1	Tuesday, 8 (	<b>October 2024</b> 1	
2	(10.00 am)	2	
3	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Morning, everyone.	3	A
4	Mr Blake.	4	Q
5	MR BLAKE: Thank you, sir. This morning we're goi	ng to hear 5	
6	from Ms McEwan.	6	A
7	KAREN ANITA McEWAN (sworn)	7	C
8	Questioned by MR BLAKE	8	A
9	MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full nam	ie, please? 9	
10	A. Karen Anita McEwan.	10	
11	<b>Q.</b> Thank you very much. You should in front of you	u have 11	Ç
12	a witness statement behind tab A or tab A1. I'm	going 12	
13	to ask you to turn to that, please. Is that stateme	ent 13	ŀ
14	dated 17 September this year?	14	0
15	A. Yes.	15	
16	<b>Q.</b> Can I ask you, please, to turn to page 74.	16	ŀ
17	A. Yes.	17	
18	<b>Q.</b> Can you confirm that is your signature?	18	
19	A. It is.	19	(
20	<b>Q.</b> Can you confirm that that statement is true to the	e best 20	
21	of your knowledge and belief?	21	1
22	A. I can confirm.	22	(
23	<b>Q.</b> Thank you very much. That witness statement h	has the 23	
24	unique reference number WITN11360100, and t	hat will be 24	1
25	published on the Inquiry's website. By way of 1	25	(
1	People Officer at the Post Office in September 2	023? 1	
2	<b>A.</b> I did.	2	
3	<b>Q.</b> I want to start by looking at your induction.	3	
4	Paragraphs 15 and 16 of your statement, you ha	ve said 4	
5	that you didn't receive any formal induction or	5	
6	u alling, and you look a number of actions yours		
6 7	training, and you took a number of actions yours speaking to people. Can you assist us with why	elf, 6	
6 7 8	training, and you took a number of actions yours speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?	elf, 6	
7 8	speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?	elf, 6 that 7 8	
7 8	speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?	elf, 6 that 7 8 p 9	
7 8 9	<ul><li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li><li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni</li></ul>	elf, 6 that 7 8 o 9 ck was 10	
7 8 9 10	<ul><li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li><li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni extremely busy during that period. The People f</li></ul>	elf, 6 that 7 b 9 ck was 10 unction 11	
7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li><li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni extremely busy during that period. The People f itself was not staffed very well, and people were</li></ul>	elf, 6 that 7 8 o 9 ck was 10 unction 11 under 12	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li> <li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni extremely busy during that period. The People f itself was not staffed very well, and people were a lot of pressure in that function and I just think</li> </ul>	elf, 6 that 7 b 9 ck was 10 unction 11 under 12 13	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li> <li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni extremely busy during that period. The People f itself was not staffed very well, and people were a lot of pressure in that function and I just think there was no time to do a formal induction proce</li> </ul>	elf, 6 that 7 b 9 ck was 10 unction 11 under 12 13 ss. 14	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li> <li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni extremely busy during that period. The People f itself was not staffed very well, and people were a lot of pressure in that function and I just think</li> </ul>	elf, 6 that 7 b 9 ck was 10 unction 11 under 12 13 ss. 14 ement, 15	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li> <li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni extremely busy during that period. The People f itself was not staffed very well, and people were a lot of pressure in that function and I just think there was no time to do a formal induction proce</li> <li>Q. If I could bring up on to screen your witness state WITN11360100. If we could look at page 7, please of the state of the state</li></ul>	elf, 6 that 7 b (1) b (1	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li> <li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni extremely busy during that period. The People f itself was not staffed very well, and people were a lot of pressure in that function and I just think there was no time to do a formal induction proce</li> <li>Q. If I could bring up on to screen your witness state WITN11360100. If we could look at page 7, plead just read couple of sections from this page when</li> </ul>	elf, 6 that 7 b (1) b (1	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li> <li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni extremely busy during that period. The People f itself was not staffed very well, and people were a lot of pressure in that function and I just think there was no time to do a formal induction proce</li> <li>Q. If I could bring up on to screen your witness state WITN11360100. If we could look at page 7, plea just read couple of sections from this page when comes onto screen. Thank you. It's page 7,</li> </ul>	elf, 6 that 7 b 9 ck was 10 unction 11 under 12 13 ss. 14 ement, 15 ase. I'll 16 it 17	J
7 8 9 110 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li> <li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni extremely busy during that period. The People f itself was not staffed very well, and people were a lot of pressure in that function and I just think there was no time to do a formal induction proce</li> <li>Q. If I could bring up on to screen your witness state WITN11360100. If we could look at page 7, plead just read couple of sections from this page when</li> </ul>	elf, 6 that 7 b (1) b (2) ck was 10 unction 11 under 12 13 ss. 14 ement, 15 ase. I'll 16 it 17 18 19	1
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li> <li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni extremely busy during that period. The People f itself was not staffed very well, and people were a lot of pressure in that function and I just think there was no time to do a formal induction proce</li> <li>Q. If I could bring up on to screen your witness state WITN11360100. If we could look at page 7, plea just read couple of sections from this page when comes onto screen. Thank you. It's page 7, paragraph 21. You say there:</li> </ul>	elf, 6 that 7 b 9 ck was 10 unction 11 under 12 ss. 14 ement, 15 ase. I'll 16 it 17 18 19 e 20	1
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li> <li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni extremely busy during that period. The People f itself was not staffed very well, and people were a lot of pressure in that function and I just think there was no time to do a formal induction proce</li> <li>Q. If I could bring up on to screen your witness state WITN11360100. If we could look at page 7, plea just read couple of sections from this page when comes onto screen. Thank you. It's page 7, paragraph 21. You say there:</li> <li>"I did not have sufficient understanding of the context of the business and felt I should have been approximately and the sufficient understanding of the context of the business and felt I should have been approximately approxi</li></ul>	elf, 6 that 7 b 9 ck was 10 unction 11 under 12 ss. 14 ement, 15 ase. I'll 16 it 17 18 19 e 20	,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li> <li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni extremely busy during that period. The People f itself was not staffed very well, and people were a lot of pressure in that function and I just think there was no time to do a formal induction proce</li> <li>Q. If I could bring up on to screen your witness state WITN11360100. If we could look at page 7, plea just read couple of sections from this page when comes onto screen. Thank you. It's page 7, paragraph 21. You say there:</li> <li>"I did not have sufficient understanding of th context of the business and felt I should have be more about it before I started. I had not fully</li> </ul>	elf, 6 that 7 b 9 ck was 10 unction 11 under 12 13 ss. 14 ement, 15 ase. I'll 16 it 17 19 e 20 en told 21 22	ļ
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>speaking to people. Can you assist us with why was?</li> <li>A. I think at that time, obviously I reported directly to Nick Read, who was the Chief Executive, and Ni extremely busy during that period. The People f itself was not staffed very well, and people were a lot of pressure in that function and I just think there was no time to do a formal induction proce</li> <li>Q. If I could bring up on to screen your witness state WITN11360100. If we could look at page 7, plea just read couple of sections from this page when comes onto screen. Thank you. It's page 7, paragraph 21. You say there:</li> <li>"I did not have sufficient understanding of the context of the business and felt I should have been approximately and the sufficient understanding of the context of the business and felt I should have been approximately approxi</li></ul>	elf, 6 that 7 b 9 ck was 10 unction 11 under 12 13 ss. 14 ement, 15 ase. I'll 16 it 17 it 17 le 20 ee 20 een told 21 22 23	Ļ

3

background, you hold a first class honours degree in psychology; is that right?

**A.** That is correct.

Q. You have 30 years' experience in specialist HR and

operational management roles for large organisations?

6 A. That's true, yes.

7 Q. Can you assist us with what specialist HR is?

A. Just years of experience, formal coaching and formal
 training through businesses like Tesco, and my degree,
 obviously, which is hugely relevant to my vocation.

1 **Q.** Thank you. You worked at Tesco from 1981 to 2016; is that correct?

3 A. That's correct.

14	Q.	Including, as UK People Director. Is that head of
15		a particular team, part of the management structure?

6 A. It was part of the operational management structure and

7 I led the People function for the UK, which was all of8 the stores, distribution and support centres.

19 Q. In 2016 you moved to become Chief People Officer at

McColl's Retail Group; is that right?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. You moved up the ranks to become Interim Chief Executive23 before it was purchased by Morrisons; is that right?

4 A. That's right.

25 **Q.** Most relevant for today's purpose, you became Chief

help to fix the issues the business was experiencing and support the Executive Team. This meant that, whilst my background and relative experience allowed me to move into the role without difficulty, I did not have a pleasant or comfortable introduction into the business." At paragraph 22 you also say, about halfway down that paragraph: "However, upon joining [the Post Office] it became clear that the scale of my role was more significant than I had anticipated. The level of expectation of my working hours and visibility across the business, made it necessary to take on a rental property in London to be able to manage the demands of the business. This has impacted my personal life." Where did the original expectation come from, in respect of the work that you would be required to do? I wouldn't attribute that to any individual telling me that; it was just apparent, given the experience that I had had in other businesses, I could see that things were less stable than I might have understood. It didn't come from any individual; it was just my understanding and my observations of the business at that time. What was your first impression of how the business was

4

(1) Pages 1 - 4

run?

- 2 Α. Do you mean generally?
- 3 Q. Yes.
- 4 A. I didn't form an observation on how it was run initially
- 5 because I would have expected to have had time to
- 6 consider that and to have understood properly exactly
- 7 how things were working. I think specifically, in the
- 8 People function, it was chaotic.
- 9 Q. You had worked with Mr Read before at Tesco. How well 10 did you know each other; what was your relationship?
- We had never worked together. We both were on 11 Α.
- a management development programme in around 2002, 12
- 13 I think. From memory, we didn't work together, we had
- 14 a 12-week or so programme where we had got to know each
- 15 other as part of a group of about 16 people. After that
- 16 time, I didn't have any further contact with Nick at all
- 17 until I joined the Post Office, or just before.
- 18 What was your initial impression of how he was running Q. 19 the Post Office when you joined?
- 20 A. I felt initially the business looked like it was well
- 21 run and Nick's reputation was, as I knew it, from
- 22 someone of integrity, and he had experience and was
- 23 highly regarded in his role at Tesco. So that was the
- 24 impression that I brought to the business with me.
- 25 Q. In respect of the Chair, Mr Staunton, at that time, what 5
- 1 A. I am.
- 2 Q. We've heard that your post was one that changed hands 3 a lot and you've addressed that in your witness
- 4 statement, you've given reasons for the pressures that
- 5 people were under in that team. One of the reasons you
- 6 say is that members felt undervalued. Can you expand on 7 that for us?
- 8 A. On initially joining, I was very keen to get as much
- 9 information about the culture of the business as
- 10 I could, and I asked the team very open questions, this
- 11 is the People Team, the people that I would have been
- 12 responsible for managing, effectively, in my role.
- I just feel that they felt that the efforts they were 13
- 14 making and that they were putting into the business were
- 15 just not appreciated and they were finding it very
- 16 difficult to make progress, unsurprisingly, in the
- 17 circumstances
- When you say the circumstances, do you mean the fallout 18 Q. 19 from the Horizon scandal, the Inquiry, or something 20 else?
- 21 Yes, definitely, but also just the lack of leadership in Α.
- 22 that function at that time and the lack of attention 23 that the function had.
- 24 Q. The other reason you've given is that people were unable
- 25 to effect change in the business and you say "including 7

- 1 was your initial impression of Mr Staunton?
- 2 A. I'm afraid I didn't have a very good impression,
  - initially.
- 4 Q. Why was that?
- 5 A. I think until any personal encounter that I had had with
- 6 Mr Staunton, it was a general demeanour that I felt made
- 7 me and other people feel quite uncomfortable, in terms
- 8 of his behaviour, certainly in and around the office in 9 I ondon
- 10 Q. You say in your witness statement that "onboarding 11 wasn't typical of a large organisation"; what do you
- 12 mean by that?
- 13 Α. That there was just no formal process. So it was
- 14 obvious that I would have to self-initiate that process
- 15 and get to meet with the people that I felt I really
- 16 needed to meet with, it just was unstructured and less
- 17 structured than I would have expected. There just
- 18 wasn't a formality to the process.
- 19 Q. Who was responsible for onboarding at that time?
- 20 A. I think primarily it would have been the Chief People
- 21 Officer but, as I joined, there was nobody in that role
- 22 and one of the existing team was being asked to step up
- 23 to cover that role, so the focus on that probably
- 24 wouldn't have been as one would have expected.
- 25 Q. Are you now responsible for that role? 6
- 1 how performance was managed"; what do you mean by that? 2 Α. I would say the biggest and most common thread of the 3 feedback that I had at the time from the people was that 4 they felt that there was performance in the business. 5 There were people who were not performing to the 6 standard that would have been expected or that was 7 necessary for the business at that period of time, and
- they felt that there was not enough rigour, not 8
- 9 necessarily in the policy or the process, but that there
- 10 wasn't enough rigour in the application of those
- 11 processes so that people were held accountable for poor
- 12 performance or poor behaviours in the organisation.
- 13 Q. Was that something that was specific to that point in 14 time; do you think it was something that went back 15 further?
- 16 A. I would suggest that it was exacerbated by the issues
- 17 that the business had at that time but I suspect that it 18 had gone back further, probably due to the constant 19 change of people in my role, I would imagine. That
- 20 wouldn't have been helpful.
- 21 Q. Having worked in that role in a number of different
- 22 companies, is that something that's typical; do Chief
- 23 People Officers tend to move around a lot or is that
- 24 something that was a particular problem for the Post
- 25 Office?

1 <b>A</b> .		1	_	as fitting in?
2	previously, certainly not in either of those	2	Α.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
3	organisations, and it wouldn't be typical, I would	3	Q.	
4	suggest, in an organisation at the size and scale of the	4	Α.	I would say the role of the Chief People Officer is to
5	Post Office, no.	5		lead the agenda on People across the organisation. So
6 <b>Q</b> .		6		that doesn't spend to postmasters but it extends to
7	itself when you first joined?	7		anybody that works for and is contracted to work for the
8 <b>A</b> .	5 I I I I	8		Post Office.
9	it was difficult to get consistency in the information	9	SI	<b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> I was going to ask you the direct
10	that I was trying to get to understand about the	10		question. Presumably you focus on employees of the Post
11	business to go about my job. So I would say it was	11		Office; is that fair?
12	fragmented. There were certain parts of the business	12	Α.	, , , ,
13	that had had stability, and people were more confident	13		role and I think this is very significant and really
14	in those departments and those areas, and there were	14		very important is that the performance of people
15	other parts of the business where people were less	15		employed by the Post Office in the organisation have
16	confident and there had been more change.	16		a direct impact on the relationship with, and the
17 <b>Q</b> .		17		confidence, and the trust with postmasters. So I see
8	limits to your role. Are you in charge of	18		the role as intrinsically connected to the postmaster
19	recruitment	19		population, and I think that's extremely important but,
20 <b>A</b> .		20		in terms of my direct responsibility, my direct
21 <b>Q</b> .		21		responsibility is for the colleagues of the Post Office.
22	a Human Resources perspective as well?	22	M	<b>R BLAKE:</b> Can we please bring on to screen POL00448785
	That's correct, yes.	23		There's no real significance to this particular
	Onboarding is something we've discussed. How about	24		document. It's just an example of something I'd like to
25	other areas of the business: where do you see your role 9	25		look at. This is a Board report of which you are named 10
1 2	as the author and sponsor and it relates to a contract for PR services. Under the "Executive Summary" it says:	1 2	A.	what's your view on that? I understand that and I definitely understand that in
3	"As a result of events that have occurred since the	3		the context of this particular contract, and I think it
4	start of 2024 [the Post Office] has required	4		might be important to explain some of that context for
5	a substantial increase in services from Cardew"	5		the Inquiry.
6	Cardew is a PR firm; is that correct?	6	0	Please do.
7 <b>A</b> .	It is.	7	Q.	
8 Q.		8	Λ.	was already in place and was actually due for retender
9 <b>u</b> .	" the increased public scrutiny that has arisen	9		this autumn, actually this month coming, at that time
10	and the knock-on impact this has had on [the Post	10		I think I'd referred to it elsewhere in my statement
11	Office's] brand; and	11		the business was in significant distress, and not only
12	"Cardew backfilling the vacancy left by [Post	12		for the wider business but for the Communications Team
13	Office's] departing Director of Communications."	13		as well. It wasn't a normal time and, you know, the
14	To what extent does your role also involve the	14		events I think the media attention that the business
15	obtaining of contract for services?	15		was under at that time, the challenges that we had, we'd
16 <b>A</b> .		16		had a lot of again, a lot of leavers in the team.
17	Communication or Corporate Affairs Director and I was	10		It was important, I felt to get support for the team
18	taking some line management accountability for that	18		but I was very conscious at that time that there had
19	function to help and support the Executive and Nick,	10		been criticism in the media about the expenditure on
20	who there was a lot of pressure in the business at	20		corporate affairs and on PR support for the business.
-• 21	that time.	21		So I was acutely aware of that at the time of making
22 Q.		22		this decision.
	-	23		I think when I extended the contract I was very keen
23	a lot of expenditure on external linns. There will be	2.7		
23 24	a lot of expenditure on external firms. There will be those who query why the Post Office considers it	23 24		to point out to Cardew that the caveats for this

(3) Pages 9 - 12

1 on internal communication for our colleagues and 2 postmaster communication because, at that time, the 3 business was being challenged on its employer brand, so 4 we were struggling to recruit senior positions, 5 certainly in my function specifically, because of the 6 brand of the Post Office at that time, frankly. And I'd 7 asked Cardew to ensure that there was as much focus, not 8 just on the Executive, that almost seemed to be less 9 important than the focus that we needed on internal and 10 postmaster communications, so they brought in some 11 specialist support to help with that as well. 12 **Q**. How is that going to work going forward? 13 Well, I think we're over that peak period of the volume Α. 14 now, and we have recruited -- at the same time we 15 started a search in the market to replace the Corporate 16 Affairs and Communications Director. We have now 17 replaced that position. So I think there'll be more 18 stability and more consistency. 19 Q. Going back to the issue of induction, you've given 20 evidence about your own induction, you've personally 21 made changes to the induction process. You've set those 22 out at paragraphs 25 to 33 in your statement. You 23 address Horizon scandal training. Can you briefly 24 summarise what that involves? 25 A. It was just raising -- further raising awareness for 13

- 1 Team in light of their role in matters being
- 2 investigated by the Inquiry?
- 3 A. Not to the best of my understanding, no.
- 4 Q. Do you think it would be worthwhile having additional
  5 training for those teams or do you think that the
- 6 process that you've already put in place is sufficient?
- 7 **A.** No, I think it may be worthwhile in doing further
- 8 training with those teams and any other teams that9 require it.
- Q. Moving on to the People Plan, can we bring up on to
   screen POL00458453. What is the purpose of the
- 12 Strategic People Plan?

A. In this business, probably more than any others, it was
 very important to get some formality and some structure
 about the way that work was being conducted by the

- 16 People Team and I think I referred, and you asked me
- 17 earlier, about some of the reason for the chaotic state
- 18 of affairs, really, when I joined, and I think this came
- 19 down to the fact that there wasn't a clear plan for the
- 20 business or for that function, and it was important to
- 21 establish the function within the business to get
- 22 credibility and get belief in the work that the function
- 23 was trying to do, and I think it's extremely important
- 24 to have a plan, some focus and some measurable targets
- and actions that I and my team can be held to account

- colleagues onboarding, pre-joining the Post Office, so
- 2 they had context and we set out a recommended reading
- 3 list and reference material for people to do on
- 4 a self-taught basis, prior to joining, but then
- 5 reinforce that training as people join. So there was
- 6 an online training module, which is available to
- 7 everybody, that raises awareness of exactly what
- 8 happened, right the way through from the judgments and
- 9 to subsequent actions that the business has taken.
- 10 Q. Was there anything addressing those topics before you11 joined, in terms of training?
- 12 A. Yes, there was pre-existing training prior to my joining13 I believe, yes.
- 14 **Q.** Have you seen that training?
- 15 A. I have seen it, yes.
- 16 Q. What was your view of the quality of it?
- 17 **A.** At the time that I reviewed it and in the context of the
- 18 business, I felt that more rigour was needed in terms of
- 19 the amount of material that people needed to read and
- 20 comprehend to properly understand their roles before21 they joined.
- 22 Q. There's been quite a lot of focus in the Inquiry on23 issues in the Legal Team and issues in the
- 24 Investigations Team. Is there any additional training
- 25 that's provided to the Legal Team or the Investigations 14
- 1 for.
- 2 Q. Was this a plan that you personally implemented?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Can we please scroll through the plan. Over the page,
  5 please. If we can continue going over and over, we can
  6 stop on the final page, page 7. One matter that has
  7 already been highlighted by Sir Wyn this morning is this
- 8 plan doesn't address subpostmasters, does it?
- 9 A. It doesn't.
- 10 Q. I think you've said in your witness statement that, and
- 11 you've said also this morning, that, in your view,
- 12 improving the culture within the Post Office will also
- 13 improve the experiences of postmasters. Can you just
- 14 expand on that and quite what you mean by that?
- 15 A. I think that's absolutely critical. I think -- it's
- 16 fairly all encompassing but I would say from onboarding
- 17 people properly, making sure that they're sufficiently
- 18 trained, making sure that they have awareness of the
- 19 issues and the wrongs of the past, making sure that we
- 20 have people that work for us that have the right
- 21 behaviours and the behaviours that are expected in
- 22 an organisation, and all of that gives people the
- 23 confidence and capability to do their jobs, and that's
- 24 fundamentally important in building trust with
- 25 postmasters.

1		So, whilst I don't have contractual responsibility	1
2		for that population, I believe that my role is to do	2
3		everything I can to make sure that the people in the	3
4	_	organisation are sufficiently capable.	4
5	Q.	A counterargument to that might be that it cements	5
6		a them-and-us attitude between employees and	6
7		subpostmasters. Whose responsibility would it be to	7
8		develop a plan like this to improve the relationship	8
9		with subpostmasters?	9
10	Α.	Specifically, at a detailed level, the Retail Team and	10
11		the postmaster facing team would be very much involved,	11
12		but I believe it's the job of the Executive Team and of	12
13		the Chief Executive, as the ultimate owner, to ensure	13
14		that the postmaster relationships continue to be a focus	14 (
15	~	and priority for the business.	15
16	Q.	Are you aware of a strategic plan, similar to the	16
17		Strategic People Plan, to address subpostmasters?	17
18	Α.	I haven't seen a plan but I know that there are efforts	18
19	~	in the business to do that, yes.	19
20	Q.	Could we please bring up on to screen our YouGov expert	20 (
21 22		report, that's EXPG0000007. Did you see this evidence	21 <i>I</i> 22
22	Α.	being given in person or have you read the report? I have read the report in my additional documents as the	22
23 24	А.	preparation for the Inquiry.	23
24 25	Q.	Can you turn to page 40 where it addresses certain	24 25
20	ω.	17	25
4			4
1		plans on proposition or whether they're plans to	1
2		generally support the postmasters, I think we can do	2 3
3 4	0	a better job of communicating those plans.	3
4 5	Q. A.	Do those figures surprise you at all? No.	4 5
6		Having had conversations at Executive level, are they	6
	Q.		7
7 8	Α.	figures that surprised members of the Executive Team? I can only speak for my own perception, obviously, but	8
9	А.	I would be surprised if the Executive Team didn't expect	8 9
10		there to be dissatisfaction from postmasters about the	10
11		relationship, yes.	10
12	Q.	Thank you. That can come down.	11
13	ω.	I'm going to move on to the CEO position. The CEO's	12
14		appointment and CEO remuneration issues, do they fall	13
15		within your remit?	14
16	Α.	Not directly, no. So the CEO appointment is a matter	16
17	Π.	for the shareholder and the CEO remuneration is also	10
18		a matter for the shareholder, but I am a member of the	18 (
19		Remuneration Committee.	10
20	Q.	In terms of the CEO appointment being a matter for the	20
20	ч.	shareholder, what role does the Chief People Officer	20
22		have in that?	21 7
23	Α.	Obviously I haven't experienced that particular role	23
24		being searched for at the Post Office because,	24
25		obviously, when I joined, Nick was the Chief Executive	25
		19	20

2		Office. If we look at the first two of those figures in
3		figure 28, we can see there that, in terms of the
4		statement that "Generally, Post Office Limited is trying
5		to improve its relationship with subpostmasters",
6		there's a net disagree figure of 51 per cent; and,
7		secondly, a statement "Generally, Post Office Limited
8		understands the concerns of subpostmasters", a very
9		strong net disagree of 74 per cent.
10		It seems as though there's quite a lot of work to do
11		there in respect of that relationship; would you agree
12		with that?
13	•	I would agree with that, yes.
	A.	
14	Q.	Is that something that you're aware of having been
15		discussed at Executive level?
16	Α.	Yes, definitely. It's a constant conversation.
17		I haven't seen those stats before but seeing them
18		highlights how much work there is to do. But yes, it is
19		discussed.
20	Q.	What do you think can be done to fix that relationship?
21	Α.	There is a need for transparent communication and
22		I think in building any relationship of trust, that's
23		critically important, so there's more work to do there,
24		definitely in terms of talking about, you know, the
25		plans that the business has. Whether they're strategic
		18
1		and he was incumbent and has been in the role since I've
2		been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best
2 3		been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that
2 3 4		been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got
2 3		been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted
2 3 4		been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that
2 3 4 5		been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted
2 3 4 5 6		been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that
2 3 4 5 6 7		been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment. Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment. Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's say we've heard that Mr Read will, in due course, be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment. Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's say we've heard that Mr Read will, in due course, be stepping back: who will take the new appointment of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment. Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's say we've heard that Mr Read will, in due course, be stepping back: who will take the new appointment of a CEO?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment. Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's say we've heard that Mr Read will, in due course, be stepping back: who will take the new appointment of a CEO? I think that will be a matter for Nigel Railton, the new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment. Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's say we've heard that Mr Read will, in due course, be stepping back: who will take the new appointment of a CEO? I think that will be a matter for Nigel Railton, the new Chair; Lorna Gratton, as a shareholder representative; and Amanda Burton.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment. Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's say we've heard that Mr Read will, in due course, be stepping back: who will take the new appointment of a CEO? I think that will be a matter for Nigel Railton, the new Chair; Lorna Gratton, as a shareholder representative; and Amanda Burton. We've heard quite a lot about the CEO's remuneration.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment. Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's say we've heard that Mr Read will, in due course, be stepping back: who will take the new appointment of a CEO? I think that will be a matter for Nigel Railton, the new Chair; Lorna Gratton, as a shareholder representative; and Amanda Burton. We've heard quite a lot about the CEO's remuneration. What is your view of the sufficiency of the CEO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	<ul> <li>been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment.</li> <li>Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's say we've heard that Mr Read will, in due course, be stepping back: who will take the new appointment of a CEO?</li> <li>I think that will be a matter for Nigel Railton, the new Chair; Lorna Gratton, as a shareholder representative; and Amanda Burton.</li> <li>We've heard quite a lot about the CEO's remuneration.</li> <li>What is your view of the sufficiency of the CEO remuneration to attract top talent?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment. Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's say we've heard that Mr Read will, in due course, be stepping back: who will take the new appointment of a CEO? I think that will be a matter for Nigel Railton, the new Chair; Lorna Gratton, as a shareholder representative; and Amanda Burton. We've heard quite a lot about the CEO's remuneration. What is your view of the sufficiency of the CEO remuneration to attract top talent? It's quite difficult in terms of comparison, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	<ul> <li>been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment.</li> <li>Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's say we've heard that Mr Read will, in due course, be stepping back: who will take the new appointment of a CEO?</li> <li>I think that will be a matter for Nigel Railton, the new Chair; Lorna Gratton, as a shareholder representative; and Amanda Burton.</li> <li>We've heard quite a lot about the CEO's remuneration.</li> <li>What is your view of the sufficiency of the CEO remuneration to attract top talent?</li> <li>It's quite difficult in terms of comparison, because I think compared to the wider market in more commercial</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q.	<ul> <li>been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment.</li> <li>Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's say we've heard that Mr Read will, in due course, be stepping back: who will take the new appointment of a CEO?</li> <li>I think that will be a matter for Nigel Railton, the new Chair; Lorna Gratton, as a shareholder representative; and Amanda Burton.</li> <li>Whe've heard quite a lot about the CEO's remuneration.</li> <li>What is your view of the sufficiency of the CEO remuneration to attract top talent?</li> <li>It's quite difficult in terms of comparison, because I think compared to the wider market in more commercial businesses, which frankly are the businesses that I've</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q.	<ul> <li>been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment.</li> <li>Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's say we've heard that Mr Read will, in due course, be stepping back: who will take the new appointment of a CEO?</li> <li>I think that will be a matter for Nigel Railton, the new Chair; Lorna Gratton, as a shareholder representative; and Amanda Burton.</li> <li>We've heard quite a lot about the CEO's remuneration.</li> <li>What is your view of the sufficiency of the CEO remuneration to attract top talent?</li> <li>It's quite difficult in terms of comparison, because I think compared to the wider market in more commercial businesses, which frankly are the businesses that I've only had experience in, then currently the remuneration</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q.	<ul> <li>been here. I would expect to be consulted, from best practice perspective, on how we would search for that role in market. I would expect, given that I've now got some experience at the Post Office, to be consulted about what I saw to be the significant issues that needed to be addressed in that role. So I guess I'm saying I would expect to be consulted but not necessarily involved in the decision making about the appointment.</li> <li>Who would take those kinds of matters forward? Let's say we've heard that Mr Read will, in due course, be stepping back: who will take the new appointment of a CEO?</li> <li>I think that will be a matter for Nigel Railton, the new Chair; Lorna Gratton, as a shareholder representative; and Amanda Burton.</li> <li>Whe've heard quite a lot about the CEO's remuneration.</li> <li>What is your view of the sufficiency of the CEO remuneration to attract top talent?</li> <li>It's quite difficult in terms of comparison, because I think compared to the wider market in more commercial businesses, which frankly are the businesses that I've</li> </ul>

statements about the leadership Board at the Post

1	expect. So it isn't significantly high, is what I'm	1	we're addressing it and Ms Davies has been named because
2	saying. I think, compared to obviously other	2	she's been previously named, for example, in a Select
3	remuneration packages in the public sector, there might	3	Committee. We're not going into great death in relation
4	be a different view of that.	4	to each and every allegation but I want to go to two
	<b>Q.</b> That's quite similar evidence to the evidence given by	5	matters that arise, and the first is relevant on this
6	Amanda Burton, two extremes, but if the Chair looking at	6	issue. You were the commissioning Executive in relation
7	recommendations for the future, ensuring that the Post	7	to this report; is that correct?
8	Office has the best people leading it, what is your view	8 <b>A</b> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9	of the remuneration package?	9	Somebody else was the commissioning Executive and due
10 <b>A</b>		10	as the investigation started, due to a conflict issue,
11	important. It's critical that the Post Office has the	11	I was asked to take on the role of the commissioning
12	right leadership team to take it forward. I would	12	Executive once the investigation was under way.
13	consider it possible to recruit somebody capable and		What did that involve?
14	proficient at the current level of remuneration but	14 <b>A</b> .	l was part of a panel of oversight panel of the
15	I would also expect that there will be other candidates	15	investigation, along with Amanda Burton and Lorna
16	probably that we would want to approach and that would	16	Gratton.
17	be suitable candidates that may not want to come to the	17 <b>Q</b> .	Thank you. If we could turn to page 5, please. We're
18	Post Office, due to their view of the remuneration.	18	going to stick to the CEO pay position and that is
19	I think it's a job that one has to want to do because	19	allegation 2.10. The allegation was that Mr Read
20	there's a public service to be done and I think that's	20	bullied Ms Davies in relation to the messages he sent in
21	very important as well.	21	relation to his dissatisfaction with his pay. The
22 <b>Q</b>	<b>2.</b> Can we please turn to POL00448641. This the	22	analysis is on page 15. If we could turn to page 15,
23	investigation report that was carried out by Marianne	23	I'll just read a few paragraphs from that analysis.
24	Tutin, a barrister, in relation to complaints made or	24	Ms Tutin finds that:
25	raised by Ms Davies. We've addressed it previously,	25	"Mr Read did not bully Ms Davies in respect of the
	21		22
1	message he sent her concerning his dissatisfaction with	1	were not of that severity. Furthermore, Mr Read
2	his pay in January 2023."	2	explained to Ms Davies that his dissatisfaction with pay
3	She says:	3	pre-dated her time at [the Post Office] and he did not
4	"Mr Read had been dissatisfied demonstrably with his	4	blame her for the situation. Mr Read spoke to others,
5	remuneration package for some time, with numerous	5	including Mr Staunton, about his dissatisfaction with
6	attempts being made by former Chairs of [the Post	6	his pay in similar terms. There was no
7	Office] to seek an improved package from the government.	7	'finger-pointing' at Ms Davies."
8	Ms Davies, Mr Read and Mr Staunton discussed the topic	8	If we scroll over the page we can see the
9	intensively during the first two months of Ms Davies'	9	recommendation. She says:
10	employment in particular. Mr Read suggested that he	10	"Nevertheless, [the Post Office] will no doubt wish
11	would resign or take action unless matters improved,	11	to ensure that the future Chair is aware of the history
12	with increasing frequency and feeling, during January	12	of requests made to the government in respect of
13	2023.	13	Mr Read's pay to ensure that his expectations are
14	"From Ms Davies' perspective, I appreciate that she	14	managed appropriately moving forward."
15	must have felt under pressure to improve his pay, given	15	Has that recommendation taken place; have you had
16	the intense focus this issue received and Mr Read's	16	discussions with the Chair?
17	increasingly strong suggestions that he would leave [the	17 <b>A</b> .	I haven't had any personal discussions with the Chair.
18	Post Office] or take action. That said, some of that	18	I would imagine that Amanda Burton would have taken that
19	pressure may well have been self-imposed by Ms Davies in	19	up. I haven't discussed it with the Chair.
20	her desire to succeed where her predecessor,	20 <b>Q</b> .	What's your view of the relationship with government and
21	Ms Williams, had seemingly failed.	21	having to ask the Department for Business or the
22	"Mr Read's messages were, at timetables, demanding,	22	Secretary of State to improve the pay of the CEO?
23	impatient and irritable, which he may wish to reflect	23 <b>A</b> .	I think, having come from other corporate businesses,
24	upon. However, they were, on balance, not of	24	where we still would have to answer to shareholders,
25	an offensive, aggressive or humiliating nature. They	25	that is in keeping with my experience. I think it's
	23		24

2		she's been previously named, for example, in a Select
3		Committee. We're not going into great death in relation
4		to each and every allegation but I want to go to two
5		matters that arise, and the first is relevant on this
6		issue. You were the commissioning Executive in relation
7		to this report; is that correct?
8	Α.	I wasn't initially the commissioning Executive.
9		Somebody else was the commissioning Executive and due
10		as the investigation started, due to a conflict issue,
11		I was asked to take on the role of the commissioning
12		Executive once the investigation was under way.
13	Q.	What did that involve?
14	Α.	l was part of a panel of oversight panel of the
15		investigation, along with Amanda Burton and Lorna
16		Gratton.
17	Q.	Thank you. If we could turn to page 5, please. We're
18		going to stick to the CEO pay position and that is
19		allegation 2.10. The allegation was that Mr Read
20		bullied Ms Davies in relation to the messages he sent in
21		relation to his dissatisfaction with his pay. The
22		analysis is on page 15. If we could turn to page 15,
23		I'll just read a few paragraphs from that analysis.
24		Ms Tutin finds that:
25		"Mr Read did not bully Ms Davies in respect of the 22
1		were not of that severity. Furthermore, Mr Read
2		explained to Ms Davies that his dissatisfaction with pay
3		pre-dated her time at [the Post Office] and he did not
4		blame her for the situation. Mr Read spoke to others,
5		including Mr Staunton, about his dissatisfaction with
6		his pay in similar terms. There was no
7		'finger-pointing' at Ms Davies."
8		If we scroll over the page we can see the
9		recommendation. She says:
10		"Nevertheless, [the Post Office] will no doubt wish
11		to ensure that the future Chair is aware of the history
12		of requests made to the government in respect of
13		Mr Read's pay to ensure that his expectations are
14		managed appropriately moving forward."
15		Has that recommendation taken place; have you had
16		discussions with the Chair?
17	Α.	I haven't had any personal discussions with the Chair.
18		I would imagine that Amanda Burton would have taken that
19		up. I haven't discussed it with the Chair.

- our view of the relationship with government and ask the Department for Business or the
- of State to improve the pay of the CEO?
- aving come from other corporate businesses, still would have to answer to shareholders,
- keeping with my experience. I think it's

(6) Pages 21 - 24

yes.

1		important that there is strong governance and rigour	1
2		around how executive pay, as well as the Chief Executive	2
3		but including the Chief Executive, is managed. So it's	3
4		important. I there are occasions where I feel that	4
5		we don't maybe have as much autonomy as we ought to have	5
6		to make decisions regarding pay at a more junior level,	6
7		but I think at the level of the Executive and the Chief	7
8		Executive it's important that that is in place and that	8
9	_	that rigour is applied, yes.	9
10	Q.	When you say at a more junior level, who do you have to	10
11		obtain authorisation from in respect of the pay of more	11
12		junior employees?	12
13	Α.	So that's determined by threshold of the value of the	13
14		pay, as opposed to the individual. So it's individuals	14
15		over a certain level we have to seek approval for, which	15
16		is mainly confined to the Executive and the Senior	16 17
17 18	0	Leadership Team.	17
18	Q.	What change would you make to that?	18
20	Α.	I don't think there are any further changes to make to	19
20 21		that at the moment but, if we did want to go outside of those parameters, for example, if we were looking for	20 21
21		somebody new to come into the business, we might have to	21
22		get approval for that but there aren't any current	22
23		changes in plans for that team of people.	23
24	Q.	While we're on this report, if we could perhaps turn	24
20	ч.	25	20
1		please turn to paragraphs 29 and 30, those are passages	1
2		I'd like to look at. Paragraph 29 says:	2
3		"Consideration should be given as to whether	3
4		quality, diversity and inclusion training should be	4
5		offered to Non-Executive Directors, including the future	5
6		interim or permanent Chair. Alternatively, such	6
7		training could be a mandatory requirement for any	7
8		[Non-Executive Directors] involved in external or	8
9		internal recruitment processes, where they are acting as	9
10		a representative of [the Post Office]."	10
11		Just pausing there, is that something that falls	11
12		within your area of responsibility?	12
13	Α.	Yes, it does fall within my area of responsibility.	13
14	Q.	Is it something that you have taken steps to address?	14
15	Α.	Yes, I have.	15
16	Q.	Can you briefly summarise the steps that you have taken	16
17		in that regard?	17
18	Α.	So we have, after several months' search, actually we	18
19		have just appointed a specialist in EDI for the	19
20		business, which I felt was critical, who reports into	20
21		the Talent and Capability Director, who is ultimately	21
22		responsible for this area and will be producing training	22
23		for every member of the Post Office team, including the	23
24	~	Non-Executive Directors and the Board.	24
25	Q.	Thank you. Paragraph 30 says:	25

27

back to page 5. I'll address this now just because we're on the report, and I don't want to have to return to the report but, if we scroll up to the top, we have the allegation relating to Mr Staunton. It's allegation 27 "During a meeting on 25 January 2023, Henry Staunton, former Chair, referred to women as 'pains in the arses' and at the same meeting, said of one candidate for the RemCo Chair position, words to the effect of 'she doesn't look coloured, where does she come from?' and in view of her age referred to the same candidate as a 'girl' (when other women were referred to as 'ladies') ..." If we please could turn to page 12 and 13 there's the analysis of that allegation. As I said, this isn't the forum to investigate the particular allegations but I'm looking at the business's response to the findings of this report. Ms Tutin sets out the allegations there at 27. At 28 she savs: "Mr Staunton's remarks were discriminatory on grounds of race and sex, and therefore not in accordance with the Dignity at Work policy. The remarks go well beyond his characterisation of them as potentially 'politically incorrect' statements." She sets out her reasoning below. If we could 26 "I was also concerned by remarks made by Mr Staunton in respect of his outdated view of the Speak Up process and investigations (the latter of which he said, notably, were a 'cancer' in the organisation), and the impact such views can have on workplace culture. It was very troubling that those remarks were made by the (then) Chair of an organisation that is grappling with the most serious of institutional failings. In looking for a new Chair, it should be a key consideration for [the Post Office] and [the Department for Business] to assess whether prospective candidates have good experience of helping to foster a workplace culture in which any concerns relating to [for example] EDI, discrimination or whistleblowing can be raised openly without fear of intimidation or retribution." The current Chair is only an Interim Chair; is there a process ongoing for the recruitment of a new Chair? A. I believe there is a permanent -- or there will be a permanent process being run, yes. Q. In respect of paragraph 30, are those areas within your area of responsibility? Α. Not strictly for the recruitment of the Chair but this is one of the areas that I would expect, obviously, to have some involvement in, given my previous experience,

2

3

25

	1	Q.	Are you aware of that process or anything similar having				
2	2		been undertaken in respect of Mr Railton before he was				
:	3		appointed?				
4	4	Α.	I'm not aware of that taking place, no.				
ł	5	Q.	Thank you. That can come down.				
6	6		At paragraph 164 in your witness statement, you have				
7	7		said that Mr Staunton had asked you to close down the				
8	3		investigation. Can you assist us with your account in				
	~						

- 9 respect of that, please?
- 10 Α. Yes, he had asked me previously, or talked to me
- previously about investigations more generally in the 11
- business. So I was aware that he had a dim view of the 12
- 13 processes, and he did, in a comment to me, also use the
- 14 terminology that it was a "cancer in the organisation".
- 15 Specifically related to the investigation into Jane
- 16 Davies, he asked me to close down the investigation and
- 17 do everything that I possibly could to make sure that
- 18 that was -- that that happened.
- 19 Q. Close down the investigation into Mr Read, into himself, 20 or more broadly?
- 21 A. So, at the original time, there were several
- 22 conversations about this. At the initial conversation,
- 23 the investigation as we knew it only related to Mr Read
- 24 because the -- some of the comments had not been
- 25 particularised until the Employment Tribunal claim was 29
- 1 Α. My view was that that request was self-serving on
- 2 Mr Staunton's behalf.
- Q. Did you hear Mr Staunton's evidence to the Inquiry? 3
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. What is your view now?
- 6 A. My view remains the same.
- 7 Q. Thank you. I'm going to move on to the Group Executive 8 and the Senior Executive Group structure.
- 9 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Just before you do -- I don't want
- 10 details -- but it follows from what you said, I think,
- 11 that Ms Davies brought an Employment Tribunal claim
- 12 against the Post Office, presumably?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Has that been concluded?
- A. No, it hasn't, I think --15
- SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine then, I won't --16
- 17 Α. My understanding is that it is filed but not yet heard, 18 sir.
- 19 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right, that's fine. Let the Employment 20 Tribunal do its work.
- 21 MR BLAKE: In respect of the Group Executive, you have
- 22 identified issues with the Group Executive before it
- 23 became the Senior Executive group. In paragraph 77 of
- 24 your witness statement, you have said that it was
- 25 untypical of senior leadership groups that you've been 31

- laid. Then the claims were particularised and I became
- aware that they pertained to Mr Staunton, yes. So, at
- that time, he was asking me to close down the
- 4 investigation into Nick Read.
- 5 Q. From your understanding and from what you heard and saw,
- 6 were you of the belief that he understood that the
- 7 investigation was also into his own conduct?
- 8 So, certainly after November, when the Employment Α. 9 Tribunal claim was particularised, then yes, I was of 10 that belief.
- Q. Did you have any specific conversation about the 11
- 12 investigation into him with Mr Staunton?
- 13 Α. So he didn't refer -- Mr Staunton didn't refer to his 14 own investigation or the elements that extended to him,
- 15 specifically, but he did persist in asking me to close
- 16 down the investigation and it was my belief at the time
- 17 that the motivation to do that was not as I had been
- 18 told by Mr Staunton.
- 19 Q. What do you mean by that?
- 20 A. So he -- sorry, Mr Staunton said that it was in respect 21 of his concern about Nick Read's wellbeing, and I didn't 22 believe that to be true.
- 23 Q. What opinion did you have?
- 24 Sorry, could you repeat the question? Α.
  - Q. What was your view?
    - 30
- 1 part of; can you expand on that for us, please? 2 A. I would say specifically the lack of experience and 3 particularly in the context that the Post Office had at 4 that time. So training, experience and, whilst --5 I think I say this in my statement -- whilst there was 6 not a shortage of commitment, it was a matter of 7 capability. 8 Q. Where in particular were the problems? A. I would say particularly in the retail-facing functions. 9 10 But it wasn't confined to that. It wasn't confined to 11 just one individual; it was a general view that I held. Q. Is that the function that interact with subpostmasters? 12 13 Α. Yes, it is. 14 Q. What would you say is the cause of that problem? 15 I think it's largely down to selection of the individual Α. 16 for the role against the requirements of the role. So 17 there probably was a shortfall in terms of experience or 18 capability of the individuals in the job, and then, as 19 a business, we probably didn't react quickly enough to 20 some of the areas of underperformance that had started 21 to appear and manifest, particularly given the 22 circumstances that happened earlier this year. 23 Q. Who in particular was failing in that regard?
- 24 Α. So it would be the direct leaders of the people in
- 25 question, the Executive Team. Ultimately, of course, 32

- 1 the Chief Executive has accountability for performance
- 2 in the organisation. I would say, though, that for the
- 3 year before I joined -- I know I have repeated this
- several times -- but the lack of having strong People 4
- 5 representation in that group is undoubtedly going to
- 6 have consequences on the performance in the
- 7 organisation.
- 8 Q. I know you've said that it isn't just individuals but
- 9 I think we're getting the impression that there is
- 10 certainly at least an individual who, in your view, was
- 11 underperforming; who was that individual?
- It was the Chief Retail Officer. 12 **A**.
- 13 Q. Who was that?
- 14 A. It was Martin Roberts.
- Q. Thank you. You've also given the example of being asked 15
- 16 to give an opinion on a matter which was not within your 17 area of expertise; are there other examples of failings
- 18 in that regard?
- 19 Α. For me individually, no. I recall one example, I think,
- 20 of abstaining from a decision because I felt that
- 21 I wasn't qualified to do so but it's my belief that, at
- 22 that time, there was a reluctance for people to not say
- 23 when they didn't understand, and feel obliged to make
- 24 a contribution to things that they maybe weren't
- 25 necessarily gualified or had the experience to 33
- 1 instigated by you, the change of structure, to the
- 2 Senior Executive Group?
- 3 A. So I think, before I joined, Nick and Owen Woodley, who 4 was the Deputy Chief Executive, had been considering
- 5 whether or not the structure was right anyway, but
- 6 I would say, on joining, and having conversations with
- 7 Owen, and probably having a fresher perspective, would 8 have instigated the action that we then took, yes.
- 9 Q. What were the principal structural changes to the group?
- **A.** We narrowed down the group, which may seem odd, given 10
- 11 that the business was under pressure at the time, and it 12 was quite complicated, but to speed up the
- 13 decision-making processes, it felt important to confine
- 14 that group, the most senior in the organisation, to the
- 15 people that had the relevant skills and experience to do 16 those jobs.
- 17 So we thinned the group quite substantially and we
- 18 were also at that time -- it wasn't the only reason but
- 19 we were also, at that time, concerned about the
- 20 bandwidth of the Chief Executive, of Nick, in terms of
- 21 the number of direct reports that he had but also the
- 22 size of the job that he had to hand as well and, as
- 23 I said, it wasn't the only consideration, but it was one 24 of the considerations.
- 25 Q. Looking at the problems that you identified with the 35

contribute to. 1

- 2 Q. At paragraph 79 of your statement, you said that members
- 3 of the wider management population felt they couldn't
- 4 trust the Group Executive; can you expand on that for
- 5 us, please?

- 6 A. Yes, I think intuitively -- and this is not factually
  - based, but intuitively when I started, I detected that
- 8 that was the case, and I ran a couple of sort of
- 9 voluntary forum groups, not all of the representatives
- 10 from the senior leadership population came, but they
- 11 were quite well represented, and I did several of them
- 12 over my first few months, and members of that team told
- 13 me that at the time, and members of my own team had also
- 14 said the same thing. So there was consistency in what 15 I was being told.
- 16 Q. Were there particular individuals who they felt they 17 couldn't trust?
- 18 A. So there weren't -- they didn't cite particular
- 19 individuals. It was the -- it was kind of commonly
- 20 referred to as the GE, as it was at that time, the
- 21 General Executive Team.
- 22 Q. I think you've said that was quite a big team at that 23 time --
- 24 **A**. Yes
- 25 Q. -- is that right? You changed the structure. Was it 34
- 1 original group, if we take the lack of deference to 2 Subject Matter Experts as one of the complaints, has 3 that been overcome by these structural changes? 4 A. Yes, it has, yes ... I think, as always, the dynamics 5 and the behaviour of a group running a business has to 6 be constantly under review, and we have to have the 7 right checks and balances in place to make sure that 8 that is the case, but I feel confident that it's far 9 better than it was when I joined, yes. 10 Q. Taking the other issue, the lack of trust, has that 11 issue been resolved? 12 Α. Trust is a very difficult thing to measure, of course. 13 Yes, I think to a great extent it has, and from the 14 conversations that I've since had with the senior 15 leadership population, I think they feel more confident 16 that the behaviours of that group, the Executive Group, 17 are in keeping with what they would expect and I think 18 that has given people confidence that that change has 19 definitely been made, yes. 20 Q. Can we please turn to POL00446673. This is a "Strategic 21 Executive Group Report" of 1 May this year. It has you
  - 22 down there as the sponsor of the report. Can you assist
- 23 us with the background of this report, please?
- 24 Α. Yes, this the full report pre-the Board report of the
- 25 colleague engagement survey that was taken earlier this 36

1		year.	1
2	Q.	Are you aware of issues as to whether sufficient	2
3		information was passed to the Board based on this	3
4		report?	4
5	Α.	So I feel very confident that this report, and the	5
6		report that went to the Board, is what would consider to	6
7		be best practice, and that's what I've been told since.	7
8		So I'm confident in the quality and in the	8
9		comprehensiveness of the information that was given to	9
10		the Executive and to the Board.	10
11	Q.	Did the report accurately reflect the results of the	11
12		surveys, for example?	12
13	Α.	Yes, yes it does.	13
14	Q.	If we could turn over the page, please, we have the	14
15		headline results there. I am just going to read couple	15
16		of them. Paragraph 3 says:	16
17		"The overall outcome report was shared with the	17
18		Strategic Executive Group on 23 April 2024. It has also	18
19		been shared with the 'Create New Confidence' Leadership	19
20		Team group."	20
21		Can you just assist us with who the Create New	21
22		Confidence Leadership Team group are or what their	22
23		purpose is?	23
24	Α.	This is at the start of the year, after changing the	24
25		Strategic Executive Group, we wanted to give the Senior	25
		37	
1		to get change executed in the business or to make things	1
2		happen and the political behaviour, my belief is that	2
3		would refer back to the references to the general	3
4		Executive Group in terms of the political behaviour	4
5		there, maybe.	5
6		But I think it's really centred around how difficult	6
7		it is, the processes that the team have to go through to	7
8		get things done.	8
9	Q.	It seems as though the solution might be changes to the	9
10		job and organisational design; is that something that	10
11		has taken place?	11
12	Α.	It's something that's in the process of taking place,	12
13		yes.	13
14	Q.	How do you foresee that happening?	14
15	Α.	So I think there needs to be more clear lines of	15
16		accountability and demarcation between responsibilities,	16
17		so people are very clear on the elements of their role,	17
18		which are within the confines of what they're	18
19		responsible for, and that there's clarity, but also that	19
20		we have roles that are duplicative in the business as	20
21		well, and that causes confusion and also gets in the way	21
22		of getting things done.	22
23		So I think there's a need to organise work better,	23
24		simply put, and to have the right people in the right	24
25		jobs.	25
		39	

1		Leadership Team some key focus areas to work on and this
2		was one of the focus groups, so they were the group that
3		were responsible for giving us ideas and communicating
4		the survey but giving ideas in terms of further
5		improvements, yes. So they were a cohort group within
6		the existing management population.
7	Q.	If we scroll down, please, I'd like to look at 4(d). It
8	-	says:
9		"The level of strain felt by senior colleagues at
10		Post Office is substantially different to the level of
11		strain felt by more junior colleagues and this is
12		impacting their wellbeing. The predominant descriptions
13		of the culture for junior colleagues are 'friendly' and
14		'supportive', whilst for senior colleagues they are
15		'bureaucratic' and 'political'. The barriers which have
16		the highest impact on strain have decreased for junior
17		colleagues, whilst increasing for senior colleagues.
18		Proximity of senior colleagues to governance processes
19		is likely a cause of strain and job and [organisational]
20		design should be reviewed for senior colleagues."
21		Can you summarise for us what the issue here is?
22	Α.	There's quite a lot to summarise here, I am just trying
23	7.1	to think of how can I best say this as succinctly as
_• 24		possible. So I think the comments on bureaucracy apply
25		to the levels of process that those people have to apply
		38
1	Q.	Who's taking that forward?
1 2	Q. A.	Who's taking that forward? That's my responsibility to take forward.
		5
2	Α.	That's my responsibility to take forward.
2 3	A. Q.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation?
2 3 4	A. Q.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean,
2 3 4 5	A. Q.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business,
2 3 4 5 6	A. Q.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes.
2 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f) says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f) says: "Poor internal communications are driving a lack of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f) says: "Poor internal communications are driving a lack of colleague confidence in both Post Office and senior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f) says: "Poor internal communications are driving a lack of colleague confidence in both Post Office and senior leadership. 43% of colleagues suggest that the one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f) says: "Poor internal communications are driving a lack of colleague confidence in both Post Office and senior leadership. 43% of colleagues suggest that the one thing they would do to make Post Office a better place
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f) says: "Poor internal communications are driving a lack of colleague confidence in both Post Office and senior leadership. 43% of colleagues suggest that the one thing they would do to make Post Office a better place to work is to either 'improve internal communication' or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f) says: "Poor internal communications are driving a lack of colleague confidence in both Post Office and senior leadership. 43% of colleagues suggest that the one thing they would do to make Post Office a better place to work is to either 'improve internal communication' or 'be more honest and transparent'. In most businesses,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f) says: "Poor internal communications are driving a lack of colleague confidence in both Post Office and senior leadership. 43% of colleagues suggest that the one thing they would do to make Post Office a better place to work is to either 'improve internal communication' or 'be more honest and transparent'. In most businesses, suggestions to make the business a better place to work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f) says: "Poor internal communications are driving a lack of colleague confidence in both Post Office and senior leadership. 43% of colleagues suggest that the one thing they would do to make Post Office a better place to work is to either 'improve internal communication' or 'be more honest and transparent'. In most businesses, suggestions to make the business a better place to work tend to centre on improving pay and benefits or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f) says: "Poor internal communications are driving a lack of colleague confidence in both Post Office and senior leadership. 43% of colleagues suggest that the one thing they would do to make Post Office a better place to work is to either 'improve internal communication' or 'be more honest and transparent'. In most businesses, suggestions to make the business a better place to work tend to centre on improving pay and benefits or improving progression opportunities, so the overwhelming
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 22	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f) says: "Poor internal communications are driving a lack of colleague confidence in both Post Office and senior leadership. 43% of colleagues suggest that the one thing they would do to make Post Office a better place to work is to either 'improve internal communication' or 'be more honest and transparent'. In most businesses, suggestions to make the business a better place to work tend to centre on improving pay and benefits or improving progression opportunities, so the overwhelming feedback on communications at Post Office suggests this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 21 22	A. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f) says: "Poor internal communications are driving a lack of colleague confidence in both Post Office and senior leadership. 43% of colleagues suggest that the one thing they would do to make Post Office a better place to work is to either 'improve internal communication' or 'be more honest and transparent'. In most businesses, suggestions to make the business a better place to work tend to centre on improving pay and benefits or improving progression opportunities, so the overwhelming feedback on communications at Post Office suggests this is a real area of opportunity."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 10 17 10 17 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	А. Q. A.	That's my responsibility to take forward. Do you have a timeline for implementation? We we're doing the work at the moment to I mean, constantly evaluating the structure of the business, like, I guess, in any other business, but we're sort of specifically looking at that over the next we've started and we're looking at that over the next few months, yes. Can we please turn over the page and look at (f). (f) says: "Poor internal communications are driving a lack of colleague confidence in both Post Office and senior leadership. 43% of colleagues suggest that the one thing they would do to make Post Office a better place to work is to either 'improve internal communication' or 'be more honest and transparent'. In most businesses, suggestions to make the business a better place to work tend to centre on improving pay and benefits or improving progression opportunities, so the overwhelming feedback on communications at Post Office suggests this is a real area of opportunity." Can you assist us with that?

1		are as a leadership team, and is giving us very clear	1	~	le that compating that's formal, that's going to be non
1		are as a leadership team, and is giving us very clear	1	Q.	Is that something that's formal, that's going to happen
2		signposting, and emphasising the need to communicate,	2		every so often?
3		I think with colleagues and with postmasters. So	3	А.	There's a plan to make it happen regularly and often,
4	~	I think it's very important that we take heed of that.	4	~	and to do more in that respect as well.
5	Q.	Are you aware of work that's ongoing in that respect?	5	Q.	Does that have a particular name, the group that met
6	Α.	Yes, work has definitely started in that respect.	6		with the subpostmasters?
7	Q.	Can you point to any concrete steps that you think will	7	Α.	We haven't I don't think from memory sorry
8		change that concern?	8		there may be, I'm not familiar with it having a name but
9	Α.	We have regular, every Wednesday, we have a regular	9		I think there's a strong intent and our Director of
10		communication that Nick, in the past, has led, and now	10		Corporate Affairs and Communications is taking
11		Neil Brocklehurst has taken over from, where we do	11		responsibility for making that happen.
12		a weekly communication on business performance, changes	12	Q.	Thank you. That can come down.
13		that we're making, there might be issues on some	13		At paragraph 99 of your witness statement, you have
14		elements of the People Plan that we want to communicate,	14		said that there's a perception that the organisation is
15		or colleague wellbeing, for example, and they're	15		not as open as it could be; is that a perception or is
16		attended physically with the people that are in the	16		that reality?
17		office and then there's a broadcast.	17	Α.	There is definitely work to do, so I think there is
18		Then every four weeks we do a fuller, more	18		a sense of reality but I also think that yeah, sorry,
19		comprehensive meeting, again led by the Executive Team,	19		I think it is a reality, yes. I think we could be more
20		and, at that point, people are able to ask questions of	20		open.
21		the Executive Team or each other, frankly, and most	21	Q.	To take an example, Calum Greenhow of the NFSP has given
22		recently and this has been a really positive step	22		evidence to the Inquiry about a meeting on 29 May this
23		forward we've had postmasters as well attending those	23		year. I think you were present at that meeting; is that
24		meetings and speaking to the Post Office team and the	24		right?
25		Post Office colleagues about their life as a postmaster.	25	Α.	l've been present at a couple of meetings. If they're
		41			42
4		the month of the third second of the second	4		non-marke to the together from whether when the second beauti
1		the meetings that I think you're referring to, yes,	1		regards to that system, from what you've seen and heard
2		I have been present definitely with him at a meeting,	2		within the business?
3	~	yes.	3	А.	I think, because of what I've seen and heard, and
4	Q.	He has suggested that information was given to him about	4		listening to the view from postmasters and other people,
5		the new NBIT system but that didn't mention a number of	5		I think there is definitely opportunity to improve the
6		concerns that we've heard about at this Inquiry, about	6	_	openness and transparency of the progress, yes.
7		the quality of the NBIT build and about cost. Do you	7	Q.	I'd like to turn to an anonymous letter. Can we please
8		think those meetings with the NFSP have been as candid	8		bring up on screen POL00448411. This is a letter that
9		as they should have been?	9		you will have seen, it's from a group of people who have
10	Α.	Apologies, I don't recall that specific conversation	10		called themselves Post Office whistleblowers. It was
11		happening, I'm afraid, at that meeting at all. I think	11		sent to a number of people, including the Chair, Members
12		I can talk confidently about the intent going into those	12		of Parliament and the Inquiry.
13		meetings, and I know Nick had asked the whole of the	13		In the third paragraph it says:
14		Executive to try where we possibly could to sort of show	14		"Since March [the Post Office] has conducted 2
15		representation, and generally to meet and listen to the	15		opinion surveys, one directed at postmasters, the other
16		views of the Voice of the Postmaster, and the NFSP, and	16		at [Post Office] employees. Both results are shocking
17		the CWU. So we all attended for that reason.	17		and serve to demonstrate that the culture within [the
18		So I think the intent going in there definitely	18		Post Office] is significantly worsening (despite
19		would have been to have open dialogue and to take	19		[Mr] Read confirming in a Parliamentary committee
20		constructive challenge from those groups of people about	20		meeting in January that 'improvements in culture were
21		how we could better run the business. But I can't	21		being made'). This is not true. We are deeply
22		recall the specifics of that meeting, I'm sorry.	22		frustrated that despite several requests to see the <u>full</u>
23	Q.	I appreciate that NBIT is not your area of	23		results, we are being denied access."
24		responsibility but, looking at NBIT; do you think that	24		Is this something that fell within your area of
25		there is sufficient transparency about the future in	25		responsible?
		43			44

(11) Pages 41 - 44

1	Α.	So the postmaster survey, not, but the colleague survey,	1
2		yes, that's within my area of responsibility.	2
3	Q.	Are you aware of this specific allegation?	3
4	Α.	I wasn't aware until I saw this in preparation for the	4
5		Inquiry very recently. I didn't know that that was the	5
6		allegation.	6
7	Q.	Can you speak to it at all in terms of whether you're	7
8		aware of any requests to see the full results and not	8
9		being granted?	9
10	Α.	Yes, I attended the Board meeting to present the results	10
11		on the colleague survey and, at the same time, the team	11
12 13		that were responsible for the postmaster survey also	12 13
13		attended at the same meeting. So I happened to stay for the whole session, which was very comprehensive. We	13
14		covered the detail of the postmaster survey this is	14
16		not the YouGov survey, sorry, this is the internal	15
17		survey and the colleague survey, in detail with the	10
18		Board.	18
19		We'd also covered both of those same things at our	19
20		Executive Group meeting beforehand and we have fully	20
21		communicated the results, certainly of the colleague	21
22		survey I can speak with conviction to that element	22
23		through the business at every level, and we've been very	23
24		comprehensive, so we haven't sanitised any of those	24
25		results. We've provided full and comprehensive detail	25
		45	
		How would you respond to that allegation?	
1	•		1
2	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on	2
2 3	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years	2 3
2 3 4	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that	2 3 4
2 3 4 5	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the week before I got approached by MBS Executive. I had no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the week before I got approached by MBS Executive. I had no idea there were any vacancies in the Post Office	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the week before I got approached by MBS Executive. I had no idea there were any vacancies in the Post Office leadership team but, by mutual contact from Tesco	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the week before I got approached by MBS Executive. I had no idea there were any vacancies in the Post Office leadership team but, by mutual contact from Tesco suggested to me that I wasn't working at the time and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the week before I got approached by MBS Executive. I had no idea there were any vacancies in the Post Office leadership team but, by mutual contact from Tesco suggested to me that I wasn't working at the time and that I would be very helpful to Post Office in some way,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the week before I got approached by MBS Executive. I had no idea there were any vacancies in the Post Office leadership team but, by mutual contact from Tesco suggested to me that I wasn't working at the time and that I would be very helpful to Post Office in some way, shape or form, and that I should contact Nick, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the week before I got approached by MBS Executive. I had no idea there were any vacancies in the Post Office leadership team but, by mutual contact from Tesco suggested to me that I wasn't working at the time and that I would be very helpful to Post Office in some way, shape or form, and that I should contact Nick, and suggested that I contacted him. I wrote him an email,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the week before I got approached by MBS Executive. I had no idea there were any vacancies in the Post Office leadership team but, by mutual contact from Tesco suggested to me that I wasn't working at the time and that I would be very helpful to Post Office in some way, shape or form, and that I should contact Nick, and suggested that I contacted him. I wrote him an email, and I actually said in the email, "I'm not sure whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the week before I got approached by MBS Executive. I had no idea there were any vacancies in the Post Office leadership team but, by mutual contact from Tesco suggested to me that I wasn't working at the time and that I would be very helpful to Post Office in some way, shape or form, and that I should contact Nick, and suggested that I contacted him. I wrote him an email, and I actually said in the email, "I'm not sure whether you'll remember me". I didn't even know that Nick would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the week before I got approached by MBS Executive. I had no idea there were any vacancies in the Post Office leadership team but, by mutual contact from Tesco suggested to me that I wasn't working at the time and that I would be very helpful to Post Office in some way, shape or form, and that I should contact Nick, and suggested that I contacted him. I wrote him an email, and I actually said in the email, "I'm not sure whether you'll remember me". I didn't even know that Nick would remember who I was, 20 years later.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the week before I got approached by MBS Executive. I had no idea there were any vacancies in the Post Office leadership team but, by mutual contact from Tesco suggested to me that I wasn't working at the time and that I would be very helpful to Post Office in some way, shape or form, and that I should contact Nick, and suggested that I contacted him. I wrote him an email, and I actually said in the email, "I'm not sure whether you'll remember me". I didn't even know that Nick would remember who I was, 20 years later. So to read that my appointment was less than cloaked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Α.	I mean, it's totally untrue. As I said, I was on a management development programme with Nick 20 years ago. We genuinely have had no contact since, and that is particularly of relevance because, at the time that I was at McColl's, Nick was at the Nisa group and there could have been an opportunity then, given that I was an executive member of the team at McColl's, for us to have contact and, even at that point, we didn't make contact at all. I had no contact with him. Coincidentally, I had written an email to Nick the week before I got approached by MBS Executive. I had no idea there were any vacancies in the Post Office leadership team but, by mutual contact from Tesco suggested to me that I wasn't working at the time and that I would be very helpful to Post Office in some way, shape or form, and that I should contact Nick, and suggested that I contacted him. I wrote him an email, and I actually said in the email, "I'm not sure whether you'll remember me". I didn't even know that Nick would remember who I was, 20 years later. So to read that my appointment was less than cloaked in integrity is disturbing. So I was approached	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

2		onward share with their teams.
3		We have protected anonymity in the smaller groups
4		from the verbatim comments but, frankly, the verbatim
5		comments roll up into the themes of the survey anyway,
6		so there's no chance of any ambiguity about the results
7		of the survey.
8	Q.	Thank you.
9		The letter then goes on to raise a concern about
10		people being managed by Mr Read. If we scroll down, we
11		can see a complaint about those who are said to have
12		conflicts of interest and we'll get on to Project
13		Phoenix and the Past Roles Project shortly. If we go
14		over the page, please, there's just something that's
15		said about you that I'd like to give you an opportunity
16		to respond on. It's the second paragraph. I think
17		we're going to actually have a version of this that
18		doesn't have the redactions on but it doesn't matter for
19		
		today's purpose. It says:
20		"Who are the new executives coming on board too?
21		Karen McEwan is well known to Read; they worked together
22		and have kept in touch over the years. How she got
23		appointed within weeks of her predecessor departing,
24		tells us this is another cover up and Read is simply
25		surrounding himself with his 'own' type." 46
1		Nick over that time.
2		I also don't know that it would have been
3		problematic if I had been in contact with Nick.
4		I wouldn't I wouldn't have been ashamed about that
5		and it certainly wasn't a cover-up but, genuinely, we
6	_	hadn't been in touch for a 20-year period.
7	Q.	Thank you very much, that clarifies that matter. Can
8		that letter please come down.
9		Moving on to Non-Executive Directors. What is your
10		view, if you have one, on the balance between the number
11		of executives and the number of Non-Executive Directors
12		on the Board?
13	Α.	So, in terms of the number, it feels appropriate and
14	~	it's in keeping with my previous experience.
15	Q.	Thank you. In respect of the Postmaster Non-Executive
16		Directors, do you consider that that works, in
17		principle?
18	Α.	That the role works?
19	Q.	Yes.
20	Α.	I think it's fundamentally important and it definitely
21		works, insofar as both of those individuals give a good,
22		honest, representation of issues mattering most to
23		postmasters. So I do, I think the role is invaluable,
24		and I think, in other organisations, other Boards should
25		work hard to take the same principles. 48
		T <b>U</b>

to every business leader, to then share with their --

onward share with their teams.

(12) Pages 45 - 48

A. It is, yes.

25

ves.

team?"

Post Office?

Q. Are you aware how he manages to balance working as a subpostmaster with also taking on this role at the

A. So every conversation encounter that I've had with him

he's very positive and he's never said that he's not

able to cope with that, and I think he seems really

confident that he would tell me if he wasn't able to balance it and he does seem able to do that, yes.

Q. Is he in some way supported by a team at the Post Office

I think but, also, he would be supported by the Retail

**Q.** Perhaps we could bring up on to screen POL00458458.

I think this was the advertisement or job description

for that role. If we could scroll over, please, and if

we could look at page 5, if you could scroll down,

Can you assist us with that, please? 50

and I'd just like to bring up onto screen, if I may,

A. When I consider the formulation of it and the

everybody in the business.

cultural change."

I think the behaviours are the responsibility of

Framework something that you are responsible for?

communication, yes. In terms of overall accountability

Q. Thank you. If we could bring up page 24 of your witness

statement, please. It's paragraph 71. You say at 71:

"One of the four key behaviours is 'own the

changes in ownership and accountability we need to see.

