 18/13 72/22		47/13	95/20
hesitation [1] 37/10	72/25 88/23	66/14	I spoke [1] 76/25	I'II [15] 5/13 16/15
Hickinbottom [1]	Hugh [1] 13/14	I explained [1] 22/1	I stop [1] 61/1	25/19 26/5 30/4 32/11
92/11	human [5] 24/2 46/21		I stopped [1] 62/24	39/4 46/17 49/20
high [2] 4/25 40/8 higher [1] 37/8	72/17 91/1 91/1	I feel [2] 42/16 42/18	I suppose [4] 4/19	55/25 56/21 64/25
highlight [2] 71/16	hybrid [2] 48/9 59/11	I finally [1] 59/16	24/8 53/16 95/2	75/20 94/15 98/1
71/21	hypotheses [1] 4/17		I suspect [1] 89/2	l'm [60] 1/5 2/16 5/10
Hill [2] 91/19 91/20	hypothesis [5] 4/19	I have [19] 13/25	I take [5] 25/18 30/10	
Hillsborough [1]	4/21 4/25 5/3 5/8	29/18 29/19 36/17	51/7 55/23 83/17	11/3 11/15 13/24 14/6
47/23	hypothesise [2] 5/7	36/20 36/23 43/15	I think [77] 1/15 1/18	14/11 14/11 16/22
him [1] 57/9	5/8	43/18 63/21 67/18	1/21 1/23 6/14 7/18	17/16 25/21 26/24
himself [1] 44/12		78/11 78/20 83/20	8/12 13/22 14/6 14/11	27/5 27/13 28/15 30/3
his [20] 5/20 12/17		89/14 96/12 96/19	18/24 19/5 19/15	30/4 31/17 33/21 35/9
13/10 14/18 15/10	l agree [2] 30/3 85/5	97/1 97/4 97/8	20/23 22/12 23/1	38/17 41/14 44/8 44/8
37/6 56/15 56/17	l almost [1] 27/24 l am [6] 8/20 34/2	I haven't [3] 29/19	23/21 24/20 24/21	44/10 48/11 50/18
56/19 56/20 56/21	55/22 77/6 95/5 97/23	64/8 88/18	26/11 26/23 28/18	51/10 61/2 67/12
56/23 58/16 75/9	l answer [1] 10/22	I hope [1] 33/5	31/10 32/5 32/21 33/4 33/4 33/5 33/6 33/8	67/22 68/3 71/3 71/5 71/13 71/25 72/1
78/18 85/2 91/25 92/8	l appreciate [1]	l imagine [1] 80/24	34/7 36/10 36/13	74/21 76/22 79/24
92/9 96/16	75/10	l just [6] 5/9 25/6	37/21 38/21 38/23	80/1 80/2 81/20 82/7
history [4] 38/25	l are [1] 78/25	31/18 35/18 50/11	41/3 42/7 43/2 43/13	82/8 85/13 88/20
54/22 55/1 75/13	l ask [7] 8/12 9/24	94/2	43/25 44/18 45/6	88/24 88/24 89/3 90/6
HJA [1] 2/8	10/20 12/18 56/2	I know [4] 4/24 22/20		90/11 90/15 94/14
hm [5] 27/19 37/4	70/23 71/10	34/16 36/21	48/13 49/5 53/10	96/4
40/7 41/7 57/13	I asked [1] 54/23	l love [1] 22/23	55/25 59/7 60/21	l've [19] 11/21 17/5
Hodges [5] 41/15	I be [1] 47/12	I may [5] 21/10 51/24		33/4 33/4 33/5 33/13
44/12 46/17 46/25	I beg [1] 33/18	52/3 63/16 90/7	65/22 65/23 66/13	36/23 36/24 36/25
92/12 bold [3] 64/21 66/17	I began [1] 61/9	I mean [20] 4/15 6/14		39/6 42/5 50/19 51/23
hold [3] 64/21 66/17 67/4	I believe [7] 13/2	8/1 14/6 18/1 18/13	70/25 73/9 74/6 74/18	61/12 69/1 78/6 87/13
holding [1] 58/5	27/1 59/7 61/13 66/2	20/4 22/11 26/23	74/23 74/23 77/2 78/6	93/19 96/2
Holdings [1] 57/24	68/23 88/16	37/15 38/17 43/12	78/7 79/21 86/5 88/9	idea [4] 3/19 18/24
holds [3] 40/22 65/8	I bring [1] 97/22	47/6 50/5 50/16 53/1	88/12 94/15 94/18	48/3 75/4
65/15	I can [9] 13/19 16/6	54/13 58/1 61/22	I thought [1] 28/17	ideal [1] 52/21
	33/12 42/6 71/6 75/15	66/13	I tried [1] 32/3	identification [1]
L	1			(33) heard - identification

(33) heard - identification

I	76/2 78/14	93/10 95/9 95/21 96/1	is very [1] 75/21	Jenkins [3] 5/19 7/24
identification [1]	improved [1] 18/11	96/2 96/15 97/6	Ismail [2] 78/20	37/7
54/9	improving [2] 73/10	Inquiry's [2] 89/25	78/25	Jenkins' [1] 96/11
identified [9] 3/10	77/3	92/25	isn't [9] 14/4 21/24	Jenkins's [1] 96/14
13/2 31/2 52/8 55/18	inappropriate [1]	instances [1] 16/7	59/20 62/1 62/1 65/24	Jeremy [1] 91/14
75/2 76/12 77/2 85/20	45/2	instant [1] 73/13	68/18 69/12 73/9	job [13] 31/10 42/13
identifies [2] 84/5	incarnation [1] 77/18		<b>ISS [7]</b> 64/20 65/2	43/19 67/18 68/8
90/10	inches [1] 38/22	1/22	65/24 66/1 66/4 66/20 66/22	68/13 68/24 72/25
identify [5] 3/13 9/8	incidence [1] 8/1 inclined [1] 8/9	instead [2] 19/20 48/5	ISS's [1] 67/24	85/8 88/10 88/11 88/14 88/15
71/18 71/22 74/16	include [1] 10/16	institution [2] 60/24	issue [10] 3/21 6/6	jobs [1] 74/21
identifying [3] 83/7	including [3] 46/20	66/4	7/24 8/7 9/19 44/5	John [3] 90/24 91/6
85/3 85/10	56/4 70/1	institutional [11]	86/12 97/4 97/17	91/23
if [77] 1/24 3/6 4/21	incoming [1] 71/23	44/16 51/24 52/3	97/19	Johnson [1] 91/21
4/22 5/15 8/18 12/8	increasing [1] 22/25	52/11 52/15 52/18	issues [16] 9/25 10/8	
13/14 15/18 15/25 16/17 19/2 21/16	increasingly [2]	53/9 54/16 55/20	12/12 13/8 15/1 46/10	
22/13 23/23 24/5 24/7	39/23 42/22	64/16 66/1	46/13 78/20 80/13	Jonathan [3] 56/13
25/6 25/15 25/20 26/8	indeed [12] 5/7 6/4	insurers [1] 20/2	80/22 81/15 83/8	91/20 97/6
28/22 29/1 29/2 30/15	6/24 13/11 16/13 28/4		83/11 85/22 89/16	judge [1] 95/3
33/9 37/10 37/14	35/21 39/3 66/11	26/12	97/3	Julie [1] 90/23
37/23 42/6 42/12	76/11 85/5 85/19	intend [1] 89/13	it [229]	July [4] 6/22 6/23
42/13 42/16 42/17	independent [15] 9/8		it's [79] 2/4 2/5 3/5	81/2 81/24
43/14 43/15 43/23	9/13 9/20 12/14 12/20		4/5 4/6 5/12 5/16 7/12	JUNE [2] 1/3 99/2
44/10 45/1 46/7 47/12	13/1 15/24 18/18	43/1 43/17 79/7	8/13 11/5 11/17 12/8	jurors [1] 89/7
47/12 48/19 49/20	18/22 19/6 20/5 21/22		19/2 19/4 24/1 24/9 24/21 25/3 26/2 26/23	just [39] 2/7 5/9 6/7 11/20 25/6 31/18
50/6 50/11 51/24 52/3	21/22 22/2 58/3 indicate [2] 20/18	interests [3] 19/3 22/1 23/25	24/21 25/3 26/2 26/23 27/8 27/9 27/14 27/14	31/19 32/9 35/9 35/18
53/20 56/14 61/10	21/2	Interim [3] 81/23	29/13 29/22 30/11	40/15 44/9 44/10
62/9 63/19 64/5 65/2	indicates [1] 20/7	82/1 82/10	30/19 31/10 31/14	47/14 47/14 48/3 48/5
65/22 67/19 68/15	indifference [1]	internal [3] 41/22	32/5 32/15 33/8 35/7	50/11 51/19 53/21
70/8 73/23 75/15	72/17	42/1 83/3	36/2 36/22 37/22	56/22 61/1 64/5 64/15
78/14 80/15 81/16	individual [4] 42/17	Internet [2] 1/7 1/13	38/23 38/24 41/8 41/9	
82/11 82/11 82/23	44/15 58/13 61/12	interpreted [1] 22/18	41/19 41/20 41/21	71/14 71/17 72/1
84/19 86/12 87/3 87/6 88/6 90/6 93/8 93/18	individuals [9] 24/5	intervention [1]	42/8 42/22 43/9 45/2	74/25 78/9 78/10 84/2
94/8 96/22	46/9 46/12 52/5 59/17	40/12	45/8 45/12 52/20	84/4 88/21 93/19 94/2
ignore [1] 4/14	59/19 61/21 89/18	into [22] 18/9 20/17	53/14 59/2 61/3 61/15	
imagine [2] 11/9	89/21	24/25 29/4 45/25		justice [7] 20/7 39/23
80/24	induct [1] 71/23	48/12 66/25 78/8	64/25 66/2 66/24	39/25 40/2 40/5 40/9
immoral [2] 23/23	induction [2] 52/24	83/13 83/17 89/22	67/21 69/21 69/25	40/15
24/9	61/13	89/23 90/2 90/6 90/12		Κ
impact [6] 3/17 19/13	infamous [1] 4/15	90/22 91/5 91/9 92/6	72/21 73/8 73/8 77/1 79/16 86/5 86/5 88/13	
25/9 74/24 91/1 91/1	inform [4] 16/9 53/16 54/25 58/16	92/17 93/12 93/13	97/2 97/16	<b>KATY [2]</b> 1/4 99/4
imperative [2] 3/18	informal [1] 35/24	intolerable [1] 3/20 introduced [1] 78/5	its [17] 3/17 5/24	Kay [1] 90/23
4/6	informally [1] 67/6	introduction [1] 23/7	6/11 9/14 19/13 25/1	<b>KC [2]</b> 91/14 97/6
Imperial [1] 13/1	information [9] 10/13		29/4 29/16 38/7 47/2	keep [2] 29/8 68/12
implementation [1]	15/11 19/17 46/20	investigate [1] 48/4	48/1 72/6 75/15 76/23	
53/8	48/7 55/3 81/18 81/18		92/22 92/22 95/12	Kenneth [1] 90/24
implicit [1] 61/11	81/25	35/18 92/22	itself [4] 35/16 45/9	kept [1] 95/25
implied [1] 59/8 importance [7] 5/24	informed [3] 52/24	investigations [1]	47/16 78/1	key [4] 3/13 32/23
6/11 37/8 42/6 77/8	57/16 59/5	24/16	J	75/20 75/23
77/9 81/11	ingenuity [1] 22/25	Investigators [1]		kind [1] 55/3
important [22] 3/12	initial [5] 8/22 60/20	24/7	Jacobs [1] 78/18	kindly [1] 87/15
9/3 14/24 26/14 37/3	60/23 61/10 61/11	invite [1] 97/13	James [1] 91/20	King's [1] 5/18
41/16 42/1 42/9 43/1	injustices [1] 40/14	invited [1] 96/6	Jane [2] 91/7 91/19	knew [3] 55/16 58/16
43/25 47/1 53/15 54/3	INQ00002029 [1]	involve [2] 44/13	January [4] 12/22 12/23 12/24 12/25	86/23 <b>know [38]</b> 2/7 4/24
54/11 59/13 61/14	90/8	44/19 involved [4] 28/8	January 2014 [1]	6/17 8/4 9/17 10/18
64/11 73/19 77/20	inquiries [1] 47/24 Inquiry [24] 30/25	50/20 52/5 87/21	12/25	11/5 11/20 14/19 18/7
77/24 86/6 88/6	31/21 47/25 47/25	involvement [1] 59/1	jargon [1] 38/11	19/21 22/20 24/24
impression [3] 21/4	52/4 55/16 55/18	involving [1] 61/18	Jarnail [1] 24/7	28/25 29/6 30/5 34/11
78/25 79/1	56/12 60/8 63/6 71/11		Javid [1] 91/20	34/14 34/16 36/21
imprisoned [1] 3/19	78/16 89/13 89/15	48/24	Jeffrey [1] 91/22	36/22 42/19 44/23
improve [3] 75/25	89/20 90/18 92/21	is [291]	Jeffreys [1] 92/12	45/11 48/5 54/21

(34) identification... - know

К	left-hand [1] 26/5	96/20	54/19 62/9 66/18 87/4	mean [25] 4/2 4/15
know [12] 55/11	legal [25] 8/18 10/2	longer-term [1]	manager [4] 13/25	6/14 8/1 14/6 18/1
56/14 63/10 65/6 71/5	12/9 34/21 36/8 37/2	75/14	81/6 81/6 81/8	18/13 20/4 22/11 25/6
84/19 86/6 87/11 88/1	43/14 56/6 63/13	longstanding [1]	managers [1] 46/24	26/23 37/15 38/17
88/2 88/22 93/1	63/15 63/15 83/3 83/6		managing [4] 63/8	43/12 47/6 50/5 50/16
knowledge [9] 5/19	83/7 83/8 83/18 84/7	look [16] 4/7 4/10	83/2 83/7 84/6	53/1 54/13 58/1 61/22
5/20 15/1 33/9 33/9	84/9 84/23 85/3 85/10	13/19 14/8 16/7 21/10	mandate [2] 65/11	66/13 80/17 90/2
58/13 81/12 81/14	85/15 85/16 85/21	25/15 28/12 41/19	66/5	94/11
82/4	97/10	48/4 48/6 64/4 65/22	mandates [6] 65/8	meaning [1] 49/21
known [3] 28/19	legality [1] 44/17	71/13 77/10 90/21	65/12 65/14 66/21	means [3] 2/17 72/8
33/13 36/24	legally [1] 49/6	looked [19] 11/11	67/14 67/25	95/9
knows [1] 14/3	legitimate [1] 22/4	17/2 20/21 31/12	mandatory [2] 61/6	meant [1] 6/6
knuckles [1] 27/25	length [4] 32/10	37/13 40/3 52/9 55/11		medium [3] 73/14
Kramer [1] 91/22	32/12 38/21 38/22	55/13 56/17 56/19	Mandelson [1] 91/25	75/18 76/9
	Les [1] 92/2	57/21 64/16 74/11	many [6] 52/21 55/15	
L	less [2] 45/14 90/13	78/4 83/13 83/17	59/19 73/1 73/2 73/2	meeting [12] 6/23
lack [1] 59/9	lessons [2] 53/13	83/20 87/16	mark [2] 64/23 92/3	7/15 8/20 9/16 9/17
lady [2] 95/13 95/14	53/14	looking [12] 8/2	market [2] 77/14	10/4 11/2 11/23 12/11
Laidlaw [1] 97/6	let's [8] 3/4 15/5	26/16 27/4 39/4 39/8	77/14	13/23 15/16 15/19
Lamb [1] 91/23	21/10 25/15 27/17		marketing [1] 84/13	meetings [6] 32/1
land [2] 29/9 53/11	28/5 63/6 94/18	78/11 84/4 88/21	Marnoch [1] 91/25	33/1 66/6 78/20 80/7
language [1] 72/18	letting [1] 51/10	looks [1] 18/18	Marsh [1] 91/25	80/21
large [3] 23/8 25/8	level [5] 28/5 43/22	Lord [1] 91/24	Martin [1] 92/14	member [5] 32/21
60/13	49/16 69/13 73/21	loser [1] 74/22	MARY [2] 1/4 99/4	36/14 36/24 84/14
larger [1] 32/7	liability [1] 21/2	losers [2] 74/10	matched [1] 50/4	87/2
last [4] 8/20 11/18	liaised [1] 81/9	74/15	material [1] 23/13	members [4] 28/10
47/22 95/3	liaising [1] 81/4	losing [1] 43/19	matter [13] 5/22 6/15	32/6 71/12 71/24
late [1] 63/6	lies [1] 6/12	lost [1] 20/22	19/11 19/12 23/12	memory [7] 51/25
later [2] 30/8 52/7	life [4] 28/18 72/19	lot [3] 19/5 22/21	23/25 32/3 32/16 35/9	
Laura [1] 92/3	86/11 87/20	91/12	45/12 49/6 50/13 58/1	52/18 53/9 54/16
law [9] 10/4 12/11	like [25] 26/8 28/23	love [1] 22/23	matters [13] 18/5	mention [3] 87/17
41/15 42/21 46/13	29/2 32/24 33/9 36/6	Lucas [1] 17/19	32/9 38/5 41/17 45/24	
47/23 49/7 63/16	43/14 43/24 44/10	Μ	46/16 51/23 58/17	mentioned [4] 31/22
86/11	45/18 46/7 52/11		80/4 80/8 80/17 87/5	54/3 78/9 79/6
laws [1] 58/11	55/21 61/10 62/23	Macaldowie [1]	90/19	merits [1] 82/10
lawyer [6] 33/11 34/4	70/5 75/12 75/23 76/7	90/24	Matthews [1] 13/17	Merrit [1] 90/24
34/11 35/20 50/19	77/10 78/14 84/2 89/8		maximum [1] 67/3	messages [1] 1/16
85/18	95/16 95/20	Macleod's [1] 91/10	may [36] 5/8 10/13	messy [1] 20/21
lawyers [8] 16/1	likely [3] 5/3 28/21	made [11] 1/19 7/10	11/4 13/5 14/15 21/10	
33/14 33/23 34/3	62/16	29/24 33/4 33/5 46/6	23/21 24/13 25/11	Microphone [1]
34/25 35/11 57/8	limit [3] 22/24 65/10	53/3 75/5 78/21 90/7	25/11 30/8 30/21 37/3	51/18
95/12	67/13	94/8	38/18 51/24 52/3	middle [1] 60/15
lay [3] 3/24 85/11	Limited [1] 56/25	magnificent [1]	53/23 53/23 61/16	might [19] 4/1 11/6
85/12	limits [2] 63/13 63/15	95/11	63/16 63/17 64/2	11/9 16/6 16/8 20/14
layered [1] 11/7	line [6] 35/24 38/12	Mail [2] 56/14 57/24	64/20 69/2 75/17	27/11 29/10 32/6
layers [1] 27/10	39/12 46/21 51/15	maintain [1] 53/14	77/25 85/10 85/12	42/24 58/18 67/3 70/4
Leach [1] 92/13	71/4	maintained [1] 52/19	85/17 85/18 86/10	75/12 76/24 78/6
leadership [5] 26/13	Lisa [1] 92/11	maintainer [1] 38/19	90/7 90/21 92/6 93/9	78/14 93/7 93/19
44/5 48/22 71/19	list [2] 36/13 71/24	maintaining [2] 53/9	96/13	Miller [1] 52/8
73/22	listed [2] 64/22 65/9	58/10	maybe [7] 13/21 53/6	
leads [1] 3/6	listen [3] 47/5 50/5	major [3] 7/9 53/24 53/25	53/6 53/7 63/1 87/14	21/23 47/10
leapfrogging [1]	89/4		87/15	mine [1] 2/17
46/21	listened [1] 77/16	make [15] 2/23 24/8	McCormack [1] 92/2	ministerial [2] 49/12
learn [6] 30/8 33/15	listening [4] 39/10	43/4 46/9 47/17 47/20 47/21 47/21 49/7	me [34] 10/23 13/18	49/16
45/22 45/25 53/13	77/9 78/10 79/5	54/13 78/22 95/6	15/5 18/13 30/4 32/24	
53/13	little [2] 49/18 78/12	96/20 96/21 97/1	35/7 35/21 37/15	minutes [8] 2/9 2/10
learning [2] 39/10	live [1] 1/9		38/11 48/25 50/3 50/6	
45/22	livelihood [1] 42/13	making [6] 24/16	50/18 51/11 51/21	89/5 97/12
least [4] 35/24 39/15	Lloyd [1] 91/23	45/1 45/18 54/6 96/17	61/23 63/17 67/11	misbehaving [1] 96/4
72/12 76/8	long [4] 36/13 38/24	97/14 Malcolm [1] 91/10	67/21 68/2 76/13	miscarriages [6]
led [1] 96/3	73/14 73/25	Malcolm [1] 91/10	82/11 84/20 89/7	39/23 39/25 40/2 40/5
left [3] 26/5 62/4	long-term [1] 73/14	management [11] 3/7 46/21 49/2 54/5	90/13 93/11 93/19	40/9 40/15
70/18	longer [5] 51/19	54/9 54/10 54/17	94/11 94/19 94/25	misconduct [2]
	53/24 75/14 96/12	54/5 54/10 54/17	95/17 97/11 97/18	44/15 44/20
•				(25) know missendust

