Thomas Penny

From: Sent:

Jenkins Gareth GI

To:

03 March 2010 14:18

warwicktatford(____ Thomas Penny

Cc: Subject:

REGINA v SEEMA MISRA

GUILDFORD CROWN COURT TRIAL - 15TH MARCH

2010

Attachments:

0303.5th interim technical expert's report to the Court 2010-02-25 v0 1 (2).doc

Warwick,

As requested, I've added my comments to Charles McLachlan's 5th Report (attached).



0303.5th interim technical exp...

I've also now got hold of the transaction logs for December 2006 to December 2007. These amount to a total of nearly half a million transactions (431,490 to be precise).

What I have done is searched through them looking for all examples of Debit Card transactions which have not been successful, since this seems to be one of the defence's main attacks on the system.

There are 92 such failed transactions for a total value of £117,149.98. I've analysed all those with an individual value of more than £1,000 (leaving £6,113.55 worth that I've not analysed).

In all the cases I've analysed one of 3 things happened:

- The Customer session was then settled by a Cheque (and so the failure must have been noticed by the clerk)
- 2. The Customer session was abandoned (ie any good were returned and the transactions cancelled and the only item from the session is the failed Debit Card payment).
- The Customer session was settled to Cash (which could have been accidental). However in all such cases the transaction was subsequently reversed resulting in the cash also being reversed.

There are business rules that control whether transactions can be cancelled or if they have to be committed and then reversed (which is the main difference between cases 2 and 3 above). I suspect (but can't necessarily prove) that in case 2 the sessions were for purchase of Foreign Currency. I case 3 the sessions were all for purchase of Premium Bonds.

think this refutes the assertion that failed Debit Card Payments are the cause of the losses.

Should this information be passed on to Charles McLachlan?

I'm not sure what further analysis to carry out. However I've identified some possible areas to pursue:

- 1. I've looked at Pouch Reversals (where cash or currency is packed for despatch to POL's Cash Centre) and the Pouch is subsequently Cancelled. This is a method by which cash losses can be partially hidden and was mentioned in the defendant's interviews. For Dec 2006 there were no such examples.
- Each night there should be a Cash Declaration made for each Stock Unit in the Branch indicating the current cash in the till. It is also possible to look at all the cash movements for each Stock Unit by looking at the Cash transactions. For December 2006 I've tried to compare the Cash movements in terms of the Transactions and also in terms of differences in Declarations and there seems to be very little correlation indicating that the cash declarations are probably inaccurate
- As part of the monthly Balancing process, special transactions are recorded to reflect Stock Adjustments and Discrepancies detected by the system as part of this process. These all result in the system assuming that Cash it put into (or removed) from the Till to reflect these Adjustments / Discrepancies. It may be worth examining these.

Regards

Gareth

Gareth Jenkins Distinguished Engineer

Applications Architect Royal Mail Group Account

FILIITSI			
		 TOL	
	~ 1	 1151	

1 001100			
Lovelace Road,	Bracknell, Berkshire, RG1	2 8SN	
Tel:	GRO	Internal:	GRO
	(Note new external numb	er -	·
	old number will not work	after 31/12/20	09)
Mobile:	GRO	Internal:	GRO
email:	Gareth.Jenkins GRO	o	
Web:	http://uk.fujitsu.com		



Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

Fujitsu Services Limited, Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office 22 Baker Street, London, W1U 3BW

This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

Confidential and Privileged
On instruction of Coomber Rich Solicitors
Yard House, Basingstoke, RG21 7NX

Re: Seema Misra

5th Interim Technical expert's report to the Court prepared by Charles Alastair McLachlan, a Director of Amsphere Consulting Ltd.

90 Fenchurch Street London EC3M 4BY England

This report contains 3, pages

Deleted

Confidential and Privileged On instruction of Coomber Rich Solicitors Yard House, Basingstoke, RG21 7NX

Contents

1	REVIEW OF PROGRESS AS OF 25 TH FEBRUARY 2010	1
2	INSTRUCTIONS ETC	1
3	MY DUTIES TO THE COURT	1

Confidential and Privileged On instruction of Coomber Rich Solicitors Yard House, Basingstoke, RG21 7NX

1 Review of progress as of 25th February 2010

1.1 Introduction

This report has been prepared to describe the progress made by myself, the IT Expert instructed by the Defence since first instructed in September 2009. This has been offered as a simple table to provide clarity as to the development of hypotheses of time, the work still required to conduct a proper investigation and the implications of the witness statement of and interview with Gareth Jenkins in February 2010