The other behaviours are 'be curious', 'move it forward'

To a group of lawyers that sounds like a lot of

outcome', which I am confident will help drive the

and 'back each other', all of which are critical to

"Where does this role fit with the rest of the

referred to the Behaviours Framework that was launched

your statement WITN11360100, page 24. Is the Behaviours

Team, and I think he has a good relationship with them,

**A.** I think he wants to retain a degree of independence,

or does he attend on his own?

there's a section there that says:

capable and he's got a really good, both commercial background and a postmaster background. So I'm

1	Q.	Do you have any concerns about any conflicts of interest	1
2		in that respect?	2
3	Α.		3
4	Q.	, ,	4
5		subpostmasters or subpostmaster experiences can be built	5
6		in to the business in some way?	6
7	Α.	Yes, so we have a Postmaster Experience Director, who is	7
8		a recent appointment, and he's a serving postmaster, and	8
9		he's doing really well in bringing to life the issues	9
10		that are live and happening for postmasters and, in	10
11		fact, he's been instrumental in bringing the postmaster	11
12		to the meetings that I was referring to earlier. So	12
13		that's a role that I think we should continue to heavily	13
14		focus on and heavily champion, and I think, as	14
15		an Executive Team, it's very, very important that we	15
16		have a close and trusting relationship with him and that	16
17		he feels he's able to talk to us and tell us about	17
18		issues that he might be experiencing in getting things	18
19		done in the business. I think that's really important.	19
20	Q.	Do you know who instigated that role?	20
21	Α.	Sorry?	21
22	Q.	Do you know who instigated that particular role, the	22
23		Postmaster Experience Director?	23
24	Α.	I'm not entirely sure who did, no.	24
25	Q.	And that's Mr Eldridge?	25
		49	
1	Α.	In terms of whether this is happens in practice or	1
2	Q.	Yes.	2
3	Α.	Yes, so that is as I see it in practice, yes.	3
4	Q.	So who holds the other roles?	4
5	Α.	So the Engagement Director role is held with Tracy	5
6		Marshall and the Group Chief Retail Officer was Martin	6
7		Roberts but, obviously, we've made the changes to that	7
8		function so, temporarily, Pete Marsh is stepping up as	8
9		interim cover for the Chief Retail Officer role.	9
10	Q.	You've previously in your evidence expressed some	10
11		concerns about the previous holder of that role. Do you	11
12		have confidence in the new individual who holds the	12
13		role, or is stepping up to the role?	13
14	Α.	Yes.	14
15	Q.	Thank you. I'm going to move on to another topic but	15
16		that might be a moment to take our morning break?	16
17	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: All right. What time shall we resume?	17
18	MR	BLAKE: If we come back in 15 minutes, so 11.35. Thank	18
19		you.	19
20	(11	.17 am)	20
21		(A short break)	21
22	(11	.36 am)	22
23	MR	BLAKE: Thank you.	23
24		I'm going to move on to cultural issues.	24
25		Paragraph 70 of your witness statement, you have	25

Paragraph 70 of your witness statement, you have

51

25

### words and not much else. Can you assist us with really what that means in practice? Α. Yes, I appreciate that that doesn't read literally. I think "owning the outcome" means for people to take responsible and accountability, which is obviously critically important, given the history of the Post Office, to take their job seriously, to fulfil it to the best of their capability, and to make the decisions and 52

(13) Pages 49 - 52

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

q

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

A. Yes, it is.

hasn't.

than a series of words -- and I appreciate when you see

this in a statement and on a piece of paper, it can look

ensuring that all of these four behaviours are embedded

are interviewing, to seek out these behaviours and to

make sure that the people that we recruit to the Post

Office have the right values and the right behaviours,

and actually, that's arguably as important, if not more important, sometimes, than the technical expertise, if

we have the right people, particularly on curiosity, for

We are building it into progression through the

capable of doing. That's critically important and, even

they're going to be capable but they're not, whether we

have to have more scrutiny as an organisation on that.

So as well as subject matter expertise, we'll be looking

for these behaviours, and identifying those behaviours

and individuals before we promise anyone a bigger job, 54

turning it on. I'm not sure where the switch is there,

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: It was working before the break?

THE WITNESS: It was working perfectly before. It was

MR BLAKE: Perhaps we can take a five-minute break.

all this coming and froing, I think I'll just sit here, if it's only five minutes. I'll conduct an experiment

as to whether you can resist talking to each other.

A. I'll let you know if can't see anything properly but at

Q. If we could scroll down, please, I'd just like to take

the moment I've got the screen back, thank you. Q. Could we please then turn to POL00448653, and that's

what we know as the Project Pineapple email, over the

page, please. Was this something you saw around about

you through a few of the complaints that were set out in

responsibility and what has been taken forward and what

this email just to see whether any of those fall in your

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: We can. Do you actually want to us go in

and out? Everybody else can go out if they want to but

working up until a few seconds ago.

I'm afraid. (Pause)

(Pause)

mid-January of this year?

example. So we're building it into the recruitment

business. So I think in the past I refer to people

maybe ending up in jobs that they weren't totally

if that means letting people down when they think

practices and processes that we have.

So we've got some -- a framework to help people who

like a halfhearted time promise, but we are -- we're very serious about it. So right the way through from

in our recruitment practices, for example.

1		own the consequences of decisions that they take.
2		In terms of "be curious", this is particularly
3		relevant because the Post Office has been accused of,
4		and understandably so, a lack of curiosity, a lack of
5		seeking to find the truth. In any circumstances, even
6		when seeking the truth is difficult, it's very important
7		that everyone in the organisation asks questions, feels
8		comfortable to question people, particularly when
9		they're more senior, actually.
10		"Moving it forward" is the acknowledgement that
11		sometimes good is better than perfect and what I mean by
12		that is there is sometimes I think that sometimes
13		stifles performance, so people feel that everything has
14		to be perfect and everything is going to be scrutinised,
15		and rightly so in some cases, but it does sometimes
16		hinder progress, and we wanted to encourage people to
17		feel that they were giving their best and their best was
18		good enough.
19		And "backing each other" is fairly straightforward
20		but that means to support each other through times of
21		difficulty and challenge.
22	Q.	Issues of culture are quite difficult to monitor. Is
23		there a way in which achieving those behaviours can be
24		monitored?
25	Α.	Yes, we're taking that extremely seriously. So rather
		53
1		a bigger pay package and more responsibility, as these
2		are the individuals leading an organisation in a hugely
3		and very critical time of change. So very important.
4	Q.	That can come down. Thank you.
5		In terms of culture towards subpostmasters, we've
6		seen in evidence the letter from Mr Read to the Lord
7		Chancellor in January 2024, regarding the potential
8		exoneration of subpostmasters. Is that a letter that
9		has been discussed with you at all?
10	Α.	ls it possible to remind me, so I can see
11	Q.	I don't have the number to hand but it's a letter in
12		which Mr Read set out the number of appeals that the
13		Post Office was likely not to contest as against the
14		higher number of those that would be contested. If it's
15		not something that you're aware of
16	Α.	It's not something that I remember at all, sorry.
17		
17	Q.	that's absolutely line. Can we in that case, please,
18	Q.	that's absolutely fine. Can we in that case, please, turn to POL00448653.
18		turn to POL00448653.
18 19	Q. A.	turn to POL00448653. Apologies, I've got a completely blank screen. Is that
18 19 20		turn to POL00448653. Apologies, I've got a completely blank screen. Is that normal? There's nothing happening on my screen, it's
18 19	Α.	turn to POL00448653. Apologies, I've got a completely blank screen. Is that normal? There's nothing happening on my screen, it's completely black.
18 19 20 21 22		turn to POL00448653. Apologies, I've got a completely blank screen. Is that normal? There's nothing happening on my screen, it's completely black. Has it changed now or is it still black?
18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	turn to POL00448653. Apologies, I've got a completely blank screen. Is that normal? There's nothing happening on my screen, it's completely black.

somebody has a look at it. It might just be a matter of

55

25

25 So if we start with the first paragraph, there are 56

(14) Pages 53 - 56

1		the concerns expressed regarding Mr Taylor and a feeling	1
2		by management, and even members of the Board, that still	2
3		persisted that those postmasters who have not come	3
4		forward to be exonerated were guilty as charged.	4
5		That's a similar complaint to the complaint about	5
6		the letter that I was asking you about that you hadn't	6
7		seen.	7
8	A.	Yes.	8
9	Q.	In respect of that particular allegation, not just	9
10		against Mr Taylor, but a broader allegation that	10
11		subpostmasters are seen as guilty as charged who haven't	11
12		come forward to be exonerated, are there any steps that	12
13 14	•	you personally have taken in that regard?	13 14
14	Α.	I mean, obviously, as a member of the Executive Team, I take that very seriously and it definitely isn't	14
16		a feeling or it's just definitely not something that	15
17		I'm familiar with at my time in the Post Office. I've	10
18		never heard never heard that and I don't believe	18
19		to the best of my knowledge. I don't believe that	10
20		anybody that I work with in the organisation feels that	20
20		that's true, that that's the case at all.	20
21	Q.	If we look at the second paragraph, there's a complaint	21
23	ω.	there about Mr Roberts and certain members of his team.	22
23		I think you've mentioned Mr Roberts already. Is that	23
25		a matter that you have personally taken forward?	25
20		57	20
1	0	Is it something that you have taken any actions in	1
2	<b>.</b>	respect of?	2
3	Α.	I think the action that I am and have taken is more	3
4		all-encompassing action to improve the culture in the	
			4
5			4 5
5 6		business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the	
		business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the	5
6		business more generally and to ensure that the Executive	5 6
6 7	Q.	business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken	5 6 7
6 7 8	Q.	business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no.	5 6 7 8
6 7 8 9	Q.	business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no. If we scroll over the page, please, there's another	5 6 7 8 9
6 7 8 9 10	Q.	business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no. If we scroll over the page, please, there's another complaint there regarding Postmaster Non-Executive	5 6 7 8 9 10
6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A.	business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no. If we scroll over the page, please, there's another complaint there regarding Postmaster Non-Executive Director membership on all committees, including RemCo;	5 6 7 8 9 10 11
6 7 9 10 11 12		business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no. If we scroll over the page, please, there's another complaint there regarding Postmaster Non-Executive Director membership on all committees, including RemCo; what's your view of that?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
6 7 9 10 11 12 13		business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no. If we scroll over the page, please, there's another complaint there regarding Postmaster Non-Executive Director membership on all committees, including RemCo; what's your view of that? I think Amanda Burton referenced it in her evidence.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no. If we scroll over the page, please, there's another complaint there regarding Postmaster Non-Executive Director membership on all committees, including RemCo; what's your view of that? I think Amanda Burton referenced it in her evidence. I think it is helpful to have representation of all	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		<ul> <li>business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no.</li> <li>If we scroll over the page, please, there's another complaint there regarding Postmaster Non-Executive Director membership on all committees, including RemCo; what's your view of that?</li> <li>I think Amanda Burton referenced it in her evidence.</li> <li>I think it is helpful to have representation of all Non-Executive Directors at some of the committee</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no. If we scroll over the page, please, there's another complaint there regarding Postmaster Non-Executive Director membership on all committees, including RemCo; what's your view of that? I think Amanda Burton referenced it in her evidence. I think it is helpful to have representation of all Non-Executive Directors at some of the committee meetings, yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no. If we scroll over the page, please, there's another complaint there regarding Postmaster Non-Executive Director membership on all committees, including RemCo; what's your view of that? I think Amanda Burton referenced it in her evidence. I think it is helpful to have representation of all Non-Executive Directors at some of the committee meetings, yes. In terms of RemCo, I think you've said are you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	<ul> <li>business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no.</li> <li>If we scroll over the page, please, there's another complaint there regarding Postmaster Non-Executive</li> <li>Director membership on all committees, including RemCo; what's your view of that?</li> <li>I think Amanda Burton referenced it in her evidence.</li> <li>I think it is helpful to have representation of all</li> <li>Non-Executive Directors at some of the committee meetings, yes.</li> <li>In terms of RemCo, I think you've said are you a member or do you just attend</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	<ul> <li>business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no.</li> <li>If we scroll over the page, please, there's another complaint there regarding Postmaster Non-Executive Director membership on all committees, including RemCo; what's your view of that?</li> <li>I think Amanda Burton referenced it in her evidence.</li> <li>I think it is helpful to have representation of all Non-Executive Directors at some of the committee meetings, yes.</li> <li>In terms of RemCo, I think you've said are you a member or do you just attend</li> <li>I don't have any voting accountability but I attend the</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	<ul> <li>business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no.</li> <li>If we scroll over the page, please, there's another complaint there regarding Postmaster Non-Executive Director membership on all committees, including RemCo; what's your view of that?</li> <li>I think Amanda Burton referenced it in her evidence.</li> <li>I think it is helpful to have representation of all Non-Executive Directors at some of the committee meetings, yes.</li> <li>In terms of RemCo, I think you've said are you a member or do you just attend</li> <li>I don't have any voting accountability but I attend the meetings. I am responsible and accountable for</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>business more generally and to ensure that the Executive</li> <li>and management and everybody lives to the values and the</li> <li>behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken</li> <li>any action in respect of Ben Foat, no.</li> <li>If we scroll over the page, please, there's another</li> <li>complaint there regarding Postmaster Non-Executive</li> <li>Director membership on all committees, including RemCo;</li> <li>what's your view of that?</li> <li>I think Amanda Burton referenced it in her evidence.</li> <li>I think it is helpful to have representation of all</li> <li>Non-Executive Directors at some of the committee</li> <li>meetings, yes.</li> <li>In terms of RemCo, I think you've said are you</li> <li>a member or do you just attend</li> <li>I don't have any voting accountability but I attend the</li> <li>meetings. I am responsible and accountable for</li> <li>preparing the papers for that meeting.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>business more generally and to ensure that the Executive and management and everybody lives to the values and the behaviours. We haven't I haven't specifically taken any action in respect of Ben Foat, no.</li> <li>If we scroll over the page, please, there's another complaint there regarding Postmaster Non-Executive</li> <li>Director membership on all committees, including RemCo; what's your view of that?</li> <li>I think Amanda Burton referenced it in her evidence.</li> <li>I think it is helpful to have representation of all</li> <li>Non-Executive Directors at some of the committee meetings, yes.</li> <li>In terms of RemCo, I think you've said are you a member or do you just attend</li> <li>I don't have any voting accountability but I attend the meetings. I am responsible and accountable for preparing the papers for that meeting.</li> <li>In your view, would Postmaster Non-Executive Director</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

1	Α.	So the subsequent investigation into this complaint was	
---	----	---	--

- handled by a member of my team, yes.
- **Q.** Is Mr Roberts still in the organisation?
- A. No, he isn't.
- **Q.** Thank you. Is that a result of action that has been
- 6 taken?
- 7 **A.** No, it isn't, it's ...
- Q. The next paragraph is a complaint about the power
   wielded by Mr Foat, General Counsel. Is that something
- 10 that you have personally been involved in?
- **A.** This particular part of the statement wasn't totally new
- 2 to me because Henry Staunton had mentioned this
- 3 previously when I was having a conversation with him
- about the investigatory processes that were in place at
- the time. So I think that sentiment was not as much as
- a surprise as some of the other elements of the letter.
- 17 Q. Is it something that you have looked into and is it18 something you feel action needs to be taken or has been
- 19 taken?
- 20 A. So I -- yes, we are and have looked into it. Every
- element of this was subject to a very thorough
- investigation, so we've broken this down and have
  - investigated on all of the matters. As I said, this
- 4 view that was held at the time about Ben Foat was
- 25 something that I was definitely familiar with, though --58

1		we're now looking at the fallout from the sharing of
2		that Project Pineapple email. If we scroll down to the
3		bottom of the page, Mr Foat has emailed Nick Read and he
4		says:
5		"The Project Pineapple email contained very serious
6		allegations of which I have not been aware. Given the
7		circumstances, I would be conflicted."
8		Do you know what the context of this email is?
9	Α.	How I read it at the time, and looking at it now, my
10		belief but I don't know this to be the case but
11		I think you'd have to ask Ben Foat, but my belief here
12		is that there are inferences made about his conduct and
13		his treatment of postmasters which, when he read the
14		email, were the first time that he had been made aware
15		that there were there was a perception that there
16		were any allegations against him. So I think the point
17		he's making here is that he didn't know but now that he
18		does know, clearly he wouldn't be we wouldn't be able
19		to carry on with the investigation because he would be
20		in a position of conflict. So I think that's the point
21		that he's making.
22	Q.	Thank you. He says:
23		"Karen and Ben T it would be helpful to have
24		a conversation with you."
25		Did you have a conversation with Mr Foat about the 60

1	Α.	I did but not immediately. So I think it was at the
2		beginning of the following week. I think this happened,
3		from memory, on a Friday, and I was in contact with Ben,
4		I remember, on that day and then, subsequently, Martin
5		Roberts over that weekend. And I spoke to Saf
6		I don't think I I don't recall speaking to Elliot but
7		I spoke to Saf on very early in the beginning of the
8		following week, yes.
9	Q.	Thank you. Could we please turn to POL00460000. This
10		is the investigation report that was carried out by
11		Nicola Marriott into this particular issue. Was this
12		something that you commissioned or asked to be carried
13		out?
14	Α.	Yes, so at the time of the Project Pineapple email, the
15		middle of January, it was clear that, once the dust had
16		settled and we had made sure that the people that were
17		named were as composed as they could be, it was obvious
18		that we needed to take some action, and we decided at
19		the time that Nicola Marriott would be the most suitable
20		person. She's extremely experienced and very thorough
21		and has a very good perspective of the criticality of
22		postmasters in the business as well, which I thought was
23		important.
24		So she would understand, probably better than
25		anybody, the need for a kind of very rigorous approach
		62
1		that there is a toxic culture towards postmasters still
2		prevalent within the Post Office, do you think this
3		investigation was sufficient to have reached that
4		conclusion?
5	Α.	I would say, in reading and analysing that particular
6		line again, that is quite an all-encompassing statement,
7		yes. I think trusting that Nicola will have had the
8		best intention in doing this investigation, I think from
9		the interviews she conducted and the people that she
10		spoke to, I think she's there, from the best of your
11		knowledge, stating what she believes to be right. But
12		I think it would be impossible, with hindsight, from the
13		extent of that investigation, to be able to make that
14		comment with conviction, like I say.
15	Q.	We've seen recently, for example, the investigation into
16		Mr Jacobs and correspondence from an investigator to him
16 17		Mr Jacobs and correspondence from an investigator to him as part of that investigation; did you hear that
17	Α.	as part of that investigation; did you hear that
17 18	A. Q.	as part of that investigation; did you hear that evidence?
17 18 19		as part of that investigation; did you hear that evidence? No, not specifically but I am aware of it.
17 18 19 20		as part of that investigation; did you hear that evidence? No, not specifically but I am aware of it. Do you think that there are still potentially wider
17 18 19 20 21		as part of that investigation; did you hear that evidence? No, not specifically but I am aware of it. Do you think that there are still potentially wider cultural issues that might mean that, in fact, to some
17 18 19 20 21 22		as part of that investigation; did you hear that evidence? No, not specifically but I am aware of it. Do you think that there are still potentially wider cultural issues that might mean that, in fact, to some extent, there is a toxic culture towards postmasters
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	as part of that investigation; did you hear that evidence? No, not specifically but I am aware of it. Do you think that there are still potentially wider cultural issues that might mean that, in fact, to some extent, there is a toxic culture towards postmasters still prevalent in some aspects of the business? Not to the best of my knowledge but I do believe that there is still a lack of capability in the organisation
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	Q.	as part of that investigation; did you hear that evidence? No, not specifically but I am aware of it. Do you think that there are still potentially wider cultural issues that might mean that, in fact, to some extent, there is a toxic culture towards postmasters still prevalent in some aspects of the business? Not to the best of my knowledge but I do believe that

		rioject rilleapple issue?	
2	Α.	Yes, I did have the conversation on the day that the	2
3		email came out, yes.	3
4	Q.	Can you assist us with what was discussed?	4
5	Α.	So he was Ben, sorry was very distressed.	5
6		I think on that day and at that time there was a lot	6
7		of anxiety in the business, and particularly with the	7
8		individuals that had been had been in receipt of the	8
9		email and who were named in the email. Because of the	ç
10		sensitivity, at that time, Owen Woodley and I decided	1
11		that we would take responsibility and take control of	1
12		the situation, effectively, and therefore we were the	1
13		only two members of the Executive that were in contact	1
14		with people and we sort of divided responsibility,	1
15		effectively, to call those people to try and (1) to	1
16		try to settle them down, bearing in mind that we'd got	1
17		to worry about business continuity, and there was	1
18		already I mean, that period in time was our most	1
19		critical period of time, and we were all very concerned	1
20		about people's wellbeing but also about running the	2
21		business.	2
22		So I had the conversation with Ben and he was very	2
23		distressed.	2
24	Q.	Did you speak to the Subpostmaster Non-Executive	2
25		Directors around this time?	2
		61	
1		to the investigation. So she set about doing that work	1
2		in the beginning of February, yes.	2
3	Q.	Can we please turn to the bottom of page 15 and we can	3
4		see the summary of her findings. She says:	2
5		"There is a consistent theme of lack of effective	Ę
6		communication and updates into the Board sufficient for	6
7		Saf and Elliot to fully understand some of the issues	7
8		raised, how they are being handled and in some instances	8
9		why things have taken so long."	ç
10		If we scroll down:	1
11		"Based on this investigation, I do not believe there	1
12		is a toxic culture towards [postmasters] still prevalent	1
13		within Post Office or that there is an intentional	1
14		attempt from current employees to prevent the	1
15		[Postmaster Non-Executive Directors] from having access	1
16		to relevant information. However, I can understand why	1
17		some of the situations outlined by Elliot and Saf could	1
18		be perceived in this way and the recommendations will	1
19		address the need to improve flow of information to the	1
19 20		address the need to improve flow of information to the Board as well as clarify the scope of the [Postmaster	1 2
20		Board as well as clarify the scope of the [Postmaster	2
20 21		Board as well as clarify the scope of the [Postmaster Non-Executive Director] role including what is	2
20 21 22		Board as well as clarify the scope of the [Postmaster Non-Executive Director] role including what is appropriate in terms of engagement outside of the Board	2 2 2

Project Pineapple issue?

63

(16) Pages 61 - 64

- 1 that needs to be addressed, and I believe that there
- 2 is -- there are also still issues with the
- 3 infrastructure and the operating model of the Post
- 4 Office, which I think I talked earlier about
- 5 accountability being in the right places. I don't think
- 6 that's helpful and I do also think that maybe the
- 7 communication isn't as transparent as it could be, not
- 8 for any malicious intent but either because of those
- 9 capability issues or because people are still worried
- 10 about the consequences of saying things that may be
- 11 controversial or admitting that things are not right.
- 12 So I do think that there is a -- still that -- that
- feeling within some members of the Post Office colleaguepopulation, yes.
- 15 Q. Thank you. At paragraphs 153 to 157 of your witness
- statement you have raised an issue and you say it wasn'tminuted as part of that investigation. Can you assist
- 18 us, insofar as you're able to, with what that issue is?
- A. If I could just wait for that to come up. I can't seeit at the moment, sorry.
- 21 **Q.** Ah, would you like to see your witness statement?
- 22 A. Yes, please.
- 23 Q. WITN11360100, paragraph 153, that's page 52. We can't
- 24 look into the truth or otherwise of what was said to
- 25 Parliament but if you're able to assist us with what the 65
- 1 understand the issues -- the differences, sorry.
- 2 I understood what was broadly being looked into but
- 3 I didn't immediately understand the differences, no.
- 4 Q. When did you become aware of the differences between the5 two?
- 6 A. So I had started asking questions about it because it
- 7 obviously related to extremely important matters, and
- 8 I felt that I should be aware of them, and I'd asked
- 9 questions, probably from not long after I joined,
- 10 probably at the end of October, and I established
- 11 a broad understanding. At that time, I wasn't
- 12 accountable for the work, but I got a broad
- 13 understanding, and I did quickly understand the
- 14 differences between those two groups of people, yes.
- 15 Q. I think you've said in your witness statement that Past
  Roles was moved to sit within your domain by December
  2023: is that correct?
- 18 A. I think it was around -- I couldn't remember exactly but
  19 I'm sure it was -- as we came from the Christmas break
  20 into the New Year, kind of the end of December, yes.
- 21 Q. Why was it moved within your domain and where did it22 come from originally?
- A. So originally, Owen Woodley had executive accountabilityfor it and then there was a couple of people that were
- for it and then there was a couple of peopleset up to do the operational work, yeah.

- broad allegation was that you say was not minuted as
   part of that allegation.
- 3 A. Sorry, yes. I'll just remind myself.
- 4 **Q.** 153 to 157.
- 5 A. This relates to one of the two -- I was asked about
- 6 whistleblowing complaints in the business and this
- 7 relates to one of the two whistleblowing complaints that
- 8 I was aware of at the time of writing my statement, yes.
- 9 Q. So it wasn't minuted so as to protect the identity of
- 10 the whistleblower or for some other reason?
- 11 A. Sorry, could you repeat that?
- 12 **Q.** I think your witness statement suggested that there was
- 13 intentionally something not minuted within that
- 14 investigation.
- 15 A. No, that wasn't --
- 16 Q. Perhaps that's --
- 17 A. That wasn't what I was intending, no.
- 18 Q. That's fine. Perhaps we can then mover on to Project
- 19 Phoenix and Past Roles. That can come down, thank you.
- 20 Did you understand the difference between Project
- 21 Phoenix and Past Roles at the beginning?
- A. Do you mean in the beginning of my time at the PostOffice?
- 24 Q. If they were both ongoing at that time, yes?
- 25 **A.** They were both ongoing and, no, I didn't immediately 66
- 1 Q. Why did it move to you?
- 2 A. Naturally, there were investigatory matters which
- 3 related to colleagues that worked in the business so,
- 4 therefore, from an accountability perspective it would
  - make sense for it to sit with me but also, I think I was
- 6 probably, with respect to Owen, I was probably better
- 7 qualified to take the executive responsibility.
- 8 I probably had more experience in that particular area
- 9 than Owen did at the time. So we discussed it, and
- 10 agreed that it would be better to sit in my area.
- 11 Q. How about Project Phoenix?
- A. No, so Project Phoenix was with John Bartlett's team,
  the Assurance & Complex Investigations team.
- 14 Q. Do you think that the division between the two isappropriate?
- 16 **A.** Do you mean the division in Project Phoenix between
- 17 myself and that unit or do you mean the division of Past
- 18 Roles and Phoenix, sorry?
- 19 **Q.** Both.

- 20 A. Both. So yes, in terms of the division of the two
- 21 subject matters because they are distinctly different.
- 22 Ultimately, I think it's important for me to be
- 23 connected and brought in on some of the matters relating
- to Project Phoenix because, after all, they relate to
- 25 Post Office colleagues who have a contract with the 68

72

1	business.	1		media); (ii) Undermining the integrity of the work being
	Are you sufficiently brought in?	2		performed (for example, giving rise to conflict or the
3 <b>A</b> .		3		perception of conflict); (iii) Undermining postmaster of
4 Q.	5 5 ,	4		the public confidence in the work being performed by
5	looking at the Past Roles Project, we'll then move on to	5		[the Post Office], or the specific team."
6	Phoenix. These are the terms of reference that the	6		Do you consider those risks to be an accurate
7	Inquiry has seen before. I'm not going to spend a great	7		reflection of the risks, and in that order?
8	deal of time on it but the first sentence there refers	8	Α.	In that order, no, I obviously can't speak to this
9	to the Past Roles Project being established after the	9		confidently because I wasn't here at the time. I think
10	Inquiry's compensation hearing in December 2022. Are	10		all of them are considerations. The biggest risk of
11	you aware of why that was the particular trigger for	11		changes in this particular unit, where most of these
12	this work?	12		people were employed, would be the slowing of
13 <b>A</b> .		13		compensation for postmasters. So I think that probably
14	initiate the work, as	14		should have been the number 1 issue here.
15 <b>Q</b> .		15	Q.	Thank you. Thank you. Can we please turn to
16 <b>A</b> .	So the I think from the this is a recollection, so	16		POL00448615. This is a Group Executive report, the
17	I think it's from as a result of the judgments that	17		title is "Past Roles Review" dated 17 January 2024.
18	the team set up to look into this matter.	18		Could I please ask you to turn to page 7. I wonder
19 <b>Q</b> .	Do you know why the Inquiry's own compensation hearing	19		whether you could assist us with this. We've heard
20	might have been a trigger?	20		evidence that it was originally, or certainly Mr Jacobs
21 <b>A</b> .	l don't know, l'm sorry, no.	21		thought, that he was originally going to be sitting on
22 <b>Q</b> .	If we turn over the page, please, we see the risks that	22		this panel. We see there Mark Eldridge's name as
23	are said to emerge, and this is a matter I've dealt with	23		Postmaster NED. Can you see us with that at all?
24	with other witnesses. They're set out there:	24	Α.	Obviously I wasn't there at the time of setting up this
25	" (i) Criticism of employees (say on social 69	25		panel, so I don't I wasn't aware, actually, that that 70
1	was the case. Sorry.	1	Α.	Oh, sorry, right, okay.
2 <b>Q</b> .	If we could please turn to page 11. Mr Eldridge, does	2	Q.	If we take a step back and look at the Past Roles Review
3	he sit on that panel?	3		and the work that's being carried out, do you think that
4 <b>A</b> .	Yes, he does.	4		there has been sufficient focus on the risk it actually
5 <b>Q</b> .	Paragraph 3, this is another passage that I've read to	5		poses to subpostmasters and, for example, those claiming
6	other witnesses, it says there, in terms of key themes	6		compensation and redress, rather than, for example, the
7	for communications:	7		risks to current employees?
8	"In carrying out this work we are acutely aware of	8	Α.	I think in my time here and in all of the conversations
9	the duties we owe to our colleagues, and the views of	9		that I have had, both of those things have been
10	our trade unions. We also recognise that, in the vast	10		a consideration, so it is true to say that that the
11	majority of cases, employees who have performed such	11		concern that people, looking at our progress with this,
12	roles in the past will have carried out their duties	12		might have, subpostmasters and members of the public and
13	according to the instructions given to them by the	13		other people, is relevant and it has been relevant to us
14	business at the time, and in the belief that Horizon was	14		as well as the impact on the colleagues in the business,
15	robust."	15		yes.
16	Do you consider that to be appropriate?	16	Q.	Could we please turn to POL00448864. These are the
17 <b>A</b> .	So I think, in seeing some of the thematic evidence that	17		minutes of a Group Executive meeting from 13 March this
18	I've seen over my time in the Post Office, relating to	18		year. I'd just like to read to you a passage or a few
19	kind of the mistakes that have been made on culture, it	19		paragraphs that relate to the Past Roles Project. They
20	isn't surprising to see the reference to the fact that	20		can be found on page 3, please. If we scroll down, we
21	colleagues were acting under instructions from more	21		can see the heading "People" and "Past Roles". Is this
22	senior people. That isn't surprising.	22		something you spoke to or you
23	It's very difficult to comment. I wasn't obviously	23	Α.	So I sponsored the work. Nic Marriott and Simon
				Deceldin come in to de the presentation
24	here when these terms of reference were	24		Recaldin came in to do the presentation.

71

(18) Pages 69 - 72

1	and just ask you a few questions going along. So "SR"	1	Q.	The third bullet point says:
2	is Mr Recaldin and "NM" is Ms Marriott:	2		"It had been agreed that an independent panel would
3	"[Simon Recaldin and Nicola Marriott] spoke to the	3		be established to validate the approach to the activity
4	paper which set out a recommendation on the approach to	4		and while it had decision making authority it was able
5	be taken in relation to the Past Roles Review and	5		to recommend potential outcomes, as follows:
6	staffing in the Remediation Unit in the light of the	6		"No further action
7	change in operational context and political	7		"Reallocation of workload or activities
8	environment."	8		"Redeployment
9	Do you know what's meant there by the operational	9		"Additional assistance for employee wellbeing
10	context and political environment?	10		"A formal employment process in limited
11 <b>A</b> .	Yes, my understanding, and the conversations that I'd	11		circumstances, [for example] where an individual in
12	had with Nic before she came into present this paper	12		a high risk role unreasonably refuses to accept
13	were that the it was quite difficult at the time,	13		redeployment or cooperate with the business."
14	amongst other things, to determine the workload	14		So the Past Roles Project here is looking at those
15	requirement and, therefore, the workforce planning for	15		who had previously been involved in issues that the
16	the Remediation Unit, because things were changing quite	16		Inquiry is looking at and who are still working for the
17	frequently. At this particular time, I think this was	17		Post Office
18	in the time of the mass exoneration and the change to	18	Α.	That's right.
19	the schemes, as well. So, what she's speaking to here	19	Q.	and these are the options that are being presented or
20	is the changing environment but also the difficulties	20		were presented for, for example, not taking action,
21	faced by that team at the time and the workload.	21		redeploying, et cetera; is that a fair summary of that?
22	So operational, in the sense that there was more	22	Α.	That's exactly right, yes.
23	pressure, more work, and some of the political decisions	23	Q.	Thank you.
24	that had been taken at that time, which changed the work	24		"[Ms Marriott] noted that public perception aside,
25	of the of that unit.	25		no direct conflicts had been found and none of the risks
	73			74
1	outlined within the [terms of reference] of the Past	1		number of people who were claiming redress and the
2	Roles Review had materialised and that ongoing	2		Remediation Unit was under great pressure, work
3	uncertainty was causing upset (with mental health	3		pressure?
4	absence as a result in some cases)."	4		Yes, that's correct.
5	Do you know what's meant there by "none of the risks	5	Q.	The concern in the business, it appears and correct
6	outlined in the terms of reference had materialised"?	6		me if I'm wrong on my reading of this is that the
	I can't remember and I don't know specifically but	7		work that was being undertaken in relation to the Past
8	no, I'm sorry, I can't remember.	•		
~ <b>^</b>	-	8		Roles Project, potentially redeploying people from the
9 <b>Q</b> .	It says:	9		Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard?
10	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were	9 10	Α.	Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had
10 11	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms	9 10 11	A.	Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint
10 11 12	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms of undermining the integrity and independence of	9 10 11 12	A.	Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint exactly what the workload and, therefore, the workforce
10 11 12 13	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms of undermining the integrity and independence of remediation and redress work being done and in those	9 10 11 12 13	A.	Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint exactly what the workload and, therefore, the workforce requirements of the team were. It was quite difficult
10 11 12 13 14	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms of undermining the integrity and independence of remediation and redress work being done and in those cases, redeployment had been recommended.	9 10 11 12 13 14	Α.	Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint exactly what the workload and, therefore, the workforce requirements of the team were. It was quite difficult to pin that down and that's, I believe, one of the other
10 11 12 13 14 15	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms of undermining the integrity and independence of remediation and redress work being done and in those cases, redeployment had been recommended. "Since the review had been undertaken, there had	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Α.	Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint exactly what the workload and, therefore, the workforce requirements of the team were. It was quite difficult to pin that down and that's, I believe, one of the other reasons it's led to it taking longer than it should have
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms of undermining the integrity and independence of remediation and redress work being done and in those cases, redeployment had been recommended. "Since the review had been undertaken, there had been a significant increase in late applications and the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint exactly what the workload and, therefore, the workforce requirements of the team were. It was quite difficult to pin that down and that's, I believe, one of the other reasons it's led to it taking longer than it should have taken.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms of undermining the integrity and independence of remediation and redress work being done and in those cases, redeployment had been recommended. "Since the review had been undertaken, there had been a significant increase in late applications and the [Remediation Unit] work driven by current external	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint exactly what the workload and, therefore, the workforce requirements of the team were. It was quite difficult to pin that down and that's, I believe, one of the other reasons it's led to it taking longer than it should have taken. "[Simon Recaldin and Nicola Marriott] noted the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms of undermining the integrity and independence of remediation and redress work being done and in those cases, redeployment had been recommended. "Since the review had been undertaken, there had been a significant increase in late applications and the [Remediation Unit] work driven by current external scrutiny and the heightened awareness that was	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint exactly what the workload and, therefore, the workforce requirements of the team were. It was quite difficult to pin that down and that's, I believe, one of the other reasons it's led to it taking longer than it should have taken. "[Simon Recaldin and Nicola Marriott] noted the implications arising from a reduction in headcount and
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms of undermining the integrity and independence of remediation and redress work being done and in those cases, redeployment had been recommended. "Since the review had been undertaken, there had been a significant increase in late applications and the [Remediation Unit] work driven by current external scrutiny and the heightened awareness that was generating, organisational design delays had meant	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint exactly what the workload and, therefore, the workforce requirements of the team were. It was quite difficult to pin that down and that's, I believe, one of the other reasons it's led to it taking longer than it should have taken. "[Simon Recaldin and Nicola Marriott] noted the implications arising from a reduction in headcount and the risks posed to redress claim processing times.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms of undermining the integrity and independence of remediation and redress work being done and in those cases, redeployment had been recommended. "Since the review had been undertaken, there had been a significant increase in late applications and the [Remediation Unit] work driven by current external scrutiny and the heightened awareness that was generating, organisational design delays had meant an increase in colleagues who were deemed as 'RED' and	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint exactly what the workload and, therefore, the workforce requirements of the team were. It was quite difficult to pin that down and that's, I believe, one of the other reasons it's led to it taking longer than it should have taken. "[Simon Recaldin and Nicola Marriott] noted the implications arising from a reduction in headcount and the risks posed to redress claim processing times. "The recommendation to the SEG, therefore, was to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms of undermining the integrity and independence of remediation and redress work being done and in those cases, redeployment had been recommended. "Since the review had been undertaken, there had been a significant increase in late applications and the [Remediation Unit] work driven by current external scrutiny and the heightened awareness that was generating, organisational design delays had meant an increase in colleagues who were deemed as 'RED' and there [was] no clarity yet on the Government's role in	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint exactly what the workload and, therefore, the workforce requirements of the team were. It was quite difficult to pin that down and that's, I believe, one of the other reasons it's led to it taking longer than it should have taken. "[Simon Recaldin and Nicola Marriott] noted the implications arising from a reduction in headcount and the risks posed to redress claim processing times. "The recommendation to the SEG, therefore, was to take a 'many to few' approach to redesigning the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms of undermining the integrity and independence of remediation and redress work being done and in those cases, redeployment had been recommended. "Since the review had been undertaken, there had been a significant increase in late applications and the [Remediation Unit] work driven by current external scrutiny and the heightened awareness that was generating, organisational design delays had meant an increase in colleagues who were deemed as 'RED' and there [was] no clarity yet on the Government's role in relation to redress going forward and associated	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint exactly what the workload and, therefore, the workforce requirements of the team were. It was quite difficult to pin that down and that's, I believe, one of the other reasons it's led to it taking longer than it should have taken. "[Simon Recaldin and Nicola Marriott] noted the implications arising from a reduction in headcount and the risks posed to redress claim processing times. "The recommendation to the SEG, therefore, was to take a 'many to few' approach to redesigning the organisational structure within the [Remediation Unit].
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms of undermining the integrity and independence of remediation and redress work being done and in those cases, redeployment had been recommended. "Since the review had been undertaken, there had been a significant increase in late applications and the [Remediation Unit] work driven by current external scrutiny and the heightened awareness that was generating, organisational design delays had meant an increase in colleagues who were deemed as 'RED' and there [was] no clarity yet on the Government's role in relation to redress going forward and associated processes and procedures."	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint exactly what the workload and, therefore, the workforce requirements of the team were. It was quite difficult to pin that down and that's, I believe, one of the other reasons it's led to it taking longer than it should have taken. "[Simon Recaldin and Nicola Marriott] noted the implications arising from a reduction in headcount and the risks posed to redress claim processing times. "The recommendation to the SEG, therefore, was to take a 'many to few' approach to redesigning the organisational structure within the [Remediation Unit]. The approach would see the number of 'RED' employees
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It says: "Colleagues were rated 'RED', however, if they were identified as giving rise to a perceived risk in terms of undermining the integrity and independence of remediation and redress work being done and in those cases, redeployment had been recommended. "Since the review had been undertaken, there had been a significant increase in late applications and the [Remediation Unit] work driven by current external scrutiny and the heightened awareness that was generating, organisational design delays had meant an increase in colleagues who were deemed as 'RED' and there [was] no clarity yet on the Government's role in relation to redress going forward and associated	9 10 11 13 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Remediation Unit, would cause problems in that regard? That was one of the balancing decisions that we had actually, yes, and it was very difficult to pinpoint exactly what the workload and, therefore, the workforce requirements of the team were. It was quite difficult to pin that down and that's, I believe, one of the other reasons it's led to it taking longer than it should have taken. "[Simon Recaldin and Nicola Marriott] noted the implications arising from a reduction in headcount and the risks posed to redress claim processing times. "The recommendation to the SEG, therefore, was to take a 'many to few' approach to redesigning the organisational structure within the [Remediation Unit].

(19) Pages 73 - 76

1		would need to be done over time to help mitigate the	1		for
2		risks to redress claim processing times."	2		
3		Can you assist us with what the suggestion is there,	3		ve
4		please?	4		in t
5	Α.	So I think we were acutely aware that the last thing we	5		pu
6		could do was slow down compensation and, therefore,	6		the
7		changes to the structure in that Unit, taking away	7	Α.	So
8		people that, notwithstanding the perception of their	8		COI
9		involvement in work that is of interest to the Inquiry	9		l d
10		and had led to postmaster prosecution, that they had	10		an
11		experience and knowledge and we already had a gap in	11		cle
12		corporate knowledge that was essential not to slow down	12		of
13		redress, and that was the forefront of our mind.	13		de
14	Q.	So were you balancing out a risk: on the one hand you	14		rea
15		had a risk that those who were previously involved in	15		en
16		issues being examined by the Inquiry would still be	16		do
17		employed within the Remediation Team; but, on the other	17	Q.	lt s
18		side, you had potential delay if they were to be	18		
19		redeployed elsewhere?	19		de
20	Α.	Yes, absolutely.	20		rela
21	Q.	"It was recommended that the creation of a separate	21		
22		independent function should continue to be explored and	22		rec
23		initially in discussion with Government, as the make-up	23		ha
24		of any such function would depend on final decisions on	24		iss
25		the Government's role in relation to redress going 77	25		[M
1		action'."	1		rec
2		So we have a "many to few" approach and we have	2		
2 3		So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through	2 3		rec see
2 3 4		So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities?	2 3 4		see
2 3 4 5	А.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says	2 3 4 5		see
2 3 4 5 6	А.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing,	2 3 4 5 6		see voi on
2 3 4 5 6 7	А.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the	2 3 4 5 6 7		see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Α.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		vol on vol
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		vot on vot
2 4 5 7 8 9	A.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	see vot on vot at 1 So
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A.	vol on vol at 1 So ab:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's a redundancy, effectively. This is a Post Office	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	vot on vot at 1 So ab: vie
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's a redundancy, effectively. This is a Post Office terminology that I wasn't I only became familiar with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	sec voi on voi at t So ab: vie it v
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's a redundancy, effectively. This is a Post Office terminology that I wasn't I only became familiar with very recently but the "many to few" approach is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	A.	voi on voi at t So ab: vie it w I h:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's a redundancy, effectively. This is a Post Office terminology that I wasn't I only became familiar with very recently but the "many to few" approach is the decreasing or diminishing of a business unit and,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	see vot on vot ab: vie it v I h: the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's a redundancy, effectively. This is a Post Office terminology that I wasn't I only became familiar with very recently but the "many to few" approach is the decreasing or diminishing of a business unit and, therefore, the compulsory redundancy that would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	sed vot on vot So ab: vie it w I h: the sho
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's a redundancy, effectively. This is a Post Office terminology that I wasn't I only became familiar with very recently but the "many to few" approach is the decreasing or diminishing of a business unit and, therefore, the compulsory redundancy that would be associated with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		see vot on vot So ab: vie it v I h: the sho to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's a redundancy, effectively. This is a Post Office terminology that I wasn't I only became familiar with very recently but the "many to few" approach is the decreasing or diminishing of a business unit and, therefore, the compulsory redundancy that would be associated with that. That's the terminology for it. So it goes from,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	see vot on vot So ab: vie it v I h: the sho to I Th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's a redundancy, effectively. This is a Post Office terminology that I wasn't I only became familiar with very recently but the "many to few" approach is the decreasing or diminishing of a business unit and, therefore, the compulsory redundancy that would be associated with that. That's the terminology for it. So it goes from, I think, the extreme of doing nothing at all to making	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		sec vot on vot So ab: vie it w I h: the sho to I Th Do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's a redundancy, effectively. This is a Post Office terminology that I wasn't I only became familiar with very recently but the "many to few" approach is the decreasing or diminishing of a business unit and, therefore, the compulsory redundancy that would be associated with that. That's the terminology for it. So it goes from, I think, the extreme of doing nothing at all to making people in that business unit redundant on a compulsory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	see vot on vot So ab: vie it v I h: the she to I Th Do wh
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21		So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's a redundancy, effectively. This is a Post Office terminology that I wasn't I only became familiar with very recently but the "many to few" approach is the decreasing or diminishing of a business unit and, therefore, the compulsory redundancy that would be associated with that. That's the terminology for it. So it goes from, I think, the extreme of doing nothing at all to making people in that business unit redundant on a compulsory basis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		see vol on vol at t So ab: vie it w I h: the she to I Th Do wh So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	A.	So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's a redundancy, effectively. This is a Post Office terminology that I wasn't I only became familiar with very recently but the "many to few" approach is the decreasing or diminishing of a business unit and, therefore, the compulsory redundancy that would be associated with that. That's the terminology for it. So it goes from, I think, the extreme of doing nothing at all to making people in that business unit redundant on a compulsory basis. "[Mr Recaldin and Ms Marriott] left the meeting so that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	see vot on vot at 1 So ab: vie it v I h: the sho to I Th Do wh So the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23		So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's a redundancy, effectively. This is a Post Office terminology that I wasn't I only became familiar with very recently but the "many to few" approach is the decreasing or diminishing of a business unit and, therefore, the compulsory redundancy that would be associated with that. That's the terminology for it. So it goes from, I think, the extreme of doing nothing at all to making people in that business unit redundant on a compulsory basis. "[Mr Recaldin and Ms Marriott] left the meeting so that the SEG could discuss the recommendations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	see vot on vot at t So ab: vie it v I h: the sho to I Th Do wh So the me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22		So we have a "many to few" approach and we have a "no further action" approach; can you talk us through those two different possibilities? So the "no further action" would be exactly as it says there. I mean, we would just we would do nothing, effectively, with any of the people that worked in the Remediation Unit. They would continue in their role and they would stay as it is. Nothing would be any different. The "many to few approach" would be a restructuring, reorganisation approach that it's a redundancy, effectively. This is a Post Office terminology that I wasn't I only became familiar with very recently but the "many to few" approach is the decreasing or diminishing of a business unit and, therefore, the compulsory redundancy that would be associated with that. That's the terminology for it. So it goes from, I think, the extreme of doing nothing at all to making people in that business unit redundant on a compulsory basis. "[Mr Recaldin and Ms Marriott] left the meeting so that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	see vot on vot at 1 So ab: vie it v I h: the sho to I Th Do wh So the

1		forward."
2		We saw the Government's role being mentioned at the
3		very top of the page, as well. Is there, at this point
4		in time, a movement at the Post Office towards trying to
5		put redress in the Government's hands, rather than in
6		the Post Office's hands?
7	Α.	So I'm aware of I mean, I'm not involved in those
8		conversations, so I can't confidently speak to them but
9		I do know that those conversations have been ongoing,
10		and are another factor in us not clearly or haven't
11		clearly been able to determine the resource requirements
12		of the unit. So I think we're working blind to the
13		decisions that might happen outside of our control,
14		really, and assuming a business as usual approach to
15		ensure continuity of compensation, the best that we can
16		do.
17	Q.	It says:
18		" as the make-up of any such function would
19		depend on final decisions on the Government's role in
20		relation to redress going forward.
21		"[Ms Marriott] noted that while she stood behind the
22		recommend, she would wish to emphasise that no conflicts
23		had been found, nor had any risks materialised; the
24		issue was one of perception. On this basis,
25		[Ms Marriott] would personally advocate for 'no further
		78
1		recommendation."
2		Then it has a footnote. If we scroll down, we can
3		see the footnote. It says:
4		"NR, CB and KS voted for Option 3, 'many to few'; OW
5		voted for Option 2, 'no further action'; [you] abstained
6		on the basis Option 3 ['many to few'] had the majority
7		vote."
8		Can you assist us with what your view actually was
9		at that time?
10	Α.	So my view at the time, and the reason for not
11		abstaining, was to delay the process any further. My
12		view at the time was we just needed to do something and
13		it was clear that it had already taken too long. So
14		I had a strong view that something did need to be done,
15		therefore I wasn't firmly of the view that nothing
16		should happen. I could see that clearly something had
17		to happen, yes.
18	Q.	The decision was taken for option 3, "many to few".
19		Does that mean the team was made smaller in size, or
20		what happened?
21	Α.	So this was the recommendation that was then taken to
22		the Board, which was why it was so important at this
23		meeting that we got a decision because I think, by now.

- 23 meeting that we got a decision because I think, by now,
- 24 it was -- correct me if I'm wrong, but I think this was
- 25 the middle of March --

	_	
1	Q.	Yes.
2	Α.	and because the conversation had been circling around
3		for a long time, and because we were all acutely aware
4		of the internal impact and the internal perception, let
5		alone the external perspective of not doing anything, it
6		was becoming critical. And I think this was the meeting
7		pre-the Board meeting and, at the Board meeting, we were
8		going to get the final decision and permission to move
9		ahead and execute the plan that we are now, actually, as
10		I speak, we are now in the process of executing.
11		We're not taking a compulsory redundancy approach,
12		but we have resolved with a mixture of voluntary
13		redundancy approach and then a ring-fence where we'll be
14		removing people from the Remediation Unit so they will
15		be reporting in a separate unit. But we've got a period
16		of time that's really critical so we can hand over
17		and I mentioned earlier that they have subject matter
18		experience which is critical to compensation, and we
19		don't want to lose that experience and knowledge.
20		So there will be a transition period where over
21		three months or so, where that knowledge could be
22	_	transferred properly so the work can still progress.
23	Q.	So is the current position arising from this discussion
24		and the subsequent Board meeting that people are being
25		replaced within the Remediation Unit and, if so, do you 81
		01
1		a very similar time as these discussions. So if we look
2		at these discussions at the Group Executive level of
3		13 March this year, this is emailed correspondence from
4		8 March. Could we turn over the page, please.
5		It relates to a grievance that had been raised in
6		respect of Mr Recaldin around this time. We don't need
7		to go into the detail but we have there, in the
8		paragraph beginning with the word "whilst", it says:
9		"Whilst I do not believe escalation is required to
10		the misconduct policy in relation to Simon, the
11		investigation does raise concerns about the
12		effectiveness of his performance and a number of further
13		actions are now needed to get to the bottom of and
14		correct issues that are likely present within the
15		function including ineffective OD"
16		Can you assist us with what that means?
17	Α.	Sorry, yes, that's "organisation design". So the way
18		that the unit is structured.
19	Q.	" and lack of resourcing plan as well as cultural
20		issues arising from an 'us and them' mindset within the
21		leadership team."
22		Can you assist us with the concerns that are being
23		raised there?
24	Α.	Sorry, can I just take a minute to remind myself of this
25		paragraph?
		83

,	шч	
1		see any risks to the prompt payment of compensation an
2		redress to subpostmasters arising from that?
2	Α.	I'm confident that we cross-functionally have all of the
	А.	-
4		right plans in place. It is critical that there is this
5		handover period and whilst people might expect it to
6		happen very quickly it's critical that it doesn't happen
7		any quicker it takes as long as it needs to take to
8		ensure that knowledge transfer happens and only at that
9		point will those people move away from the unit. So
10		I think if that isn't enacted, then there is a clear
11		risk that there's a knowledge gap.
12		And it has been really difficult to establish the
13		specific resource requirements for that unit because of
14		the change in for example, the change to the schemes
15		and what that might mean and I think that drives the
16		need to be constantly monitoring the resource
17		requirements in that unit. So I think we're working
18		closely as a People Team with Simon Recaldin and his
19		team to ensure that he has as a Subject Matter
20		Expert, that he has exactly what he needs to continue to
20		run the function. But the redress and the speed of
22		compensation is at the forefront of my mind and
23	~	everybody's mind that's dealing with this object.
24	Q.	•
25		a separate issue that appears to have been happening a 82
1	Q.	Absolutely. (Pause)
2	Α.	So there were a couple of issues. So the original
3		complaint that had been initiated was regarding the
4		disproportionate number of people that were incumbent
5		Post Office colleagues, vis à vis the number of
6		contingent workers, so contractor workers, and that's
7		why I'm referring here to the set-up of the business
8		unit and the function. And it was clear that there was
9		a need to have a better resourcing plan and I've spoken
10		to the kind of volatility and the challenges relating to
11		that.
12		But it was really important that we were clear so
		, ,
13		that we could help Simon and team we were clear about a superflux what was peeded in that function to run of the
14		exactly what was needed in that function to run of the
15		contribution scheme process effectively. And, at that
16		time, I offered some support with one of the People
17		Team, who had expertise in both organisation design and
18		resourcing to help Simon and the team to have more
19		effective plans.
	Q.	That was in March of this year. Do you think that the
20		team now, the Remediation Unit, has sufficient
20 21		
		resources, sufficient expertise, sufficient quality
21		resources, sufficient expertise, sufficient quality individuals, to address the issues of compensation and
21 22		
21 22 23	А.	individuals, to address the issues of compensation and

1		hear	1		ineffective OD, lack of resourcing plan, and cultural
2	Q.	Yes.	2		issues, to what extent do you consider those may have
3	Α.	the first part of your statement. Would you mind	3		impacted negatively on the speed of compensation and
4		repeating your question?	4		redress?
5	Q.	Do you think, looking at the issues being raised as at	5	Α.	I don't think we've found any evidence that that has
6		March this year, by the present time, do you think	6		happened at this point in time but I think, in answering
7		sufficient has been done to ensure that that unit has	7		the question as best as I'm able to answer it, if the
В		sufficient people, sufficient quality, sufficient	8		design of the function and the way that the function and
9		expertise in respect of compensation and redress?	9		the people within in it are working together is not as
0	Α.	So I think in terms of the people required, as far as	10		good as it should be and not best practice, then that
1		all of us can tell now, we are in a much stronger place	11		has the potential to be ineffective and, in this case,
2		in terms of resourcing requirements. I think, as you	12		obviously what we're asking this unit to do is make
3		previously asked me about the moving of people in the	13		compensation payments. So I think it has the potential
4		Past Roles population, and that potential knowledge	14		for it to have had an effect but we're not aware that it
5		loss, I mean, as long as we mitigate for that, I think	15		has.
6		that the people operationally that are doing the job are	16	Q.	Are you aware of anybody looking into those matters
7		capable and I think we have enough people. I think it	17		further?
8		is a moving feast because of the changes to schemes and,	18	Α.	Yes. So this is a constant point of discussion and the
9		as long as we can keep on top of those changes, I think	19		operation of this is a constant point of discussion and
0		that that will be helpful.	20		focus, and our recently appointed Group General Couns
1		We are supporting Simon and the team, so there are	21		that's accountable for this area, is very focused on it
2		other members of the leadership team that are also	22		so him and the team are giving this a lot of attention.
3		helping in this function in this unit as well to ensure	23	Q.	Thank you.
4		that we've got the right level of capability there.	24	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Can I just try to understand the scale
5	Q.	Looking at the concerns that are raised here regarding 85	25		what we are talking about. First of all, in terms of 86
2 3 1	Δ	in the Remediation Unit but, in inverted commas, "it's now thought appropriate that they should not be". Yes.	2 3 4	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: All right. Let me name them, so that I' sure I'm on the ball. There's what I'll call the first one, the shortfall scheme. That was the first one in
5		WYN WILLIAMS: How many actual numbers are we talking	4 5		time?
, }	JII	about?	6	Α.	(The witness nodded)
,	٨	The actual number and it's taken quite a long time to	7		WYN WILLIAMS: Then there was a scheme when peop
3	А.	get to this point sorry for my deliberation, but the	8	SIK	convictions started to be quashed by the Court of
, )		actual number is 27 people.	9		Appeal?
, D	CID		10	^	(The witness nodded)
1	JIK	WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay, and that's out of approximately how many?	10		WYN WILLIAMS: We've called it the Overturned
2		Approximately 110, but that's approximate.	12	JIK	Convictions Scheme.
3 ⊿	JIK	WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay, so I've got that. So far as	13		Then there was a scheme to provide further
4 5		the schemes with which the Remediation Unit is	14 15		compensation to members of the GLO Yes.
5 6		concerned, following this Inquiry, we'll know that on	15		
o 7		occasions I've been struggling to keep abreast of the various schemes that have come into existence. The Post	10	JIK	WYN WILLIAMS: Now, there is a scheme to compensat
' 3		Office Remediation Unit, does it deal with I believe	17		those people who have been, I use the word "exonerate by act of Parliament?
э Э		there are now four schemes?	10	٨	
	٨				Yes.
) 1		Yes, I believe there are four.	20 21	SIK	WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it or are there more that I'm
1 ว		WYN WILLIAMS: So it deals with all of them?	21	٨	missing?
2		Yes.	22	А.	There's also a process, a Post Office Process Review
3		WYN WILLIAMS: Right, fine.	23		Scheme. I'm sorry, I'm definitely not the Subject
4	4	Four, but there are more than four schemes. So, to the	24		Matter Expert but there is a scheme about

(22) Pages 85 - 88

	R WYN WILLIAMS: We've got lots of people	1		failings and communication failings, and I don't think,
	Apologies, somebody more qualified than me should answer	2		to the best of my belief, that anybody had intended to
3	that question. Sorry.	3		kick that into the long grass. It's hugely complicated,
	<b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> That's fine. We'll get to the bottom of	4	_	and it's just not straightforward.
5	it. But I'm right, at least, that there are four at	5	Q.	
6	least	6		while they're being investigated, rather than continuing
	Yes.	7		to work within the business?
	<b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> and there may be at least one more.	8	Α.	
		9		Yes
	R WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. All right.	10	А.	or are you saying generally? So my view on
	<b>R BLAKE:</b> Moving on now from Past Roles to Project Phoenix,	11		suspension is that it's a necessary form of action when
12	we've heard a suggestion in evidence, I think, from	12		an investigation is taking place. I think the bar on
13	Mr Staunton, suggesting that Project Phoenix was allowed	13		suspension is now far higher than it was, and obviously
14	to go into the long grass by Mr Foat. What's your view	14		we're bound by sort of ACAS guidelines, which is that
15	on that?	15		the generally, we would use suspension where
16 <b>A</b> .		16		an investigation was going to be a brief period of time.
7	because it might be easier for me to answer. So at the	17		I think in the case of Project Phoenix, the decision was
8	time of Mr Staunton making that accusation, Ben Foat was	18		taken not to suspend for that reason because I don't
19	not responsible for that unit so, therefore, by nature,	19		think I wasn't there at the time but so the
20	wasn't responsible for the investigations. So I think	20		best of my understanding, and I know to be the case, the
21	that was an error made by Mr Staunton. I don't think he	21		decision was taken not to suspend because we didn't deen
22	understood the structure of the business at that time	22		that it would be a brief period of time and a brief
23	but Ben Foat wasn't actually responsible.	23		investigation.
24	In terms of the perception that it had been kicked	24		That being said, with hindsight, I do think it may
25	into the long grass, I think there was just process 89	25		have been better to have made suspensions in this case, 90
1	yes.	1		conducted by the Assurance & Complex Investigations
2 <b>Q</b> .	Are you able to assist us with whether you think	2		team.
3	sufficient progress has now been made in relation to	3		They are at the almost concluding part of that
4	Project Phoenix?	4		investigatory process into the individuals that are in
5 <b>A</b> .	So, yes, I do believe that sufficient progress has been	5		the scope currently.
6	made and, internally, we are confident again, this	6	Q.	Thank you. There's one final document I'd like to ask
7	isn't necessarily right within my remit but I do know	7		you about before moving to questions from Core
8	and I'm associated with the programme and quite close to	8		Participants, and that's POL00448788. This is a letter
9	it, so I'm sure that we're going to be concluding our	9		that was drawn to the Inquiry's attention by Mr Ismail,
0	processes by the end of this year, the end of the	10		although he wasn't aware of the author of this letter.
1	calendar year.	11		This is a letter, if we scroll down, from Graham
2 <b>Q</b> .	-	12		Brander, who it has there listed as the Network
3 <b>A</b> .	I just know that that's how far the investigations have	13		Provision Lead.
4	progressed so I'm clear, I'm kept update about that	14		Are you aware that Mr Brander investigated Jo
15	regularly.	15		Hamilton, Julian Wilson, Lynette Hutchings and others?
16 <b>Q</b> .		16	Α.	No, I wasn't aware. That's the first time that I've
17	things stand as at today?	17		come across this. I wasn't aware, no.
8 <b>A</b> .		18	Q.	Thank you. That particular document can come down?
19	colleagues that are in scope as part of this process.	19		Are you aware of individuals who formerly worked for
20	I'm not sure whether the Inquiry is aware of that, but	20		the Post Office being rehired. Mr Brander, for example,
21	that is factually accurate. And we have conducted full	21		was rehired in 2019; is that something you were aware
22	investigations into the allegations, bearing in mind	22		of?
23	that the colleagues involved here were subject to	23	Α.	Not at all, no.
24	specific allegations of wrongdoing, so there's been	24	Q.	Do you have any concerns that there are those who are
25	a thorough internal investigation, which has been	25		actively working at the Post Office, in roles relating

(23) Pages 89 - 92

92

1		to subpostmasters or relating to investigations, who do
2		bear some responsibility for what has happened in the
3		past?
4	Α.	So I'm not aware of any individuals but I am of the
5		strong belief that, if there had been any allegations of
6		any form of wrongdoing by any individuals then, of
7		course, it would have been completely inappropriate for
8		them to be working at the Post Office.
9	Q.	
10		Office?
11	Α.	
12		are a matter of our investigations for allegations of
13		wrongdoing specifically, no, I'm not aware of any
14	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: So you're aware of three people,
15	0	effectively?
16	Α.	Yes, I am.
17		WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
18		<b>BLAKE:</b> Thank you, sir. Those are all of my questions.
19	IVIT	I know that Mr Stein has some questions. I think that
		·
20		may be it.
21	мп	Questioned by MR STEIN
22	IVIT	STEIN: Ms McEwan, my name is Sam Stein. I represent
23		a large number of subpostmasters and I've got a few
24		questions for you.
25		Could I start, please, with your own statement. Can 93
		83
		93
1		not engaging with the business."
1 2		
		not engaging with the business."
2	А.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this
2 3	A. Q.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct?
2 3 4		not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes.
2 3 4 5	Q.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said?
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked together. We just happened to be on a leadership
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked together. We just happened to be on a leadership development programme together.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked together. We just happened to be on a leadership development programme together. Right. That's helpful. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked together. We just happened to be on a leadership development programme together. Right. That's helpful. Thank you. My question, though, relates to the appropriateness of this discussion at an interview. This is before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	Q. A.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked together. We just happened to be on a leadership development programme together. Right. That's helpful. Thank you. My question, though, relates to the appropriateness of this discussion at an interview. This is before you're employed at the Post Office. You're being given
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked together. We just happened to be on a leadership development programme together. Right. That's helpful. Thank you. My question, though, relates to the appropriateness of this discussion at an interview. This is before you're employed at the Post Office. You're being given information regarding Al, Mr Cameron, which is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked together. We just happened to be on a leadership development programme together. Right. That's helpful. Thank you. My question, though, relates to the appropriateness of this discussion at an interview. This is before you're employed at the Post Office. You're being given information regarding Al, Mr Cameron, which is that there had been complaints about his behaviour,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked together. We just happened to be on a leadership development programme together. Right. That's helpful. Thank you. My question, though, relates to the appropriateness of this discussion at an interview. This is before you're employed at the Post Office. You're being given information regarding Al, Mr Cameron, which is that there had been complaints about his behaviour, unspecified complaints, and that there had been then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked together. We just happened to be on a leadership development programme together. Right. That's helpful. Thank you. My question, though, relates to the appropriateness of this discussion at an interview. This is before you're employed at the Post Office. You're being given information regarding Al, Mr Cameron, which is that there had been complaints about his behaviour, unspecified complaints, and that there had been then a difficulty, the relationship breaking down between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked together. We just happened to be on a leadership development programme together. Right. That's helpful. Thank you. My question, though, relates to the appropriateness of this discussion at an interview. This is before you're employed at the Post Office. You're being given information regarding Al, Mr Cameron, which is that there had been complaints about his behaviour, unspecified complaints, and that there had been then a difficulty, the relationship breaking down between him, Mr Read and Mr Cameron.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked together. We just happened to be on a leadership development programme together. Right. That's helpful. Thank you. My question, though, relates to the appropriateness of this discussion at an interview. This is before you're employed at the Post Office. You're being given information regarding Al, Mr Cameron, which is that there had been complaints about his behaviour, unspecified complaints, and that there had been then a difficulty, the relationship breaking down between him, Mr Read and Mr Cameron. <i>(The witness nodded)</i>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked together. We just happened to be on a leadership development programme together. Right. That's helpful. Thank you. My question, though, relates to the appropriateness of this discussion at an interview. This is before you're employed at the Post Office. You're being given information regarding Al, Mr Cameron, which is that there had been complaints about his behaviour, unspecified complaints, and that there had been then a difficulty, the relationship breaking down between him, Mr Read and Mr Cameron. <i>(The witness nodded)</i> Should those sorts of matters be discussed in interviews
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	not engaging with the business." Help us understand this, many years before this interview, you had worked with Mr Read; is that correct? Yes. I think 20 years ago you've said? Yes, but to clarify, we hadn't worked together. So we came from very different parts of the business and, as you'll appreciate, Tesco is a huge employer, 300,000 people, I think, in the UK. So we had never worked together. We just happened to be on a leadership development programme together. Right. That's helpful. Thank you. My question, though, relates to the appropriateness of this discussion at an interview. This is before you're employed at the Post Office. You're being given information regarding Al, Mr Cameron, which is that there had been complaints about his behaviour, unspecified complaints, and that there had been then a difficulty, the relationship breaking down between him, Mr Read and Mr Cameron. <i>(The witness nodded)</i>

25 asking questions about the set-up of the Executive Team 95

1201111	mq	
1		I take you to your statement which you should have, it's
2		WITN11360100. Within your statement, Ms McEwan, I'm
3		going to be asking you a question directly about
4	_	paragraph 212, if you go to that.
5	Α.	Is it possible to have this on screen
6	Q.	Hopefully it will go on to the screen.
7	Α.	so I can refer to it?
8	Q.	Paragraph 212, please. Thank you. So, we orientate
9		ourselves on the paragraph, you say at the beginning
10		there:
11		"At my interview, when discussing AI's absence"
12		The "Al" being referred to there is Mr Cameron; is
13		that correct?
14	Α.	Yes, sorry, yes.
15	Q.	"Nick", that's Mr Read?
16	Α.	It is.
17	Q.	" Nick also informed me that there had been
18		complaints regarding Al's behaviour but did not specify
19		what had been alleged. He also told me that
20		a conversation had taken place between him and Al in
21		early 2023 regarding AI making an 'exit' from the
22		business, as the relationship between them had broken
23		down due to a longstanding employment dispute"
24		Then it then finishes:
25		"Al had then been off work due to ill health and was 94
4		
1		and the strength of the team. I was aware that there
2		was not a CFO in place, and obviously that's a critical
3		leadership position, and I was concerned that
4		I wanted to understand and I obviously wanted to do my
5		own due diligence before joining the business, so it was
6		important that I understood the context and the
7		operating context of the leadership team. So I think
8		I'd asked questions about the whole team, and that's
9		where the conversation came about.
10		I think, in terms of the appropriateness, there
11		would be I would hope that Mr Read would have known

2		was not a CFO in place, and obviously that's a critical
3		leadership position, and I was concerned that
4		I wanted to understand and I obviously wanted to do my
5		own due diligence before joining the business, so it was
6		important that I understood the context and the
7		operating context of the leadership team. So I think
8		I'd asked questions about the whole team, and that's
9		where the conversation came about.
10		I think, in terms of the appropriateness, there
11		would be I would hope that Mr Read would have known,
12		from knowing me 20 years before but also knowing by
13		reputation, that my integrity is extremely important and
14		that I would never have divulged that out side of the
15		conversation that we'd had and the confines of that.
16		And the only reason I have done now is because I've been
17		asked specific questions by the Inquiry, so I've had to
18		make that in my statement, but I that conversation
19		was in the in a trusted conversation between me and
20		Mr Read before starting work.
21	Q.	I'll ask my question again. Should such matters be
22		discussed prior to employment?
23	Α.	I think possibly not.
24	Q.	Can I move, then, on to a different document, please.
25		This is a document for a Board meeting, which is this