(35) know... - misconduct

Μ	9/25 15/2 15/7 16/15	88/14	necessity [2] 53/17	62/11 70/3 70/5
misplaced [1] 67/11	16/18 17/7 17/14	Ms Perkins [4] 80/7	54/25	non-executives [6]
misquoted [1] 21/10	22/23 22/24 23/5 23/6	80/12 80/17 80/22	NED [3] 18/10 21/5	17/17 17/20 48/18
Misra [2] 10/19 11/10	24/7 33/7 35/22 37/5	Ms van [1] 24/6	79/2	66/15 70/8 70/9
miss [1] 1/12	37/6 37/7 50/10 51/6	MS VENNELLS [4]	NEDs [15] 19/10	nor [3] 5/22 6/15
missed [1] 20/10	51/11 51/16 51/17	9/10 21/20 24/6 80/7	19/23 20/8 20/20 21/7	32/20
missing [1] 35/10	51/20 52/8 56/3 56/13	Ms Vennells' [2] 2/14	21/14 21/17 21/21	normal [4] 1/9 1/14
misunderstand [1]	60/10 70/24 78/18	85/1	22/6 22/13 22/17	35/23 61/15
46/25	78/20 78/25 79/16	Ms Watt [1] 70/25	78/15 78/18 79/9	normally [3] 32/25
misunderstanding	79/19 79/23 84/25	much [18] 5/17 10/2	79/12	58/15 61/21
[ <b>1</b> ] 96/10	88/6 89/10 89/11 91/3	25/17 49/2 50/9 51/5	need [17] 3/25 8/25	Norman [1] 91/23
mitigation [1] 54/10	93/11 94/1 94/13	51/18 54/16 58/9 63/7	9/20 14/8 40/1 42/8	not [129]
mm [13] 17/22 20/3	94/19 96/11 96/14	79/5 82/17 87/13 89/1		note [7] 8/16 11/1
27/19 30/9 30/9 37/4	99/6 99/8 99/10 99/14	92/20 93/25 97/10	47/18 51/16 54/17	27/6 36/10 64/6 64/7
37/9 37/9 38/13 40/7	99/16	98/2	56/20 56/21 68/4 73/2	
41/7 57/13 75/8	Mr Altman [7] 6/8 7/5		74/9	noted [2] 8/16 41/9
<b>Mm-hm [5]</b> 27/19	7/6 7/6 9/25 15/2	multi-functional [1]	needed [2] 13/22	nothing [3] 7/24
37/4 40/7 41/7 57/13	16/18	82/13	87/11	55/16 84/10
model [3] 18/10	Mr Altman's [2] 7/7	multiple [3] 46/20	needs [10] 9/13	notice [1] 88/7
48/15 52/15	15/7	64/19 65/1	40/23 44/19 50/4 50/7	
models [1] 78/7	Mr Aujard [1] 84/25	must [8] 20/6 20/6	68/23 73/3 76/5 79/10	
modest [1] 94/8	Mr Beer [11] 1/5 33/7	42/16 47/3 58/6 69/24		notion [1] 77/25
Moloney [6] 2/12	35/22 51/6 56/3 88/6	83/14 88/22	neither [4] 5/22 6/15	notwithstanding [1]
51/16 51/17 51/20	89/10 91/3 93/11 94/1	mustn't [1] 42/15	8/8 32/20	5/18
70/24 99/10	94/19	muted [1] 51/18	Network [5] 56/24	novel [1] 83/11
moment [8] 1/10	Mr Bradshaw [1]	my [49] 4/2 12/6	57/5 76/5 81/9 81/9	November [2] 1/1
44/9 46/14 50/3 50/9	24/7	15/14 22/21 23/8	never [3] 36/20 37/13	7/20
61/2 61/2 62/7	Mr Casey [2] 79/16	27/24 28/18 31/23	51/11	November 2013 [1]
Monday [3] 94/9	79/19	32/24 33/12 34/8	Neville [1] 91/23	7/20
94/21 98/5	Mr Clarke [1] 37/6	34/13 35/2 35/18	Neville-Rolfe [1]	now [56] 1/11 7/1 8/6
money [2] 24/25 25/8	Mr Clarke's [1] 37/5	36/17 36/20 36/20	91/23	9/20 9/22 11/14 13/12
monitor [1] 54/25	Mr Gareth [1] 96/11	43/2 43/19 44/3 45/13		14/6 17/14 17/16
monitored [2] 53/18	Mr Henry [8] 2/8 2/20		next [10] 11/12 15/21	17/17 19/8 22/20
54/8	2/21 16/15 17/14	61/24 61/25 67/10	16/18 31/23 45/22	23/14 26/5 26/16
monitoring [1] 54/5	22/23 94/13 99/6	67/11 67/17 67/21	65/6 70/25 80/25	27/24 28/6 28/9 29/1
moral [5] 3/18 3/21	Mr Henry's [1] 17/7	67/22 68/3 69/24	81/22 91/9	32/6 35/21 36/10
4/6 23/18 47/3	Mr Ismail [2] 78/20	77/21 79/1 79/15 80/4		37/21 39/11 39/25
more [25] 4/6 5/3	78/25	80/9 81/13 81/22	75/3	41/5 42/5 47/22 51/8
20/19 26/8 37/3 45/13	Mr Jacobs [1] 78/18	84/21 85/16 93/13	NHS [1] 49/10	51/21 53/4 60/14
45/23 45/25 48/5	Mr Jenkins's [1]	94/12 95/8 95/10	no [28] 17/14 17/14	60/16 63/2 63/9 63/10
49/12 49/18 61/3 62/5	96/14 Mr Miller [4] 52/9	95/11 97/21	17/15 17/15 23/25	63/20 69/21 71/5 79/4
62/7 62/16 62/25 65/8	Mr Miller [1] 52/8	Ν	30/4 31/24 32/15 33/12 33/16 34/10	79/16 79/20 79/21 81/11 82/23 83/13
68/20 70/5 70/9 78/13	Mr Moloney [4] 2/12	name [4] 23/8 56/15	35/12 35/17 49/14	85/20 93/8 93/18
79/2 80/19 87/13	51/16 51/20 70/24 <b>Mr Rodric [1]</b> 7/5	59/23 61/23	50/24 53/10 53/24	93/19 93/23 94/18
87/16		named [1] 97/6	54/13 57/22 58/1 62/5	
morning [8] 1/6 1/14	Mr Staunton [1] 60/10	namely [1] 19/13	69/9 72/7 83/20 87/13	
2/11 51/7 71/8 71/9	Mr Stein [7] 2/9	Naranker [1] 91/16	88/5 88/19 96/9	nuclear [2] 60/10
89/1 93/20	22/24 23/5 23/6 50/10		<b>NOBLE [2]</b> 1/3 99/2	60/11
most [2] 18/7 67/20	51/11 99/8	narrow [1] 88/9	nobody [1] 47/6	number [11] 23/8
mostly [1] 74/20	Mrs [1] 90/23	Nash [1] 91/7	Nolan [16] 25/17	30/24 31/2 31/3 36/17
motivated [1] 3/15	Mrs Wolstenholme	National [1] 71/10	25/23 26/10 26/16	52/5 63/22 64/21
motivates [1] 19/4	<b>[1]</b> 90/23	nature [7] 16/10	28/2 28/10 28/16	67/13 77/13 97/16
motivation [3] 3/23	Ms [17] 2/14 9/10	53/16 54/24 54/25	28/21 29/16 29/20	number 1 [1] 30/24
3/24 4/5	11/16 21/20 24/6 24/6	57/25 59/5 85/11	29/23 30/7 48/14	number 2 [1] 31/3
motivations [2] 2/25	27/20 70/25 71/1 80/7	nearly [1] 18/17	48/22 49/3 50/12	numerous [1] 48/18
3/4	80/7 80/12 80/17	neatly [1] 31/16	non [17] 17/17 17/20	
motive [1] 4/18	80/22 85/1 88/14	necessarily [9] 17/3	18/15 19/7 48/18 58/3	0
move [7] 9/10 11/12	99/12	19/18 23/22 25/4	60/18 62/11 65/10	O'Mahoney [1] 92/14
27/17 29/1 32/11	Ms Badenoch [1]	44/21 46/12 73/13	65/11 65/17 66/15	objectivity [1] 19/21
82/17 92/6	27/20	90/3 90/5	70/3 70/5 70/8 70/9	obligation [2] 50/6
Mr [63] 1/5 2/8 2/9	Ms Crichton [1]	necessary [5] 45/17	85/18	50/7
2/12 2/20 2/21 6/8 7/4 7/5 7/5 7/6 7/6 7/7	11/16	72/22 75/3 75/12	non-executive [7]	obligations [3] 10/12
	Ms MacLeod [1]	89/21	18/15 19/7 58/3 60/18	56/6 67/23
L			(2)	6) misplaced - obligations