	Hypothesis	1st Raised	Investigation Required	Progress to date	Expected time required to complete investigation	Implications of most recent information
	The User Interface gives rise to incorrect data entry: poor user experience design can give rise to poor data entry quality.	21 September 2009	User interface design audit	It has not been possible to arrange for access to a branch terminal system under conditions that would permit a user interface design audit or the implications of a need for screen.	Six weeks from the time that a date is offered for access to a branch system for the purpose of a user interface design audit	Gareth Jenkins, in a telephone interview on 12th February 2010 confirmed the evidence in his witness statement dated 2nd February that use of the Fast
	entry quanty.	·		recalibration		Cash button could result in rejected card payment being treated as over the counter cash. Further, he said that there was a
		·				possibility that when the touch screen needed recalibrating a user could believe they had pressed one button while the system recorded the pressing of a
!		, ·				different button (the call logs to Fujistu identify that recalibration was necessary on more than one occasion). I have now checked all cases of
	The User Interface gives	21 September	Review of user	It has not been possible to review the	Two weeks from the time that a complete	Rejected Card payments and they don't explain the discrepancies so this hypothesis is irrelevant Gareth Jenkins, in a telephone
	rise to incorrect data entry: inadequately user experience testing can give rise to poor data entry quality.	2009	experience testing conducted for Horizon	adequacy of the user experience testing in the absence of any details of the user experience testing process and test results from the Post Office or Fujistsu	set of information relating to the user experience testing activities has been provided.	interview on 12th February 2010 confirmed the evidence in his witness statement dated 2nd February that use of the Fast Cash button could result in
						rejected card payment being treated as over the counter cash. In the absence of test information it has not been possible to determine whether other similar
						issues were identified during user experience testing. I have now checked all cases of Rejected Card payments and they don't explain the discrepancies so this hypothesis is irrelevant

Hypothesis	1st Raised	Investigation Required	Progress to date	Expected time required to complete investigation	Implications of most recent information
The User Interface gives rise to incorrect data entry: in cases that users are working under pressure the problems of data entry can be exacerbated.	21 September 2009	Electronic Observation of operational post office where discrepancies are continuing to arise	A post office has been identified where the sub post master is suffering from continuing discrepancies, there is currently no suggestion of theft or false accounting and the sub postmaster is prepared to permit electronic observation of the use of the Horizon system. The use of a camera or screen capture tool was proposed in the report dated 19th November 2009. It has not been possible to conduct electronic observation of the use of the Horizon system at the identified post office because the Post Office has not released the sub postmaster from their contractual obligation of confidentiality and the Post Office has not facilitated any arrangements to install the necessary monitoring tool.	Six weeks from the time that a date is offered for access to a branch system for the purpose of electronic monitoring	Gareth Jenkins, in a telephone interview on 12th February 2010 confirmed the evidence in his witness statement dated 2nd February that use of the Fast Cash button could result in rejected card payment being treated as over the counter cash. The Post Office in the Midlands reports that the level of discrepancies appears to have increased as the level of card based transactions has increased. I have now checked all cases of Rejected Card payments and they don't explain the discrepancies so this hypothesis is irrelevant
The User Interface gives rise to incorrect data entry: in cases that users are insufficiently trained the problems of data entry can be exacerbated.	21 September 2009	Detailed review of training both for Seema Misra and, more generally, the training process and outcomes for individuals with a similar profile of experience and English language capability	It has not been possible to review full and complete training records for Seema Misra. It has not been possible to review a complete set of training materials. No log of the calls to the National Business Support Centre has been provided, the original log of calls to the Fujitsu help line has not been provided - such a log of calls would provide evidence as to the extent to which Seema Misra had been sufficiently trained to operate the branch system. Finally, there has been no opportunity to observe the training process for a sub postmaster with a similar level of English capability as Seema Misra.	Two weeks from the time that a complete set of information has been provided and the training process has been observed for an individual with a similar level of English language capability	We have been provided with part of a guide that explains the process for manually dealing with a card payment that fails to be properly recorded due to a system problem. It has not been possible to establish whether the training Seema Misra received ensured that she was competent to deal with this kind of problem. No comment