1	year, 27 February. If I've got the document reference	1		within that plan. Help us to understand how such a plan
2	right, it's POL00447854, page 1.	2		that is meant to create a great place to work for all
3	It's a Board report that's being discussed,	3		doesn't even refer to subpostmasters?
4	a three-year People Plan and people structure. So	4	Α.	So this is a question that I have been asked before,
5	POL00447854, page 1. Ms McEwan, again it should go on	5		understandably so. So the extent of my remit is to the
6	the screen. Grateful. If you can scroll down the page,	6		colleagues that work at the Post Office but I'm acutely
7	please, under "firstly", if we look at those two	7		aware, and very focused, on my role in providing
8	paragraphs, you see where it says, "Executive Summary",	8		a strong, capable, collective of people that work at the
9	"firstly", and then "secondly". Probably the easiest	9		Post Office so that they can do their best for
10	sentence to look at is under "Secondly":	10		postmasters, and I discussed this very point with the
11	" a Strategic People Plan has been developed,	11		Postmaster Non-Exec Directors.
12	focusing on three strategic priorities colleague	12		So before I took this plan to the Board, I consulted
13	experience, capability and inclusion to 'create	13		with Saf and Elliot because I was keen to make sure th
14	a great place to work for all'. The high-level plan is	14		the work that I was going to do through the plan would
15	shared with the Board in this paper for noting and	15		make a difference for postmasters and, at that point,
16	discussion."	16		Elliot actually pointed out to me that it didn't
17	If we wish to, we can go up the page, I don't ask	17		explicitly say "postmasters".
18	for that, but this is a document presented to the Board	18		I think we're intending, in the statement, a great
19	for February 2024, this year, which is for noting only,	19		place to work and, originally, we just had it as
20	essentially saying that this what is happening, we are	20		"a great place to work", with a full stop. We've said
21	developing this paper, three-year plan.	21		"for all" because, by reference, that means anybody the
22	Now, you've discussed this briefly with Mr Blake.	22		is associated with the Post Office, we want it to be
23	But where this says "to create a great place to work for	23		a great experience and a great culture for everybody,
24	all", in fact the plan doesn't mention subpostmasters.	24		and that means for everybody, irrespective of the jobs
25	I can't even find the word "subpostmaster" used at all 97	25		that they do. So I think it's implicit. 98
1	lunderstand it's implicit and us are making real	1	•	No. I did go to them, It was my full intent to consult
1	I understand it's implicit, and we are making real	1	А.	No, I did go to them. It was my full intent to consult
2	offerte to extend come of the work we're doing through	2		them there ughly before I took this paper and the plan
2	efforts to extend some of the work we're doing through	2		them thoroughly before I took this paper and the plan
3	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had	3		anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant
3 4	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the	3 4		anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the
3 4 5	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that	3 4 5	0	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question.
3 4 5 6	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the	3 4 5 6	Q.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure
3 4 5 6 7	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make	3 4 5 6 7		anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees?
3 4 5 6 7 8	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite	3 4 5 6 7 8	Α.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our	3 4 5 6 7 8 9		anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmaster
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Α.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmast and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfende
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Α.	<ul> <li>anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question.</li> <li>Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees?</li> <li>Not to the best of my knowledge, no.</li> <li>Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmastat and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could easily be extended to the postmaster population, and we	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Α.	<ul> <li>anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question.</li> <li>Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees?</li> <li>Not to the best of my knowledge, no.</li> <li>Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmaster and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design consultant who conducted the review? Was the</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could easily be extended to the postmaster population, and we want to be able to do that. So it's not explicit but	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Α.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmast and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design consultant who conducted the review? Was the possibility of mentioning them even by exclusion, by
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could easily be extended to the postmaster population, and we want to be able to do that. So it's not explicit but I'm conscious that it isn't explicit and, as I said,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Α.	<ul> <li>anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question.</li> <li>Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees?</li> <li>Not to the best of my knowledge, no.</li> <li>Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmasta and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design consultant who conducted the review? Was the possibility of mentioning them even by exclusion, by saying that this is not meant to directly refer to those</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could easily be extended to the postmaster population, and we want to be able to do that. So it's not explicit but I'm conscious that it isn't explicit and, as I said, there is a reason for that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmast and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design consultant who conducted the review? Was the possibility of mentioning them even by exclusion, by saying that this is not meant to directly refer to those individuals, was that discussed with Ms Wolfenden?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 <b>Q</b> .	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could easily be extended to the postmaster population, and we want to be able to do that. So it's not explicit but I'm conscious that it isn't explicit and, as I said, there is a reason for that. The Postmaster NEDs, I think they were the ones that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Α.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmaster and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design consultant who conducted the review? Was the possibility of mentioning them even by exclusion, by saying that this is not meant to directly refer to those individuals, was that discussed with Ms Wolfenden? So I'm not aware whether it was discussed or not.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 <b>Q.</b> 17	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could easily be extended to the postmaster population, and we want to be able to do that. So it's not explicit but I'm conscious that it isn't explicit and, as I said, there is a reason for that. The Postmaster NEDs, I think they were the ones that came to you and said that there's a problem with this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmast and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design consultant who conducted the review? Was the possibility of mentioning them even by exclusion, by saying that this is not meant to directly refer to those individuals, was that discussed with Ms Wolfenden? So I'm not aware whether it was discussed or not. I think, with hindsight, as confident as I am about the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 <b>Q</b> . 17 18	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could easily be extended to the postmaster population, and we want to be able to do that. So it's not explicit but I'm conscious that it isn't explicit and, as I said, there is a reason for that. The Postmaster NEDs, I think they were the ones that came to you and said that there's a problem with this plan that it doesn't mention subpostmasters; isn't that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmaster and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design consultant who conducted the review? Was the possibility of mentioning them even by exclusion, by saying that this is not meant to directly refer to those individuals, was that discussed with Ms Wolfenden? So I'm not aware whether it was discussed or not. I think, with hindsight, as confident as I am about the intention of the People Plan and the spirit that we want
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 <b>Q.</b> 17 18 19	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could easily be extended to the postmaster population, and we want to be able to do that. So it's not explicit but I'm conscious that it isn't explicit and, as I said, there is a reason for that. The Postmaster NEDs, I think they were the ones that came to you and said that there's a problem with this plan that it doesn't mention subpostmasters; isn't that right?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmast and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design consultant who conducted the review? Was the possibility of mentioning them even by exclusion, by saying that this is not meant to directly refer to those individuals, was that discussed with Ms Wolfenden? So I'm not aware whether it was discussed or not. I think, with hindsight, as confident as I am about the intention of the People Plan and the spirit that we want to go about this work, I think with hindsight it would
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 <b>Q.</b> 17 18 19 20 <b>A.</b>	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could easily be extended to the postmaster population, and we want to be able to do that. So it's not explicit but I'm conscious that it isn't explicit and, as I said, there is a reason for that. The Postmaster NEDs, I think they were the ones that came to you and said that there's a problem with this plan that it doesn't mention subpostmasters; isn't that right? Sorry, could you repeat?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmast and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design consultant who conducted the review? Was the possibility of mentioning them even by exclusion, by saying that this is not meant to directly refer to those individuals, was that discussed with Ms Wolfenden? So I'm not aware whether it was discussed or not. I think, with hindsight, as confident as I am about the intention of the People Plan and the spirit that we want to go about this work, I think with hindsight it would be entirely appropriate and helpful maybe to reference
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 <b>Q</b> . 17 18 19 20 <b>A</b> . 21 <b>Q</b> .	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could easily be extended to the postmaster population, and we want to be able to do that. So it's not explicit but I'm conscious that it isn't explicit and, as I said, there is a reason for that. The Postmaster NEDs, I think they were the ones that came to you and said that there's a problem with this plan that it doesn't mention subpostmasters; isn't that right? Sorry, could you repeat? Did they come to you and make that point, did they say	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmast and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design consultant who conducted the review? Was the possibility of mentioning them even by exclusion, by saying that this is not meant to directly refer to those individuals, was that discussed with Ms Wolfenden? So I'm not aware whether it was discussed or not. I think, with hindsight, as confident as I am about the intention of the People Plan and the spirit that we want to go about this work, I think with hindsight it would be entirely appropriate and helpful maybe to reference specifically in future documents that, whilst I don't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 <b>Q.</b> 17 18 19 20 <b>A.</b> 21 <b>Q.</b> 22	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could easily be extended to the postmaster population, and we want to be able to do that. So it's not explicit but I'm conscious that it isn't explicit and, as I said, there is a reason for that. The Postmaster NEDs, I think they were the ones that came to you and said that there's a problem with this plan that it doesn't mention subpostmasters; isn't that right? Sorry, could you repeat? Did they come to you and make that point, did they say to you, "Ms McEwan, this doesn't mention	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmast and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design consultant who conducted the review? Was the possibility of mentioning them even by exclusion, by saying that this is not meant to directly refer to those individuals, was that discussed with Ms Wolfenden? So I'm not aware whether it was discussed or not. I think, with hindsight, as confident as I am about the intention of the People Plan and the spirit that we want to go about this work, I think with hindsight it would be entirely appropriate and helpful maybe to reference specifically in future documents that, whilst I don't talk about subpostmasters and postmasters directly, the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 6 <b>Q.</b> 17 18 19 20 <b>A.</b> 21 <b>Q.</b> 22 23	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could easily be extended to the postmaster population, and we want to be able to do that. So it's not explicit but I'm conscious that it isn't explicit and, as I said, there is a reason for that. The Postmaster NEDs, I think they were the ones that came to you and said that there's a problem with this plan that it doesn't mention subpostmasters; isn't that right? Sorry, could you repeat? Did they come to you and make that point, did they say to you, "Ms McEwan, this doesn't mention subpostmasters"? You didn't elicit, you didn't go to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmast and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design consultant who conducted the review? Was the possibility of mentioning them even by exclusion, by saying that this is not meant to directly refer to those individuals, was that discussed with Ms Wolfenden? So I'm not aware whether it was discussed or not. I think, with hindsight, as confident as I am about the intention of the People Plan and the spirit that we wan to go about this work, I think with hindsight it would be entirely appropriate and helpful maybe to reference specifically in future documents that, whilst I don't talk about subpostmasters and postmasters directly, th work that we're doing is absolutely focused on improvi
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 <b>Q.</b> 17 18 19 20 <b>A.</b> 21 <b>Q.</b> 22	this plan to subpostmasters. For example, we've had several meetings recently where we've talked about the leadership sorry, the Behaviour Framework that I spoke to earlier. We've started to talk to the postmasters about how that hopefully will make a difference to them for the future and we've had quite positive feedback from that, and something like our service training, we're running a programme of inclusive customer service training. We feel that that could easily be extended to the postmaster population, and we want to be able to do that. So it's not explicit but I'm conscious that it isn't explicit and, as I said, there is a reason for that. The Postmaster NEDs, I think they were the ones that came to you and said that there's a problem with this plan that it doesn't mention subpostmasters; isn't that right? Sorry, could you repeat? Did they come to you and make that point, did they say to you, "Ms McEwan, this doesn't mention	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	anywhere, and they are my biggest, most significant stakeholder. So I did consult them and I did ask the question. Is there a three-year People Plan and People structure for subpostmasters and branch employees? Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Was the possible inclusion of mention of subpostmast and staff at branches discussed with Angela Wolfender who is the external people and organisation design consultant who conducted the review? Was the possibility of mentioning them even by exclusion, by saying that this is not meant to directly refer to those individuals, was that discussed with Ms Wolfenden? So I'm not aware whether it was discussed or not. I think, with hindsight, as confident as I am about the intention of the People Plan and the spirit that we want to go about this work, I think with hindsight it would be entirely appropriate and helpful maybe to reference specifically in future documents that, whilst I don't

1	Q.	Because you say and other people have said this	1
2		that the pressures of the scrutiny of this Inquiry, and	2
3		cases and investigations, have impacted upon people	3
4		within the Post Office so that people are you okay	4
5		so people are concerned about the way their behaviours	5
6		may be viewed. We understand that but this still seems	6
7		to be excluding subpostmasters rather directly, doesn't	7
8		it?	8
9	Α.	So arguably, in the work that I'm doing, I don't think	9
10		that there is exclusion. I think far from it. I think	10
11		I've I'm very acutely aware of the need for the	11
12		connectivity between the culture of this organisation	12
13		and what it best does to serve postmasters. So every	13
14		part of our plan is intending to do that.	14
15	Q.	In that case I'll take you to a different document	15
16		POL00458463. So that's POL00458463. Ms McEwan, that's	16
17		the "Our Behaviours" plan, okay? So "Our Behaviours"	17
18		plan. This one is July '24. Was this one drafted	18
19		inhouse or was that one also outsourced?	19
20	Α.	So we drafted it in consultation with a management	20
21		consultancy business that we were working with as part	21
22		of the ethos programme that had been set up before	22
23		I started. So it was a collaboration between the	23
24		Executive of the Post Office, including the whole team,	24
25		and this company.	25
		101	
1		it would would relate to postmasters.	1
2	Q.	Thank you. Now, you've come to the Post Office with	2
3		a huge range of experience, background, longevity of	3
4		employment at Tesco and other companies. Is there	4
5		a similar People person with your type of experience for	5
6		the I think it's 7,000 individual branch	6
7		subpostmasters, and presumably a larger number also of	7
8		employees; is there somebody that's got your background	8
9		experience and ability to provide the focus within the	9
10		organisation for those people?	10
11	Α.	Sorry, do you mean currently employed in the Post	11
12		Office?	12
13	Q.	Yes.	13
14	Α.	Not to my knowledge, no.	14
15	Q.	You've mentioned that there is a subpostmaster who has	15
16		been brought in to try to provide an insight into	16
17		subpostmasters. But that subpostmaster, unless I'm very	17
18		wrong, doesn't have your sort of background and	18
19		experience; is that correct?	19
20	Α.	Has a different background and experience, but yeah, but	20
21		not from a probably from a cultural expertise	21
22		perspective, no.	22
23	Q.	Just moving then slightly sideways to whistleblowing and	23
24		the policies that are in place currently. Now, the	24

- 24 the policies that are in place currently. Now, the
- 25 whistleblowing policies I won't look at in detail with 103

1	Q.	Page 5, please, of that document. Now, we've just seen
2		under the banner heading "Creating a great place to work
3		for all", if we can expand it on my screen it's very
4		small, I don't know whether it can be widened
5		slightly onto "How and when to use these behaviours",
6		thank you. If we can scroll down, please, to the
7		"Employee engagement survey", there we go.
8		So this is under that heading and descriptions:
9		"Including questions related to behaviours and
10		employ surveys to gauge impact on overall engagement,
11		cultural alignment and colleague satisfaction."
12		Then if we just go up one, please, from there
13		"Recruitment and selection":
14		"To support what it takes to be successful at Post
15		Office, we will align our behaviours to our recruitment
16		and selection processes. The definitions will provide
17		a solid benchmark when hiring."
18		Now, this plan does mention colleagues including
19		subpostmasters but it appears to be directly impactful
20		for employees; is that correct?
21	Α.	The so, yes, the intention is to provide a framework
22		for the employees. I described in the past, for
23		example, there's been a lack of curiosity in the
24		organisation. It's really important that we correct for
25		that and we address it. So I think, yes, but by nature, 102
1		you, but they're not incorporated into subpostmaster
2		contracts. So there is a policy and that policy,
3		essentially, if we wanted to look at it, says that

- subpostmasters' whistleblowing comments will be received by the Post Office --
- 6 A. (The witness nodded)
- 7 Q. -- although, essentially, the Post Office doesn't have
  8 to look at those because of legislation essentially
  9 doesn't take into account contractors or non-employees.
- 10 A. (The witness nodded)
- 11 Q. To assist subpostmasters, would it be of benefit to them12 if the whistleblowing policy was incorporated directly
- 13 into their contracts, so that there will be
- a contractual obligation to ensure that that is adheredto?
- 16 A. Yes, I would hope that -- and probably hope isn't enough
- 17 but I would hope that the work that we're doing to
- 18 ensure that people -- everybody, postmasters and
- 19 colleagues in the organisation -- understand the
- 20 benefits of having that policy and how to utilise it,
- 21 I think is really important but I think it's possible
- 22 that we shouldn't over-rely on that, I think. So yes,
- 23 it may be helpful.
- 24 Q. Lastly, you, amongst other individuals within the Post
- 25 Office, have taken part in meetings with subpostmasters 104

1		that have been affected by the scandal?	1	Questioned by SIR WYN WILLIAMS
2	Α.	Yes.	2	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right. I'm not sure that I should be
3	Q.	I think you've taken part in number of those meetings,	3	asking this question, because I'm not sure I've got the
4		including travelling to Belfast; is that correct?	4	expertise to evaluate where I'm going, but I will ask
5	Α.	I haven't been to Belfast but I have been to several	5	it.
6		meetings.	6	In this rather unusually structured organisation,
7	Q.	Right, okay. Where did you go in your meetings?	7	where you have direct employees but you have postmasters
8	Α.	So my meetings have been virtual, actually, so I've done	8	who are now, if they weren't in the past, certainly now,
9		three meetings and they've all been virtual but I have	9	said to be absolutely central to the business, shouldn't
10		done three of the meetings, yes.	10	they be under the function of someone called a Chief
11	Q.	l'm grateful.	11	People Officer?
12		Just to finish my questions for you, Ms McEwan, can	12	A. Do I think sorry, can you just say the last bit of
13		you comment on the personal impact, emotional impact,	13	the question, again? Sorry.
14		that those meetings have had on you?	14	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, you've got direct employees,
15	Α.	Yeah, I've found the meetings to be personally	15	obviously.
16		distressing and hugely insightful. I think probably	16	A. Yeah.
17		less important the impact they had on me but more the	17	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: But you've got other people who are
18		impact that what's happened in the past has had on those	18	contractually, in legal terms bound to the Post Office
19		people and their families. I found them incredibly	19	but acknowledged to be central to the business
20		difficult but hugely insightful, and very helpful to me	20	A. Yes.
21		in my role at the Post Office.	21	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: the postmaster
22	MR	STEIN: Excuse me one moment.	22	A. Yes.
23		Thank you, Ms McEwan.	23	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: and you've got someone called a Chief
	TH	E WITNESS: Thank you.	24	People Officer and, to my rather naive way of thinking,
24			05	
24 25	MR	<b>BLAKE:</b> Sir, I think those are all the questions.	25	that means that someone is performing functions in
	MR	<b>BLAKE:</b> Sir, I think those are all the questions. 105	25	that means that someone is performing functions in 106
25	MR	105		106
			1	106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly.
25 1	А.	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes.		106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm)
25 1 2	А.	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. <b>WYN WILLIAMS:</b> So my question is: shouldn't the Chief	1 2	106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment)
25 1 2 3 4	А.	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. <b>WYN WILLIAMS:</b> So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to	1 2 3 4	106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment) (2.00 pm)
25 1 2 3 4 5	A. SIR	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. <b>WYN WILLIAMS:</b> So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters?	1 2 3 4 5	106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment)
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	A. SIR A.	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. <b>WYN WILLIAMS:</b> So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6	106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. SIR A.	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. <b>WYN WILLIAMS:</b> So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. <b>WYN WILLIAMS:</b> So if I were to recommend that, you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. SIR A. SIR	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. NIGEL RAILTON (sworn)
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a. Sir A. Sir A.	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a. Sir A. Sir A.	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Sir A. Sir A. Sir A.	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please. A. My name is Nigel Railton.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a. Sir A. Sir A. Sir A.	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please. A. My name is Nigel Railton. Q. Thank you very much. Mr Railton, you should have in
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Sir A. Sir A. Sir A. Sir A.	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow We're making progress but there's much more to do.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please. A. My name is Nigel Railton. Q. Thank you very much. Mr Railton, you should have in front of you a witness statement
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Sir A. Sir A. Sir A. Sir A.	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow We're making progress but there's much more to do.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	106 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment) (2.00 pm) MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please. A. My name is Nigel Railton. Q. Thank you very much. Mr Railton, you should have in front of you a witness statement A. 1 do.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Sir A. Sir A. Sir A. Sir A.	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow We're making progress but there's much more to do. WYN WILLIAMS: It just struck me, you know, from Mr Blake's questions and Mr Stein's questions, and there	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly.</li> <li>(1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment) </li> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please. A. My name is Nigel Railton. Q. Thank you very much. Mr Railton, you should have in front of you a witness statement A. I do. Q dated 30 August this year; is that correct?</li></ul>
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16	A. Sir A. Sir A. Sir A. Sir A.	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow We're making progress but there's much more to do. WYN WILLIAMS: It just struck me, you know, from Mr Blake's questions and Mr Stein's questions, and there seemed to me to be an elephant in the room, so I thought	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment)</li> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please.</li> <li>A. My name is Nigel Railton.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much. Mr Railton, you should have in front of you a witness statement A. I do.</li> <li>Q dated 30 August this year; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> </ul>
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow We're making progress but there's much more to do. WYN WILLIAMS: It just struck me, you know, from Mr Blake's questions and Mr Stein's questions, and there seemed to me to be an elephant in the room, so I thought I'd bring out the elephant.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment)</li> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please.</li> <li>A. My name is Nigel Railton.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much. Mr Railton, you should have in front of you a witness statement A. I do.</li> <li>Q dated 30 August this year; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you, please, to turn to the final substantive</li> </ul>
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow We're making progress but there's much more to do. WYN WILLIAMS: It just struck me, you know, from Mr Blake's questions and Mr Stein's questions, and there seemed to me to be an elephant in the room, so I thought I'd bring out the elephant. WITNES: Thank you.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment) (2.00 pm)</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please.</li> <li>A. My name is Nigel Railton.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much. Mr Railton, you should have in front of you a witness statement</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q dated 30 August this year; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you, please, to turn to the final substantive page, that's page 33. Can you confirm that that is your</li> </ul>
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR THI SIR	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow We're making progress but there's much more to do. WYN WILLIAMS: It just struck me, you know, from Mr Blake's questions and Mr Stein's questions, and there seemed to me to be an elephant in the room, so I thought I'd bring out the elephant. WITNESS: Thank you.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment)</li> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please.</li> <li>A. My name is Nigel Railton.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much. Mr Railton, you should have in front of you a witness statement</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q dated 30 August this year; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you, please, to turn to the final substantive page, that's page 33. Can you confirm that that is your signature?</li> </ul>
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR THI SIR MR	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow We're making progress but there's much more to do. WYN WILLIAMS: I tjust struck me, you know, from Mr Blake's questions and Mr Stein's questions, and there seemed to me to be an elephant in the room, so I thought I'd bring out the elephant. WITNESS: Thank you. MYN WILLIAMS: Right, thank you. BLAKE: Thank you, sir.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment)</li> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>MIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please.</li> <li>M. My name is Nigel Railton.</li> <li>My name is Nigel Railton.</li> <li>Thank you very much. Mr Railton, you should have in front of you a witness statement</li> <li>I do.</li> <li>- dated 30 August this year; is that correct?</li> <li>Mes, it is.</li> <li>Can I ask you, please, to turn to the final substantive page, that's page 33. Can you confirm that that is your signature?</li> <li>I tis my signature.</li> </ul>
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR THI SIR MR	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow We're making progress but there's much more to do. WYN WILLIAMS: It just struck me, you know, from Mr Blake's questions and Mr Stein's questions, and there seemed to me to be an elephant in the room, so I thought I'd bring out the elephant. WITNESS: Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, thank you. BLAKE: Thank you, sir. WYN WILLIAMS: That's it, Mr Blake?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment)</li> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please.</li> <li>A. My name is Nigel Railton.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much. Mr Railton, you should have in front of you a witness statement</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q dated 30 August this year; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you, please, to turn to the final substantive page, that's page 33. Can you confirm that that is your signature?</li> <li>A. It is my signature.</li> <li>Q. Is that statement true to the best of your knowledge and</li> </ul>
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 17 12 3 4 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR THI SIR MR	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow We're making progress but there's much more to do. WYN WILLIAMS: I just struck me, you know, from Mr Blake's questions and Mr Stein's questions, and there seemed to me to be an elephant in the room, so I thought I'd bring out the elephant. WITNESS: Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, thank you. EMITNESS: Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, thank you. ELAKE: Thank you, sir. BLAKE: It is.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>J106</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment)</li> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please.</li> <li>A. My name is Nigel Railton.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much. Mr Railton, you should have in front of you a witness statement</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q dated 30 August this year; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you, please, to turn to the final substantive page, that's page 33. Can you confirm that that is your signature?</li> <li>A. It is my signature.</li> <li>Q. Is that statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> </ul>
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 25 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR THI SIR MR	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow We're making progress but there's much more to do. WYN WILLIAMS: It just struck me, you know, from Mr Blake's questions and Mr Stein's questions, and there seemed to me to be an elephant in the room, so I thought I'd bring out the elephant. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, thank you. ELAKE: Thank you, sir. WYN WILLIAMS: That's it, Mr Blake? BLAKE: It is. WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. Well, thank you very much for your	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>J106</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment)</li> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.</li> <li>NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please.</li> <li>M. My name is Nigel Railton.</li> <li>M. Thank you very much. Mr Railton, you should have in front of you a witness statement</li> <li>A. Ido.</li> <li>Q dated 30 August this year; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you, please, to turn to the final substantive page, that's page 33. Can you confirm that that is your signature?</li> <li>A. It is my signature.</li> <li>Q. Is that statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> </ul>
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 17 12 3 4 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR A. SIR SIR MR SIR MR SIR	105 respect of all the people in the business. Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So my question is: shouldn't the Chief People Officer have specific functions in relation to postmasters? Yes. WYN WILLIAMS: So if I were to recommend that, you wouldn't think I'd gone mad? I wouldn't. I'd wholeheartedly agree. WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Thank you. We are starting to do work though, we are WYN WILLIAMS: No, I follow We're making progress but there's much more to do. WYN WILLIAMS: I just struck me, you know, from Mr Blake's questions and Mr Stein's questions, and there seemed to me to be an elephant in the room, so I thought I'd bring out the elephant. WITNESS: Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, thank you. EMITNESS: Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, thank you. ELAKE: Thank you, sir. BLAKE: It is.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>J106</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. (1.01 pm) (The Short Adjournment)</li> <li>(2.00 pm)</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. This afternoon we're going to hear from Mr Railton.</li> <li>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. NIGEL RAILTON (sworn) Questioned by MR BLAKE</li> <li>MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name, please.</li> <li>A. My name is Nigel Railton.</li> <li>Q. Thank you very much. Mr Railton, you should have in front of you a witness statement</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q dated 30 August this year; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask you, please, to turn to the final substantive page, that's page 33. Can you confirm that that is your signature?</li> <li>A. It is my signature.</li> <li>Q. Is that statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?</li> </ul>

1		By way of background, you are a qualified
2		accountant; is that correct?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	You started life, working life, in the railway industry;
5		is that right?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	You worked at Black & Decker, and then Daewoo Cars?
8	Α.	That's correct.
9	Q.	You joined Camelot in 1998 as Head of Finance?
10	Α.	Correct.
11	Q.	You held various positions at Camelot before becoming
12		their CEO from 2017 to 2023; is that correct?
13	Α.	That is correct, yes.
14	Q.	You currently hold a number of Non-Executive Director
15		positions
16	Α.	Mm.
17	Q.	as well as being Chair of something called Argentex
18		Group, which is a Financial Services Company; is that
19		correct?
20	Α.	That's correct.
21	Q.	Relevant for today's purpose, you are current Chair of
22		Post Office, or Interim Chair of the Post Office, and
23		you were appointed on 24 March this year; is that
24		correct sorry, 24 May this year.
25	Α.	24 May is correct, yes.
		109

1 it was the interview or the amount of meeting	ys I had
---	----------

- 2 I had many, many meetings with the Department of
- 3 Business and Trade, UK Government Investments, the
- 4 Postmaster NEDs, so lots and lots and lots of meetings.
- 5 Q. Prior to your appointment?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Thank you. Do you know if it was or is expected to become a permanent position? 8
- 9 A. I don't know. I mean, clearly, the Post Office needs
- a chairman and -- well, I've not had any conversations 10
- yet about whether this, you know -- when a permanent 11 chairman will be put in place. 12

Have you been told how long it is expected to be 13 Q. 14 an interim position?

- A. Yes, it was 12 months from 24 May to 24 May next year. 15
- Q. Thank you. To what extent, so far as you're aware, was 16 17 your IT experience at Camelot relevant to your
- appointment? 18
- A. I don't think it was, actually. I'm not sure. 19
- 20 Q. Was there something in particular that you achieved at 21 Camelot that you consider most important for this 22 particular role?
- 23 A. Well, I think, you know, the National Lottery operates
- 24 at enormous scale, 44,000 retailers, systems that have
- 25 to balance to the penny every day, operate with absolute

- Q. In terms of your appointment, you've set out in your 1
- 2 witness statement that you were approached by the then
- 3 Minister Hollinrake in February this year; is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. That's right, yes.
- 6 **Q.** Then you were formally appointed by the then Secretary 7 of State Badenoch?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- Q. Did you know either the Minister or the Secretary of 9 10 State?
- A. No, I did not. 11
- Q. Do you know why you were approached? 12
- A. I don't know why I was approached, I imagine because of 13 14 my experience with Camelot.
- 15 Q. Did you work with their department when you were at 16 Camelot?
- 17 A. No, it was a different department. Camelot -- the
- National Lottery, is governed by DCMS, so a different 18 19 department.
- 20 Q. Do you know if it was a competitive process --
- 21 A. I do not --
- 22 Q. -- where interviews or anything along those lines --
- 23 A. I do not.
- 24 Q. Did you have to submit a CV or --
- 25 A. Yes, I had to submit a CV. I must say, the -- whether 110
- 1 integrity, and we changed the systems in the UK a couple 2 of times, and in other markets. So I think the size of 3 the challenge, in terms of the Horizon replacement for 4 the Post Office in particular, I think my experience was 5 relevant, and is relevant. 6 Q. In terms of the composition of the Camelot Board, and 7 comparing that to the Post Office Board, do you consider 8 that, on joining the Post Office, that there is 9 sufficient relevant expertise amongst Board members? A. I think there's some very good Board members. I think 10 11 the Board members we have are excellent, actually but 12 there are some gaps, and we've just finished doing 13 a Board Skills Matrix assessment, and there are clear 14 gaps in technology. There is no expertise on the Board 15 in terms of technology. There is no expertise in the 16 Board in terms of business transformation, and also 17 I think we're lacking in kind of Civil Service/ 18 Government expertise. 19 Q. Can you assist us with what steps are being taken to 20 fill those gaps? 21 Yes, we're actively recruiting now. We've had a number Α. 22 of applications for the technology role, and the 23 business transformation role. In fact, we had about 150 24 applications for the technology role. So it's proving 25
  - popular.

1	Q.	Was that something that you implemented, that you	1	А.	It is for the short term, yes.
2		identified and came up with a solution, or is that	2	Q.	When do you envisage the workload will reduce?
3		something that was already in train?	3	Α.	I think the key event is the implementation of the
4	Α.	No, it's I think conversations were happening at the	4		strategic review that we're doing now, number 1, ar
5		Board in terms of the skills that were missing, but it's	5		number 2, when we fully implement the recommend
6		something that I implemented.	6		from the Grant Thornton report and have the gover
7	Q.	One thing that you have said in your witness statement	7		within the Board and the company operating effecti
8		is that, when people join the Board, they discover it	8		and efficiently.
9		involves a lot more work than anticipated. Is that just	9	Q.	How long do you imagine that's going to take?
10		because of the Inquiry and the historic matters or do	10	Α.	Hopefully about three months.
11		you see that as a broader issue?	11	Q.	Three months. Thank you.
12	Α.	I think it it's a broader issue. I think the	12		I'd like to start by looking at the briefings you
13		governance within the company is not working as	13		received on becoming Chair. Can we please bring
14		effectively as it could, so I think too many matters,	14		screen your witness statement, that's WITN113901
15		quite frankly, go to the Board. I think too many	15		If we could start, please, on page 5. The botto
16		matters go to Non-Executive Directors to deal with on	16		page 5. Thank you. If we scroll down, thank you.
17		a virtual daily basis, particularly around people. But	17		we could continue scrolling over the page, please,
18		I do think it's temporary.	18		see there, in paragraph 12, at the bottom, in terms
19	Q.	I think you have said that you were told that it was	19		the Horizon System sorry, if we scroll down slight
20		going to be a two days a week position to be chair.	20		more you say:
21		Realistically, how long do you spend in that role?	21		"My understanding is that the same technical is
22	Α.	Much more than that. I'd say five days a week, not	22		and concerns [the ones that happened in the past]
23		constantly five days a week but pretty much the	23		apply to the current build."
24		equivalent of five days a week.	24		Paragraph 13, you say:
25	Q.	Is that sustainable? 113	25		"I had a session with the Post Office technical 114
1		teams as part of my induction. This covered the Post	1		since January 2020", and we see 17 per cent expe
2		Office's IT system at enterprise architecture level.	2		unexplained discrepancies a few times a month; 18
3		I asked [several] questions about Horizon and associated	3		cent at least once a month; 21 per cent once every
4		business processes and controls and came away assured	4		to three months.
5		that what has happened in the past in the IT system	5		If we turn over the page, please, we can see h
6		cannot happen now."	6		discrepancies have been resolved, and 74 per cen
7		Can you just assist us with who provided you these	7		those who had experienced discrepancies said that
8		briefings?	8		resolved those discrepancies themselves or using
9	Α.	It was Simon sorry, I'm struggling with the names.	9		branch's own money.
10		It was a former CTO and senior members of the technical	10		In light of those findings, do you think that you
11		team. Forgive me, I can't think of the names. Chris	11		have been properly briefed about the current state
12		Brocklesby and his team, effectively.	12		the Horizon system?
13	Q.	Thank you. Could we please bring onto screen our YouGov	13	Α.	The briefing I had, by its very nature, was very high
14		expert report, that's EXPG0000007. Can we turn to	14		level, very high level, and we continue to look, and
15		page 19, please. You're aware of the circumstances of	15		team continue to look at discrepancies in particular
16		the YouGov report and how we obtained the results?	16		the operation of Horizon. You know, the Horizon s
17	Α.	Yes, I am.	17		is available 100 per cent of the time. This gets
18	Q.	Yes. Current subpostmasters were questioned, and these	18		reported to the Board. The number of defects is qu
19		are their responses, with regards to issues that they've	19		low now, I'm told, through the data we get at the Bo
20		experienced with Horizon in the last 12 months: 70 per	20		and I was under the impression that the dispute
21		cent experienced screen freezes; 68 per cent loss of	21		resolution button now was dealing with most issues
22		connection; 61 per cent issue with PIN pad; 57 per cent	22		clearly this data doesn't support that.
23		experienced unexplained discrepancies.	23		What we want to do and what we will do is now
24		If we please turn to page 28, we see there	24		through the dispute resolution process and the
25		"Frequency of experiencing an unexplained discrepancy	25		end-to-end process of how these discrepancies are
		115			116

	strategic review that we're doing now, number 1, and,
	number 2, when we fully implement the recommendations
	from the Grant Thornton report and have the governance
	within the Board and the company operating effectively
	and efficiently.
Q.	How long do you imagine that's going to take?
A.	Hopefully about three months.
Q.	Three months. Thank you.
	I'd like to start by looking at the briefings you
	received on becoming Chair. Can we please bring up onto
	screen your witness statement, that's WITN11390100.
	If we could start, please, on page 5. The bottom of
	page 5. Thank you. If we scroll down, thank you. If
	we could continue scrolling over the page, please, we
	see there, in paragraph 12, at the bottom, in terms of
	the Horizon System sorry, if we scroll down slightly
	more you say:
	"My understanding is that the same technical issues
	and concerns [the ones that happened in the past] do not
	apply to the current build."
	Paragraph 13, you say:
	"I had a session with the Post Office technical 114
	114
	since January 2020", and we see 17 per cent experienced
	unexplained discrepancies a few times a month; 18 per
	cent at least once a month; 21 per cent once every two
	to three months.
	If we turn over the page, please, we can see how
	discrepancies have been resolved, and 74 per cent of
	those who had experienced discrepancies said that they
	resolved those discrepancies themselves or using their
	branch's own money.
	In light of those findings, do you think that you
	have been properly briefed about the current state of
	the Horizon system?
A.	The briefing I had, by its very nature, was very high
	level, very high level, and we continue to look, and the
	team continue to look at discrepancies in particular and
	the operation of Horizon. You know, the Horizon system
	is available 100 per cent of the time. This gets
	reported to the Board. The number of defects is quite
	low now, I'm told, through the data we get at the Board,
	and I was under the impression that the dispute
	resolution button now was dealing with most issues, but
	clearly this data doesn't support that.
	What we want to do and what we will do is now work
	through the dispute resolution process and the
	end-to-end process of how these discrepancies are

strategic review that we're doing now, number 1, and,

(29) Pages 113 - 116

1 arising because I think it's a function of three 2 things -- it's a function of the system, of cash and of 3 stock -- and I think we need to look at it end to end, 4 rather than just looking at the system. And Neil 5 Brocklehurst, who is now the acting CEO, we've talked 6 about that. We want to do that with postmasters. 7 Q. Do you feel that the current experience of 8 subpostmasters in using the Horizon system is properly 9 understood at those levels within the business? 10 A. I don't know. Q. Has there been a discussion about this report and the 11 12 results? 13 Α. Yes. We've talked -- well, the Executive Team have 14 talked about these results and, clearly, I think two 15 things spring out from the results: it's really 16 disappointing that this is still happening and somewhat 17 surprising. But what it does do, it allows us to now 18 take these findings and look at them in the context of 19 the strategic review that we're finishing and make sure 20 that the plan we're coming up with addresses them, and 21 also, of course, resetting the NBIT programme. 22 Q. We'll get to the NBIT programme but, looking back at 23 your witness statement again and it says, "My 24 understanding is that the same technical issues and 25 concerns do not apply to the current build", and we saw

117

something that is mentioned in your witness statement 23
 times. It sounds great, but can you assist us with what
 it actually means?

4 A. Of course. When I was doing my due diligence before 5 accepting to become Interim Chairman, it became very 6 obvious to me that there was no strategy for the Post 7 Office at all and there hadn't been for a number of 8 years, and I think that's what -- one of many problems 9 but one of the big problems is causing a lack of 10 direction in the organisation. So one of my 11 preconditions with the former Secretary of State is 12 that, if I did agree to join, if she wanted me to join, 13 is that I was able to conduct a thorough strategic 14 review to look at the future of the business. 15 And, in doing a strategic review, you look at all 16 elements of the business and, over the last four months, 17 we've done that and last week the Board signed off 18 a plan for the future for the Post Office but, more 19 fundamentally, for postmasters, and we've passed that 20 plan to the Government now for review and approval. 21 Q. If we could please turn to POL00448624. This is 22 a meeting of the Board of Directors, 4 June 2024 but 23 it's actually a document within this pack that I'd like

24 to take you to. It's page 109.

25 Is this where things began in terms of developing 119

- on screen there all of those similar issues to the ones
- 2 that we heard about during the Human Impact Hearings and
- 3 during other stages of this Inquiry. Do you think that
- 4 the business has a sufficient grip on those issues?
- 5 A. Well, I think the issues that -- on one of the previous
- 6 slides about screen freezes and, you know, systems do
- 7 freeze from time to time and systems do have issues.
- 8 The important thing is making sure the control
- 9 environment is adequate to deal with issues as they
- 10 arise and that the technical teams have got the proper
- 11 controls and support around them.
- 12 Q. If we turn back, please, to page 19, it wasn't just
- 13 screen freezes, "Unexplained discrepancies", 57 per
- 14 cent, it seems like quite a high proportion of
- 15 subpostmasters experiencing not just discrepancies but
- 16 unexplained discrepancies. Do you think for the
- 17 business has a sufficient understanding of those kinds
- 18 of issues?
- 19 A. Based on that 57 per cent, no.
- 20 **Q.** Your predecessor, Mr Staunton's, evidence was to the
- 21 effect that problems with Horizon were obvious to him
- 22 when he started. Is that a conversation that you've had
- 23 with Mr Staunton at all?
- $24 \quad \textbf{A.} \quad \text{No, I've not spoken to him at all.}$
- 25 **Q.** Moving on to the issue of strategy. Strategy is 118
- 1 a strategy?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 **Q.** It is a firm called Teneo that was instructed by the
  - Post Office. Were you involved in instructing Teneo?
- 5 A. Yes.

- 6 Q. Why Teneo?
- 7 A. I'd worked with Teneo in the past as part of the
- 8 turnaround of the -- of Camelot and the National Lottery
- 9 in 2017. I was very impressed with them, in terms of
- 10 their ability to get to grips with things pretty
- 11 quickly, and I thought that these would be an ideal
- 12 company to help us pull a plan together for the future.
- 13 Q. We've seen in the Inquiry, especially in recent years,
- 14 a large number of external reviews and reports. We've
- 15 seen Grant Thornton, Ernst & Young, Accenture, now
- 16 Teneo. Why do you think Teneo is the one that's going
- 17 to work?
- 18 A. Well, I say that I'd worked with them before on a number19 of occasions, actually, and the quality of the
- 20 individuals that they offered to work on this was really
- 21 high. And, again, you know, we've now finished the
- 22 review, we've now got the plan and I can assure this
- 23 Inquiry that the quality of the plan is very, very high,
- 24 in my opinion.
- 25 **Q.** I think you've said you've handed it over to Government, 120

1			
		the final review or final plan; is that a Teneo document	1
2		or Post Office document?	2
3	Α.	It's a Post Office plan, so the Post Office team worked	3
4	-	with Teneo to come up with a plan.	4
5	Q.	Will there be a version of that plan that you can share	5
6		with the Inquiry in due course?	6
7	Α.	Yes, of course, if the Inquiry wants to see it, then	7
8		it'll be provided through the normal channels.	8
9	SIF	WYN WILLIAMS: Just to check I've got it right, the Post	9
10		Office Board has now approved a strategic review	10
11		plan, sorry, plan?	11
12	A.	That's correct, Sir Wyn, yes.	12
13	SIR	<b>WYN WILLIAMS:</b> The next step is for the shareholder to	13
14		approve it?	14
15	A.	Yes.	15
16	214	WYN WILLIAMS: What is your expectation about the	16
17		timescale over that process?	17
18	Α.	I have been pleasantly surprised at how quickly the	18
19	015	Government are reacting to this.	19
20		<b>WYN WILLIAMS:</b> Right.	20
21	Α.	I anticipate, but can't commit to, getting a decision in	21
22	ein	a week or two.	22
23		R WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay.	23
24 25	WIR	<b>BLAKE:</b> As at today, is there any aspect, even as high	24 25
25		level as you like, that you're able to share with the 121	25
1		the Chairman and the GCPO". Is this a document that you	1
2		have received before being provided with it by the	_
3			2
		Inquiry?	3
4	A.	Inquiry? No.	3 4
4 5	A. Q.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the	3 4 5
4 5 6		Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this	3 4 5 6
4 5 6 7	Q.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry?	3 4 5 6 7
4 5 6 7 8		Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when	3 4 5 6 7 8
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9
4 5 7 8 9 10	Q. A.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
4 5 7 8 9 10	Q.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews carried out virtually on 1 March 2023."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews carried out virtually on 1 March 2023." So some time before you joined the company.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews carried out virtually on 1 March 2023." So some time before you joined the company. "The three interviewees were Carla Stent, Zarin	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews carried out virtually on 1 March 2023." So some time before you joined the company. "The three interviewees were Carla Stent, Zarin Patel and Lisa Harrington who have been in as a [Post	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews carried out virtually on 1 March 2023." So some time before you joined the company. "The three interviewees were Carla Stent, Zarin Patel and Lisa Harrington who have been in as a [Post Office Non-Executive Director] role for between 3 and 7	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews carried out virtually on 1 March 2023." So some time before you joined the company. "The three interviewees were Carla Stent, Zarin Patel and Lisa Harrington who have been in as a [Post Office Non-Executive Director] role for between 3 and 7 years."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews carried out virtually on 1 March 2023." So some time before you joined the company. "The three interviewees were Carla Stent, Zarin Patel and Lisa Harrington who have been in as a [Post Office Non-Executive Director] role for between 3 and 7 years." Could we turn over the page, please. I'd just like	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews carried out virtually on 1 March 2023." So some time before you joined the company. "The three interviewees were Carla Stent, Zarin Patel and Lisa Harrington who have been in as a [Post Office Non-Executive Director] role for between 3 and 7 years." Could we turn over the page, please. I'd just like to test this alongside your own personal impression on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews carried out virtually on 1 March 2023." So some time before you joined the company. "The three interviewees were Carla Stent, Zarin Patel and Lisa Harrington who have been in as a [Post Office Non-Executive Director] role for between 3 and 7 years." Could we turn over the page, please. I'd just like to test this alongside your own personal impression on joining the Company.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews carried out virtually on 1 March 2023." So some time before you joined the company. "The three interviewees were Carla Stent, Zarin Patel and Lisa Harrington who have been in as a [Post Office Non-Executive Director] role for between 3 and 7 years." Could we turn over the page, please. I'd just like to test this alongside your own personal impression on joining the Company. Mm.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews carried out virtually on 1 March 2023." So some time before you joined the company. "The three interviewees were Carla Stent, Zarin Patel and Lisa Harrington who have been in as a [Post Office Non-Executive Director] role for between 3 and 7 years." Could we turn over the page, please. I'd just like to test this alongside your own personal impression on joining the Company. Mm. "Leadership and Teamwork/Culture."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews carried out virtually on 1 March 2023." So some time before you joined the company. "The three interviewees were Carla Stent, Zarin Patel and Lisa Harrington who have been in as a [Post Office Non-Executive Director] role for between 3 and 7 years." Could we turn over the page, please. I'd just like to test this alongside your own personal impression on joining the Company. Mm. "Leadership and Teamwork/Culture." The results from their exit interviews are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	Inquiry? No. We'll go through some of the detail but is some of the detail information that was provided to you before this document was provided to you by the Inquiry? I can't recall. I did read this document yesterday when I received it, so I'm able to offer views on it, if you would like. "[Non-Executive Director] Exit Interviews "The following summary is the result of 3 interviews carried out virtually on 1 March 2023." So some time before you joined the company. "The three interviewees were Carla Stent, Zarin Patel and Lisa Harrington who have been in as a [Post Office Non-Executive Director] role for between 3 and 7 years." Could we turn over the page, please. I'd just like to test this alongside your own personal impression on joining the Company. Mm. "Leadership and Teamwork/Culture."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

	Inquiry in respect of overall strategy and direction of
	the Post Office?
Α.	Yes. My going in hypothesis to this whole review was
	that we needed to do two things: we needed a new deal
	for postmasters, not just economically but in terms of
	operationally; and, secondly, we needed to reverse the
	polarity of the Post Office. And what I mean by that is
	to put the postmasters at the centre, not the current
	centre being at the centre, with the current centre
	actually becoming, if you like, a service function
	properly to the postmasters and, as I say, I can't go
	into the detail because it's subject to Government
	approval and is commercially sensitive because it
	affects colleagues but what I can tell you is that we
	have achieved those two objectives through the plan:
	a new deal for postmasters and a change in the polarity.
Q.	I'd like to take you through a number of other reports
	that have been obtained in recent years or reviews or
	exercises, and to get your view as to whether they've
	been addressed or not.
	The first I'd like to bring on to screen is
	POL00448681. These are exit interviews that occurred
	before you took up your role. These are exit interviews
	and the information, I think, was gathered by Ernst &
	Young. It says, "Confidential for the Attention of
	122
	"There are questions on how well set up the [Group
	Executive] leadership team are for a business
	turnaround specifically questions were raised about
	the capability, the teamwork and the interactions with
	the Board/[Non-Executive Directors]. Notwithstanding
	the external pressures and constraints, governmental
	changes/politics/shareholders, and competitor landscape
	etc, there was a strong suggestion that the levels of
	respect, trust, and 'enterprise first' thinking within
	the [Group Executive] and also between the Board and the
	[Group Executive] are a barrier to business success that
	warrants further explanation."
	Is that something that you have experienced since
	joining the company?
Α.	Not exactly. I think the Group Executive, I mean,
Λ.	was quite thin on the ground when I joined. We had no
	CFO because the former CFO was on long-term sick, no
	General Counsel. So quite large gaps in the team but
0	that's not something I particularly recognise.
Q.	The second paragraph is explained, I think that's
	a reference to Mr Cameron. The third paragraph:
	"With the context of the current enquiry especially,

being learned and applied to prevent something similar
 happening in the future. Concerns were clear about the
 124

there was a clear concern over whether lessons had/were

1		lack of time/energy that leaders had, but also the	1	
2		apparent underlying lack of trust/respect between	2	
3		leaders and the lack of accountability (healthy support	3	
4		and challenge)."	4	
5		Again, is that something that you have come across?	5	
6	Α.	I've certainly come across the lack of accountability.	6	
7	Q.	Can you give us an example of that, please?	7	
8	Α.	I don't think I can give specific examples, it's just	8	
9		a theme that I'm seeing, and the way I'm seeing it	9	
10		manifest itself is with the amount of materials that are	10	_
11		going to the Board. So I've listened to other witnesses	11	Α.
12		give evidence and I think talk about the Board being	12	
13		overwhelmed with information, and that's something	13	
14		certainly that I saw immediately. And I think the	14	
15		reason that ties into accountability is people are not	15	
16		making decisions, and are deflecting everything upwards	16	
17		through the governance structures in the organisation,	17	
18	~	so that lands at the Board.	18	
19	Q.	Thank you. Can we go over the page, please, and over	19	
20		the page again. Can we go to number 3, please. The	20	
21		feedback there is:	21 22	
22		"The Board is not really listened to. The Exec is	22	
23 24		under real pressure and it is not sure they know how to get the most out of the [Non-Executive Directors].	23 24	~
24 25			24 25	Q.
25		"There is not enough clarity on the role and 125	25	
1		"It feels as though we are a bit of a rubber stamp	1	
2		on things."	2	
3		Can you assist us with your views on that?	3	
4	Α.	Well, I don't think the Board is risk averse; I think	4	
5		the Board does its role properly in terms of considering	5	
6		risks appropriately. If the rubber stamp relates to how	6	
7		we work with Government departments, then I can assure	7	
8		you I will not work in that way. I'm an independent	8	
9		Chairman and we will operate as an independent Board.	9	Q.
10	Q.	Have you taken any steps, so far, that can demonstrate	10	
11		that?	11	
12	Α.	Yes, with the strategic review, where suggestions were	12	_
13		made that we might want to, you know, not be quite so	13	Α.
14		aggressive with the strategic review, in terms of the	14	
15		things we wanted to implement and I refused to concede	15	
16		and said "No, we're going to, as an independent Board,	16	
17		come up with a plan which we think is the right plan for	17	
18	-	the Post Office".	18	
19	Q.	What do you mean by "suggestions were made"?	19	
20	Α.	Just in terms of the level of funding that's required.	20	
21	Q.	Who by?	21	
22	Α.	It was just by officials that I spoke to in different	22	
23		departments in Government, and it wasn't official	23	
24		direction, let me be clear, this was just suggestions,	24	
05		because I think a lot of decisions are driven by what	05	
25		because I think a lot of decisions are driven by what 127	25	

1		expectations of the [Non-Executive Directors]/Board."
2		Slightly further down, it says:
3		"I am not sure we have the right calibre of team at
4		[executive] level, and therefore there is more chance
5		the [Non-Executive Director] gets involved in detail
6		when they shouldn't. Turnarounds need strong leaders
7		and leadership; with courage, energy and will to drive
8		change, and who can help the organisation believe."
9		What are your views on that; have you experienced
10		any of those concerns?
11	Α.	I think it's part of the same the same issue. When
12		we talk about turnarounds, I think what we're talking
13		about now is implementation of the new plan that comes
14		from the strategic review and, clearly, you need leaders
15		with, you know, great leadership abilities. The thing
16		that we need to do is, once we've that the leadership
17		review hopefully approved, we'll look at the skills that
18		are required to implement that, we have an interim team
19		at the moment that are strong, and we will consider what
20		permanent roles we need in order to implement the plan.
21		But I do think the relationship between the
22		Non-Executive Directors and the Executives, certainly in
23		the few months that I've been here, is quite healthy.
24	Q.	Number 4 relates to the Board being risk averse. If we
25		scroll down, it also says:
		126
1		the Treasury will fund, so what one tends to get is
1 2		the Treasury will fund, so what one tends to get is advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But
2		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But
2 3		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my
2 3 4		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan
2 3 4 5		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset
2 3 4 5 6		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A.	advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for money to achieve any change or to achieve anything that they want to achieve; is that your experience? Not exactly. I think all of these examples of Treasury
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for money to achieve any change or to achieve anything that they want to achieve; is that your experience?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for money to achieve any change or to achieve anything that they want to achieve; is that your experience? Not exactly. I think all of these examples of Treasury short-term funding all come from the same problem: lack of strategy. So because things have, you know, been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for money to achieve any change or to achieve anything that they want to achieve; is that your experience? Not exactly. I think all of these examples of Treasury short-term funding all come from the same problem: lack of strategy. So because things have, you know, been short-term, each decision has been a short-term
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for money to achieve any change or to achieve anything that they want to achieve; is that your experience? Not exactly. I think all of these examples of Treasury short-term funding all come from the same problem: lack of strategy. So because things have, you know, been short-term, each decision has been a short-term decision, it's therefore necessitated short-term funding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for money to achieve any change or to achieve anything that they want to achieve; is that your experience? Not exactly. I think all of these examples of Treasury short-term funding all come from the same problem: lack of strategy. So because things have, you know, been short-term, each decision has been a short-term decision, it's therefore necessitated short-term funding from the Treasury, and I don't know which one started
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for money to achieve any change or to achieve anything that they want to achieve; is that your experience? Not exactly. I think all of these examples of Treasury short-term funding all come from the same problem: lack of strategy. So because things have, you know, been short-term, each decision has been a short-term decision, it's therefore necessitated short-term funding from the Treasury, and I don't know which one started first, whether it was the Treasury saying "You can only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for money to achieve any change or to achieve anything that they want to achieve; is that your experience? Not exactly. I think all of these examples of Treasury short-term funding all come from the same problem: lack of strategy. So because things have, you know, been short-term, each decision has been a short-term decision, it's therefore necessitated short-term funding from the Treasury, and I don't know which one started first, whether it was the Treasury saying "You can only have money for three months", in which case short-term
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for money to achieve any change or to achieve anything that they want to achieve; is that your experience? Not exactly. I think all of these examples of Treasury short-term funding all come from the same problem: lack of strategy. So because things have, you know, been short-term, each decision has been a short-term decision, it's therefore necessitated short-term funding from the Treasury, and I don't know which one started first, whether it was the Treasury saying "You can only have money for three months", in which case short-term
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for money to achieve any change or to achieve anything that they want to achieve; is that your experience? Not exactly. I think all of these examples of Treasury short-term funding all come from the same problem: lack of strategy. So because things have, you know, been short-term, each decision has been a short-term decision, it's therefore necessitated short-term funding from the Treasury, and I don't know which one started first, whether it was the Treasury saying "You can only have money for three months", in which case short-term decisions are made or, in fact, short-term decisions were made that led to the Treasury funding it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for money to achieve any change or to achieve anything that they want to achieve; is that your experience? Not exactly. I think all of these examples of Treasury short-term funding all come from the same problem: lack of strategy. So because things have, you know, been short-term, each decision has been a short-term decision, it's therefore necessitated short-term funding from the Treasury, and I don't know which one started first, whether it was the Treasury saying "You can only have money for three months", in which case short-term decisions are made or, in fact, short-term decisions were made that led to the Treasury funding it short-term. But what I do know is the antidote to this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for money to achieve any change or to achieve anything that they want to achieve; is that your experience? Not exactly. I think all of these examples of Treasury short-term funding all come from the same problem: lack of strategy. So because things have, you know, been short-term, each decision has been a short-term decision, it's therefore necessitated short-term funding from the Treasury, and I don't know which one started first, whether it was the Treasury saying "You can only have money for three months", in which case short-term decisions are made or, in fact, short-term decisions were made that led to the Treasury funding it short-term. But what I do know is the antidote to this a proper strategy that is properly considered, funded
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		advice in terms of what the Treasury might accept. But I'm not prepared to do that. I mean, the thing is my remit and our remit is to come up with the right plan for postmasters, you know, for a new deal and to reset the you know, and to change the polarisation. So I couldn't do that if I'm hampered, so we've come up with what I believe is the right plan. We've heard some evidence of a begging bowl mentality, whereby the Post Office have to beg the Treasury for money to achieve any change or to achieve anything that they want to achieve; is that your experience? Not exactly. I think all of these examples of Treasury short-term funding all come from the same problem: lack of strategy. So because things have, you know, been short-term, each decision has been a short-term decision, it's therefore necessitated short-term funding from the Treasury, and I don't know which one started first, whether it was the Treasury saying "You can only have money for three months", in which case short-term decisions are made or, in fact, short-term decisions were made that led to the Treasury funding it short-term. But what I do know is the antidote to this

1	Q.	You don't have to give us the figures involved but does
2		the strategy that you're going to be presenting or you
3		have presented, does that have a figure in mind that
4		will resolve the various issues?
5	Α.	Yes, it's fully costed, it's fully planned out. We know
6		exactly what we need to do.
7	Q.	Thank you. Number 5:
8		"Overall, for a variety of reasons (capability,
9		energy) the leadership probably isn't strong enough
10		for the demands the organisation is facing. Nick (and
11		the Exec) are the fixers, not the cause of the Horizon
12		situation, but his and their ability to remain rational
13		and focused is really being tested by his/their
14		attachment to the serious nature of the historical
15		issues and this is depleting energy and bandwagon for
16		the transformations.
17		"The Board and the Exec need to be better at
18		supporting each other. The level of teamwork is poor.
19		The Public Inquiry process is consuming and draining the
20		[Group Executive's] energy needed for the turnaround.
21		Be really clear and aligned on the messages for the
22		shareholder [regarding] the challenges ahead."
23		Do you consider that there is sufficient energy
24		currently to achieve those objectives?
25	A.	Yes, I think so. I think the interim people that were
		129
1		"There is no obvious successor to Nick other than
2		D(CIO)"
3		I'm not sure who that is but it says:
4		" but he is far too stretched and needs to focus
5		on the platform. For the next 12 months there is a need
6		for someone who will perform a CEO [business as usual]
7		role (COO/CEO designate) given much of Nick's focus and
8		time will be spent on the [Inquiry].
9		"There are some 'warning lights' around the way work
10		is proceeding on the new platform"
11		We'll get on to the new platform.
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	In terms of the CEO role, we know that Mr Read is going
14		to be stepping down; are you involved in succession
15		planning?
16	A.	I will be. I will be.
17	Q.	
18		regard?
19	Α.	No, not yet. I need to once this evidence session is
20		over, I need to turn my attention to speaking to UKGI
21	-	and DBT to start that process.
21 22	Q.	Irrespective of names, what kind of skills are you going
21 22 23		Irrespective of names, what kind of skills are you going to be looking for?
21 22	Q. A.	Irrespective of names, what kind of skills are you going

1		brought in recently have injected new energy into the
2	_	business.
3	Q.	Where have they been brought into?
4	Α.	Well, three individuals came from my team at Camelot,
5		and Preetha, who is with us today, came from John Lewis
6	-	and Heathrow Airport.
7	Q.	Thank you:
8		"There is a genuine concern that the Board are held
9		accountable for stuff that we don't actually have the
10		authority to decide on.
11		"Having learned from the [Postmaster Non-Executive
12		Directors] it shows how 'out of touch' our execs are.
13		They are not connected enough to the commercials and
14		definitely not in touch with the customer."
15		In your view, is the role of Non-Executive
16		Subpostmaster something that you would wish to continue;
17		do you think it's useful; or do you think some other
18		model might be appropriate?
19	Α.	I think it's, in answer to your question, absolutely
20 21		vital. One of the reasons that I agreed to join was the
21		conversations I'd had with Saf and Elliot and, in
22		particular, I had an amazing conversation with them for an hour and they asked for my help based on my Camelot
23 24		experience, which is one of the real reasons I joined.
24	Q.	Can we scroll down, please. It says:
20	ч.	130
1		we need people with strong leadership teams; understand
2		how to work with an organisation that supports
3		an amazing social purpose; digital skills; retail
4		skills; and technology skills. So quite a broad remit.
5	Q.	Do you think such a person (a) exists and (b) would be
6		happy to join the Post Office at the level of pay?
7	Α.	Yes and yes.
8	Q.	Can we scroll down to number 9, please:
9		"What advice would you want to share with the
10		Chairman?
11		"Complete a great handover involving new and
12		outgoing [Non-Executive Directors] and extending to the
13		other Board members.
14		"Give clear and frank messages to the shareholder
15		(to help everyone internally and externally) appreciate
16		the situation [Post Office] is in and the ambition
17		moving forwards.
18		"Be decisive over the team. Have we got the right
19		CEO for a turnaround?
20		"Are there too many [Non-Executive Directors]
21		There doesn't feel like enough camaraderie in the Board
22		"
23		What is your view about the balance between
24		Executives and Non-Executives at Board level?
25	Α.	Well, we have no apart from Nick, who has resigned,

25 **A.** Well, we have no -- apart from Nick, who has resigned, 132

1		we have no Executive Directors on the Board at the
2		moment. So that's something we need to address. So we
3		need the CFO to be a Board member and the acting CEO,
4		and that's something we're working on at the moment.
5	Q.	Can we scroll down, please, to number 11:
6		"Given that the [Post Office] is in need of
7		sustained transformation commercially and culturally,
8		(so it is set up to compete and be successful in the
9		market) there are questions about the fit of the Board
10		level [Group Executive] leadership.
11		"How well suited are they to drive of the
12		turnaround?"
13		Much the same as we've already been looking at.
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	There's a concern there raised between the relationship
16		between Mr Read and Mr Cameron. Do you think there is
17		sufficient trust at Executive level and Board level in
18		the organisation?
19	Α.	It's difficult for me to answer that question, given
20		I've only been here a number of months but, certainly at
21		Board level, I found a really high level of trust
22		between Board members.
23	Q.	Thank you. The second document I'd like to look at is
24		something called "Group Assurance Chairman Update".
25		That can be found at POL00460606. This document is
		133
1		and controls. This view has been communicated to both
1 2		and controls. This view has been communicated to both RCC and ARC."
2		RCC and ARC."
2 3		RCC and ARC." Then it says:
2 3 4		RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel
2 3 4 5		RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes.
2 3 4 5 6		RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines;
2 3 4 5 6 7		RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	А.	RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for example, the implementation of the Common Issues
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for example, the implementation of the Common Issues judgment and had to do that on an Excel document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for example, the implementation of the Common Issues judgment and had to do that on an Excel document? I believe that's what the document says, yes. This was,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q.	RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for example, the implementation of the Common Issues judgment and had to do that on an Excel document? I believe that's what the document says, yes. This was, as you can imagine, quite a lot of detail for an incoming Chairman to absorb, and in couple of weeks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for example, the implementation of the Common Issues judgment and had to do that on an Excel document? I believe that's what the document says, yes. This was, as you can imagine, quite a lot of detail for an incoming Chairman to absorb, and in couple of weeks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for example, the implementation of the Common Issues judgment and had to do that on an Excel document? I believe that's what the document says, yes. This was, as you can imagine, quite a lot of detail for an incoming Chairman to absorb, and in couple of weeks. Stepping back, and just trying to remember that meeting,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for example, the implementation of the Common Issues judgment and had to do that on an Excel document? I believe that's what the document says, yes. This was, as you can imagine, quite a lot of detail for an incoming Chairman to absorb, and in couple of weeks. Stepping back, and just trying to remember that meeting, what were the key concerns that were raised at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for example, the implementation of the Common Issues judgment and had to do that on an Excel document? I believe that's what the document says, yes. This was, as you can imagine, quite a lot of detail for an incoming Chairman to absorb, and in couple of weeks. Stepping back, and just trying to remember that meeting, what were the key concerns that were raised at this meeting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for example, the implementation of the Common Issues judgment and had to do that on an Excel document? I believe that's what the document says, yes. This was, as you can imagine, quite a lot of detail for an incoming Chairman to absorb, and in couple of weeks. Stepping back, and just trying to remember that meeting, what were the key concerns that were raised at this meeting? I think more generally, that the kind of control
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for example, the implementation of the Common Issues judgment and had to do that on an Excel document? I believe that's what the document says, yes. This was, as you can imagine, quite a lot of detail for an incoming Chairman to absorb, and in couple of weeks. Stepping back, and just trying to remember that meeting, what were the key concerns that were raised at this meeting? I think more generally, that the kind of control universe or the control framework within the Post Office
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for example, the implementation of the Common Issues judgment and had to do that on an Excel document? I believe that's what the document says, yes. This was, as you can imagine, quite a lot of detail for an incoming Chairman to absorb, and in couple of weeks. Stepping back, and just trying to remember that meeting, what were the key concerns that were raised at this meeting? I think more generally, that the kind of control universe or the control framework within the Post Office was perhaps not as efficient as it could be, and what I mean by that is that Assurance, Risk and Compliance were all separate, as was Audit, and it seemed to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q.	RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for example, the implementation of the Common Issues judgment and had to do that on an Excel document? I believe that's what the document says, yes. This was, as you can imagine, quite a lot of detail for an incoming Chairman to absorb, and in couple of weeks. Stepping back, and just trying to remember that meeting, what were the key concerns that were raised at this meeting? I think more generally, that the kind of control universe or the control framework within the Post Office was perhaps not as efficient as it could be, and what I mean by that is that Assurance, Risk and Compliance were all separate, as was Audit, and it seemed to me that things were operating in silos and it would be much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	RCC and ARC." Then it says: "So what? We had no choice to build our own Excel based universes. "Common Issue Judgment 365 lines; "SPMP Integrated Assurance & Risk Universe 509 lines." Am I right to understand from this that, as part of their group assurance function, they were reviewing, for example, the implementation of the Common Issues judgment and had to do that on an Excel document? I believe that's what the document says, yes. This was, as you can imagine, quite a lot of detail for an incoming Chairman to absorb, and in couple of weeks. Stepping back, and just trying to remember that meeting, what were the key concerns that were raised at this meeting? I think more generally, that the kind of control universe or the control framework within the Post Office was perhaps not as efficient as it could be, and what I mean by that is that Assurance, Risk and Compliance were all separate, as was Audit, and it seemed to me

on II	Inq	ury 8 October 2024
1		dated 16 May this year, so it pre-dates your
2		appointment, or your formal appointment, but you are
3		listed as an attendee of this meeting.
4		It's POL00460606. Thank you.
5		Are you able to assist us with the background to
6		this document?
7	Α.	Yes, I was even though my official appointment was
8		24 May, I did start on 1 May with my induction and,
9		I have to say, I had a very comprehensive induction
10		programme that I worked through with the teams, and this
11		one was on 16 May which was my introduction to Sarah to
12		take me through how assurance worked.
13	Q.	Can we scroll down and look at paragraph 4. It says
14		there:
15		"Adopted a tactical and heavily manual approach to
16		Assurance due to the following gaps:
17		"a. No common universe definition and/or coverage
18		for [Post Office] activities
19		"Even IA do not have a comprehensive universe and
20		they were not assessing the sustainability and/or
21		impacts of the actions implemented for Issue
22		judgments a major cause of divergence between their
23		outcomes and ours!!!
24		"SNOW our GRC tool cannot be relied upon for
25		completeness and/or accuracy, with a lens of both risks
		134
1		of how the control framework should work.
2	Q.	If we scroll over the page, they set out their key
3		issues and significant concerns. They say, "All of
4		these are easily fixable". One is "Lack of
5		accountability and consequence management":
6		"This is the single root cause of all issues in [the
7		Post Office]."
8		Is that something you agree with? Is that something
9		that's been taken forward?
10	Α.	I think I agree with it, actually. I think we said
11		I said earlier there was a lack of accountability.
12		I think there was a lack of consequence management and
13		the reason say that is, when I look at some of the risks
14		that come to the Audit and Risk Committee, that are
15		moving quarter by quarter and not being resolved or
16		moved forward, I think that's an example.
17	Q.	So the risks are being identified at Board level but
18	ч.	aren't being resolved; why is that?
19	Α.	I think no, the risks are being identified within the
19 20	д.	business and escalated through the Audit Committee to
20 21		the Board and, previously, they were not moving at
21 22		
		sufficient pace in terms of mitigation and resolution.
23 24		The reason for that is the sheer volume of priorities in the business at the moment.
24 25	0	Can you see that being resolved and, if so, how?
25	Q.	136

1	A.	Well, focus on the key priorities, number 1. I think	1
2		we've now very clear on what the key priorities of the	2
3		business are. Would it be helpful to describe those?	3
4	Q.	Yes, briefly.	4
5	Α.	Well, you know, quite clearly there's two number 1	5
6		priorities: one is assisting this Inquiry with its	6
7		important work; and the second one is speeding up	7
8		mediation payments.	8
9		Our third priority is the strategic review, having	ç
10		that approved by Government, implementing it as soon as	1
11		possible; fourthly, implementing the findings from the	1
12		Grant Thornton review in terms of governance, that's	1
13		something I'm hoping to have in place very quickly; and	1
14		then, finally, deciding what to do next with the NBIT	1
15		programme.	1
16		They're our kind of five priorities.	1
17	Q.	Thank you. We'll just go through a few more of these	1
18		key issues and then we'll move actually onto the Grant	1
19		Thornton report and your reflections on that.	1
20	Α.	Okay.	2
21	Q.	The second is "Closed mindset Capability and	2
22		Competence":	2
23		"Lesson of the past at an inherent level are not	2
24		imbibed in the DNA of [the Post Office].	2
25		"Many seniors have a myopic lens on their role. 137	2
1		" Disparate, illogical, and non-existent [versus]	1
2		good or even basic practice	2
3		"Responsible person is not a [Subject Matter Expert]	3
4		in this field."	4
5 6		We have already heard evidence this morning about a problem within the business those who were being asked	5
7		for their opinions were not the Subject Matter Experts	-
8		and perhaps a lack of a lack of Subject Matter Experts	<i>،</i> ٤
9		being asked their views; is that something you	ç
10		recognise?	1
11	Α.	Not particularly. I think context is important. So I'd	1
12		imagine, at an executive level, asking non-Subject	1
13		Matter Experts their opinions on things is sometimes	1
14		healthy because you get good debates. So it's not	1
15		something I particularly see.	1
16	Q.	Thank you. Let's move on to the Grant Thornton review,	1
17		please. You've said in your statement and you've said	1
18		just now that there is a structured programme to	1
19		implement their recommendations; can you assist us with	1
20		what that involves and who is responsible for that?	2
21	Α.	So the Company Secretary is working through that at the	2
22		moment. So, as a Board, we agreed a plan. So of all	2
23		the actions in the Grant Thornton review and of	2
24		course there are many we have a plan to implement all	2
25		of those things and we're working through that, some of	2
		139	

1		"Many are afraid to make decisions, therefore so	
2		many committees.	
3		"Newly created Leadership Team has excluded line of	
4		defence Most illogical considering lessons of the	
5		last and themes arising from the Inquiry."	
6		Can you assist us with your understanding of that	
7		and whether that is being resolved.	
8	Α.	It is being resolved. I mean, I have to be honest,	
9		I don't quite understand some of that. The one	
10		I certainly recognise is that many in the business are	
11		afraid to make decisions. There is a fear culture and,	
12		basically, what that means in practice is that many	
13		decisions are escalated and eventually arrive at the	
14	_	Board.	
15	Q.	I think we see that under "Governance", number 3.	
16		I think the third point there says:	
17		"Despite [the Post Office] significant issues,	
18		Board/ARC seem afraid to intervene or challenge overtly	
19		for management to course correct."	
20		Does that feed into that concern or is that	
21 22	•	a separate concern? No, it's part of the same concern where too much is	
22	Α.	coming to the Board and I can assure you that I am	
23 24		course correcting that.	
24	Q.	Then "Operational level", it says:	
20	٩.	138	
1		which we can implement before the strategic review is	
1 2		which we can implement before the strategic review is agreed and some afterwards	
1 2 3		agreed and some afterwards.	
2		agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and,	
2 3		agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the	
2 3 4		agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all	
2 3 4 5		agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the	
2 3 4 5 6		agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent	
2 3 4 5 6 7		agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately afterwards.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately afterwards. Thank you. Can we please turn to POL00446477 and that's	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately afterwards. Thank you. Can we please turn to POL00446477 and that's the Grant Thornton report.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	Q.	agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately afterwards. Thank you. Can we please turn to POL00446477 and that's the Grant Thornton report. The key findings are on page 7 and perhaps we can	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately afterwards. Thank you. Can we please turn to POL00446477 and that's the Grant Thornton report. The key findings are on page 7 and perhaps we can turn to those, please.	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately afterwards. Thank you. Can we please turn to POL00446477 and that's the Grant Thornton report. The key findings are on page 7 and perhaps we can turn to those, please. The first one, "The lack of a unifying purpose and	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately afterwards. Thank you. Can we please turn to POL00446477 and that's the Grant Thornton report. The key findings are on page 7 and perhaps we can turn to those, please. The first one, "The lack of a unifying purpose and group-wide strategy between [the Post Office] and its	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately afterwards. Thank you. Can we please turn to POL00446477 and that's the Grant Thornton report. The key findings are on page 7 and perhaps we can turn to those, please. The first one, "The lack of a unifying purpose and group-wide strategy between [the Post Office] and its shareholder", is the strategic review the solution to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately afterwards. Thank you. Can we please turn to POL00446477 and that's the Grant Thornton report. The key findings are on page 7 and perhaps we can turn to those, please. The first one, "The lack of a unifying purpose and group-wide strategy between [the Post Office] and its shareholder", is the strategic review the solution to that?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	А.	agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately afterwards. Thank you. Can we please turn to POL00446477 and that's the Grant Thornton report. The key findings are on page 7 and perhaps we can turn to those, please. The first one, "The lack of a unifying purpose and group-wide strategy between [the Post Office] and its shareholder", is the strategic review the solution to that? Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	А.	agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately afterwards. Thank you. Can we please turn to POL00446477 and that's the Grant Thornton report. The key findings are on page 7 and perhaps we can turn to those, please. The first one, "The lack of a unifying purpose and group-wide strategy between [the Post Office] and its shareholder", is the strategic review the solution to that? Yes. Second, is there anything else, other than the strategic review that you'd point to address that issue? No, but I think what the strategic review allows us to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q.	agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately afterwards. Thank you. Can we please turn to POL00446477 and that's the Grant Thornton report. The key findings are on page 7 and perhaps we can turn to those, please. The first one, "The lack of a unifying purpose and group-wide strategy between [the Post Office] and its shareholder", is the strategic review the solution to that? Yes. Second, is there anything else, other than the strategic review that you'd point to address that issue? No, but I think what the strategic review allows us to do is have a proper conversation with Government	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q.	agreed and some afterwards. So the ones that we can kind of get on with and, by the way, what I should say is that I thought the Grant Thornton report was excellent. I mean, it's all pretty much basic good governance but it was excellent in terms of its detail. So we're implementing everything we can, and then those things that we need to wait for the strategic review to be approved, we'll implement immediately afterwards. Thank you. Can we please turn to POL00446477 and that's the Grant Thornton report. The key findings are on page 7 and perhaps we can turn to those, please. The first one, "The lack of a unifying purpose and group-wide strategy between [the Post Office] and its shareholder", is the strategic review the solution to that? Yes. Second, is there anything else, other than the strategic review that you'd point to address that issue? No, but I think what the strategic review allows us to	