(36) misplaced - obligations

Observations [1]         20/13 21/20 22/3 27/1         55/4 55/6 57/15 57/20         outside [1] 9/2         51/0 5/13 21/13 39/4           62/10         observed [2] 8/3         57/10         58/14 56/16 50/7 50/8         outside [6] 1/17 33/8         39/17 48/16 66/25           obtainel [2] 5/4         55/3 58/16 50/17 62/1 65/16 67/14 67/22         50/24 61/6 61/7 62/1 65/16 67/14 67/22         70/4         58/23 58/16 17           obtainel [2] 5/4         55/3 58/16 50/17         68/2 68/7 69/8 69/16         69/20 70/6 70/14         outsource [1] 45/13         9/16         9/16         9/16         9/16         9/17         paragraph 106 [2]         5/10 5/13 21/17 38/12         9/17         paragraph 140 [1]         39/4           obtaining [1] 79/12         55/14 57/10 71/17 78/8 78/20         88/25 89/10 91/16         9/18 92/23 99/12         9/18 92/12 92/2         paragraph 145 [1]         9/17         paragraph 15 [1]         66/25           occusion [1] 43/18         64/14 88/2 89/1         9/19 91/12         9/13 99/17         paragraph 12 [2]         66/25         paragraph 13 [2]         66/25           occurred [1] 40/9         9/12 92/12 96/5 96/8         ore [5] 2/7 14/9         9/18 99/12         9/13 93/17         paragraph 145 [1]         paragraph 145 [1]         66/25         paragraph 145 [1]         10/12 10/9 49/213         9/16 90/17	0	15/16 18/17 20/4	48/22 49/23 53/13	outlined [1] 74/11	paragraph [11] 5/9
observations [1]         28/20 28/20 311/2         68/14 68/16 59/2 59/8         0urreget [1]         233         39/17 49/16 68/25           observed [2]         337         24/16 50/3 50/8 50/25         61/17 62/1 65/16 57/14 707         outsource [1]         53/15 32/3 84/5           obtained [2]         54/1 55/16 7/14 77/17         55/15 25/25 58/15 61/17         68/16 59/16 70/87 69/8 69/16         outsource [1]         63/11 55/16 57/14 77/17         outsource [1]         63/11 55/16 57/14 78/13         outsource [1]         63/11 55/16 57/17 75/8         outsource [1]         63/11 55/16 57/17 75/8         outsource [1]         63/11 50/16 57/1 75/8         outsource [1]         63/11 50/16 57/1 75/8         outsource [1]         63/11 50/16 7/17 58/8         outsource [1]         63/11 50/16 57/1 75/8         outsource [1]         63/11 50/16 57/17 75/8         64/11 50/16 7/17 58/8         outsource [1]         53/11 50/16 57/17 58/8         outsource [1]         53/11 50/16 57/17 58/8         outsource [1]         53/11 50/16 57/17 58/8         outsource [1]         53/11 50/16 5					
0.7.10 0.5erved [2] 8/3 0.5erved [					1
57/10         ************************************		37/22 41/5 43/5 44/9			83/5 83/23 84/5
obtaine(1)         5/17         5/17         5/22         5/22         5/16         6/17         6/17         5/17         5/27         5/16         5/17         5/27         5/16         5/27		46/16 50/3 50/9 50/25	61/17 62/1 65/4 65/13	33/24 44/25 45/11	paragraph 106 [2]
obtained [2] 5/4         53/2 3 26/1 3 0/1 / 51/2 26/2 6/3 6/4 (2)         53/2 3 26/1 3 0/1 / 51/2 2/4         53/2 3 2/1 / 21/2 2/2 2/2/1         53/2 3 2/1 / 51/2 2/4         53/2 3 2/1 / 21/2 2/2 2/2/1         53/2 3 2/1 / 21/2 2/2 2/2/1         53/2 3 2/1 / 51/2 2/1 2/2 2/2 / 21/2 2/2 2/2/1         53/2 3 2/1 / 21/2 2/2 2/2 2/1 / 21/2 2/2 2/2 2/1 / 21/2 2/2 2/2 2/1 2/2 / 21/2 2/2 2/2 2/1 2/2 2/2 / 21/2 2/2 2/2 2/1 2/2 2/2 / 21/2 2/2 2/2 2/2 / 21/2 2/2 2/2 2/2 2/2 2/2 / 21/2 2/2 2/2 2/2 2/2 2/2 2/2 2/2 2/2 2/2			65/16 67/14 67/22	70/4	5/10 5/13
Barra         Dir2d D2/B 03/B 04/B         Osci D1/D 02/D 02/B 04/B         Over [15] 93/10/B         Jan 20           Obtaining [1] 70/12         65/17 08/16 27/14         72/17 73/B 73/20         Barzagraph 145 [1]         39/17           Obviousy [6] 14/20 8/11         73/17 78/B 76/24         72/17 73/B 73/20         Barzagraph 15 [1]         66/16         99/16         99/16         99/16         99/16         99/16         99/16         99/16         99/16         99/16         66/16         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/14         99/14         99/14         99/12         99/12         99/12         99/12         99/12         99/14         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/12         66/13         71/17         66/13         71/17         66/13         71/17         66/13         71/17         66/13         71/17         71/14         66/13         71/17         71/14         66/13         71/14         71/14         71/14         71/14         71/14         71/14         71/14         71/14         71/14         71/14         71/14         71/14         71/14					
obtaining [1]         Turiz         Display [2]         Display [2] <thdisplay [2]<="" th="">         Display [2]         <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<></thdisplay>					
obvious [2]         1/21/2         1/					
obviously [6] 3/11         1/31 / 10/24         1/31 /					
11/16 14/23 28/1 68/20         7/10 61/8 84/1         84/10         84/10 84/1         80/11 84/1					
06/10         94/2 96/12 96/5 96/5         0ral [8] 7/1/6 128/19         0verall (3] 61/19         97/16           0ccusion [1] 43/16         0nly [6] 13/2 28/14         0nly [7] 13/16         40/21 70/3 3/4         40/21 70/3 3/4         63/16         40/21 70/3 3/4         63/16         40/21 70/3 3/4         40/21 70/3 3/3         40/17         <					
Backstonaliy [1] 42/8         Spr2         Bak/15 Ba/25 By/19 By/19         Bak/11 Ba/25 by overhoardel [2] 65/9 part [6] 5/12 18/8         Spr2 by/19 part [6] 12/13 18/15           occurred [1] 4/19         61/19 72/14 79/13         only [6] 13/2 28/4         ordar [5] 2/7 14/9         overhoardel [2] 65/9 part [6] 5/12 18/8           occurrs [1] 3/15         onto [3] 2/17 76/6         52/5 9/17 63/4 63/14         Participant [1] 7/17           October [1] 7/19         onto [3] 2/17 76/6         32/5 4/11 4/15 25/9         overhoarding [5]         2/10 2/12 9/3 163/5           Ordel [1] 3/14         onwards [2] 2/72         anwards [2] 2/72         anwards [2] 2/72         anwards [2] 2/72         anwards [2] 2/72           operate [3] 3/17         operate [3] 3/17         operate [3] 3/17         anx and [1] 3/14         anx and [1] 3/14           offer [1] 6/17         operate [3] 3/17         operate [3] 3/17         anx and [1] 3/14         anx and [					
Obscussion [1] 34/24 occurring [1] 1/11 occurs [1] 93/15         one's [2] 60/17 63/8 61/18         B9/21 93/19 3/17 organisation [2] 3/25 4/11 4/15 25/9 0/ganisation [2] 3/26 2/21 2/19 3/63 3/215 0/ganisation [2] 3/21 2/11 4/25 3/21 3/21 2/12 3/21 2/21 2/10 2/12 9/04 9/214 0/ganisation [2] 3/21 2/11 4/21 3/41/3 3/21 2/11 2/12 0/ganisations [2] 0/ganisations	occasionally [1] 32/5				
occurred [1] 40/9         only [6] 13/2 28/4         order [6] 2/7 14/9         65/18         18/19 2/1/2 5/12 5/19 63/5           occurrs [1] 9/315         onto [3] 27/17 65/6         3/22 4/11 4/15 25/9         65/18         18/19 2/12 5/19 63/3           October [1] 7/19         onto [3] 27/17 65/6         3/22 4/11 4/15 25/9         66/18         2/21 5/19 63/3           Odd [1] 28/1         onwards [2] 27/2         3/920 4/0/22 4/10         overseeing [1] 7/57         9/22 9/314 93/16           Off [8] 5/2 10/9 12/13         open [1] 2/8         4/71 4/32 4/72 4/716         7/16 6/17         3/12 9/16           Office [4] 0/323         operate [3] 3/17         organisations [2]         7/12 5/2 75/2 76/7         7/14 9/12 9/13           97/11         3/13 8/14         operate [3] 3/17         3/13 8/14         4/71 4/3 12/3         7/15 76/17 5/4           97/12         operate [3] 3/17         operate [3] 3/17         7/12 5/7         7/12 5/7         7/12 5/7           26/20 27/1 2/14 27/17         operation [1] 5/7         organisations [7]         owed [1] 8/18         2/18 2/18           21/12 2/12 2/14         4/17 4/14 9/12 3/14/14         4/17 4/2 3/4/14         4/17 4/2 3/4/14         2/17 9/17           21/12 2/14 2/17         operation [1] 5/17         organisations [1] 10/16         1/16 8/17         maxit a/17	occlusion [1] 43/18				
occurring [1]         11/1         95/10         overboarding [5]         322 59/17 63/4 63/14         Participants [9]         28/25 9/17 63/4         28/12 53/2 59/17 63/4         28/12 53/2 59/17 63/4         29/10 21/2 59/16 9/17         29/12 53/2 59/17 63/4         29/12 53/2 59/17 63/4         29/12 53/2 59/17 63/4         29/12 53/2 59/17 63/4         29/12 53/2 59/17 63/4         29/12 53/2 59/17 63/4         29/12 53/2 59/17 63/4         29/12 53/2 29/21 55/10         29/12 53/2 29/21 55/10         29/12 53/2 29/21 55/10         29/12 53/2 29/21 55/10         29/12 53/2 29/21 55/10         29/12 53/2 29/21 55/10         29/12 53/2 29/21 55/10         29/14 20/17         29/12 23/22 29/21 55/10         29/14 20/17         29/12 23/21 29/21 55/10         29/14 20/17         29/12 23/21 29/15 5/10         29/14 20/17         29/14 29/17         29/14 29/17         29/14 29/17         29/12 23/21 29/15 5/10         29/14 20/17         29/12 23/21 29/15 5/10         29/14 20/17         29/14 29/17         29/14 29/17         29/15 23/14 20/17         29/14 29/17         29/14 29/17         29/14 29/17 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
occursing [1]         organisation [21]         organisation [21]         Size 59/17 63/4 63/14 Participant [1]         Participant [1] <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
October [1]         9/13         onto [3]         27/17 65/6         3/25 4/11 4/15 2/8)         64/13         Participants [9]         2/8           oddity [1]         28/12         53/25 4/11 4/15 2/8)         64/13         Oversight [1]         8/13         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 9/2/4         2/11 2/17         3/25 4/11 4/15 2/8         0versight [12] 9/3         2/11 4/2/4         2/10 2/12 9/4 2/2/1         3/21 4/17         2/11 4/17 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
October [1]         7/19         95/13         26/21 27/9 36/3 38/15         overnight [1]         8/13         2/10 2/12 90/4 59/24           oddi [1]         3/13         3/20         4/27         5/3/23         overseeing [1]         7/37         3/2/2 9/14 39/16         3/2/2 9/14 28/75/175/7         3/2/2 9/14 28/875/175/7         3/2/2 9/14 28/875/175/7         3/2/2 9/10 30/6 44/16           97/11         34/19 83/15         4/17 4/22 47/2 47/14         7/2/2 57/2 76/7         7/7/25         7/7/25         7/7/25         9/20 23/2 29/21 55/10           operate [1]         6/3/2         5/2/2         1/14 2/2         4/2/4 4/15 4/2/20         overtimed [1]         8/1/2         particular [6]         9/20 23/2 29/21 55/10           3/2/2 9/2/2 29/19         3/1/3 30/12 30/15 4/15 4/12         organisations [7]         overtimed [1]         9/20 23/2 29/21 55/10         8/2/2 38/2 38/2         9/20 23/2 29/21 55/10         8/7/23         9/20 3/2 29/21 55/10         8/7/23         9/20 3/2 29/21 55/10         8/7/23         9/20 3/2 29/21 55/10         8/7/23         9/20 3/2 29/21 55/10         8/7/23         9/20 3/2 29/21 55/10         8/7/23         9/20 3/2 29/21 55/10         8/7/23         9/20 3/2 29/21 55/10         8/7/23         9/20 3/2 29/21 55/10         8/7/23         9/20 3/2 29/21 55/10         8/7/23         9/20 3/2 29/21 55/10         8/7/23					
0.00 (1) 201         0.00 (1) 201<					
0ddify [1] 34/24 13/9 32/12 53/2 53/2 97/11         93/8         44/7 44/22 47/2 47/16 47/2 15/3 25/3 11 39/12 53/2 53/2 97/11         95/16 23/14 28/8 75/1 75/4 23/14 28/8 75/1 75/4 23/14 28/8 75/1 75/2 77/22 75/22 76/7         95/16 23/14 28/8 75/1 75/4 29/9 29/10 30/6 44/16 29/9 29/10 30/6 44/16 29/20 23/2 29/21 55/10 operation [1] 52/7         95/16 37/23 77/23 77/23 77/23         95/16 30/16 76/12 72/9 9/20 23/2 29/21 55/10 0peration [1] 52/7         95/16 47/2 47/2 47/2 47/2 47/2 47/14 2/3 44/15 44/20 verturned [1] 40/17 9/20 23/2 29/21 55/10 0peration [1] 52/9 75/15 76/16 76/16 76/12 78/13 78/23 79/4         95/16 20/16 20/17 9/20 23/2 29/21 55/10 0peration [1] 52/9 37/23 79/4         95/16 47/2 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/24 92/2 29/16 92/17 28/13 78/23 79/4         95/16 92/17 28/13 78/23 79/4           44/7 44/22 47/2 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/16 6/17 97/15 75/15 76/16 76/16 76/12 79/17 78/15 76/16 76/16 76/12 79/17 78/15 76/16 76/16 76/17 29/18 47/2 47/24 47/24 47/14 47/14 47/16 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/16 47/24 47/24 49/14 47/16 47/24 47/24 49/14 47/16 47/24 47/24 47/16 47/24 47/24 47/16 47/24 47/24 47/16 47/24 47/24 47/24 47/16 47/24 47/24 47/16					
101 [6] 12 / 103 / 21/3       open (1) 29/8       47/21 53/3 53/11       23/14 28/8 57/17 / 744       particular [8] 21/4         13/9 32/12 53/2 53/23       open (1) 23/10       operate [3] 34/17       operate [3] 34/17       75/15 76/16 76/19 76/23       75/15 76/17       29/9 29/10 30/6 44/16         office [1] 63/2       operate [3] 34/17       operate [3] 34/17       34/19 83/15       77/12 52/2 57/22 76/7       76/16 76/19 76/23       77/25 73/21 72/2 72/17       particular [8] 21/4       29/20 23/2 29/21 55/10       68/8 80/11 96/17       particular [8] 20/2       29/20 23/2 29/21 55/10       97/20 23/2 29/21 55/10       87/20 23/2 29/21 55/10       97/21 20/21 3/20 23/2 29/21 52/20       97/21 2					
13/3 201/2 2012 3012 3012 operates [1] 26/13 offered [1] 66/17 offered [1] 63/23 office [48] 20/21 24/18 24/23 25/3 26/10 271 2714 271/7 28/9 29/4 29/7 29/19 36/9       55/21 61/12 72/19 37/23       75/22 75/22 7677 77/25       29/9 29/10 30/6 44/16 84/8 86/11 96/17 particularly [6] 3/9 97/9       59/9 4/3 41/25       77/25 77/25       79/9 77/25       29/9 29/10 30/6 44/16 97/10 97/12       88/8 86/11 96/17 particularly [6] 3/9 97/9       88/8 86/11 96/17 97/12 21 22/2 23/3 37/3 30/12 30/15 44/1       99/20 23/2 29/21 55/10 97/25       88/8 86/11 97/2 97/12 75/22 76/21 75/2 75/25 76/16       88/8 86/11 97/2 97/12 75/22 76/21 75/2 75/25 76/16       99/9 97/2 22/2 29/12 50/10 97/16 76/12 78/13       99/9 97/2 22/2 29/21 55/20       99/9 97/2 22/2 29/21 97/15 76/16 76/17 78/13       97/9 97/15 76/16 76/17 78/13       97/16 76/12 78/13 78/23 79/4       97/16 76/12 78/13 78/23			47/21 53/3 53/11	23/14 28/8 75/1 75/4	
offer [1]         66/17         operate [3]         34/17         organisation's [2]         76/18         76/19         76/23         68/8         86/11         96/17           office [4]         20/21         34/19         33/19         34/12         5         operated [2]         55/6         operating [3]         84/14         43/14         23/14         43/14         23/14         43/14         23/14		openness [1] 26/13			29/9 29/10 30/6 44/16
offered [1] 63/23       34/19 33/15       44/19 33/15       47/3 41/25       77/25       particluary [6] 3/9         office [43] 20/21       9perated [2] 55/6       operated [2] 55/6       operating [3] 38/14       57/22       part [1] 57/17       part [2] 38/2 38/9         26/20 27/1 27/4 27/17       39/7 52/9       operating [3] 38/14       45/7 45/23       owed [1] 57/17       passionately [1] 68/23         37/23 37/25 40/11       39/7 52/9       operational [3] 54/4       55/20 54/15 74/19       owed [1] 77/9 92/2       passive [1] 79/2       passive [1] 70/2       78/32 39/4       Paul [2] 91/6 92/17       Paul [3] 83/2 3/3       Paul [3] 83/2 3/3       Paul [3] 37/3       S3/3 38/3 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
office [4]         20/21         operation [1]         50/9         organisational [6]         overturned [1]         40/4         92/20         22/21/2         22/21/					
24/18       24/23       25/3       96/2       16/2					
26/20 27/1 27/4 27/4 7       39/7 52/9       operation [1] 52/7       operations [7]       39/7 52/9       operations [7]       39/7 52/9       operations [7]       31/3 30/12 30/15 44/1         31/2 5 22/13 56/3 37/2       operations [1] 52/7       operations [1] 52/7       operations [1] 52/7       52/20 54/15 74/19       92/3 92/16       passive [1] 79/2         44/23 45/8 45/9 45/10       operations [1] 59/13       operations [1] 59/13       operations [1] 59/13       10/16 11/8 13/5 16/11       owned [2] 27/20       Passive [1] 79/2         59/13 71/17 72/23       15/13 15/14 16/19       operations [1] 59/13       operations [1] 59/13       10/16 11/8 13/5 16/11       owned [2] 27/20       Passive [1] 79/2         76/5 76/10 76/15 79/1       optorunity [5] 20/10       38/18 84/21 47/4       34/20 38/3 88/18       P       Paula [9] 6/2 6/12 8/9         83/13 88/7 97/5       opposite [2] 21/17       optocis [1] 7/7       optocis [2] 21/17       69/11 6/32 6/16 8/2       69/16 6/32 6/3 82/23       page [1] 14/5         83/23 88/3       optics [1] 7/7       optics [1] 7/7       optics [1] 7/7       90/15 95/7 95/14       97/15 97/25 78/7       56/16 6/32 6/3 82/23       page 129 [1] 17/23       page 129 [1] 17/23       peculiarity [1] 37/25       peculiarity [1] 37/25       peculiarity [1] 37/25       potics [1] 7/7       optics [1] 7/7       97/14 27/14 77/44       9					
269         29/4         29/14         29/14         29/14         29/14         29/14         29/14         29/14         29/14         20					
31/23       37/23 <td< td=""><td>28/9 29/4 29/7 29/19</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	28/9 29/4 29/7 29/19				
3/12       3/18       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       9/8       8/8       8/8       9/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       9/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       9/8       8/8       8/8       8/8       9/8       8/8       9/8       8/8       9/8       8/8       9/8       8/8       9/8       8/8       9/8       9/14       10/16       11/8       13/15       16/16       7/17       7/16       7/16       7/17       7/16       7/17       7/16       7/17       7/16       7/16       7/17       7/16       7/17       7/16       7/17       7/16       7/17       7/17       7/17       7/17       7/17       7/17       7/17       7/17       7/17       7/17       7/17       7/17       7/17       7/17       7/17 <t< td=""><td>31/25 32/13 35/3 37/2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	31/25 32/13 35/3 37/2				
44/23 43/6 43/9 43/10       operations [1] 59/13       10/16 <sup>+</sup> 11/8 13/5 16/11       owned [2] 27/20       78/23 79/4         45/20 46/5 48/9 48/10       operations [1] 59/13       10/16 <sup>+</sup> 11/8 13/5 16/11       attriangle and the second se					
13/22       15/21       16/2					1
49/22 35/21 30/24 59/13 71/17 72/23       15/13 15/14 16/19 59/13 71/17 72/23       27/21 27/22 34/3 34/6 34/20 38/4 38/18 34/20 38/4 38/18       P       Paul [2] 91/6 92/17 Paula [9] 6/2 6/12 8/9 8/15 11/16 13/20 14/2         75/4 75/19 75/24 76/3 76/5 76/10 76/15 79/1 79/10 81/3 81/7 82/9 33/19 88/7 97/5       57/21 29/2 0portunity [5] 20/10 96/21       38/18 43/21 47/4 47/12 4 47/14 47/4       12/9 17/23 25/20 26/1 21/4 79/25       Paul [2] 91/6 92/17 Paula [9] 6/2 6/12 8/9 8/15 11/16 13/20 14/2         0ffices [4] 20/2 60/8 33/23 88/3       0posite [2] 21/17 0posite [2] 21/17 0ptically [1] 20/21 0ptically [1] 21/3       0/15 95/7 95/14 0ptically [1] 20/21 0ptically [1] 20/21 0ptically [1] 20/21 0ptically [1] 20/21 0ptically [1] 20/21 0ptically [1] 21/2 25/15 27/16 29/11       0/15 95/7 95/14 0ptically [1] 21/2 0ptically [1] 20/21 0ptically [1] 20/21 0ptically [1] 21/2 13 5/3 5/3 /9 2/3 12       0/15 95/7 95/14 0ptically [1] 21/2 0ptically [1] 21/2 0ptically [1] 21/2 13 5/3 5/3 /9 2/3 12       0/15 95/7 95/14 0ptically [1] 21/2 13 5/3 5/3 /9 2/3 12       0/15 95/7 95/14 0ptically [1] 21/2 13 5/4 5/5 6/6 8/9 2/3 12 25/10 2/14 3 5/4 5/5 6/6 8/9 2/3 12 25/20 2/3 2/3 12 25/20 2/3 12 25/20 2/3 2/3 12 25/20 2/3 2/3 1/3 2/2 41/6 4/2/22 2/3 2/3 1/3 2/2 41/6 4/2/22 2/3 2/3 1/3 2/2 41/6 4/2/22 2/3 2/3 1/3 4/11 2/3 2/3 1/3 1/3 1/4 2/21 2/3 1/2 1/2 1/2 12/21 2/3 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 2/			18/5 20/10 22/14	17/16	