Hypothesis	1st Raised.	Investigation Required	Progress to date	Expected time required to complete investigation	Implications of most recent information
The User Interface gives rise to incorrect data entry: in cases that users are using a system presented in a language different from their first language the problems of data entry can be exacerbated.	21 September 2009	Detailed review of training both for Seema Misra and, more generally, the training process and outcomes for individuals with a similar profile of experience and English language capability	It has not been possible to review full and complete training records for Seema Misra. Nor has it been possible to review a complete set of training materials. Finally, to observe the training process for a sub postmaster with a similar level of English capability as Seema Misra.	Two weeks from the time that a complete set of information has been provided and the training process has been observed for an individual with a similar level of English language capability	We have been provided with part of a guide that explains the process for manually dealing with a card payment that fails to be properly recorded due to a system problem. It has not been possible to establish whether the training Seema Misra received ensured that she was competent to deal with this kind of problem.
The Horizon System fails to properly process transactions	21 September 2009	In order to identify whether this is a possible cause, it would be necessary in the first instance examine the operations in normal Post Office conditions where there is an experience by the branch manager of what they believe to be incorrect transaction processing.	A post office has been identified where the sub post master is suffering from continuing discrepancies, there is currently no suggestion of theft or false accounting and the sub postmaster is prepared to permit electronic observation of the use of the Horizon system. The use of a camera or screen capture tool was proposed in the report dated 19th November 2009. It has not been possible to conduct electronic observation of the use of the Horizon system at the identified post office because the Post Office has not released the sub postmaster from their contractual obligation of confidentiality and the Post Office has not facilitated any arrangements to install the necessary monitoring tool.	Six weeks from the time that a date is offered for access to a branch system for the purpose of electronic monitoring	Gareth Jenkins, in a telephone interview on 12th February 2010 explained that the Horizon system managed by Fujitsu is only part of a much larger of integrated systems used by the Post Office to manage their counters business. In particular, SAP, a data warehouse and technology connecting to a merchant service provider for card payment services is involved. The scope of any systems audit will need to ensure that any issue relating to these other systems can be excluded. I don't see the relevance of such a statement to this observation. What happens in the Branch is recorded in the local branch logs and we now have these for the 13 moth period of Dec 06 to Dec 07. Clearly we can't prove that nothing is missing from the logs, but there is no evidence to indicate any system faults that result in missing transactions

Hypothesis	1st Raised	Investigation Required	Progress to date	Expected time required to complete investigation	Implications of most recent information
The Horizon System fails to	21 September	If there is prima facie	It has not been possible to conduct a	Two weeks from the time that the interviews	Gareth Jenkins, in a telephone
properly process	2009	evidence of incorrect	detailed interviews with members of	are completed	interview on 12th February 2010
transactions		transaction processing,	the Fujitsu team although a prelimary		explained that the Horizon system
		it would be necessary	conversation with Gareth Jenkins	,	managed by Fujitsu is only part of
*		to review the technical	occurred on 12th February 2010	• .	a much larger of integrated
		documentation of the			systems used by the Post Office
		Horizon system and			to manage their counters
·		interview key	l '		business. In particular, SAP, a
1 '		individuals responsible			data warehouse and technology
		for the system within	•		connecting to a merchant service
		the Fujitsu team in			provider for card payment
		order to understand			services is involved. The scope of
		the potential source of			the interviews will need to engage
` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `		the incorrect			managers and technical experts
		transaction processing.			so that any possible issues
					relating to these other systems
•					can be understood.
i					I don't see the relevance of such a
1				,	statement to this observation.
		*	•		What happens in the Branch is
					recorded in the local branch logs
			·		and we now have these for the 13
					moth period of Dec 06 to Dec 07.
					Clearly we can't prove that
					nothing is missing from the logs,
1.					but there is no evidence to
					indicate any system faults that
•	-			·	result in missing transactions.
	ن ا	1			The back end systems are
1	1		· ·		relevant to POL's overall
					accounting, but not to what is
					recorded and reported in the
1					Branch accounts which is what is
					indicating the losses which the
1					defendant is being accused of.

Confidential and Privileged On instruction of Coomber Rich Solicitors Yard House, Basingstoke, RG21 7NX

The Heaten Of Steel of City to 100 100	2. 189	1.60		
The Horizon System fails to 21 Septem		A number of hypotheses relating to	Six to twelve weeks from the time that a test	Gareth Jenkins, in a telephone
properly process 2009	of the incorrect	incorrect transaction processing have	environment is made available.	interview on 12th February 2010
transactions	transaction processing	been identified but it has not been		explained that the Horizon system
	can be identified then it	possible to conduct any testing in a		managed by Fujitsu is only part of
	would be helpful to be	controlled environment.		a much larger of integrated
_ 1	able to reproduce the		•	systems used by the Post Office
	problems under			to manage their counters
•	controlled test	· ·		business. In particular, SAP, a
	conditions in a	'		data warehouse and technology
	consistent and	The second secon		connecting to a merchant service
	reproducible manner.	·	·	provider for card payment
	This would require the		•	services is involved. The scope of
	assistance of Fujitsu in			testing process will need to
	providing access to the			ensure that end to end testing
	test environments			across these other environments
.	maintained in support			is possible if the problems cannot
	of the Horizon system.		'	be reproduced in the Fujitsu
·				environment alone.
Į į				I don't see the relevance of such a
<u> </u>				statement to this observation.
•			•	What happens in the Branch is
				recorded in the local branch logs
	1		~	and we now have these for the 13
		/	1	moth period of Dec 06 to Dec 07.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1			Clearly we can't prove that
	1 .			nothing is missing from the logs.
-			1	but there is no evidence to
	•		,	indicate any system faults that
	·		•	result in missing transactions.
		,		The back end systems are
	·			relevant to POL's overall
				accounting, but not to what is
	·		,	recorded and reported in the
				Branch accounts which is what is
				indicating the losses which the
1				defendant is being accused of.
				I'm not clear exactly what test
.]				scenarios are proposd. Given that
			,	the system has now moved on,
1		· ·		there are no longer any test
				facilities for the system as it
				operated in 2006 2007.