1		at least we have a strategy as a start point of the
2		conversation.
3	Q.	Number 2, "Conflict around the role of the Shareholder
4		versus the Board", that's one area we've heard quite
5		a lot of evidence on, the relationship between the Board
6		and UKGI and the Department for Business. What do you
7		see as the Board doing in respect of conflicts in that
8		relationship?
9	Α.	I haven't particularly seen this but that may be because
10		of the approach that I've taken, that we are
11		an independent Board and we will make our decisions
12		with, you know, input from Board members that represent
13		the shareholder, but we'll make our independent
14		decisions nonetheless. So I haven't really seen that,
15		I think I can understand from history where this might
16		have arisen but, again, I think the answer to this is to
17		have a clear strategy agreed with Government.
18	Q.	
19		the UKGI and the Post Office?
20	Α.	I don't currently see cultural issues, actually.
21		I think what we have to get to is a common goal, which
22		is a new deal for postmasters that I talked about, and
23		we have been working through achieving that common goal
24		and I have to say that I feel supported.
25	Q.	In your view, the role of a UKGI Non-Executive Director,
		141
1		achieve. We will need organisation structure to support
2		that and an operating model. So, you know, what roles
3		do we need? Clearly, we need a CEO, clearly we need
4		a CFO, but what other types of roles and what types of
5		individuals and what types of experience will we need?
6		And we'll populate that from the start point of
7		implementing the plan, with the revised operating model
, 8		of how the business has to operate.
9	Q.	Are there likely to be more people recruited to senior
9 10	ખ.	roles?
11	A.	Possibly. And sorry, if I can just clarify that. It
12	д.	depends on the definition of senior role. Do we need
12		

- 13 perhaps more people at an Executive level? Probably. 14 But there are lots of layers of senior roles in the Post
- 15 Office and I think that's unusual.
- Q. Sorry, it's unusual for there to be so many people in 16 17 senior positions?
- Yes. 18 Α.
- Thank you. Number 4, "Decision making forums at 19 Q. 20 Enterprise level lack pace and do not enable
- 21 accountability". Have there been any changes insofar as 22 that is concerned, as far as you're aware?
- 23 A. Well, this is something that I and the Executive are
- 24 currently looking at as part of the whole governance
- 25 redesign. We have to re-engineer the governance to make 143

- does that work on the Board? So somebody who, for
- 2 example, spends all of their time on the Post Office,
- 3 has greater knowledge of Post Office affairs than
- 4 perhaps other Non-Executive Directors?
- 5 A. I think it depends on the individual. I think it can
- 6 work. I think with the wrong individual it might be
- 7 difficult but it -- certainly, from my experience,
- I think it works. And it's not unusual. I mean, when 8
- q I was at Camelot we had shareholder representatives on
- 10 the Board, that 100 per cent owned the company and we
- 11 made it work. And, if you embrace it properly, you can 12
- really make it -- you know, turn it to your advantage, 13
- in terms of having somebody that can go back to the
- 14 shareholder that really understand the business 15 requirements.
- 16 Q. Number 3, "Leadership capacity". Are there any specific
- 17 plans in place that you can point to to address that?
- Yes. So structure follows strategy. So once the 18 Α.
- 19 strategy is approved, we have a clear structure that
- 20 we're going to populate with clear skills that are 21 required.
- 22 Q. Can you assist us with what that means in plain English, 23 please?
- 24 Well, in plain English, we understand what the structure Α.
- 25 is trying to -- sorry, the strategy is trying to 142
- 1 sure the business, first of all, is taking 2 accountability and has the right decision making 3 ability, and making sure the various levels of 4 governance work, which currently, at the moment, to be 5 frank, they don't because too much comes to the Board. 6 Q. Fifth, "Culture -- a lack of trust, accountability and 7 performance management". How do you envisage that can 8 be overcome? 9 A. I think culture is a really interesting topic and I know one that this Inquiry has considered from various 10 11 different dimensions but I think it starts with purpose. And I think, you know, can the culture be improved in 12 the Post Office by doing certain things better -- and 13 14 I think Karen this morning talked about some of the 15 things that she's doing -- yes. But I think that to get 16 a true step change in culture requires a resetting of 17 the purpose, which again a strategic review does and 18 I think a resetting of the purpose allows them to get 19 the right behaviours in place, and culture will follow. 20 Q. What is that purpose, so far as you see it? 21 The social value, the purpose of the centre of the Post Α. 22 Office is to support postmasters to support the 23 communities that they serve. 24 Q. In your view, knowing what you know from the past, do
- you think that there has been or is about to be some 25 144

1		sort of step change in that?
2	Α.	There will be a step change. There will be a step
3		change but it'll be through the strategic review when we
4		reposition the change the polarity of the business
5		and go back to first principles around purpose. And
6		I did this at Camelot. When I took over as CEO in
7		Camelot, belief in the Executive Team was 35 per cent,
8		which is not dissimilar, actually, to the scores we've
9		seen for the Post Office, at 36 per cent, I think.
10		When I left, assisted by some of the people that are
11		currently assisting me at the Post Office, it was at 96.
12	Q.	How do you see yourself as achieving that?
13	Α.	Pardon me?
14	Q.	How do you see yourself as achieving that?
15	Α.	I think it's the same steps. It's about having a plan
16		that goes back to the purpose of the Post Office and
17		a new deal for postmasters, a change in polarity, having
18		the right people operating in the right way, behaving in
19		the right way to the right values. Culture follows.
20	Q.	Are there any concrete steps outside of your own
21		strategic review that you can draw to the attention of
22		the Chair that you consider would change the culture in
23		the Post Office?
24	Α.	I know that the Executive Team at the moment are working
25		hard to try to change the culture and I think we
		145
1		about is an anonymous letter that we've seen already
2		today. It's POL00448519. Was this a letter that came
3		to you very early on in your time at the Post Office?
4		ls it a letter you saw very early
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	I won't go through it again. If we turn over the page,
7		we can go just see there is something addressed to you,
8		penultimate paragraph, that says:
9		"Mr Railton, please look at the above and
10		investigate. If you can, you should engage with some of
11		the past employees (particularly [Mr] Staunton and
12		[Mr] Cameron). Our view is that those who have left
13		under a cloud are the ones telling the truth."
14		Is that something that you've personally
15		investigated; are there conversations that you have had?
16	Α.	I haven't spoken to Mr Staunton or Mr Cameron, no. When
17		I received this letter, and I think it was dated four
18		days after I officially started, I took advice from the
19		SID, and I went through this and stepped through it
		because I thought it was also a useful learning
20		
20 21		experience for me to understand what happened in the
		experience for me to understand what happened in the past. I was assured and we put it into the proper
21		
21 22		past. I was assured and we put it into the proper
21 22 23		past. I was assured and we put it into the proper investigation process.
21 22 23 24		past. I was assured and we put it into the proper investigation process. What came back was that the vast majority of all of

1201111	inq	
1		incremental changes can be made, you know, where people
-		
2		start to I mean, people do many conversations
3		I hear about postmasters. So that's good thing. But
4		I think rather than just incremental change, I think we
5		need wholesale change, and that will come through the
6	_	strategic review.
7	Q.	I'm getting quite a few answers that are just looking
8		forward to the strategic review. It would be helpful
9		for the Inquiry to know at least some steps that you
10		have in mind to change something so fundamental as
11		culture.
12	Α.	I can't really tell you the things that I'll do in the
13		next month or two because I'm the Chairman and it's
14		really a role for the Executive but I know that Neil
15		Brocklehurst and the Exec Team, and Karen, are focused
16		on this. So I think, yes, we can change the culture,
17		and perhaps we can change behaviours in the short-term.
18		What I'm talking about is truly embedded culture, that's
19		what I'm really talking about. It will only come
20		through the step change that's right allowed through the
21		strategic review.
22	Q.	Are we to expect something fundamental to change as
23		a result of the strategic review?
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	Thank you. The fourth document I'd like to ask you
		146
1		one or two that required a bit more investigation, which
2		happened very quickly and through the proper process,
3		and this basically included no further action.
4	Q.	Thank you. That can come down.
5		I'd like to now move on to the topic of NBIT.
6		You've said in your statement, and it's a paragraph
7		we've already been over, that you have been told that
8		what has happened in the past in the IT system can't
9		happen again now. Are you familiar with the Inquiry's
10		hearings in Phase 2 of the Inquiry that looked at the
11		original procurement of the Horizon system?
12	Α.	I'm not, I'm afraid. I'm sorry.
13	Q.	Could we please turn to POL00460593. This is an email
14		from Mr Bartlett to you dated 27 June this year. It'll
15		come up on the screen in a moment. I'd just like to
16		take you through it. He's identified a number of
17		different investigations that, at that point in time,
18		were ongoing, relating to the NBIT system.
19	Α.	Mm.
20	Q.	I'd like to take you through each one of those. Before
21		I do, what were you told initially about the progress of
22		the NBIT scheme?
23	Α.	As part of my induction, I was told that the programme
24		was an track and prograssing well. Latrugglad to

- A. As part of my induction, I was told that the programm
   was on track and progressing well. I struggled to
- reconcile that to another briefing I'd had that the

148

1		project was now going to cost 1.2 billion against	1
2		a budget of 180 million, and deliver in 2028 against	2
3		an expectation of 2024. So the two things were in	3
4		conflict for me.	4
5		Why do you think that is?	5
6	Α.		6
7		people were confident about the revised plan, whereas my	7
8		reference point was the original plan. So perhaps that	8
9		was the reason for the improved confidence in the	9
10		revised plan.	10
11	Q.	, , , ,	11
12		initially?	12
13	Α.		13
14		and I was presented with a lot of data and a lot of	14
15		information. So it's difficult for me to answer that	15
16		question. I imagine people were presenting me with the	16
17		data they thought was relevant.	17
18	Q.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	18
19		through each of the allegations. Mr Bartlett says:	19
20		"Nigel, when Sarah and I met with you to brief you	20
21		on A&CI's work, we discussed Project Willow2 and the	21
22		Speak Up reporting we have periodically received over	22
23		the last year that gave us a qualified insight into the	23
24		NBIT programme. You asked for a brief on the topics	24
25		being reported to us. Claire Hamilton, the Speak Up 149	25
1		"That two senior engineers 'pressured' two IT	1
2		security/assurance personnel to disable or waive infosec	2
3		checks in NBIT's specifications to save time in the	3
4		project's rollout (Comment: [Grant Thornton] are	4
5		focusing on evidence/testing this and understanding the	5
6		specific impact if it did occur)."	6
7		So it seems the second allegation is that something	7
8		was disabled in order to save time.	8
9	Α.	Mm.	9
10	Q.	0	10
11	Α.		11
12		certainly, what I'll also say is that these allegations	12
13		raised my interest in whether or not the programme and	13
14	~	the project was going in the right direction.	14
15	Q.	-	15
16	A.		16
17	Q.		17
18		relation to any often these projects, any of these	18
19 20		investigations?	19 20
20	A.	No.	20
21	Q.	,	21
22	Α.	Perhaps it should be but I've been more concerned with	22
23 24		making sure we understand what's happening with the	23
24 25		project and I think some of these things perhaps explain	24 25
20		why the project is over-spent and late but I haven't 151	20

Team Manager has helpfully prepared the below which I hope is a useful summary. "Willow2 "This is a two-strand substantive investigation relating to concerns raised by multiple Speak Up reporters concerning NBIT. Pinsent Mason and Grant Thornton have been commissioned by [Post Office] to investigate these two allegations: "Allegation One: "That certain [Post Office] staff were aware of problems with the NBIT system and that progress reports to senior stakeholders including Nick and the [Group Executive] may not have been reliable. "In April 2024, further information received in relation to the upward passing of information and decision making at senior level in respect to known errors in the NBIT system. "It is to be determined if high severity defect information that was channelled upwards in an email to SteerCo prior to rollout may have been deliberately misleading." Are you able to assist us with the status of that investigation at all? A. I'm not. I'm afraid. I'm not. **Q.** "Allegation Two: 150 chased up on the progress against the allegations, but I will after this session. Q. "General concerns relating to NBIT team/activity raised via Speak Up. "The following are grouped themes of various information passed by a variety of reporters to Speak Up over the last year that discuss NBIT: "Behaviours "Behaviours and activities were driven by timescales linked to bonuses rather than delivery of quality and reliability, ie perverse incentives (comment: this is

investigation).
"Mirroring very senior staff behaviour (Zdravko and
Gareth Clarke), there was poor or lack of effective
communication between key staff around governance of the
programme.
"[Third] Active discouragement by senior NBIT staff
relating to the use of Speak Up channels (comment: one

now several months old so unsure if this is still occurring but it is corroborated in the Willow2

allegation was made relating to detriment resulting from Speaking Up (an offence under the PIDA if proved) -this was investigated and no grounds found to support the concern)."

So there seems to be a result in relation to the 152

1		final bullet point.	1	
2	Α.	Mm.	2	
3	Q.	But, in relation to those first two, are you aware of	3	
4		any results from those investigations?	4	
5	Α.	I am not at this stage, no.	5	
6	Q.	If we scroll down, "Accenture":	6	
7		"Collective confidential reports received raising	7	
8		concerns/issues about Accenture and conflicts of direct	8	
9		awarding by CB"	9	
10		That's Mr Brocklehurst?	10	
11	Α.	,	11	
12	Q.	Brocklesby, sorry:	12	Α.
13		" with CB being ex-Accenture, without anyone	13	
14		looking at the bigger mapping picture of key positions/	14	
15		activity held/performed by Accenture."	15	
16		Are you aware of any developments in that regard?	16	
17	Α.	•	17	
18	_	on Accenture.	18	
19	Q.		19	
20		we scroll down. There's a section there on "Reliance on	20	
21		third parties generally":	21	~
22		"Need to be setting the conditions for [the Post	22	Q.
23		Office] to be ready to run the system once rolled out	23	
24		which includes [Post Office] staff gaining relevant	24	Α.
25		skills, understanding and knowledge during the design 153	25	
1		thought in terms of the background to this?	1	
2	Q.	Absolutely.	2	
3	Α.	So the first thing I ask myself is: how did we ever get	3	
4		to a position where we're building a system internally?	4	Q.
5		And from doing some research, going back to 2021,	5	Α.
6		I think there were two decisions that were made that	6	
7		were fundamentally the wrong decision with the benefit	7	
8		of hindsight: one was the objective to get off Horizon,	8	
9		which is different than building a system for the	9	
10		future; and the second one was the decision to build	10	Q.
11		inhouse. And I think we'll you know, people in the	11	Α.
12		room will all be you know, have heard the horror	12	
13		stories of tying to build things inhouse, IT systems,	13	
14		particularly if you haven't got all the components in	14	
15		place to do so and the right conditions. So I think,	15	~
4.0		based on my assessment, that this was always set up to	16	Q.
		fail in the first place.	17	
17				Α.
17 18		And, you know, building an old Horizon system can	18	
17 18 19		never be the right thing to do. So what we need to do	19	Q.
17 18 19 20		never be the right thing to do. So what we need to do as part of reset is to think about what do we need to	19 20	
17 18 19 20 21		never be the right thing to do. So what we need to do as part of reset is to think about what do we need to build? And clearly we need to build a system for the	19 20 21	Q.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		never be the right thing to do. So what we need to do as part of reset is to think about what do we need to build? And clearly we need to build a system for the future. Now, I'm hopeful that much of the technology	19 20 21 22	Q.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23		never be the right thing to do. So what we need to do as part of reset is to think about what do we need to build? And clearly we need to build a system for the future. Now, I'm hopeful that much of the technology that's been built to date we can reuse but we have to	19 20 21 22 23	Q.
17 18 19 20 21 22		never be the right thing to do. So what we need to do as part of reset is to think about what do we need to build? And clearly we need to build a system for the future. Now, I'm hopeful that much of the technology that's been built to date we can reuse but we have to build to the outcomes of the strategic review. And to	19 20 21 22 23 24	Q.
7 8 9 1 2 3 4		never be the right thing to do. So what we need to do as part of reset is to think about what do we need to build? And clearly we need to build a system for the future. Now, I'm hopeful that much of the technology that's been built to date we can reuse but we have to	19 20 21 22 23	Q

1		and build programme. Concerns are being raised that
2		[the Post Office] has become reliant on third parties
3		and so [Post Office] staff are not going to be able to
4		effectively take over during the end transition.
5		"The matter of cost of third parties is also being
6		raised as a significant concern this is being
7		compared to the NHS being reliant on Locum Staff.
8		therefore not having the monies to continue as [business
9		as usual]."
10		Is this something you received an update on or
11		investigation into?
12	Α.	I recognise it and it is something that we are actively
13		thinking about how do we do differently. So, to this
14		point, to this point, 80 per cent of all people all
15		staff working on the NBIT replacement are contractors.
16		Only 20 per cent are permanent. And, of course, when
17		you only get contractors working on things like this,
18		they're, by their nature transient and you don't, you
19		know, we keep information and skills, and they are
20		generally expensive which is why we need to reset the
21		whole programme.
22	Q.	Is this part of the strategy review or is that
23		a separate issue?
24	Α.	No, it is part of the strategic review. So we need
25		to would it be useful if I give a little of my
		154
1		to the bank's requirements and what the banks need,
2		rather than just building old technology.
2		
3		So that's what we're going to do.
4	Q.	When is that achievable by?
4 5	Q. A.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have
4 5 6		When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the
4 5 6 7		When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what
4 5 6 7 8		When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's
4 5 6 7 8 9	Α.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by.
4 5 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years?
4 5 7 8 9 10	Α.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in 2028, it'll be before 2028 and it won't cost 1.2 billion
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in 2028, it'll be before 2028 and it won't cost 1.2 billion add we'll have far more certainty that it will be
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	А. Q. А.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in 2028, it'll be before 2028 and it won't cost 1.2 billion add we'll have far more certainty that it will be delivered.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in 2028, it'll be before 2028 and it won't cost 1.2 billion add we'll have far more certainty that it will be delivered. What do you currently see as the main issues with the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	А. Q. А.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in 2028, it'll be before 2028 and it won't cost 1.2 billion add we'll have far more certainty that it will be delivered. What do you currently see as the main issues with the NBIT system?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in 2028, it'll be before 2028 and it won't cost 1.2 billion add we'll have far more certainty that it will be delivered. What do you currently see as the main issues with the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in 2028, it'll be before 2028 and it won't cost 1.2 billion add we'll have far more certainty that it will be delivered. What do you currently see as the main issues with the NBIT system? The NBIT design?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in 2028, it'll be before 2028 and it won't cost 1.2 billion add we'll have far more certainty that it will be delivered. What do you currently see as the main issues with the NBIT system? The NBIT design? Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in 2028, it'll be before 2028 and it won't cost 1.2 billion add we'll have far more certainty that it will be delivered. What do you currently see as the main issues with the NBIT system? The NBIT design? Yes. I think the main issue is we're building the old Horizon
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in 2028, it'll be before 2028 and it won't cost 1.2 billion add we'll have far more certainty that it will be delivered. What do you currently see as the main issues with the NBIT system? The NBIT design? Yes. I think the main issue is we're building the old Horizon system, which now, the moment that decision was made
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in 2028, it'll be before 2028 and it won't cost 1.2 billion add we'll have far more certainty that it will be delivered. What do you currently see as the main issues with the NBIT system? The NBIT design? Yes. I think the main issue is we're building the old Horizon system, which now, the moment that decision was made in 2021, it was out of date because Horizon was, you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in 2028, it'll be before 2028 and it won't cost 1.2 billion add we'll have far more certainty that it will be delivered. What do you currently see as the main issues with the NBIT system? The NBIT design? Yes. I think the main issue is we're building the old Horizon system, which now, the moment that decision was made in 2021, it was out of date because Horizon was, you know, Horizon is out of date. We need to build for the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	When is that achievable by? I don't know today but I will know soon. So we have a team of people now looking at this and, within the next two to three months, we'll have a firm view on what we need to build, how we need to build it, how much it's going to cost, and when it'll be delivered by. Are we talking five years, ten years? No, no, it'll be our objective is to do it if you think about the prior objective which was 1.2 billion in 2028, it'll be before 2028 and it won't cost 1.2 billion add we'll have far more certainty that it will be delivered. What do you currently see as the main issues with the NBIT system? The NBIT design? Yes. I think the main issue is we're building the old Horizon system, which now, the moment that decision was made in 2021, it was out of date because Horizon was, you know, Horizon is out of date. We need to build for the future. That's number 1. I think the main other issue

(39) Pages 153 - 156

## The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1	Q.	In respect of Horizon, as it currently is operated by	1	
2		Fujitsu, can we please turn to POL00448864. This is	2	
3		a meeting of the Group Executive. It's before your	3	
4		time, 13 March 2024 but we'll see how it leads into	4	Q.
5		a subsequent meeting that you were involved in.	5	Α.
6		If we could please turn to page 6, we have there	6	
7		a section on "Fujitsu Extension", and it says:	7	
8		"[Simon Oldnall] spoke to the paper which set out	8	Q.
9		the current position on the discussions to date	9	
10		(including with Government) on the continuation of	10	
11		Support Services for the Horizon platform by Fujitsu	11	
12		beyond March 2025, when the current contract was due to	12	
13		end.	13	
14		"The extension was proposed to cover the intervening	14	
15		period before the rollout of NBIT.	15	
16		"While discussions were ongoing, Fujitsu had not yet	16	A.
17		made any commitment to continuing the support and	17	Q.
18		against the context of the current external scrutiny,	18	
19 20		was unlikely to do so without the express support of the	19	
20 21		Government."	20	
21		Just pausing there, I know this wasn't a discussion that you were party to. What do you understand by that?	21 22	
22	Α.	By support of the Government?	22	
23 24	Q.	Yes.	23	
25	а. А.	My understanding is that Fujitsu wanted the Department	25	
20		157	20	
1		"[Simon Oldnall] spoke to the paper outlining the	1	
2		proposal for a 5-year exit plan for Fujitsu.	2	
3		[Mr Oldnall] advised that the Board were being asked to	3	
4		include in the strategy a stage where if NBIT was not	4	Q.
5		completed within the term of the extension that the	5	
6		Company would put in place an alternative approach to	6	
7		supporting the Horizon platform to make sure that	7	
8		Fujitsu was still able to exit at the 5-year point. SJ	8	
9		queried what the alternative approach would constitute.	9	
10		SO advised that the Horizon platform could be brought	10	
11		inhouse or procurement taken for external support for	11	
12		the platform. [Mr Oldnall] estimated that it would be	12	
13		18 months to 2 years when a decision would need to be	13	
14		taken on this point"	14	
15		So as at your first Board meeting, it appears that	15	
16		there was discussion about maintaining Horizon beyond	16	Α.
17		the contracted date but either bringing it inhouse or	17	
18		having another firm support Horizon; is that a correct	18	
19		summary of that?	19	
20	Α.	Yeah, well, I think the extension of Fujitsu for up to	20	
21		five years had been the conversation that had been	21	Q.
22		ongoing for a while and, to be clear, an extension of	22	
23		Fujitsu is absolutely necessary to keep going. I'm not	23	
24		sure whether the conversation was about an extension	24	
25		beyond the five years or not but, certainly, at the same 159	25	

of business to ask them directly to extend the contract, rather than it coming from the Post Office to ask them to extent the contract. That's my understanding. Do you know the reasoning behind that at all? I don't. I can only imagine it might help their discussions in going back to Japan to get approval for this, but I'm speculating. "Subject to the position being settled, funding for the contract extension formed part of the SPMP business case." So it looks as though, in March 2024, the Post Office was looking to extend the Fujitsu contract beyond March 2025, and I'm going to take you to a Board meeting in which you were present, that's POL00448648. Was this your first Board meeting, 4 June? Yes, and I was an observer at this meeting. If we scroll down, we can see there, it says: "... [Nigel Railton] opened the meeting. Given the very recent appointment of [Nigel Railton], the Board agreed that [Ben Tidswell] preside as Chair of the meeting." Can we please turn to page 11. It's the bottom of page 11, bottom half, "Horizon continuity of service update", and there's a discussion there about the future of Fujitsu and Horizon: 158 meeting, a conversation about potentially bringing elements of the Horizon platform inhouse I recall was discussed "[Mr Jacobs] queried whether we should we not be working

towards this scenario. CB advised that a high level plan and cost to execute had been presented however the team did not want to go down that path at present given

the commitment Fujitsu was requesting. "[Here we have you querying] whether there were any termination points proposed in the extension. [Mr Oldnall] replied that there were not, and CB

contributed that there were core services that would be

- provided for the entire term however there were certain
- services that could be terminated."

Can you assist us with that discussion?

Yes, I was just -- I found it surprising that we were

- committing to a five-year contract when our objective on
- the old plan was to be on our own system by 2028. So
- I was just questioning whether or not break clauses
- needed to be in the contract to allow us flexibility.
- We then have an action point:

"[You] queried the maximum cost for the contract

- extension. SO replied and advised that he would check
- the cost if all services aside from the core services
- were removed."

160

## The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1		I think that's Mr Ismail:	1
2		" queried why the extension was not proposed for	2
3		3 years given the programme build was tracking to	3
4		complete in 2026. CB advised this was proposed in order	4
5		to provide some contingency. [Mr Ismail] tested this	5
6		further and pointed out the risk of the Company being on	6
7		a new platform yet still paying service fees to Fujitsu.	7
8		CB reiterated the point in respect of seeking	8
9		a contingency."	9
10		We then have, over the page, you again querying	10
11		whether you can negotiate a break clause, so that's the	11
12		point, I think, you've just raised.	12
13	A.	Yes.	13
14	Q.	Mr Woodley advising that the team could take this away	14
15		and look at a five-year extension with a three-year	15
16 17		break:	16 17
17		"[Mr Ismail] noted the communications on the extension needed to be executed with precision in	17
10			10
20		relation to postmasters and the public. CB advised that he understood this"	19 20
20		Then we have "ACTION":	20 21
21		"[Mr Railton so you] asked for a session with SO	21
23		to discuss what owning the source code encompassed"	23
24		Can you assist us with what's meant by owning the	23
25		course code in the discussion that took place there?	25
		161	
1	Α.	Well, just bringing Fujitsu inhouse just suggests that	1
2	7.1	we're going to take everything Fujitsu do, in terms of	2
_			
3		processes, data centre and everything, and just bring it	
3 4		processes, data centre and everything, and just bring it inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What	3
4		inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What	3 4
			3
4 5		inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue	3 4 5
4 5 6		inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some	3 4 5 6
4 5 6 7		inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give	3 4 5 6 7
4 5 6 7 8		inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for	3 4 5 6 7 8
4 5 6 7 8 9		inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there	3 4 5 6 7 8 9
4 5 7 8 9 10		inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
4 5 7 8 9 10 11		inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's something we might keep.	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11
4 5 7 8 9 10 11		inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's something we might keep. Equally, we might want to change some of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's something we might keep. Equally, we might want to change some of the infrastructure or, you know, some of the Transaction	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's something we might keep. Equally, we might want to change some of the infrastructure or, you know, some of the Transaction Processing elements of it.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's something we might keep. Equally, we might want to change some of the infrastructure or, you know, some of the Transaction Processing elements of it. If we scroll down:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's something we might keep. Equally, we might want to change some of the infrastructure or, you know, some of the Transaction Processing elements of it. If we scroll down: "The Board RESOLVED that:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's something we might keep. Equally, we might want to change some of the infrastructure or, you know, some of the Transaction Processing elements of it. If we scroll down: "The Board RESOLVED that: "Subject to seeking a 3-year break provision, the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's something we might keep. Equally, we might want to change some of the infrastructure or, you know, some of the Transaction Processing elements of it. If we scroll down: "The Board RESOLVED that: "Subject to seeking a 3-year break provision, the proposed strategy for an extension of up to 5 years of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's something we might keep. Equally, we might want to change some of the infrastructure or, you know, some of the Transaction Processing elements of it. If we scroll down: "The Board RESOLVED that: "Subject to seeking a 3-year break provision, the proposed strategy for an extension of up to 5 years of the Horizon Support contract with Fujitsu from 1 April	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's something we might keep. Equally, we might want to change some of the infrastructure or, you know, some of the Transaction Processing elements of it. If we scroll down: "The Board RESOLVED that: "Subject to seeking a 3-year break provision, the proposed strategy for an extension of up to 5 years of the Horizon Support contract with Fujitsu from 1 April 2025 until 31 March 2030 be and is hereby APPROVED; and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's something we might keep. Equally, we might want to change some of the infrastructure or, you know, some of the Transaction Processing elements of it. If we scroll down: "The Board RESOLVED that: "Subject to seeking a 3-year break provision, the proposed strategy for an extension of up to 5 years of the Horizon Support contract with Fujitsu from 1 April 2025 until 31 March 2030 be and is hereby APPROVED; and "The inclusion of a binding commitment to Fujitsu that an alternative approach to supporting the Horizon platform through commencement of a programme to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q.	inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's something we might keep. Equally, we might want to change some of the infrastructure or, you know, some of the Transaction Processing elements of it. If we scroll down: "The Board RESOLVED that: "Subject to seeking a 3-year break provision, the proposed strategy for an extension of up to 5 years of the Horizon Support contract with Fujitsu from 1 April 2025 until 31 March 2030 be and is hereby APPROVED; and "The inclusion of a binding commitment to Fujitsu that an alternative approach to supporting the Horizon platform through commencement of a programme to insource/reprocure elements be activated if there is not	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	inhouse and basically manage what they do now. What we're looking at a blend to say "Look, are there some things that Fujitsu do today that make sense to continue and we don't have to change?" I think an example I give is, you know, the interfaces we have with the banks, for example, and they have. They work currently, there would be no point in rebuilding those, so that's something we might keep. Equally, we might want to change some of the infrastructure or, you know, some of the Transaction Processing elements of it. If we scroll down: "The Board RESOLVED that: "Subject to seeking a 3-year break provision, the proposed strategy for an extension of up to 5 years of the Horizon Support contract with Fujitsu from 1 April 2025 until 31 March 2030 be and is hereby APPROVED; and "The inclusion of a binding commitment to Fujitsu that an alternative approach to supporting the Horizon platform through commencement of a programme to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

1	Α.	Yeah, I think that perhaps owning the source code is
2		perhaps not the best description of the conversation
3		that we were going to have. It was really trying to
4		understand what the team actually meant by insourcing
5		Fujitsu. Because that can be many things. And I was
6		a little confused, so I was trying to understand there
7		what that actually meant in practice. Was it all of the
8		source code, with all of the systems, all of the
9		processes? That's really what that was relating to.
10	Q.	Is there a possibility that, at some point after the
11		conclusion of the contract with Fujitsu and before the
12		NBIT system, in some somehow, the Post Office will be
13		taking responsibility for the Horizon system itself?
14	Α.	I think well, it's a possibility, yes. But we have
15		to extend the contract. We have no choice. We need
16		optionality to be able to get out of the Fujitsu
17		agreement at the appropriate time for both parties. We
18		are looking at all options in terms of how to bring,
19		effectively, the functionality that we currently have by
20		using the Fujitsu system within our control.
21		So I think the wrong description is bring it
22		inhouse. I think perhaps a better description is
23		developing something that we can use ourselves.
24	Q.	What's the difference between those two descriptions?
25		Sorry.
		162

	migrate from Horizon to NBIT be and is hereby APPROVED."
	Can you assist us with what the present position is,
_	in relation to that?
	The conversations with Fujitsu are ongoing.
Q.	We've seen, in the YouGov report, for example, and in
	evidence that the Inquiry has heard, of issues still
	being experienced by subpostmasters in relation to their
	use of Horizon. Is it possible, is it likely, that
	subpostmasters are going to be using that same system
	into 2030?
Α.	It is possible. I don't think it's likely and certainly
	our intention and the intention of the new team is to
	move away from Horizon to a new system that can
	deliver I'm sorry to go back to the strategic review,
	but a system that's fit for the future, as soon as
	possible. But to do that in a way that doesn't disrupt
	postmasters' activities.
MR	BLAKE: Thank you.
	Sir, that might be an appropriate time to take our
	mid-afternoon break.
SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
MR	BLAKE: Can we come back at 3.35, please.
(3.1	6 pm)
•	(A short break)
(3.3	35 pm)
(	164
	A. MR SIR MR (3.1

1	MF	BLAKE: Mr Railton, we spoke before the break about	1	
2		Horizon potentially continuing for another five or	2	
3		potentially even more years, and also the possibility	3	
4		that certain aspects may come inhouse. What do you	4	C
5		envisage so far as Prosecution Support, in those	5	
6		circumstances?	6	
7	Α.	From Fujitsu?	7	
8	Q.	Well, from Fujitsu, yes.	8	
9	Α.	l don't know.	9	
10	Q.	Have you seen the recent correspondence, between Mr Read	10	
11		and Fujitsu, regarding their involvement in Prosecution	11	
12		Support?	12	A
13	Α.	I was provided with some documentation, I think, on	13	C
14		Thursday.	14	
15	Q.	Yes.	15	
16	Α.	Which was an exchange between Nick Read and Fujitsu this	16	
17		year. That's the correspondence you're referring to,	17	
18		yes	18	
19	Q.	It is, yes, is that something that had been brought to	19	
20		your attention before this Thursday?	20	
21	Α.	Not particularly. Clearly, I enquired when I received	21	
22		the documentation and my understanding is it's	22	
23		information that's required by the Met Police and the	23	
24		City of London Police into an investigation that	24	
25		involves organised crime and that's why they approached	25	
		165		
1		"As the Post Office is well aware, there have been	1	
2		and there continue to be bugs, errors and defects in the	2	
2		Horizon system. Further, [Fujitsu] currently has, and	2	
4			3	
4 5		previously had, access to branch transaction records. Your letter of 30 May 2024 also acknowledges the	4 5	
6		,		
		existence of other matters (beyond the Horizon system)	6	
7		which could have operated to create innocent	7	
8 9		discrepancies in branch accounts including '	8 9	
9 10		mis-keys, or omissions when remitting cash or stamp stock based on Horizon data' by end users."	9 10	
		-		
11 12		He then goes on to say: " the Horizon system is reliant on the delivery	11 12	
		of serviced by Post Office and third parties"	12	
13 14			13	
14		Over the page, please: "Based on the evidence which has been seen and heard	14 15	
16			15	
		in [the Inquiry], [Fujitsu] considers that all of the	10	
17		matters mentioned above would need to be investigated		
18		carefully by the Post Office and the police, with the	18	
19 20		assistance of an independent technical IT expert, and	19 20	
20		possibly also forensic accounting expert, to ascertain	20	
21		proper explanations for branch account discrepancies.	21	
22		[Fujitsu] considers that only after such	22	Α
23		an investigation has been undertaken could a meaningful	23	
24		expert witness statement be made in subsequent criminal	24	
25		proceedings which addresses the reliability of the 167	25	

us and Post Office -- we went to Fujitsu and asked them as well to help provide this data. But that's as far as I am aware of the situation. Q. Perhaps we can bring onto screen some of the correspondence. I won't take you to all of it because we have dealt with it with other witnesses, but can we please turn to FUJ00243204. This is the letter from Fujitsu, from Mr Patterson to Nick Read. If we scroll down, there's a reference there to Mr Bartlett's letter or email, and that's a document that we've seen and a document that was also in your pack. A. Mm. Q. It says: "Mr Bartlett suggests that a failure to provide a witness statement would 'rightly be interpreted by the police and prosecutors as [the Post Office] and Fujitsu not having faith in the reliability of the data with the obvious outcome resulting." Mr Patterson savs: "A witness statement from [Fujitsu] attesting to the reliability of the Horizon system and of data from it in criminal proceedings would amount to expert opinion evidence. [Fujitsu] is incapable of providing expert opinion evidence as it is neither independent nor has it sufficient information to provide such an opinion. 166 Horizon system and the relevant data produced. For the reasons I have mentioned above, [Fujitsu] cannot provide such a statement. "As to your comments regarding the pursuit of shortfalls, [Fujitsu] will continue to deliver its contractual obligations including reporting promptly and transparently branch impacting incidents. It is for the Post Office to work with postmasters to understand and resolve branch account discrepancies fairly and promptly. The improvements you mentioned to your discrepancy investigation processes I hope will allow for this to happen. You say in your later that Horizon data is not currently being used for civil recoveries from postmasters. This is reassuring. For the avoidance of doubt, [Fujitsu] will not support the Post Office in the event it pursues civil recoveries from postmasters." Do you understand from this and from the discussions you have had, that the current position is that Fujitsu will not provide a witness statement for criminal prosecutions, even if requested to do so by the police?

- 2 **A.** I'm not sure that's entirely correct. I understand that
- 3 they will provide it if the police ask them. That's my
- understanding of the situation. I do find some of the
- comments made by Fujitsu here quite strange, actually,

168

## The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1		given that we and postmasters rely on the system. We
2		have a system of controls to give us all comfort that
3		things are working with integrity. But I am speaking to
4		Paul on Thursday, so I will test this with him.
5	Q.	In respect of not supporting the Post Office in the
6		event it pursues civil recoveries, is that your
7		understanding that that is the current position?
8	Α.	I think so.
9	Q.	We can see a response from Mr Woodley. That's
10		FUJ00243209. Mr Woodley responds to that
11		correspondence. If we scroll over the page, he explains
12		in that paragraph there, the final section of the first
13		paragraph:
14		"The feedback they received in April 2024 was that
15		the Police that only been able to have one conversation
16		with [Fujitsu] at that time and the investigation
17		officer's impression from that conversation was that
18		they were indirectly being told by [Fujitsu] that the
19		Horizon system was unreliable. As a result, the Police
20		told the AC&I Team that the investigation could not
21 22		progress." Over the page, I'm just going to read to you a few
22		relevant passages. It says at the top of that page, "To
23 24		get the right checks and balances" this is under the
24 25		heading "Criminal investigations and prosecutions".
25		169
1		paragraph but in the second paragraph he save
1		paragraph but, in the second paragraph, he says:
2		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post
2 3		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in
2 3 4		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the
2 3 4 5		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7.
2 3 4		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu
2 3 4 5 6		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7.
2 3 4 5 6 7		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with Nick, we wish to continue to prioritise the necessary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with Nick, we wish to continue to prioritise the necessary work on exit and the Post Office has tired an external
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with Nick, we wish to continue to prioritise the necessary work on exit and the Post Office has tired an external person who starts in August to take responsibility for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with Nick, we wish to continue to prioritise the necessary work on exit and the Post Office has tired an external person who starts in August to take responsibility for this. While there have been several workshops to scope
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with Nick, we wish to continue to prioritise the necessary work on exit and the Post Office has tired an external person who starts in August to take responsibility for this. While there have been several workshops to scope out the 5-year request, your statement when we met
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with Nick, we wish to continue to prioritise the necessary work on exit and the Post Office has tired an external person who starts in August to take responsibility for this. While there have been several workshops to scope out the 5-year request, your statement when we met regarding a 2-year request needs rapid attention from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with Nick, we wish to continue to prioritise the necessary work on exit and the Post Office has tired an external person who starts in August to take responsibility for this. While there have been several workshops to scope out the 5-year request, your statement when we met regarding a 2-year request needs rapid attention from the Post Office. Dan Walton has arranged an initial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with Nick, we wish to continue to prioritise the necessary work on exit and the Post Office has tired an external person who starts in August to take responsibility for this. While there have been several workshops to scope out the 5-year request, your statement when we met regarding a 2-year request needs rapid attention from the Post Office. Dan Walton has arranged an initial meeting with Neil on 25 July, to address his technical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with Nick, we wish to continue to prioritise the necessary work on exit and the Post Office has tired an external person who starts in August to take responsibility for this. While there have been several workshops to scope out the 5-year request, your statement when we met regarding a 2-year request needs rapid attention from the Post Office. Dan Walton has arranged an initial meeting with Neil on 25 July, to address his technical questions and there is a workshop with the other Post
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with Nick, we wish to continue to prioritise the necessary work on exit and the Post Office has tired an external person who starts in August to take responsibility for this. While there have been several workshops to scope out the 5-year request, your statement when we met regarding a 2-year request needs rapid attention from the Post Office. Dan Walton has arranged an initial meeting with Neil on 25 July, to address his technical questions and there is a workshop with the other Post Office personnel to update Dan on the 2-year
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with Nick, we wish to continue to prioritise the necessary work on exit and the Post Office has tired an external person who starts in August to take responsibility for this. While there have been several workshops to scope out the 5-year request, your statement when we met regarding a 2-year request needs rapid attention from the Post Office. Dan Walton has arranged an initial meeting with Neil on 25 July, to address his technical questions and there is a workshop with the other Post Office personnel to update Dan on the 2-year requirements."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	А.	"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with Nick, we wish to continue to prioritise the necessary work on exit and the Post Office has tired an external person who starts in August to take responsibility for this. While there have been several workshops to scope out the 5-year request, your statement when we met regarding a 2-year request needs rapid attention from the Post Office. Dan Walton has arranged an initial meeting with Neil on 25 July, to address his technical questions and there is a workshop with the other Post Office personnel to update Dan on the 2-year requirements." Is there, in your view, an impasse between the Post
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	А.	"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process which will examine the extent of the Post Office change in Phase 7. "You will recall that I have state publicly Fujitsu does not wish to extend the contract with the Post Office and your letter has reinforced the challenges we have as an organisation with continuing to do business with the Post Office. As agreed some months ago with Nick, we wish to continue to prioritise the necessary work on exit and the Post Office has tired an external person who starts in August to take responsibility for this. While there have been several workshops to scope out the 5-year request, your statement when we met regarding a 2-year request needs rapid attention from the Post Office. Dan Walton has arranged an initial meeting with Neil on 25 July, to address his technical questions and there is a workshop with the other Post Office personnel to update Dan on the 2-year requirements." Is there, in your view, an impasse between the Post Office and Fujitsu currently?

onII	inq	uiry 8 October 2024
1	Α.	Right.
2	Q.	"To get the right checks and balances in any of these
3		investigation processes, data will be required from the
4		Horizon system along with analysis of any bone bugs,
5		defects or errors in the system at the relevant time
6		period. Thank you for confirming that such data will be
7		provided in line with contractual obligations and in
8		cooperation with law enforcement agencies."
9		It then addresses the postmaster shortfalls and
10		Mr Woodley, in the final sentence of that first
11		paragraph, says:
12		" I was concerned about your statement that
13		[Fujitsu] would not support the Post Office in the event
14		it pursues civil recoveries from Postmasters.
15		"While Post Office does not currently take civil
16		recoveries action to recover established losses from
17		Postmasters, this may be necessary in future to
18		establish a fair, transparent and consistent approach to
19		recoveries. Critically, this would only be undertaken
20		in the future with the wide endorsement of the
21		Postmaster community and robust independent assurance."
22		Before I ask you about this, I'd just like to turn
23		to the response from Mr Patterson. That's at
24		FUJ00243211.
25		We've seen this letter, so I won't go over the first
20		170
1		I think that's evident. I think there has been
2		an impasse. But I'm hopeful now that we can
3		breakthrough that impasse with Neil Brocklehurst as now
4		the acting CEO, working with Paul Patterson at Fujitsu
5		
6		to now break this and come up with something that's
-		sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office
7		sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the
8		sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice.
8 9	Q.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence?
8 9 10	Α.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on
8 9 10 11		sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and
8 9 10 11 12	A. Q.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and the Post Office?
8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and the Post Office? I think it's strained.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and the Post Office? I think it's strained. What's your view on the approach by Fujitsu as set out
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and the Post Office? I think it's strained. What's your view on the approach by Fujitsu as set out in this letter?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and the Post Office? I think it's strained. What's your view on the approach by Fujitsu as set out in this letter? I think it's quite defensive. I think they are clearly
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and the Post Office? I think it's strained. What's your view on the approach by Fujitsu as set out in this letter? I think it's quite defensive. I think they are clearly making statements for the benefit, I think, of this
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and the Post Office? I think it's strained. What's your view on the approach by Fujitsu as set out in this letter? I think it's quite defensive. I think they are clearly making statements for the benefit, I think, of this Inquiry perhaps. I don't know, I'm not close to it.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and the Post Office? I think it's strained. What's your view on the approach by Fujitsu as set out in this letter? I think it's quite defensive. I think they are clearly making statements for the benefit, I think, of this Inquiry perhaps. I don't know, I'm not close to it. But I also understand from others that Paul is a very
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and the Post Office? I think it's strained. What's your view on the approach by Fujitsu as set out in this letter? I think it's quite defensive. I think they are clearly making statements for the benefit, I think, of this Inquiry perhaps. I don't know, I'm not close to it. But I also understand from others that Paul is a very reasonable guy and Fujitsu are very reasonable as
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and the Post Office? I think it's strained. What's your view on the approach by Fujitsu as set out in this letter? I think it's quite defensive. I think they are clearly making statements for the benefit, I think, of this Inquiry perhaps. I don't know, I'm not close to it. But I also understand from others that Paul is a very reasonable guy and Fujitsu are very reasonable as a company and I'm hopeful that, with the right
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and the Post Office? I think it's strained. What's your view on the approach by Fujitsu as set out in this letter? I think it's quite defensive. I think they are clearly making statements for the benefit, I think, of this Inquiry perhaps. I don't know, I'm not close to it. But I also understand from others that Paul is a very reasonable guy and Fujitsu are very reasonable as a company and I'm hopeful that, with the right conversations, we can find something that works for both
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and the Post Office? I think it's strained. What's your view on the approach by Fujitsu as set out in this letter? I think it's quite defensive. I think they are clearly making statements for the benefit, I think, of this Inquiry perhaps. I don't know, I'm not close to it. But I also understand from others that Paul is a very reasonable guy and Fujitsu are very reasonable as a company and I'm hopeful that, with the right conversations, we can find something that works for both organisations.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	sensible for Fujitsu and sensible for the Post Office and postmasters because we have to continue with the system, post-March. We have no choice. What is your view, having seen this correspondence? My view on Of the nature of the relationship between Fujitsu and the Post Office? I think it's strained. What's your view on the approach by Fujitsu as set out in this letter? I think it's quite defensive. I think they are clearly making statements for the benefit, I think, of this Inquiry perhaps. I don't know, I'm not close to it. But I also understand from others that Paul is a very reasonable guy and Fujitsu are very reasonable as a company and I'm hopeful that, with the right conversations, we can find something that works for both

172

<sup>(43)</sup> Pages 169 - 172

1

1		extent, what is the plan for assisting the police or
2		assisting in civil recoveries?
3	Α.	I don't know the answer to that question, I'm afraid,
4		but clearly we would any design that we have for the
5		use of, you know, the Fujitsu system or components of it
6		or NBIT, we'll have to make sure we can comply with our
7		legal obligations to provide data and information as
8		required.
9	Q.	Because there's going to be a number of years, possibly
10		five, possibly more, in which the Horizon system is
11		still going to be used. How do you see the Post Office
12		as overcoming issues and being able to provide evidence
13		to criminal prosecutions and undertake civil recoveries?
14	Α.	On the first element of that of the question, our
15		intention is not to stay on Fujitsu for another five
16		years and certainly not beyond. I think our objective
17		is to move away from the Fujitsu system, as soon as
18		reasonably possible, and put in place the right system
19		for the future.
20		I need to go away and consider this more. It's
21		quite a lot to consider here but, you know, we will as
22		an organisation make sure we comply with, you know, the
23		requests from the police, particularly on things such as
23		we discussed this afternoon about, you know, issues that
25		have been raised from the City of London and the Met
20		173
1	Α.	That's right.
2	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: That's correct, isn't it?
3	Α.	That's correct, and the Post Office will no longer
4		prosecute ever again anybody.
5	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: So it's simply a question not
6		a question it's simply the Post Office being asked to
7		cooperate with the police investigation, and I would
8		have thought the answer would always be, "Yes"?
9	Α.	Absolutely.
10	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Right. The second scenario is that you,
11		the Post Office, come into possession of information
12		which suggests that a crime has been committed against
13		the Post Office
14	Α.	Mm-hm.
15	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: forget whether it's a postmaster or
16		any other person, but you have the initial information.
17		My understanding so far is that, in those circumstances,
18		your own Investigation Department would investigate
19		whether or not such an offence may have been committed.
20	Α.	I think that's the start point, from my understanding.
21		WYN WILLIAMS: Sure, fine. Then they would get to the
22		point where they would, for these purposes, conclude

- 23 that there is evidence to support an allegation of
- 24 a crime?25 A. Yes.

- Police. I think what we need to do is come up with
- 2 a sensible compromise and sensible solution, working
- 3 with Fujitsu, and I'm confident we can.
- 4 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I am seeing this at the moment very much
- 5 with a criminal lawyer's hat on, all right --
- 6 A. Yes.

7 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: -- as opposed to the relationships

- 8 between you and Fujitsu. But there are two possible
- 9 scenarios that I just want to ask you to consider with
- 10 me. The first is that, completely independently of the
- 11 Post Office, the police obtain information which
- 12 suggests that a crime has been committed against the
- 13 Post Office, and we'll say it's a financial crime of
- 14 some sort --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: -- which will require financial
- 17 investigation, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: So they come to the Post Office and say,
- 20 "We have this information, we want to investigate. This
- 21 is what we want from you". In my mind, the answer is
- 22 obvious, "Here it is".
- 23 A. Agreed.
- 24 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right, because you are currently not in
- 25 the business of prosecuting anyone.
  - 174
- 1 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Now, my understanding -- and this is
- 2 where I want your confirmation about it -- is this: that
- 3 at that point it would actually be a Board decision as
- 4 to whether or not a report was made to the police of
- 5 that suspicion, in effect?
- 6 A. That is correct and I know this --
- 7 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: That's your understanding as well.
- 8 A. Yeah, it is my understanding and I think it's correct.
- 9 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: That's correct.
- 10 A. I think there was some debate about whether or not this
- moved away from the Board, from correspondence that I'veread.
- 13 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Exactly so. So I've got it right. So
- 14 let us assume that the Board says "Yes, on the basis of
- 15 what you tell us, we think this should be reported to
  - the police".
- 17 A. Yes.

16

18 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thereafter, as I see it, as a criminal

- 19 lawyer, the process becomes identical to scenario
- 20 number 1: the police become the investigators and you
- 21 simply cooperate with whatever they ask you for?
- 22 A. Exactly, exactly.
- 23 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Now, you're not Fujitsu but can
- 24 you think of any reason why any company which is asked
- 25 for information by the police, short of something being 176

1		incredibly commercially sensitive or something like that	1
2		for the moment, but in the normal run of cases, why they	2
3 4		wouldn't just say, "Yes, Detective Inspector Williams,	3 4
4 5		since you've asked me for that information, I'll go and get it and provide it for you"?	4 5
5 6	Α.	I find it odd.	5 6
7		R WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Okay, thank you.	0 7
7 8		<b>o i i i</b>	8
8 9	IVIT	BLAKE: Moving on to our final topic, and that's compensation and redress.	8 9
9 10	Α.	Yes.	9 10
11	Q.	You have advocated for that work to move to within the	10
12	ω.	Department for Business. How do you envisage that	12
12		working?	12
13	Α.	To be clear, I've advocated that the Post Office don't	13
14	A.	do redress. Where it goes to is not my decision but	14
16		basically my position since I joined was that the Post	15
17		Office should not be dealing with redress and payment of	10
18		compensation. For reasons that I think some people	18
19		don't trust the Post Office still.	10
20		l've had conversations with various officials and	20
20		those conversations continue. So I don't yet have	20
22		confirmation that it will move it, but I'm hopeful it	22
23		will at some point.	23
24	Q.	One of the complaints about compensation and redress is	24
25	ά.	that it's taking too long. Won't that just slow things	25
		177	
1		takes time and people, and it's very difficult to scale	1
2		a process that requires people. And we know that, you	2
2		know, hopefully we'll have more and more postmasters	2
4		coming forward with claims.	4
5		So I think the way to think about scaling it is to	+ 5
6		automate that process. There must be a way of taking	6
7		an historic database and writing database queries and	7
8		programs that can get this information for us very, very	8
9		quickly, so we can turn these things around much more	9
10		quickly. So that's my objective.	9 10
11		I need to now talk to the team and people who	10
12		actually know how to do this but, at a logical level,	12
13		that would seem sensible to me.	12
14	Q.	The final document I'd like to look at this afternoon is	13
15	ч.	BEIS0000793. This a briefing for the then Minister	15
16		Hollinrake in April this year. It's a briefing for his	16
17		meeting with Nick Read.	17
18	A.	Mm.	18
19	Q.	If we could turn over the page, please, there's	19
20	પ્લ.	a section at the bottom of that page on background that	20
20		addresses your appointment. It says:	20
22		"Background: Nigel Railton accepted the role of	21
23		Interim Chair following a conversation with [the	23
24		Secretary of State] last week. [The Secretary of State]	23
25		has previously provided Mr Railton with her priorities	25
_0		179	20

on IT	Inq	uiry 8 October 2024
1		down yet further and kick it down the road?
2	Α.	One of my objectives and one of the team's objectives is
3		to speed things up, at I think having two organisations
4		dealing with basically the same thing but having two
5		organisations, cannot be the most effective way of doing
6		something. I also was interested in the conversation
7		this morning about redress and how the team works and
8		I've spent quite a lot of time with Simon Recaldin,
9		talking about how we can speed this up, and I think we
10		can automate far more, is one of my that's one of my
11		objectives now, given, post-my giving evidence today, to
12		go back and work with the Executive Team and see how we
13		can speed things up by automation.
14		I don't know but I can't understand why everything
15		is so manual. I think there's better ways of doing
16		things.
17	Q.	Can you give us an idea of what you mean by automation
18		in terms of compensation or redress?
19	Α.	Sure, of course. Well, at the moment, as I and this
20		is my understanding because I haven't been into the real
21		kind of detail on this, but we have a whole bunch of
22		people I think Karen talked this morning about 110
23		but, I think there's more when you look at the wider
24		team, who are manually accessing databases or old
25		database information to look for shortfalls and that 178
1		for Post Office which include (i) intensifying existing
2		workstreams to address [the Post Office's] historic
3		failures, (ii) support cultural transformation and
4		improving [Post Office's] capacity, capability and
5		resilience at all levels, and (iii) enabling the future
6		success of [the Post Office], including through
7		effective financial management and deliver of NBIT."
8		First of all, are those still the Secretary of
9		State's priorities, even though we have a new Secretary
10		of State?
11	Α.	Broadly, yes.
12	Q.	Can you assist us with how it has changed?
13	Α.	I think on the "intensifying existing workstream to
14		address POL's historic failures", there's particular
15		reference to speeding up remediation and compensation
16	~	payments.
17	Q.	Thank you, because that was going to, in fact, my
18 10		question, which is where does compensation and
19 20	A.	remediation fit within those priorities. Yes, I mean, I think this is a summary, actually, of
20 21	Π.	the there's a couple of sub-bullets for each one.
22	Q.	Thank you. Have you spoken to the current Minister
23		regarding compensation and redress?
24	Α.	I've spoken to the current Minister, yes. We talked
		•

about whole a lot of topics, and yes, I did. I did. 180

(45) Pages 177 - 180

1	Q.	What is your view as to whether Government is providing
2		the issue of redress and compensation with sufficient
3		attention and support?
4	Α.	I think they are. I've spoken to the Minister, I've
5		spoken to the Secretary of State. I've made my position
6		very clear in terms of how I think this needs to evolve
7		and they've been very supportive.
8	MR	BLAKE: Thank you.
9		Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some
10		questions from Mr Jacobs. Do you, sir, have any
11		questions before?
12		Questioned by SIR WYN WILLIAMS
13	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Just before you ask your questions,
14		Mr Jacobs.
15		Obviously, Mr Railton, you've raised the prospect
16		that, over the course of the coming weeks, I think,
17		given the way you put your evidence, there would be
18		likely information about your strategic plan which would
19		be of considerable interest to the Inquiry.
20	Α.	Yes.
21	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: So we need to work out a mechanism for
22		the Inquiry to be kept up to date, effectively, yes?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Obviously, I know you're the Chair and
25		therefore a very important figure but, if you can't
		181
1	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: So I'm really asking for your cooperation
2		in feeding the Inquiry information as soon as you can
3	Α.	Yes.
4	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: in respect of the strategic plan and
5		all that flows from it, all right?
6	Α.	I can assure you of my cooperation and commitment to do
7		that.
8	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. Thank you.
9		Mr Jacobs?
10	_	Questioned by MR JACOBS
11	MR	JACOBS: Thank you, sir. I ought to say we've had 20 of
12		our clients asking for me to ask the question you've
13		just asked, so I don't need to ask it.
14	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Well, I've stolen your thunder,
15		Mr Jacobs, and I apologise.
16	MR	JACOBS: I'm afraid my voice isn't so great, so if you
17		can't understand me or understand me then let me know.
18	Α.	I can certainly hear you.
19	Q.	Good. Thank you. In relation to the plan, the
20		strategic review plan, we understand that once the plan
21		was drafted, Post Office didn't go back to the National
22		Federation of SubPostmasters or to subpostmasters to ask
23		them if they were content with the proposed plan,
24 25		whether they objected to proposals or had proposals that
		they wanted to be included. Why didn't Post Office ask

183

on IT	Inq	uiry 8 October 202
1		commit to things while you're sitting in the witness
		commit to things while you're sitting in the witness
2		box, that's fair enough. But what I'd like to be in
3		a position to get agreement about is that well, as
4		I see it, the next step is approval or otherwise by the
5		Government.
6	A.	Yes.
7	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: So, obviously, I would like to be
8		informed, maybe there will be a great public
9		announcement, so I won't need your assistance but, if
10		there isn't, I would like the Post Office to tell the
11		Inquiry what the position is once the Government say
12		whatever they're going to say
13	Α.	Certainly.
14	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: and I'll be asking the Government to
15		tell me as well, so it won't be just the Post Office.
16		It may be that that will provoke the need for
17		a Rule 9 Request, which I'm sure you know what that is
18		and a pretty quick answer to it, if the statement isn't,
19		obviously, self-explanatory. So, again, I'd like your
20		cooperation in seeking to ensure that this could happen,
21		because what I don't want to happen is for the Phase 7
22		hearing to finish more or less on time, as I'm pretty
23		sure it's going to, and then for events to be occurring
24		while I'm trying to make sense of what I'm going to say.
25	Α.	Yes.
		182
1		for feedback or properly consult subpostmasters on this?
2	Α.	I think we did consult with subpostmasters and the
3		various Federations in groups to get their input to the
4		plan.
5	Q.	Yes.
6	Щ. А.	So we got their input. We talked to lots and lots of
7		people to get their input, and I think I can understand
8		people's concerns, but I doubt there's anything in the
9		plan that, when we announce it, people will not want us

- 9 plan that, when we announce it, people will not want us 10 to do or not be happy with. Q. Don't you think you should have sent the plan at least 11
- 12 to the Federation and asked for feedback on the proposals or any counterproposals, as a consultation, 13 14 part of the consultation exercise?
- 15 A. Perhaps, but perhaps that stage -- we can do that once 16 the Government agree to the fact that this is a plan
- 17 that's sensible and we can then consult with them at
- 18 that point before we implement it.
- Q. Thank you. I want to ask you now about restorative 19 20 justice.
  - In your statement at paragraph 56, you say you
- 22 believe that you met postmasters recently on 18 June.
- 23 A. And subsequently.

21

- 24 Q. We've heard evidence from Ms McEwan today that such
- 25 meetings had been profound and impactful. Is that how 184

188

1	you found your meetings?	1	Q.	What about bursaries to assist with retraining of
	I found them, yes, really, really helpful.	2		subpostmasters and for the education of their children
	You say that a new deal and full engagement with the	3		whose education was disrupted by the scandal?
4	postmasters is fundamental and that's how you would like	4	Α.	
5	to see things going forward?	5		I will say that I believe that the remediation process
6 <b>A</b> .		6		and compensation has been widened.
7 Q.		7	Q.	
8	is part of the strategic review, whether that	8		miscarriage of justice and sympathetically record the
9	incorporates any wider restorative justice proposals for	9		experiences of subpostmasters; has that been
10	subpostmasters?	10	Α.	
11 <b>A</b> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11	Q.	
12	deals with the new and economic model and new ways of	12		initiatives to restore the reputation of subpostmasters
13	working going forward.	13		within their local communities?
14 <b>Q</b> .		14	Α.	
15	around in office since May this year.	15		Post Office brand. How far that stretches to local,
16 <b>A</b> .		16		I don't know, at this point.
17 <b>Q</b> .		10	Q.	
18	proposals for wider restorative justice in written	18	۰.	Inquiry in submissions in December 2022. Do you accept
19	submissions and Mr Stein, King's Counsel, raised them at	19		that the plan that you speak about in your statement,
20	a hearing on 8 December 2022.	20		the new deal for postmasters, should include such
21	I just want to ask you whether, as Chair, you're	20		measures as a matter of principle, Mr Railton?
22	aware of whether there are any proposals for any ongoing	22	Α.	
23	psychiatric and counselling support for subpostmasters	23	Π.	I think I just need to think about it. In principle,
24	and their families?	20		yes; in practice, how that would work?
25 <b>A</b> .		25	Q.	
	185		ч.	186
1	going forward, or that Post Office are prepared to	1		and identifying shortfalls on Horizon terminals?
2	consider?	2	Δ	Yes, as far as I'm aware. Yes.
	Well, the Post Office, I'm sure, will consider that,	3		So Post Office are able to identify, track down and
4	yes.	4	۰.	monitor shortfalls?
5 Q.		5	Δ	Yes, and we have a process that does that.
6 <b>u</b> .	Subpostmasters Contract.	6		If we could then move further down to paragraphs 20.4 to
7 Δ	Yes.	7	ч.	20.7. Again, Post Office will:
8 Q.		8		" properly and accurately produce all relevant
9 <b>u</b> .	So it's POL00000254, and then going to page 32 of that	9		records and/or explain all transactions and/or any
10	document. That's section 12 of the Standard	10		alleged apparent shortfalls attributed to the
11	Subpostmasters Contract. Page 32. So if we could then	10		subpostmaster;
12		12		
12	scroll down to paragraph 20, do you see at paragraph 20, "Post Office Duties"?	12		"cooperate in seeking to identify the possible or
				likely causes of any apparent or alleged shortfalls
14 <b>A</b> .	Yes. "Post Office Limited shall"	14 15		and/or whether or not there was indeed any shortfall at
15 <b>Q</b> . 16	Then if we scroll down to 20.3:	16		all; "seek to identify the causes of any apparent or
17		17		
	" properly and accurately effect, record,			alleged shortfalls, in any event;
18	maintain and keep records of all transactions effected	18		"disclose possible causes of apparent or alleged
19	using Horizon"	19		shortfalls (and the cause thereof) to the subpostmaster
20	Now, these are the new terms that have been put into	20		candidly, fully and frankly"
21 22	the contracts after the Common Issues judgment of Lord	21		I hope I haven't read those too quickly.
22	Justice Fraser.	22	A.	
23 <b>A</b> .		23	Q.	2
24 <b>Q</b> .		24		to identify possible or likely causes of shortfalls. Do
25	mechanism exists within Post Office now for monitoring	25		you accept, then, that Post Office has a facility to do

187

(47) Pages 185 - 188

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 A. Mm.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 A. Yes.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

shortfalls.

support from Fujitsu?

for that to happen.

resolve it?

anything more.

MR JACOBS: Thank you.

Q. You were asked by Mr Blake about the position between

subject -- that Mr Patterson wrote to the Chief

Post Office and Fujitsu, and there's a letter -- we may not need to turn it up because you've answered on the

Executive on 17 May 2024, to Mr Read, and he says in it:

"To be clear, FSL will not support the Post Office

to act against subpostmasters. We will not provide support for any enforcement actions taken by Post Office

against postmasters, whether civil, criminal, for

alleged shortfalls, fraud or false accounting." So the position is that Post Office are

contractually bound to identify and resolve the

Q. How can Post Office fulfil these obligations without

question. I think one of the issues that the Post

shortfalls, and haven't for quite a period of time. But

I think we need to think about how we can get the

business back on a proper footing. So I'm afraid

that's certainly not the intention of the Post Office

resolve Horizon shortfalls, not least because of your

subpostmasters are experiencing on the ground, is

a matter of huge concern today, isn't it, Mr Railton?

Q. Are you able to say what steps you're able to take, as

Interim Chair, to try and drive this issue forward and

**A.** Well, we're going to take the survey, and we've already

to resolve this -- and I'll talk to the Acting Chief

Executive about this -- is to get a number of

I don't think we're going to resolve this.

got a lot of activities under way. I think the best way

to end because we can say repeatedly that we have confidence in the processes but, until they do, then

MR JACOBS: Well, thank you. Your answers have been

helpful. I'm just going to ask if I need to ask you

THE WITNESS: Thank you for the questions. I appreciate it.

192

No, I haven't any further questions.

postmasters to work with us to review the processes end

contractual obligations but also because of what

Q. It follows, doesn't it, that the need to identify and

I don't know the answer to that question but I'll

certainly take it away and think about it.

**Q.** Thank you. Finally, from me, at about 2.10 this 190

Office has right now is they don't recover any

A. I don't know. I mean, that's quite a technical

<ol> <li>this: it can identify and it can do this?</li> <li>A. Yes, so we have a process and, forgive me, this is my</li> </ol>	
2 A. Yes, so we have a process and, forgive me, this is my	
3 understanding based on information I've been given, but	
4 we have a process now where people can put any shortfall	
5 into dispute. It then goes into a process that's	
6 administered by a team in Chesterfield that looks at	
7 what's caused the shortfall. But the open the	
8 proposition of it is that the postmaster is not at	
9 fault. That's really important.	
10 That's the start point and it's for the process to	
11 identify where any shortfall may have been caused, and	
12 it may not be Horizon. I think, as mentioned in these	
13 clauses, it could be a stock shortfall, you know, that	
14 the wrong stock has been sent, a cash shortfall. It	
15 could be many reasons. One thing we do want to do is we	
16 want to get more postmasters involved in reviewing these	
17 processes to make sure that they're comfortable with	
18 them.	
19 Q. So the process really is that the postmaster has to	
20 identify the shortfall first; it's not a case of Post	
21 Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon	
21 Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon 22 system?	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon</li> <li>system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>21 Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon</li> <li>22 system?</li> <li>23 A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>24 I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>21 Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon</li> <li>22 system?</li> <li>23 A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>24 I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging</li> <li>25 a dispute.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>21 Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon</li> <li>22 system?</li> <li>23 A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>24 I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>21 Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon</li> <li>22 system?</li> <li>23 A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>24 I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging</li> <li>25 a dispute.</li> <li>189</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>21 Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon</li> <li>22 system?</li> <li>23 A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>24 I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging</li> <li>25 a dispute.</li> <li>189</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>2 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>189</li> <li>afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>189</li> <li>afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You will no doubt know, then, that the Executive summary</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You will no doubt know, then, that the Executive summary says that 92 per cent of the 1,000 postmasters who</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You will no doubt know, then, that the Executive summary says that 92 per cent of the 1,000 postmasters who responded approximately 1,000 experienced some</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on</li> <li>24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You will no doubt know, then, that the Executive summary says that 92 per cent of the 1,000 postmasters who</li> <li>responded approximately 1,000 experienced some form of issue with the Horizon system in the last</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>189</li> <li>afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You will no doubt know, then, that the Executive summary says that 92 per cent of the 1,000 postmasters who responded approximately 1,000 experienced some form of issue with the Horizon system in the last 12 months, and 98 per cent of those who experienced</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system, I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> <li>7 A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. You will no doubt know, then, that the Executive summary says that 92 per cent of the 1,000 postmasters who responded approximately 1,000 experienced some form of issue with the Horizon system in the last 12 months, and 98 per cent of those who experienced discrepancies reported shortfalls and, worryingly, the</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You will no doubt know, then, that the Executive summary says that 92 per cent of the 1,000 postmasters who responded approximately 1,000 experienced some form of issue with the Horizon system in the last 12 months, and 98 per cent of those who experienced discrepancies reported shortfalls and, worryingly, the most common resolution was for them to use branch money</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system, I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You will no doubt know, then, that the Executive summary says that 92 per cent of the 1,000 postmasters who responded approximately 1,000 experienced some form of issue with the Horizon system in the last 12 months, and 98 per cent of those who experienced discrepancies reported shortfalls and, worryingly, the most common resolution was for them to use branch money or resolve it themselves.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon</li> <li>system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging</li> <li>a dispute.</li> <li>189</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this</li> <li>Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week</li> <li>that there was a Board meeting about this on</li> <li>24 September; is that right?</li> <li>7 A. Yes.</li> <li>8 Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. You will no doubt know, then, that the Executive summary</li> <li>says that 92 per cent of the 1,000 postmasters who</li> <li>responded approximately 1,000 experienced some</li> <li>form of issue with the Horizon system in the last</li> <li>12 months, and 98 per cent of those who experienced</li> <li>discrepancies reported shortfalls and, worryingly, the</li> <li>most common resolution was for them to use branch money</li> <li>or resolve it themselves.</li> <li>8 So isn't it right that the subpostmasters are still</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon</li> <li>system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system,</li> <li>I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging</li> <li>a dispute.</li> <li>189</li> <li>afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this</li> <li>Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week</li> <li>that there was a Board meeting about this on</li> <li>24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You will no doubt know, then, that the Executive summary</li> <li>says that 92 per cent of the 1,000 postmasters who</li> <li>responded approximately 1,000 experienced some</li> <li>form of issue with the Horizon system in the last</li> <li>12 months, and 98 per cent of those who experienced</li> <li>discrepancies reported shortfalls and, worryingly, the</li> <li>most common resolution was for them to use branch money</li> <li>or resolve it themselves.</li> <li>So isn't it right that the subpostmasters are still</li> <li>paying for Horizon-generated shortfalls from their own</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system, I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You will no doubt know, then, that the Executive summary says that 92 per cent of the 1,000 postmasters who responded approximately 1,000 experienced some form of issue with the Horizon system in the last 12 months, and 98 per cent of those who experienced discrepancies reported shortfalls and, worryingly, the most common resolution was for them to use branch money or resolve it themselves.</li> <li>So isn't it right that the subpostmasters are still paying for Horizon-generated shortfalls from their own funds; do you accept that?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system, I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You will no doubt know, then, that the Executive summary says that 92 per cent of the 1,000 postmasters who responded approximately 1,000 experienced some form of issue with the Horizon system in the last 12 months, and 98 per cent of those who experienced discrepancies reported shortfalls and, worryingly, the most common resolution was for them to use branch money or resolve it themselves.</li> <li>So isn't iright that the subpostmasters are still paying for Horizon-generated shortfalls from their own funds; do you accept that?</li> <li>A. Well, that's what the report says, so therefore I have</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Office actively looking for problems in the Horizon system?</li> <li>A. No, I think the shortfall will appear on the system, I think, but predominantly through a postmaster logging a dispute.</li> <li>1 afternoon Mr Blake took you to the YouGov survey</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that has been prepared at the request of this Inquiry. We know from Ms Burton's evidence last week that there was a Board meeting about this on 24 September; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You were at that meeting, were you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You will no doubt know, then, that the Executive summary says that 92 per cent of the 1,000 postmasters who responded approximately 1,000 experienced some form of issue with the Horizon system in the last 12 months, and 98 per cent of those who experienced discrepancies reported shortfalls and, worryingly, the most common resolution was for them to use branch money or resolve it themselves.</li> <li>So isn't it right that the subpostmasters are still paying for Horizon-generated shortfalls from their own funds; do you accept that?</li> <li>A. Well, that's what the report says, so therefore I have to. I think we need to go away and investigate this</li> </ul>	

191

(48) Pages 189 - 192

1	MR BLAKE: Thank you, sir. I think those are all the	INDEX	
2	questions.	KAREN ANITA MCEWAN (sworn)	1
3	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr Railton, for your witness		
4	statement and for your evidence this afternoon. I'm	Questioned by MR BLAKE	1
5	very grateful to you and grateful too that I may hear		
6	from you further, so to speak.	Questioned by MR STEIN	93
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.		
8	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Mr Chapman isn't here, is he, from the	Questioned by SIR WYN WILLIAMS	106
9	Department?		
10	MR BLAKE: No.	NIGEL RAILTON (sworn)	108
11	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I take it, so that I'm not going off on		
12	a false trail, it's the Department for Business and	Questioned by MR BLAKE	108
13	Trade that		
14	A. Yes.	Questioned by SIR WYN WILLIAMS	181
15	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, fine. So is anyone here from the		
16	Department? Anyway, if they are following remotely,	Questioned by MR JACOBS	183
17	they will know that I would expect the same cooperation		
18	from them as I've been assured I will get from		
19	Mr Railton. So thank you all very much.		
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.		
21	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: 10.00 tomorrow morning.		