75/4 75/19 75/24 76/3       36/12 93/1			27/21 27/22 34/3 34/6		
1614 7617 97615 7610 7615 7911       opportunity [5] 2010       38/18 43/21 47/4       page [22] 5/11 5/16       8/15 11/16 13/20 14/2         7910 81/3 81/7 82/9       74/1 94/12 9616       47/24 47/24 49/14       12/9 17/23 25/20 26/1       21/4 79/25         96/21       96/21       99/16 93/16 60/17       30/10 39/2 39/5 39/17       Pause [1] 14/5         9710 81/3 81/7 82/9       90poste [1] 68/21       69/21 69/25 72/5 78/7       65/23 66/3 82/23       page [22] 91/1 91/22         90ffices [2] 29/8 71/3       opposite [2] 21/17       69/21 69/25 72/5 78/7       65/23 66/3 82/23       page [21] 11/2         official [1] 46/18       optic [1] 7/7       90/15 95/7 95/14       91/16 91/19 91/22       page 129 [1] 17/23       page 41 [1] 39/6       peculiarities [1] 39/6         officials [1] 28/2       optics [1] 78/14       37/3 39/24 47/5 69/2       page 41 [1] 39/17       page 41 [2] 39/23 95/9       peculiarities [1] 37/25       peculiarities [1] 37/25       potion [2] 60/10       our [25] 4/2 8/17 30/18 34/20       page 41 [2] 39/17       11/8 21/23 26/25 27/3       page 41 [2] 39/17       11/8 21/23 26/25 27/3       33/22 41/6 42/4 42/12       33/22 41/6 42/4 42/12       33/22 41/6 42/4 42/12 <td></td> <td></td> <td>34/20 38/4 38/18</td> <td><u>P</u></td> <td></td>			34/20 38/4 38/18	<u>P</u>	
1013 101 10 101 101 101 101       74/1 94/12 96/16       47/24 47/24 49/14       12/9 17/23 25/20 26/1       21/4 79/25         9/10 81/3 81/7 82/9       96/21       90/21       30/10 39/2 39/5 39/17       Pause [1] 14/5         0fficers [4] 20/2 60/8       opposite [2] 21/17       69/21 69/25 72/5 78/7       65/23 66/3 82/23       peculiartites [1] 39/6         0fficers [1] 52/9       optically [1] 20/21       optically [1] 20/21       0ptically [1] 20/21       0ptically [1] 20/21       optically [1] 21/2       optically [1] 20/21       optically [1] 21/2       page 12] 39/13 29/23 29/5       peculiartites [1] 39/6         0fficer [1] 28/2       optically [1] 20/21       optically [1] 21/3       optically [1] 21/2       optically [1] 21/2       optically [1] 21/2       optically [1] 21/2       page 41 [1] 39/17       11/8 21/23 26/25 27/3       page 41 [1] 30/10       page 52 [2] 25/20       47/4 47/8 47/11 52/13       32/22 41/6 42/4 42/12       page 52 [2] 25/20       47/4 47/8 47/11 52/13       54/16 63/7       56/1 67/20 68/6 68/2       56/1 67/20 68/6 68/2       56/1 67/20 68/6 68/2		opportunity [5] 20/10		page [22] 5/11 5/16	8/15 11/16 13/20 14/2
83/19 88/7 97/5       96/21       44/8 53/10 60/17       30/10 39/2 39/3 39/17       Pause 11       14/5         Office's [4] 20/2 60/8       opposite [2] 21/17       69/21 69/25 72/5 78/7       65/23 66/3 82/23       pay [2] 39/13 95/20         Officer [1] 52/9       optic [1] 7/7       optic [1] 7/7       90/15 95/7 95/14       92/2 92/13       peculiar [1] 38/3         official [1] 46/18       optics [1] 78/14       optics [1] 78/14       37/3 39/24 47/5 69/2       page 31 [1] 5/16       peculiartits [1] 39/6         officials [1] 28/2       optics [1] 78/14       optics [1] 78/14       37/3 39/24 47/5 69/2       page 40 [2] 39/2 39/5 39/5       peculiartits [1] 37/25         officials [1] 21/5       optics [1] 78/14       optics [1] 71/13       optics [1] 78/14       37/3 39/24 47/5 69/2       page 31 [1] 5/16       peculiartits [1] 39/6         officials [1] 1/2 16/19       optics [1] 78/14       optics [1] 79/15       otherwise [1] 97/24       page 40 [2] 39/2 39/5       peculiartits [1] 32/5       peculiartits [1] 32/2       <					21/4 79/25
Office's [4]         20/2 60/8         opposed [1]         68/21         62/11 64/3 67/1 69/78         41/20 42/9 64/6 65/7         pagy [2]         39/13 95/20           S3/23 88/3         opposed [2]         21/17         69/21 69/25 72/5 78/7         65/23 66/3 82/23         peculiar [1] 38/3           Officer [1]         52/9         41/1         69/21 69/25 72/5 78/7         65/23 66/3 82/23         peculiar [1] 38/3           Offices [2]         29/8 71/13         optic [1] 7/7         <		96/21	49/18 53/10 60/17		Pause [1] 14/5
83/23 88/3       opposite [2] 21/17       69/21 69/23 7/23 7/17       69/21 69/23 7/27 7/17       69/21 69/23 7/27 7/17       69/21 69/23 7/27       69/21 69/23 60/7       69/21 69/23 60/7       69/21 69/23 60/7       69/21 69/23 60/7       69/21 69/23 60/7       69/21 69/23 60/7       69/21 69/23 60/7       60/12 61/24 20/7       60/12 61/24 20/7       60/11 60/12       60/11 60/12       60/12 61/4 2/12       60/14 62/24 20/7       60/14 62/24 20/7       60/14 63/24 20/7       60/14 63/24 20/7       60/14 63/24 20/7       60/14 63/24 20/7       60/14 63/24 20/7       60/14 63/24 20/7       60/14 63/24 20/7       60/14 63/24 20/7       60/14 63/24 20/7       60/14 63/24 60/24       60/14 63/24 60/24       60/14 63/24 60/24       60/14 63/14 9/17       60/12 61/14 61/24       60/12 61/14 61/24       60/12 61/14 61/24					
Officer [1] 52/9 offices [2] 29/8 71/13 official [1] 46/18 officials [1] 28/2 often [6] 22/12 38/5 optics [1] 78/14 optics [1] 78/24 optics [1] 7					
offices [2] 29/8 71/13 official [1] 46/18 officials [1] 28/2 often [6] 22/12 38/5 52/20 60/5 77/25 96/2 Oh [4] 4/2 16/4 28/17 53/12 okay [9] 1/23 11/5 25/15 27/16 29/11 41/6 56/1 64/24 88/17       optics [1] 78/14 optics [1] 12/13 of [88] 3/5 3/5 3/9 25/15 27/16 29/11 8/10 8/10 8/10 8/21 14/7 14/13 14/18 15/11 15/12 16/19 16/21 37/23 on [12] on [12] 3/23 5/9 7/13 7/15 9/2 14/3       optics [1] 7/14 bf 1/2 bf 1/2 bf 2/2 bf 1/2 bf 1/2 bf 2/2 bf 1/2 bf 1/2 bf 2/2 bf 1/2 bf 1/2 bf 2/2 bf 1/2 bf 1/2 bf 2/2 bf 1/2 bf 1/2 bf 2/2 bf 1/2 bf					
official [1] 46/18 officials [1] 28/2 often [6] 22/12 38/5 52/20 60/5 77/25 96/2 Oh [4] 4/2 16/4 28/17 53/12       optics [1] 78/14 optics [1] 21/5 optics [1] 78/14       37/3 39/24 47/5 69/2 optics [1] 97/24       page 41 [1] 5/16 page 40 [2] 39/2 39/5 page 41 [1] 39/17       peole [34] 4/21 6/17         Oh [4] 4/2 16/4 28/17 53/12       options [1] 12/13 options [1] 12/13       options [1] 12/13 options [1] 12/13       9/7 9/15 10/4 10/12 9/7 9/15 10/4 10/12       page 41 [1] 30/17 page 41 [1] 30/10       peole [34] 4/21 6/17         Okay [9] 1/23 11/5 53/12       options [1] 12/13 options [1] 12/13       9/7 9/15 10/4 10/12 9/7 9/15 10/4 10/12       page 48 [1] 30/10 page 95 [2] 25/20       4/14 81/6 43/22 47/3 47/4 47/8 47/11 52/13 54/15 59/24 60/3 60/5         Oldest [2] 37/22 37/23       n1/8 15/11 14/13 14/18 15/11 15/12 16/19 16/21       28/17 30/18 34/20 15/15 21/16 21/17 59/8 66/14       page 5[2] 96/8 96/23 paid [1] 45/11       54/15 59/24 60/3 60/5 54/15 59/24 60/3 60/5         Olympian [1] 3/25 omit [2] 12/2 12/5 30/16 30/21 32/19       3/5 36/23 39/10 41/5 36/23 39/10       27/25 29/14 40/10 42/14 66/24 69/24       page [10] 7/20 7/23 77/14 77/14 77/18 77/18 95/25       55/10 90/14 93/16 97/9 00/14 93/16 97/9       12/15 12/19 12/21 14/25 17/4 87/6 87/9 87/10       peole's [4] 2/25 25/9 39/13 40/11         ort [55] 3/23 5/9 7/13 7/15 9/2 14/3       43/17 43/19 43/20 43/17 43/19 43/20       90/14 93/16 97/9 00/14 93/16 97/9 00/14 93/16 97/9       97/10 97/14 92/17       97/12 90/14 93/16 97/9 00/12 61/15 61/24					
officials [1] 28/2 often [6] 22/12 38/5 52/20 60/5 77/25 96/2 Oh [4] 4/2 16/4 28/17 53/12       optics [1] 7/8/14 optimal [1] 21/5 option [2] 60/10       ofter sign [1] 97/2 option [2] 60/10       page 41 [2] 39/2 39/5 page 40 [2] 39/2 39/5 page 41 [1] 39/17       page 40 [2] 39/2 39/5 page 41 [1] 39/17         Oh [4] 4/2 16/4 28/17 53/12       options [1] 12/13 options [1] 12/13       ofter sign [2] 7/9/15 10/4 10/12       page 41 [1] 30/17       11/8 21/23 26/25 27/3 page 527 [1] 41/20         okay [9] 1/23 11/5 25/15 27/16 29/11       or [88] 3/5 3/5 3/9       12/11 13/25 19/16       page 95 [2] 25/20       47/4 47/8 47/11 52/13 54/15 59/24 60/3 60/5         oldest [2] 37/22 37/23       or [88] 3/5 3/5 3/9       12/11 13/25 19/16       page s[2] 96/8 96/23       54/15 59/24 60/3 60/5         Olympian [1] 3/25 omit [2] 12/2 12/5       8/10 8/10 8/21 14/7       28/17 30/18 34/20       page s[2] 96/8 96/23       54/15 59/24 60/3 60/5         of [12]       11/12 16/19 16/21       51/6 51/7 59/8 66/14       pair [2] 18/22 74/2       77/4 82/12 87/21         ont [2] 12/2 12/5       30/16 30/21 32/19       34/5 36/23 39/10       27/25 29/14 40/10       12/15 12/19 12/21         ont [55] 3/23 5/9       3/3/17 43/19 43/20       90/14 93/16 97/9       87/10       9apers [1] 62/17         ont [55] 3/23 5/9       43/17 43/19 43/20       90/14 93/16 97/9       87/10       9apers [1] 62/17         ont [55] 3/23 5/9       43/3 46/12 48/					
orten [6]       22/12       38/5       option [2]       60/10       ought [2]       7/9       87/21       page 41 [1]       39/17       11/8       21/23       26/25       27/3         Oh [4]       4/2       16/4       28/17       53/12       options [1]       12/13       9/7       9/15       10/4       10/12       page 41 [1]       39/17       page 527 [1]       41/20       33/22       41/6       42/4       42/2 <th< td=""><td>officials [1] 28/2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	officials [1] 28/2				
52/20       60/5 77/25 96/2       60/11       our [25] 4/2 8/1 9/4       page 527 [1] 41/20       33/22 41/6 42/4 42/12         Oh [4] 4/2 16/4 28/17       options [1] 12/13       options [1] 12/13       9/7 9/15 10/4 10/12       page 88 [1] 30/10       42/18 43/6 43/22 47/3         okay [9] 1/23 11/5       or [88] 3/5 3/5 3/9       12/11 13/25 19/16       26/1       page 95 [2] 25/20       47/4 47/8 47/11 52/13         25/15 27/16 29/11       4/13 5/4 5/5 6/6 8/9       20/10 25/20 28/5       26/1       pages [2] 96/8 96/23       54/15 59/24 60/3 60/5         8/10 8/10 8/21 14/7       14/13 14/18 15/11       15/12 16/19 16/21       51/6 51/7 59/8 66/14       pages [2] 96/8 96/23       56/1 67/20 68/4 68/5         77/23       14/13 14/18 15/11       15/12 16/19 16/21       51/6 51/7 59/8 66/14       pair [2] 18/22 74/2       73/8 74/3 74/18 76/4         77/23       17/4 17/9 24/9 24/9       24/9       28/7 28/14 29/17       out [19] 1/20 4/4 5/12       77/14 77/14 77/19       95/25         on [22]       30/16 30/21 32/19       34/5 36/23 39/10       42/14 66/24 69/24       74/25 29/14 40/10       12/15 12/19 12/21       95/25       96/13 12/15 12/19 12/21       95/25       92/9 39/13 40/11       95/25         once [4] 8/25 55/10       42/13 42/19 42/20       78/22 86/17 90/13       87/10       97/14 87/68 87/9       90/14 93/16	often [6] 22/12 38/5				
On [4] 4/2 16/4 28/17       options [1] 12/13       9/7 9/15 10/4 10/12       page 88 [1] 30/10       42/18 43/6 43/22 47/3         okay [9] 1/23 11/5       or [88] 3/5 3/5 3/9       12/11 13/25 19/16       page 95 [2] 25/20       47/4 47/8 47/11 52/13         25/15 27/16 29/11       4/13 5/4 5/5 6/6 8/9       20/10 25/20 28/5       26/1       54/15 59/24 60/3 60/5         14/13 14/18 15/11       14/13 14/18 15/11       45/12 47/20 48/16       pages [2] 96/8 96/23       65/1 67/20 68/4 68/5         37/23       14/13 14/18 15/11       15/12 16/19 16/21       51/6 51/7 59/8 66/14       pair [2] 18/22 74/2       73/8 74/3 74/18 76/4         0lympian [1] 3/25       17/4 17/9 24/9 24/9       76/21 87/15       out [19] 1/20 4/4 5/12       77/14 77/14 77/19       95/25         on [122]       30/16 30/21 32/19       36/6 15/6 24/17 26/12       77/14 77/14 77/14 77/19       95/25         on [122]       34/5 36/23 39/10       27/25 29/14 40/10       12/15 12/19 12/21       12/15 12/19 12/21         04/15 87/16       42/13 42/19 42/20       78/22 86/17 90/13       87/10       90/14 93/16 97/9       87/10         07/13 7/15 9/2 14/3       43/17 43/19 43/20       90/14 93/16 97/9       90/14 93/16 97/9       87/10       90/14 93/16 97/9       90/14 93/26         0/12 61/15 61/24       44/3 66/12 48/17       90/14 93/16 97/9					
53/12       or [88] 3/5 3/5 3/9       12/11 13/25 19/16       page 95 [2] 25/20       47/4 47/8 47/11 52/13         okay [9] 1/23 11/5       4/13 5/4 5/5 6/6 8/9       20/10 25/20 28/5       26/1       54/15 59/24 60/3 60/5         25/15 27/16 29/11       4/13 14/18 15/11       14/13 14/18 15/11       15/12 16/19 16/21       28/17 30/18 34/20       26/1       54/15 59/24 60/3 60/5         oldest [2] 37/23       11/1 13/25 19/16       28/17 30/18 34/20       45/12 47/20 48/16       51/6 51/7 59/8 66/14       51/6 51/7 59/8 66/14       51/6 51/7 59/8 66/14       53/14 29/17       53/12 47/2       77/4 82/12 87/21       55/10 40/12 40/16 30/21 32/19       53/15 3/9/14       76/21 87/15       50/16 30/21 32/19       30/16 30/21 32/19       36/6 15/6 24/17 26/12       77/14 77/14 77/19       59/9 39/13 40/11       51/6 51/6 24/17 26/12       51/15 12/19 12/21       51/9 39/13 40/11       51/6 51/6 24/17 26/12       51/16 51/7 59/8 66/14       51/16 51/2 12/19 12/21       51/9 39/13 40/11       51/6 51/6 24/17 26/12       51/16 51/2 12/19 12/21       51/16 51/2 12/19 12/21       51/16 51/2 12/19 12/21       51/16 51/2 12/19 12/21       51/16 51/2 12/19 12/21       51/16 51/2 12/19 12/21       51/16 51/2 4/5       51/16 51/2 4/5       51/16 51/2 4/5       51/16 51/2 4/5       51/16 51/2 4/5       51/16 51/2 4/5       51/16 51/2 4/5       51/16 51/2 4/5       51/16 51/2 4/5       51/16 51/2 4/5       51/16 51/2 4/5 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
okay [9]       1/23 11/5       4/i 3 5/4 5/5 6/6 8/9       20/10 25/20 28/5       26/1       54/15 59/24 60/3 60/5         25/15 27/16 29/11       4/i 3 5/4 5/5 6/6 8/9       20/10 25/20 28/5       28/17 30/18 34/20       pages [2] 96/8 96/23       55/1 67/20 68/4 68/5         oldest [2]       37/23       14/13 14/18 15/11       45/12 47/20 48/16       paid [1] 45/11       73/8 74/3 74/18 76/4         0lympian [1]       3/25       17/4 17/9 24/9 24/9       76/21 87/15       paies [2] 96/8 96/23       73/8 74/3 74/18 76/4         0lympian [1]       3/25       0/16 30/21 32/19       5/6 51/7 59/8 66/14       76/21 87/15       paies [4] 77/13       77/14 77/14 77/19         0nce [4]       8/25 55/10       3/23 5/9       34/5 36/23 39/10       27/25 29/14 40/10       42/4 66/24 69/24       78/22 86/17 90/13       90/14 93/16 97/9       87/10       papers [1] 62/17       pafers [1] 62/17         0ntc [55]       3/23 5/9       4//3 46/12 48/17       90/14 93/16 97/9       90/14 93/20       90/14 93/16 97/9       87/10       papers [1] 62/17       part [1] 12/3       90/12 61/15 61/24					
25/15 27/16 29/11       8/10 8/10 8/21 14/7         41/6 56/1 64/24 88/17       8/10 8/10 8/21 14/7         0ldest [2] 37/22       14/13 14/18 15/11         37/23       15/12 16/19 16/21         0lympian [1] 3/25       17/4 17/9 24/9 24/9         omit [2] 12/2 12/5       28/7 28/14 29/17         30/16 30/21 32/19       28/17 30/18 34/20         34/5 36/23 39/10       46/15 87/16         64/15 87/16       42/13 42/19 42/20         7/13 7/15 9/2 14/3       43/17 43/19 43/20         41/3 46/12 48/17       90/14 93/16 97/9         0utcome [1] 23/23       90/12 61/15 61/24					
41/6 56/1 64/24 88/17       14/13 14/18 15/11       45/12 47/20 48/16       paid [1] 45/11       73/8 74/3 74/18 76/4         oldest [2] 37/23       15/12 16/19 16/21       15/12 16/19 16/21       15/12 16/19 16/21       76/21 87/15       pair [2] 18/22 74/2       77/4 82/12 87/21         Olympian [1] 3/25       17/4 17/9 24/9 24/9       28/7 28/14 29/17       51/6 51/7 59/8 66/14       pair [2] 18/22 74/2       95/25         on [122]       30/16 30/21 32/19       8/6 15/6 24/17 26/12       77/14 77/14 77/14 77/19       95/25         once [4] 8/25 55/10       34/5 36/23 39/10       42/4 66/24 69/24       12/15 12/19 12/21       14/25 17/4 87/6 87/9         one [55] 3/23 5/9       42/13 42/19 42/20       43/17 43/19 43/20       78/22 86/17 90/13       87/10       papers [1] 62/17         outcome [1] 23/23       90/14 93/16 97/9       0utcome [1] 23/23       para [1] 12/3       60/12 61/15 61/24					
oldest [2]       37/22       15/12 16/19 16/21       51/6 51/7 59/8 66/14       pair [2]       18/22 74/2       77/4 82/12 87/21         Olympian [1]       3/25       17/4 17/9 24/9 24/9       24/9       76/21 87/15       pair [2]       18/22 74/2       77/4 82/12 87/21         Olympian [1]       3/25       28/7 28/14 29/17       30/16 30/21 32/19       34/5 36/23 39/10       76/21 87/15       77/14 77/14 77/19       paper [10]       7/20 7/23       people's [4]       2/25         once [4]       8/25 55/10       34/5 36/23 39/10       27/25 29/14 40/10       14/25 17/4 87/6 87/9       14/25 17/4 87/6 87/9       per [1]       32/6         64/15 87/16       42/13 42/19 42/20       43/17 43/19 43/20       90/14 93/16 97/9       87/10       papers [1]       62/17       perform [1]       3/21         7/13 7/15 9/2 14/3       43/6/12 48/17       0utcome [1]       23/23       para [1]       12/3       60/12 61/15 61/24					
Olympian [1] 3/25 omit [2] 12/2 12/5 on [122] once [4] 8/25 55/10 64/15 87/16 one [55] 3/23 5/9 7/13 7/15 9/2 14/3       17/4 17/9 24/9 24/9 24/9 24/9 28/7 28/14 29/17 30/16 30/21 32/19 34/5 36/23 39/10 40/12 40/16 42/13 42/4 66/24 69/24 78/22 86/17 90/13 90/14 93/16 97/9 outcome [1] 23/23       panels [4] 77/13 77/14 77/14 77/19 paper [10] 7/20 7/23 12/15 12/19 12/21 14/25 17/4 87/6 87/9 87/10 papers [1] 62/17 para [1] 12/3       95/25 people's [4] 2/25 25/9 39/13 40/11 per [1] 32/6 perfectly [2] 3/6 4/5 perform [1] 3/21 performance [6] 60/12 61/15 61/24				pair [2] 18/22 74/2	
omit [2]       12/2		17/4 17/9 24/9 24/9			95/25
on [122]       30/16/30/21/32/19       30/16/30/21/32/19       30/16/30/21/32/19       30/16/30/21/32/19       23/9/39/17/20/123       23/9/39/17/20/123         once [4]       8/25/55/10       34/5/36/23/39/10       34/5/36/23/39/10       27/25/29/14/40/10       12/15/12/19/12/21       12/15/12/19/12/21       per [1]////14/25/17/4/87/6/87/9         64/15/87/16       40/12/40/16/42/13       42/4/66/24/69/24       14/25/17/4/87/6/87/9       90/14/93/16/97/9       87/10       perfectiy [2]////////////////////////////////////					
once [4]       8/25 55/10       34/5 36/23 39/10       27/25 29/14 40/10       12/13 12/19 12/19       per [1] 32/6         64/15 87/16       40/12 40/16 42/13       42/4 66/24 69/24       14/25 17/4 87/6 87/9       perfectiy [2] 3/6 4/5         one [55]       3/23 5/9       43/17 43/19 43/20       90/14 93/16 97/9       87/10       perform [1] 3/21         outcome [1]       23/23       90/14 93/16 97/9       90/14 93/16 97/9       60/12 61/15 61/24					
64/15 87/16       40/12 40/16 42/13       42/4 66/24 69/24       14/23 17/4 61/6 67/9       perfective [2] 3/6 4/3         one [55] 3/23 5/9       42/13 42/19 42/20       78/22 86/17 90/13       87/10       papers [1] 62/17         7/13 7/15 9/2 14/3       43/17 43/19 43/20       90/14 93/16 97/9       papers [1] 62/17       performance [6]         60/12 61/15 61/24					
one [55] 3/23 5/9       42/13 42/19 42/20       78/22 86/17 90/13       87/10       perform [1] 3/21         7/13 7/15 9/2 14/3       43/17 43/19 43/20       90/14 93/16 97/9       papers [1] 62/17       performance [6]         60/12 61/15 61/24					
7/13 7/15 9/2 14/3       43/17 43/19 43/20       90/14 93/16 97/9       papers [1] 02/17       performance [6]         60/12 61/15 61/24					
		44/3 40/ 12 40/ 17			00/12 01/13 01/24