Нурс	othesis	1st Raised	Investigation Required	Progress to date	Expected time required to complete investigation	Implications of most recent information
resol recor the c timel discre Office	rect data entry is not ved by sub post office nciliation and relies on onsistent, accurate and y resolution of epancies by the Post e and operators of the con system	19 November 2009	System audit of branch transaction logs and the Post Office reconciliation system	It has not been possible to conduct a systems audit - indeed no branch transaction logs have been provided	Six to twelve weeks from the time the system audit commences	Gareth Jenkins, in a telephone interview on 12th February 2010 explained that branch transaction logs are extremely detailed records of all branch actions as well as any branch system exceptions (e.g. network failure). They are routinely archived for 7 years and a Fujitsu employee is
						engaged full-time to provide recovery of logs from the archive in a routine batch process which, he said, has been forensically examined to demonstrate a full chain of evidence necessary for the logs to be used in court. In
						addition, he explained that software is provided by Fujitsu for converting the logs into a readily accessible spreadsheet format for system and accounting audit purposes.
The	Using eveter door	19 November	System audit of branch	It has not been possible to conduct a	Six to twelve weeks from the time the	The logs are now available and I have started looking in them. NB there are 431,490 transactions in the 13 month period! Gareth Jenkins, in a telephone
not a mono syste termi indep capa comp to fai	Horizon system does ippear to be a single oilthic mainframe based em with computer inals with no pendent processing ibility: each of these ponents could give rise ults that result in repancies.	19 November 2009	transaction logs and the Post Office reconciliation system	systems audit - indeed no branch transaction logs have been provided	system audit commences	interview on 12th February 2010 explained that the Horizon system managed by Fujitsu is only part of a much larger set of integrated systems used by the Post Office to manage their counters business. In particular, SAP, a data warehouse and technology connecting to a merchant service provider for card payment
						services is involved. The scope of systems audit process will need to ensure that end to end audit of transaction records is conducted if

			the issue cannot be identified in the Fujitsu systems alone. The back end systems are irrelevant for problems in the branch accounts. The logs are now available
. [·	

Hypothesis	1st Raised	Investigation Required	Progress to date	Expected time required to complete investigation	Implications of most recent information
The end to end dialogue	19 November	System audit of branch	It has not been possible to conduct a	Six to twelve weeks from the time the	Gareth Jenkins, in a telephone
between the counter	2009	transaction logs and	systems audit - indeed no branch	system audit commences	interview on 12th February 2010
terminal, the card		the Post Office	transaction logs have been provided	'	explained that the Horizon system
authorisation terminal, the		reconciliation system			managed by Fujitsu is only part of
network, the core Horizon					a much larger set of integrated
system, the electronic funds				·	systems used by the Post Office
transfer component, the					to manage their counters
authorising merchant					business. In particular, SAP, a
service and the central post			,	•	data warehouse and technology
office branch accounting				,	connecting to a merchant service
system is a long running					provider for card payment
transaction with multiple					services is involved. The scope of
points of possible failure.	. `		•		systems audit process will need to
				•	ensure that end to end audit of
		-			transaction records is conducted if
	· ·			·	the issue cannot be identified in
•		-			the Fujitsu systems alone.
			·	. •	This is not relevant. The Branch
•					accounts are based purely on
				·	whether the Branch thinks the
					Debit Card was authorized or not.
					Any subsequent failures are
					irrelevant to the branch accounts.
Complex systems rarely	19 November	Based on the review of	It has not been possible to proceed	Six to ten weeks from the time the technical	Gareth Jenkins, in a telephone
have sufficient capability,	2009	the technical	with this review because no technical	documentation is provided	interview on 12th February 2010
built in to deal with all		documentation, it	documentation has been provided		explained that the Horizon system
possible failure points and		should be possible to			managed by Fujitsu is only part of
discrepancies are very likely		identify and examine			a much larger set of integrated
to arise which require		the various electronic			systems used by the Post Office
manual intervention based		log files maintained by			to manage their counters
on the reconciliation of		different components	•	,	business. In particular, SAP, a
paper and electronic logs at		of the systems			data warehouse and technology
different points in the		architecture that are			connecting to a merchant service
system.		required by the	l .	•	provider for card payment
		Electronic Mastercard			services is involved. The scope of
		Visa (EMV) standard	••		the technical documentation will
		or for Payment Card			need to cover all of these
		Industry (PCI)			systems.
		compliance.	· .		This is not relevant. The Branch
	'				accounts are based purely on
					accounts are based purely on whether the Branch thinks the