- 22 (4.13 pm)
- 23 (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am the following day)
- 24 25
- 193

194

	44/00			
	44/20	<b>16 May [2]</b> 134/1	<b>24 March [1]</b> 109/23	70 per [1] 115/20
MR BLAKE: [24] 1/5	'ladies' [1] 26/13	134/11	24 May [5] 109/24	<b>71 [2]</b> 52/10 52/10
1/9 10/22 31/21 51/18	'many [4] 76/21	<b>164 [1]</b> 29/6	109/25 111/15 111/15	
51/23 55/24 56/6	79/25 80/4 80/6	<b>17 January [1]</b> 70/17	134/8	74 per cent [2] 18/9
89/11 93/18 105/25	'move [1] 52/14	17 May [1] 190/5	24 September [1]	116/6
107/20 107/22 107/25	'no [2] 78/25 80/5	17 per cent [1] 116/1	191/6	<b>77 [1]</b> 31/23
108/5 108/10 121/24	'out [1] 130/12	17 September [1]	<b>25 [1]</b> 13/22	<b>79 [1]</b> 34/2
164/18 164/22 165/1	'own [1] 52/11	1/14	25 January [1] 26/6	8
177/8 181/8 193/1	'own' [1] 46/25	<b>18 [1]</b> 159/13	25 July [1] 171/18	
193/10	'pains [1] 26/7	18 June [1] 184/22	<b>27 [2]</b> 26/19 87/9	8 December [1]
	'political' [1] 38/15	18 per [1] 116/2	27 February [1] 97/1	185/20
MR JACOBS: [4]	'politically [1] 26/24		27 June [1] 148/14	8 March [1] 83/4
183/11 183/16 192/20		<b>19 [2]</b> 115/15 118/12	<b>28 [3]</b> 18/3 26/19	80 per cent [1]
192/25	'RED' [3] 75/10 75/20		115/24	154/14
MR STEIN: [2] 93/22	76/23	<b>1998 [1]</b> 109/9	<b>29 [2]</b> 27/1 27/2	
105/22	'rightly [1] 166/15		29 May [1] 42/22	9
SIR WYN WILLIAMS:	'she [1] 26/10	2		92 per cent [1]
<b>[78]</b> 1/3 10/9 31/9	'supportive' [1]	2 years [1] 159/13	3	191/11
31/14 31/16 31/19	38/14	<b>2-year [1]</b> 171/20	3 years [1] 161/3	96 [1] 145/11
51/17 56/3 56/7 86/24	'us [1] 83/20	<b>2.00 [2]</b> 107/25 108/4	<b>3.16 [1]</b> 164/23	98 per cent [1]
87/5 87/10 87/13		<b>2.10 [2]</b> 22/19 190/25		191/14
87/21 87/23 88/2 88/7	'warning [1] 131/9	<b>2.7 [1]</b> 26/5	164/25	<b>99 [1]</b> 42/13
88/11 88/16 88/20		<b>20 [3]</b> 183/11 187/12	<b>30 [4]</b> 2/4 27/1 27/25	
89/1 89/4 89/8 89/10	' <b>[1]</b> 167/10	187/12	28/20	Α
93/14 93/17 106/2	[1] 107/10			A1 [1] 1/12
106/14 106/17 106/21	1	20 per cent [1]	<b>30 August [1]</b> 108/15	abilities [1] 126/15
106/23 107/3 107/7		154/16	30 May [1] 167/5	ability [4] 103/9
107/10 107/12 107/14	/Board [1] 126/1	20 years [4] 47/3	<b>300,000 [1]</b> 95/8	
107/19 107/21 107/23	1	47/21 95/5 96/12	31 March [1] 163/20	120/10 129/12 144/3
108/1 108/7 121/9		<b>20.3 [1]</b> 187/16	<b>32 [2]</b> 187/9 187/11	able [30] 4/14 41/20
121/13 121/16 121/20	<b>1 April [1]</b> 163/19	<b>20.4 [1]</b> 188/6	<b>33 [2]</b> 13/22 108/18	49/17 50/7 50/10
121/23 164/21 174/4		<b>20.7 [1]</b> 188/7	<b>35 [1]</b> 145/7	50/11 60/18 64/13
174/7 174/16 174/19	1 May [2] 36/21	<b>2002 [1]</b> 5/12	<b>36 [1]</b> 145/9	65/18 65/25 74/4
174/24 175/2 175/5	134/8	<b>2016 [2]</b> 2/11 2/19	<b>365 [1]</b> 135/6	78/11 86/7 91/2 91/16
	<b>1,000 [2]</b> 191/11	<b>2017 [2]</b> 109/12		99/13 119/13 121/25
175/10 175/15 175/21	191/12	120/9	4	123/9 134/5 150/22
176/1 176/7 176/9	<b>1.01 [1]</b> 108/2	2019 [1] 92/21	4 June [2] 119/22	154/3 159/8 162/16
176/13 176/18 176/23		<b>2020 [1]</b> 116/1	158/15	169/15 173/12 186/4
177/7 181/13 181/21	156/12 156/13	<b>2021 [2]</b> 155/5	<b>4.13 [1]</b> 193/22	188/3 192/9 192/9
181/24 182/7 182/14	<b>10.00 [3]</b> 1/2 193/21	156/22	<b>40 [1]</b> 17/25	about [144] 3/22 4/7
183/1 183/4 183/8	193/23	<b>2022 [4]</b> 69/10	<b>43 [1]</b> 40/14	5/15 7/9 9/10 9/11
183/14 193/3 193/8	<b>100 [1]</b> 142/10	185/17 185/20 186/18		9/17 9/24 12/19 13/20
193/11 193/15 193/21	100 per cent [1]	<b>2023 [8]</b> 3/1 23/2		15/15 15/17 18/1
THE WITNESS: [6]	116/17	23/13 26/6 67/17	5	18/24 19/10 20/6 20/9
56/4 105/24 107/18	<b>109 [1]</b> 119/24	94/21 109/12 123/13	5 years [1] 163/18	20/18 24/5 29/11
192/24 193/7 193/20	<b>11 [4]</b> 71/2 133/5	<b>2024 [16]</b> 1/1 11/4	<b>5-year [2]</b> 159/8	29/22 30/11 30/21
	158/22 158/23	37/18 55/7 70/17	171/15	35/19 41/25 42/22
	<b>11.17 [1]</b> 51/20	71/25 75/24 97/19	<b>509 [1]</b> 135/7	43/4 43/6 43/6 43/7
<b>'24 [1]</b> 101/18	<b>11.35 [1]</b> 51/18	119/22 149/3 150/14	<b>51 per cent [1]</b> 18/6	43/12 43/20 43/25
'back [1] 52/15	<b>11.36 [1]</b> 51/22		<b>52 [1]</b> 65/23	46/6 46/9 46/11 46/15
'be [2] 40/17 52/14	<b>110 [2]</b> 87/12 178/22	157/4 158/11 167/5	<b>56 [1]</b> 184/21	48/4 49/1 49/17 51/11
'bureaucratic' [1]	<b>12 [4]</b> 26/14 114/18	169/14 190/5	<b>57 [1]</b> 118/19	54/4 56/17 57/5 57/6
38/15	12 [4] 20/14 114/18	<b>2025 [3]</b> 157/12		
'cancer' [1] 28/4		158/13 163/20	57 per [1] 118/13	57/23 58/8 58/14
'Create [2] 37/19	12 months [3]	2026 [1] 161/4	57 per cent [1]	58/24 60/12 60/25
97/13	111/15 131/5 191/14	<b>2028 [4]</b> 149/2	115/22	61/17 61/20 61/20
<b>'enterprise [1]</b> 124/9	<b>13 [2]</b> 26/14 114/24	156/13 156/13 160/18	6	63/1 65/4 65/10 66/5
'exit' [1] 94/21	13 March [3] 72/17	<b>2030 [2]</b> 163/20	<u> </u>	67/6 68/11 83/11
	83/3 157/4	164/10	61 per cent [1]	84/13 85/13 86/25
'finger [1] 24/7	<b>15 [5]</b> 3/4 22/22	<b>21 [1]</b> 3/19	115/22	87/6 88/24 91/12
'finger-pointing' [1]	22/22 51/18 63/3	21 per cent [1] 116/3	68 per cent [1]	91/14 92/7 94/3 95/17
24/7	<b>150 [1]</b> 112/23	<b>212 [2]</b> 94/4 94/8	115/21	95/25 96/8 96/9 99/4
'friendly' [1] 38/13	<b>153 [3]</b> 65/15 65/23	<b>22 [1]</b> 4/7	7	99/7 99/24 100/17
'girl' [1] 26/12	66/4	<b>23 [1]</b> 119/1		100/19 100/22 101/5
'improve [1] 40/16	<b>157 [2]</b> 65/15 66/4	<b>23 April [1]</b> 37/18	<b>7,000 [1]</b> 103/6	111/11 112/23 114/10
'improvements [1]	<b>16 [2]</b> 3/4 5/15	<b>24 [2]</b> 52/3 52/9	<b>70 [1]</b> 51/25	115/3 116/11 117/6
L				(50) MR BLAKE: - about
				-

Α	130/9	acutely [6] 12/21	148/9 161/10 175/4	193/19
about [57] 117/11	accountant [1] 109/2	71/8 77/5 81/3 98/6	182/19 186/4 188/7	all' [1] 97/14
117/14 118/2 118/6	accounting [2]	101/11	191/23 191/25	all-encompassing [1]
121/16 124/3 124/25	167/20 190/10	add [1] 156/14	against [13] 31/12	59/4
125/12 126/12 126/13	accounts [1] 167/8	additional [4] 14/24	32/16 55/13 57/10	allegation [18] 22/4
132/23 133/9 139/5	accuracy [1] 134/25	15/4 17/23 74/9	60/16 149/1 149/2	22/19 22/19 26/4 26/4
141/22 144/14 144/25	accurate [2] 70/6	address [14] 13/23	152/1 157/18 174/12	26/15 45/3 45/6 47/1
145/15 146/3 146/18	91/21	16/8 17/17 26/1 27/14		57/9 57/10 66/1 66/2
146/19 147/1 148/21	accurately [3] 37/11	63/19 84/23 102/25	age [1] 26/11	150/9 150/25 151/7
149/7 153/8 154/13	187/17 188/8	133/2 140/22 142/17	agencies [1] 170/8	152/21 175/23
155/20 156/12 158/24	accusation [1] 89/18	171/18 180/2 180/14	agenda [1] 10/5	allegations [12]
159/16 159/24 160/1	accused [1] 53/3	addressed [7] 7/3	aggressive [2] 23/25	26/16 26/18 60/6
165/1 170/12 170/22	achievable [1] 156/4	20/7 21/25 65/1	127/14	60/16 91/22 91/24
173/24 176/2 176/10	achieve [5] 128/11	122/20 141/18 147/7	ago [4] 47/4 56/5	93/5 93/12 149/19
177/24 178/7 178/9	128/11 128/12 129/24		95/5 171/10	150/8 151/12 152/1
178/22 179/5 180/25	143/1	117/20 167/25 170/9	agree [8] 18/11 18/13	
181/18 182/3 184/19	achieved [2] 111/20	179/21	21/10 107/9 119/12	188/10 188/13 188/17
186/1 186/7 186/19	122/15	addressing [2] 14/10		188/18 190/10
186/23 187/5 190/1	achieving [4] 53/23	22/1	agreed [9] 68/10 74/2	
190/21 190/24 190/25	141/23 145/12 145/14		130/20 139/22 140/2	168/11
191/5 192/15	acknowledged [1]	adhered [1] 104/14		allowed [3] 4/3 89/13
above [3] 147/9	106/19	adjourned [1] 193/23	174/23	146/20
167/17 168/2	acknowledgement	Adjournment [1]	agreement [2]	allows [3] 117/17
abreast [1] 87/16	[1] 53/10	108/3	162/17 182/3	140/23 144/18
absence [2] 75/4	acknowledges [1] 167/5	administered [1] 189/6	Ah [1] 65/21	almost [2] 13/8 92/3 alone [1] 81/5
94/11			ahead [2] 81/9 129/22	
absolute [1] 111/25	across [5] 4/12 10/5 92/17 125/5 125/6	admitting [1] 65/11		along [4] 22/15 73/1 110/22 170/4
absolutely [10] 16/15	act [2] 88/18 190/7	Adopted [1] 134/15 advantage [1] 142/12	Airport [1] 130/6	alongside [1] 123/20
55/17 77/20 84/1	acting [6] 27/9 71/21	advertisement [1]	94/21 94/25 95/16	already [15] 12/8
100/23 106/9 130/19	117/5 133/3 172/4	50/19	<b>Al's [2]</b> 94/11 94/18	15/6 16/7 57/24 61/18
155/2 159/23 175/9	192/14	advice [3] 128/2	albeit [1] 76/25	77/11 80/13 113/3
absorb [1] 135/15	action [18] 23/11	132/9 147/18	align [1] 102/15	133/13 139/5 147/1
abstained [1] 80/5	23/18 35/8 58/5 58/18		aligned [1] 129/21	147/25 148/7 172/24
abstaining [2] 33/20	59/3 59/4 59/8 62/18	159/10 160/5 160/23	alignment [1] 102/11	192/12
80/11	74/6 74/20 79/3 79/5	161/4 161/19	all [90] 2/17 5/16	also [53] 4/7 7/21 9/8
AC [1] 169/20	90/11 148/3 160/21	advising [1] 161/14	16/16 16/22 19/4 34/9	
ACAS [1] 90/14	161/21 170/16	advocate [1] 78/25	43/11 43/17 45/7	19/17 21/15 28/1
Accenture [6] 120/15	action' [2] 79/1 80/5	advocated [2] 177/11	47/10 51/17 52/15	29/13 30/7 33/15
153/6 153/8 153/13	actions [8] 3/6 14/9	177/14	54/5 55/9 55/16 56/9	34/13 35/18 35/19
153/15 153/18	15/25 59/1 83/13	affairs [6] 11/17	57/21 58/23 59/4	35/21 37/18 39/19
accept [5] 74/12	134/21 139/23 190/8	12/20 13/16 15/18	59/11 59/14 61/19	39/21 42/18 45/12
128/2 186/18 188/25 191/20	activated [1] 163/24	42/10 142/3	63/23 64/6 68/24	45/19 48/2 50/3 50/15
accepted [1] 179/22	Active [1] 152/19	affected [1] 105/1	70/10 70/23 72/8	61/20 65/2 65/6 68/5
accepting [1] 119/22	actively [4] 92/25	affects [1] 122/14	79/19 81/3 82/3 85/11	
access [3] 44/23	112/21 154/12 189/21		86/25 87/21 88/2	88/22 94/17 94/19
63/15 167/4	activities [5] 74/7	56/2 138/1 138/11	89/10 92/23 93/18	96/12 101/19 103/7
accessing [1] 178/24	134/18 152/9 164/17	138/18 148/12 150/24		112/16 117/21 124/10
accordance [1]	192/13	173/3 183/16 185/25	98/21 102/3 105/9	125/1 126/25 147/20
26/21	activity [3] 74/3	186/4 190/22	105/25 107/1 118/1	151/12 154/5 165/3
according [1] 71/13	152/3 153/15	after [12] 5/15 27/18	118/23 118/24 119/7	166/11 167/5 167/20
account [5] 15/25	actual [3] 87/5 87/7	30/8 37/24 67/9 68/24		
29/8 104/9 167/21	87/9	69/9 147/18 152/2	135/23 136/3 136/6	alternative [3] 159/6
168/9	actually [33] 12/8	162/10 167/22 187/21	139/22 139/24 140/5	159/9 163/22
accountability [18]	12/9 27/18 46/17	afternoon [7] 108/5	142/2 144/1 147/24	Alternatively [1] 27/6
11/18 33/1 39/16 52/6	47/19 53/9 54/11 56/7	108/5 164/20 173/24	150/23 153/17 154/14	
52/13 52/22 59/19	70/25 72/4 76/11 80/8 81/9 89/23 98/16	179/14 191/1 193/4	154/14 155/12 155/14 158/4 160/24 162/7	1
65/5 67/23 68/4 125/3	105/8 111/19 112/11	afterwards [2] 140/2 140/11	162/8 162/8 162/18	always [3] 36/4 155/16 175/8
125/6 125/15 136/5	119/3 119/23 120/19	again [22] 12/16	166/5 167/16 169/2	am [23] 1/2 7/1 19/18
136/11 143/21 144/2	122/10 130/9 136/10	again [22] 12/16 41/19 64/6 91/6 96/21	174/5 180/5 180/8	am [23] 1/2 //1 19/18 37/15 38/22 51/20
144/6	137/18 141/20 145/8	97/5 106/13 117/23	181/9 183/5 183/5	51/22 52/12 59/3
accountable [5] 8/11	162/4 162/7 168/25	120/21 125/5 125/20	186/10 187/18 188/8	59/20 64/19 93/4
59/20 67/12 86/21	176/3 179/12 180/20	141/16 144/17 147/6	188/9 188/15 193/1	93/16 100/17 115/17
				( <b>54</b> ) about an

(51) about... - am

Α	157/17 160/9 170/2	110/12 110/13 165/25	96/21 97/17 100/4	129/14
	170/4 173/4 175/16	appropriate [9] 48/13		attempt [1] 63/14
am [8] 126/3 135/9				
138/23 153/5 166/3	176/24 176/24 181/10		155/3 158/1 158/2	attempts [1] 23/6
169/3 174/4 193/23	184/13 185/9 185/22	87/3 100/20 130/18	168/23 170/22 174/9	attend [3] 50/13
	185/22 186/11 188/9	162/17 164/19	176/21 181/13 183/12	59/18 59/19
Amanda [5] 20/17	188/13 188/14 188/16		183/13 183/22 183/25	
21/6 22/15 24/18				
59/13	188/17 189/4 189/11	24/14 127/6	184/19 185/21 187/5	43/17 45/10 45/13
	190/8 190/19 192/23	appropriateness [2]	192/21 192/21	attendee [1] 134/3
amazing [2] 130/22	anybody [8] 10/7	95/13 96/10	asked [31] 6/22 7/10	attending [1] 41/23
132/3				
ambiguity [1] 46/6	57/20 62/25 86/16	approval [6] 25/15	13/7 15/16 22/11 29/7	
ambition [1] 132/16	90/2 93/9 98/21 175/4	25/23 119/20 122/13	29/10 29/16 33/15	12/14 86/22 92/9
	anyone [4] 54/25	158/6 182/4	43/13 62/12 66/5 67/8	122/25 131/20 145/21
amongst [3] 73/14	153/13 174/25 193/15		85/13 96/8 96/17 98/4	
104/24 112/9				
amount [4] 14/19	anything [10] 14/10	approved [7] 121/10	115/3 130/23 139/6	attesting [1] 166/20
111/1 125/10 166/22	29/1 56/13 81/5	126/17 137/10 140/10	139/9 149/24 159/3	attitude [1] 17/6
	110/22 128/11 131/17	142/19 163/20 164/1	161/22 166/1 175/6	attract [1] 20/20
analysing [1] 64/5	140/21 184/8 192/22	approximate [2] 87/1	176/24 177/4 183/13	attribute [1] 4/18
analysis [4] 22/22				
22/23 26/15 170/4	anyway [3] 35/5 46/5	87/12	184/12 190/1	attributed [1] 188/10
	193/16	approximately [3]	asking [12] 30/3	Audit [3] 135/23
Angela [1] 100/10	anywhere [1] 100/3	87/11 87/12 191/12	30/15 57/6 67/6 86/12	136/14 136/20
<b>ANITA [3]</b> 1/7 1/10			94/3 95/25 106/3	
194/2	apart [1] 132/25	April [5] 37/18		August [2] 108/15
announce [1] 184/9	Apologies [4] 43/10	150/14 163/19 169/14		171/13
	55/19 84/25 89/2	179/16	183/12	author [2] 11/1 92/10
announcement [1]	apologise [1] 183/15			authorisation [1]
182/9				
anonymity [1] 46/3	apparent [6] 4/19	ARC [2] 135/2 138/18		25/11
	125/2 188/10 188/13	architecture [1]	aspects [2] 64/23	authority [2] 74/4
anonymous [2] 44/7	188/16 188/18	115/2	165/4	130/10
147/1				
another [9] 46/24	Appeal [1] 88/9	are [229]	assess [2] 28/11	automate [2] 178/10
51/15 59/9 71/5 78/10	appeals [1] 55/12	area [13] 27/12 27/13		179/6
	appear [2] 32/21	27/22 28/21 33/17	assessing [1] 134/20	automation [2]
148/25 159/18 165/2	189/23	40/22 43/23 44/24	assessment [2]	178/13 178/17
173/15				
answer [15] 24/24	appears [4] 76/5	45/2 68/8 68/10 86/21		autonomy [1] 25/5
86/7 89/2 89/16 89/17	82/25 102/19 159/15	141/4	assist [33] 2/7 3/7	autumn [1] 12/9
	application [1] 8/10	areas [6] 9/14 9/25	29/8 36/22 37/21	available [2] 14/6
130/19 133/19 141/16				
	applications [2]	20/20 20/22 22/20	10/22 50/25 52/18	
	applications [3]	28/20 28/23 32/20	40/23 50/25 52/18	116/17
149/15 173/3 174/21	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24	28/20 28/23 32/20 38/1	61/4 65/17 65/25	116/17 averse [2] 126/24
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24	38/1		116/17 averse [2] 126/24
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9	38/1 <b>aren't [2]</b> 25/23	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24	38/1 <b>aren't [2]</b> 25/23 136/18	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24	38/1 <b>aren't [2]</b> 25/23 136/18	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16]	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16]	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 <b>assistance [4]</b> 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 <b>assistance [4]</b> 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 <b>assisted [1]</b> 145/10	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 <b>assistance [4]</b> 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 <b>assisted [1]</b> 145/10	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 <b>assistance [4]</b> 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 <b>assisted [1]</b> 145/10 <b>assisting [4]</b> 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assurance [10] 68/13	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8 59/19 60/16 65/8	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23 7/15	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17 arrive [1] 138/13	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assurance [10] 68/13	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8 59/19 60/16 65/8 77/24 78/18 78/23	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23 7/15 approach [21] 21/16	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17 arrive [1] 138/13 arses' [1] 26/8	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assurance [10] 68/13 92/1 133/24 134/12 134/16 135/7 135/10	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3 167/1 185/22 185/25 185/25 188/2
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8 59/19 60/16 65/8	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23 7/15 approach [21] 21/16 62/25 73/4 74/3 76/21	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17 arrive [1] 138/13 arses' [1] 26/8 as [242]	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assumace [10] 68/13 92/1 133/24 134/12 134/16 135/7 135/10 135/22 151/2 170/21	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3 167/1 185/22 185/25 185/25 188/2 <b>awareness [4]</b> 13/25
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8 59/19 60/16 65/8 77/24 78/18 78/23 79/7 79/9 80/11 82/1	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23 7/15 approach [21] 21/16 62/25 73/4 74/3 76/21 76/23 78/14 79/2 79/3	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17 arrive [1] 138/13 arses' [1] 26/8 as [242] ascertain [1] 167/20	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assurance [10] 68/13 92/1 133/24 134/12 134/16 135/7 135/10 135/22 151/2 170/21 assure [4] 120/22	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3 167/1 185/22 185/25 185/25 188/2 <b>awareness [4]</b> 13/25 14/7 16/18 75/18
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8 59/19 60/16 65/8 77/24 78/18 78/23 79/7 79/9 80/11 82/1 82/7 86/5 92/24 93/4	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23 7/15 approach [21] 21/16 62/25 73/4 74/3 76/21	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17 arrive [1] 138/13 arses' [1] 26/8 as [242]	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assumace [10] 68/13 92/1 133/24 134/12 134/16 135/7 135/10 135/22 151/2 170/21	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3 167/1 185/22 185/25 185/25 188/2 <b>awareness [4]</b> 13/25
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8 59/19 60/16 65/8 77/24 78/18 78/23 79/7 79/9 80/11 82/1 82/7 86/5 92/24 93/4 93/5 93/6 93/6 93/13	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23 7/15 approach [21] 21/16 62/25 73/4 74/3 76/21 76/23 78/14 79/2 79/3 79/10 79/11 79/14	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17 arrive [1] 138/13 arses' [1] 26/8 as [242] ascertain [1] 167/20 ashamed [1] 48/4	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assurance [10] 68/13 92/1 133/24 134/12 134/16 135/7 135/10 135/22 151/2 170/21 assure [4] 120/22 127/7 138/23 183/6	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3 167/1 185/22 185/25 185/25 188/2 <b>awareness [4]</b> 13/25 14/7 16/18 75/18 <b>away [12]</b> 77/7 82/9
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8 59/19 60/16 65/8 77/24 78/18 78/23 79/7 79/9 80/11 82/1 82/7 86/5 92/24 93/4 93/5 93/6 93/6 93/13 111/10 121/24 126/10	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23 7/15 approach [21] 21/16 62/25 73/4 74/3 76/21 76/23 78/14 79/2 79/3 79/10 79/11 79/14 81/11 81/13 134/15 141/10 159/6 159/9	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17 arrive [1] 138/13 arses' [1] 26/8 as [242] ascertain [1] 167/20 ashamed [1] 48/4 aside [2] 74/24	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assurance [10] 68/13 92/1 133/24 134/12 134/16 135/7 135/10 135/22 151/2 170/21 assure [4] 120/22 127/7 138/23 183/6 assured [3] 115/4	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3 167/1 185/22 185/25 185/25 188/2 <b>awareness [4]</b> 13/25 14/7 16/18 75/18 <b>away [12]</b> 77/7 82/9 115/4 161/14 164/13
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipated [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8 59/19 60/16 65/8 77/24 78/18 78/23 79/7 79/9 80/11 82/1 82/7 86/5 92/24 93/4 93/5 93/6 93/6 93/13	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23 7/15 approach [21] 21/16 62/25 73/4 74/3 76/21 76/23 78/14 79/2 79/3 79/10 79/11 79/14 81/11 81/13 134/15 141/10 159/6 159/9	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17 arrive [1] 138/13 arses' [1] 26/8 as [242] ascertain [1] 167/20 ashamed [1] 48/4 aside [2] 74/24 160/24	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assurance [10] 68/13 92/1 133/24 134/12 134/16 135/7 135/10 135/22 151/2 170/21 assure [4] 120/22 127/7 138/23 183/6 assured [3] 115/4 147/22 193/18	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3 167/1 185/22 185/25 185/25 188/2 <b>awareness [4]</b> 13/25 14/7 16/18 75/18 <b>away [12]</b> 77/7 82/9 115/4 161/14 164/13 172/24 173/17 173/20
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8 59/19 60/16 65/8 77/24 78/18 78/23 79/7 79/9 80/11 82/1 82/7 86/5 92/24 93/4 93/5 93/6 93/6 93/13 111/10 121/24 126/10 127/10 128/11 142/16	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23 7/15 approach [21] 21/16 62/25 73/4 74/3 76/21 76/23 78/14 79/2 79/3 79/10 79/11 79/14 81/11 81/13 134/15 141/10 159/6 159/9 163/22 170/18 172/14	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17 arrive [1] 138/13 arses' [1] 26/8 as [242] ascertain [1] 167/20 ashamed [1] 48/4 aside [2] 74/24 160/24 ask [33] 1/13 1/16	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assurance [10] 68/13 92/1 133/24 134/12 134/16 135/7 135/10 135/22 151/2 170/21 assure [4] 120/22 127/7 138/23 183/6 assured [3] 115/4 147/22 193/18 at [311]	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3 167/1 185/22 185/25 185/25 188/2 <b>awareness [4]</b> 13/25 14/7 16/18 75/18 <b>away [12]</b> 77/7 82/9 115/4 161/14 164/13 172/24 173/17 173/20 176/11 190/24 191/22
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8 59/19 60/16 65/8 77/24 78/18 78/23 79/7 79/9 80/11 82/1 82/7 86/5 92/24 93/4 93/5 93/6 93/6 93/13 111/10 121/24 126/10 127/10 128/11 142/16 143/21 145/20 151/18	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23 7/15 approach [21] 21/16 62/25 73/4 74/3 76/21 76/23 78/14 79/2 79/3 79/10 79/11 79/14 81/11 81/13 134/15 141/10 159/6 159/9 163/22 170/18 172/14	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17 arrive [1] 138/13 arses' [1] 26/8 as [242] ascertain [1] 167/20 ashamed [1] 48/4 aside [2] 74/24 160/24 ask [33] 1/13 1/16	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assurance [10] 68/13 92/1 133/24 134/12 134/16 135/7 135/10 135/22 151/2 170/21 assure [4] 120/22 127/7 138/23 183/6 assured [3] 115/4 147/22 193/18 at [311]	116/17 averse [2] 126/24 127/4 avoidance [1] 168/15 awarding [1] 153/9 aware [56] 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3 167/1 185/22 185/25 185/25 188/2 awareness [4] 13/25 14/7 16/18 75/18 away [12] 77/7 82/9
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8 59/19 60/16 65/8 77/24 78/18 78/23 79/7 79/9 80/11 82/1 82/7 86/5 92/24 93/4 93/5 93/6 93/6 93/13 111/10 121/24 126/10 127/10 128/11 142/16	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23 7/15 approach [21] 21/16 62/25 73/4 74/3 76/21 76/23 78/14 79/2 79/3 79/10 79/11 79/14 81/11 81/13 134/15 141/10 159/6 159/9 163/22 170/18 172/14 approached [6]	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17 arrive [1] 138/13 arses' [1] 26/8 as [242] ascertain [1] 167/20 ashamed [1] 48/4 aside [2] 74/24 160/24 ask [33] 1/13 1/16 9/17 10/9 24/21 41/20	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assumance [10] 68/13 92/1 133/24 134/12 134/16 135/7 135/10 135/22 151/2 170/21 assure [4] 120/22 127/7 138/23 183/6 assured [3] 115/4 147/22 193/18 at [311] at page 7 [1] 3/16	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3 167/1 185/22 185/25 185/25 188/2 <b>awareness [4]</b> 13/25 14/7 16/18 75/18 <b>away [12]</b> 77/7 82/9 115/4 161/14 164/13 172/24 173/17 173/20 176/11 190/24 191/22
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8 59/19 60/16 65/8 77/24 78/18 78/23 79/7 79/9 80/11 82/1 82/7 86/5 92/24 93/4 93/5 93/6 93/6 93/13 111/10 121/24 126/10 127/10 128/11 142/16 143/21 145/20 151/18	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23 7/15 approach [21] 21/16 62/25 73/4 74/3 76/21 76/23 78/14 79/2 79/3 79/10 79/11 79/14 81/11 81/13 134/15 141/10 159/6 159/9 163/22 170/18 172/14	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17 arrive [1] 138/13 arses' [1] 26/8 as [242] ascertain [1] 167/20 ashamed [1] 48/4 aside [2] 74/24 160/24 ask [33] 1/13 1/16	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assumance [10] 68/13 92/1 133/24 134/12 134/16 135/7 135/10 135/22 151/2 170/21 assure [4] 120/22 127/7 138/23 183/6 assured [3] 115/4 147/22 193/18 at [311] at page 7 [1] 3/16	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3 167/1 185/22 185/25 185/25 188/2 <b>awareness [4]</b> 13/25 14/7 16/18 75/18 <b>away [12]</b> 77/7 82/9 115/4 161/14 164/13 172/24 173/17 173/20 176/11 190/24 191/22
149/15 173/3 174/21 175/8 182/18 186/4 190/23 answered [1] 190/3 answering [1] 86/6 answers [2] 146/7 192/20 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [1] 121/21 anticipate [2] 4/11 113/9 antidote [1] 128/23 anxiety [1] 61/7 any [82] 3/5 4/18 4/22 5/16 6/5 14/24 15/8 15/13 18/22 24/17 25/19 25/23 27/7 28/13 30/11 40/6 41/7 45/8 45/24 46/6 47/13 49/1 49/1 53/5 56/22 57/12 59/1 59/8 59/19 60/16 65/8 77/24 78/18 78/23 79/7 79/9 80/11 82/1 82/7 86/5 92/24 93/4 93/5 93/6 93/6 93/13 111/10 121/24 126/10 127/10 128/11 142/16 143/21 145/20 151/18	applications [3] 75/16 112/22 112/24 applied [2] 25/9 124/24 apply [4] 38/24 38/25 114/23 117/25 appointed [6] 27/19 29/3 46/23 86/20 109/23 110/6 appointment [16] 19/14 19/16 19/20 20/10 20/13 47/22 49/8 76/24 110/1 111/5 111/18 134/2 134/2 134/7 158/19 179/21 appreciate [7] 23/14 43/23 52/20 54/1 95/8 132/15 192/24 appreciated [2] 3/23 7/15 approach [21] 21/16 62/25 73/4 74/3 76/21 76/23 78/14 79/2 79/3 79/10 79/11 79/14 81/11 81/13 134/15 141/10 159/6 159/9 163/22 170/18 172/14 approached [6]	38/1 aren't [2] 25/23 136/18 Argentex [1] 109/17 arguably [2] 54/11 101/9 arise [2] 22/5 118/10 arisen [2] 11/9 141/16 arising [6] 76/18 81/23 82/2 83/20 117/1 138/5 around [18] 5/12 6/8 8/23 25/2 39/6 56/17 61/25 67/18 81/2 83/6 113/17 118/11 131/9 141/3 145/5 152/17 179/9 185/15 arranged [1] 171/17 arrive [1] 138/13 arses' [1] 26/8 as [242] ascertain [1] 167/20 ashamed [1] 48/4 aside [2] 74/24 160/24 ask [33] 1/13 1/16 9/17 10/9 24/21 41/20	61/4 65/17 65/25 70/19 77/3 80/8 83/16 83/22 91/2 91/16 104/11 112/19 115/7 119/2 127/3 134/5 138/6 139/19 142/22 150/22 160/15 161/24 164/2 180/12 186/1 assistance [4] 74/9 107/24 167/19 182/9 assisted [1] 145/10 assisting [4] 137/6 145/11 173/1 173/2 associated [5] 75/22 79/17 91/8 98/22 115/3 assume [1] 176/14 assuming [1] 78/14 assumance [10] 68/13 92/1 133/24 134/12 134/16 135/7 135/10 135/22 151/2 170/21 assure [4] 120/22 127/7 138/23 183/6 assured [3] 115/4 147/22 193/18 at [311] at page 7 [1] 3/16	116/17 <b>averse [2]</b> 126/24 127/4 <b>avoidance [1]</b> 168/15 <b>awarding [1]</b> 153/9 <b>aware [56]</b> 12/21 17/16 18/14 24/11 29/1 29/4 29/12 30/2 37/2 41/5 45/3 45/4 45/8 50/2 55/15 60/6 60/14 64/19 66/8 67/4 67/8 69/11 70/25 71/8 77/5 78/7 81/3 86/14 86/16 91/20 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/17 92/19 92/21 93/4 93/9 93/13 93/14 96/1 98/7 100/16 101/11 111/16 115/15 143/22 150/10 153/3 153/16 166/3 167/1 185/22 185/25 185/25 188/2 <b>awareness [4]</b> 13/25 14/7 16/18 75/18 <b>away [12]</b> 77/7 82/9 115/4 161/14 164/13 172/24 173/17 173/20 176/11 190/24 191/22

(52) am... - back

В	124/17 127/25 128/15	33/3 35/3 47/12 54/25	30/6 30/10 30/16	bit [3] 106/12 127/1
backfilling [1] 11/12	139/14 141/9 144/5	56/3 56/4 69/7 73/12	33/21 39/2 60/10	148/1
background [14] 2/1	146/13 147/20 149/13		60/11 71/14 90/2 93/5	• •
4/3 36/23 50/9 50/9	156/22 162/5 166/5	96/12 96/20 98/4	108/22 145/7	109/7
103/3 103/8 103/18	172/7 173/9 174/24	98/12 100/2 101/22	believe [20] 14/13	Blake [9] 1/4 1/8
103/20 109/1 134/5	178/20 180/17 182/21	109/11 119/4 120/18	17/2 17/12 28/18	97/22 107/21 108/9
155/1 179/20 179/22	190/3 192/4 192/5	122/23 123/2 123/6	30/22 57/18 57/19	190/1 191/1 194/4
backing [1] 53/19	192/17	123/14 140/1 148/20 156/13 157/3 157/15	63/11 64/24 65/1 76/14 83/9 87/18	194/12 Blake's [4] 107/15
Badenoch [1] 110/7	become [7] 2/19 2/22 67/4 111/8 119/5	162/11 165/1 165/20	87/20 91/5 126/8	Blake's [1] 107/15 blame [1] 24/4
balance [6] 23/24	154/2 176/20	170/22 181/11 181/13		blank [1] 55/19
48/10 50/2 50/11	becomes [1] 176/19	184/18	186/5	blend [1] 163/5
111/25 132/23	becoming [4] 81/6	beforehand [1] 45/20		blind [1] 78/12
balances [3] 36/7 169/24 170/2	109/11 114/13 122/10		believing [1] 63/25	board [88] 10/25
balancing [2] 76/10	been [156] 3/21 6/20	began [1] 119/25	below [2] 26/25	18/1 27/24 36/24 37/3
77/14	6/24 7/11 8/6 8/20	begging [1] 128/9	150/1	37/6 37/10 45/10
ball [1] 88/3	9/16 12/19 14/22 16/7	begin [1] 3/25	Ben [10] 58/24 59/8	45/18 46/20 48/12
bandwagon [1]	18/14 20/1 20/2 22/1	beginning [7] 62/2	60/11 60/23 61/5	57/2 63/6 63/20 63/22
129/15	22/2 23/4 23/19 29/2	62/7 63/2 66/21 66/22		80/22 81/7 81/7 81/24
bandwidth [1] 35/20	29/24 30/17 31/14	83/8 94/9	89/23 158/20	96/25 97/3 97/15
bank's [1] 156/1	31/25 35/4 36/3 36/11 36/19 37/7 37/19	behalf [1] 31/2 behaving [1] 145/18	benchmark [1] 102/17	97/18 98/12 112/6 112/7 112/9 112/10
banks [2] 156/1	41/22 42/25 43/2 43/8		benefit [3] 104/11	112/11 112/13 112/14
163/8	43/9 43/19 45/23 47/7	36/5 39/2 39/4 94/18	155/7 172/17	112/16 113/5 113/8
banner [1] 102/2	48/2 48/3 48/4 48/6	95/17 99/5 152/15	benefits [2] 40/19	113/15 114/7 116/18
bar [1] 90/12		behaviours [26] 8/12		116/19 119/17 119/22
barrier [1] 124/11 barriers [1] 38/15	58/5 58/10 58/18 60/6		best [25] 1/20 15/3	121/10 124/5 124/10
barrister [1] 21/24	60/14 61/8 61/8 69/20		20/2 21/8 37/7 38/23	125/11 125/12 125/18
Bartlett [3] 148/14	70/14 71/19 72/4 72/9	52/14 53/23 54/5 54/8		125/22 126/1 126/24
149/19 166/14	72/13 73/24 74/2	54/10 54/24 54/24	57/19 64/8 64/10	127/4 127/5 127/9
Bartlett's [2] 68/12	74/15 74/25 75/14	59/7 101/5 101/17	64/24 78/15 86/7	127/16 129/17 130/8
166/9	75/15 75/16 78/9 78/11 78/23 81/2	101/17 102/5 102/9 102/15 144/19 146/17	86/10 87/25 90/2 90/20 98/9 100/8	132/13 132/21 132/24 133/1 133/3 133/9
based [10] 34/7 37/3	82/12 82/25 83/5 84/3		101/13 108/21 162/2	133/17 133/21 133/22
63/11 118/19 130/23		behind [3] 1/12 78/21		136/17 136/21 138/14
135/5 155/16 167/10	89/24 90/25 91/3 91/5		better [18] 19/3 36/9	138/18 138/23 139/22
167/15 189/3	91/24 91/25 93/5 93/7		39/23 40/15 40/18	141/4 141/5 141/7
basic [2] 139/2 140/6	94/17 94/19 94/25	15/1 15/15 17/22	43/21 53/11 62/24	141/11 141/12 142/1
basically [5] 138/12 148/3 163/4 177/16	95/17 95/18 96/16	19/20 19/24 23/6	68/6 68/10 84/9 90/25	
178/4	97/11 98/4 101/22	28/19 33/15 34/15	129/17 135/25 144/13	
basis [7] 3/25 14/4	102/23 103/16 105/1	44/21 44/23 45/9	156/25 162/22 178/15	
78/24 79/21 80/6	105/5 105/5 105/8	46/10 63/8 65/5 67/2	between [34] 17/6	176/11 176/14 191/5
113/17 176/14	105/9 111/13 116/6 116/11 117/11 119/7	69/9 70/1 70/4 72/3 74/19 75/13 76/7	39/16 48/10 66/20 67/4 67/14 68/14	Board/ARC [1] 138/18
be [254]	121/18 122/18 122/20		68/16 94/20 94/22	Boards [1] 48/24
bear [1] 93/2	123/16 126/23 128/15			bone [1] 170/4
bearing [2] 61/16	128/16 130/3 133/13	92/20 94/12 95/15	101/23 123/17 124/10	
91/22 became [6] 2/25 4/9	133/20 135/1 136/9	97/3 109/17 112/19		both [17] 5/11 40/13
30/1 31/23 79/13	141/23 143/21 144/25		133/15 133/16 133/22	
119/5	147/25 148/7 148/7	125/12 126/24 129/13		50/8 66/24 66/25
because [65] 5/5	150/7 150/13 150/20	136/15 136/17 136/18		
13/2 13/5 19/24 20/21	151/17 151/22 155/23			
21/19 22/1 26/1 29/24	159/21 159/21 160/6	138/8 139/6 139/9	172/11 174/8 190/1	151/11 162/17 172/22
33/20 44/3 47/5 53/3	165/19 167/1 167/15 167/23 169/15 171/14	141/18 149/11 149/25 153/13 154/1 154/5	beyond [7] 26/23	<b>bottom [9]</b> 60/3 63/3 83/13 89/4 114/15
58/12 60/19 61/9 65/8	172/1 173/25 174/12	154/6 154/7 158/8	159/25 167/6 173/16	114/18 158/22 158/23
65/9 67/6 68/21 68/24	175/12 175/19 178/20		big [2] 34/22 119/9	179/20
69/13 70/9 73/16	181/7 184/25 185/14		bigger [3] 54/25 55/1	bound [3] 90/14
80/23 81/2 81/3 82/13	186/6 186/9 187/20	175/6 176/25	153/14	106/18 190/12
85/18 89/17 90/18 90/21 96/16 98/13	189/3 189/11 189/14	BEIS0000793 [1]	biggest [3] 8/2 70/10	bowl [1] 128/9
98/21 101/1 104/8	191/3 192/20 193/18	179/15	100/3	box [1] 182/2
106/3 110/13 113/10	before [47] 2/23 3/22	Belfast [2] 105/4	billion [3] 149/1	branch [8] 100/7
117/1 122/12 122/13	5/9 5/17 14/10 14/20	105/5	156/12 156/13	103/6 167/4 167/8
	18/17 29/2 31/9 31/22	belief [14] 1/21 15/22	<b>pinaing [1]</b> 163/21	167/21 168/7 168/9
				(53) backfilling - branch

(53) backfilling - branch

В	18/22 54/14 54/16	88/11 106/10 106/23	147/7 147/10 148/4	38/19 76/9 129/11
branch [1] 191/16	155/4 155/9 155/18	109/17 120/3 133/24	155/18 155/23 157/2	134/22 136/6 188/19
branch's [1] 116/9	156/2 156/20 156/25	Calum [1] 42/21	158/5 158/17 158/22	caused [2] 189/7
branches [1] 100/10	built [2] 49/5 155/23	camaraderie [1]	160/15 161/11 161/24	189/11
brand [4] 11/11 13/3	bullet [3] 11/8 74/1	132/21	162/5 162/23 164/2	causes [5] 39/21
13/6 186/15	153/1	came [16] 15/18	164/13 164/22 166/4	188/13 188/16 188/18
Brander [3] 92/12	bullets [1] 180/21	34/10 61/3 67/19 72/24 73/12 95/7	166/6 169/9 172/2 172/22 173/6 174/3	188/24
92/14 92/20	bullied [1] 22/20 bully [1] 22/25	95/24 96/9 99/17	176/23 178/9 178/10	causing [2] 75/3 119/9
break [13] 51/16	bunch [1] 178/21	113/2 115/4 130/4	178/13 178/17 179/8	caveats [1] 12/24
51/21 56/3 56/6 67/19	huroouoroov [1]	130/5 147/2 147/24	179/9 180/12 183/2	<b>CB [8]</b> 80/4 153/9
160/19 161/11 161/16	38/24	Camelot [14] 109/9	183/6 183/18 184/7	153/13 160/5 160/11
163/17 164/20 164/24 165/1 172/5	bursaries [1] 186/1	109/11 110/14 110/16	184/15 184/17 185/7	161/4 161/8 161/19
breakdown [1]	Burton [5] 20/17 21/6	110/17 111/17 111/21	189/1 189/1 189/4	cements [1] 17/5
171/25	22/15 24/18 59/13	112/6 120/8 130/4	190/15 190/21 192/17	cent [20] 18/6 18/9
breaking [1] 95/19	Burton's [1] 191/4	130/23 142/9 145/6	can't [18] 43/21	115/21 115/21 115/22
breakthrough [1]	business [115] 3/21	145/7	56/13 65/19 65/23	115/22 116/1 116/3
172/3	3/23 4/1 4/6 4/12 4/14		70/8 75/7 75/8 78/8	116/3 116/6 116/17
brief [5] 90/16 90/22	4/23 4/25 5/20 5/24 7/9 7/14 7/25 8/4 8/7	95/16 95/20 124/21 133/16 147/12 147/16	97/25 115/11 121/21 122/11 123/8 146/12	118/14 118/19 142/10 145/7 145/9 154/14
90/22 149/20 149/24	8/17 9/11 9/12 9/15	can [191] 1/9 1/16	148/8 178/14 181/25	154/16 191/11 191/14
briefed [1] 116/11	9/21 9/25 11/20 12/11	1/18 1/20 1/22 2/7 3/7		central [2] 106/9
briefing [4] 116/13	12/12 12/14 12/20	7/6 10/22 13/23 15/10		106/19
148/25 179/15 179/16	13/3 14/9 14/18 15/13	15/25 16/4 16/5 16/5	candid [1] 43/8	centre [7] 40/19
briefings [2] 114/12 115/8	15/20 15/21 17/15	16/13 17/3 17/25 18/3		122/8 122/9 122/9
briefly [4] 13/23	17/19 18/25 24/21	18/20 19/2 19/8 19/12	26/12 47/24	122/9 144/21 163/3
27/16 97/22 137/4	25/22 27/20 28/10		candidates [3] 21/15	centred [1] 39/6
bring [16] 3/15 10/22	29/12 32/19 35/11	28/14 29/5 29/8 32/1	21/17 28/11	centres [1] 2/18
15/10 17/20 44/8	36/5 39/1 39/20 40/5	34/4 36/20 36/22	candidly [1] 188/20	CEO [19] 19/13 19/14
50/18 52/2 52/9	40/6 40/18 41/12	37/21 38/21 38/23	cannot [4] 115/6	19/16 19/17 19/20
107/17 114/13 115/13	43/21 44/2 45/23 46/1 49/6 49/19 52/8 54/17	40/10 40/23 41/7 42/12 43/12 44/7 45/7	134/24 168/2 178/5	20/14 20/19 22/18 24/22 109/12 117/5
122/21 162/18 162/21	59/5 61/7 61/17 61/21	45/22 46/11 48/7 49/5		131/6 131/7 131/13
163/3 166/4	62/22 64/23 66/6 68/3	50/25 52/18 53/23	52/25 64/25 65/9	132/19 133/3 143/3
bringing [5] 49/9	69/1 71/14 72/14	54/2 55/4 55/10 55/17		145/6 172/4
49/11 159/17 160/1	74/13 76/5 78/14	56/6 56/7 56/8 56/11	129/8 137/21 180/4	CEO's [2] 19/13
163/1 broad [4] 66/1 67/11	79/15 79/20 84/7	61/4 63/3 63/3 63/16	capable [7] 17/4	20/18
67/12 132/4	89/22 90/7 94/22 95/1		21/13 50/8 54/19	certain [9] 9/12 17/25
broadcast [1] 41/17	95/7 96/5 101/21	69/4 70/15 70/23	54/21 85/17 98/8	25/15 57/23 88/1
broader [3] 57/10	106/9 106/19 107/1	72/20 72/21 77/3	capacity [2] 142/16	144/13 150/10 160/13
113/11 113/12	111/3 112/16 112/23	78/15 79/3 80/2 80/8	180/4 Cordow [5] 11/5 11/6	165/4
broadly [3] 29/20	115/4 117/9 118/4 118/17 119/14 119/16	81/16 81/22 83/16 83/22 83/24 85/11	Cardew [5] 11/5 11/6 11/12 12/24 13/7	certainly [27] 6/8 9/1 9/2 13/5 30/8 33/10
67/2 180/11	124/2 124/11 130/2	85/19 86/24 89/16	carefully [1] 167/18	45/21 48/5 70/20
Brocklehurst [5]	131/6 136/20 136/24	92/18 93/25 94/7	Carla [1] 123/15	106/8 108/1 125/6
41/11 117/5 146/15	137/3 138/10 139/6	96/24 97/6 97/17 98/9		125/14 126/22 133/20
153/10 172/3 Brocklesby [3]	141/6 142/14 143/8	102/3 102/4 102/6	123/15	138/10 142/7 151/12
115/12 153/11 153/12	144/1 145/4 154/8	105/12 106/12 108/10		159/25 164/11 171/25
broken [2] 58/22	158/1 158/9 171/9	108/17 108/18 112/19		173/16 182/13 183/18
94/22	174/25 177/12 190/22	114/13 115/7 115/14	72/3 123/13	186/14 190/24 192/1
brought [10] 5/24	193/12	116/5 119/2 120/22	carry [1] 60/19	certainty [1] 156/14
13/10 31/11 68/23	business's [1] 26/17 businesses [6] 2/9	121/5 122/14 125/7 125/8 125/19 125/20	carrying [1] 71/8	cetera [1] 74/21 CFO [5] 96/2 124/17
69/2 103/16 130/1	4/20 20/23 20/23	126/8 127/3 127/7	Cars [1] 109/7 case [14] 34/8 36/8	124/17 133/3 143/4
130/3 159/10 165/19	24/23 40/17	127/10 128/19 130/25		chair [28] 5/25 20/16
budget [1] 149/2	busy [1] 3/11	132/8 133/5 133/25	71/1 86/11 90/17	21/6 24/11 24/16
bugs [2] 167/2 170/4	but [227]	134/13 135/14 136/25		24/17 24/19 26/7 26/9
build [15] 43/7 114/23 117/25 135/4	button [1] 116/21	138/6 138/23 139/19	128/20 158/10 189/20	27/6 28/7 28/9 28/16
154/1 155/10 155/13	C	140/1 140/3 140/8	cases [6] 53/15	28/16 28/17 28/22
155/21 155/21 155/24		140/12 140/14 141/15		44/11 109/17 109/21
155/25 156/8 156/8	calendar [1] 91/11	142/5 142/11 142/13	101/3 177/2	109/22 113/20 114/13
156/23 161/3	calibre [1] 126/3 call [2] 61/15 88/3		cash [3] 117/2 167/9	145/22 158/20 179/23
building [10] 16/24	called [7] 44/10	144/7 144/12 145/21 146/1 146/16 146/17	189/14 cause [7] 32/14	181/24 185/21 192/10 chairman [9] 111/10
				(54) branch - chairman

(54) branch... - chairman

С	33/1 33/12 35/4 35/20	coaching [1] 2/8	62/12 150/7	178/18 180/15 180/18
	51/6 51/9 106/10	code [4] 161/23	commissioning [4]	180/23 181/2 185/11
chairman [8]	106/23 107/3 190/4	161/25 162/1 162/8	22/6 22/8 22/9 22/11	186/6
111/12 119/5 123/1	192/14	cohort [1] 38/5	commit [2] 121/21	compete [1] 133/8
127/9 132/10 133/24	children [1] 186/2	Coincidentally [1]	182/1	Competence [1]
135/15 146/13	choice [3] 135/4	47/11	commitment [5] 32/6	137/22
Chairs [1] 23/6	162/15 172/8		157/17 160/8 163/21	
challenge [5] 43/20		collaboration [1]		competitive [1]
53/21 112/3 125/4	Chris [1] 115/11	101/23	183/6	110/20
138/18	Christmas [1] 67/19	colleague [10] 36/25	committed [3]	competitor [1] 124/7
challenged [1] 13/3	CI's [1] 149/21	40/13 41/15 45/1	174/12 175/12 175/19	
challenges [4] 12/15	CIO [1] 131/2	45/11 45/17 45/21	committee [7] 19/19	57/5 57/5 57/22 58/1
84/10 129/22 171/8	circling [1] 81/2	65/13 97/12 102/11	22/3 44/19 59/15	58/8 59/10 84/3
champion [1] 49/14	circumstances [9]	colleagues [28]	59/23 136/14 136/20	complaints [9] 21/24
chance [2] 46/6	7/17 7/18 32/22 53/5	10/21 13/1 14/1 38/9	committees [2]	36/2 56/21 66/6 66/7
126/4	60/7 74/11 115/15	38/11 38/13 38/14	59/11 138/2	94/18 95/17 95/18
Chancellor [1] 55/7	165/6 175/17	38/17 38/17 38/18	committing [1]	177/24
change [36] 7/25	cite [1] 34/18	38/20 40/14 41/3	160/17	complete [2] 132/11
8/19 9/16 25/18 35/1	City [2] 165/24	41/25 68/3 68/25 71/9		161/4
36/18 39/1 41/8 52/16	173/25	71/21 72/14 75/10	134/17 135/6 135/11	completed [1] 159/5
55/3 73/7 73/18 82/14	CIVII [9] 112/17	75/20 84/5 91/19	135/25 141/21 141/23	completely [5] 55/19
82/14 122/16 126/8	168/13 168/16 169/6	91/23 98/6 102/18	187/21 191/16	55/21 93/7 171/3
128/6 128/11 144/16	170/14 170/15 173/2	104/19 122/14	commonly [1] 34/19	174/10
145/1 145/2 145/3	173/13 190/9	collective [2] 98/8	communicate [2]	completeness [1]
145/4 145/17 145/22	claim [5] 29/25 30/9	153/7	41/2 41/14	134/25
145/25 146/4 146/5	31/11 76/19 77/2	coloured [1] 26/10	communicated [2]	Complex [2] 68/13
146/10 146/16 146/17	claiming [2] 72/5	come [41] 4/16 4/22	45/21 135/1	92/1
146/20 146/22 163/7	76/1	19/12 21/17 24/23	communicating [2]	Compliance [1]
163/12 171/5	claims [3] 30/1	25/22 26/11 29/5	19/3 38/3	135/22
changed [7] 7/2 9/8	147/25 179/4	42/12 48/8 51/18 55/4		complicated [2]
34/25 55/22 73/24	Claire [1] 149/25	57/3 57/12 65/19	11/17 13/1 13/2 18/21	35/12 90/3
112/1 180/12	clarifies [1] 48/7	66/19 67/22 87/17	41/10 41/12 52/6 63/6	
changes [16] 13/21	clarify [3] 63/20 95/6	92/17 92/18 99/21	65/7 90/1 100/25	173/22
25/19 25/24 35/9 36/3	143/11	103/2 107/25 121/4	152/17	components [2]
39/9 41/12 51/7 52/13	clarity [3] 39/19	125/5 125/6 127/17	communication' [1]	155/14 173/5
70/11 77/7 85/18	75/21 125/25	128/4 128/7 128/14	40/16	composed [1] 62/17
85/19 124/7 143/21	Clarke [1] 152/16	136/14 146/5 146/19	communications [9]	composition [1]
146/1	class [1] 2/1	148/4 148/15 164/22	11/13 12/12 13/10	112/6
changes/politics/sha	clause [1] 161/11	165/4 172/5 174/1	13/16 40/12 40/21	comprehend [1]
reholders [1] 124/7	clauses [2] 160/19	174/19 175/11	42/10 71/7 161/17	14/20
changing [3] 37/24	189/13	comes [3] 3/18	communities [2]	comprehensive [6]
73/16 73/20	clear [26] 4/10 15/19	126/13 144/5	144/23 186/13	41/19 45/14 45/24
channelled [1]	39/15 39/17 41/1	comfort [1] 169/2	community [1]	45/25 134/9 134/19
150/19	62/15 80/13 82/10	comfortable [3] 4/5	170/21	comprehensiveness
channels [2] 121/8	84/8 84/12 84/13	53/8 189/17	companies [2] 8/22	<b>[1]</b> 37/9
152/20	91/14 112/13 124/23	coming [8] 12/9	103/4	compromise [1]
chaotic [2] 5/8 15/17	124/25 127/24 129/21		company [14] 101/25	
Chapman [1] 193/8	132/14 137/2 141/17	138/23 158/2 179/4	109/18 113/13 114/7	
characterisation [1]	142/19 142/20 159/22		120/12 123/14 123/21	
26/23	177/14 181/6 190/6	commas [1] 87/2	124/14 139/21 142/10	
charge [1] 9/18	clearly [15] 60/18	commencement [1]	159/6 161/6 172/21	concern [15] 30/21
charged [2] 57/4	78/10 78/11 80/16	163/23	176/24	41/8 46/9 72/11 76/5
57/11	111/9 116/22 117/14	comment [7] 29/13	compared [3] 20/22	124/23 130/8 133/15
chased [1] 152/1	126/14 137/5 143/3	64/14 71/23 105/13	21/2 154/7	138/20 138/21 138/22
check [2] 121/9	143/3 155/21 165/21	151/4 152/11 152/20	comparing [1] 112/7	151/21 152/24 154/6
160/23	172/16 173/4	comments [7] 29/24	comparison [1]	192/7
checks [4] 36/7	clients [1] 183/12	38/24 46/4 46/5 104/4		concerned [9] 28/1
151/3 169/24 170/2	cloaked [1] 47/22	168/4 168/25	<b>compensate [1]</b> 88/16	35/19 61/19 87/15 96/3 101/5 143/22
Chesterfield [1]	<b>close [8]</b> 29/7 29/16 29/19 30/3 30/15	commercial [2] 20/22 50/8		151/22 170/12
189/6	49/16 91/8 172/18		compensation [24] 69/10 69/19 70/13	
Chief [24] 2/19 2/22	<b>Closed [1]</b> 137/21	commercially [3] 122/13 133/7 177/1	72/6 77/6 78/15 81/18	concerning [2] 23/1 150/6
2/25 3/10 6/20 8/22	closely [1] 82/18	commercials [1]	82/1 82/22 84/23 85/9	
10/4 17/13 19/21	cloud [1] 147/13	130/13	86/3 86/13 88/14	28/13 43/6 49/1 51/11
19/25 25/2 25/3 25/7	<b>Co [1]</b> 185/17	commissioned [2]	177/9 177/18 177/24	57/1 83/11 83/22
				5., 1 00, 11 00/LL
				5) obairman concorne

(55) chairman... - concerns

С	connectivity [1]	95/24 96/6 96/7	111/10 113/4 130/21	179/19 182/20 187/8
concerns [13]	101/12	117/18 124/22 139/11		187/11 188/6 189/13
85/25 92/24 114/22	conscious [2] 12/18	157/18	172/22 177/20 177/21	189/15
117/25 124/25 126/10	99/14	contingency [2] 161/5 161/9	conviction [2] 45/22 64/14	couldn't [4] 34/3
135/17 136/3 150/5	consequence [2] 136/5 136/12	contingent [1] 84/6	convictions [2] 88/8	34/17 67/18 128/7 Counsel [4] 58/9
152/3 153/8 154/1	consequences [3]	continuation [1]	88/12	86/20 124/18 185/19
184/8	33/6 53/1 65/10	157/10	COO [1] 131/7	counselling [1]
concerns/issues [1]	consider [20] 5/6	continue [17] 16/5	COO/CEO [1] 131/7	185/23
153/8 conclude [1] 175/22	21/13 37/6 48/16 49/4		cooperate [4] 74/13	counterargument [1]
conclude [1] 173/22 concluded [1] 31/14	52/5 70/6 71/16 86/2	79/8 82/20 114/17	175/7 176/21 188/12	17/5
concluding [2] 91/9	111/21 112/7 126/19	116/14 116/15 130/16		counterproposals [1]
92/3	129/23 145/22 173/20		170/8 182/20 183/1	184/13
conclusion [3] 63/25	173/21 174/9 186/25	168/5 171/11 172/7	183/6 193/17	couple [9] 3/17 34/8
64/4 162/11	187/2 187/3	177/21	<b>cope [1]</b> 50/7	37/15 42/25 67/24 84/2 112/1 135/15
concrete [2] 41/7	considerable [1] 181/19	continuing [4] 90/6 157/17 165/2 171/9	core [3] 92/7 160/12 160/24	180/21
145/20	consideration [4]	continuity [3] 61/17	corporate [7] 9/6	courage [1] 126/7
conditions [2]	27/3 28/9 35/23 72/10		11/17 12/20 13/15	course [16] 20/12
153/22 155/15	considerations [2]	contract [21] 11/1	24/23 42/10 77/12	32/25 36/12 93/7
<b>conduct [4]</b> 30/7 56/10 60/12 119/13	35/24 70/10		correct [39] 2/3 2/12	117/21 119/4 121/6
conducted [6] 15/15	considered [2]	12/23 68/25 157/12	2/13 2/21 9/23 11/6	121/7 138/19 138/24
44/14 64/9 91/21 92/1	128/24 144/10	158/1 158/3 158/9	22/7 67/17 76/4 76/5	139/24 154/16 161/25
100/12	considering [3] 35/4	158/12 160/17 160/20		178/19 181/16 185/14
confidence [9] 10/17	127/5 138/4	160/22 162/11 162/15 163/19 171/7 187/6	95/3 102/20 102/24 103/19 105/4 108/15	Court [1] 88/8
16/23 36/18 37/22	considers [3] 11/24 167/16 167/22	187/11	109/2 109/8 109/10	<b>cover [5]</b> 6/23 46/24 48/5 51/9 157/14
40/13 51/12 70/4	consistency [3] 9/9	contracted [2] 10/7	109/12 109/13 109/19	
149/9 192/18	13/18 34/14	159/17	109/20 109/24 109/25	
Confidence' [1] 37/19	consistent [2] 63/5	contractor [1] 84/6	110/4 121/12 138/19	45/19 115/1
confident [13] 9/13	170/18	contractors [3] 104/9	149/11 159/18 168/22	create [4] 37/21
9/16 36/8 36/15 37/5	constant [4] 8/18	154/15 154/17	175/2 175/3 176/6	97/23 98/2 167/7
37/8 50/10 52/12 82/3	18/16 86/18 86/19	contracts [3] 104/2	176/8 176/9	created [1] 138/3
91/6 100/17 149/7	constantly [4] 36/6	104/13 187/21	correcting [1] 138/24	
174/3	40/5 82/16 113/23 constitute [1] 159/9	contractual [5] 17/1 104/14 168/6 170/7	correspondence [9] 64/16 83/3 165/10	creation [1] 77/21 credibility [1] 15/22
confidential [2]	constraints [1] 124/6		165/17 166/5 169/11	crime [5] 165/25
122/25 153/7	constructive [1]	contractually [2]	171/24 172/9 176/11	174/12 174/13 175/12
<b>confidently [3]</b> 43/12 70/9 78/8	43/20	106/18 190/12	corroborated [1]	175/24
confine [1] 35/13	consult [5] 100/1	contribute [1] 34/1	152/13	criminal [8] 166/22
confined [3] 25/16	100/4 184/1 184/2	contributed [1]	cost [8] 43/7 149/1	167/24 168/20 169/25
32/10 32/10	184/17	160/12	154/5 156/9 156/13	173/13 174/5 176/18
confines [2] 39/18	consultancy [1] 101/21	contribution [2] 33/24 84/15	160/6 160/22 160/24	190/9 critical [12] 16/15
96/15	consultant [1]	control [7] 61/11	costed [1] 129/5 could [69] 3/15 3/16	21/11 27/20 52/15
<b>confirm [5]</b> 1/18 1/20	100/12	78/13 118/8 135/19	4/20 7/10 17/20 22/17	55/3 61/19 81/6 81/16
1/22 108/18 185/7	consultation [3]	135/20 136/1 162/20	22/22 25/25 26/14	81/18 82/4 82/6 96/2
confirmation [2] 176/2 177/22	101/20 184/13 184/14	controls [4] 115/4	26/25 27/7 29/17	criticality [1] 62/21
confirming [2] 44/19	consulted [4] 20/2	118/11 135/1 169/2	30/24 37/14 42/15	critically [4] 18/23
170/6	20/5 20/8 98/12	controversial [1]	42/19 43/14 43/21	52/23 54/19 170/19
conflict [6] 22/10	consuming [1]	65/11	47/7 50/18 50/20	criticism [2] 12/19
60/20 70/2 70/3 141/3	129/19 contact [11] 5/16	conversation [28] 18/16 29/22 30/11	50/21 50/21 52/9 56/15 56/20 59/25	69/25 cross [1] 82/3
149/4	47/4 47/9 47/10 47/10		62/9 62/17 63/17 65/7	cross [1] 62/5 cross-functionally [1]
conflicted [1] 60/7	47/14 47/17 47/25	60/24 60/25 61/2	65/19 66/11 70/18	82/3
<b>conflicts [6]</b> 46/12 49/1 74/25 78/22	48/3 61/13 62/3	61/22 81/2 94/20 96/9		CTO [1] 115/10
141/7 153/8	contacted [1] 47/18	96/15 96/18 96/19	79/23 80/16 81/21	cultural [10] 51/24
confused [1] 162/6	contained [1] 60/5	118/22 130/22 140/24		52/16 64/21 83/19
confusion [1] 39/21	content [1] 183/23	141/2 159/21 159/24	93/25 99/11 99/20	86/1 102/11 103/21
connected [3] 10/18	contest [1] 55/13	160/1 162/2 169/15 169/17 178/6 179/23	113/14 114/15 114/17 115/13 119/21 123/19	141/18 141/20 180/3
68/23 130/13	contested [1] 55/14 context [16] 3/21	conversations [17]	135/21 148/13 157/6	culture [29] 7/9
connection [1]	12/3 12/4 14/2 14/17	19/6 29/22 35/6 36/14		
115/22	32/3 60/8 73/7 73/10	72/8 73/11 78/8 78/9	167/7 167/23 169/20	38/13 44/17 44/20
L		l		(56) concerns culture