(37) observations - performance

Р	place [6] 9/17 11/2	26/20 27/4 27/17 28/8	previous [4] 65/23	Professor Hodges
performance [3]	26/18 43/5 54/6 68/15	29/4 29/7 29/8 29/18	77/17 89/15 90/17	<b>[2]</b> 46/17 46/25
62/8 62/12 87/4	places [1] 67/6	31/25 32/13 35/3 37/1	previously [2] 9/9	profile [1] 60/2
performing [4] 43/17	plan [1] 8/25	37/21 37/25 40/10	89/14	project [2] 13/25
86/23 86/24 87/2	play [1] 25/6	44/22 45/8 45/9 45/10		78/23
perhaps [12] 15/4	please [33] 5/9 8/18	45/20 46/5 48/9 48/18		promise [1] 51/18
25/16 28/15 37/1 42/8	9/22 9/24 11/14 12/8	49/22 55/21 56/24	80/12	proper [4] 19/22
54/4 62/14 63/1 65/4	12/18 13/12 17/25	57/2 57/7 57/9 59/13	principles [22] 25/16	51/15 54/6 79/18
66/2 75/15 94/3	25/16 25/18 30/11	60/8 71/12 71/17	25/18 25/23 26/10	properly [9] 8/7 59/5
period [6] 16/18	39/1 39/2 50/9 51/9	72/23 75/4 75/19	26/14 26/16 27/3 28/2	67/18 68/13 68/24
24/14 26/18 60/14	64/4 64/6 65/7 82/18	75/24 76/3 76/5 76/10		70/16 70/17 71/23
63/5 73/25	90/8 90/21 90/22 91/4	76/15 79/1 79/9 81/3	29/16 29/20 29/24	77/15
periodicity [1] 61/16	91/9 91/10 91/19	81/7 82/9 83/18 83/23		
periods [1] 53/6	91/22 92/5 92/6 92/13	88/3 88/3 88/7 97/4	50/12 50/20 51/1 78/4	
Perkins [7] 6/3 6/13	92/18 94/2	97/15	prior [2] 56/23 59/6	proposition [1] 85/1
8/9 80/7 80/12 80/17	pleased [1] 28/15	post-conviction [1]	private [14] 27/18	propre [1] 20/20
80/22	pm [2] 94/21 98/4	97/15	29/4 29/14 29/17	prosecuted [3] 38/6
permit [2] 93/19	POCL [2] 56/24 57/6	post-dated [1] 88/3	38/10 48/9 58/21	59/3 87/21
96/19	point [11] 10/3 15/23	postmaster [11]	58/21 58/23 68/22	prosecuting [3] 9/1
perpetuating [1]	45/1 46/2 46/6 47/18	71/12 76/9 78/15	69/2 69/10 69/15	38/7 59/11
39/22	48/13 48/19 54/14	78/18 79/2 79/9 79/11		prosecution [4] 38/8
persist [1] 72/9	67/24 87/19	79/12 79/13 81/1 81/7	private/Government	40/16 80/11 88/4
person [10] 14/7	points [1] 19/19	postmasters [4] 59/2		prosecutions [14]
14/12 14/15 14/20	POL [20] 13/20 32/10 32/12 39/9 57/2 57/8	71/21 74/12 74/17	private/public [1] 58/21	3/17 5/21 9/5 10/10 10/17 12/15 38/6
45/3 60/24 65/8 65/15		posts [1] 67/4		
68/13 84/18	57/12 57/16 57/17 57/17 57/23 57/24	potentially [2] 3/9 87/20	privilege [3] 36/9 36/19 37/11	57/11 58/20 58/20 58/23 59/2 59/5 87/18
personal [8] 20/1	58/5 59/1 59/3 59/4	PowerPoint [1] 90/9	privileged [4] 36/8	
28/19 32/24 42/15	59/18 84/8 84/22 86/6	powers [2] 76/2 77/4	36/14 36/18 37/13	prosecutor [2] 57/18 58/24
45/13 67/17 68/3	POL's [2] 10/16	practical [1] 16/11	proactive [3] 85/3	prosecutorial [2]
68/11	39/22	practice [15] 3/8	85/7 85/15	10/12 19/13
personally [2] 68/9	POL00108065 [1]	15/15 15/18 16/2	proactivity [1] 85/9	prospects [1] 42/13
77/24	8/13	34/10 34/13 34/15	probably [4] 53/10	protect [2] 4/14
	0,10			
perspective [1] 3/8	POI 00108087 [1]	35/4 36/7 46/19 52/18		
persuaded [1] 97/23	POL00108087 [1] 9/22	35/4 36/7 46/19 52/18 52/20 53/5 58/12	60/14 65/6 88/9	57/19
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15	9/22	52/20 53/5 58/12	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13	57/19 protection [3] 42/23
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24	9/22 POL00368926 [1]	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1]	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1]	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1]	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1]	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 7 [3] 75/11	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 7 [3] 75/11 78/17 92/6	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 7 [3] 75/11 78/17 92/6 phases [7] 89/15	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 7 [3] 75/11 78/17 92/6 phases [7] 89/15 90/8 90/16 90/18	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 7 [3] 75/11 78/17 92/6 phases [7] 89/15 90/8 90/16 90/18 91/12 95/17 96/18	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phases 7 [3] 75/11 78/17 92/6 phases [7] 89/15 90/8 90/16 90/18 91/12 95/17 96/18 Phases 5 [1] 91/12	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7 position [4] 10/17	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25 present [5] 6/22	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18 produce [2] 88/23	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11 42/14 42/18 42/25
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 7 [3] 75/11 78/17 92/6 phases [7] 89/15 90/8 90/16 90/18 91/12 95/17 96/18 Phases 5 [1] 91/12 Philippa [1] 91/7	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7 position [4] 10/17 20/1 65/12 65/15	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25 present [5] 6/22 32/19 32/25 77/11	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18 produce [2] 88/23 97/24	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11 42/14 42/18 42/25 43/16 58/21 58/24
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 7 [3] 75/11 78/17 92/6 phases [7] 89/15 90/8 90/16 90/18 91/12 95/17 96/18 Phases 5 [1] 91/12 Philippa [1] 91/7 Phoenix [1] 78/23	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7 position [4] 10/17 20/1 65/12 65/15 positioned [1] 5/4	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25 present [5] 6/22 32/19 32/25 77/11 90/11	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18 produce [2] 88/23 97/24 produce [2] 20/15	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11 42/14 42/18 42/25 43/16 58/21 58/24 68/21 68/23 69/2
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 7 [3] 75/11 78/17 92/6 phases [7] 89/15 90/8 90/16 90/18 91/12 95/17 96/18 Phases 5 [1] 91/12 Philippa [1] 91/7 Phoenix [1] 78/23 phrase [1] 21/9	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7 position [4] 10/17 20/1 65/12 65/15 positioned [1] 5/4 positive [2] 22/4	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25 present [5] 6/22 32/19 32/25 77/11 90/11 presentation [1] 90/9	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18 produce [2] 88/23 97/24 produced [2] 20/15 20/16	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11 42/14 42/18 42/25 43/16 58/21 58/24 68/21 68/23 69/2 69/10 69/15 70/2 70/9
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 91/1 89/15 90/8 90/16 90/18 91/12 95/17 96/18 Phases 5 [1] 91/12 Philippa [1] 91/7 Phoenix [1] 78/23	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7 position [4] 10/17 20/1 65/12 65/15 positioned [1] 5/4 positive [2] 22/4 30/22	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25 present [5] 6/22 32/19 32/25 77/11 90/11 presentation [1] 90/9 presented [2] 8/11	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18 produce [2] 88/23 97/24 produced [2] 20/15 20/16 producing [1] 23/12	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11 42/14 42/18 42/25 43/16 58/21 58/24 68/21 68/23 69/2 69/10 69/15 70/2 70/9 70/13 74/13 74/17
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phases 5 [1] 92/4 Phases 5 [1] 91/12 Philippa [1] 91/7 Phoenix [1] 78/23 phrase [1] 21/9 physically [1] 6/21	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7 position [4] 10/17 20/1 65/12 65/15 positioned [1] 5/4 positive [2] 22/4 30/22 possibility [3] 43/6	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25 present [5] 6/22 32/19 32/25 77/11 90/11 presentation [1] 90/9 presented [2] 8/11 81/25	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18 produce [2] 88/23 97/24 produced [2] 20/15 20/16 producing [1] 23/12 product [1] 82/2	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11 42/14 42/18 42/25 43/16 58/21 58/24 68/21 68/23 69/2 69/10 69/15 70/2 70/9 70/13 74/13 74/17 75/19 76/9 78/7 89/25
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phases 5 [1] 92/4 Phases 5 [1] 91/12 Philippa [1] 91/7 Phoenix [1] 78/23 phrase [1] 21/9 physically [1] 6/21 picked [1] 87/4	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7 position [4] 10/17 20/1 65/12 65/15 positioned [1] 5/4 positive [2] 22/4 30/22 possibility [3] 43/6 52/23 76/17	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25 present [5] 6/22 32/19 32/25 77/11 90/11 presentation [1] 90/9 presented [2] 8/11 81/25 presently [1] 89/12	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18 produce [2] 88/23 97/24 produced [2] 20/15 20/16 producing [1] 23/12 profession [2] 85/11	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11 42/14 42/18 42/25 43/16 58/21 58/24 68/21 68/23 69/2 69/10 69/15 70/2 70/9 70/13 74/13 74/17 75/19 76/9 78/7 89/25 publication [2] 46/23
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phases 5 [1] 92/4 Phases 5 [1] 91/12 Philippa [1] 91/12 Philippa [1] 91/7 Phoenix [1] 78/23 phrase [1] 21/9 physically [1] 6/21 picked [1] 87/4 picture [2] 29/13	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7 position [4] 10/17 20/1 65/12 65/15 positioned [1] 5/4 positive [2] 22/4 30/22 possibility [3] 43/6 52/23 76/17 possible [5] 22/16	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25 present [5] 6/22 32/19 32/25 77/11 90/11 presentation [1] 90/9 presented [2] 8/11 81/25 presently [1] 89/12 preserved [1] 52/16	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18 produce [2] 88/23 97/24 produced [2] 20/15 20/16 producing [1] 23/12 profession [2] 85/11 85/17	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11 42/14 42/18 42/25 43/16 58/21 58/24 68/21 68/23 69/2 69/10 69/15 70/2 70/9 70/13 74/13 74/17 75/19 76/9 78/7 89/25 publication [2] 46/23 81/2
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 91/1 89/17 92/6 phases 5 [1] 91/12 Philippa [1] 91/7 Phoenix [1] 78/23 phrase [1] 21/9 physically [1] 6/21 picked [1] 87/4 picture [2] 29/13 89/16 piece [6] 7/7 7/9 14/24 16/19 17/4	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7 position [4] 10/17 20/1 65/12 65/15 positioned [1] 5/4 positive [2] 22/4 30/22 possibility [3] 43/6 52/23 76/17 possible [5] 22/16 22/22 29/22 81/17	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25 present [5] 6/22 32/19 32/25 77/11 90/11 presentation [1] 90/9 presented [2] 8/11 81/25 presently [1] 89/12 preserved [1] 52/16 pressure [2] 23/2	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18 produce [2] 88/23 97/24 produced [2] 20/15 20/16 producing [1] 23/12 profession [2] 85/11 85/17 professional [2] 61/7	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11 42/14 42/18 42/25 43/16 58/21 58/24 68/21 68/23 69/2 69/10 69/15 70/2 70/9 70/13 74/13 74/17 75/19 76/9 78/7 89/25 publications [1] 97/2
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 7 [3] 75/11 78/17 92/6 phases [7] 89/15 90/8 90/16 90/18 91/12 95/17 96/18 Phases 5 [1] 91/12 Philippa [1] 91/7 Phoenix [1] 78/23 phrase [1] 21/9 physically [1] 6/21 picked [1] 87/4 picture [2] 29/13 89/16 piece [6] 7/7 7/9 14/24 16/19 17/4 20/10	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7 position [4] 10/17 20/1 65/12 65/15 positioned [1] 5/4 positive [2] 22/4 30/22 possibility [3] 43/6 52/23 76/17 possible [5] 22/16 22/22 29/22 81/17 89/16	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25 present [5] 6/22 32/19 32/25 77/11 90/11 presentation [1] 90/9 presented [2] 8/11 81/25 presently [1] 89/12 preserved [1] 52/16 pressure [2] 23/2 25/7	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18 produce [2] 88/23 97/24 produce [2] 20/15 20/16 producing [1] 23/12 profession [2] 85/11 85/17 professional [2] 61/7 86/11	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11 42/14 42/18 42/25 43/16 58/21 58/24 68/21 68/23 69/2 69/10 69/15 70/2 70/9 70/13 74/13 74/17 75/19 76/9 78/7 89/25 publication [2] 46/23 81/2 publications [1] 97/2 publications [1] 97/2 publicly [2] 64/22
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 7 [3] 75/11 78/17 92/6 phases [7] 89/15 90/8 90/16 90/18 91/12 95/17 96/18 Phases 5 [1] 91/12 Philippa [1] 91/7 Phoenix [1] 78/23 phrase [1] 21/9 physically [1] 6/21 picked [1] 87/4 picture [2] 29/13 89/16 piece [6] 7/7 7/9 14/24 16/19 17/4 20/10 pieces [1] 31/12	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7 position [4] 10/17 20/1 65/12 65/15 positioned [1] 5/4 positive [2] 22/4 30/22 possibility [3] 43/6 52/23 76/17 possible [5] 22/16 22/22 29/22 81/17 89/16 possibly [1] 65/22	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25 present [5] 6/22 32/19 32/25 77/11 90/11 presentation [1] 90/9 presented [2] 8/11 81/25 presently [1] 89/12 preserved [1] 52/16 pressure [2] 23/2 25/7 pressures [1] 24/23	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18 produce [2] 88/23 97/24 produce [2] 20/15 20/16 producing [1] 23/12 profession [2] 85/11 85/17 professional [2] 61/7 86/11 professor [6] 44/12	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11 42/14 42/18 42/25 43/16 58/21 58/24 68/21 68/23 69/2 69/10 69/15 70/2 70/9 70/13 74/13 74/17 75/19 76/9 78/7 89/25 publication [2] 46/23 81/2 publications [1] 97/2 publicly [2] 64/22 96/9
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 7 [3] 75/11 78/17 92/6 phases [7] 89/15 90/8 90/16 90/18 91/12 95/17 96/18 Phases 5 [1] 91/12 Philippa [1] 91/7 Phoenix [1] 78/23 phrase [1] 21/9 physically [1] 6/21 picked [1] 87/4 picture [2] 29/13 89/16 piece [6] 7/7 7/9 14/24 16/19 17/4 20/10	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7 position [4] 10/17 20/1 65/12 65/15 positioned [1] 5/4 positive [2] 22/4 30/22 possibility [3] 43/6 52/23 76/17 possible [5] 22/16 22/22 29/22 81/17 89/16 possibly [1] 65/22 post [58] 20/2 20/21	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25 present [5] 6/22 32/19 32/25 77/11 90/11 presentation [1] 90/9 presented [2] 8/11 81/25 presently [1] 89/12 preserved [1] 52/16 pressure [2] 23/2 25/7 pressures [1] 24/23 presume [1] 93/10	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18 produce [2] 88/23 97/24 produced [2] 20/15 20/16 producing [1] 23/12 profession [2] 85/11 85/17 professional [2] 61/7 86/11 professor [6] 44/12 44/18 46/17 46/25	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11 42/14 42/18 42/25 43/16 58/21 58/24 68/21 68/23 69/2 69/10 69/15 70/2 70/9 70/13 74/13 74/17 75/19 76/9 78/7 89/25 publication [2] 46/23 81/2 publications [1] 97/2 publications [1] 97/2 publicatiol [1] 97/2 publication [1] 97/2
persuaded [1] 97/23 Peter [3] 91/13 91/15 91/24 PFIs [1] 38/18 phase [11] 21/8 55/18 75/11 78/17 91/4 91/9 92/4 92/6 95/6 95/7 95/9 Phase 2 [1] 55/18 Phase 3 [1] 91/4 Phase 4 [1] 91/9 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 5 [1] 92/4 Phase 7 [3] 75/11 78/17 92/6 phases [7] 89/15 90/8 90/16 90/18 91/12 95/17 96/18 Phases 5 [1] 91/12 Philippa [1] 91/7 Phoenix [1] 78/23 phrase [1] 21/9 physically [1] 6/21 picked [1] 87/4 picture [2] 29/13 89/16 piece [6] 7/7 7/9 14/24 16/19 17/4 20/10 pieces [1] 31/12	9/22 POL00368926 [1] 13/13 POL00381633 [1] 11/14 POL00411940 [1] 21/11 policies [6] 46/19 52/25 53/1 53/2 53/4 72/16 policy [1] 53/7 pool [2] 89/17 89/18 poor [1] 74/24 poorly [1] 43/17 portfolio [7] 59/20 60/5 63/8 63/25 64/3 67/17 68/7 position [4] 10/17 20/1 65/12 65/15 positioned [1] 5/4 positive [2] 22/4 30/22 possibility [3] 43/6 52/23 76/17 possible [5] 22/16 22/22 29/22 81/17 89/16 possibly [1] 65/22	52/20 53/5 58/12 59/21 practices [1] 72/16 practising [7] 33/11 33/14 34/5 34/17 34/18 35/11 35/20 practitioner [1] 77/14 pre [4] 26/22 27/8 41/10 88/2 pre-2000 [1] 41/10 pre-split [2] 26/22 27/8 precise [1] 88/1 precisely [2] 66/20 69/6 prefer [2] 77/24 79/2 prepared [1] 15/25 present [5] 6/22 32/19 32/25 77/11 90/11 presentation [1] 90/9 presented [2] 8/11 81/25 presently [1] 89/12 preserved [1] 52/16 pressure [2] 23/2 25/7 pressures [1] 24/23	60/14 65/6 88/9 problem [8] 1/13 1/20 1/24 1/24 1/25 3/16 53/25 63/4 problematic [1] 22/13 problems [6] 1/6 39/14 54/22 55/5 55/17 76/16 procedure [1] 42/17 process [18] 8/22 8/24 9/4 10/9 12/13 13/9 14/23 17/5 20/16 31/19 43/15 47/8 47/14 50/13 53/7 54/10 62/21 89/8 processes [3] 54/1 54/17 54/18 produce [2] 88/23 97/24 produce [2] 20/15 20/16 producing [1] 23/12 profession [2] 85/11 85/17 professional [2] 61/7 86/11 professor [6] 44/12	57/19 protection [3] 42/23 42/25 42/25 protocols [1] 30/21 protracted [1] 73/8 provide [10] 7/2 8/22 9/8 23/15 29/7 29/9 47/15 66/16 86/17 88/8 provided [3] 9/9 30/21 90/19 provides [2] 23/20 46/8 providing [4] 46/23 83/9 87/8 94/20 proxy [1] 66/1 public [25] 1/16 27/1 28/18 29/7 41/11 42/14 42/18 42/25 43/16 58/21 58/24 68/21 68/23 69/2 69/10 69/15 70/2 70/9 70/13 74/13 74/17 75/19 76/9 78/7 89/25 publication [2] 46/23 81/2 publications [1] 97/2 publicly [2] 64/22 96/9