Confidential and Privileged

	rimspriore		•		On instruction of	Coomber Rich Solicitors Basingstoke, RG21 7NX
-						Debit Card was authorized or not. Any subsequent failures are irrelevant to the branch accounts.
				•		
					:	

Amsphere

Confidential and Privileged On instruction of Coomber Rich Solicitors Yard House, Basingstoke, RG21 7NX

2 Instructions etc.

2.1 Instructions

- 2.1.1 I am Charles Alastair McLachlan, a Director of Amsphere Consulting Limited, London, England specialising in information technology consulting. I have been instructed in this matter by Coomber Rich Solicitors, on behalf of their client, Seema Misra, ("the Defendant") to assist the court in this matter of alleged fraudulent accounting in providing expert evidence on the questions posed at 1.1.3 hereunder.
- 2.1.2 I have been instructed to review progress on my investigation to date and the expected time required to complete a full report.
- 2.2 My qualifications have been itemised in the previous two interim reports.
- 2.3 Confidentiality
- 2.3.1 This report is strictly private and confidential and has been prepared at the request of Coomber Rich Solicitors on behalf of their client, for the Court.
- 2.4 Legal and factual issues
- 2.4.1 This report should not be read as expressing any opinion on factual matters which depend on disputed testimony of the witnesses of fact, or legal issues. It, however, inevitably reflects my understanding of the position.

2.5 Sources of information

Confidential and Privileged On instruction of Coomber Rich Solicitors Yard House, Basingstoke, RG21 7NX

- 2.5.1 In preparing my report, I have considered the documents used for my previous reports
- 2.6 The scope of my work
- 2.6.1 I report as an expert witness, not as a witness of fact. I have reviewed the documentation provided to me.
- 2.7 Independence
- 2.7.1 I have prepared an independent and objective report addressed to the Court. I have had no previous involvement with the Defendant. I have no previous involvement with Coomber Rich Solicitors.
- 2.7.2 Amsphere's fees in this case are not dependent on the result of the proceedings in this matter.

3 My duties to the Court

- 3.1 I understand that my overriding duty is to the Court, both in preparing reports and in giving oral evidence. I have complied and will continue to comply with that duty.
- 3.2 I have set out in my report what I understand from those instructing me to be the questions in respect of which my opinions as an expert are required.
- 3.3 I have done my best, in preparing this report, to be accurate and complete. I have mentioned all matters that I regard as relevant to the opinions I have expressed. All of the matters on which I have expressed an opinion lie within my field of expertise.
- 3.4 I have drawn to the attention of the Court to all matters, of which I am aware, which might adversely affect my opinion.
- 3.5 Wherever I have no personal knowledge, I have indicated the source of factual information.
- 3.6 I have not included anything in this report that has been suggested to me by anyone, including the lawyers instructing me, without forming my own independent view of the matter.
- 3.7 Where in my view, there is a range of reasonable opinion, I have indicated the extent of that range in the report.
- 3.8 At the time of signing the report I consider it to be complete and accurate. I will notify those instructing me if, for any reason, I subsequently consider that the report requires any correction or qualification.

Confidential and Privileged On instruction of Coomber Rich Solicitors Yard House, Basingstoke, RG21 7NX

- 3.9 I understand that this report will be the evidence that I will give under oath, subject to any correction or qualification I may make before swearing to its veracity.
- 3.10 I have included in this report a statement setting out the substance of all facts and instructions given to me, which are material to the opinions expressed in this report or upon which those opinions are based.
- 3.11 I confirm that insofar as the facts stated in my report are within my own knowledge I have made clear which they are, and I believe them to be true, and the opinions that I have expressed represent my true and complete professional opinion.

Charles McLachlan Amsphere Consulting Ltd 90 Fenchurch Street London, EC3M 4BY. England

Thursday, 25 February 2010