(56) concerns... - culture

C	62/4 111/25 193/23	143/12	details [1] 31/10	digital [1] 132/3
culture [22] 53/22	days [5] 113/20	definitions [1]	detected [1] 34/7	Dignity [1] 26/22
55/5 59/4 63/12 64/1	113/22 113/23 113/24	102/16	Detective [1] 177/3	diligence [2] 96/5
64/22 71/19 98/23	147/18	deflecting [1] 125/16	determine [2] 73/14	119/4
101/12 123/23 138/11	<b>DBT [1]</b> 131/21	degree [3] 2/1 2/9	78/11	dim [1] 29/12
144/6 144/9 144/12	DCMS [1] 110/18	50/14	determined [3] 25/13	dimensions [1]
144/16 144/19 145/19	deal [13] 69/8 87/18	delay [2] 77/18 80/11	131/25 150/18	144/11
145/22 145/25 146/11	113/16 118/9 122/4	delays [1] 75/19	detriment [1] 152/21	diminishing [1]
146/16 146/18	122/16 128/5 141/22	deliberately [1]	develop [1] 17/8	79/15
curiosity [3] 53/4	145/17 185/3 185/7	150/20	developed [1] 97/11	direct [10] 10/9 10/16
54/13 102/23	185/11 186/20	deliberation [1] 87/8	developing [3] 97/21	10/20 10/20 32/24
curious [1] 53/2	dealing [4] 82/23	deliver [4] 149/2	119/25 162/23	35/21 74/25 106/7
curious' [1] 52/14	116/21 177/17 178/4	164/14 168/5 180/7	development [3]	106/14 153/8
current [25] 21/14	deals [2] 87/21 185/12	delivered [2] 156/9 156/15	5/12 47/3 95/11	directed [1] 44/15
25/23 28/16 63/14	dealt [2] 69/23 166/6	delivery [2] 152/10	developments [1] 153/16	direction [4] 119/10 122/1 127/24 151/14
72/7 75/17 81/23	death [1] 22/3	167/12	dialogue [1] 43/19	directly [10] 3/9 10/2
91/16 91/18 109/21	debate [1] 176/10		did [55] 3/2 3/20 4/4	19/16 94/3 100/14
114/23 115/18 116/11	debates [1] 139/14	demands [2] 4/14	4/16 5/10 9/6 17/21	100/22 101/7 102/19
117/7 117/25 122/8	<b>December [6]</b> 67/16	129/10	22/13 22/25 24/3	104/12 158/1
122/9 124/22 157/9	67/20 69/10 185/17	demarcation [1]	25/20 29/13 30/11	Director [17] 2/14
157/12 157/18 168/19	185/20 186/18	39/16	30/15 30/23 31/3 31/4	11/13 11/17 13/16
169/7 180/22 180/24	decide [1] 130/10	demeanour [1] 6/6	34/11 37/11 49/24	27/21 42/9 49/7 49/23
currently [20] 9/21 20/24 92/5 103/11	decided [2] 61/10	demonstrably [1]	60/25 61/2 61/24 62/1	51/5 59/11 59/22
103/24 109/14 129/24	62/18	23/4	64/17 66/20 67/4	63/21 109/14 123/11
141/20 143/24 144/4	deciding [1] 137/14	demonstrate [2]	67/13 67/21 68/1 68/9	123/17 126/5 141/25
145/11 156/16 157/1	decision [22] 12/22	44/17 127/10	80/14 84/25 94/18	Directors [21] 27/5
162/19 163/9 167/3	20/9 33/20 35/13 74/4		99/21 99/21 99/25	27/8 27/24 48/9 48/11
168/13 170/15 171/23	80/18 80/23 81/8	departing [2] 11/13	100/1 100/4 100/4	48/16 59/15 61/25
174/24	90/17 90/21 121/21	46/23	105/7 110/9 110/11	63/15 98/11 113/16
customer [2] 99/11	128/16 128/17 143/19		110/15 110/24 119/12	119/22 124/5 125/24
130/14		24/21 28/10 110/15	123/8 134/8 145/6	126/1 126/22 130/12
CV [2] 110/24 110/25	155/10 156/21 159/13	110/17 110/19 111/2 141/6 157/25 175/18	151/6 155/3 160/7 180/25 180/25 184/2	132/12 132/20 133/1 142/4
CWU[1] 43/17	176/3 177/15	177/12 193/9 193/12		
D	decision-making [1] 35/13	193/16	didn't [27] 3/5 4/22 5/4 5/13 5/16 6/2 19/9	disable [1] 151/2 disabled [1] 151/8
	decisions [18] 25/6	departments [4] 9/14		disagree [2] 18/6
Daewoo [1] 109/7	52/25 53/1 73/23	127/7 127/23 140/25	32/19 33/23 34/18	18/9
daily [1] 113/17	76/10 77/24 78/13	depend [2] 77/24	43/5 45/5 47/9 47/20	disappointing [2]
<b>Dan [2]</b> 171/17 171/20	78/19 125/16 127/25	78/19	60/17 66/25 67/3	117/16 191/24
data [14] 116/19	128/21 128/21 138/1	depends [2] 142/5	84/25 90/21 98/16	disclose [1] 188/18
116/22 149/14 149/17	138/11 138/13 141/11	143/12	99/23 99/23 183/21	discouragement [1]
163/3 166/2 166/17	141/14 155/6	depleting [1] 129/15	183/25	152/19
166/21 167/10 168/1	decisive [1] 132/18	Deputy [1] 35/4	difference [4] 66/20	discover [1] 113/8
168/13 170/3 170/6	Decker [1] 109/7	describe [1] 137/3	98/15 99/8 162/24	discrepancies [14]
173/7	decreased [1] 38/16	described [1] 102/22	differences [4] 67/1	115/23 116/2 116/6
database [3] 178/25	decreasing [1] 79/15	description [4] 50/19		
179/7 179/7	deem [1] 90/21	162/2 162/21 162/22	different [17] 8/21	116/25 118/13 118/15
databases [1] 178/24	deemed [1] 75/20	descriptions [3]	21/4 38/10 68/21	118/16 167/8 167/21
date [6] 155/23	deeply [1] 44/21	38/12 102/8 162/24	76/25 79/4 79/10 95/7	168/9 191/15
156/22 156/23 157/9	defect [1] 150/18	design [10] 38/20 39/10 75/19 83/17	96/24 101/15 103/20 110/17 110/18 127/22	discrepancy [2] 115/25 168/11
159/17 181/22	defects [3] 116/18 167/2 170/5	84/17 86/8 100/11	144/11 148/17 155/9	discrimination [1]
dated [7] 1/14 24/3	defence [1] 138/4	153/25 156/18 173/4	differently [1] 154/13	28/14
70/17 108/15 134/1	defensive [1] 172/16	designate [1] 131/7	difficult [19] 7/16 9/9	discriminatory [1]
147/17 148/14	deference [1] 36/1	desire [1] 23/20	20/21 36/12 39/6 53/6	26/20
dates [1] 134/1	definitely [19] 7/21	despite [3] 44/18	53/22 71/23 73/13	discuss [3] 79/23
Davies [10] 21/25 22/1 22/20 22/25 23/8	12/2 18/16 18/24	44/22 138/17	76/11 76/13 79/24	152/7 161/23
23/19 24/2 24/7 29/16	20/25 36/19 41/6	detail [12] 45/15	82/12 105/20 133/19	discussed [21] 9/24
31/11	42/17 43/2 43/18 44/5	45/17 45/25 83/7	142/7 149/13 149/15	18/15 18/19 23/8
Davies' [2] 23/9	48/20 57/15 57/16	103/25 122/12 123/5	179/1	24/19 55/9 61/4 68/9
23/14	58/25 87/25 88/23	123/6 126/5 135/14	difficulties [1] 73/20	79/24 95/22 96/22
day [5] 61/2 61/6	100/24 130/14	140/7 178/21	difficulty [3] 4/4	97/3 97/22 98/10
	definition [2] 134/17	detailed [1] 17/10	53/21 95/19	100/10 100/15 100/16

(57) culture... - discussed

D	102/18 117/17 127/5	130/25 131/14 132/8	154/4 162/19 181/22	enabling [1] 180/5
discussed [4]	129/1 129/3 138/20	133/5 134/13 148/4	effectiveness [1]	enacted [1] 82/10
149/21 160/3 172/25	142/1 144/17 170/15	153/6 153/20 158/17	83/12	encompassed [1]
173/24	171/7 180/18 187/24	160/7 163/15 166/9	efficient [1] 135/21	161/23
discussing [1] 94/11	188/5 doesn't [21] 10/6	178/1 178/1 187/12 187/16 188/3 188/6	efficiently [1] 114/8 efforts [3] 7/13 17/18	encompassing [3] 16/16 59/4 64/6
discussion [12]	16/8 16/9 26/10 46/18		99/2	encounter [2] 6/5
77/23 81/23 86/18	46/18 52/20 82/6	101/20 183/21	either [5] 9/2 40/16	50/5
86/19 95/14 97/16 117/11 157/21 158/24	97/24 98/3 99/18	draining [1] 129/19	65/8 110/9 159/17	encourage [1] 53/16
159/16 160/15 161/25	99/22 101/7 103/18	drama [1] 75/25	Eldridge [2] 49/25	end [13] 67/10 67/20
discussions [8]	104/7 104/9 116/22	draw [1] 145/21	71/2	91/10 91/10 116/25
24/16 24/17 83/1 83/2	132/21 164/16 185/11 192/3	drawn [1] 92/9	Eldridge's [1] 70/22	116/25 117/3 117/3
157/9 157/16 158/6	doing [23] 15/7 40/4	drive [4] 52/12 126/7 133/11 192/10	element [3] 45/22 58/21 173/14	154/4 157/13 167/10 192/16 192/17
168/18	49/9 54/19 63/1 64/8	driven [3] 75/17	elements [8] 30/14	ending [1] 54/18
Disparate [1] 139/1	79/19 81/5 85/16 99/2	127/25 152/9	39/17 41/14 58/16	endorsement [1]
disproportionate [1] 84/4	100/23 101/9 104/17	drives [1] 82/15	119/16 160/2 163/14	170/20
dispute [5] 94/23	112/12 114/4 119/4	driving [1] 40/12	163/24	energy [7] 125/1
116/20 116/24 189/5	119/15 141/7 144/13 144/15 155/5 178/5	due [13] 8/18 12/8 20/12 21/18 22/9	elephant [2] 107/16 107/17	126/7 129/9 129/15 129/20 129/23 130/1
189/25	178/15 155/5 178/5	20/12 21/18 22/9 22/10 94/23 94/25	elicit [1] 99/23	enforcement [2]
disrupt [1] 164/16	domain [2] 67/16	96/5 119/4 121/6	Elliot [6] 62/6 63/7	170/8 190/8
disrupted [1] 186/3 dissatisfaction [5]	67/21	134/16 157/12	63/17 98/13 98/16	engage [2] 147/10
19/10 22/21 23/1 24/2	don't [63] 17/1 25/5	duplicative [1] 39/20	130/21	171/2
24/5	25/19 26/2 31/9 42/7	during [8] 3/11 23/9	else [5] 7/20 22/9	engagement [7]
dissatisfied [1] 23/4	43/10 48/2 55/11 57/18 57/19 59/19	23/12 26/6 118/2 118/3 153/25 154/4	52/18 56/8 140/21 elsewhere [2] 12/10	36/25 51/5 63/22 63/23 102/7 102/10
dissimilar [1] 145/8	60/10 62/6 62/6 65/5	dust [1] 62/15	77/19	185/3
distinctly [1] 68/21	69/21 70/25 75/7	duties [3] 71/9 71/12	email [16] 47/11	engaging [1] 95/1
distress [1] 12/11 distressed [2] 61/5	81/19 83/6 86/5 89/21	187/13	47/18 47/19 56/16	engineer [1] 143/25
61/23	90/1 90/18 97/17	dynamics [1] 36/4	56/22 60/2 60/5 60/8	engineers [1] 151/1
distressing [1]	100/21 101/9 102/4	E	60/14 61/3 61/9 61/9	English [2] 142/22 142/24
105/16	110/13 111/9 111/19 117/10 125/8 127/4	each [13] 5/10 5/14	62/14 148/13 150/19 166/10	enormous [1] 111/24
distribution [1] 2/18	128/18 129/1 130/9	22/4 41/21 52/15		enough [11] 8/8 8/10
disturbing [1] 47/23 divergence [1]	138/9 141/20 144/5	53/19 53/20 56/11	embedded [2] 54/5	32/19 53/18 85/17
134/22	149/6 149/6 154/18	128/16 129/18 148/20		104/16 125/25 129/9
diversity [1] 27/4	156/5 158/5 163/7	149/19 180/21 earlier [8] 15/17	embrace [1] 142/11	130/13 132/21 182/2
divided [1] 61/14	164/11 165/9 172/18 173/3 177/14 177/19	32/22 36/25 49/12	emerge [1] 69/23 emotional [1] 105/13	enquired [1] 165/21 enquiry [1] 124/22
division [4] 68/14	177/21 178/14 182/21	65/4 81/17 99/6	emphasise [1] 78/22	ensure [13] 13/7
68/16 68/17 68/20	183/13 184/11 186/16	136/11	emphasising [1] 41/2	
divulged [1] 96/14 DNA [1] 137/24	190/17 190/19 190/23		employ [1] 102/10	59/5 78/15 82/8 82/19
do [195]	192/19	147/3 147/4	employed [5] 10/15	85/7 85/23 104/14
document [25] 10/24	done [13] 18/20 21/20 39/8 39/22	easier [1] 89/17 easiest [1] 97/9	70/12 77/17 95/15 103/11	104/18 182/20
92/6 92/18 96/24	49/19 75/13 77/1	easily [2] 99/12 136/4		ensuring [2] 21/7 54/5
96/25 97/1 97/18 101/15 102/1 119/23	80/14 85/7 96/16	economic [1] 185/12	102/7	enterprise [2] 115/2
121/1 121/2 123/1	105/8 105/10 119/17	economically [1]	employees [18]	143/20
123/7 123/8 133/23	doubt [4] 24/10	122/5	10/10 10/12 17/6	entire [1] 160/13
133/25 134/6 135/12	168/15 184/8 191/10 down [58] 4/7 15/19	EDI [2] 27/19 28/13 education [2] 186/2	25/12 44/16 63/14 69/25 71/11 72/7	entirely [3] 49/24 100/20 168/22
135/13 146/25 166/10	19/12 29/5 29/7 29/16		76/23 100/7 102/20	environment [4] 73/8
166/11 179/14 187/10	29/19 30/3 30/16	effect [6] 7/25 26/10	102/22 103/8 104/9	73/10 73/20 118/9
documentation [2] 165/13 165/22	32/15 35/10 36/22	86/14 118/21 176/5	106/7 106/14 147/11	envisage [4] 114/2
documents [2] 17/23	38/7 42/12 46/10 48/8	187/17 effected [1] 187/18	employer [2] 13/3	144/7 165/5 177/12
100/21	50/21 54/20 55/4 56/20 58/22 60/2	effective [5] 63/5	95/8 employers [1] 9/1	Equally [1] 163/12 equivalent [1] 113/24
does [31] 11/14 16/8	61/16 63/10 66/19	84/19 152/16 178/5	employment [10]	Ernst [2] 120/15
19/21 26/10 27/13 37/13 42/5 50/11	72/20 76/14 77/6	180/7	23/10 29/25 30/8	122/24
50/13 50/23 53/15	77/12 80/2 92/11	effectively [13] 7/12	31/11 31/19 74/10	error [1] 89/21
60/18 71/2 71/4 80/19	92/18 94/23 95/19 97/6 102/6 114/16	61/12 61/15 79/7 79/12 84/15 93/15	94/23 95/23 96/22 103/4	errors [3] 150/17 167/2 170/5
83/11 87/18 101/13	114/19 126/2 126/25	113/14 114/7 115/12	enable [1] 143/20	escalated [2] 136/20
			/F(	() discussed associated

(58) discussed... - escalated

E	ex-Accenture [1]	101/24 109/14 113/16		extreme [1] 79/19
escalated [1]	153/13	117/13 123/11 123/17		extremely [7] 3/11
138/13	exacerbated [1] 8/16	124/2 124/5 124/10	115/21 115/23 116/1	10/19 15/23 53/25
escalation [1] 83/9	exactly [15] 5/6 14/7	124/11 124/15 125/24		62/20 67/7 96/13
especially [2] 120/13	67/18 74/22 76/12	126/1 126/4 126/5	164/7 191/12 191/14	extremes [1] 21/6
124/22	79/5 82/20 84/14 124/15 128/13 129/6	126/22 130/11 130/15 132/12 132/20 133/1	16/13 49/5 186/9	F
essential [1] 77/12	176/13 176/22 176/22		experiencing [5] 4/1	faced [1] 73/21
essentially [4] 97/20	191/25	141/25 142/4 143/13	49/18 115/25 118/15	facility [1] 188/25
104/3 104/7 104/8	examine [1] 171/4	143/23 145/7 145/24	192/6	facing [3] 17/11 32/9
establish [3] 15/21	examined [1] 77/16	146/14 150/13 157/3	experiment [1] 56/10	129/10
82/12 170/18	avampla [24] 10/24	178/12 190/5 191/10	expert [11] 17/20	fact [9] 15/19 49/11
established [4] 67/10	22/2 25/21 28/13	192/15	82/20 88/1 88/24	64/21 71/20 97/24
69/9 74/3 170/16 estimated [1] 159/12	33/15 33/19 37/12	Executive's [1]	115/14 139/3 166/22	112/23 128/21 180/17
et [1] 74/21	41/15 42/21 54/6	129/20	166/23 167/19 167/20	
et cetera [1] 74/21		executives [5] 46/20	167/24	factor [1] 78/10
etc [1] 124/8	72/6 74/11 74/20	48/11 126/22 132/24	expertise [12] 33/17	factually [2] 34/6
ethos [1] 101/22	75/25 82/14 92/20	132/24	54/12 54/23 84/17	91/21
evaluate [1] 106/4	99/3 102/23 125/7	exercise [1] 184/14	84/22 85/9 103/21	fail [1] 155/17
evaluating [1] 40/5	135/11 136/16 142/2	exercises [1] 122/19	106/4 112/9 112/14	failed [1] 23/21 failing [1] 32/23
even [15] 47/9 47/20	155/25 155/25 163/7 163/9 164/5	existence [2] 87/17 167/6	112/15 112/18	failings [4] 28/8
53/5 54/19 57/2 97/25	examples [3] 33/17	existent [1] 139/1	Experts [4] 36/2 139/7 139/8 139/13	33/17 90/1 90/1
98/3 100/13 121/24	125/8 128/13	existing [6] 6/22 12/7		failure [1] 166/14
134/7 134/19 139/2	Excel [2] 135/4	14/12 38/6 180/1	17/21 115/14	failures [2] 180/3
165/3 168/21 180/9	135/12	180/13	explain [3] 12/4	180/14
event [5] 114/3	excellent [3] 112/11	exists [2] 132/5	151/24 188/9	fair [4] 10/11 74/21
168/16 169/6 170/13 188/17	140/5 140/6	187/25	explained [2] 24/2	170/18 182/2
events [3] 11/3 12/14	exchange [1] 165/16	exit [7] 122/22	124/20	fairly [3] 16/16 53/19
182/23	excluded [1] 138/3	122/23 123/11 123/24	explains [1] 169/11	168/9
eventually [1] 138/13	excluding [1] 101/7	159/2 159/8 171/12	explanation [1]	faith [1] 166/17
ever [2] 155/3 175/4		exonerated [3] 57/4	124/12	fall [3] 19/14 27/13
every [12] 22/4 27/23	101/10	57/12 88/17	explanations [1]	56/22
41/9 41/18 42/2 45/23	Excuse [1] 105/22	<b>exoneration [2]</b> 55/8	167/21	fallout [2] 7/18 60/1 falls [1] 27/11
46/1 50/5 58/20	Exec [5] 98/11	73/18	explanatory [1] 182/19	false [2] 190/10
101/13 111/25 116/3	146/15	expand [6] 7/6 16/14 32/1 34/4 102/3	explicit [2] 99/13	193/12
everybody [7] 14/7	execs [1] 130/12	185/14	99/14	familiar [6] 42/8
52/8 56/8 59/6 98/23	execute [2] 81/9	expect [11] 19/9 20/2		57/17 58/25 79/13
98/24 104/18	160/6	20/4 20/8 21/1 21/15	explored [1] 77/22	148/9 186/10
everybody's [1]	executed [2] 39/1	28/23 36/17 82/5	express [1] 157/19	families [2] 105/19
82/23	161/18	146/22 193/17	expressed [2] 51/10	185/24
everyone [3] 1/3 53/7 132/15	executing [1] 81/10	expectation [4] 4/11	57/1	far [19] 36/8 85/10
everything [9] 17/3	executive [109] 2/22	4/16 121/16 149/3	extend [5] 99/2 158/1	87/13 90/13 91/13
29/17 53/13 53/14	3/10 3/24 4/2 9/8 11/2		158/12 162/15 171/7	101/10 111/16 127/10
125/16 140/8 163/2	11/19 13/8 17/12	24/13 40/25 126/1	extended [3] 12/23	131/4 131/17 143/22
163/3 178/14		expected [8] 3/24 5/5		144/20 156/14 165/5
evidence [32] 13/20	19/9 19/25 22/6 22/8 22/9 22/12 25/2 25/2	6/17 6/24 8/6 16/21	extending [1] 132/12	166/2 175/17 178/10 186/15 188/2
17/21 21/5 21/5 31/3	22/9 22/12 25/2 25/2 25/2 25/3 25/7 25/8 25/16	111/7 111/13	extends [1] 10/6 extension [15] 12/25	
42/22 51/10 55/6	27/5 27/8 27/24 31/7	expenditure [2] 11/23 12/19	<b>extension</b> [15] 12/25 157/7 157/14 158/9	fear [2] 28/15 138/11
59/13 64/18 70/20	31/8 31/21 31/22	expensive [1] 154/20		feast [1] 85/18
71/17 86/5 89/12	31/23 32/25 33/1 34/4		159/24 160/10 160/23	
118/20 125/12 128/9	34/21 35/2 35/4 35/20			97/1 97/19 110/3
131/19 139/5 141/5	36/16 36/21 37/10	20/24 24/25 28/12	163/18 163/25	Federation [2]
151/5 164/6 166/23 166/24 167/15 173/12	37/18 37/25 30/1	28/24 32/2 32/4 32/17		183/22 184/12
175/23 178/11 181/17	41/19 41/21 43/14	33/25 35/15 48/14	36/13 64/13 64/22	Federations [1]
184/24 191/4 193/4	45/20 47/8 47/12 48/9			184/3
evidence/testing [1]	48/11 48/15 49/15	81/18 81/19 97/13	158/3 171/5 173/1	feed [1] 138/20
151/5	57/14 59/5 59/10	98/23 103/3 103/5	external [10] 11/23	feedback [7] 8/3
evident [1] 172/1	59/15 59/22 61/13	103/9 103/19 103/20	27/8 75/17 81/5	40/21 99/9 125/21
evolve [1] 181/6	61/24 63/15 63/21	110/14 111/17 112/4	100/11 120/14 124/6   157/18 159/11 171/12	169/14 184/1 184/12
ex [1] 153/13	67/23 68/7 70/16 72/17 83/2 95/25 97/8	117/7 128/12 130/24 142/7 143/5 147/21	externally [1] 132/15	feeds [1] 153/10
	12111 0312 93123 9118	142/1 143/3 147/21		
				(59) oscalatod - foods

(59) escalated... - feeds

P	156/7 159/18	format [4] 175/15	EQL [4] 100/6	38/4 74/6 78/25 79/3
F	firmly [1] 80/15	forget [1] 175/15 forgive [2] 115/11	FSL [1] 190/6 FUJ00243204 [1]	79/5 80/5 80/11 83/12
feel [14] 6/7 7/13	firms [1] 11/23	189/2	166/7	86/17 88/13 124/12
25/4 33/23 36/8 36/15	first [33] 2/1 4/25 9/7	form [5] 5/4 47/17	FUJ00243209 [1]	126/2 148/3 150/14
37/5 53/13 53/17	11/8 18/2 22/5 23/9	90/11 93/6 191/13	169/10	161/6 167/3 171/2
58/18 99/11 117/7	34/12 56/25 60/14	formal [8] 2/8 2/8 3/5	FUJ00243211 [1]	178/1 188/6 192/23
132/21 141/24	69/8 85/3 86/25 88/3	3/14 6/13 42/1 74/10	170/24	193/6
feeling [4] 23/12 57/1	88/4 92/16 122/21	134/2	Fujitsu [59] 157/2	Furthermore [1] 24/1
57/16 65/13	128/19 140/16 144/1	formality [2] 6/18	157/7 157/11 157/16	future [19] 21/7
feels [5] 48/13 49/17	145/5 153/3 155/3	15/14	157/25 158/12 158/25	24/11 27/5 43/25 99/8
53/7 57/20 127/1	155/17 158/15 159/15		159/2 159/8 159/20	100/21 119/14 119/18
fees [1] 161/7	169/12 170/10 170/25		159/23 160/8 161/7	120/12 124/25 155/10
fell [1] 44/24		formed [1] 158/9	162/5 162/11 162/16	155/22 156/24 158/24
felt [19] 3/21 5/20 6/6	189/20	former [5] 23/6 26/7	162/20 163/1 163/2	164/15 170/17 170/20
6/15 7/6 7/13 8/4 8/8	first' [1] 124/9	115/10 119/11 124/17	163/6 163/19 163/21	173/19 180/5
12/17 14/18 23/15		formerly [1] 92/19	164/4 165/7 165/8	
27/20 33/20 34/3	97/9	formulation [1] 52/5	165/11 165/16 166/1	G
34/16 35/13 38/9	fit [4] 50/23 133/9	forum [2] 26/16 34/9	166/8 166/16 166/20	gaining [1] 153/24
38/11 67/8	164/15 180/19	forums [1] 143/19	166/23 167/3 167/16	gap [2] 77/11 82/11
fence [1] 81/13	fitting [1] 10/1	forward [23] 13/12	167/22 168/2 168/5	gaps [5] 112/12
few [18] 22/23 34/12	five [14] 56/6 56/10	20/11 21/12 24/14	168/15 168/19 168/25	
40/8 56/5 56/21 72/18	113/22 113/23 113/24			134/16
72/25 73/1 79/2 79/10	137/16 156/10 159/21		171/6 171/23 172/4	Gareth [1] 152/16
79/14 80/18 93/23	159/25 160/17 161/15		172/6 172/11 172/14	gathered [1] 122/24
116/2 126/23 137/17	165/2 173/10 173/15	78/20 136/9 136/16	172/20 172/24 173/5	gauge [1] 102/10
146/7 169/22	five years [1] 156/10	146/8 179/4 185/5	173/15 173/17 174/3	gave [1] 149/23
few' [4] 76/21 79/25	five-year [1] 160/17	185/13 187/1 192/10	174/8 176/23 190/2	GCPO [1] 123/1
80/4 80/6	fix [2] 4/1 18/20	forward' [1] 52/14	190/16	GE [1] 34/20
field [1] 139/4	fixable [1] 136/4	forwards [1] 132/17	fulfil [2] 52/24 190/15	
Fifth [1] 144/6	fixers [1] 129/11	foster [1] 28/12	full [10] 1/9 36/24	34/21 39/3 58/9 86/20
figure [4] 18/3 18/6	flexibility [1] 160/20	found [12] 72/20	44/22 45/8 45/25	124/18 152/3
129/3 181/25	flow [1] 63/19	74/25 78/23 86/5	91/21 98/20 100/1	generally [12] 5/2
figures [4] 18/2 19/4	flows [1] 183/5	105/15 105/19 133/21		18/4 18/7 19/2 29/11
19/7 129/1	Foat [9] 58/9 58/24	133/25 152/23 160/16		43/15 59/5 90/10
filed [1] 31/17	59/8 60/3 60/11 60/25	185/1 185/2	fully [8] 3/22 45/20	90/15 135/19 153/21
fill [1] 112/20	89/14 89/18 89/23	four [11] 41/18 52/11		154/20
final [14] 16/6 77/24	focus [18] 6/23 10/10	54/5 87/19 87/20	129/5 163/25 188/20	generated [1] 191/19
78/19 81/8 92/6	12/25 13/7 13/9 14/22	87/24 87/24 88/1 89/5		generating [1] 75/19
108/17 121/1 121/1 153/1 153/19 169/12	15/24 17/14 23/16	119/16 147/17	3/11 3/13 5/8 7/22	genuine [1] 130/8
170/10 177/8 179/14	38/1 38/2 49/14 72/4	fourth [1] 146/25	7/23 11/19 13/5 15/20	
	86/20 103/9 131/4	fourthly [1] 137/11	15/21 15/22 32/12	47/25 48/5
finally [3] 137/14 186/11 190/25	131/7 137/1	fragmented [1] 9/12	51/8 77/22 77/24	get [46] 6/15 7/8 9/9
Finance [1] 109/9	focused [5] 86/21	framework [7] 52/1	78/18 82/21 83/15	9/10 12/17 15/14
financial [4] 109/18	98/7 100/23 129/13	52/4 54/7 99/5 102/21		15/21 15/22 25/23
174/13 174/16 180/7	146/15	135/20 136/1	86/8 106/10 117/1	39/1 39/8 46/12 81/8
find [5] 53/5 97/25	focuses [1] 10/12	frank [2] 132/14	117/2 122/10 135/10	83/13 87/8 89/4
168/24 172/22 177/6	focusing [2] 97/12	144/5	functionality [1]	116/19 117/22 120/10
finding [1] 7/15	151/5	frankly [6] 13/6 20/23		122/19 125/24 128/1
findings [6] 26/17	follow [2] 107/12	41/21 46/4 113/15	functionally [1] 82/3	131/11 135/25 139/14
63/4 116/10 117/18	144/19	188/20	functions [3] 32/9	140/3 141/21 144/15
137/11 140/14	following [10] 62/2	Fraser [1] 187/22	106/25 107/4	144/18 154/17 155/3
finds [1] 22/24	62/8 75/24 87/15	fraud [1] 190/10	fund [1] 128/1	155/8 158/6 162/16
fine [10] 31/16 31/19		freeze [1] 118/7	fundamental [3]	169/24 170/2 175/21
55/17 66/18 87/23	179/23 193/16 193/23		146/10 146/22 185/4	177/5 179/8 182/3
89/4 89/10 175/21	follows [6] 31/10	118/6 118/13	fundamentally [4]	184/3 184/7 189/16
183/8 193/15	74/5 123/25 142/18	frequency [2] 23/12	16/24 48/20 119/19	190/21 192/15 193/18
finish [2] 105/12	145/19 192/3	115/25	155/7	gets [3] 39/21 116/17
182/22	footing [1] 190/22	frequently [2] 9/8	funded [1] 128/24	126/5
finished [2] 112/12	footnote [2] 80/2	73/17	funding [5] 127/20	getting [5] 33/9 39/22
120/21		fresher [1] 35/7	128/14 128/17 128/22	49/18 121/21 146/7
finishes [1] 94/24	forefront [2] 77/13	Friday [1] 62/3	158/8	give [16] 1/9 33/16
finishing [1] 117/19		froing [1] 56/9	funds [1] 191/20	37/25 46/15 48/21
firm [4] 11/6 120/3		front [2] 1/11 108/13	further [27] 5/16 8/15	108/10 125/7 125/8
	foresee [1] 39/14	frustrated [1] 44/22	8/18 13/25 15/7 25/19	125/12 129/1 132/14
L				(60) feel - give

(60) feel - give

G	48/21 50/8 50/16	grounds [2] 26/21	112/23 114/25 116/7	82/12 82/19 82/20
	53/11 53/18 62/21	152/23	116/13 118/22 124/16	
give [5] 154/25	86/10 108/5 112/10	group [48] 2/20 5/15	124/23 125/1 130/21	86/11 86/13 86/15
155/25 163/7 169/2	139/2 139/14 140/6	31/7 31/8 31/21 31/22	130/22 134/9 135/4	91/3 91/5 91/25 92/12
178/17	146/3 183/19	31/23 33/5 34/4 35/2	135/12 142/9 147/15	93/2 93/19 97/11
given [33] 4/19 7/4	got [30] 5/14 20/4	35/9 35/10 35/14	147/25 148/25 157/16	103/15 103/20 105/18
7/24 13/19 17/22 20/4	46/22 47/12 50/8 54/7	35/17 36/1 36/5 36/16	159/21 159/21 160/6	108/24 115/5 117/11
21/5 23/15 27/3 28/24 32/21 33/15 35/10	55/19 56/14 61/16	36/16 36/21 37/18	165/19 167/4 168/19	118/4 118/17 121/10
	67/12 80/23 81/15	37/20 37/22 37/25	177/20 183/11 183/24	128/16 131/17 132/25
36/18 37/9 42/21 43/4 47/7 52/23 60/6 71/13	85/24 87/13 89/1	38/2 38/5 39/4 42/5	184/25	135/1 138/3 142/3
95/15 131/7 133/6	93/23 97/1 103/8	44/9 45/20 47/6 51/6	had/were [1] 124/23	143/8 144/2 144/10
133/19 149/11 158/18	106/3 106/14 106/17	52/17 70/16 72/17	hadn't [4] 48/6 57/6	144/25 148/8 150/1
160/7 161/3 169/1	106/23 118/10 120/22	83/2 86/20 109/18	95/6 119/7	154/2 164/6 166/24
178/11 181/17 189/3	121/9 132/18 155/14	124/1 124/10 124/11	half [1] 158/23	167/3 167/15 167/23
gives [1] 16/22	176/13 184/6 192/13	124/15 129/20 133/10		171/8 171/12 171/17
giving [8] 38/3 38/4	governance [12]	133/24 135/10 140/17	halfway [1] 4/7	172/1 174/12 175/12
41/1 53/17 70/2 75/11	25/1 38/18 113/13	150/12 157/3	Hamilton [2] 92/15	179/25 180/12 186/6
86/22 178/11	114/6 125/17 137/12	group-wide [1]	149/25	186/9 188/25 189/14
GLO [1] 88/14	138/15 140/6 143/24	140/17	hampered [1] 128/7	189/19 190/19 191/3
go [40] 9/11 22/4	143/25 144/4 152/17	grouped [1] 152/5	hand [4] 35/22 55/11	hasn't [2] 31/15
25/20 26/22 39/7		groups [7] 31/25	77/14 81/16	56/24
46/13 56/7 56/8 83/7	government [23]	34/9 38/2 43/20 46/3	handed [1] 120/25	hat [1] 174/5
89/14 94/4 94/6 97/5	23/7 24/12 24/20	67/14 184/3		
97/17 99/23 100/1	77/23 111/3 112/18	guess [2] 20/7 40/6	handover [2] 82/5	haven't [21] 17/18
100/19 102/7 102/12	119/20 120/25 121/19		132/11	18/17 19/23 24/17
105/7 113/15 113/16	122/12 127/7 127/23	guilty [2] 57/4 57/11	hands [3] 7/2 78/5	24/19 42/7 45/24
122/11 123/5 125/19	137/10 140/24 141/17	guy [1] 172/20	78/6	57/11 59/7 59/7 78/10
125/20 137/17 142/13	157/10 157/20 157/23	Н	happen [15] 39/2	105/5 141/9 141/14
145/5 147/6 147/7	181/1 182/5 182/11		42/1 42/3 42/11 78/13	
160/7 164/14 170/25	182/14 184/16	had [150] 3/22 4/11	80/16 80/17 82/6 82/6	
173/20 177/4 178/12	Government's [5]	4/20 4/20 5/5 5/9 5/11	115/6 148/9 168/12	192/23
183/21 191/22 191/24	75/21 77/25 78/2 78/5		182/20 182/21 192/2	having [26] 8/21 10/2
goal [2] 141/21	78/19	7/23 8/3 8/17 8/18 9/8 9/13 9/13 9/16 11/10	happened [15] 14/8	15/4 18/14 19/6 24/21
141/23	governmental [1]	12/15 12/16 12/18	29/18 32/22 45/13	24/23 29/1 33/4 35/6
goes [6] 46/9 79/18	124/6	14/2 19/6 20/24 23/4	62/2 80/20 86/6 93/2	35/7 42/8 58/13 63/15 104/20 130/11 137/9
145/16 167/11 177/15	grade [1] 76/25	23/21 24/15 24/17	95/10 105/18 114/22 115/5 147/21 148/2	142/13 145/15 145/17
189/5	Graham [1] 92/11	29/7 29/10 29/12	148/8	154/8 159/18 166/17
going [74] 1/5 1/12	Grant [10] 114/6 120/15 137/12 137/18		happening [11]	172/9 178/3 178/4
10/9 13/12 13/12	139/16 139/23 140/5	32/20 33/25 34/13	39/14 43/11 49/10	he [64] 5/18 5/22
13/19 16/5 19/13 22/3	140/13 150/6 151/4	35/4 35/15 35/21	55/20 82/25 97/20	20/1 22/20 23/1 23/10
22/18 31/7 33/5 37/15	granted [1] 45/9	35/22 36/14 41/23	113/4 117/16 124/25	23/17 23/23 24/3 28/3
42/1 43/12 43/18	grappling [1] 28/7	43/13 47/4 47/10	151/23 191/25	29/2 29/10 29/12
46/17 51/15 51/24	grass [3] 89/14 89/25		happens [2] 51/1	29/13 29/16 30/3 30/6
53/14 54/21 69/4 69/7	90/3	47/25 48/3 50/5 58/12	82/8	30/13 30/15 30/20
70/21 72/25 73/1	grateful [5] 97/6	60/14 61/8 61/8 61/22	happy [2] 132/6	35/21 35/22 43/4
75/22 77/25 78/20	105/11 107/24 193/5	62/15 62/16 64/7 67/6	184/10	49/17 49/18 50/2 50/7
81/8 90/16 91/9 94/3	193/5	67/23 68/8 72/9 73/12	hard [2] 48/25 145/25	
98/14 106/4 108/5	Gratton [2] 20/16	73/24 74/2 74/4 74/15	Harrington [1]	50/12 50/13 50/14
113/20 114/9 120/16	22/16	74/25 75/2 75/6 75/14	123/16	50/15 50/16 58/4 60/3
122/3 125/11 127/16	GRC [1] 134/24	75/15 75/15 75/19	has [107] 1/23 4/14	60/13 60/14 60/17
129/2 131/13 131/22 131/25 142/20 149/1	great [17] 22/3 36/13	76/10 77/10 77/10	11/4 11/9 11/10 14/9	60/17 60/18 60/19
151/25 142/20 149/1	69/7 76/2 97/14 97/23		16/6 18/25 20/1 21/8	60/22 61/5 61/22
156/3 156/9 158/6	98/2 98/18 98/20	78/23 78/23 80/6	21/11 21/19 22/1	70/21 71/3 71/4 82/19
158/13 159/23 162/3	98/23 98/23 102/2	80/13 80/14 80/16	24/15 31/14 33/1 36/2	
163/2 164/9 169/22	119/2 126/15 132/11	81/2 83/5 84/3 84/17	36/4 36/5 36/10 36/13	
173/9 173/11 180/17	182/8 183/16	86/14 89/24 90/2 93/5	36/18 36/18 36/21	131/4 160/23 161/20
182/12 182/23 182/24	greater [1] 142/3	94/17 94/19 94/20	37/18 39/11 41/6	167/11 169/11 171/1
185/5 185/13 187/1	Greenhow [1] 42/21	94/22 94/25 95/3 95/9	41/10 41/11 41/22	190/5 193/8
187/9 192/12 192/19	grievance [1] 83/5	95/17 95/18 96/15	42/21 43/4 44/14	he's [11] 49/8 49/9
192/21 193/11	grip [1] 118/4	96/17 98/19 99/3 99/8		
gone [2] 8/18 107/8	grips [1] 120/10	101/22 105/14 105/17	55/22 55/25 56/23	50/6 50/8 60/17 60/21
good [16] 6/2 28/11	ground [2] 124/16	105/18 110/25 111/1	58/5 58/18 60/3 62/21	
	192/6	111/2 111/10 112/21	69/7 72/4 72/13 80/2	head [2] 2/14 109/9
· · · ·				(61) give head

(61) give... - head

Н	high [12] 21/1 74/12	148/11 155/8 155/18	93/16 100/17 115/17	113/18 126/21 128/23
headcount [1] 76/18	97/14 116/13 116/14	156/20 156/22 156/23		148/21 168/24 171/2
heading [4] 72/21	118/14 120/21 120/23		166/3 169/3 174/4	I don't [49] 17/1
102/2 102/8 169/25	121/24 133/21 150/18		I and [2] 15/25	25/19 26/2 31/9 42/7
headline [1] 37/15	160/5	159/16 159/18 160/2	143/23	43/10 55/11 57/18
health [2] 75/3 94/25	high-level [1] 97/14	162/13 163/19 163/22		57/19 59/19 60/10
healthy [3] 125/3	higher [2] 55/14	164/1 164/8 164/13	I anticipate [1]	62/6 62/6 65/5 69/21
126/23 139/14	90/13	165/2 166/21 167/3	121/21	70/25 75/7 86/5 89/21
hear [8] 1/5 31/3	highest [1] 38/16	167/6 167/10 167/12 168/1 168/12 169/19	I apologise [1] 183/15	90/1 97/17 100/21 101/9 102/4 110/13
64/17 85/1 108/6	highlighted [1] 16/7 highlights [1] 18/18	170/4 173/10 187/19	l appreciate [5]	101/9 102/4 110/13
146/3 183/18 193/5	highly [1] 5/23	188/1 189/12 189/21	23/14 43/23 52/20	125/8 127/4 128/18
heard [20] 7/2 20/12	him [18] 30/12 30/14	191/13 191/19 192/4	54/1 192/24	138/9 141/20 149/6
20/18 30/5 31/17 43/6	43/2 43/4 47/10 47/18		l ask [3] 1/16 155/3	149/6 156/5 158/5
44/1 44/3 57/18 57/18	47/18 49/16 50/5	<b>[1]</b> 191/19	170/22	164/11 165/9 172/18
70/19 89/12 118/2 128/9 139/5 141/4	58/13 60/16 64/16	horror [1] 155/12	I asked [2] 7/10	173/3 177/21 178/14
155/12 164/6 167/15	86/22 94/20 95/20	hour [1] 130/23	115/3	182/21 183/13 186/16
184/24	118/21 118/24 169/4	hours [1] 4/12	I attend [1] 59/19	190/17 190/23 192/19
hearing [5] 69/10	himself [2] 29/19	how [71] 4/25 5/4 5/7		I doubt [1] 184/8
69/19 182/22 185/20	46/25		I became [1] 30/1	I enquired [1] 165/21
193/23	hinder [1] 53/16	13/12 18/18 20/3 25/2		l established [1]
hearings [2] 118/2	hindsight [5] 64/12	38/23 39/6 39/14	17/2 28/18 65/1 76/14	I I
148/10	90/24 100/17 100/19 155/8	43/21 46/22 47/1 50/2 60/9 63/8 68/11 87/5	87/18 87/20 128/8 135/13 186/5	I extended [1] 12/23 I feel [3] 25/4 36/8
Heathrow [1] 130/6	hiring [1] 102/17	87/11 91/13 98/1 99/7		37/5
heavily [3] 49/13	his [30] 5/23 6/8	102/5 104/20 111/13	l brought [1] 5/24	I felt [8] 5/20 6/6 6/15
49/14 134/15	22/21 22/21 23/1 23/2	113/21 114/9 115/16	I can [19] 1/22 17/3	12/17 14/18 27/20
heed [1] 41/4	23/4 23/15 24/2 24/5	116/5 116/25 121/18	19/8 43/12 45/22	33/20 67/8
heightened [1] 75/18	24/6 24/13 26/23 28/2		55/10 63/16 94/7	I find [1] 177/6
held [8] 8/11 15/25 32/11 51/5 58/24	30/7 30/13 30/21	130/12 132/2 133/11	120/22 122/14 125/8	I follow [1] 107/12
109/11 130/8 153/15	46/25 50/13 57/23	134/12 136/1 136/25	127/7 138/23 141/15	I found [4] 105/19
held/performed [1]	60/12 60/13 82/18	140/25 141/18 143/8	143/11 158/5 183/6	133/21 160/16 185/2
153/15	83/12 95/17 115/12	144/7 145/12 145/14	183/18 184/7	l give [2] 154/25
help [16] 4/1 11/19	129/12 129/13 171/18		I can't [11] 43/21	163/7
13/11 52/12 54/7 77/1	179/16	156/8 157/4 162/18 173/11 177/12 178/7	65/19 75/7 75/8 78/8	<b>I got [2]</b> 47/12 67/12
84/13 84/18 95/2 98/1	his/their [1] 129/13 historic [4] 113/10	178/9 178/12 179/12		I guess [2] 20/7 40/6 I had [21] 3/22 4/11
120/12 126/8 130/23	179/7 180/2 180/14	180/12 181/6 184/25	I certainly [1] 138/10	4/20 6/5 8/3 30/17
132/15 158/5 166/2	historical [1] 129/14	185/4 186/15 186/24	I consider [1] 52/5	47/10 47/11 47/12
helpful [16] 8/20	history [3] 24/11	190/15 190/21	I consulted [1] 98/12	47/25 48/3 61/22 67/6
47/16 59/14 59/23	52/23 141/15	Howe [1] 185/17	I contacted [1] 47/18	80/14 110/25 111/1
59/24 60/23 65/6 85/20 95/12 100/20	hm [1] 175/14	however [6] 4/9	I could [5] 3/15 4/20	111/2 114/25 116/13
104/23 105/20 137/3	hold [2] 2/1 109/14	23/24 63/16 75/10	7/10 65/19 80/16	130/22 134/9
146/8 185/2 192/21	holder [1] 51/11	160/6 160/13	l couldn't [2] 67/18	I happened [1] 45/13
helpfully [1] 150/1	holds [2] 51/4 51/12	HR [2] 2/4 2/7	128/7	I have [18] 14/15
helping [2] 28/12	Hollinrake [2] 110/3 179/16	huge [3] 95/8 103/3	I decided [1] 61/10	17/23 27/15 33/3 43/2 60/6 72/9 96/16 98/4
85/23	honest [3] 40/17	192/7 hugely [5] 2/10 55/2	I definitely [1] 12/2 I described [1]	105/5 105/9 121/18
Henry [2] 26/6 58/12	48/22 138/8	90/3 105/16 105/20	102/22	134/9 138/8 141/24
her [11] 23/1 23/20	honours [1] 2/1	Human [2] 9/22	I detected [1] 34/7	168/2 171/6 191/21
23/20 24/3 24/4 26/11	hope [7] 96/11	118/2	I did [18] 3/2 3/20 4/4	
26/25 46/23 59/13 63/4 179/25		humiliating [1] 23/25	31/4 34/11 61/2 62/1	18/17 19/23 24/17
here [25] 20/2 38/21		Hutchings [1] 92/15	67/13 100/1 100/4	24/19 59/7 105/5
38/22 55/23 56/9	hopeful [4] 155/22	hypothesis [1] 122/3	100/4 110/11 119/12	141/9 141/14 147/16
60/11 60/17 70/9	172/2 172/21 177/22	1	123/8 134/8 145/6	151/25 178/20 188/21
70/14 71/24 72/8	hopefully [5] 94/6		180/25 180/25	192/23
73/19 74/14 84/7	99/7 114/10 126/17 179/3	I actually [1] 47/19 I agree [1] 136/10	l didn't [9] 5/4 5/16 6/2 30/21 45/5 47/20	I hear [1] 146/3
85/25 88/1 91/23	hoping [1] 137/13	l agreed [1] 130/20	66/25 67/3 84/25	I held [1] 32/11 I hope [3] 150/2
126/23 133/20 160/9	Horizon [52] 7/19	l also [4] 42/18 48/2	I discussed [1] 98/10	
168/25 173/21 174/22	13/23 71/14 112/3	172/19 178/6	I do [19] 48/23 63/11	l imagine [2] 110/13
193/8 193/15	114/19 115/3 115/20	I am [18] 7/1 19/18	64/24 65/6 65/12 78/9	
hereby [2] 163/20 164/1	116/12 116/16 116/16	37/15 38/22 52/12	83/9 90/24 91/5 91/7	I implemented [1]
	117/8 118/21 129/11	59/3 59/20 64/19 93/4	108/14 110/21 110/23	
L				L

(62) headcount - I implemented

1	I said [6] 26/15 35/23	80/15 90/19 92/16	106/4 107/24 111/19	63/10 65/19 65/25
·	47/2 58/23 99/14	92/17	115/9 116/19 123/9	66/24 69/22 71/2 72/2
I joined [11] 5/17				I I
6/21 15/18 19/25 33/3		I went [1] 147/19	125/9 125/9 127/8	72/20 75/10 76/6
	I saw [3] 20/6 45/4	l were [1] 107/7	128/3 128/7 131/3	77/18 80/2 80/24
35/3 36/9 67/9 124/16	125/14	I will [9] 106/4 127/8	137/13 146/7 146/13	81/25 82/10 83/1 86/7
130/24 177/16				
I just [8] 3/13 7/13	I say [6] 32/5 64/14	131/16 131/16 152/2	146/18 146/19 148/12	89/16 92/11 93/5 94/4
	120/18 122/11 191/23	156/5 169/4 186/5	148/12 148/12 150/24	97/1 97/6 97/7 97/17
83/24 86/24 91/13	191/24	193/18	150/24 150/24 155/22	102/3 102/6 102/12
174/9 185/21 186/23				
I knew [1] 5/21	I see [4] 10/17 51/3	I won't [6] 31/16	158/7 158/13 159/23	104/3 104/12 106/8
	176/18 182/4	103/25 147/6 166/5	164/14 168/22 169/22	107/7 110/20 111/7
<b>I know [12]</b> 17/18	I should [5] 3/21	170/25 182/9	172/2 172/18 172/21	114/15 114/16 114/16
33/3 33/8 43/13 90/20				
93/19 144/9 145/24	47/17 67/8 106/2	I wonder [1] 70/18	173/3 174/3 177/22	114/19 115/24 116/5
	140/4	l work [1] 57/20	182/17 182/22 182/24	118/12 119/12 119/12
146/14 157/21 176/6		I worked [1] 134/10	182/24 183/1 183/16	119/21 121/7 122/10
181/24				
I led [1] 2/17	I spoke [4] 62/5 62/7	I would [36] 5/5 6/14	185/25 185/25 185/25	123/9 126/24 127/6
	99/6 127/22	6/17 7/11 8/2 8/16	186/4 186/4 186/10	128/7 131/17 136/2
I left [1] 145/10		8/19 9/3 9/11 10/4	186/10 187/3 188/2	136/25 142/11 143/11
I look [1] 136/13	I sponsored [1]			
I may [2] 52/2 193/5	72/23	16/16 18/13 19/9 20/2	190/22 192/21 193/4	147/6 147/10 150/18
	I start [1] 93/25	20/4 20/8 20/25 21/10	193/11	151/6 152/12 152/22
I mean [20] 40/4 47/2		21/12 21/15 24/18	I've [50] 9/1 20/1 20/4	
53/11 57/14 61/18	I started [3] 3/22			
78/7 79/6 85/15 111/9	34/7 101/23	28/23 32/2 32/9 33/2	20/23 36/14 37/7	155/14 156/11 157/6
	I step [1] 171/24	35/6 47/16 60/7 64/5	42/25 44/3 50/5 55/19	158/17 159/4 160/24
122/7 124/15 128/3		96/11 104/16 104/17	56/14 57/17 69/23	163/15 163/24 166/8
135/22 138/8 140/5	I struggled [1]			
142/8 146/2 151/15	148/24	175/7 182/7 182/10	71/5 71/18 84/9 87/13	168/21 168/23 169/11
	I suspect [1] 8/17	193/17	87/16 92/16 93/23	172/24 179/19 181/25
180/20 190/17				182/9 182/18 183/16
I mentioned [1]		I wouldn't [4] 4/18	96/16 96/17 97/1	
81/17	193/11	48/4 48/4 107/9	101/11 105/8 105/15	183/23 187/11 187/16
	I talked [2] 65/4	I wrote [1] 47/18	106/3 111/10 118/24	188/6 192/21 193/16
I met [1] 149/20	141/22		121/9 125/6 125/11	
I might [2] 4/21 89/16		l'd [37] 10/24 12/10		ii [2] 70/1 180/3
	l think [274]	13/6 27/2 38/7 44/7	126/23 133/20 141/10	iii [2] 70/3 180/5
I move [1] 96/24	I thought [5] 62/22	46/15 52/2 56/20 67/8	151/22 176/11 176/13	ill [1] 94/25
I must [1] 110/25				
I need [5] 131/19	107/16 120/11 140/4	72/18 73/11 92/6 96/8		illogical [2] 138/4
	147/20	107/8 107/9 107/17	180/24 181/4 181/4	139/1
131/20 173/20 179/11	I took [4] 98/12 100/2	113/22 114/12 119/23	181/5 183/14 186/17	imagine [8] 8/19
192/21				
I obviously [2] 70/8	145/6 147/18	120/7 120/18 122/17	188/22 189/3 193/18	24/18 110/13 114/9
	I understand [4] 12/2	122/21 123/19 130/21	<b>IA [1]</b> 134/19	135/14 139/12 149/16
96/4	99/1 168/22 185/7		idea [2] 47/13 178/17	158/5
I offered [1] 84/16				
I officially [1] 147/18	I understood [2] 67/2			imbibed [1] 137/24
	96/6	148/25 170/22 179/14	ideas [2] 38/3 38/4	immediately [5] 62/1
I only [1] 79/13	l use [1] 88/17	182/2 182/19	identical [1] 176/19	66/25 67/3 125/14
I ought [1] 183/11				
I particularly [2]		I'II [19] 3/16 22/23	identified [7] 31/22	140/10
124/19 139/15	22/4 176/2 184/19	26/1 56/9 56/10 56/13	35/25 75/11 113/2	impact [13] 10/16
	187/5	66/3 88/3 96/21	136/17 136/19 148/16	
I please [1] 70/18		101/15 146/12 149/18		72/14 81/4 102/10
I possibly [1] 29/17	I wanted [1] 96/4			
	I was [53] 3/24 7/8	149/18 151/12 177/4	188/12 188/16 188/24	105/13 105/13 105/17
I probably [1] 68/8	9/10 10/9 11/17 12/18	182/14 185/14 190/23	189/1 189/11 189/20	105/18 118/2 151/6
I raised [1] 171/3	12/21 12/23 22/11	192/14	190/12 192/3	impacted [3] 4/15
I read [1] 60/9				
I really [1] 6/15	22/14 28/1 29/12 30/9		identifying [2] 54/24	86/3 101/3
	34/15 47/2 47/6 47/7	19/13 20/7 21/1 26/17	188/1	impactful [2] 102/19
I recall [2] 33/19	47/21 47/23 47/24	29/4 31/7 37/8 42/8	identity [1] 66/9	184/25
160/2				
I received [3] 123/9	49/12 57/6 58/13	43/11 43/22 47/19	ie [1] 152/11	impacting [2] 38/12
	58/25 62/3 66/5 66/8	49/24 50/9 51/15	if [130] 3/15 3/16	168/7
147/17 165/21	66/17 68/5 68/6 95/24	51/24 56/1 56/2 57/17	16/5 18/2 19/9 21/6	impacts [1] 134/21
I recognise [1]				
154/12	96/1 96/3 98/13 98/14	67/19 69/7 69/21	22/17 22/22 24/8	impasse [3] 171/22
	110/13 116/20 119/4	72/25 75/8 76/6 78/7	25/20 25/21 25/25	172/2 172/3
I refer [1] 54/17	119/13 120/9 134/7	78/7 80/24 82/3 84/7	26/3 26/14 26/25 36/1	
I referred [1] 15/16				
I refused [1] 127/15	142/9 147/22 148/23	86/7 87/25 88/1 88/2	37/14 38/7 42/25	implement [9] 114/5
	149/13 149/14 158/16	88/3 88/20 88/23	46/10 46/13 48/3	126/18 126/20 127/15
I remember [2] 55/16	160/16 160/19 162/5	88/23 89/5 91/8 91/9	48/10 50/10 50/20	139/19 139/24 140/1
62/4				
I reported [1] 3/9	162/6 165/13 170/12	91/14 91/14 91/20	50/20 50/21 51/18	140/10 184/18
	I wasn't [14] 22/8	93/4 93/13 94/2 98/6	52/2 52/9 54/11 54/12	implementation [4]
I represent [1] 93/22	33/21 45/4 47/15	99/14 99/24 100/16	54/20 55/14 56/8	40/3 114/3 126/13
I reviewed [1] 14/17	67/11 70/9 70/24	101/9 101/11 103/17	56/10 56/13 56/20	135/11
I right [1] 135/9				
	70/25 71/23 79/13	105/11 106/2 106/3	56/25 57/22 59/9 60/2	implemented [5]
				63) Lipinod - implomented

(63) I joined - implemented

I	incorporated [2]	infrastructure [2]	intended [1] 90/2	130/3 138/20 147/22
implemented [5]	104/1 104/12	65/3 163/13	intending [3] 66/17	149/23 153/19 154/11
16/2 113/1 113/6	incorporates [1]	inherent [1] 137/23	98/18 101/14	157/4 164/10 165/24
128/25 134/21	185/9	inhouse [11] 101/19	intense [1] 23/16	175/11 178/20 187/20
implementing [4]	incorrect' [1] 26/24	155/11 155/13 156/25		189/5 189/5
137/10 137/11 140/8	increase [4] 11/5	159/11 159/17 160/2	180/1 180/13	intrinsically [1] 10/18
143/7	75/16 75/20 75/25	162/22 163/1 163/4	intensively [1] 23/9	introduction [2] 4/5
implications [1]	increased [1] 11/9	165/4	intent [5] 42/9 43/12	134/11
76/18	increasing [2] 23/12	initial [5] 5/18 6/1	43/18 65/8 100/1	intuitively [2] 34/6
implicit [2] 98/25	38/17	29/22 171/17 175/16	intention [7] 64/8	34/7
99/1	increasingly [1]	initially [8] 5/4 5/20	100/18 102/21 164/12	
important [43] 10/14	23/17	6/3 7/8 22/8 77/23	164/12 173/15 192/1	inverted [1] 87/2
10/19 12/4 12/17 13/9	incredibly [2] 105/19	148/21 149/12	intentional [1] 63/13	investigate [6] 26/16
15/14 15/20 15/23	177/1	initiate [2] 6/14 69/14		147/10 150/8 174/20
16/24 18/23 21/11	incremental [2]	initiated [1] 84/3	66/13	175/18 191/22
21/21 25/1 25/4 25/8	146/1 146/4		interact [1] 32/12	investigated [8] 15/2
35/13 40/24 41/4		injected [1] 130/1	interactions [1]	58/23 90/6 92/14
48/20 49/15 49/19	20/1 84/4	innocent [1] 167/7	124/4	147/15 147/25 152/23
52/23 53/6 54/11	indeed [1] 188/14	input [4] 141/12	interest [5] 46/12	167/17
54/12 54/19 55/3	independence [2]	184/3 184/6 184/7	49/1 77/9 151/13	investigation [47]
62/23 67/7 68/22	50/14 75/12	Inquiry [44] 7/19 12/5		21/23 22/10 22/12
80/22 84/12 96/6	independent [10]	14/22 15/2 17/24 31/3		22/15 29/8 29/15
96/13 102/24 104/21	74/2 77/22 127/8 127/9 127/16 141/11		interesting [1] 144/9	29/16 29/19 29/23 30/4 30/7 30/12 30/14
105/17 111/21 118/8	12//9 12//16 141/11	69/7 74/16 77/9 77/16 87/15 91/20 96/17		30/4 30/7 30/12 30/14 30/16 58/1 58/22
137/7 139/11 181/25	170/21	101/2 113/10 118/3	interim [11] 2/22 27/6 28/16 51/9	60/19 62/10 63/1
189/9	independently [1]	120/13 120/23 121/6	109/22 111/14 119/5	63/11 64/3 64/8 64/13
imposed [1] 23/19	174/10	121/7 122/1 123/3	126/18 129/25 179/23	64/15 64/17 65/17
impossible [1] 64/12	indirectly [1] 169/18	123/7 129/19 131/8	192/10	66/14 83/11 90/12
impressed [1] 120/9	individual [12] 4/18	137/6 138/5 144/10	internal [9] 13/1 13/9	90/16 90/23 91/25
impression [9] 4/25	4/22 25/14 32/11	146/9 148/10 164/6	27/9 40/12 40/16	147/23 148/1 150/4
5/18 5/24 6/1 6/2 33/9	32/15 33/10 33/11	167/16 171/4 172/18	45/16 81/4 81/4 91/25	150/23 152/14 154/11
116/20 123/20 169/17	51/12 74/11 103/6	181/19 181/22 182/11	internally [3] 91/6	165/24 167/23 168/11
improve [8] 16/13	142/5 142/6	183/2 186/18 191/4	132/15 155/4	169/16 169/20 170/3
17/8 18/5 23/15 24/22	individually [1] 33/19		interpreted [1]	174/17 175/7 175/18
44/5 59/4 63/19	individuals [19]	69/10 69/19 92/9	166/15	investigations [17]
improved [4] 23/7	25/14 32/18 33/8	108/25 148/9	intervene [1] 138/18	14/24 14/25 28/3
23/11 144/12 149/9	34/16 34/19 48/21	insight [2] 103/16	intervening [1]	29/11 68/13 89/20
improvements [2] 38/5 168/10	54/25 55/2 61/8 84/23		157/14	91/13 91/22 92/1 93/1
	92/4 92/19 93/4 93/6	insightful [2] 105/16	interview [4] 94/11	93/12 101/3 148/17
improving [6] 16/12 40/19 40/20 100/23	100/15 104/24 120/20	105/20	95/3 95/14 111/1	151/11 151/19 153/4
100/24 180/4	130/4 143/5	insofar [3] 48/21	interviewed [1] 47/24	169/25
inappropriate [1]	induction [10] 3/3	65/18 143/21	interviewees [1]	investigator [1]
93/7	3/5 3/14 13/19 13/20	insource [1] 163/24	123/15	64/16
incapable [1] 166/23	13/21 115/1 134/8	insource/reprocure	interviewing [1] 54/8	
incentives [1] 152/11	134/9 148/23	<b>[1]</b> 163/24	interviews [8] 64/9	176/20
incidents [1] 168/7	industry [1] 109/4	insourcing [1] 162/4	95/22 110/22 122/22	investigatory [3]
include [3] 159/4	ineffective [3] 83/15	Inspector [1] 177/3	122/23 123/11 123/12	
180/1 186/20	86/1 86/11	instances [1] 63/8	123/24	Investments [1]
included [2] 148/3	inferences [1] 60/12		intimidation [1]	111/3
183/25	information [32] 7/9	35/8 49/20 49/22	28/15	involve [2] 11/14
includes [1] 153/24	9/9 37/3 37/9 43/4	institutional [1] 28/8	into [56] 4/4 4/5 7/14	22/13
including [19] 2/14	63/16 63/19 95/16	instruct [1] 185/17	22/3 25/22 27/20	involved [14] 17/11
7/25 24/5 25/3 27/5	122/24 123/6 125/13	instructed [1] 120/3	29/15 29/19 29/19	20/9 27/8 58/10 74/15
27/23 44/11 59/11	149/11 149/15 150/14 150/14 150/15 150/14	instructing [1] 120/4	30/4 30/7 30/12 43/12 46/5 54/14 54/16 58/1	77/15 78/7 91/23 120/4 126/5 129/1
63/21 83/15 101/24	154/19 165/23 166/25	instructions [2] 71/13 71/21	58/17 58/20 62/11	131/14 157/5 189/16
102/9 102/18 105/4	173/7 174/11 174/20	instrumental [1]	63/6 64/15 65/24 67/2	
150/12 157/10 167/8	175/11 175/16 176/25		67/20 69/18 73/12	28/24 77/9 165/11
168/6 180/6	177/4 178/25 179/8	Integrated [1] 135/7	83/7 86/16 87/17	involves [4] 13/24
inclusion [4] 27/4	181/18 183/2 189/3	integrity [7] 5/22	89/14 89/25 90/3	113/9 139/20 165/25
97/13 100/9 163/21	informed [2] 94/17	47/23 70/1 75/12	91/22 92/4 103/16	involving [1] 132/11
inclusive [1] 99/10	182/8	96/13 112/1 169/3	104/1 104/9 104/13	irrespective [2]
incoming [1] 135/15	infosec [1] 151/2	intend [1] 171/2	122/12 125/15 130/1	98/24 131/22
				alamantad irraanaatiya

(64) implemented... - irrespective

I	104/21 112/24 113/4	Julian [1] 92/15	kicked [1] 89/24	128/14 136/4 136/11
I imitable [4], 02/02	113/5 113/12 113/18	<b>July [2]</b> 101/18	kind [11] 34/19 62/25	
irritable [1] 23/23	117/1 117/2 117/15	171/18	67/20 71/19 84/10	140/16 143/20 144/6
is [548] Ismail [4] 92/9 161/1	119/23 119/24 121/3	June [5] 119/22	112/17 131/22 135/19	152/16
161/5 161/17	122/12 125/8 126/11	148/14 151/15 158/15		lacking [1] 112/17
isn't [22] 21/1 26/15	128/17 129/5 129/5	184/22	kinds [3] 11/25 20/11	laid [1] 30/1
33/8 57/15 58/4 58/7	130/17 130/19 133/19		118/17	lands [1] 125/18
65/7 71/20 71/22	134/4 138/22 139/14	25/12 38/11 38/13	King's [1] 185/19	landscape [1] 124/7
82/10 91/7 99/14	140/5 142/8 143/16	38/16	knew [2] 5/21 29/23	large [5] 2/5 6/11
99/18 104/16 129/9	145/15 145/15 146/13 147/2 148/6 149/13	3/17 4/19 4/22 5/17	knock [1] 11/10 knock-on [1] 11/10	93/23 120/14 124/18 largely [1] 32/15
175/2 182/10 182/18	149/15 153/17 156/8	6/13 6/16 6/17 7/13	know [101] 5/10 5/14	larger [1] 103/7
183/16 191/18 192/7	157/3 158/22 162/14	7/15 7/21 10/24 13/8	12/13 17/18 18/24	last [11] 77/5 106/12
193/8	164/11 165/22 172/13	13/25 16/13 22/23	33/3 33/8 43/13 45/5	115/20 119/16 119/17
issue [28] 13/19 22/6 22/10 23/16 36/10	172/16 173/20 174/13	26/1 27/11 27/19 31/9	47/20 48/2 49/20	138/5 149/23 152/7
36/11 38/21 61/1	175/5 175/6 175/15	32/11 33/8 37/15	49/22 56/13 56/16	179/24 191/4 191/13
62/11 65/16 65/18	176/8 177/25 179/1	37/21 38/22 46/14	60/8 60/10 60/17	Lastly [1] 104/24
70/14 78/24 82/25	179/16 182/23 187/9	52/2 55/25 56/9 56/20		late [2] 75/16 151/25
113/11 113/12 115/22	189/10 189/20 193/12	56/22 57/9 57/16	73/9 75/5 75/7 78/9	later [2] 47/21 168/12
118/25 126/11 134/21	its [9] 13/3 18/5 31/20 116/13 127/5	59/18 65/19 66/3 72/18 72/25 73/1 79/6	87/15 90/20 91/7 91/13 93/19 102/4	latter [1] 28/3
135/6 140/22 154/23	137/6 140/7 140/17	80/12 83/24 86/24	107/14 110/9 110/12	launched [1] 52/1 law [1] 170/8
156/20 156/24 181/2	168/5	89/25 90/4 91/13	110/13 110/20 111/7	lawyer [1] 176/19
191/13 192/10	itself [4] 3/12 9/7	95/10 98/19 102/1	111/9 111/11 111/23	lawyer's [1] 174/5
issues [54] 4/1 8/16 14/23 14/23 16/19	125/10 162/13	102/12 103/23 105/12		lawyers [1] 52/17
19/14 20/6 31/22 37/2	ITV [1] 75/25	106/12 107/14 112/12	120/21 125/23 126/15	
41/13 48/22 49/9	J	113/9 115/7 117/4	127/13 128/5 128/6	lead [2] 10/5 92/13
49/18 51/24 53/22		118/12 118/15 121/9	128/15 128/18 128/23	leader [1] 46/1
63/7 64/21 65/2 65/9	Jacobs [9] 64/16 70/20 160/4 181/10	122/5 123/19 125/8	129/5 131/13 131/17	leaders [5] 32/24
67/1 74/15 77/16	181/14 183/9 183/10	127/20 127/22 127/24 135/16 137/17 139/18		125/1 125/3 126/6 126/14
83/14 83/20 84/2	183/15 194/16	143/11 146/4 146/7	144/24 145/24 146/1	leadership [29] 7/21
84/23 85/5 86/2	Jane [1] 29/15	147/7 148/15 149/18	146/9 146/14 149/6	18/1 21/12 25/17
114/21 115/19 116/21 117/24 118/1 118/4	January [10] 23/2	156/2 157/21 160/16	149/6 154/19 155/11	31/25 34/10 36/15
118/5 118/7 118/9	23/12 26/6 44/20 55/7	160/19 161/12 163/1	155/12 155/18 156/5	37/19 37/22 38/1
118/18 129/4 129/15	56/18 62/15 70/17	163/1 163/3 169/22	156/5 156/23 157/21	40/14 41/1 47/14
135/11 136/3 136/6	71/25 116/1	170/22 174/9 177/3	158/4 163/8 163/13	83/21 85/22 95/10
137/18 138/17 141/18	Japan [1] 158/6 Jo [1] 92/14	177/25 181/13 182/15		96/3 96/7 99/5 123/23
141/20 153/8 156/16	job [13] 9/11 17/12	183/13 185/21 186/17 186/23 187/8 192/21	173/5 173/21 173/22 173/24 176/6 178/14	124/2 126/7 126/15 126/16 129/9 132/1
164/6 173/12 173/24	19/3 21/19 32/18	justice [5] 184/20	179/2 179/3 179/12	133/10 138/3 142/16
187/21 190/18	35/22 38/19 39/10	185/9 185/18 186/8		leading [2] 21/8 55/2
it [452] it'll [6] 121/8 145/3	47/25 50/19 52/24	187/22	186/16 189/13 190/17	leads [1] 157/4
148/14 156/9 156/11	54/25 85/16	K	190/23 191/4 191/10	learned [2] 124/24
156/13	jobs [5] 16/23 35/16		193/17	130/11
it's [117] 3/18 10/24	39/25 54/18 98/24 John [2] 68/12 130/5	<b>KAREN [8]</b> 1/7 1/10 46/21 60/23 144/14	knowing [3] 96/12	learning [1] 147/20
15/23 16/15 17/12	join [6] 14/5 113/8	146/15 178/22 194/2	96/12 144/24 knowledge [16] 1/21	least [9] 33/10 89/5 89/6 89/8 116/3 141/1
18/16 20/21 21/10			57/19 64/11 64/24	146/9 184/11 192/4
21/11 21/19 24/25	132/6	98/13	77/11 77/12 81/19	leave [1] 23/17
25/3 25/8 25/14 26/4 32/15 33/21 36/8 39/6	joined [17] 5/17 5/19		81/21 82/8 82/11	leavers [1] 12/16
39/12 40/24 40/24	6/21 9/7 14/11 14/21	154/19 159/23 163/11		led [6] 2/17 41/10
41/4 44/9 46/16 47/2	15/18 19/25 33/3 35/3	187/18	108/21 142/3 153/25	41/19 76/15 77/10
48/14 48/20 49/15	36/9 67/9 109/9	keeping [3] 24/25	known [3] 46/21	128/22
52/10 53/6 55/11	123/14 124/16 130/24 177/16	36/17 48/14 kept [3] 46/22 91/14	96/11 150/16 <b>KS [1]</b> 80/4	left [4] 11/12 79/22 145/10 147/12
55/14 55/16 55/20	joining [10] 4/9 7/8	181/22		legal [4] 14/23 14/25
56/10 57/16 58/7	14/1 14/4 14/12 35/6	key [13] 28/9 38/1	L	106/18 173/7
68/22 69/17 71/23 76/15 79/11 82/6 87/2	96/5 112/8 123/21	52/11 71/6 114/3	lack [29] 7/21 7/22	legislation [1] 104/8
87/7 88/1 88/25 90/3	124/14	135/17 136/2 137/1	32/2 33/4 36/1 36/10	lens [2] 134/25
90/4 90/11 94/1 97/2	judgment [3] 135/6	137/2 137/18 140/14	40/12 53/4 53/4 63/5	137/25
97/3 98/25 99/1 99/13	135/12 187/21 judgments [4] 14/8	152/17 153/14 <b>keys [1]</b> 167/9	64/25 83/19 86/1 102/23 119/9 125/1	less [7] 4/21 6/16
102/3 102/24 103/6	69/13 69/17 134/22	kick [2] 90/3 178/1	125/2 125/3 125/6	9/15 13/8 47/22 105/17 182/22
				(65) irritable - less