Р	raising [1] 62/14	record [12] 7/3 89/23	relationship [5]	31/24 33/16 43/7 50/2
published [1] 81/24	ramifications [1]	90/2 90/6 90/12 90/22		58/2 58/18 60/17 67/7
purpose [3] 16/12	6/10	91/5 91/10 92/7 92/17	73/11 76/23	requirements [5]
29/6 29/14	range [3] 24/3 71/24	93/12 93/13	relationships [2]	29/6 33/24 34/15 62/3
purposes [2] 7/2	75/5	recorded [1] 1/11	36/1 38/20	63/21
65/10	ranging [1] 83/10	recruited [2] 59/25	relatively [1] 64/12	requires [2] 68/25
pursued [2] 6/6 7/25	rather [10] 6/8 9/14 19/20 39/13 49/12	60/3	relaxed [1] 62/7	72/11
pursuit [1] 92/22	68/2 70/12 77/1 90/13	recruitment [5] 60/18 62/21 63/1 63/20 64/1		researches [2] 21/3 56/16
put [9] 26/5 75/3 77/6	97/11	red [3] 8/15 9/12	84/19 84/20 90/19	resent [2] 9/23 11/25
78/8 78/14 80/25	rationale [1] 9/15	62/14	relies [1] 69/8	reserved [3] 34/20
88/13 88/22 96/24	re [5] 10/17 11/19	reduce [1] 52/23	remain [1] 59/12	34/23 35/16
puts [1] 50/5 putting [1] 68/5	11/24 12/3 13/20	<b>REF [1]</b> 9/1	remained [1] 57/1	resolution [1] 19/12
	re-sent [2] 11/19	refer [1] 22/14	remarkable [1] 95/8	resolved [1] 3/10
Q	11/24	reference [18] 5/11	remember [1] 21/5	Resources [1] 46/21
QC [4] 8/21 8/22 10/8	reaching [1] 2/25	7/2 7/14 8/2 10/15	remind [1] 89/7	respect [1] 96/1
12/12	read [19] 5/11 62/17 64/25 89/23 90/2 90/6	12/16 13/10 13/18 15/8 15/10 15/21 16/2	removal [1] 60/10	responded [1] 88/15
qualification [1] 34/5	90/12 90/15 90/22	16/4 16/7 17/23 29/20		response [2] 13/17 26/24
queries [3] 8/15 8/17	91/5 91/9 92/6 92/17	30/11 92/23	repeat [2] 6/7 97/21	responsibilities [6]
39/13	93/12 93/13 94/6	referenced [3] 7/16	repeating [1] 24/22	45/18 69/4 69/5 78/1
question [38] 6/7 6/17 10/22 16/16	94/12 97/9 97/11	7/19 35/1	rephrase [1] 49/20	82/25 84/16
18/13 25/7 25/12	reading [2] 90/13	references [2] 7/21	report [45] 5/10 7/7	responsibility [21]
27/11 31/8 31/14	90/14	7/22	13/16 14/1 14/3 14/7	19/7 21/24 21/25
32/11 32/22 33/7	reads [1] 64/17	referred [2] 39/17	14/12 14/18 15/20	45/15 46/4 52/14 56/5
35/12 37/15 39/6 49/4	real [6] 47/21 53/3 74/8 74/10 74/15	40/8	15/25 16/24 17/1 17/24 18/8 19/17	56/7 57/14 59/9 59/10 68/15 68/18 76/19
49/6 49/17 49/20 50/1	77/22	referring [4] 22/14 38/5 40/1 40/14	20/15 24/16 25/19	83/6 84/6 84/10 84/11
52/22 60/19 60/21	really [16] 2/18 8/16	reflect [2] 8/12 88/5	25/20 30/24 31/3 39/1	84/12 84/16 85/7
61/2 61/4 66/15 74/14 75/21 80/9 80/15	20/19 21/22 32/3	reflecting [1] 28/16	42/8 44/20 45/2 45/3	responsible [6]
80/18 80/19 81/13	45/10 45/17 45/19	reflection [1] 18/15	45/12 47/25 47/25	18/19 18/20 18/21
82/2 83/18 84/19	49/1 53/3 56/21 57/22		48/16 54/20 60/17	83/2 84/18 86/2
84/21	59/10 62/1 73/19 97/2		60/18 71/25 72/3 75/2	
Questioned [10] 2/21	realms [1] 68/10	regard [17] 9/4 11/10	76/21 80/9 80/11 81/2	
23/6 51/17 71/1 79/23	60/4	16/22 27/3 31/8 37/12 49/7 52/18 54/11	81/15 81/23 82/1 82/10 97/25	78/21
99/6 99/8 99/10 99/12	reason [9] 3/6 23/21	57/22 59/10 61/12	Report 1 [1] 72/3	results [1] 40/16 resume [1] 93/1
99/14	36/7 36/15 37/14	61/14 62/3 63/19	reported [1] 57/9	retirement [1] 59/20
questioning [1] 18/21	76/22 85/9 85/14	74/22 83/22	reporting [5] 13/20	reveal [1] 42/15
questions [22] 10/21	96/22	regarded [1] 53/2	44/14 46/23 58/24	revealed [1] 72/6
21/2 25/22 30/12	reasonable [5] 17/8	regarding [7] 12/3	59/15	review [14] 7/8 8/25
30/23 31/2 31/5 31/6	17/9 50/19 86/8 87/12		reports [9] 7/15	9/4 10/5 10/9 10/15
31/20 35/7 36/4 62/25	reasoning [1] 75/17	37/11 46/10 46/13	23/12 47/24 71/14	12/13 12/17 13/9
71/10 71/15 75/25	reasons [2] 71/18 96/15	regards [1] 56/5 regime [1] 44/17	71/16 72/21 77/16 77/19 97/5	13/10 18/17 53/8 70/19 80/11
79/15 79/24 80/1 80/2	reassurance [1]	register [1] 53/21	represent [1] 23/8	reviewed [1] 53/5
80/5 87/13 88/18	46/23	registers [4] 53/19	representation [1]	revolving [4] 73/24
quick [2] 25/15 73/9 quickly [2] 15/16	reassure [1] 12/6	54/2 54/5 54/7	75/6	74/1 74/2 74/6
73/11	rebuild [1] 76/3	regular [4] 80/8	representative [2]	Richard [2] 91/17
quiet [1] 62/16	recall [6] 7/12 7/14	80/10 80/16 80/20	75/5 79/11	92/16
quite [12] 7/12 11/5	21/8 21/8 63/7 96/13	regulation [2] 46/11	representatives [3]	right [25] 2/19 3/7 6/1
22/12 22/20 27/2	received [3] 78/24 87/6 87/7	69/23	2/14 96/11 96/16	13/12 14/12 16/14 17/12 17/13 26/7 26/8
36/13 36/17 43/25	recent [4] 64/12	regulations [1] 23/15 regulators [1] 35/1	represented [1] 2/12 represents [1] 66/2	35/8 35/12 42/3 42/21
59/15 67/16 71/7	75/10 78/13 79/4	regulatory [6] 23/14	reputation [3] 4/3	42/23 63/18 64/23
73/11 quote [7] 41/14 41/20		33/24 33/25 34/14	4/14 57/20	75/16 75/16 78/2
41/20 42/5 43/12	recommend [7]	44/16 83/14	request [2] 96/13	83/22 89/9 89/10
44/11 46/17	32/17 32/18 32/19	rejoin [1] 1/14	97/18	91/21 91/24
	32/19 32/20 64/20	relate [2] 32/4 50/14	requests [3] 78/21	<b>Right-hand [1]</b> 26/8
R	65/2	related [2] 38/7 81/22		rights [1] 41/12
Rachel [1] 92/14	recommendation [5] 9/2 30/1 30/2 32/20	relation [10] 34/10 34/15 37/5 56/4 67/2	require [1] 45/14 required [5] 27/6	risk [35] 3/7 3/17 3/22 4/10 4/25 8/11
raised [3] 15/1 78/20 97/4	32/23	78/10 78/12 81/15	32/2 41/4 46/17 77/15	
	recommendations	87/20 93/7	requirement [8]	15/15 16/21 19/14
	<b>[1]</b> 46/18			
	I	L	1	(20) mublished risk

