Message		
From:	Tim Parker GRO	
on behalf of	IIm Parker	<u> </u>
Sent:	14/06/2016 22:15:31	
To:	Jane MacLeod GRO	
Subject:	Re: Chairman's review - CONFIDENTIAL AND SUBJECT TO LEGAL PRIVILEGE	
Dear Jane,		
Let us meet	to discuss on Thursday.	
Best		
Tim		
Tim Parker		
C1 :		
Chairman		
National Tru		
	or Gardens, London SW1W 0DH	
c.h-tim.park	^e GRO	
Chairman		
Post Office l	Limitad	
	als, 20 Finsbury Street, London, EC2Y 9AQ	
tim.parker	GRO	
mi.parkor		
Chairman		
	nternational SA	
	17, B-9700 Oudenaarde, Belgium	
tim.parker	GRO	
	,	;
On Fri, Jun	10, 2016 at 12:30 PM +0100, "Jane MacLeod" GR	RO > wrote:
	i	
Tim		
F.,	ramail balance was mark with Tame Dalvinson OC last with the discuss of	a Dantus anton Liking tier. Justine some
	y email below, we met with Tony Robinson QC last night to discuss the sion we asked him for his advice as to whether the work being undert	
should be cor		taken for the purposes of your review

His strong advice was that the work being undertaken under the aegis of your review should not continue in light of the litigation. However, he also recommended that the subject matter of that work should continue provided it is rescoped and re-instructed for the purposes of the litigation.

Clearly you will need to inform the Minister and we will prepare a form of words for you to use. I understand you are in the office next Thursday (16 June), so please let me know if you would like to discuss this then. I am of course available by phone in the meantime.

Kind regards,

Jane



-----Original Message-----From: Jane MacLeod Sent: 27 May 2016 18:07

To: Tim Parker

Subject: Chairman's review

Tim



General Counsel Ground Floor 20 Finsbury Street LONDON EC2Y 9AQ

Mobile number



As flagged at the Board earlier this week, I hosted a call with Jonathan Swift QC today to ask what, in his view, would be a reasonable course of action for you to take in relation to his recommendations as to the further lines of enquiry which could be undertaken, now that POL faces litigation covering essentially the same ground.

In summary, Jonathan felt that Tony Robertson QC (the barrister retained to advise POL on its defence to the proceedings) should first be requested to advise POL whether in light of the litigation, the various works teams should be continued, paused or re-defined.

We will send instructions to Tony early next week and expect to have this advice relatively quickly. Once this advice has been received, Jonathan has said that he would be happy to discuss with you how best to take this forward in the context of your review, as well as considering how to position this with BNR, and others with knowledge of and interest in the Review.

I will let you know as soon as we have Tony Robinson's advice. As ever, please give me a call if you would like to discuss.

Kind regards,

Jane

Jane MacLeod General Counsel The Post Office

GRO

Sent from my iPad