(65) irritable - less

L	lines [4] 39/15	22/15	107/13 118/8 125/16	55/25 57/25 69/18
Lesson [1] 137/23	110/22 135/6 135/8	lose [1] 81/19	143/19 144/2 144/3	69/23 81/17 82/19
lessons [2] 124/23	linked [1] 152/10	loss [2] 85/15 115/21	150/16 151/23 172/17	88/24 93/12 139/3
138/4	Lisa [1] 123/16	losses [1] 170/16	malicious [1] 65/8	139/7 139/8 139/13
let [7] 31/19 56/13	list [1] 14/3	lot [24] 3/13 7/3 8/23	manage [2] 4/14	154/5 186/21 186/22
81/4 88/2 127/24	listed [3] 92/12 134/3		163/4	192/7
176/14 183/17	186/17	12/16 14/22 18/10	managed [4] 8/1	mattering [1] 48/22
Let's [2] 20/11	listen [1] 43/15	20/18 38/22 52/17	24/14 25/3 46/10	matters [18] 15/1
139/16	listened [2] 125/11	61/6 86/22 113/9	management [16]	20/11 22/5 23/11
letter [23] 44/7 44/8	125/22	127/25 135/14 141/5	2/5 2/15 2/16 5/12	58/23 67/7 68/2 68/21
46/9 48/8 55/6 55/8	listening [1] 44/4	149/14 149/14 173/21 178/8 180/25 192/13	11/18 34/3 38/6 47/3 57/2 59/6 101/20	68/23 86/16 95/22 96/21 113/10 113/14
55/11 57/6 58/16 92/8	literally [1] 52/20 little [2] 154/25 162/6		136/5 136/12 138/19	113/16 167/6 167/17
92/10 92/11 147/1	live [1] 49/10	111/4 111/4 143/14	144/7 180/7	171/3
147/2 147/4 147/17	lives [1] 59/6	184/6 184/6	Manager [1] 150/1	maximum [1] 160/22
166/7 166/9 167/5	local [3] 186/11	Lottery [3] 110/18	manages [1] 50/2	may [39] 15/7 21/17
170/25 171/8 172/15	186/13 186/15	111/23 120/8	managing [3] 7/12	23/19 23/23 35/10
190/2	Locum [1] 154/7	low [1] 116/19	9/21 10/2	36/21 42/8 42/22 52/2
letting [1] 54/20	logging [1] 189/24	lower [1] 76/25	mandatory [1] 27/7	55/24 65/10 86/2 89/8
level [38] 4/11 17/10	logical [1] 179/12	Lynette [1] 92/15	manifest [2] 32/21	90/24 93/20 101/6
18/15 19/6 21/14 25/6	London [4] 4/13 6/9		125/10	104/23 109/24 109/25
25/7 25/10 25/15 38/9 38/10 45/23 83/2	165/24 173/25	M	manual [2] 134/15	111/15 111/15 134/1
85/24 97/14 115/2	long [16] 63/9 67/9	mad [1] 107/8	178/15	134/8 134/8 134/11
116/14 116/14 121/25	80/13 81/3 82/7 85/15		manually [1] 178/24	141/9 150/13 150/20
126/4 127/20 129/18	85/19 87/7 89/14	13/21 21/24 23/6	many [23] 79/2 79/10	165/4 167/5 170/17
132/6 132/24 133/10	89/25 90/3 111/13	24/12 28/1 28/6 36/19		175/19 182/16 185/15
133/17 133/17 133/21	113/21 114/9 124/17	51/7 60/12 60/14	87/11 95/2 111/2	189/11 189/12 190/2
133/21 136/17 137/23	177/25	62/16 71/19 80/19	111/2 113/14 113/15	190/5 193/5
138/25 139/12 143/13	long-term [1] 124/17	89/21 90/25 91/3 91/6 127/13 127/19 128/21		maybe [7] 25/5 33/24
143/20 150/16 160/5	longer [2] 76/15 175/3	128/22 142/11 146/1	138/1 138/2 138/10 138/12 139/24 143/16	39/5 54/18 65/6 100/20 182/8
179/12	longevity [1] 103/3	152/21 155/6 156/21	146/2 162/5 189/15	MBS [1] 47/12
levels [5] 38/25	longstanding [1]	157/17 167/24 168/25	manning [1] 153/14	McColl's [3] 2/20
117/9 124/8 144/3	94/23	176/4 181/5	March [16] 44/14	47/6 47/8
180/5	look [38] 3/16 10/25	made' [1] 44/21	72/17 75/24 80/25	McEwan [13] 1/6 1/7
Lewis [1] 130/5	18/2 26/10 27/2 38/7	main [3] 156/16	83/3 83/4 84/20 85/6	1/10 46/21 93/22 94/2
life [5] 4/15 41/25 49/9 109/4 109/4	40/10 50/21 54/2	156/20 156/24	109/23 123/13 157/4	97/5 99/22 101/16
light [3] 15/1 73/6	55/25 57/22 65/24	mainly [1] 25/16	157/12 158/11 158/13	105/12 105/23 184/24
116/10	69/18 72/2 82/24 83/1		163/20 172/8	194/2
lights' [1] 131/9	97/7 97/10 103/25	maintaining [1]	Marianne [1] 21/23	me [61] 4/3 4/18 5/24
like [42] 2/9 5/20	104/3 104/8 116/14	159/16	mark [2] 70/22 186/7	6/7 15/16 29/10 29/10
10/24 17/8 27/2 38/7	116/15 117/3 117/18	major [1] 134/22	market [4] 13/15 20/4	
40/6 44/7 46/15 52/2	119/14 119/15 126/17		20/22 133/9	30/15 33/19 34/13
52/17 54/3 56/20	133/23 134/13 136/13	79/25 80/6 147/24 make [38] 7/16 17/3	markets [1] 112/2	47/15 47/20 50/10
64/14 65/21 72/18	147/9 161/15 163/5 178/23 178/25 179/14		Marriott [10] 62/11 62/19 72/23 73/2 73/3	55/10 58/12 68/5 68/22 76/6 80/24
92/6 99/9 114/12	191/23	29/17 33/23 36/7 39/1	74/24 76/17 78/21	85/13 88/2 89/2 89/17
118/14 119/23 121/25	looked [5] 5/20 58/17			94/17 94/19 96/12
	58/20 67/2 148/10			96/19 98/16 105/17
123/10 123/19 132/21	looking [32] 3/3 21/6	77/23 78/18 86/12	Marshall [1] 51/6	105/20 105/22 107/14
133/23 146/25 148/5	25/21 26/17 28/8	96/18 98/13 98/15	Martin [3] 33/14 51/6	107/16 107/24 115/11
148/15 148/20 154/17	35/25 40/7 40/8 43/24		62/4	119/6 119/12 127/24
170/22 177/1 179/14 182/2 182/7 182/10	54/23 60/1 60/9 69/5	138/1 138/11 141/11	Mason [1] 150/6	133/19 134/12 135/23
182/2 182/7 182/10	72/11 74/14 74/16	141/13 142/12 143/25	mass [1] 73/18	145/11 145/13 147/21
likely [9] 38/19 55/13	85/5 85/25 86/16	159/7 163/6 173/6	material [2] 14/3	149/4 149/15 149/16
83/14 143/9 164/8	114/12 117/4 117/22	173/22 182/24 189/17	,	174/10 177/4 179/13
164/11 181/18 188/13	131/23 133/13 143/24		materialised [3] 75/2	182/15 183/12 183/17
188/24	146/7 153/14 156/6	78/18	75/6 78/23	183/17 183/17 185/17
limited [4] 18/4 18/7	158/12 162/18 163/5	making [25] 7/14 12/21 16/17 16/18	materials [1] 125/10	189/2 190/25
74/10 187/15	189/21	16/19 20/9 35/13	Matrix [1] 112/13	mean [38] 5/2 6/12
limits [1] 9/18	looks [2] 158/11 189/6	41/13 42/11 60/17	matter [27] 16/6 19/16 19/18 19/20	7/18 8/1 12/25 16/14 30/19 40/4 47/2 53/11
line [4] 11/18 64/6	Lord [2] 55/6 187/21	60/21 74/4 79/19	20/15 32/6 33/16 36/2	57/14 61/18 64/21
138/3 170/7	Lorna [2] 20/16	89/18 94/21 99/1	46/18 48/7 54/23	66/22 68/16 68/17
				(66) Lesson - mean

(66) Lesson - mean

М	59/11 59/23	113/5	107/24 139/5 144/14	186/21 190/1 190/4
mean [22] 69/15	memorial [1] 186/7	mistakes [1] 71/19	178/7 178/22 193/21	190/5 191/1 192/7
78/7 79/6 80/19 82/15	memory [4] 5/13 9/6	mitigate [2] 77/1	Morrisons [1] 2/23	193/3 193/8 193/19
85/15 103/11 111/9	42/7 62/3	85/15	most [17] 2/25 8/2	194/4 194/6 194/12
122/7 124/15 127/19	mental [1] 75/3	mitigation [1] 136/22		194/16
128/3 135/22 138/8	mentality [1] 128/9	mixture [1] 81/12	41/21 48/22 61/18	Mr Bartlett [3]
140/5 142/8 146/2	mention [6] 43/5	<b>Mm [11]</b> 109/16	62/19 70/11 100/3	148/14 149/19 166/14
151/15 178/17 180/20	97/24 99/18 99/22	123/22 148/19 151/9	111/21 116/21 125/24	Mr Bartlett's [1]
187/24 190/17	100/9 102/18	151/16 153/2 166/12	138/4 178/5 191/16 motivation [1] 30/17	166/9
meaningful [1]	mentioned [10] 57/24 58/12 78/2	190/14	motivation [1] 30/17 move [20] 4/3 8/23	Mr Blake [9] 1/4 1/8 97/22 107/21 108/9
167/23	81/17 103/15 119/1	<b>Mm-hm [1]</b> 175/14	19/13 31/7 51/15	190/1 191/1 194/4
means [11] 52/19	167/17 168/2 168/10	model [5] 65/3	51/24 68/1 69/5 81/8	194/12
52/21 53/20 54/20	189/12	130/18 143/2 143/7	82/9 96/24 137/18	Mr Blake's [1] 107/15
83/16 98/21 98/24	mentioning [2] 99/24	185/12	139/16 148/5 164/13	Mr Brander [2] 92/14
106/25 119/3 138/12 142/22	100/13	module [1] 14/6	172/24 173/17 177/11	92/20
meant [9] 4/2 73/9	message [1] 23/1	moment [19] 25/20	177/22 188/6	Mr Brocklehurst [1]
75/5 75/19 98/2	messages [4] 22/20	40/4 51/16 55/24	moved [6] 2/19 2/22	153/10
100/14 161/24 162/4	23/22 129/21 132/14	56/14 65/20 105/22	67/16 67/21 136/16	Mr Cameron [6]
162/7	met [6] 42/5 149/20	126/19 133/2 133/4	176/11	94/12 95/16 95/20
measurable [1]	165/23 171/15 173/25	136/24 139/22 144/4	movement [1] 78/4	124/21 133/16 147/16
15/24	184/22		mover [1] 66/18	Mr Chapman [1]
measure [1] 36/12	mid [2] 56/18 164/20	174/4 177/2 178/19	moving [15] 15/10	193/8
measures [1] 186/21	mid-afternoon [1]	money [4] 116/9	24/14 48/9 53/10	Mr Eldridge [2] 49/25
mechanism [2]	164/20	128/11 128/20 191/16		71/2
181/21 187/25	mid-January [1]	monies [1] 154/8	92/7 103/23 118/25	Mr Foat [4] 58/9 60/3
media [3] 12/14	56/18	monitor [2] 53/22 188/4	132/17 136/15 136/21 177/8 187/5	60/25 89/14 <b>Mr Ismail [4]</b> 92/9
12/19 70/1	middle [2] 62/15 80/25	monitored [1] 53/24	Mr [130] 1/4 1/8 5/9	161/1 161/5 161/17
mediation [1] 137/8	might [27] 4/21 12/4	monitoring [2] 82/16	5/25 6/1 6/6 20/12	Mr Jacobs [7] 64/16
meet [3] 6/15 6/16	17/5 21/3 25/22 39/9	187/25	22/19 22/25 23/4 23/8	70/20 160/4 181/10
43/15	41/13 49/18 51/16	month [4] 12/9 116/2	23/8 23/10 23/16	181/14 183/9 183/15
meeting [38] 26/6	55/25 64/21 69/20	116/3 146/13	23/22 24/1 24/4 24/5	Mr Oldnall [3] 159/3
26/8 41/19 42/22 42/23 43/2 43/11	72/12 78/13 82/5	months [19] 23/9	24/13 26/4 26/20 28/1	
43/22 44/20 45/10	82/15 89/16 89/17	34/12 40/9 81/21	29/2 29/7 29/19 29/23	Mr Patterson [4]
45/13 45/20 59/21	127/13 128/2 130/18	111/15 114/10 114/11		166/8 166/19 170/23
72/17 79/22 80/23	141/15 142/6 158/5	115/20 116/4 119/16	30/18 30/20 31/2 31/3	
81/6 81/7 81/7 81/24		126/23 128/20 131/5	44/19 46/10 49/25	Mr Railton [12] 29/2
96/25 119/22 134/3	migrate [1] 164/1	133/20 152/12 156/7	55/6 55/12 57/1 57/10	I I
135/16 135/18 157/3	million [1] 149/2	159/13 171/10 191/14		
157/5 158/13 158/15	mind [9] 61/16 77/13 82/22 82/23 85/3	months' [1] 27/18 more [62] 3/22 4/10	60/3 60/25 64/16 70/20 71/2 73/2 79/22	181/15 186/21 192/7 193/3 193/19
158/16 158/18 158/21	91/22 129/3 146/10	9/13 9/16 13/17 13/18		Mr Read [23] 5/9
159/15 160/1 171/18	174/21	14/18 15/13 18/23	89/18 89/21 92/9	20/12 22/19 22/25
179/17 191/5 191/8	mindset [2] 83/20	20/22 25/6 25/10	92/14 92/20 93/19	23/4 23/8 23/10 24/1
meetings [23] 41/24	137/21	25/11 29/11 29/20	93/21 94/12 94/15	24/4 29/19 29/23
42/25 43/1 43/8 43/13	Minister [6] 110/3	36/15 38/11 39/15	95/3 95/16 95/20	46/10 55/6 55/12
49/12 59/16 59/20 99/4 104/25 105/3	110/9 179/15 180/22	40/17 41/18 42/4	95/20 96/11 96/20	94/15 95/3 95/20
105/6 105/7 105/8	180/24 181/4	42/19 53/9 54/11	97/22 107/15 107/15	96/11 96/20 131/13
105/9 105/10 105/14	minute [2] 56/6 83/24		107/21 108/6 108/9	133/16 165/10 190/5
105/15 111/1 111/2	minuted [4] 65/17	68/8 71/21 73/22	108/12 118/20 118/23	
111/4 184/25 185/1	66/1 66/9 66/13	73/23 84/18 87/24	124/21 131/13 133/16	
member [7] 19/18	minutes [3] 51/18	88/20 89/2 89/8	133/16 147/9 147/11	Mr Recaldin [3] 73/2
27/23 47/8 57/14 58/2	56/10 72/17	105/17 107/13 113/9		
59/18 133/3	Mirroring [1] 152/15	113/22 114/20 119/18 126/4 135/19 137/17	148/14 149/19 153/10 159/3 159/12 160/4	Mr Roberts [3] 57/23 57/24 58/3
members [20] 7/6	mis [1] 167/9 mis-keys [1] 167/9	143/9 143/13 148/1	160/11 161/1 161/5	57724 5873 Mr Staunton [18]
19/7 34/2 34/12 34/13	miscarriage [1]	151/22 156/14 165/3	161/14 161/17 161/22	5/25 6/1 6/6 23/8 24/5
44/11 57/2 57/23	186/8	173/10 173/20 178/10		26/4 28/1 29/7 30/2
61/13 65/13 72/12	misconduct [1]	178/23 179/3 179/3	166/9 166/14 166/19	30/12 30/13 30/18
85/22 88/14 112/9	83/10	179/9 182/22 189/16	169/9 169/10 170/10	30/20 89/13 89/18
112/10 112/11 115/10	misleading [1]	192/22	170/23 179/25 181/10	
132/13 133/22 141/12	150/21	morning [11] 1/3 1/5	181/14 181/15 183/9	Mr Staunton's [4]
membership [2]	missing [2] 88/21	16/7 16/11 51/16	183/10 183/15 185/19	26/20 31/2 31/3
L			1	

(67) mean... - Mr Staunton's

Μ	33/21 34/12 34/13	necessarily [4] 8/9	186/20 187/20	123/17 124/5 125/24
Mr Staunton's [1]	39/2 40/2 45/2 47/22	20/9 33/25 91/7	Newly [1] 138/3	126/1 126/5 126/22
118/20	48/14 55/20 57/17	necessary [7] 4/13	next [10] 40/7 40/8	130/11 130/15 132/12
Mr Stein [4] 93/19	57/19 58/2 60/9 60/11 64/24 66/8 66/22	8/7 11/25 90/11 159/23 170/17 171/11	58/8 111/15 121/13 131/5 137/14 146/13	132/20 132/24 139/1 139/12 141/25 142/4
93/21 185/19 194/6	68/10 69/13 71/18	necessitated [1]	156/7 182/4	non-employees [1]
Mr Stein's [1] 107/15	72/8 73/11 76/6 80/10		NFSP [3] 42/21 43/8	104/9
Mr Taylor [2] 57/1 57/10	80/11 82/22 87/8	NED [1] 70/23	43/16	Non-Exec [1] 98/11
Mr Woodley [4]	87/25 90/2 90/10	NEDs [2] 99/16 111/4	NHS [1] 154/7	Non-Executive [27]
161/14 169/9 169/10	90/20 91/7 93/18	need [64] 18/21	Nic [2] 72/23 73/12	27/5 27/8 27/24 48/9
170/10	93/22 94/11 95/13	39/23 41/2 52/13	Nick [29] 3/10 3/10	48/11 48/15 59/10
Ms [30] 1/6 21/25	96/4 96/13 96/18 96/21 98/5 98/7 100/1	55/24 62/25 63/19 77/1 80/14 82/16 83/6	5/16 11/19 19/25 30/4 30/21 35/3 35/20	59/15 59/22 61/24 63/15 63/21 109/14
22/1 22/20 22/24	100/3 100/8 102/3	84/9 101/11 117/3	41/10 43/13 47/3 47/6	
22/25 23/8 23/9 23/14	103/14 105/8 105/12	126/6 126/14 126/16	47/11 47/17 47/20	124/5 125/24 126/1
23/19 23/21 24/2 24/7 26/18 31/11 73/2	105/21 106/24 107/3	126/20 129/6 129/17	48/1 48/3 60/3 94/15	126/5 126/22 130/11
74/24 78/21 78/25	108/11 108/20 110/14		94/17 129/10 131/1	130/15 132/12 132/20
79/22 93/22 94/2 97/5	112/4 114/21 115/1	131/24 132/1 133/2	132/25 150/12 165/16	
99/22 100/15 101/16	117/23 119/4 119/10	133/3 133/6 140/9	166/8 171/11 179/17	Non-Executives [1]
105/12 105/23 184/24	120/24 122/3 128/3 130/4 130/23 130/23	143/1 143/3 143/3	Nick's [2] 5/21 131/7	132/24
191/4	130/4 130/23 130/23	143/3 143/5 143/12 146/5 153/22 154/20	Nicola [5] 62/11 62/19 64/7 73/3 76/17	non-existent [1] 139/1
Ms Burton's [1]	134/11 142/7 148/23		<b>Nigel [8]</b> 20/15 108/8	I I
191/4 Ms Davies [8] 21/25	149/7 151/13 154/25	155/21 155/25 156/1	108/11 149/20 158/18	
22/1 22/20 23/8 23/19	155/16 157/25 158/3	156/8 156/8 156/23	158/19 179/22 194/10	
24/2 24/7 31/11	165/22 168/23 172/10		Nisa [1] 47/6	nonetheless [1]
Ms Davies' [2] 23/9			NM [1] 73/2	141/14
23/14	176/1 176/8 177/15 177/16 178/2 178/10	181/21 182/9 182/16 183/13 186/23 190/3	no [86] 3/14 6/13 9/5 10/23 11/16 15/3 15/7	
Ms Marriott [5] 73/2	178/10 178/11 178/20			
74/24 78/21 78/25	179/10 180/17 181/5	192/3 192/21	29/4 31/15 33/19 46/6	
79/22	181/9 183/6 183/16	needed [16] 3/25	47/4 47/10 47/12	notably [1] 28/4
Ms McEwan [9] 1/6 93/22 94/2 97/5 99/22	187/24 189/2	6/16 13/9 14/18 14/19		noted [4] 74/24 76/17
101/16 105/12 105/23	myopic [1] 137/25	20/7 62/18 80/12	58/7 59/8 64/19 66/15	I I
184/24	myself [4] 66/3 68/17		66/17 66/25 67/3	nothing [6] 55/20
Ms Tutin [2] 22/24	83/24 155/3	122/4 122/6 129/20 160/20 161/18	68/12 69/21 70/8 74/6 74/25 75/8 75/21	55/23 79/6 79/9 79/19 80/15
26/18	Ν	needs [9] 39/15	78/22 79/3 79/5 92/16	I I
Ms Williams [1] 23/21	naive [1] 106/24	58/18 65/1 82/7 82/20		97/19
Ms Wolfenden [1]	name [8] 1/9 42/5	111/9 131/4 171/16	100/1 100/8 103/14	notwithstanding [2]
100/15	42/8 70/22 88/2 93/22		103/22 107/12 110/11	
much [28] 1/11 1/23	108/10 108/11	negatively [1] 86/3	110/17 112/14 112/15	
7/8 12/25 13/7 17/11	named [5] 10/25 22/1 22/2 61/9 62/17	negotiate [1] 161/11	113/4 118/19 118/24	now [67] 6/25 13/14 13/16 20/4 26/1 31/5
18/18 25/5 48/7 52/18	names [3] 115/9	Neil [5] 41/11 117/4 146/14 171/18 172/3	119/6 123/4 124/16 124/17 127/16 131/1	41/10 55/22 60/1 60/9
58/15 85/11 107/13	115/11 131/22	neither [1] 166/24	131/19 132/25 133/1	60/17 80/23 81/9
107/23 108/12 113/22   113/23 131/7 133/13	narrowed [1] 35/10	net [2] 18/6 18/9	134/17 135/4 136/19	81/10 83/13 84/21
135/24 138/22 140/6	National [4] 110/18	Network [1] 92/12	138/22 140/23 147/16	
144/5 155/22 156/8	111/23 120/8 183/21	never [7] 5/11 50/6	148/3 151/20 152/23	88/16 89/11 90/13
174/4 179/9 193/19	Naturally [1] 68/2	57/18 57/18 95/9	153/5 154/24 156/11	91/3 96/16 97/22
multiple [1] 150/5	nature [7] 23/25 89/19 102/25 116/13	96/14 155/19 Nevertheless [1]	156/11 162/15 163/10 172/8 175/3 188/22	102/1 102/18 103/2 103/24 106/8 106/8
must [4] 23/15	129/14 154/18 172/11		189/23 191/10 192/23	
110/25 156/25 179/6	NBIT [27] 43/5 43/7	new [34] 20/13 20/15	193/10	116/19 116/21 116/23
mutual [1] 47/14 my [133] 2/9 2/10 4/2	43/23 43/24 117/21	25/22 28/9 28/17	nobody [1] 6/21	117/5 117/17 119/20
4/10 4/11 4/15 4/22	117/22 137/14 148/5	37/19 37/21 43/5	nodded [5] 88/6	120/15 120/21 120/22
4/23 7/12 8/19 9/11	148/18 148/22 149/24		88/10 95/21 104/6	
10/12 10/12 10/20	150/6 150/11 150/17 152/3 152/7 152/19	67/20 76/24 122/4 122/16 126/13 128/5	104/10	139/18 148/5 148/9 149/1 152/12 155/22
10/20 12/10 13/5	154/15 156/17 156/18		non [32] 27/5 27/8 27/24 48/9 48/11	156/6 156/21 163/4
	157/15 159/4 162/12	132/11 141/22 145/17	48/15 59/10 59/15	172/2 172/3 172/5
17/23 19/8 24/25 27/13 28/24 30/16	164/1 172/25 173/6	149/13 161/7 164/12	59/22 61/24 63/15	176/1 176/23 178/11
31/1 31/6 31/17 32/5	180/7	164/13 180/9 185/3	63/21 98/11 104/9	179/11 184/19 187/20
	NBIT's [1] 151/3	185/7 185/12 185/12	109/14 113/16 123/11	187/25 189/4 190/19
				68) Mr Staunton's - now

(68) Mr Staunton's... - now

N	57/14 67/7 70/8 70/24	158/2 158/12 162/12	84/16 88/4 88/4 89/8	44/5 46/15 47/7
	71/23 86/12 90/13	166/1 166/16 167/1	92/6 101/18 101/18	opposed [2] 25/14
NR [1] 80/4 number [49] 1/24 3/6	96/2 96/4 106/15	167/13 167/18 168/8	101/19 102/12 105/22	174/7
8/21 35/21 43/5 44/11	181/15 181/24 182/7	168/16 169/5 170/13	113/7 118/5 119/8	option [4] 80/4 80/5
48/10 48/11 48/13	182/19	170/15 171/3 171/5	119/9 119/10 120/16	80/6 80/18
55/11 55/12 55/14	occasions [3] 25/4	171/8 171/10 171/12	128/1 128/18 130/20	optionality [1]
70/14 76/1 76/23	87/16 120/19	171/17 171/20 171/23	130/24 134/11 136/4	162/16
83/12 84/4 84/5 87/7	occur [1] 151/6 occurred [2] 11/3	172/6 172/12 173/11 174/11 174/13 174/19	137/6 137/7 138/9 140/16 141/4 144/10	options [2] 74/19 162/18
87/9 93/23 103/7	122/22	175/3 175/6 175/11	148/1 148/20 150/9	or [156] 1/12 3/5 3/24
105/3 109/14 112/21	occurring [2] 152/13	175/13 177/14 177/17	152/20 155/8 155/10	4/5 5/14 5/17 7/19 8/6
114/4 114/5 116/18 119/7 120/14 120/18	182/23	177/19 180/1 180/6	169/15 177/24 178/2	8/9 8/12 8/23 10/2
122/17 125/20 126/24	October [2] 1/1 67/10	182/10 182/15 183/21	178/2 178/10 178/10	11/17 14/25 15/5
129/7 132/8 133/5	OD [2] 83/15 86/1	183/25 185/15 186/15		15/20 17/22 19/1
133/20 137/1 137/5	odd [2] 35/10 177/6	187/1 187/3 187/13	ones [6] 99/16	21/24 23/11 23/18
138/15 141/3 142/16	off [4] 94/25 119/17	187/15 187/25 188/3	114/22 118/1 131/24	23/25 24/21 27/6 27/8
143/19 148/16 156/24	155/8 193/11	188/7 188/23 188/25 189/21 190/2 190/6	140/3 147/13	28/14 28/15 28/18 29/1 29/10 29/20
173/9 176/20 192/15	offence [2] 152/22 175/19	190/8 190/11 190/15	ongoing [12] 28/17 41/5 66/24 66/25 75/2	30/14 32/17 33/25
number 1 [6] 70/14	offensive [1] 23/25	190/19 192/1	78/9 148/18 151/11	35/5 37/22 39/1 40/16
114/4 137/1 137/5	offer [1] 123/9	Office's [6] 11/11	157/16 159/22 164/4	40/19 41/15 41/21
156/24 176/20 number 11 [1] 133/5	offered [3] 27/5	11/13 78/6 115/2	185/22	42/15 47/17 49/5
number 1 [1] 133/3 number 2 [2] 114/5	84/16 120/20	180/2 180/4	online [1] 14/6	50/13 50/19 51/1
141/3	office [189] 3/1 4/9	Officer [11] 2/19 3/1	only [24] 9/1 12/11	51/13 55/22 57/16
number 3 [3] 125/20	5/17 5/19 6/8 8/25 9/5		19/8 20/24 28/16	58/18 59/18 59/23
138/15 142/16	10/8 10/11 10/15 10/21 11/4 11/24 13/6	51/6 51/9 106/11 106/24 107/4	29/23 35/18 35/23 56/10 61/13 79/13	62/12 63/13 65/9 65/11 65/24 66/10
Number 4 [2] 126/24	14/1 16/12 18/2 18/4	officer's [1] 169/17	82/8 96/16 97/19	68/17 70/2 70/5 70/20
143/19	18/7 19/24 20/5 21/8	Officers [1] 8/23	128/19 133/20 146/19	72/18 72/22 74/7
Number 5 [1] 129/7	21/11 21/18 23/7	official [2] 127/23	154/16 154/17 158/5	74/13 74/19 78/10
number 9 [1] 132/8 numbers [2] 87/1	23/18 24/3 24/10	134/7	167/22 169/15 170/19	79/15 80/19 81/21
87/5	27/10 27/23 28/10	officially [1] 147/18	185/14	88/20 90/8 90/10 93/1
numerous [1] 23/5	31/12 32/3 38/10	officials [2] 127/22	onto [7] 3/18 52/2	100/16 101/19 104/9
	40/13 40/15 40/21	177/20	102/5 114/13 115/13	109/22 110/9 110/22
0	41/17 41/24 41/25 44/10 44/14 44/16	offs [1] 79/24 often [3] 42/2 42/3	137/18 166/4 onward [1] 46/2	110/24 111/1 111/7 113/2 113/10 116/8
object [1] 82/23	44/18 47/13 47/16	151/18	open [5] 7/10 42/15	121/1 121/2 121/22
objected [1] 183/24	50/4 50/12 52/24 53/3		42/20 43/19 189/7	122/18 122/18 122/20
objective [7] 155/8 156/11 156/12 160/17	54/10 55/13 57/17	okay [11] 72/1 87/10	opened [1] 158/18	128/11 128/21 129/2
173/16 179/10 186/14	63/13 64/2 65/4 65/13	87/13 101/4 101/17	openly [1] 28/14	130/17 134/2 134/17
objectives [5] 122/15	66/23 68/25 70/5	105/7 121/23 137/20	openness [1] 44/6	134/20 134/25 135/20
129/24 178/2 178/2	71/18 74/17 78/4	177/7 185/14 186/11	operate [3] 111/25	136/15 138/18 138/20
178/11	79/12 84/5 87/18 88/22 92/20 92/25	old [6] 152/12 155/18 156/2 156/20 160/18	127/9 143/8 operated [2] 157/1	139/2 144/25 146/13 147/16 148/1 151/2
obligation [1] 104/14	93/8 93/10 95/15 98/6		167/7	151/13 152/16 154/10
obligations [5] 168/6 170/7 173/7 190/15	98/9 98/22 101/4	Oldnall [5] 157/8	operates [1] 111/23	154/22 159/11 159/17
192/5	101/24 102/15 103/2	159/1 159/3 159/12	operating [7] 65/3	159/25 160/19 163/13
obliged [1] 33/23	103/12 104/5 104/7	160/11	96/7 114/7 135/24	165/2 166/10 167/9
observation [1] 5/4	104/25 105/21 106/18		143/2 143/7 145/18	167/9 170/5 173/1
observations [1]	109/22 109/22 111/9	on [259]	operation [2] 86/19	173/5 173/6 175/15
4/23	112/4 112/7 112/8 114/25 110/7 110/18	onboarding [5] 6/10 6/19 9/24 14/1 16/16	116/16	175/19 176/4 176/10
observer [1] 158/16	114/25 119/7 119/18 120/4 121/2 121/3	once [11] 22/12	operational [7] 2/5 2/16 67/25 73/7 73/9	177/1 178/18 178/24 182/4 182/22 183/17
obtain [2] 25/11	121/3 121/10 122/2	62/15 116/3 116/3	73/22 138/25	183/22 183/24 184/1
174/11 obtained [2] 115/16	122/7 123/17 127/18	126/16 131/19 142/18		184/10 184/13 187/1
122/18	128/10 132/6 132/16	153/23 182/11 183/20	85/16 122/6	188/9 188/9 188/12
obtaining [1] 11/15	133/6 134/18 135/20	184/15	opinion [7] 30/23	188/13 188/14 188/14
obvious [7] 6/14	136/7 137/24 138/17	one [66] 6/22 6/24	33/16 44/15 120/24	188/16 188/18 188/24
62/17 118/21 119/6	140/17 141/19 142/2	7/2 7/5 16/6 21/19	166/22 166/24 166/25	190/10 191/17
131/1 166/18 174/22	142/3 143/15 144/13 144/22 145/9 145/11	26/8 28/23 32/11 33/19 35/23 36/2 38/2	opinions [2] 139/7 139/13	order [5] 70/7 70/8 126/20 151/8 161/4
obviously [23] 2/10	145/16 145/23 147/3	40/14 44/15 48/10	opportunities [1]	organisation [37]
3/9 19/8 19/23 19/25 21/2 28/23 51/7 52/22	150/7 150/10 153/23	52/11 66/5 66/7 76/10		6/11 8/12 9/4 10/5
	153/24 154/2 154/3	76/14 77/14 78/24	opportunity [4] 40/22	
				(69) NR - organisation

(69) NR - organisation

0	173/16 177/8 183/12	40/20	114/16	3/4
	ours [1] 134/23	<b>OW</b> [1] 80/4	page 52 [1] 65/23	paragraphs 153 [1]
organisation [29] 28/7 29/14 33/2 33/7	ourselves [2] 94/9	owe [1] 71/9	page 6 [1] 157/6	65/15
35/14 42/14 53/7	162/23	Owen [6] 35/3 35/7	page 7 [4] 3/18 16/6	paragraphs 20.4 [1]
54/22 55/2 57/20 58/3	out [43] 11/25 12/24	61/10 67/23 68/6 68/9		188/6
64/25 83/17 84/17	13/22 14/2 21/23	own [17] 13/20 19/8	page 74 [1] 1/16	paragraphs 25 [1]
100/11 101/12 102/24	26/18 26/25 54/8	30/7 30/14 34/13	paints [1] 40/25	13/22
103/10 104/19 106/6	55/12 56/8 56/8 56/21 61/3 62/10 62/13	50/13 53/1 69/19 93/25 96/5 116/9	panel [6] 22/14 22/14 70/22 70/25 71/3 74/2	paragraphs 29 [1] 27/1
119/10 125/17 126/8	69/24 71/8 71/12 72/3	123/20 135/4 145/20	paper [8] 54/2 73/4	parameters [1] 25/21
129/10 132/2 133/18	73/4 77/14 87/10	160/18 175/18 191/19		Pardon [1] 145/13
143/1 171/9 173/22	96/14 98/16 107/17	owned [1] 142/10	100/2 157/8 159/1	Parliament [3] 44/12
organisational [4] 38/19 39/10 75/19	110/1 117/15 123/13	owner [1] 17/13	papers [1] 59/21	65/25 88/18
76/22	125/24 129/5 136/2	ownership [1] 52/13	paragraph [40] 3/19	Parliamentary [1]
organisations [6] 2/5	153/23 156/22 156/23		4/7 4/8 27/2 27/25	44/19
9/3 48/24 172/23	157/8 161/6 162/16	161/23 161/24 162/1	28/20 29/6 31/23 34/2	part [31] 2/15 2/16
178/3 178/5	171/15 172/14 181/21 185/17 186/17 191/25	Ρ	37/16 42/13 44/13	5/15 22/14 32/1 58/11 64/17 65/17 66/2 85/3
organise [1] 39/23	outcome [3] 37/17	pace [2] 136/22	46/16 51/25 52/10 56/25 57/22 58/8	91/19 92/3 101/14
organised [1] 165/25	52/21 166/18	143/20	65/23 71/5 83/8 83/25	101/21 104/25 105/3
orientate [1] 94/8	outcome' [1] 52/12	pack [2] 119/23	94/4 94/8 94/9 114/18	115/1 120/7 126/11
original [6] 4/16	outcomes [3] 74/5	166/11	114/24 124/20 124/21	135/9 138/22 143/24
29/21 36/1 84/2 148/11 149/8	134/23 155/24	package [4] 21/9	134/13 147/8 148/6	148/23 153/17 154/22
originally [5] 67/22	outdated [1] 28/2	23/5 23/7 55/1	169/12 169/13 170/11	154/24 155/20 158/9
67/23 70/20 70/21	outgoing [1] 132/12	packages [1] 21/3	171/1 171/1 184/21	184/14 185/8 187/8
98/19	outlined [3] 63/17	pad [1] 115/22	187/12 187/12	Participants [1] 92/8
other [56] 4/20 5/10	75/1 75/6	page [64] 1/16 3/16 3/17 3/18 16/4 16/6	paragraph 12 [1]	particular [28] 2/15
5/15 6/7 7/24 9/15	outlining [1] 159/1	16/6 17/25 22/17	114/18 Baragraph 12 [1]	8/24 10/23 12/3 19/23 23/10 26/16 32/8
9/25 11/22 15/8 21/2	outside [4] 25/20 63/22 78/13 145/20	22/22 22/22 24/8 26/1	Paragraph 13 [1]	32/23 34/16 34/18
21/15 24/23 26/12	outsourced [1]	26/14 37/14 40/10	paragraph 164 [1]	42/5 49/22 57/9 58/11
33/17 36/10 40/6	101/19	46/14 50/21 52/3 52/9	29/6	62/11 64/5 68/8 69/11
41/21 44/4 44/15 48/24 48/24 49/4 51/4	over [52] 13/13 16/4	56/17 59/9 60/3 63/3	paragraph 20 [2]	70/11 73/17 92/18
52/14 53/19 53/20	16/5 16/5 24/8 25/15	65/23 69/22 70/18	187/12 187/12	111/20 111/22 112/4
56/11 58/16 66/10	34/12 37/14 40/7 40/8	71/2 72/20 78/3 83/4	paragraph 21 [1]	116/15 130/22 180/14
69/24 71/6 72/13	40/10 41/11 46/14	97/2 97/5 97/6 97/17	3/19	particularised [3]
73/14 76/14 77/17	46/22 48/1 50/20	102/1 108/18 108/18	paragraph 212 [2]	29/25 30/1 30/9
85/22 101/1 103/4	71/18 77/1 81/16	114/15 114/16 114/17 115/15 115/24 116/5		particularly [17] 32/3 32/9 32/21 47/5 53/2
104/24 106/17 112/2	81/20 83/4 104/22	118/12 119/24 123/19	paragraph 22 [1] 4/7	53/8 54/13 61/7
118/3 122/17 125/11	114/17 116/5 119/16	125/19 125/20 136/2	27/2	113/17 124/19 139/11
129/18 130/17 131/1	120/25 121/17 123/19	140/14 147/6 157/6	Paragraph 3 [2]	139/15 141/9 147/11
132/13 140/21 142/4	124/23 125/19 125/19	158/22 158/23 161/10	37/16 71/5	155/14 165/21 173/23
143/4 156/24 166/6 167/6 171/19 175/16	131/20 132/18 136/2	167/14 169/11 169/22		parties [5] 153/21
other' [1] 52/15	145/6 147/6 148/7	169/23 179/19 179/20	27/25 28/20	154/2 154/5 162/17
others [4] 15/13 24/4	149/22 151/25 152/7	187/9 187/11	paragraph 4 [1]	167/13
92/15 172/19	154/4 161/10 167/14	page 1 [2] 97/2 97/5	134/13	parts [4] 9/12 9/15
otherwise [2] 65/24	169/11 169/22 170/25 179/19 181/16	page 109 [1] 119/24 page 11 [3] 71/2	paragraph 56 [1] 184/21	89/16 95/7
182/4	over-rely [1] 104/22	158/22 158/23	Paragraph 70 [1]	party [1] 157/22 passage [2] 71/5
ought [2] 25/5 183/11	over-spent [1]	page 12 [1] 26/14	51/25	72/18
our [43] 13/1 17/20	151/25	page 15 [3] 22/22	paragraph 71 [1]	passages [3] 27/1
42/9 45/19 51/16 54/6	overall [5] 37/17 52/6		52/10	72/25 169/23
61/18 71/9 71/10 72/11 77/13 78/13	102/10 122/1 129/8	page 19 [2] 115/15	paragraph 77 [1]	passed [3] 37/3
86/20 91/9 93/12 99/9	overcome [2] 36/3	118/12	31/23	119/19 152/6
101/14 101/17 101/17	144/8	page 24 [2] 52/3 52/9	paragraph 79 [1]	passing [1] 150/15
102/15 102/15 115/13	overcoming [1]	page 28 [1] 115/24	34/2	past [33] 16/19 41/10
128/4 130/12 134/24	173/12	page 3 [1] 72/20 page 32 [2] 187/9	paragraph 99 [1] 42/13	46/13 54/17 66/19
135/4 137/9 137/16	oversight [1] 22/14 overtly [1] 138/18	187/11	42/13 paragraphs [8] 3/4	66/21 67/15 68/17 69/5 69/9 70/17 71/12
141/11 141/13 147/12	Overturned [1] 88/11	page 33 [1] 108/18	13/22 22/23 27/1	72/2 72/19 72/21 73/5
153/17 156/11 160/17	overwhelmed [1]	page 40 [1] 17/25	65/15 72/19 97/8	74/14 75/1 76/7 85/14
160/18 162/20 164/12	125/13	page 5 [6] 22/17 26/1	188/6	89/11 93/3 102/22
164/19 173/6 173/14	overwhelming [1]	50/21 102/1 114/15	Paragraphs 15 [1]	105/18 106/8 114/22

(70) organisation... - past

	400/5 400/40 404/40	400/0 474/40 475/40	400/00 407/47 407/47	
P	103/5 103/10 104/18	139/3 171/13 175/16	126/20 127/17 127/17	
past [7] 115/5	105/19 106/11 106/17		128/4 128/8 139/22	161/6
120/7 137/23 144/24	106/24 107/1 107/4	24/17 105/13 123/20	139/24 143/7 145/15	pointing' [1] 24/7
147/11 147/22 148/8	113/8 113/17 125/15	personally [8] 13/20	149/7 149/8 149/10	points [1] 160/10
Patel [1] 123/16	129/25 132/1 143/9	16/2 57/13 57/25	159/2 160/6 160/18	POL's [1] 180/14
path [1] 160/7	143/13 143/16 145/10		173/1 181/18 183/4	POL00000254 [1]
Patterson [5] 166/8	145/18 146/1 146/2	147/14	183/19 183/20 183/20	187/9
166/19 170/23 172/4	149/7 149/16 154/14	personnel [2] 151/2	183/23 184/4 184/9	POL00446477 [1]
	155/11 156/6 177/18	171/20	184/11 184/16 186/19	
190/4	178/22 179/1 179/2	perspective [8] 9/22	planned [1] 129/5	POL00446673 [1]
Paul [3] 169/4 172/4	179/11 184/7 184/9	20/3 23/14 35/7 62/21		36/20
172/19	189/4	68/4 81/5 103/22	131/15	POL00447854 [2]
pause [4] 55/24 56/2	people's [4] 40/25	pertained [1] 30/2	plans [8] 18/25 19/1	97/2 97/5
56/12 84/1	61/20 88/7 184/8	perverse [1] 152/11	19/1 19/3 25/24 82/4	POL00448308 [1]
pausing [2] 27/11	per [20] 18/6 18/9	Pete [1] 51/8	84/19 142/17	69/4
157/21	115/20 115/21 115/22		platform [10] 131/5	POL00448411 [1]
pay [15] 22/18 22/21		171/5 182/21	131/10 131/11 157/11	
23/2 23/15 24/2 24/6	115/22 116/1 116/2			44/8
24/13 24/22 25/2 25/6	116/3 116/6 116/17	Phase 2 [1] 148/10	159/7 159/10 159/12	POL00448519 [1]
25/11 25/14 40/19	118/13 118/19 142/10		160/2 161/7 163/23	147/2
55/1 132/6	145/7 145/9 154/14	182/21	play [1] 79/24	POL00448599 [1]
paying [2] 161/7	154/16 191/11 191/14		pleasant [1] 4/5	59/25
191/19	perceived [2] 63/18	66/19 66/21 68/11	pleasantly [1] 121/18	
payment [2] 82/1	75/11	68/12 68/16 68/18	please [83] 1/9 1/13	70/16
177/17	perception [10] 19/8	68/24 69/6 89/11	1/16 3/16 10/22 12/6	POL00448624 [1]
	42/14 42/15 60/15	89/13 90/8 90/17 91/4	16/4 16/5 17/20 21/22	
payments [3] 86/13	70/3 74/24 77/8 78/24	physically [1] 41/16	22/17 26/14 27/1 29/9	POL00448641 [1]
137/8 180/16	81/4 89/24	picture [2] 40/25	32/1 34/5 36/20 36/23	
peak [1] 13/13	perfect [2] 53/11	153/14	37/14 38/7 40/10 44/7	
penny [1] 111/25	53/14	PIDA [1] 152/22	46/14 48/8 50/20	158/14
penultimate [1]	perfectly [1] 56/4	piece [1] 54/2	50/25 52/10 55/17	POL00448653 [2]
147/8	perform [1] 131/6	pin [2] 76/14 115/22	56/15 56/17 56/20	55/18 56/15
people [149] 2/14	performance [10] 8/1		59/9 59/25 62/9 63/3	POL00448681 [1]
2/17 2/19 3/1 3/7 3/11	8/4 8/12 10/14 33/1	60/2 60/5 61/1 62/14	65/22 69/22 70/15	122/22
3/12 5/8 5/15 6/7 6/15	33/6 41/12 53/13		70/18 71/2 72/16	
6/20 7/5 7/11 7/11		pinpoint [1] 76/11		POL00448785 [1]
7/24 8/3 8/5 8/11 8/19	83/12 144/7	Pinsent [1] 150/6	72/20 77/4 82/24 83/4	
8/23 9/13 9/15 10/4	performed [4] 70/2	place [33] 12/8 15/6	93/25 94/8 96/24 97/7	
10/5 10/14 14/3 14/5	70/4 71/11 153/15	24/15 25/8 29/4 36/7	102/1 102/6 102/12	92/8
14/19 15/10 15/12	performing [2] 8/5	39/11 39/12 40/15	108/10 108/17 114/13	
15/16 16/17 16/20	106/25	40/18 58/14 82/4	114/15 114/17 115/13	
16/22 17/3 17/17	perhaps [23] 25/25	85/11 90/12 94/20	115/15 115/24 116/5	
19/21 21/8 25/24	50/18 56/6 66/16	96/2 97/14 97/23 98/2		
32/24 33/4 33/22	66/18 135/21 139/8	98/19 98/20 102/2	125/7 125/19 125/20	POL00458453 [1]
	140/14 142/4 143/13	103/24 111/12 131/17	130/25 132/8 133/5	15/11
35/15 36/18 38/25	146/17 149/6 149/8	137/13 142/17 144/19	139/17 140/12 140/15	POL00458458 [1]
39/17 39/24 41/14	151/22 151/24 162/1	155/15 155/17 159/6	142/23 147/9 148/13	50/18
41/16 41/20 43/20	162/2 162/22 166/4	161/25 173/18	157/2 157/6 158/22	POL00458463 [2]
44/4 44/9 44/11 46/10	172/18 184/15 184/15		164/22 166/7 167/14	101/16 101/16
52/21 53/8 53/13	187/8	plain [2] 142/22	179/19	POL00460000 [1]
53/16 54/7 54/9 54/13	period [15] 3/11 8/7	142/24	pm [5] 108/2 108/4	62/9
54/17 54/20 61/14	11/16 13/13 48/6		164/23 164/25 193/22	
61/15 62/16 64/9 65/9	61/18 61/19 81/15	15/19 15/24 16/2 16/4	noint [/2] 8/12 11/2	148/13
67/14 67/24 70/12	81/20 82/5 90/16		12/24 41/7 41/20 47/9	
71/22 72/11 72/13				
72/21 76/1 76/8 77/8	90/22 157/15 170/6		60/16 60/20 74/1 78/3	
79/7 79/20 81/14	190/20	83/19 84/9 86/1 97/4		
81/24 82/5 82/9 82/18	periodically [1]	97/11 97/14 97/21	87/8 98/10 98/15	128/6
84/4 84/16 85/8 85/10	149/22	97/24 98/1 98/1 98/12		polarity [4] 122/7
85/13 85/16 85/17	permanent [7] 27/6	98/14 99/3 99/18	141/1 142/17 143/6	122/16 145/4 145/17
86/9 87/1 87/9 88/17	28/18 28/19 111/8	100/2 100/6 100/18	148/17 149/8 153/1	police [17] 165/23
89/1 90/5 93/11 93/14	111/11 126/20 154/16		154/14 154/14 159/8	165/24 166/16 167/18
95/9 97/4 97/4 97/11	permission [1] 81/8	102/18 117/20 119/18		168/21 168/23 169/15
98/8 100/6 100/6	persist [1] 30/15		161/12 162/10 163/10	
100/11 100/18 101/1	persisted [1] 57/3	120/23 121/1 121/3	175/20 175/22 176/3	174/1 174/11 175/7
101/3 101/4 101/5	person [7] 17/22	121/4 121/5 121/11	177/23 184/18 186/16	176/4 176/16 176/20
101/3 101/4 101/3	62/20 103/5 132/5	121/11 122/15 126/13	189/10	176/25
				(71) nast – nolico

(71) past... - police

Р	175/15 189/8 189/19	preparing [1] 59/21	35/25 76/9 118/21	149/21 151/14 151/24
	189/24	present [11] 9/6	119/8 119/9 150/11	151/25
policies [2] 103/24	postmasters [56]	42/23 42/25 43/2	189/21	project's [1] 151/4
103/25	10/6 10/17 16/13	45/10 73/12 83/14	procedures [1] 75/23	projects [1] 151/18
policy [7] 8/9 26/22 83/10 104/2 104/2	16/25 19/2 19/10 41/3	85/6 158/14 160/7	proceeding [1]	promise [2] 54/3
104/12 104/20	41/23 44/4 44/15	164/2	131/10	54/25
	48/23 49/10 57/3	presentation [1]	proceedings [2]	prompt [1] 82/1
political [5] 39/2 39/4 73/7 73/10 73/23	60/13 62/22 63/12	72/24	166/22 167/25	promptly [2] 168/6
	64/1 64/22 70/13	presented [6] 74/19	process [42] 3/14	168/10
politics [1] 124/7	98/10 98/15 98/17	74/20 97/18 129/3	6/13 6/14 6/18 8/9	proper [7] 118/10
<b>poor [5]</b> 8/11 8/12 40/12 129/18 152/16	99/7 100/22 101/13	149/14 160/6	13/21 15/6 28/2 28/17	128/24 140/24 147/22
popular [1] 112/25	103/1 104/18 106/7	presenting [2] 129/2	28/19 29/1 38/25	148/2 167/21 190/22
populate [2] 142/20	107/5 117/6 119/19	149/16	39/12 74/10 80/11	properly [14] 5/6
143/6	122/5 122/8 122/11	preside [1] 158/20	81/10 84/15 88/22	14/20 16/17 56/13
population [10]	122/16 128/5 141/22	pressure [9] 3/13	88/22 88/25 89/25	81/22 116/11 117/8
10/19 17/2 34/3 34/10	144/22 145/17 146/3	11/20 23/15 23/19	91/19 92/4 110/20	122/11 127/5 128/24
36/15 38/6 65/14	161/19 168/8 168/14	35/11 73/23 76/2 76/3		142/11 184/1 187/17
85/14 93/11 99/12	168/17 169/1 170/14	125/23	129/19 131/21 147/23	188/8
posed [1] 76/19	170/17 172/7 179/3	pressures [3] 7/4	148/2 171/4 176/19	property [1] 4/13
poses [1] 72/5	184/22 185/4 186/20	101/2 124/6	179/2 179/6 186/5	proportion [1]
position [24] 13/17	189/16 190/9 191/11	presumably [3]	188/5 189/2 189/4	118/14
19/13 22/18 26/9	192/16	10/10 31/12 103/7	189/5 189/10 189/19	proposal [1] 159/2
60/20 81/23 91/16	postmasters' [1]	pretty [6] 88/1 113/23		proposals [8] 183/24
91/18 96/3 111/8	164/17	120/10 140/6 182/18	27/9 29/13 35/13	183/24 184/13 185/9
111/14 113/20 155/4	potential [6] 55/7	182/22	38/18 39/7 54/15	185/18 185/22 186/11
157/9 158/8 164/2	74/5 77/18 85/14	prevalent [3] 63/12	58/14 75/23 91/10	186/17
168/19 169/7 177/16	86/11 86/13	64/2 64/23	102/16 115/4 162/9	proposed [6] 157/14
181/5 182/3 182/11	potentially [6] 26/23	prevent [2] 63/14	163/3 168/11 170/3	160/10 161/2 161/4
190/1 190/11	64/20 76/8 160/1	124/24	189/17 192/16 192/18	163/18 183/23
positions [5] 13/4	165/2 165/3	previous [4] 28/24	processing [3] 76/19	
109/11 109/15 143/17	power [1] 58/8	48/14 51/11 118/5	77/2 163/14	189/8
153/14	PR [3] 11/2 11/6	previously [13] 9/2	procurement [2]	prosecute [1] 175/4
positive [3] 41/22	12/20	21/25 22/2 29/10	148/11 159/11	prosecuting [1] 174/25
50/6 99/9	practice [10] 20/3 37/7 51/1 51/3 52/19	29/11 51/10 58/13 74/15 77/15 85/13	produce [1] 188/8	
possession [1]	86/10 138/12 139/2	136/21 167/4 179/25	produced [1] 168/1	prosecution [3] 77/10 165/5 165/11
175/11	162/7 186/24	primarily [1] 6/20	producing [1] 27/22	prosecutions [3]
possibilities [1] 79/4	prostings [2] 5//6		proficient [1] 21/14 profound [1] 184/25	168/21 169/25 173/13
possibility [4] 100/13	practices [2] 54/6 54/15	principal [1] 35/9 principle [4] 48/17	programme [20] 5/12	
162/10 162/14 165/3	pre [7] 12/7 14/1	186/21 186/22 186/23		166/16
possible [15] 21/13	14/12 24/3 36/24 81/7	principles [2] 48/25	99/10 101/22 117/21	prospect [1] 181/15
38/24 55/10 94/5	134/1	145/5	117/22 134/10 137/15	prospective [1]
100/9 104/21 137/11	pre-dated [1] 24/3	prior [8] 14/4 14/12	139/18 148/23 149/24	
164/8 164/11 164/16	pre-dates [1] 134/1	95/23 96/22 111/5	151/13 152/18 154/1	protect [1] 66/9
173/18 174/8 188/12	pre-existing [1]	150/20 156/12 172/25		protected [1] 46/3
188/18 188/24	14/12	priorities [9] 97/12	programs [1] 179/8	proved [1] 152/22
possibly [8] 29/17	pre-joining [1] 14/1	136/23 137/1 137/2	progress [12] 7/16	provide [16] 88/13
43/14 96/23 143/11	pre-the [2] 36/24	137/6 137/16 179/25	44/6 53/16 72/11	102/16 102/21 103/9
153/19 167/20 173/9	81/7	180/9 180/19	81/22 91/3 91/5	103/16 161/5 166/2
173/10	precision [1] 161/18	prioritise [1] 171/11	107/13 148/21 150/11	
post [195]	preconditions [1]	priority [2] 17/15	152/1 169/21	168/20 168/23 173/7
post-March [1] 172/8	119/11	137/9	progressed [1] 91/14	173/12 177/5 190/7
post-my [1] 178/11 postmaster [35]	predecessor [3]	probably [21] 6/23	progressing [1]	provided [12] 14/25
10/18 13/2 13/10	23/20 46/23 118/20	8/18 15/13 20/25	148/24	45/25 115/7 121/8
17/11 17/14 41/25	predominant [1]	21/16 32/17 32/19	progression [2]	123/2 123/6 123/7
43/16 45/1 45/12	38/12	35/7 62/24 67/9 67/10		151/17 160/13 165/13
45/15 48/15 49/7 49/8	predominantly [1]	68/6 68/6 68/8 70/13	project [28] 46/12	170/7 179/25
49/11 49/23 50/9	189/24	97/9 103/21 104/16	46/13 56/16 60/2 60/5	providing [3] 98/7
59/10 59/22 63/15	Preetha [1] 130/5	105/16 129/9 143/13	61/1 62/14 66/18	166/23 181/1
63/20 70/3 70/23	preparation [2] 17/24		66/20 68/11 68/12	proving [1] 112/24
77/10 98/11 99/12	45/4	32/14 99/17 128/14	68/16 68/24 69/5 69/9	provision [2] 92/13
99/16 106/21 111/4	prepared [5] 128/3	139/6	72/19 74/14 76/8	163/17
130/11 170/9 170/21	150/1 186/25 187/1	problematic [1] 48/3	89/11 89/13 90/8	provoke [1] 182/16
	191/3	problems [8] 32/8	90/17 91/4 149/1	Proximity [1] 38/18
•	•	•	•	(72) policios - Provimity

(72) policies - Proximity

Р	160/19	rational [1] 129/12	76/15 129/8 130/20	74/21 76/8
psychiatric [1]	questions [30] 7/10	RCC [1] 135/2	130/24 168/2 177/18	redeployment [3]
185/23	41/20 53/7 67/6 67/9 73/1 92/7 93/18 93/19	re [1] 143/25	189/15 reassuring [1]	74/8 74/13 75/14 redesign [1] 143/25
psychology [1] 2/2	93/24 95/25 96/8	143/25	168/14	redesigning [1]
public [9] 11/9 21/3	96/17 102/9 105/12	reached [1] 64/3	rebuilding [1] 163/10	76/21
21/20 70/4 72/12	105/25 107/15 107/15	react [1] 32/19	<b>Recaldin [8]</b> 72/24	redress [23] 72/6
74/24 129/19 161/19 182/8	115/3 124/1 124/3	reacting [1] 121/19	73/2 73/3 76/17 79/22	75/13 75/22 76/1
publicly [1] 171/6	133/9 171/19 181/9	read [52] 3/10 3/17	82/18 83/6 178/8	76/19 77/2 77/13
published [2] 1/25	181/10 181/11 181/13		recall [7] 33/19 43/10	77/25 78/5 78/20 82/2
108/25	192/23 192/24 193/2	20/12 22/19 22/23	43/22 62/6 123/8	82/21 84/24 85/9 86/4 177/9 177/15 177/17
pull [1] 120/12	quick [1] 182/18 quicker [1] 82/7	22/25 23/4 23/8 23/10 24/1 24/4 29/19 29/23		177/24 178/7 178/18
purchased [1] 2/23	quickly [10] 32/19	30/4 37/15 44/19	receive [1] 3/5	180/23 181/2
purpose [14] 2/25	67/13 82/6 120/11	46/10 46/21 46/24	received [12] 23/16	reduce [2] 76/24
15/11 37/23 46/19 109/21 132/3 140/16	121/18 137/13 148/2	47/22 52/20 55/6	104/4 114/13 123/2	114/2
144/11 144/17 144/18	179/9 179/10 188/21	55/12 60/3 60/9 60/13		reduction [1] 76/18
144/20 144/21 145/5	quite [41] 6/7 9/8	71/5 72/18 72/25	150/14 153/7 154/10	redundancy [4]
145/16	11/22 14/22 16/14	94/15 95/3 95/20	165/21 169/14	79/12 79/16 81/11
purposes [1] 175/22	18/10 20/18 20/21 21/5 34/11 34/22	96/11 96/20 123/8	recent [5] 49/8	81/13
pursues [3] 168/16	35/12 35/17 38/22	165/10 165/16 166/8	120/13 122/18 158/19 165/10	refer [7] 30/13 30/13
169/6 170/14	53/22 64/6 73/13	169/22 171/24 176/12		39/3 54/17 94/7 98/3
pursuit [1] 168/4	73/16 76/13 87/7 91/8			100/14
put [13] 15/6 39/24 78/5 111/12 122/8	99/8 113/15 116/18	190/5	86/20 99/4 130/1	reference [15] 1/24
135/25 147/22 159/6	118/14 124/16 124/18	Read's [4] 23/16	184/22	14/3 63/25 69/6 71/20
173/18 181/17 186/17	126/23 127/13 132/4	23/22 24/13 30/21	recognise [5] 71/10	71/24 75/1 75/6 97/1
187/20 189/4	135/14 137/5 138/9	reading [3] 14/2 64/5	124/19 138/10 139/10	98/21 100/20 124/21
putting [1] 7/14	141/4 146/7 168/25	76/6	154/12	149/8 166/9 180/15
Q	172/16 173/21 178/8 190/17 190/20	ready [1] 153/23 real [6] 10/23 40/22	recollection [1] 69/16	<b>referenced [1]</b> 59/13 <b>references [1]</b> 39/3
qualified [6] 33/21	R	99/1 125/23 130/24	recommend [3] 74/5	referred [8] 12/10
33/25 68/7 89/2 109/1		178/20	78/22 107/7	15/16 26/7 26/11
149/23	race [1] 26/21 Railton [19] 20/15	Realistically [1]	recommendation [6]	26/12 34/20 52/1
quality [9] 14/16 27/4	29/2 108/6 108/8	113/21	24/9 24/15 73/4 76/20 80/1 80/21	
37/8 43/7 84/22 85/8	108/11 108/12 1/7/0	reality [3] 42/16 42/18 42/19	recommendations	referring [4] 43/1 49/12 84/7 165/17
120/19 120/23 152/10				
quarter [2] 136/15 136/15	165/1 179/22 179/25	really [39] 6/15 10/13	114/5 139/19	reflect [2] 23/23
quartile [1] 20/25	181/15 186/21 192/7	15/18 39/6 41/22 49/9	recommended [3]	37/11
quashed [1] 88/8	193/3 193/19 194/10	49/19 50/7 50/8 52/18		reflection [1] 70/7
queried [4] 159/9	railway [1] 109/4	78/14 81/16 82/12	reconcile [1] 148/25	reflections [1]
160/4 160/22 161/2	raise [2] 46/9 83/11 raised [21] 21/25	84/12 102/24 104/21 117/15 120/20 125/22	record [2] 186/8 187/17	137/19
queries [1] 179/7	28/14 63/8 65/16 83/5	129/13 129/21 133/21		refused [1] 127/15 refuses [1] 74/12
query [1] 11/24	83/23 85/5 85/25	141/14 142/12 142/14		regard [7] 27/17
querying [2] 160/9 161/10	124/3 133/15 135/17	144/9 146/12 146/14	recover [2] 170/16	32/23 33/18 57/13
question [29] 10/10	150/5 151/13 152/3	146/19 149/13 162/3	190/19	76/9 131/18 153/16
30/24 32/25 53/8 85/4	154/1 154/6 161/12	162/9 183/1 185/2	recoveries [8]	regarded [1] 5/23
86/7 89/3 89/16 94/3	1/1/3 1/3/25 181/15	185/2 185/11 186/10	168/13 168/16 169/6	regarding [15] 25/6
95/13 96/21 98/4	185/19	189/9 189/19	170/14 170/16 170/19	
100/5 106/3 106/13	raises [1] 14/7 raising [3] 13/25	reason [14] 7/24 15/17 35/18 43/17	173/2 173/13 recruit [3] 13/4 21/13	85/25 88/25 94/18 94/21 95/16 129/22
107/3 130/19 133/19	13/25 153/7	66/10 80/10 90/18	54/9	165/11 168/4 171/16
149/16 173/3 173/14	ran [1] 34/8	96/16 99/15 125/15	recruited [2] 13/14	180/23
175/5 175/6 180/18 183/12 186/4 187/24	range [1] 103/3	136/13 136/23 149/9	143/9	regards [2] 44/1
190/18 190/23	ranks [1] 2/22	176/24	recruiting [1] 112/21	115/19
questioned [13] 1/8	rapid [1] 171/16	reasonable [2]	recruitment [8] 9/19	regular [2] 41/9 41/9
93/21 106/1 108/9	rated [1] 75/10	172/20 172/20	27/9 28/17 28/22 54/6	regularly [2] 42/3
115/18 181/12 183/10	rather [12] 53/25	reasonably [1]	54/14 102/13 102/15	91/15
194/4 194/6 194/8	72/6 78/5 90/6 101/7 106/6 106/24 117/4	173/18	recruits [1] 76/24	rehired [2] 92/20 92/21
194/12 194/14 194/16	146/4 152/10 156/2	reasoning [2] 26/25 158/4	redactions [1] 46/18 redeployed [1] 77/19	reinforce [1] 14/5
questioning [1]				
J	158/2			
J. J		reasons [9] 7/4 7/5	redeploying [2]	reinforced [1] 171/8

(73) psychiatric - reinforced

R	47/21 55/16 62/4	represented [1]	47/1	140/22 140/23 144/17
	67/18 75/7 75/8	34/11	responded [1]	145/3 145/21 146/6
reiterated [1] 161/8 relate [3] 68/24 72/19	135/16	reprocure [1] 163/24	191/12	146/8 146/21 146/23
103/1	remind [3] 55/10	reputation [3] 5/21	responds [1] 169/10	153/17 154/22 154/24
related [5] 29/15	66/3 83/24	96/13 186/12	response [3] 26/17	155/24 164/14 183/20
29/23 67/7 68/3 102/9	remit [6] 19/15 91/7	request [5] 31/1	169/9 170/23	185/8 192/16
relates [7] 11/1 66/5	98/5 128/4 128/4 132/4	171/15 171/16 182/17 191/3	responses [1] 115/19	reviewed [2] 14/17 38/20
66/7 83/5 95/13	remitting [1] 167/9	requested [1] 168/21	responsibilities [2]	reviewing [2] 135/10
126/24 127/6	remotely [1] 193/16	requesting [1] 160/8	10/3 39/16	189/16
relating [13] 26/4	removed [1] 160/25	requests [4] 24/12	responsibility [20]	reviews [2] 120/14
28/13 68/23 71/18	removing [1] 81/14	44/22 45/8 173/23	10/20 10/21 17/1 17/7	122/18
84/10 92/25 93/1 148/18 150/5 152/3	remuneration [11]	require [2] 15/9	27/12 27/13 28/21	revised [3] 143/7
152/20 152/21 162/9	19/14 19/17 19/19	174/16	40/2 42/11 43/24 45/2	149/7 149/10
relation [22] 21/24	20/18 20/20 20/24	required [11] 4/17	52/7 55/1 56/23 61/11	
22/3 22/6 22/20 22/21	21/3 21/9 21/14 21/18		61/14 68/7 93/2	2/23 2/24 14/8 16/20
73/5 75/22 76/7 77/25	23/5	126/18 127/20 142/21		21/12 31/19 34/25
78/20 83/10 90/8 91/3	rental [1] 4/13	148/1 165/23 170/3	responsible [16]	35/5 36/7 39/24 39/24
107/4 150/15 151/18	reorganisation [1] 79/11	173/8 requirement [2] 27/7	6/19 6/25 7/12 27/22 38/3 39/19 44/25	42/24 51/17 54/4 54/10 54/10 54/13
152/25 153/3 161/19	repeat [3] 30/24	73/15	45/12 52/4 52/22	64/11 65/5 65/11 72/1
164/3 164/7 183/19	66/11 99/20	requirements [9]	59/20 89/19 89/20	74/18 74/22 82/4
relationship [21]	repeated [1] 33/3	32/16 76/13 78/11	89/23 139/3 139/20	85/24 87/10 87/13
5/10 10/16 17/8 18/5	repeatedly [1] 192/17	82/13 82/17 85/12	rest [1] 50/23	87/23 88/2 89/5 89/10
18/11 18/20 18/22 19/11 24/20 49/16	repeating [1] 85/4	142/15 156/1 171/21	restorative [3]	91/7 95/12 97/2 99/19
50/16 94/22 95/19	replace [1] 13/15	requires [2] 144/16	184/19 185/9 185/18	105/7 106/2 107/10
100/24 126/21 133/15	replaced [2] 13/17	179/2	restore [2] 186/12	107/19 109/5 110/5
140/25 141/5 141/8	81/25	research [1] 155/5	186/14	121/9 121/20 121/23
171/25 172/11	replacement [2]	reset [3] 128/5	restructuring [1] 79/11	126/3 127/17 128/4 128/8 132/18 135/9
relationships [2]	112/3 154/15 replied [2] 160/11	154/20 155/20 resetting [3] 117/21	result [8] 11/3 58/5	120/0 132/10 135/9
17/14 174/7	160/23	144/16 144/18	69/17 75/4 123/12	145/18 145/19 145/19
relative [1] 4/3	report [35] 10/25	resign [1] 23/11	146/23 152/25 169/19	146/20 151/14 155/15
relevance [1] 47/5 relevant [20] 2/10	17/21 17/22 17/23	resigned [1] 132/25	resulting [2] 152/21	155/19 169/24 170/1
2/25 22/5 35/15 53/3	21/23 22/7 25/25 26/2	resilience [1] 180/5	166/18	170/2 172/21 173/18
63/16 63/23 72/13	26/3 26/18 36/21	resist [1] 56/11	results [15] 37/11	174/5 174/17 174/24
72/13 109/21 111/17	36/22 36/23 36/24	resolution [4] 116/21		175/1 175/10 176/13
112/5 112/5 112/9	36/24 37/4 37/5 37/6	116/24 136/22 191/16		176/23 177/7 183/5
149/17 153/24 168/1	37/11 37/17 62/10 70/16 71/25 97/3	resolve [8] 129/4 168/9 190/12 191/17	45/25 46/6 115/16	190/19 191/6 191/18
169/23 170/5 188/8	114/6 115/14 115/16	192/4 192/11 192/14	117/12 117/14 117/15 123/24 153/4	rigorous [1] 62/25
reliability [4] 152/11	117/11 137/19 140/5	192/19	resume [1] 51/17	rigour [5] 8/8 8/10
166/17 166/21 167/25	140/13 164/5 176/4	resolved [10] 36/11	retail [8] 2/20 17/10	14/18 25/1 25/9
reliable [1] 150/13	191/21 191/23	81/12 116/6 116/8	32/9 33/12 50/15 51/6	
Reliance [1] 153/20 reliant [4] 153/17	reported [5] 3/9	136/15 136/18 136/25	51/9 132/3	rise [2] 70/2 75/11
154/2 154/7 167/12	116/18 149/25 176/15		retail-facing [1] 32/9	risk [13] 70/10 72/4
relied [1] 134/24	191/15	resource [3] 78/11	retailers [1] 111/24	74/12 75/11 77/14
reluctance [1] 33/22	reporters [2] 150/6	82/13 82/16	retain [1] 50/14	77/15 82/11 126/24
rely [2] 104/22 169/1	152/6	resources [2] 9/22 84/22	retender [1] 12/8	127/4 135/7 135/22 136/14 161/6
remain [1] 129/12	reporting [3] 81/15 149/22 168/6		retraining [1] 186/1 retribution [1] 28/15	risks [15] 69/22 70/6
remains [1] 31/6	reports [6] 27/20	84/9 84/18 85/12 86/1		70/7 72/7 74/25 75/5
remarks [4] 26/20	35/21 120/14 122/17	respect [33] 4/17	reuse [1] 155/23	76/19 77/2 78/23 82/1
26/22 28/1 28/6	150/11 153/7	5/25 18/11 22/25	reverse [1] 122/6	127/6 134/25 136/13
RemCo [3] 26/9 59/11 59/17	reposition [1] 145/4	24/12 25/11 28/2	review [46] 36/6	136/17 136/19
remediation [19]	represent [2] 93/22	28/20 29/2 29/9 30/20		road [1] 178/1
73/6 73/16 75/13	141/12	31/21 41/5 41/6 42/4	75/15 88/22 100/12	Roberts [6] 33/14
75/17 76/2 76/9 76/22	representation [4]	48/15 49/2 57/9 59/2	114/4 117/19 119/14	51/7 57/23 57/24 58/3
77/17 79/8 81/14	33/5 43/15 48/22 59/14	59/8 68/6 83/6 85/9 107/1 122/1 124/9	119/15 119/20 120/22 121/1 121/10 122/3	62/5 robust [2] 71/15
81/25 84/21 84/25	representative [2]	125/2 141/7 150/16	126/14 126/17 127/12	170/21
87/2 87/14 87/18	20/16 27/10	157/1 161/8 169/5	127/14 131/25 137/9	role [68] 4/4 4/10
180/15 180/19 186/5	representatives [2]	183/4	137/12 139/16 139/23	5/23 6/21 6/23 6/25
remember [8] 47/20	34/9 142/9	respond [2] 46/16	140/1 140/10 140/18	7/12 8/19 8/21 9/17
L			I	(74) roitorated role