(39) published - risk

R	Sajid [1] 91/20	82/23 83/5 84/5 85/20	48/24 68/18 70/2	50/21 51/1 51/2 52/12
risk [23] 48/20 49/2	Sam [1] 23/8	91/24 92/1 92/8 92/10		52/19 52/19 53/5
52/16 52/18 53/16	same [11] 16/16	92/16	11/19 11/24 13/18	53/22 58/2 66/12
53/17 53/19 54/2 54/4	24/13 24/14 24/18	secondly [2] 51/25	89/17 93/16	66/25 67/13 67/19
54/5 54/7 54/9 54/10	24/25 30/10 34/13	81/6	sentencing [1] 89/8	68/6 69/1 69/5 69/9
54/16 54/17 54/20	44/21 81/23 96/24	Secretariat [1] 83/3	separation [4] 37/24	69/11 69/12 69/13
54/24 54/25 55/1	97/13	Secretaries [1] 27/22		69/14 69/20 70/9
75/25 83/3 83/7 84/7	SANDRA [25] 1/3 2/23 10/18 12/18	secretary [16] 27/21 29/22 29/25 30/1 52/1	September [9] 8/21 9/24 10/11 10/24	80/13 80/22 83/22 85/2 85/7 86/12 90/1
risks [12] 3/13 53/20	23/11 23/22 25/22	56/2 56/4 56/8 56/10	11/16 11/19 11/24	92/20 94/3 95/10
53/21 53/24 71/22	30/3 31/20 31/22	56/14 56/23 57/1 57/2		95/24
84/9 84/23 85/3 85/10	36/10 36/13 39/2	57/8 57/15 58/9	series [1] 90/7	shouldn't [1] 66/9
85/11 85/15 85/17	45/21 51/23 54/1	section [1] 71/25	serious [1] 8/11	show [1] 19/18
<b>RMG [7]</b> 57/2 57/8 57/11 57/16 57/24	59/21 61/1 61/23	sector [7] 68/21	seriously [3] 4/22	showing [1] 30/25
58/1 59/18	66/23 67/12 71/9	68/22 68/23 69/2 69/2		shows [2] 43/13 66/5
Robert [3] 17/18	87/19 88/20 99/2	70/2 70/9	serve [2] 74/13 74/17	side [5] 26/5 26/8
90/25 91/17	Sandra's [1] 47/18	Security [1] 83/4	service [2] 29/8	28/17 43/21 79/18
Roberts [1] 92/14	Sarah [2] 92/7 92/10	see [29] 2/2 8/14	64/17	sides [3] 26/4 26/9
Rod [1] 92/16	satisfactory [1] 78/24	9/23 12/19 12/21 13/7 13/16 20/13 20/17	63/20 70/6 77/18	43/13 Siema [1] 90/23
Rodric [3] 7/4 7/5	saw [3] 36/13 58/2	21/13 26/10 28/12	serving [1] 23/9	sight [12] 12/4 19/16
13/14	82/5	37/14 42/13 48/2 48/7	session [2] 8/23	24/15 51/15 55/10
role [38] 2/24 17/17	say [55] 3/5 4/18 6/5	50/6 51/16 53/22	97/22	71/4 80/11 81/1 81/4
17/20 18/15 19/1 29/4 39/22 41/22 48/13	6/8 16/8 18/1 21/19	64/10 66/11 68/25	set [7] 26/11 66/24	81/23 82/1 82/10
51/25 52/8 56/3 57/1	22/8 22/8 27/5 27/15	69/14 71/3 71/6 79/20		sighted [1] 13/8
58/8 60/12 60/22 61/4	28/15 28/24 31/19	97/22 97/24 98/1	83/10 86/16	sign [3] 10/9 12/13
61/5 61/7 61/8 61/15	33/12 34/8 35/2 38/23	seek [3] 90/18 92/21	sets [3] 24/12 24/12	13/9
62/2 63/23 63/24 64/2	49/24 49/25 50/23	97/17	80/1	sign-off [1] 13/9
65/13 65/16 70/10	52/21 57/21 58/6	seeking [2] 24/19	setting [3] 11/22	significant [2] 41/1
75/7 78/15 80/5 82/18	58/18 58/19 61/23 63/6 63/15 63/19	31/4 seem [5] 29/15 37/18	24/17 44/16	41/3 silence [2] 33/3 33/3
82/25 83/21 83/24	67/12 67/17 69/24	38/3 78/21 87/17	seventh [1] 92/15	siloed [1] 6/21
85/20 86/16 87/3	70/8 71/25 72/2 72/20		several [1] 78/22	similar [2] 55/5 77/1
roles [2] 78/23 86/23	72/21 74/4 75/13	11/10	shall [2] 23/2 64/15	Simon [6] 36/6 36/15
Rolfe [1] 91/23	75/14 81/19 83/22	seemed [3] 38/14	share [2] 8/10 81/18	37/2 37/12 91/13
rollout [3] 52/6 55/5 55/17	85/25 90/1 92/20	39/8 84/19	shared [4] 57/11	92/12
rolls [1] 64/21	93/11 94/19 95/2 95/4		81/14 81/19 82/4	simply [5] 31/3 49/25
room [3] 1/17 90/5	95/5 95/24 96/2 96/5		shareholder [2]	54/13 58/23 93/11
95/24	97/17	seen [22] 7/1 8/13	27/20 66/1	since [4] 23/2 42/22
Ross [1] 92/8	saying [9] 7/5 7/18 14/18 20/5 27/13	13/3 13/6 15/21 18/12 19/2 19/25 29/18	64/17	42/24 87/14 Singh [1] 24/7
roughly [1] 96/24	35/17 44/18 47/1	29/19 29/20 36/17	sharing [2] 55/9	single [1] 27/20
route [6] 43/15 43/18	82/11	36/21 36/22 36/23	81/12	sir [35] 1/15 2/7 2/20
44/25 45/7 45/16 46/9	says [5] 41/22 53/12	38/20 60/8 64/6 64/8	she [7] 6/24 21/6	2/22 5/17 16/4 17/13
routes [4] 46/7 46/20	83/1 83/5 96/22	72/11 78/17 82/19	21/6 21/20 61/23	23/1 23/7 45/24 51/10
46/22 79/10 Royal [2] 56/14 57/24	scandal [2] 24/6 37/2		69/24 84/14	51/19 70/23 71/2 75/9
rule [3] 22/7 22/11	Scarrabelotti [1]	self [5] 3/5 4/7 44/21	she'll [1] 61/24	75/17 88/18 89/12
89/17	92/15	46/13 82/16	shelf [1] 53/1	90/6 90/10 91/13
Rule 9 [1] 89/17	schedule [1] 26/10	self-employed [1]	ShEx [4] 28/6 28/9	91/20 91/22 92/8
rules [1] 83/14	scholarship [1] 17/18	46/13 self-ovidently [1]	28/12 28/23	92/11 93/1 93/6 93/25 94/6 94/14 94/23
run [3] 38/2 38/9 95/7	scope [1] 45/11	self-evidently [1] 82/16	ship [1] 75/18 short [3] 51/13 73/13	
running [4] 18/20	screen [5] 5/13 39/3	self-interest [2] 3/5	83/11	Sir Wyn [2] 16/4
46/5 51/20 58/10	90/8 95/14 96/3	4/7	shortly [3] 89/24 97/7	
Russell [1] 91/6	screens [1] 25/21	self-same [1] 44/21	97/12	sit [3] 24/4 53/1 89/4
S	scroll [6] 8/14 8/18	selfish [1] 3/6	should [63] 2/15 6/18	
safe [2] 14/6 14/11	9/25 12/8 13/15 65/4	selfishly [1] 4/1	13/16 14/1 15/10	25/24 28/1 45/21
saga [2] 18/12 19/9	searching [1] 3/21	selflessness [2]	15/10 16/20 16/23	skedaddle [1] 89/4
said [16] 21/6 27/21	second [28] 5/10	19/21 26/12	16/25 17/1 17/8 17/9	slide [4] 90/11 90/21
28/13 33/4 37/13	12/4 12/9 19/16 24/15 39/1 55/10 71/14	send [1] 88/6 senior [9] 4/12 5/1	18/9 19/19 22/15 27/7 28/10 28/15 29/7	91/4 91/9 slightly [2] 74/25
44/13 48/16 51/19	71/16 71/25 80/4 80/8	16/1 71/19 73/22 79/3		80/19
55/16 56/23 58/8 59/7 59/7 73/9 78/19 83/13	80/11 81/1 81/4 81/15	81/5 81/8 87/2	42/19 42/21 46/4 48/8	I I I
03/13/0/19/03/13	81/23 82/1 82/10	sense [5] 3/15 22/17	49/6 49/21 49/23	smattering [1] 93/22
		_		~
L		l		(40) rick cmattering

(40) risk... - smattering

S	specifics [3] 28/24	2/23 7/11 10/18 12/18	97/17	talked [3] 41/12
	48/12 57/23	23/11 25/22 31/17	summary [4] 56/22	61/13 79/7
Smith [1] 91/7	spectacle [1] 19/23	33/2 36/10 39/2 44/8	71/14 82/24 87/8	talking [4] 24/14 44/2
smoothly [1] 95/7	speculate [1] 28/14	46/6 46/15 51/23 54/2	summing [1] 50/21	46/15 61/9
so [126] social [3] 29/5 29/14	spending [1] 70/5	61/22 70/12 71/9	summing-up [1]	Taylor [1] 92/16
49/9	spent [2] 31/3 86/10	88/20 99/4	50/21	team [18] 21/16
	spirit [1] 51/4	stick [3] 22/21 55/25	supplemental [1]	21/21 21/24 22/13
solicitors [1] 34/18	split [4] 26/22 27/8	56/1	91/1	22/17 44/3 70/1 81/3
solved [1] 1/13	27/17 29/4	still [5] 29/5 71/7	supplied [1] 97/5	81/5 81/23 82/2 82/9
solving [3] 76/17 83/8 85/21	spoke [3] 62/20	79/10 89/2 90/14	supplier [1] 38/19	83/6 84/17 84/18 86/1
some [22] 6/19 7/22	76/25 81/11	stood [1] 32/21	support [6] 18/25	87/2 95/11
8/17 15/6 19/15 25/16	spoken [1] 42/20	stop [4] 16/15 23/3	19/1 19/6 24/20 30/22	Teams [1] 83/4
30/22 32/8 37/2 38/11	spotlight [1] 77/7	61/1 97/8	49/19	technical [1] 26/23
63/21 64/17 68/7	SRA [1] 34/1	stopped [1] 62/24	supports [1] 23/18	tell [5] 10/23 27/7
75/20 78/3 78/11	staff [1] 46/24	stories [4] 54/14	suppose [5] 2/18	48/3 54/15 67/11
79/24 85/6 87/22	stage [7] 29/3 30/8	54/14 54/14 54/21	4/19 24/8 53/16 95/2	ten [1] 97/12
89/14 93/8 95/22	48/25 61/3 64/1 70/13	strategic [3] 66/17	sure [9] 16/8 16/22	tend [1] 54/15
somebody [3] 3/19	95/4	83/8 85/21	43/4 45/18 50/24 54/6	
62/14 62/15	stakeholders [2]	strategy [3] 4/25	68/3 74/21 76/22	tenure [1] 85/1
someone [9] 23/23	71/20 72/7	75/24 84/13	surgeon [1] 43/17	term [6] 17/24 62/9
23/23 23/24 53/12	stand [1] 75/15	strength [1] 43/6	surprised [9] 20/25	73/14 75/14 75/18
62/1 63/17 63/22	standard [1] 48/6	strengthened [1]	33/15 33/21 55/20	76/9
67/16 77/17	standards [4] 4/1	18/11	55/22 55/25 56/1	terms [24] 10/15
someone's [1] 44/19	26/12 31/1 33/25	stress [1] 46/18	59/12 59/14	12/16 13/10 13/18
something [21]	start [3] 11/15 32/10	strike [2] 20/4 87/16	survival [1] 25/3	15/7 15/10 15/21 16/2
35/10 36/6 40/25	32/12	striking [1] 50/18	survive [1] 24/24	16/4 16/7 18/16 18/17
42/14 42/16 43/16	starts [1] 11/7	strong [8] 36/11 46/3	Susan [7] 8/16 12/1	28/18 35/4 43/2 43/3
47/20 50/6 53/11	state [7] 27/21 27/22	47/1 47/3 59/15 70/2	13/13 13/16 14/2	49/25 51/6 54/21
63/25 74/5 75/12	28/8 29/15 29/22	72/8 73/4	19/24 81/10	55/19 58/9 62/8 75/1
75/18 75/23 76/7	29/25 30/1	strongly [2] 41/3	suspect [1] 89/2	92/23
77/10 77/21 78/9	statement [23] 31/13	46/4	sustain [1] 73/4	test [3] 8/8 14/5
85/23 86/24 87/20	31/14 66/4 75/10	structure [10] 26/19	sustainable [1] 29/11	
sometimes [3] 32/5	78/19 86/3 86/5 89/11		sustained [1] 73/18	than [13] 6/8 9/14
61/17 90/15	91/10 91/24 92/1 92/8		swift [1] 19/12	19/20 37/3 39/13
somewhat [1] 20/7	92/9 92/10 92/15	82/3 85/25	symbiotic [1] 41/24	51/19 62/6 63/2 65/8
Sophie [1] 92/3	92/16 94/12 94/21	structures [3] 49/19 54/6 72/15	system [8] 9/9 9/14	80/19 89/18 90/13 97/11
sorry [11] 1/5 2/16	95/1 96/12 96/20 99/16 99/18		38/1 38/8 38/19 39/7 39/14 61/8	1
6/7 16/15 31/17 49/15		subject [4] 15/23		thank [39] 1/15 2/2
50/3 51/10 60/1 71/8	statements [20] 30/16 31/9 78/16	18/9 36/8 77/23 submission [1] 94/10	systematic [1] 52/24	2/20 2/22 5/14 5/17 10/1 17/15 23/4 23/7
85/13	89/22 89/24 90/1 90/7	submissions [9] 93/2		23/11 26/3 50/9 50/10
sort [7] 4/4 20/5	90/11 90/14 90/16	94/7 94/7 94/10 96/6	systems [3] 25/1	51/3 56/1 59/16 64/4
26/17 37/10 48/21	90/22 91/1 91/2 91/5	96/7 96/17 97/14	38/7 43/5	70/23 70/24 71/2
60/11 74/19	92/7 92/23 93/8 93/22	97/19		79/15 82/17 84/21
sorted [1] 1/20	94/5 96/21	subpostmasters [6]	T	87/13 87/25 88/19
sought [1] 31/7	status [1] 33/10	23/9 23/10 23/25	tables [1] 67/6	89/1 90/10 91/4 92/20
sound [1] 97/11	statutory [2] 46/11	39/10 59/2 71/11	tainted [2] 5/20 5/23	93/6 93/25 94/1 94/17
source [1] 82/7	67/13	subsequent [1] 13/3	take [30] 2/15 4/25	94/23 95/16 98/1 98/3
speak [13] 14/6	Staunton [1] 60/10	subsidiary [1] 57/24	13/19 15/6 18/13	thanks [5] 8/16 12/1
21/23 42/4 42/24 43/6	stay [4] 44/10 89/6	substance [2] 16/20	25/18 30/10 31/6 42/6	
43/24 47/4 47/4 47/12	89/7 89/8	16/22	42/16 43/19 45/15	that [535]
47/13 50/6 77/17 89/4	stays [1] 57/7	such [12] 3/25 15/25	45/25 46/2 47/17 51/7	that I [1] 88/13
speaking [2] 10/24	steady [1] 75/18	36/4 36/15 37/2 48/8	51/7 51/18 53/23	that's [34] 8/3 16/17
44/7	steal [2] 17/7 17/13	57/25 60/5 71/20	55/23 56/20 56/21	18/13 23/17 26/7 26/9
spec [1] 88/10	steer [2] 8/22 8/25	79/10 89/21 90/20	62/23 63/23 66/25	27/13 32/22 35/12
<b>special [3]</b> 34/7 85/6	steering [4] 9/15	sufficient [7] 55/12	68/15 72/21 73/23	38/9 44/4 47/18 51/22
95/20	9/17 11/2 11/22	66/12 66/15 69/9	78/1 83/17	54/3 57/3 57/16 62/23
specialist [2] 18/21 66/17	Stein [8] 2/9 22/24	69/11 69/12 69/17	taken [6] 11/21 36/19	64/11 66/18 67/21
	23/5 23/6 23/8 50/10	suggest [2] 6/12	57/18 60/9 67/14	68/2 68/10 68/17
<b>specific [5]</b> 31/8 32/9 51/25 63/21 97/14	51/11 99/8	76/14	69/19	70/11 70/23 74/23
specifically [1] 27/6	Stent [1] 92/15	suggested [1] 12/25	taking [5] 52/3 57/1	76/18 79/4 83/22
specification [2]	step [2] 8/23 48/11	suggests [1] 76/15	63/24 68/18 72/20	84/19 90/23 91/25
88/11 88/14	steps [1] 57/18	summarise [5] 17/1	talk [3] 19/5 43/23	92/8 96/22
	STEWARD [21] 1/4	72/1 75/15 75/20	47/11	their [67] 3/13 4/10
L	I	L	1	(44) Smith their

Т	31/4 31/6 31/20 35/25	26/21	true [2] 23/17 40/22	unless [8] 46/25 47/9
	40/14 40/24 41/16	thunder [1] 17/8	trust [2] 40/23 76/3	67/10 72/9 84/14
their [65] 5/2 6/5	45/24 76/16 77/5	Thurs [1] 13/22	truth [1] 48/3	86/23 94/19 97/23
18/20 18/25 19/4 20/1 20/15 20/24 21/21	86/23 91/12 93/12	thwarted [1] 96/14	try [6] 1/7 8/6 24/19	unlikely [1] 5/1
21/23 21/23 21/24	95/17	ticked [1] 53/2	40/22 43/4 53/15	unnaturally [1] 95/23
22/2 24/16 24/16 27/4	they [110]	tier [1] 22/18	trying [6] 6/12 21/16	unsafe [3] 5/21 6/2
27/12 27/22 30/7 30/7	they'd [1] 16/8	Tim [1] 92/2	22/17 22/18 27/25	8/7
30/15 32/4 34/15 35/1	they'll [1] 74/4	time [45] 16/18 16/25		untested [1] 90/5
35/15 42/12 42/13	they're [10] 24/15	22/21 23/2 24/14	Tuesday [1] 11/16	until [5] 5/13 57/2
42/13 58/5 58/7 61/15	24/16 24/18 26/11 32/1 50/23 53/21	24/14 24/18 24/25 26/18 26/20 27/22	tune [1] 24/20	57/4 57/7 98/5 unusual [4] 38/17
63/24 63/25 64/2 64/3	53/24 68/1 77/15	29/3 31/6 38/10 44/11	turn [2] 52/3 74/12 turned [1] 31/16	59/21 59/22 72/8
64/3 66/4 66/5 68/7	they've [2] 29/8	51/21 52/13 57/9	Tweets [1] 1/16	up [41] 2/9 2/10 2/13
68/13 68/24 69/18	63/23	60/20 60/22 60/25	twice [1] 53/6	2/13 2/14 4/13 4/22
69/19 70/16 74/20	thing [7] 53/14 58/5	62/1 62/5 62/5 62/6	two [18] 13/1 22/9	5/13 11/22 13/15
77/15 78/8 78/15 78/16 81/2 83/19	69/3 75/16 78/2 94/2	62/19 66/12 66/16	22/18 23/12 26/4 26/9	22/21 24/9 28/16 29/8
84/15 85/7 85/11	96/5	66/19 67/1 67/15	43/13 47/10 53/6	39/13 39/22 42/24
85/17 86/5 86/7 86/10	things [20] 4/13 4/22	67/18 68/4 68/5 68/25		43/7 43/24 44/7 47/4
87/3 87/9 89/19 89/22	10/16 11/22 13/3	69/9 69/11 69/14	75/16 80/1 82/24 93/3	
89/23 90/12 95/22	17/10 24/3 43/23	69/17 70/5 70/10	97/1 97/3	50/21 57/1 63/25 64/3
them [36] 4/14 13/6	43/25 44/3 44/3 48/5	70/17 72/12 75/16	type [1] 38/11	65/4 67/21 67/21 68/7
15/22 20/24 21/15	52/22 70/4 73/14 75/2	94/20	types [4] 30/20 34/3 34/15 54/1	68/8 72/1 75/5 77/12 82/19 86/13 87/4
24/10 26/25 27/7 28/9	think [102]	timely [2] 83/9 86/18 times [2] 30/6 57/9		87/16 96/24
28/17 31/7 32/8 42/15	thinking [6] 4/2 39/16		U	update [1] 12/3
47/5 47/10 52/3 52/23	62/22 62/25 75/17	timing [2] 12/4 51/7	UK [1] 71/13	updated [1] 25/19
53/22 53/23 62/3	70/0	today [6] 2/4 2/6	UKĠI [6] 28/6 28/10	updates [2] 78/23
68/25 76/17 77/7 79/5 79/20 80/4 84/4 84/9	third [4] 38/2 38/9	45/10 45/22 83/13	28/13 28/22 64/9 75/9	78/24
84/23 86/14 86/24	86/16 92/9	92/21	UKGI00044277 [1]	uploaded [2] 92/24
88/7 90/3 93/13 96/19	thirdly [2] 52/1 81/8	together [4] 19/18	64/4	93/17
96/24	this [114]	76/5 77/5 96/25	ultimately [3] 86/2	uploads [1] 95/13
theme [2] 20/23	Thomas [1] 92/7	told [4] 8/5 26/25	88/14 89/18	upon [10] 13/9 17/24
76/13	<b>Thompson [2]</b> 90/25	27/6 39/12	unable [1] 36/23 unaware [2] 85/12	24/23 25/3 36/2 38/19
themselves [6] 22/24	92/3 those [43] 1/9 1/16	Tom [1] 92/4 Tony [1] 91/25	85/18	63/8 64/2 74/7 88/6 urgently [1] 9/7
33/23 45/15 76/16	7/15 15/20 17/10	too [1] 68/2	under [4] 4/9 23/2	URNs [3] 90/12 90/13
95/25 96/7	19/19 25/2 25/2 26/9	took [2] 9/17 11/2	34/19 95/25	90/25
then [58] 1/14 2/9	26/25 28/9 29/19	top [5] 11/15 28/5	underdeveloped [4]	us [8] 2/15 8/24 14/9
2/11 2/11 2/14 4/22 6/17 8/18 9/6 9/12	29/23 43/25 46/5	44/6 62/1 65/7	48/17 48/19 48/20	14/22 26/11 31/6
9/23 10/7 11/8 11/12	48/23 48/25 49/7	topic [4] 31/23 47/22	48/23	59/12 87/16
11/13 11/20 11/23	50/20 50/25 53/4 54/5		underrated [1] 72/25	use [5] 47/8 62/9
12/2 12/5 15/20 16/9	54/7 57/19 59/1 59/5	topics [1] 74/25	understand [15] 1/6	70/3 77/4 79/10
16/15 16/22 17/7 24/9	59/23 69/4 74/18	towards [3] 10/1	1/17 1/24 18/10 20/24	used [2] 38/8 72/18
28/6 29/1 29/3 32/11	///18/8/8/18	38/12 59/20	21/1 33/2 35/6 37/18 45/17 49/8 66/23	useful [2] 16/12 18/7
32/11 39/8 40/8 43/18	79/12 79/15 81/13 84/6 89/18 90/25 93/9	track [1] 18/7 Tracy [1] 90/24	67/24 76/23 80/15	uses [1] 76/2 using [2] 38/10 94/12
45/2 48/20 52/6 52/8	93/18 96/6 97/13	trail [2] 14/25 14/25	understandable [3]	usually [1] 27/14
53/22 58/8 61/14 62/2	97/21	training [3] 52/24	3/14 83/9 86/17	
62/9 65/1 66/11 66/24	though [6] 20/6 29/5	61/6 61/13	understanding [4]	V
67/21 68/17 69/9 71/14 72/14 75/20	29/10 56/22 84/2	transcribes [1] 95/14	53/20 67/10 67/11	VALIDATE [1] 9/11
80/4 83/5 86/16 89/10	90/17	transcript [2] 1/18	74/8	value [1] 50/1
93/23 95/2 97/1	thought [5] 28/17	1/19	understood [4] 5/24	values [9] 30/16 31/9
there [130]	28/20 37/7 75/11	transmitted [1] 16/20		31/14 40/23 40/24
there's [10] 9/18 14/4	96/19	transparency [2]	Underwood [2] 92/3 92/3	48/21 49/22 72/4 72/17
16/11 40/4 40/9 51/20	threats [2] 3/8 3/10 three [7] 51/23 53/7	76/1 77/3 transparent [1] 71/23		van [1] 24/6
60/23 64/11 70/6	61/17 65/14 70/20	transparent [1] 71/23	52/17	various [4] 67/5 80/4
91/12	84/5 95/21	treat [1] 90/21	unfamiliar [1] 34/2	89/3 96/15
therefore [8] 2/11	three years [1] 61/17		unfortunately [1]	variously [1] 47/23
3/20 5/7 5/20 26/19	through [12] 23/12	89/23 96/1	75/12	vary [1] 38/22
33/15 77/6 88/2	28/22 29/2 31/4 38/20		unhelpful [1] 39/12	Vennells [11] 6/2
these [23] 8/19 9/10 24/23 26/13 26/16	40/3 40/5 42/17 52/13	triangular [1] 44/14	unique [2] 38/14	6/13 8/9 9/10 11/16
27/2 28/16 30/7 30/23	70/19 72/15 87/4	tribute [1] 95/20	38/23	14/2 21/4 21/20 24/6
	throughout [2] 19/8	tried [2] 32/3 78/13	unitary [1] 21/25	79/25 80/7

(42) their... - Vennells

V	wasn't [6] 6/24 35/20	39/23 40/3 40/8 46/15	58/14 60/24 61/6 67/6	80/5 83/18 88/6 88/22
	48/25 59/14 59/14	48/14 49/1 49/4 52/5	67/22 67/25 68/12	89/22 89/24 92/21
Vennells' [2] 2/14	60/15	56/3 57/5 57/16 59/3	69/8 69/16 69/20 70/2	92/23 93/8 93/11
85/1	watch [1] 1/9	59/17 59/18 60/3	70/14 70/15 81/18	93/13 93/14 93/16
verbatim [1] 5/12	Watt [3] 70/25 71/1	62/20 62/22 65/20	82/7 88/2	94/6 94/19 97/7 97/12
verdict [1] 2/25	99/12	69/6 69/19 77/19 80/7	which [116]	97/13 97/15 97/17
verifying [1] 9/14	way [24] 5/24 6/10	80/10 80/16 80/20	whichever [1] 70/21	97/18 97/22
versus [2] 48/14	18/19 19/10 19/24		while [1] 76/10	William [1] 91/18
57/23	20/14 22/3 26/9 28/5	88/23 89/17 96/14	whilst [3] 61/7 61/8	Williams [6] 7/4 7/5
very [48] 2/23 3/19	33/2 34/13 39/7 48/7	96/16	81/1	13/14 92/16 95/1
5/17 10/2 10/22 14/24	52/25 53/4 54/3 59/11	weren't [2] 29/25	whistleblowing [25]	99/18
16/1 22/12 25/21 26/23 27/14 28/1	66/10 67/21 71/19	87/3	41/6 41/8 41/13 41/21	wish [5] 5/12 15/17
28/15 28/19 36/11	72/6 95/19 97/9 97/20	what [75] 1/7 1/11	41/22 41/25 42/1 42/6	
42/16 44/8 44/21 50/9	ways [6] 68/6 73/10		42/9 43/7 43/14 44/2	wished [1] 41/19
	73/14 76/8 76/16	8/4 8/4 14/23 15/20	44/11 44/13 44/19	wishing [1] 17/7
51/5 53/7 53/14 54/11 56/22 58/9 59/13	78/13	16/2 16/17 16/18 17/1	44/23 45/19 46/3 46/8	within [30] 24/5
59/19 60/16 66/14	we [160]	18/7 18/11 18/23 19/2		
66/22 68/6 68/11 73/3	We'd [1] 4/3	19/4 19/20 20/13	47/17 62/23	31/25 32/13 34/22
73/12 74/3 75/21 79/5	we'll [4] 2/2 16/17	21/19 23/14 23/25	Whitehall [3] 17/21	36/19 37/1 38/8 39/3
82/17 83/10 86/6	51/7 89/6	25/17 25/21 26/9	18/2 18/2	39/14 42/7 44/22 45/8
87/13 89/1 89/3 89/17	we're [23] 1/12 1/23	27/13 28/13 30/14	Whitehead [1] 92/4	45/9 49/22 52/12
92/20 93/25 94/8 98/2	4/17 4/17 4/18 16/9	33/4 33/5 33/5 34/11	who [45] 1/9 6/5 6/8	52/15 55/21 56/9
view [16] 19/16 20/15	21/16 22/17 22/18	35/17 36/22 37/1 37/5		56/10 57/18 58/5 58/5
21/18 22/10 32/24	23/2 23/13 24/14	37/7 37/14 42/9 44/18		68/9 77/21 84/16
39/8 45/13 46/3 49/22	26/17 38/5 45/21 51/6	46/16 46/25 47/17	22/14 25/23 26/25	85/25 90/3
59/8 61/24 67/24 68/3	59/14 60/13 62/17	48/6 48/21 50/14		without [13] 1/21 7/6
68/11 77/21 94/5	62/18 65/5 73/25	56/22 58/15 59/9	49/7 52/5 52/13 56/13	
views [3] 61/20 79/12	83/20	60/11 61/4 67/10	59/2 59/3 59/17 64/20	42/4 43/9 43/19 46/10
79/13	we've [20] 1/22 18/11	69/24 72/2 72/20	65/8 65/15 67/16 68/5	
violations [1] 72/17	25/17 26/4 26/4 26/9	72/23 75/14 76/6 79/6		WITN10010101 [1]
visiting [1] 70/6	27/25 28/1 29/13		74/22 77/17 81/3 82/9	82/19
vitally [2] 3/12 53/15	30/14 35/25 37/24	85/25 86/6 86/8 86/9	84/25 86/23 89/8	witness [15] 5/20
voice [3] 20/5 41/6	38/20 39/11 55/11	87/11 93/7 93/19 95/3		5/23 6/2 8/7 9/21
77/22	57/21 64/15 66/10	97/17	95/14 97/13	12/14 12/20 75/10
voices [2] 30/4 43/9	96/6 96/23	what's [7] 1/17 21/18		78/16 78/19 89/24
volume [1] 94/5	Webber [1] 17/19	24/5 27/12 50/20	75/11	90/7 90/14 90/22
vote [4] 64/20 65/2	website [4] 89/25	53/22 75/13	whom [2] 28/2 59/19	91/10
66/5 68/1	92/25 93/17 97/7	whatever [1] 43/20	whose [2] 14/13	witnesses [2] 13/1
	Wechsler [1] 92/4	when [28] 1/19 21/20		55/15
W	Wednesday [1] 1/1	22/23 24/10 26/19	why [11] 8/6 9/10	Wolstenholme [1]
wait [1] 5/13	week [5] 8/20 8/21	27/1 29/3 30/15 30/24		90/23
waiting [1] 8/20	9/16 67/19 67/21	31/2 31/6 34/12 36/4	54/17 54/23 62/24	won't [5] 1/7 1/12
want [41] 5/25 8/6	weekend [1] 94/12	45/25 47/10 55/4 55/6		61/23 74/5 97/24
10/8 12/12 13/8 21/5	well [35] 2/4 5/7 6/19	61/4 61/5 65/1 68/4	wide [3] 37/23 83/10	wondering [1] 94/8
21/6 21/16 21/21	8/6 11/12 24/3 28/9	73/21 77/12 81/24	89/17	Wood [1] 92/17
21/21 21/22 22/5	28/19 29/25 35/4 35/6		wide-ranging [1]	Woodley [1] 92/17
22/21 24/8 31/15 32/4	39/4 42/12 49/5 49/9	96/24	83/10	word [3] 20/11 79/13
35/18 43/11 44/6 45/1	50/16 50/18 51/6 63/4		widen [1] 35/18	95/3
46/3 47/20 48/10	63/22 72/16 73/25	11/7 16/7 21/6 24/15	wider [3] 42/18 48/4	words [12] 8/19 12/2
48/22 50/11 54/12	74/18 76/18 80/19	27/13 27/24 36/23	89/18	12/5 16/11 34/6 34/20
67/25 70/3 73/6 76/14	82/16 85/12 85/17	36/24 42/7 48/25	wilfully [1] 4/14	38/4 47/10 49/14 72/5
76/17 76/19 77/6	88/5 94/1 94/15 95/2	52/12 52/22 53/11	will [66] 1/10 1/11	79/12 97/21
80/25 81/4 82/17	95/5 95/25 97/2	53/20 57/7 58/13	1/13 1/19 2/18 7/2	work [32] 7/9 8/6 9/3
94/11 95/3 95/5 96/5	went [3] 40/2 40/5	61/25 63/22 64/19	7/11 8/22 8/23 8/24	14/24 15/7 16/10
96/9	46/7	64/23 71/3 71/5 77/23		17/18 23/11 23/24
wanted [9] 6/20 18/7	were [63] 3/3 3/18	82/3 89/20	10/15 10/20 11/12	27/25 28/5 34/20
31/18 62/24 63/22	3/22 4/7 4/21 4/22	whereby [1] 45/21	13/20 13/21 17/8	34/22 34/25 35/15
76/6 79/6 86/7 86/9	7/22 8/9 10/9 12/12	wherever [1] 3/24	21/14 21/15 21/23	36/1 36/1 36/2 41/20
wants [5] 23/23	13/1 15/20 19/4 19/25 20/19 20/22 20/25	whether [33] 5/25 6/5 10/20 16/19 27/5		47/9 47/17 47/21 49/9 52/13 59/3 62/18 73/4
23/24 23/24 73/17			43/22 45/22 47/5 47/8	
94/19	21/1 21/7 26/17 26/25 27/5 29/24 29/25	27/11 28/14 30/19 30/20 33/10 34/11	47/9 47/12 47/13 48/23 51/19 61/22	74/18 76/4 77/5 77/23 82/2
Ward [1] 92/4	30/25 33/7 35/10	34/12 35/15 35/19	63/24 65/9 65/17 66/5	
was [100]	35/11 38/8 39/19	49/23 50/12 50/23		working [8] 9/7 34/12
	00/11/00/0 03/18	70/20 00/12 JU/20	00/27 10/110/10/14/4	
				(43) Vonnolls' - working

(43) Vennells' - working

W	87/24 91/3 91/8 91/11		
working [6] 36/11	92/19 93/5 93/16		
38/1 67/19 67/20	93/21 93/24		
74/20 81/1	yesterday [15] 3/3 19/15 20/9 33/7 35/22		
workplace [1] 44/4	37/22 39/18 48/15		
works [3] 33/2 36/3 42/12	56/3 59/8 62/21 76/25		
worried [2] 62/17	79/7 81/11 89/1		
62/18	<b>yet [3]</b> 25/18 48/25 95/24		
worth [1] 24/21	you [281]		
would [126] wouldn't [8] 7/1	You'd [1] 3/10		
15/17 17/3 22/11	you'll [4] 38/11 76/13		
47/15 67/20 69/23	89/7 93/19		
87/9	you're [14] 7/18 25/6 27/2 34/17 35/17		
Wright [1] 91/7 write [2] 31/2 96/12	37/15 39/25 40/14		
writing [2] 16/19 96/6	45/1 45/6 62/18 75/11		
written [7] 16/17	02/1103/20		
30/16 31/8 88/22	you've [20] 24/25 27/9 28/15 30/19		
94/10 94/20 97/14	31/16 35/21 36/14		
wrong [3] 43/23 45/4 50/7	36/22 38/4 38/21 54/2		
wrongdoing [3]	56/14 57/10 73/21		
42/19 45/2 45/3	73/23 74/11 75/2		
wrongful [1] 3/17	your [46] 3/2 5/10		
wrongly [1] 3/19 wrote [2] 21/20 30/24	5/10 6/17 7/8 8/15		
Wyn [5] 16/4 23/7	10/20 15/13 15/23		
45/24 95/1 99/18	16/18 17/23 18/8 19/7   21/3 21/18 23/11		
Y	30/12 31/4 31/24 33/8		
yards [1] 38/22	33/8 33/18 35/22		
Yeah [2] 26/23 30/13	38/15 39/1 39/8 41/9		
year [7] 42/24 45/22	42/8 43/12 54/20 56/15 61/19 71/13		
47/25 53/2 60/13 70/19 93/4	71/16 71/25 72/20		
years [12] 31/4 31/5	75/2 80/8 81/15 84/19		
31/5 53/6 53/7 53/12	87/19 88/21 88/25		
61/17 70/20 70/20	93/3 94/12 95/18		
71/19 71/22 95/21 yellow [1] 64/23	<u>Z</u>		
yes [77] 1/21 2/1 4/12	Zarin [1] 92/14		
6/8 6/25 8/1 9/1 10/1			
12/25 13/4 14/17 14/21 15/23 16/5			
17/11 21/13 23/20			
24/11 25/10 25/14			
25/25 25/25 26/2 26/7			
27/23 29/12 33/20			
35/5 36/12 40/20 41/11 41/18 46/2 47/1			
49/10 49/11 49/13			
49/22 50/16 55/14			
55/19 57/5 58/22 58/25 62/13 62/14			
63/3 63/12 64/14			
65/22 65/25 66/3 67/8			
70/22 70/25 73/16			
79/17 79/20 81/20 81/22 82/15 82/21			
82/22 83/16 83/25			
84/1 84/10 87/23			
			(44) working Zarin