(74) reiterated - role

R	same [21] 13/14 26/8	scenarios [1] 174/9	63/4 65/19 65/21	sensible [6] 172/6
	26/11 31/6 34/14	scheme [10] 84/15	69/22 70/22 70/23	172/6 174/2 174/2
role [58] 9/18 9/25	45/11 45/13 45/19	88/4 88/7 88/12 88/13		179/13 184/17
10/4 10/12 10/13	48/25 114/21 117/24	88/16 88/23 88/24	80/3 80/16 82/1 97/8	sensitive [2] 122/13
10/18 11/14 15/1 17/2	126/11 126/11 128/14		113/11 114/18 115/24	
19/21 19/23 20/1 20/4	133/13 138/22 145/15		116/1 116/5 121/7	sensitivity [1] 61/10
20/7 22/11 32/16	159/25 164/9 178/4	82/14 85/18 87/14	136/25 138/15 139/15	
32/16 39/17 48/18	193/17	87/17 87/19 87/24	141/7 141/18 141/20	44/11 184/11 189/14
48/23 49/13 49/20	sanitised [1] 45/24	scope [5] 9/17 63/20	144/20 145/12 145/14	
49/22 50/3 50/20	Sarah [2] 134/11	91/19 92/5 171/14	147/7 156/16 157/4	97/10 170/10
50/23 51/5 51/9 51/11	149/20	scores [1] 145/8	158/17 169/9 173/11	sentiment [1] 58/15
51/13 51/13 63/21	satisfaction [1]	screen [25] 3/15 3/18		separate [6] 77/21
74/12 75/21 77/25	102/11	10/22 15/11 17/20	185/5 187/12	81/15 82/25 135/23
78/2 78/19 79/8 98/7	COVO [2] 03/11 151/3	44/8 50/18 52/2 55/19		138/21 154/23
	151/8	55/20 56/14 94/5 94/6		September [3] 1/14
	cow [9] 20/6 30/5	97/6 102/3 114/14	174/4	3/1 191/6
	45/4 56/17 78/2	115/13 115/21 118/1	seek [5] 23/7 25/15	series [1] 54/1
127/5 130/15 131/7	117/25 125/14 147/4	118/6 118/13 122/21	54/8 188/16 188/23	serious [4] 28/8 54/4
131/13 137/25 141/3	62V [64] 3/10 //7 6/10		seeking [6] 53/5 53/6	60/5 129/14
141/25 143/12 146/14	7/6 7/18 7/25 8/2 9/11		161/8 163/17 182/20	seriously [3] 52/24
179/22	10/4 16/16 20/12	26/3 38/7 46/10 50/20		53/25 57/15
roles [28] 2/5 14/20	25/10 32/2 32/5 32/9	50/21 56/20 59/9 60/2		serve [3] 44/17
39/20 46/13 51/4 66/19 66/21 67/16	32/14 33/2 33/22 35/6		138/18 179/13	101/13 144/23
	38/23 52/10 64/5	92/11 97/6 102/6	seemed [3] 13/8	service [7] 21/20
68/18 69/5 69/9 70/17	64/14 65/16 66/1	114/16 114/19 126/25		99/10 99/11 112/17
71/12 72/2 72/19 72/21 73/5 74/14 75/2	69/25 72/10 84/25	130/25 132/8 133/5	seemingly [1] 23/21	122/10 158/23 161/7
	94/9 98/17 99/21	134/13 136/2 153/6	seems [7] 18/10 39/9	
76/8 85/14 89/11	99/24 101/1 106/12	153/20 158/17 163/15		services [10] 11/2
92/25 126/20 143/2 143/4 143/10 143/14	110/25 113/22 114/20	166/8 169/11 187/12	151/7 152/25	11/5 11/15 11/25
	114/24 120/18 122/11	187/16	seen [26] 14/14	109/18 157/11 160/12
roll [1] 46/5	134/9 136/3 136/13	scrolling [1] 114/17	14/15 17/18 18/17	160/14 160/24 160/24
rolled [1] 153/23 rollout [3] 150/20	140/4 141/24 151/12	scrutinised [1] 53/14	44/1 44/3 44/9 55/6	serving [2] 31/1 49/8
151/4 157/15	163/5 167/11 168/12	scrutiny [5] 11/9	57/7 57/11 64/15 69/7	session [5] 45/14
room [2] 107/16	174/13 174/19 177/3	54/22 75/18 101/2	71/18 102/1 120/13	114/25 131/19 152/2
155/12	182/11 182/12 182/24		120/15 141/9 141/14	161/22
root [1] 136/6	183/11 184/21 185/3	search [3] 13/15 20/3		set [20] 13/21 14/2
rubber [2] 127/1	186/5 188/23 191/23	27/18	165/10 166/10 167/15	55/12 56/21 63/1
127/6	191/24 192/9 192/17	searched [1] 19/24	170/25 172/9	67/25 69/18 69/24
Rule [1] 182/17	saying [7] 20/8 21/2	second [11] 46/16	SEG [3] 76/20 79/23	73/4 84/7 95/25
run [9] 5/1 5/4 5/21	65/10 90/10 97/20	57/22 124/20 133/23	79/24	101/22 110/1 124/1
28/19 43/21 82/21	100/14 128/19	137/7 137/21 140/21	Select [1] 22/2	133/8 136/2 155/16
84/14 153/23 177/2	says [50] 11/2 23/3	151/7 155/10 171/1	selection [3] 32/15	157/8 172/14 185/17
running [4] 5/18 36/5	24/9 26/19 27/2 27/25		102/13 102/16	set-up [2] 84/7 95/25
61/20 99/10	37/16 38/8 40/11	secondly [4] 18/7	self [5] 6/14 14/4	sets [2] 26/18 26/25
	44/13 46/19 50/22	97/9 97/10 122/6	23/19 31/1 182/19	setting [2] 70/24
S	60/4 60/22 63/4 71/6	seconds [1] 56/5	self-explanatory [1]	153/22
Saf [6] 62/5 62/7 63/7	74/1 75/9 78/17 79/5	Secretary [10] 24/22	182/19	settle [1] 61/16
63/17 98/13 130/21	80/3 83/8 97/8 97/23	110/6 110/9 119/11	self-imposed [1]	settled [2] 62/16
said [44] 3/4 16/10	104/3 117/23 122/25	139/21 179/24 179/24		158/8
16/11 23/18 26/8	126/2 126/25 130/25	180/8 180/9 181/5	self-initiate [1] 6/14	several [10] 27/18
26/15 28/3 29/7 30/20		section [7] 50/22	self-serving [1] 31/1	29/21 33/4 34/11
31/10 31/24 33/8 34/2			senior [28] 13/4	
34/14 34/22 35/23	147/8 149/19 157/7	169/12 179/20 187/10		
42/14 46/11 46/15		section 12 [1] 187/10		severity [2] 24/1
47/2 47/19 50/6 58/23	169/23 170/11 171/1	sections [1] 3/17	35/14 36/14 37/25	150/18
59/17 65/24 67/15	176/14 179/21 190/5	sector [1] 21/3	38/9 38/14 38/17	sex [1] 26/21
69/23 90/24 95/5	191/11 191/21	security [1] 151/2	38/18 38/20 40/13	shall [3] 51/17
98/20 99/14 99/17	scale [5] 4/10 9/4	security/assurance	53/971/22115/10	107/25 187/15
101/1 106/9 113/7	86/24 111/24 179/1	[1] 151/2	143/9 143/12 143/14	shape [1] 47/17
113/19 116/7 120/25	scaling [1] 179/5	see [55] 4/20 9/25	143/17 150/12 150/16	
127/16 136/10 136/11	scandal [4] 7/19	10/17 11/22 17/21	151/1 152/15 152/19	121/5 121/25 132/9
139/17 139/17 148/6	13/23 105/1 186/3	18/3 24/8 44/22 45/8	seniors [1] 137/25	shared [3] 37/17
Sam [1] 93/22	scenario [3] 160/5 175/10 176/19	46/11 51/3 52/13 54/1 55/10 56/13 56/22	sense [5] 42/18 68/5 73/22 163/6 182/24	37/19 97/15
		55/ 10 50/ 15 50/ZZ	10/22 100/0 102/24	shareholder [12]
				(75) rolo sharaholdar

(75) role... - shareholder

S	significance [1]	solid [1] 102/17	soon [5] 137/10	spoke [11] 24/4 62/5
	10/23	solution [4] 39/9	156/5 164/15 173/17	62/7 64/10 72/22 73/3
shareholder [12] 19/17 19/18 19/21	significant [9] 4/10	113/2 140/18 174/2	183/2	99/6 127/22 157/8
20/16 121/13 129/22	10/13 12/11 20/6	some [78] 11/18 12/4	sorry [43] 30/20	159/1 165/1
132/14 140/18 141/3	75/16 100/3 136/3	13/10 15/14 15/14	30/24 42/7 42/18	spoken [7] 84/9
141/13 142/9 142/14	138/17 154/6	15/17 15/24 15/24	43/22 45/16 49/21	118/24 147/16 180/22
shareholders [2]	significantly [2] 21/1	20/5 23/5 23/18 28/24		180/24 181/4 181/5
24/24 124/7	44/18	29/24 32/20 38/1	66/11 67/1 68/18	sponsor [2] 11/1
sharing [1] 60/1	signposting [1] 41/2	41/13 47/16 49/6	69/15 69/21 71/1 72/1	36/22
she [17] 23/3 23/14	silos [1] 135/24	50/12 51/10 53/15		sponsored [1] 72/23
24/9 26/10 26/19	similar [9] 17/16 21/5		88/23 89/3 94/14 99/5	
26/25 46/22 62/24	24/6 29/1 57/5 83/1	62/18 63/7 63/8 63/17		SR [1] 73/1
63/1 63/4 64/9 64/9	103/5 118/1 124/24	64/21 64/23 65/13	106/12 106/13 109/24	
64/11 73/12 78/21	Simon [12] 72/23	66/10 68/23 71/17	114/19 115/9 121/11	13/18
78/22 119/12	73/3 76/17 82/18	73/23 75/4 84/16 93/2		
she's [5] 22/2 62/20	83/10 84/13 84/18	93/19 99/2 112/10	148/12 153/12 162/25	
64/10 73/19 144/15	85/21 115/9 157/8	112/12 123/5 123/5	164/14 186/10	150/10 152/15 152/17
sheer [1] 136/23	159/1 178/8	123/14 128/9 130/17	sort [8] 34/8 40/6	152/19 153/24 154/3
shocking [1] 44/16	simply [5] 39/24	131/9 135/25 136/13	43/14 61/14 90/14	154/7 154/15
short [13] 51/21	46/24 175/5 175/6	138/9 139/25 140/2	103/18 145/1 174/14	staffed [1] 3/12
108/3 114/1 128/14	176/21	144/14 144/25 145/10		staffing [1] 73/6
128/16 128/16 128/17	since [12] 11/3 20/1 36/14 37/7 44/14 47/4	146/9 147/10 151/24 155/5 161/5 162/10	sounds [2] 52/17 119/2	stage [3] 153/5 159/4 184/15
128/20 128/21 128/23	75/15 116/1 101/12	162/12 163/5 163/12	source [3] 161/23	stages [1] 118/3
146/17 164/24 176/25	177/4 177/16 185/15	163/13 165/13 166/4	162/1 162/8	stakeholder [1]
short-term [7]	single [1] 136/6		speak [16] 19/8 28/2	100/4
128/14 128/16 128/17	air [20] 1/5 16/7	174/14 176/10 177/18		stakeholders [2]
128/20 128/21 128/23	31/18 55/24 93/18	177/23 181/9 185/17	78/8 81/10 149/22	63/24 150/12
146/17	105/25 106/1 107/20	191/12	149/25 150/5 152/4	stamp [3] 127/1
shortage [1] 32/6	107/25 108/5 121/12	somebody [8] 21/13	152/6 152/20 186/19	127/6 167/9
shortfall [10] 32/17	164/19 171/4 181/9	22/9 25/22 55/25 89/2		stand [1] 91/17
88/4 188/14 189/4	181/10 181/12 183/11		speaking [7] 3/7	standard [3] 8/6
189/7 189/11 189/13	193/1 194/8 194/14	somehow [1] 162/12		187/5 187/10
189/14 189/20 189/23	Sir Wyn [3] 16/7	someone [5] 5/22	131/20 152/22 169/3	start [17] 3/3 3/25
shortfalls [16] 168/5	121/12 171/4		specialist [4] 2/4 2/7	11/4 37/24 56/25 69/4
170/9 178/25 188/1 188/4 188/10 188/13	sit [5] 56/9 67/16	131/6	13/11 27/19	93/25 114/12 114/15
188/17 188/19 188/24	68/5 68/10 71/3	something [71] 7/19	specific [12] 8/13	131/21 134/8 141/1
190/10 190/13 190/20		8/13 8/14 8/22 8/24	30/11 43/10 45/3 70/5	143/6 146/2 172/25
191/15 191/19 192/4	182/1	9/24 10/24 18/14	82/13 91/24 96/17	175/20 189/10
shortly [2] 46/13	situation [6] 24/4	27/11 27/14 39/10	107/4 125/8 142/16	started [15] 3/22
108/25	61/12 129/12 132/16	39/12 42/1 44/24	151/6	13/15 22/10 32/20
should [35] 1/11 3/21	166/3 168/24	46/14 52/4 55/15	specifically [14] 5/7	34/7 40/8 41/6 67/6
27/3 27/4 28/9 38/20	situations [1] 63/17	55/16 56/17 57/16	13/5 17/10 29/15	88/8 99/6 101/23
43/9 47/17 48/24	size [4] 9/4 35/22	58/9 58/17 58/18	30/15 32/2 40/7 59/7	109/4 118/22 128/18
49/13 67/8 70/14	80/19 112/2	58/25 59/1 62/12	64/19 75/7 90/8 93/13	147/18
76/15 77/22 80/16	<b>SJ [1]</b> 159/8	66/13 72/22 80/12	100/21 124/3	starting [2] 96/20
86/10 87/3 89/2 90/5	skills [12] 35/15 112/13 113/5 126/17	80/14 80/16 92/21 99/9 109/17 111/20	specifications [1] 151/3	107/11
94/1 95/22 96/21 97/5	131/22 131/24 132/3	113/1 113/3 113/6	specifics [1] 43/22	starts [2] 144/11 171/13
106/2 108/12 136/1	132/4 132/4 142/20	119/1 124/13 124/19	specify [1] 94/18	state [11] 15/17
140/4 140/25 147/10	153/25 154/19	124/24 125/5 125/13	speculating [1] 158/7	
151/22 160/4 176/15	alidaa [1] 110/6	130/16 133/2 133/4	speed [6] 35/12	116/11 119/11 171/6
177/17 184/11 186/20	slightly [4] 102/5	133/24 136/8 136/8	82/21 86/3 178/3	179/24 179/24 180/10
shouldn't [4] 104/22	103/23 114/19 126/2	137/13 139/9 139/15	178/9 178/13	181/5
106/9 107/3 126/6	slow [3] 77/6 77/12	143/23 146/10 146/22		State's [1] 180/9
show [1] 43/14	177/25	147/7 147/14 151/7	180/15	statement [57] 1/12
shows [1] 130/12	slowing [1] 70/12	151/10 154/10 154/12		1/13 1/20 1/23 3/4
sick [1] 124/17	small [1] 102/4	162/23 163/11 165/19		3/15 6/10 7/4 12/10
SID [1] 147/19	smaller [2] 46/3	172/5 172/22 176/25	spends [1] 142/2	13/22 16/10 18/4 18/7
side [2] 77/18 96/14	80/19	177/1 178/6 186/25	spent [3] 131/8	21/10 29/6 31/24 32/5
sideways [1] 103/23 signature [3] 1/18	SNOW [1] 134/24	sometimes [6] 53/11	151/25 178/8	34/2 42/13 51/25 52/3
108/19 108/20	so [319]	53/12 53/12 53/15	spirit [1] 100/18	52/10 54/2 58/11 64/6
signed [1] 119/17	social [3] 69/25	54/12 139/13	<b>SPMP [2]</b> 135/7	65/16 65/21 66/8
	132/3 144/21	somewhat [1] 117/16	158/9	66/12 67/15 85/3
L			I	

(76) shareholder... - statement

S	strain [4] 38/9 38/11	submissions [2]	40/14	46/25
statement [26]	38/16 38/19	185/19 186/18	suggested [5] 23/10	survey [16] 36/25
93/25 94/1 94/2 96/18	strained [1] 172/13	submit [2] 110/24	43/4 47/15 47/18	38/4 45/1 45/1 45/11
98/18 108/13 108/21	strand [1] 150/4	110/25	66/12	45/12 45/15 45/16
108/24 110/2 113/7	strange [1] 168/25	subpostmaster [10]	suggesting [1] 89/13	45/17 45/17 45/22
114/14 117/23 119/1	strategic [38] 15/12	49/5 50/3 61/24 97/25		46/5 46/7 102/7 191/1
139/17 148/6 166/15	17/16 17/17 18/25	103/15 103/17 104/1	89/12 124/8	192/12
166/20 167/24 168/3	36/20 37/18 37/25 97/11 97/12 114/4	130/16 188/11 188/19 subpostmasters [51]	23/17 40/18 127/12	surveys [3] 37/12 44/15 102/10
168/20 170/12 171/15	117/19 119/13 119/15		127/19 127/24	suspect [1] 8/17
182/18 184/21 186/19	121/10 126/14 127/12	18/5 18/8 32/12 42/6	suggests [5] 40/21	suspend [2] 90/18
193/4	127/14 131/25 137/9	49/5 55/5 55/8 57/11	163/1 166/14 174/12	90/21
statements [3] 18/1 26/24 172/17	140/1 140/9 140/18	72/5 72/12 82/2 93/1	175/12	suspended [1] 90/5
stating [1] 64/11	140/21 140/23 144/17	93/23 97/24 98/3 99/3		suspension [3] 90/11
stats [1] 18/17	145/3 145/21 146/6	99/18 99/23 99/25	62/19	90/13 90/15
status [1] 150/22	146/8 146/21 146/23	100/7 100/9 100/22	suited [1] 133/11	suspensions [1]
Staunton [21] 5/25	154/24 155/24 164/14	101/7 102/19 103/7	summarise [4] 13/24	90/25
6/1 6/6 23/8 24/5 26/4	181/18 183/4 183/20 185/8	103/17 104/11 104/25 115/18 117/8 118/15	summarised [1]	suspicion [1] 176/5 sustainability [1]
26/7 28/1 29/7 30/2	strategy [17] 118/25	164/7 164/9 183/22	123/25	134/20
30/12 30/13 30/18	118/25 119/6 120/1	183/22 184/1 184/2	summary [9] 11/2	sustainable [1]
30/20 58/12 89/13	122/1 128/15 128/24	185/10 185/23 186/2	63/4 74/21 97/8	113/25
89/18 89/21 118/23 147/11 147/16	129/2 140/17 141/1	186/9 186/12 187/6	123/12 150/2 159/19	sustained [1] 133/7
Staunton's [4] 26/20	141/17 142/18 142/19	187/11 190/7 191/18	180/20 191/10	switch [1] 56/1
31/2 31/3 118/20	142/25 154/22 159/4	192/6	support [35] 2/18 4/2	sworn [4] 1/7 108/8
stay [3] 45/13 79/9	163/18	subpostmasters' [1]	11/19 12/17 12/20	194/2 194/10
173/15	strength [1] 96/1	104/4 subsequent [6] 14/9	13/11 19/2 53/20 84/16 102/14 116/22	sympathetically [1] 186/8
SteerCo [1] 150/20	stress [1] 3/23 stretched [1] 131/4	58/1 63/23 81/24	118/11 125/3 143/1	system [47] 43/5
Stein [5] 93/19 93/21	stretches [1] 186/15	157/5 167/24	144/22 144/22 152/23	44/1 114/19 115/2
93/22 185/19 194/6	strictly [1] 28/22	subsequently [2]	157/11 157/17 157/19	115/5 116/12 116/16
Stein's [1] 107/15	strong [13] 18/9	62/4 184/23	157/23 159/11 159/18	117/2 117/4 117/8
Stent [1] 123/15 step [11] 6/22 41/22	23/17 25/1 33/4 42/9	substantial [1] 11/5	163/19 165/5 165/12	148/8 148/11 148/18
72/2 121/13 144/16	80/14 93/5 98/8 124/8		168/15 170/13 175/23	150/11 150/17 153/23
145/1 145/2 145/2	126/6 126/19 129/9	35/17 38/10	180/3 181/3 185/23	155/4 155/9 155/18
146/20 171/24 182/4	132/1	substantive [3]	190/6 190/8 190/16	155/21 156/17 156/21
stepped [1] 147/19	stronger [1] 85/11 struck [1] 107/14	108/17 150/4 151/17 succeed [1] 23/20	supported [3] 50/12 50/15 141/24	160/18 162/12 162/13 162/20 164/9 164/13
stepping [5] 20/13	structural [2] 35/9	success [2] 124/11	supporting [5] 85/21	164/15 166/21 167/3
51/8 51/13 131/14	36/3	180/6	129/18 159/7 163/22	167/6 167/12 168/1
135/16	structure [17] 2/15	successful [2]	169/5	169/1 169/2 169/19
steps [10] 27/14	2/16 15/14 31/8 34/25		supportive [1] 181/7	170/4 170/5 172/8
27/16 41/7 57/12 112/19 127/10 145/15	35/1 35/5 40/5 76/22	succession [1]	supports [1] 132/2	173/5 173/10 173/17
145/20 146/9 192/9	77/7 89/22 97/4 100/6		sure [39] 16/17 16/18	173/18 189/22 189/23
stick [1] 22/18	142/18 142/19 142/24		16/19 17/3 29/17 36/7	191/13
stifles [1] 53/13	143/1	succinctly [1] 38/23	47/19 49/24 54/9 56/1	systems [6] 111/24
still [25] 24/24 55/22	structured [4] 6/17 83/18 106/6 139/18	such [16] 27/6 28/5	62/16 67/19 88/3 91/9 91/12 91/20 98/13	112/1 118/6 118/7
57/2 58/3 63/12 64/1	structures [1] 125/17	71/11 77/24 78/18 96/21 98/1 132/5	91/12 91/20 98/13	155/13 162/8
64/20 64/23 64/25	struggled [1] 148/24	166/25 167/22 168/3	117/19 118/8 125/23	Т
65/2 65/9 65/12 74/16	struggling [3] 13/4	170/6 173/23 175/19	126/3 131/3 144/1	tab [2] 1/12 1/12
77/16 81/22 101/6	87/16 115/9	184/24 186/20	144/3 151/23 159/7	tactical [1] 134/15
117/16 152/12 159/8 161/7 164/6 173/11	stuff [1] 130/9	sufficiency [1] 20/19	159/24 168/22 173/6	take [51] 4/13 20/11
177/19 180/8 191/18	sub [1] 180/21	sufficient [25] 3/20	173/22 175/21 178/19	
stock [4] 117/3	sub-bullets [1]	15/6 37/2 43/25 63/6	182/17 182/23 187/3	23/11 23/18 36/1 40/2
167/10 189/13 189/14	180/21	64/3 72/4 84/21 84/22		41/4 42/21 43/19
stolen [1] 183/14	subclauses [1] 188/23	84/22 85/7 85/8 85/8 85/8 91/3 91/5 112/9	surprise [2] 19/4 58/16	48/25 51/16 52/21 52/24 53/1 56/6 56/20
stood [1] 78/21	subject [16] 36/2	118/4 118/17 129/23	surprised [3] 19/7	57/15 61/11 61/11
stop [2] 16/6 98/20	54/23 58/21 68/21	133/17 136/22 163/25		62/18 68/7 72/2 76/21
stores [1] 2/18	81/17 82/19 88/23	166/25 181/2	surprising [6] 40/24	82/7 83/24 94/1
stories [1] 155/13	91/23 122/12 139/3	sufficiently [3] 16/17	71/20 71/22 117/17	101/15 104/9 114/9
straightforward [2] 53/19 90/4	139/7 139/8 139/12	17/4 69/2	160/16 191/24	117/18 119/24 122/17
	158/8 163/17 190/4	suggest [3] 8/16 9/4	surrounding [1]	134/12 148/16 148/20
				(77) statement _ take

(77) statement... - take

Ŧ	82/10 02/24 04/42	20/17 25/20 20/4 20/4	2/21 2/24 8/22 0/22	100/2 100/4 100/42
<u>T</u>	82/19 83/21 84/13 84/17 84/18 84/21	32/17 35/20 38/4 39/4 45/7 48/13 51/1 52/6	2/21 2/24 8/22 9/23 10/19 14/25 16/15	100/2 100/4 100/13 100/25 104/11 105/19
take [13] 149/18	85/21 85/22 86/22	53/2 55/5 59/17 63/22		117/18 117/20 118/11
154/4 158/13 161/14	92/2 95/25 96/1 96/7	68/20 69/6 71/6 71/24		120/9 120/18 130/22
163/2 164/19 166/5		75/1 75/6 75/11 85/10		144/18 158/1 158/2
170/15 171/13 190/24	96/8 101/24 115/11 115/12 116/15 117/13	85/12 86/25 89/24	41/5 42/1 42/1 45/2	144/18 158/1 158/2 166/1 168/23 183/23
192/9 192/12 193/11				
taken [38] 14/9 24/15	121/3 124/2 124/18	96/10 106/18 110/1	46/14 49/13 49/19	184/17 185/2 185/19
24/18 27/14 27/16	126/3 126/18 130/4	112/3 112/6 112/15	49/25 54/11 54/19	188/22 189/18 191/16
36/25 39/11 41/11	132/18 138/3 145/7	112/16 113/5 114/18	55/17 56/15 57/5	193/18
56/23 57/13 57/25	145/24 146/15 150/1	119/25 120/9 122/5	57/21 57/21 60/20	them' [1] 83/20
58/6 58/18 58/19 59/1	152/3 156/6 160/7	127/5 127/14 127/20	65/6 65/23 66/16	thematic [1] 71/17
59/3 59/7 63/9 73/5	161/14 162/4 164/12	128/2 131/13 136/22	66/18 72/3 74/18	theme [2] 63/5 125/9
73/24 76/16 80/13	169/20 178/7 178/12	137/12 140/7 142/13	74/22 76/4 76/14	themes [4] 46/5 71/6
80/18 80/21 87/7	178/24 179/11 189/6	155/1 162/18 163/2	79/18 81/16 82/23	138/5 152/5
90/18 90/21 94/20	team's [1] 178/2	178/18 181/6 187/20	83/17 84/6 86/21	themselves [3] 44/10
104/25 105/3 112/19	team/activity [1]	terribly [1] 99/24	87/10 87/12 89/4	116/8 191/17
127/10 131/17 136/9	152/3	Tesco [7] 2/9 2/11	91/13 92/8 92/16	then [63] 14/4 20/24
141/10 159/11 159/14	teams [8] 15/5 15/8	5/9 5/23 47/14 95/8	94/15 95/12 96/2 96/8	
190/8	15/8 46/2 115/1	103/4	97/3 101/16 101/16	32/18 35/8 41/17
takes [3] 82/7 102/14	118/10 132/1 134/10	test [2] 123/20 169/4	103/8 107/21 108/18	41/18 46/1 46/9 47/7
179/1	teamwork [3] 123/23	tested [2] 129/13	109/8 109/20 110/5	56/15 62/4 66/18
taking [17] 11/18	124/4 129/18	161/5	114/9 114/14 115/14	67/24 69/5 80/2 80/21
29/4 36/10 39/12 40/1	Teamwork/Culture	testing [1] 151/5	119/8 120/16 121/12	81/13 82/10 86/10
42/10 50/3 53/25	<b>[1]</b> 123/23	than [30] 4/11 4/21	124/19 124/20 125/13	88/7 88/13 93/6 94/24
74/20 76/15 77/7	technical [9] 54/12	6/17 13/9 15/13 36/9	127/20 133/2 133/4	94/24 94/25 95/18
81/11 90/12 144/1	114/21 114/25 115/10	47/22 53/11 54/1	135/13 136/9 136/16	96/24 97/9 102/12
162/13 177/25 179/6	117/24 118/10 167/19	54/12 62/24 68/9 72/6		103/23 109/7 110/2
talent [2] 20/20 27/21	171/18 190/17	76/15 78/5 87/24 89/2		110/6 110/6 121/7
talk [9] 43/12 49/17	technology [7]	90/6 90/13 113/9	146/20 153/10 155/23	
79/3 99/6 100/22	112/14 112/15 112/22	113/22 117/4 131/1	156/3 156/24 158/3	137/18 138/25 140/8
125/12 126/12 179/11	112/24 132/4 155/22	140/21 142/3 146/4	158/14 161/1 161/11	160/21 161/10 161/21
192/14	156/2	152/10 155/9 156/2	162/9 163/10 164/15	167/11 170/9 175/21
talked [11] 29/10	tell [8] 49/17 50/10	158/2	165/17 165/23 165/25	179/15 182/23 183/17
65/4 99/4 117/5	85/11 122/14 146/12	thank [85] 1/5 1/9	166/2 166/10 168/22	184/17 187/9 187/11
117/13 117/14 141/22	176/15 182/10 182/15	1/11 1/23 2/11 3/18	168/23 169/9 170/23	187/16 188/6 188/25
144/14 178/22 180/24	telling [2] 4/18	19/12 22/17 27/25	172/1 172/5 175/1	189/5 191/10 192/18
184/6	147/13	29/5 31/7 33/15 42/12	175/2 175/3 175/20	there [241]
talking [9] 18/24	tells [1] 46/24	46/8 48/7 48/15 51/15		there'll [1] 13/17
56/11 86/25 87/5	temporarily [1] 51/8	51/18 51/23 52/9 55/4		there's [45] 10/23
126/12 146/18 146/19	temporary [1] 113/18	56/14 58/5 59/25	184/17 185/4 187/10	14/22 18/6 18/10
156/10 178/9	ten [1] 156/10	60/22 62/9 65/15	189/5 189/9 189/10	18/23 21/20 26/14
tangible [1] 186/7	ten years [1] 156/10	66/19 70/15 70/15	190/17 191/21 192/1	38/22 39/19 39/23
targets [1] 15/24	tend [2] 8/23 40/19	72/25 74/23 86/23	their [49] 14/20 15/1	41/17 42/3 42/9 42/14
	tends [1] 128/1	92/6 92/18 93/18 94/8	16/23 21/18 37/22	46/6 46/14 50/22
taught [1] 14/4	Teneo [8] 120/3	95/12 102/6 103/2	38/12 39/17 41/25	55/20 55/23 57/22
<b>Taylor [2]</b> 57/1 57/10	120/4 120/6 120/7	105/23 105/24 107/10	46/1 46/2 52/24 52/25	59/9 82/11 88/3 88/22
team [100] 2/15 4/2 6/22 7/5 7/10 7/11 9/8	120/16 120/16 121/1	107/18 107/19 107/20	53/17 53/17 71/12	91/24 92/6 99/17
12/12 12/16 12/17	121/4	107/23 108/10 108/12	77/8 79/8 98/9 101/5	102/23 107/13 112/10
14/23 14/24 14/25	term [13] 114/1	111/7 111/16 114/11	104/13 105/19 109/12	133/15 137/5 153/20
15/1 15/16 15/25	124/17 128/14 128/16	114/16 114/16 115/13	110/15 115/19 116/8	158/24 166/9 171/25
	128/16 128/17 128/20	125/19 129/7 130/7	120/10 123/24 129/12	
17/10 17/11 17/12	128/21 128/23 146/17	133/23 134/4 137/17	129/13 134/22 135/10	
19/7 19/9 21/12 25/17	159/5 160/13 163/25	139/16 140/12 143/19		184/8 186/14 190/2
25/24 27/23 32/25	terminals [1] 188/1	146/25 148/4 164/18	139/9 139/13 139/19	Thereafter [1] 176/18
34/12 34/13 34/21	terminated [1]	170/6 177/7 180/17	142/2 154/18 158/5	therefore [16] 26/21
34/22 37/20 37/22	160/14	180/22 181/8 183/8	164/7 165/11 184/3	61/12 68/4 73/15
38/1 39/7 41/1 41/19	termination [1]	183/11 183/19 184/19		76/12 76/20 77/6
41/21 41/24 45/11	160/10	190/25 192/20 192/24		79/16 80/15 89/19
47/8 47/14 49/15	terminology [3]	192/25 193/1 193/3	them [43] 17/6 18/17	126/4 128/17 138/1
50/12 50/16 50/24	29/14 79/13 79/18	193/7 193/19 193/20	26/23 34/11 37/16	154/8 181/25 191/21
57/14 57/23 58/2	terms [62] 6/7 9/6	that [1283]	50/16 61/16 67/8	thereof [1] 188/19
68/12 68/13 69/18	10/2 10/2 10/20 14/11	that I [2] 96/14	70/10 71/13 78/8	these [39] 36/3 54/5
70/5 73/21 76/13	14/18 18/3 18/24	141/24	87/21 88/2 93/8 94/22	54/8 54/24 55/1 69/6
77/17 80/19 82/18	19/20 20/21 24/6	that's [132] 2/6 2/13	99/8 99/24 100/1	70/11 71/24 72/16

(78) take... - these

	- 			1
T	73/14 73/16 91/17	120/11 140/4 147/20	190/20	training [17] 2/9 3/6
	117/2 117/15 119/25	149/17 155/1 175/8	time/energy [1]	13/23 14/5 14/6 14/11
these [30] 74/19				
83/1 83/2 102/5 115/7	120/10 122/4 127/2	thread [1] 8/2	125/1	14/12 14/14 14/24
115/18 116/25 117/14	127/15 128/15 135/24	three [18] 81/21	timeline [1] 40/3	15/5 15/8 27/4 27/7
	125/25 120/12 120/25		times [7] 33/4 53/20	27/22 32/4 99/10
117/18 120/11 122/22				
122/23 128/13 136/4	140/9 144/13 144/15	97/12 97/21 100/6	76/19 77/2 112/2	99/11
	146/12 149/3 151/24	105/9 105/10 114/10	116/2 119/2	transaction [2]
137/17 147/25 150/8	154/17 155/13 162/5	114/11 116/4 117/1	timescale [1] 121/17	163/13 167/4
151/11 151/12 151/18				
151/18 151/24 170/2	163/6 169/3 173/23	123/15 128/20 130/4	timescales [1] 152/9	transactions [2]
	177/25 178/3 178/13	156/7 161/15	timetables [1] 23/22	187/18 188/9
175/22 179/9 187/20	178/16 170/0 182/1		tired [1] 171/12	transfer [1] 82/8
188/23 189/12 189/16				
190/15	185/5		title [1] 70/17	transferred [1] 81/22
	think [336]	through [41] 2/9 14/8	today [10] 91/17	transformation [4]
they [102] 7/13 7/13	thinking [3] 106/24	16/4 39/7 45/23 53/20		112/16 112/23 133/7
7/14 7/15 8/4 8/8				
13/10 14/2 14/21	124/9 154/13	54/4 54/16 56/21 79/3		180/3
	thinned [1] 35/17	98/14 99/2 116/19	178/11 184/24 192/7	transformations [1]
16/18 19/6 19/14	third [10] 44/13 74/1	116/24 121/8 122/15	today's [3] 2/25	129/16
23/24 23/25 27/9 30/2				
33/23 33/24 34/3	124/21 137/9 138/16	122/17 123/5 125/17	46/19 109/21	transient [1] 154/18
	152/19 153/21 154/2	134/10 134/12 136/20	together [9] 5/11	transition [3] 76/25
34/10 34/16 34/16	154/5 167/13	137/17 139/21 139/25		81/20 154/4
34/18 36/15 36/17				
38/2 38/5 38/14 40/15	this [332]	141/23 145/3 146/5	95/10 95/11 120/12	transparency [2]
	Thornton [10] 114/6	146/20 146/20 147/6	135/25	43/25 44/6
43/9 46/21 53/1 53/17	120/15 137/12 137/19	147/19 147/19 148/2		
54/18 54/20 56/8			told [14] 3/21 30/18	transparent [3] 18/21
62/17 63/8 66/24	139/16 139/23 140/5	148/16 148/20 149/18	34/12 34/15 37/7	65/7 170/18
	140/13 150/7 151/4	149/19 163/23 180/6	94/19 111/13 113/19	transparent' [1]
66/25 68/21 68/24		189/24	116/19 148/7 148/21	40/17
72/19 75/10 77/10	thorough [4] 58/21			
77/18 79/8 79/9 81/14	62/20 91/25 119/13	thunder [1] 183/14	148/23 169/18 169/20	transparently [1]
	thoroughly [1] 100/2	Thursday [3] 165/14	tomorrow [1] 193/21	168/7
81/17 87/3 92/3 98/9				
98/25 99/16 99/21	those [95] 8/10 9/2	165/20 169/4	too [12] 46/20 80/13	travelling [1] 105/4
99/21 100/3 105/17	9/14 9/14 9/21 11/24	Tidswell [1] 158/20	113/14 113/15 131/4	Treasury [7] 128/1
	11/25 13/21 14/10	ties [1] 125/15	132/20 138/22 144/5	128/2 128/10 128/13
106/8 106/10 113/8				
116/7 118/9 120/20		time [113] 3/9 3/14	153/17 177/25 188/21	128/18 128/19 128/22
125/23 126/6 128/12	19/3 19/4 20/11 25/21	4/24 5/5 5/16 5/25	193/5	treatment [1] 60/13
	27/1 28/6 28/20 35/16	6/19 7/22 8/3 8/7 8/14	took [9] 3/6 35/8	Tribunal [4] 29/25
130/3 130/13 130/23				
133/11 134/20 135/10	38/25 41/23 43/8	8/17 11/16 11/21 12/7		30/9 31/11 31/20
	43/12 43/20 45/19	12/9 12/13 12/15	145/6 147/18 161/25	trigger [2] 69/11
136/2 136/3 136/21	45/24 46/11 48/21	12/18 12/21 13/2 13/6		69/20
144/5 144/23 149/17				
154/19 163/4 163/9	53/23 54/24 55/14	13/14 14/17 23/5 24/3		troubling [1] 28/6
	56/22 57/3 61/15 65/8	29/21 30/3 30/16 32/4	top [5] 20/20 26/3	true [8] 1/20 2/6
163/9 165/25 168/23	67/11 70/6 72/5 72/9	33/22 34/13 34/20	78/3 85/19 169/23	30/22 44/21 57/21
169/14 169/18 172/16				
174/19 175/21 175/22	74/14 75/13 77/15	34/23 35/11 35/18	topic [5] 23/8 51/15	72/10 108/21 144/16
	78/7 78/9 79/4 82/9	35/19 45/11 47/5	144/9 148/5 177/8	truly [1] 146/18
176/21 177/2 181/4	85/19 86/2 86/16	47/15 48/1 51/17 54/3		trust [15] 10/17
183/23 183/24 183/25				
190/19 192/18 193/16	88/17 92/24 93/18	55/3 57/17 58/15	149/24 180/25	16/24 18/22 34/4
	95/22 97/7 100/14	58/24 60/9 60/14 61/6	totally [3] 47/2 54/18	34/17 36/10 36/12
193/17	103/10 104/8 105/3	61/10 61/18 61/19	58/11	100/24 124/9 125/2
they're [16] 16/17				
18/25 19/1 39/18	105/14 105/18 105/25		touch [3] 46/22 48/6	133/17 133/21 144/6
	110/22 112/20 116/7	66/8 66/22 66/24	130/14	171/3 177/19
41/15 42/25 53/9	116/8 116/10 117/9	67/11 68/9 69/8 70/9	touch' [1] 130/12	trust/respect [1]
54/21 54/21 69/24				
90/6 104/1 137/16	118/1 118/4 118/17	70/24 71/14 71/18	towards [6] 55/5	125/2
	122/15 126/10 129/24	72/8 73/13 73/17	63/12 64/1 64/22 78/4	trusted [1] 96/19
154/18 182/12 189/17	137/3 139/6 139/25	73/18 73/21 73/24	160/5	trusting [2] 49/16
they've [4] 105/9				
115/19 122/19 181/7	140/9 140/15 147/12	77/1 78/4 80/9 80/10	toxic [3] 63/12 64/1	64/7
	148/20 153/3 153/4	80/12 81/3 81/16 83/1	64/22	truth [4] 53/5 53/6
thin [1] 124/16	162/24 163/10 165/5		track [2] 148/24	65/24 147/13
thing [13] 34/14				
36/12 40/15 77/5	175/17 177/21 180/8	87/7 88/5 89/18 89/22		try [7] 43/14 61/15
113/7 118/8 126/15	180/19 181/9 188/21	90/16 90/19 90/22	tracking [1] 161/3	61/16 86/24 103/16
	191/14 193/1	92/16 116/17 118/7	Tracy [1] 51/5	145/25 192/10
128/3 146/3 155/3		118/7 123/14 125/1	trade [4] 71/10 79/24	
	though [10] 10/10		uaue 141 / 1/10 / 9/24	trying [11] 9/10 15/23
	though [10] 18/10			
155/19 178/4 189/15	though [10] 18/10 33/2 39/9 58/25 95/13		111/3 193/13	18/4 38/22 78/4
155/19 178/4 189/15 things [46] 4/20 5/7	33/2 39/9 58/25 95/13	131/8 142/2 147/3	111/3 193/13	18/4 38/22 78/4
155/19 178/4 189/15	33/2 39/9 58/25 95/13 107/11 127/1 134/7	131/8 142/2 147/3 148/17 151/3 151/8	111/3 193/13 trade-offs [1] 79/24	18/4 38/22 78/4 135/16 142/25 142/25
155/19 178/4 189/15 things [46] 4/20 5/7 33/24 39/1 39/8 39/22	33/2 39/9 58/25 95/13 107/11 127/1 134/7 158/11 180/9	131/8 142/2 147/3 148/17 151/3 151/8 157/4 162/17 163/25	111/3 193/13 trade-offs [1] 79/24 trail [1] 193/12	18/4 38/22 78/4 135/16 142/25 142/25 162/3 162/6 182/24
155/19 178/4 189/15 things [46] 4/20 5/7 33/24 39/1 39/8 39/22 45/19 49/18 63/9	33/2 39/9 58/25 95/13 107/11 127/1 134/7	131/8 142/2 147/3 148/17 151/3 151/8 157/4 162/17 163/25	111/3 193/13 trade-offs [1] 79/24	18/4 38/22 78/4 135/16 142/25 142/25
155/19 178/4 189/15 things [46] 4/20 5/7 33/24 39/1 39/8 39/22	33/2 39/9 58/25 95/13 107/11 127/1 134/7 158/11 180/9 thought [10] 62/22	131/8 142/2 147/3 148/17 151/3 151/8 157/4 162/17 163/25 164/19 169/16 170/5	111/3 193/13 trade-offs [1] 79/24 trail [1] 193/12 train [1] 113/3	18/4 38/22 78/4 135/16 142/25 142/25 162/3 162/6 182/24 Tuesday [1] 1/1
155/19 178/4 189/15 things [46] 4/20 5/7 33/24 39/1 39/8 39/22 45/19 49/18 63/9	33/2 39/9 58/25 95/13 107/11 127/1 134/7 158/11 180/9	131/8 142/2 147/3 148/17 151/3 151/8 157/4 162/17 163/25	111/3 193/13 trade-offs [1] 79/24 trail [1] 193/12	18/4 38/22 78/4 135/16 142/25 142/25 162/3 162/6 182/24
155/19 178/4 189/15 things [46] 4/20 5/7 33/24 39/1 39/8 39/22 45/19 49/18 63/9	33/2 39/9 58/25 95/13 107/11 127/1 134/7 158/11 180/9 thought [10] 62/22	131/8 142/2 147/3 148/17 151/3 151/8 157/4 162/17 163/25 164/19 169/16 170/5	111/3 193/13 trade-offs [1] 79/24 trail [1] 193/12 train [1] 113/3	18/4 38/22 78/4 135/16 142/25 142/25 162/3 162/6 182/24 Tuesday [1] 1/1

(79) these... - turn

Т	underlying [1] 125/2	universes [1] 135/5	91/2 91/16 95/2 98/1	105/20 107/23 107/24
turn [44] 17/25	undermining [3] 70/1		112/19 115/7 117/17	108/12 112/10 116/13
21/22 22/17 22/22	70/3 75/12	103/17	119/2 120/12 125/7	116/13 116/14 119/5
25/25 26/14 27/1	underperformance	unlikely [1] 157/19	127/3 129/1 130/5	120/9 120/23 120/23
36/20 37/14 40/10	[1] 32/20	unreasonably [1]	134/5 138/6 139/19	134/9 137/2 137/13
44/7 55/18 56/15	underperforming [1] 33/11	74/12 unreliable [1] 169/19	140/23 142/22 149/23 149/25 150/22 160/15	147/3 147/4 148/2 149/13 152/15 158/19
59/25 62/9 63/3 69/4		unspecified [1] 95/18		172/19 172/20 174/4
69/22 70/15 70/18	12/2 12/2 14/20 33/23		166/1 169/2 176/14	179/1 179/8 179/8
71/2 72/16 83/4	62/24 63/7 63/16	6/16	176/15 178/17 179/8	181/6 181/7 181/25
108/17 115/14 115/24 116/5 118/12 119/21	66/20 67/1 67/3 67/13	unsure [1] 152/12	180/12 184/9 192/16	193/5 193/19
123/19 131/20 140/12	75/24 86/24 95/2 96/4	unsurprisingly [1]	us an [1] 178/17	via [1] 152/4
140/15 142/12 147/6	98/1 99/1 101/6	7/16	use [9] 29/13 88/17	view [45] 10/12 12/1
148/13 157/2 157/6	104/19 132/1 135/9	until [8] 5/17 6/5	90/15 102/5 152/20	14/16 16/11 20/19
158/22 166/7 170/22	138/9 141/15 142/14	29/25 45/4 56/5	162/23 164/8 173/5	21/4 21/8 21/18 24/20
179/9 179/19 190/3	142/24 147/21 151/23 157/22 162/4 162/6	163/20 192/18 193/23		26/11 28/2 29/12 30/25 31/1 31/5 31/6
turnaround [5] 120/8	168/8 168/18 168/22	untrue [1] 47/2 untypical [1] 31/25	used [3] 97/25 168/13 173/11	32/11 33/10 44/4
124/3 129/20 132/19	172/19 178/14 183/17		useful [4] 130/17	48/10 58/24 59/12
133/12	183/17 183/20 184/7	143/15 143/16	147/20 150/2 154/25	59/22 80/8 80/10
turnarounds [2]	185/7	unusually [1] 106/6	users [1] 167/10	80/12 80/14 80/15
126/6 126/12 turning [1] 56/1	understandably [2]	up [62] 2/22 3/15	using [5] 116/8 117/8	89/14 90/5 90/10
Tutin [3] 21/24 22/24	53/4 98/5	6/22 15/10 17/20	162/20 164/9 187/19	122/19 130/15 132/23
26/18	understanding [29]		usual [3] 78/14 131/6	135/1 135/25 141/25
two [37] 9/1 18/2	3/20 4/23 15/3 30/5	44/8 46/5 46/24 48/5	154/9	144/24 147/12 156/7
21/6 22/4 23/9 61/13	31/17 67/11 67/13	50/18 51/8 51/13 52/2	utilise [1] 104/20	171/22 172/9 172/10
66/5 66/7 67/5 67/14	69/13 73/11 87/25 90/20 114/21 117/24	52/9 54/18 56/5 65/19 67/25 69/18 70/24	V	172/14 181/1
68/14 68/20 79/4	118/17 138/6 151/5	77/23 78/18 84/7	vacancies [1] 47/13	viewed [1] 101/6 views [7] 28/5 43/16
89/16 97/7 113/20	153/25 157/25 158/3	95/25 97/17 101/22	vacancy [1] 11/12	71/9 123/9 126/9
116/3 117/14 121/22	165/22 168/24 169/7	102/12 113/2 114/13	validate [1] 74/3	127/3 139/9
122/4 122/15 137/5	175/17 175/20 176/1	117/20 121/4 122/23	value [2] 25/13	virtual [3] 105/8
146/13 148/1 149/3 150/4 150/8 150/25	176/7 176/8 178/20	124/1 127/17 128/4	144/21	105/9 113/17
150/4 150/8 150/25	189/3	128/8 133/8 137/7	values [3] 54/10 59/6	virtually [1] 123/13
155/6 156/7 162/24		148/15 149/22 149/25	145/19	vis [2] 84/5 84/5
174/8 178/3 178/4	understood [8] 4/21	150/5 152/1 152/4	variety [2] 129/8	vis à vis [1] 84/5
tying [1] 155/13	5/6 30/6 67/2 89/22	152/6 152/20 152/22	152/6	visibility [1] 4/12
type [2] 46/25 103/5	96/6 117/9 161/20 undertake [2] 76/24	155/16 159/20 163/18 172/5 174/1 178/3	109/11 129/4 144/3	vital [1] 130/20 vocation [1] 2/10
types [3] 143/4 143/4	173/13	178/9 178/13 180/15	144/10 152/5 177/20	voice [2] 43/16
143/5	undertaken [5] 29/2	181/22 190/3	184/3	183/16
typical [3] 6/11 8/22	75/15 76/7 167/23	update [6] 91/14	vast [2] 71/10 147/24	volatility [1] 84/10
9/3	170/19	133/24 151/17 154/10	verbatim [2] 46/4	volume [2] 13/13
U	undervalued [1] 7/6	158/24 171/20	46/4	136/23
UK [5] 2/14 2/17 95/9	undoubtedly [1] 33/5		version [2] 46/17	voluntary [2] 34/9
111/3 112/1	unexplained [5]	upon [4] 4/9 23/24	121/5	81/12
UKGI [4] 131/20	115/23 115/25 116/2	101/3 134/24	versus [2] 139/1 141/4	vote [1] 80/7
141/6 141/19 141/25	118/13 118/16 unhelpful [1] 59/23	upper [1] 20/25 upset [1] 75/3	very [88] 1/11 1/23	voted [2] 80/4 80/5 voting [2] 59/19
ultimate [1] 17/13	unifying [1] 140/16	upward [1] 150/15	3/12 6/2 7/8 7/10 7/15	79/25
ultimately [3] 27/21	unions [1] 71/10	upwards [2] 125/16	10/13 10/14 12/18	
32/25 68/22 unable [1] 7/24	unique [1] 1/24	150/19	12/23 15/14 17/11	W
uncertainty [1] 75/3	unit [31] 68/17 70/11	urgent [1] 3/25	18/8 21/21 28/6 36/12	wait [2] 65/19 140/9
uncomfortable [1]	73/6 73/16 73/25	URN [1] 108/24	37/5 39/17 41/1 41/4	waive [1] 151/2
6/7	75/17 76/2 76/9 76/22		45/5 45/14 45/23	Walton [1] 171/17
under [23] 3/12 3/24	77/7 78/12 79/8 79/15	16/20 17/6 29/8 32/1	47/16 48/7 49/15	want [34] 3/3 9/17 21/16 21/17 21/19
7/5 11/2 12/15 22/12	79/20 81/14 81/15	34/5 36/23 37/21 38/3	49/15 50/6 53/6 54/4 55/3 55/3 57/15 58/21	21/16 21/17 21/19 22/4 25/20 26/2 31/9
23/15 35/11 36/6	81/25 82/9 82/13 82/17 83/18 84/8	38/21 40/23 40/25 41/1 46/24 47/8 49/17		41/14 56/7 56/8 81/19
71/21 76/2 97/7 97/10	84/21 84/25 85/7	49/17 50/25 52/18	62/7 62/20 62/21	98/22 99/13 100/18
102/2 102/8 106/10	85/23 86/12 87/2	56/7 61/4 65/18 65/25		116/23 117/6 127/13
116/20 125/23 138/15 147/13 152/22 169/24	87/14 87/18 89/19	70/19 70/23 72/13	78/3 79/14 82/6 83/1	128/12 132/9 160/7
192/13	universe [4] 134/17	77/3 78/10 79/3 80/8	86/21 95/7 98/7 98/10	163/12 174/9 174/20
	134/19 135/7 135/20	83/16 83/22 85/11	101/11 102/3 103/17	174/21 176/2 182/21
L	L		I	(80) turn want

(80) turn... - want

W	192/12 192/19	35/9 35/18 35/19 38/2	122/14 126/9 126/12	whereas [1] 149/7
want [6] 184/9	we've [54] 7/2 9/24	38/3 38/5 42/23 44/20		
184/19 185/21 187/5	20/12 20/18 21/25	45/12 47/13 53/17	128/1 128/2 128/8	whether [42] 18/25
189/15 189/16	40/7 41/23 43/6 45/23	56/21 57/4 58/14	128/23 129/6 131/22	19/1 27/3 28/11 35/5
wanted [9] 37/25	45/25 51/7 54/7 55/5	60/14 60/15 60/16	132/9 132/23 135/4	37/2 45/7 47/19 51/1
53/16 96/4 96/4 104/3	58/22 64/15 70/19	61/9 61/12 61/13	135/13 135/17 135/21	54/21 56/11 56/22
119/12 127/15 157/25	81/15 85/24 86/5	61/19 62/16 62/17	137/2 137/14 138/12	70/19 90/5 91/2 91/20
183/25	88/11 89/1 89/12	66/24 66/25 67/24	139/20 140/4 140/23 141/6 141/21 142/22	100/16 102/4 110/25
wants [2] 50/14	98/20 99/3 99/4 99/6 99/8 102/1 112/12	68/2 70/12 71/21 71/24 73/13 73/16	141/6 141/21 142/22	111/11 122/19 124/23 128/19 138/7 151/13
121/7	112/21 117/5 117/13	74/2075/1075/10	143/4 143/5 144/20	159/24 160/4 160/9
warrants [1] 124/12	119/17 119/19 120/13	75/20 76/1 76/13 77/5		160/19 161/11 175/15
was [390]	120/14 120/21 120/22	77/14 77/15 77/18	147/21 147/24 148/8	175/19 176/4 176/10
wasn't [36] 6/11 6/18	126/16 128/7 128/9	81/3 81/7 84/2 84/4	148/21 151/12 155/19	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
8/10 12/13 15/19 22/8	133/13 137/2 141/4	84/12 84/13 91/23	155/20 156/1 156/3	185/8 185/21 185/22
32/10 32/10 33/21	145/8 147/1 148/7	92/21 99/16 101/21	156/7 156/16 157/22	188/14 190/9
35/18 35/23 45/4 47/15 48/5 50/10	164/5 166/10 170/25	107/7 109/23 110/2	159/9 161/23 162/4	which [72] 2/10 2/17
58/11 65/16 66/9	172/24 183/11 184/24	110/6 110/12 110/15	162/7 162/9 163/4	10/25 14/6 20/23
66/15 66/17 67/11	192/12	113/4 113/5 113/19	163/4 164/2 165/4	23/23 25/15 27/20
70/9 70/24 70/25	website [2] 1/25	115/18 118/21 120/4	172/9 173/1 174/1	28/3 28/13 33/16
71/23 79/13 80/15	108/25	123/15 124/3 124/23	174/21 176/15 178/17	35/10 38/15 39/18
89/20 89/23 90/19	Wednesday [1] 41/9	124/25 127/12 127/19		45/14 49/4 52/12
92/10 92/16 92/17	week [12] 5/14 47/12	128/22 129/25 134/20		52/15 52/22 53/23
118/12 127/23 157/21	62/2 62/8 113/20	135/10 135/17 135/17		55/12 60/6 60/13
way [31] 1/25 14/8	113/22 113/23 113/24	135/23 135/24 136/21	191/25 192/5 192/9	62/22 65/4 68/2 73/4
15/15 22/12 39/21	119/17 121/22 179/24 191/4	139/6 139/7 148/18 148/21 149/3 149/7	what's [12] 12/1 24/20 59/12 73/9 75/5	73/24 80/22 81/18 87/14 90/14 91/25
47/16 49/6 50/12	weekend [1] 62/5	149/11 149/16 150/10		94/1 95/16 96/25
53/23 54/4 63/18	weekly [1] 41/12	152/9 155/6 155/6	161/24 162/24 172/14	97/19 109/18 127/17
83/17 86/8 101/5	weeks [4] 41/18	155/7 157/5 157/16	189/7	128/18 128/20 130/24
106/24 109/1 125/9	46/23 135/15 181/16	157/22 158/14 159/3	whatever [2] 176/21	134/11 140/1 141/21
	well [58] 3/12 5/9	160/9 160/11 160/12	182/12	144/4 144/17 145/8
	5/20 9/22 12/13 13/11	160/13 160/16 160/25	when [57] 3/17 5/19	148/1 150/1 153/24
164/16 172/24 178/5 179/5 179/6 181/17	13/13 21/21 23/19	162/3 169/18 183/23	7/18 9/7 12/23 15/18	154/20 155/9 156/12
192/13 192/13	25/2 26/22 34/11	186/17 190/1 191/8	19/25 25/10 26/12	156/21 157/8 158/14
ways [3] 49/4 178/15	35/22 39/21 41/23	191/8	30/8 33/23 34/7 36/9	165/16 167/7 167/15
185/12	42/4 46/21 49/9 54/23		52/5 53/6 53/8 54/1	167/25 171/4 173/10
we [437]	62/22 63/20 72/14	34/18 54/18 106/8	54/20 58/13 60/13	174/11 174/16 175/12
we'd [4] 12/15 45/19	73/19 78/3 83/19	what [160] 2/7 4/25	67/4 71/24 88/7 90/11	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
61/16 96/15	85/23 107/23 109/17	5/10 5/18 5/25 6/11	94/11 102/5 102/17	181/18 182/17 185/7
we'll [23] 11/22 46/12	111/10 111/23 117/13 118/5 120/18 124/1	8/1 11/14 13/24 14/7 14/16 15/11 16/14	110/15 111/11 113/8 114/2 114/5 118/22	while [11] 25/25 55/24 74/4 78/21 90/6
54/23 69/5 81/13	127/4 130/4 132/25	18/20 19/21 20/6	119/4 123/8 124/16	157/16 159/22 170/15
87/15 89/4 117/22	133/11 137/1 137/5	20/19 21/1 21/8 22/13		171/14 182/1 182/24
123/5 126/17 131/11	112/21 112/23 118/21	25/18 30/5 30/19	142/8 145/3 145/6	whilst [10] 4/2 17/1
	159/20 162/14 163/1	30/23 30/25 31/5	145/10 147/16 149/20	
141/13 143/6 155/11 156/7 156/14 157/4	165/8 166/2 167/1	31/10 32/14 34/14	154/16 156/4 156/9	82/5 83/8 83/9 100/21
173/6 174/13 179/3	176/7 178/19 182/3	35/9 36/17 37/6 37/7	157/12 159/13 160/17	whistleblower [1]
we're [50] 1/5 13/13	182/15 183/14 187/3	37/22 38/21 39/18	165/21 167/9 171/15	66/10
22/1 22/3 22/17 25/25	191/21 192/12 192/20		171/24 178/23 184/9	whistleblowers [1]
26/2 33/9 40/4 40/6	wellbeing [5] 30/21	51/17 52/19 53/11	where [44] 4/16 5/14	44/10
40/8 41/13 46/17	38/12 41/15 61/20	56/16 56/23 56/23	9/15 9/25 17/25 23/20	
53/25 54/3 54/14 60/1	74/9	60/8 61/4 63/21 64/11	24/24 25/4 26/10 27/9	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
69/4 78/12 81/11	went [4] 8/14 37/6	65/18 65/24 65/25	32/8 41/11 43/14	103/23 103/25 104/4
82/17 86/12 86/14	147/19 166/1 were [144] 3/12 3/24	66/17 67/2 69/15 73/19 76/12 77/3 80/8	50/23 56/1 67/21	104/12 who [72] 3/10 6/19
90/14 91/9 98/18 99/2	4/21 5/7 5/11 7/5 7/13		81/20 81/21 90/15	8/5 9/21 11/20 11/24
99/10 100/23 104/17	7/14 7/14 7/15 7/24	83/16 84/14 86/2	96/9 97/8 97/23 99/4	20/11 20/13 25/10
107/13 108/5 112/17	8/5 8/5 8/11 9/12 9/13			27/20 27/21 32/23
	0/1/ 0/15 13// 22/6	93/2 94/19 97/20	110/22 119/25 127/12	33/10 33/11 33/13
117/20 126/12 127/16	23/22 23/24 24/1	101/13 102/14 111/16		34/16 35/3 37/21 44/9
133/4 139/25 140/8	25/21 26/12 26/20	112/19 115/5 116/23	146/1 155/4 159/4	46/11 46/20 47/21
142/20 155/4 156/3 156/20 163/2 163/5	28/4 28/6 29/21 30/1	116/23 117/17 119/2	175/22 176/2 177/15	49/7 49/20 49/22
130/20 103/2 103/3	30/6 32/8 34/11 34/16	119/8 121/16 122/7	180/18 189/4 189/11	49/24 51/4 51/12 54/7
				(81) want who

(81) want... - who

W	171/6 173/21 174/16	Woodley [7] 35/3	workstream [1]	162/21 189/14
	175/3 177/22 177/23	61/10 67/23 161/14	180/13	wrongdoing [3]
who [43] 57/3 57/11 61/9 68/25	182/8 182/16 184/9	169/9 169/10 170/10	workstreams [1]	91/24 93/6 93/13
71/11 74/15 74/16	186/5 187/3 188/7	word [3] 83/8 88/17	180/2	wrongs [1] 16/19
75/20 76/1 77/15	188/23 189/23 190/6	97/25	worried [1] 65/9	wrote [2] 47/18 190/4
84/17 87/1 88/17	190/7 191/10 193/17	words [3] 26/9 52/18	worry [1] 61/17	Wyn [7] 16/7 106/1
92/12 92/19 92/24	193/18	54/1	worryingly [1]	121/12 171/4 181/12
93/1 93/9 100/11	Williams [6] 23/21	work [86] 3/25 4/17	191/15	194/8 194/14
100/12 103/15 106/8	106/1 177/3 181/12		0	Y
106/17 115/7 116/7	194/8 194/14 Willow2 [3] 149/21	15/22 16/20 18/10 18/18 18/23 26/22	worthwhile [2] 15/4 15/7	yeah [9] 42/18 67/25
117/5 123/16 126/8	150/3 152/13	31/20 38/1 39/23 40/4	would [143] 4/17 5/5	88/25 103/20 105/15
127/21 130/5 131/3	Wilson [1] 92/15	40/16 40/18 41/5 41/6		106/16 159/20 162/1
131/6 132/25 139/6	wish [7] 23/23 24/10	42/17 48/25 57/20	7/11 8/2 8/6 8/16 8/19	176/8
139/20 142/1 147/12	78/22 97/17 130/16	63/1 67/12 67/25	9/3 9/11 10/4 12/25	year [43] 1/14 32/22
171/13 178/24 179/11 185/17 191/11 191/14	171/7 171/11	69/12 69/14 70/1 70/4		33/3 36/21 37/1 37/24
Who's [1] 40/1	within [50] 15/21	71/8 72/3 72/23 73/23	17/11 18/11 18/13	42/23 48/6 56/18
whole [9] 43/13	16/12 19/15 27/12	73/24 75/13 75/17	19/9 20/2 20/3 20/4	67/20 72/18 83/3
45/14 96/8 101/24	27/13 28/20 33/16	76/2 76/7 76/25 77/9	20/8 20/11 20/25	84/20 85/6 91/10
122/3 143/24 154/21	38/5 39/18 44/2 44/17	81/22 90/7 94/25	21/10 21/12 21/15	91/11 97/1 97/4 97/19
178/21 180/25	44/24 45/2 46/23	96/20 97/14 97/23	21/16 21/16 23/11	97/21 100/6 108/15 109/23 109/24 110/3
wholeheartedly [1]	63/13 64/2 65/13	98/2 98/6 98/8 98/14 98/19 98/20 99/2	23/17 24/18 24/18 24/24 25/18 28/23	109/23 109/24 110/3
107/9	66/13 67/16 67/21 75/1 76/22 77/17	100/19 100/23 101/9	32/2 32/9 32/14 32/24	149/23 151/15 152/7
wholesale [1] 146/5	81/25 83/14 83/20	102/2 104/17 107/11	33/2 35/6 35/7 36/17	159/2 159/8 160/17
whose [2] 17/7 186/3	86/9 90/7 91/7 94/2	110/15 113/9 116/23	37/6 39/3 40/15 43/19	161/15 161/15 163/17
why [26] 3/7 6/4	98/1 101/4 103/9	120/17 120/20 127/7	47/1 47/16 47/20 48/2	165/17 171/15 171/16
11/24 63/9 63/16	104/24 113/13 114/7	127/8 131/9 132/2	50/10 50/15 55/14	171/20 179/16 185/15
67/21 68/1 69/11	117/9 119/23 124/9	136/1 137/7 140/25	59/22 59/24 60/7	years [21] 2/8 46/22
69/19 80/22 84/7 91/12 110/12 110/13	135/20 136/19 139/6	142/1 142/6 142/11	60/19 60/23 61/11	47/3 47/21 95/2 95/5
120/6 120/16 136/18	156/6 159/5 162/20	144/4 149/21 163/9	62/19 62/24 64/5	96/12 119/8 120/13
149/5 151/25 154/20	163/25 177/11 180/19		64/12 65/21 68/4	122/18 123/18 156/10
161/2 165/25 176/24	186/13 187/25	178/12 181/21 186/24		156/10 159/13 159/21
177/2 178/14 183/25	without [5] 4/4 28/15	191/25 192/16	76/23 77/1 77/16	159/25 161/3 163/18 165/3 173/9 173/16
wide [2] 140/17		worked [18] 2/11 5/9 5/11 8/21 9/1 46/21	77/24 78/18 78/22 78/25 79/5 79/6 79/6	years' [1] 2/4
170/20	WITN11360100 [5] 1/24 3/16 52/3 65/23	68/3 79/7 92/19 95/3	79/8 79/9 79/9 79/9 79/10	yes [213]
widened [2] 102/4	94/2	95/6 95/9 109/7 120/7		yesterday [1] 123/8
186/6	WITN11390100 [2]	120/18 121/3 134/10	90/22 93/7 96/11	yet [8] 31/17 75/21
wider [7] 12/12 20/22	108/24 114/14	134/12	96/11 96/11 96/14	111/11 131/19 157/16
34/3 64/20 178/23 185/9 185/18	witness [33] 1/12	workers [2] 84/6 84/6		161/7 177/21 178/1
wielded [1] 58/9	1/23 3/15 6/10 7/3	workforce [2] 73/15	103/1 104/11 104/16	you [580]
will [83] 1/24 11/23	16/10 29/6 31/24	76/12	104/17 120/11 123/10	
16/12 20/12 20/13	42/13 51/25 52/9	working [31] 4/12 5/7		140/22
20/15 21/15 24/10	65/15 65/21 66/12	47/15 50/2 56/3 56/4	135/24 137/3 145/22	you'll [2] 47/20 95/8
27/22 28/18 41/7 44/9	67/15 88/6 88/10	56/574/1678/12	146/8 154/25 159/6	you're [20] 18/14 43/1 45/7 55/15 65/18
52/12 63/18 64/7	95/21 104/6 104/10 108/13 108/24 110/2	82/17 86/9 87/1 92/25 93/8 93/9 101/21	159/9 159/12 159/13 160/12 160/23 163/10	65/25 93/14 95/15
71/12 81/14 81/20	113/7 114/14 117/23	109/4 113/13 133/4	166/15 166/22 167/17	95/15 111/16 115/15
82/9 85/20 94/6 99/7	119/1 166/15 166/20	139/21 139/25 141/23		121/25 129/2 143/22
102/15 102/16 104/4	167/24 168/20 182/1	145/24 154/15 154/17		165/17 176/23 181/24
104/13 106/4 108/25	193/3	160/4 169/3 172/4	175/21 175/22 176/3	182/1 185/21 192/9
111/12 114/2 116/23 121/5 126/7 126/19	witnesses [5] 11/22	174/2 177/13 185/13	179/13 181/17 181/18	
127/8 127/9 128/1	69/24 71/6 125/11	workload [5] 73/14	182/7 182/10 185/4	7/24 13/19 13/20
129/4 131/6 131/8	166/6	73/21 74/7 76/12	186/24 193/17	13/21 15/6 16/10
131/16 131/16 141/11	Wolfenden [2]	114/2	wouldn't [11] 4/18	16/11 31/25 33/8
143/1 143/5 144/19	100/10 100/15	workplace [2] 28/5	6/24 8/20 9/3 48/4	33/15 34/22 44/1 51/10 57/24 59/17
145/2 145/2 146/5	women [2] 26/7 26/12	28/12 works [7] 10/7 48/16	48/4 60/18 60/18 107/8 107/9 177/3	67/15 95/5 97/22
146/19 152/2 155/12	won't [9] 31/16	48/18 48/21 142/8	writing [2] 66/8 179/7	103/2 103/15 105/3
156/5 156/14 162/12	103/25 147/6 156/13	172/22 178/7	written [2] 47/11	106/14 106/17 106/23
168/5 168/11 168/15	166/5 170/25 177/25	workshop [1] 171/19	185/18	110/1 118/22 120/25
168/20 168/23 169/4	182/9 182/15	workshops [1]	wrong [7] 76/6 80/24	120/25 139/17 139/17
170/3 170/6 171/4	wonder [1] 70/18	171/14	103/18 142/6 155/7	147/14 148/6 161/12
				(82) who vou've

(82) who... - you've

Y				
you've [5] 177/4				
181/15 183/12 185/14				
190/3				
YouGov [6] 17/20				
45/16 115/13 115/16				
164/5 191/1				
Young [2] 120/15				
122/25				
your [139] 1/9 1/18				
5/10 5/18 6/1 6/10 7/2				
7/3 9/17 9/18 9/25				
10/3 11/14 12/1 13/20				
13/22 14/16 16/10				
16/11 19/15 20/19				
21/8 24/20 27/12				
28/20 29/6 29/8 30/5				
30/25 31/5 31/24				
33/10 33/16 34/2				
42/13 43/23 44/24				
48/9 51/10 51/25 52/3				
52/9 56/22 59/12				
59/22 64/10 65/15				
65/21 66/12 67/15				
67/16 67/21 80/8 85/3				
85/4 89/14 90/5 93/25				
94/1 94/2 103/5 103/8				
103/18 105/7 107/23				
108/10 108/18 108/21				
110/1 110/1 111/5				
114/14 117/23 118/20				
119/1 121/16 122/19				
122/23 123/20 126/9				
127/3 128/12 130/15				
130/19 132/23 134/1				
134/2 137/19 138/6				
144/24 145/20 147/3				
148/6 157/3 158/15				
159/15 165/20 166/11				
167/5 168/4 168/10				
168/12 169/6 170/12				
171/8 171/15 171/22				
172/9 172/14 175/18				
176/2 176/7 179/21				
181/18 182/9 182/19				
183/1 183/14 184/21 185/1 186/19 192/4				
192/20 193/3 193/4				
yourself [3] 3/6				
145/12 145/14				
Z				
Zarin [1] 123/15				
Zdravko [1] 152/15				
L	1			(83) you've Zdravko