1		Friday 28 June 2024
2	(9.4	5 am)
3	мр	GARETH IDRIS JENKINS (continued)
4 5		BEER: Good morning, sir, can you see and hear us? WYN WILLIAMS: No, I can't hear you actually. I can see
6	310	you fine but I can't hear you.
7	MR	BEER: Can you hear me now, sir? I'll try once more.
8		Can you hear me now, sir? (Pause)
9		Can you hear us now, sir?
10	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: I can.
11	MR	BEER: Thank you very much, sir.
12		This morning's questioning is going to begin with
13		questions from Ms Page.
14	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.
15		Questioned by MS PAGE
16	MS	PAGE: Do you remember going into the witness box in
17		Guildford Crown Court on 14 October 2010?
18	Α.	Yes, I do.
19	Q.	Seema Misra was in the dock, she sits beside me now. Do
20		you recognise her?
21	A.	Yes, I do.
22 23	Q. A.	The judge will have been on the bench, yes? Yes.
23 24	A. Q.	Tes. The jury will have been opposite you, looking straight
24	ч.	at you. Did you know that the reason that the jury are
20		1
1		the day job, wasn't it?
2	Α.	,
3	Q.	It will have felt
4	Α.	Sorry, yes, it was.
5	Q.	I'm so sorry. It will have felt very different. It
6 7		will have felt probably, I would imagine, quite extraordinary to be there in the witness box with
, 8		Mrs Misra in the dock, knowing that her fate would turn
9		on what you said. Did it feel extraordinary?
10	Α.	It certainly felt different from normal, yes.
11	Q.	Did you know that the trial was also a test for Horizon?
12	Α.	I'm not sure whether I did or not.
13	Q.	By then, Horizon was an out-of-control monster, hundreds
14		of innocent people had already had their lives ruined to
15		protect it, but you don't accept that, do you?
16	Α.	I was not aware of that at the time, no.
17	Q.	You told us yesterday, "As far as I was aware, all the
18		bugs had been fixed. That was my true belief at the
19		time and still is", and you confirmed that you rejected
20		the findings of the Horizon Issues judgment.
21	Α.	Yes, and I still stand by that.
22	Q.	Isn't the truth that you knew that Horizon was a monster
23		and that it was causing harm?
24	A.	No, that was not how I felt.
25	Q.	You hid it, didn't you? 3

1		positioned in that way is so that they have the very
2		best view of the witness, so that they can see and hear
3		the witness in the best way?
4	Α.	I wasn't aware that that was why the court was arranged
5		that way, no.
6	Q.	It's arranged that way because it was for them to weigh
7		up your evidence, wasn't it, the truth of what you were
8		saying?
9	Α.	I was aware that that was what they were trying to do,
10	_	yes.
11	Q.	Do you remember taking the oath? Because that was the
12		moment after which you were bound to tell the truth, the
13		whole truth and nothing but the truth?
14	A.	Yes, I remember that.
15	Q.	Was it just like the day job, just like supporting
16	•	another ticket?
17	Α.	No, it wasn't. It was a very different experience from what I was normally doing.
18 19	0	Because you said earlier this week that you approached
20	Q.	giving evidence in the same way, and that's why you just
20		confined yourself to the narrow answers to the narrow
22		questions that you were asked; do you remember saying
23		that?
_0 24	Α.	I remember saying that, yes.
25	Q.	But, actually, you acknowledge it was very different to
		2
1	А.	No, I did not.
1 2	A. Q.	
	_	-
2	_	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the
2 3	_	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations
2 3 4	_	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the
2 3 4 5	_	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	_	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not. Well, Mr Jenkins, in the 1931 film of Frankenstein, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not. Well, Mr Jenkins, in the 1931 film of Frankenstein, the monster is an assemblage of body parts from various
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not. Well, Mr Jenkins, in the 1931 film of Frankenstein, the monster is an assemblage of body parts from various corpses and they're brought to live by stitching and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not. Well, Mr Jenkins, in the 1931 film of Frankenstein, the monster is an assemblage of body parts from various corpses and they're brought to live by stitching and bolting them together. I'm going to go through some of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not. Well, Mr Jenkins, in the 1931 film of Frankenstein, the monster is an assemblage of body parts from various corpses and they're brought to live by stitching and bolting them together. I'm going to go through some of the body parts that were stitched into Horizon and see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not. Well, Mr Jenkins, in the 1931 film of Frankenstein, the monster is an assemblage of body parts from various corpses and they're brought to live by stitching and bolting them together. I'm going to go through some of the body parts that were stitched into Horizon and see what you accept and what you don't accept.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not. Well, Mr Jenkins, in the 1931 film of Frankenstein, the monster is an assemblage of body parts from various corpses and they're brought to live by stitching and bolting them together. I'm going to go through some of the body parts that were stitched into Horizon and see what you accept and what you don't accept. So let's start, please, with cash accounts. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not. Well, Mr Jenkins, in the 1931 film of Frankenstein, the monster is an assemblage of body parts from various corpses and they're brought to live by stitching and bolting them together. I'm going to go through some of the body parts that were stitched into Horizon and see what you accept and what you don't accept. So let's start, please, with cash accounts. If I could ask, please, for EXPG0000001. It's Professor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not. Well, Mr Jenkins, in the 1931 film of Frankenstein, the monster is an assemblage of body parts from various corpses and they're brought to live by stitching and bolting them together. I'm going to go through some of the body parts that were stitched into Horizon and see what you accept and what you don't accept. So let's start, please, with cash accounts. If I could ask, please, for EXPG0000001. It's Professor Charles Cipione's expert report prepared for this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not. Well, Mr Jenkins, in the 1931 film of Frankenstein, the monster is an assemblage of body parts from various corpses and they're brought to live by stitching and bolting them together. I'm going to go through some of the body parts that were stitched into Horizon and see what you accept and what you don't accept. So let's start, please, with cash accounts. If I could ask, please, for EXPG0000001. It's Professor Charles Cipione's expert report prepared for this Inquiry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not. Well, Mr Jenkins, in the 1931 film of Frankenstein, the monster is an assemblage of body parts from various corpses and they're brought to live by stitching and bolting them together. I'm going to go through some of the body parts that were stitched into Horizon and see what you accept and what you don't accept. So let's start, please, with cash accounts. If I could ask, please, for EXPG0000001. It's Professor Charles Cipione's expert report prepared for this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not. Well, Mr Jenkins, in the 1931 film of Frankenstein, the monster is an assemblage of body parts from various corpses and they're brought to live by stitching and bolting them together. I'm going to go through some of the body parts that were stitched into Horizon and see what you accept and what you don't accept. So let's start, please, with cash accounts. If I could ask, please, for EXPG0000001. It's Professor Charles Cipione's expert report prepared for this Inquiry. Can we go to page 118, please. What I'm going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A.	You answered a lot of questions yesterday about the Misra trial which were effectively aimed at understanding why you didn't tell the jury about the monster, and you answered most of them with variations of "I realise that now", "I should have done that, I realise that now". You had to say that, didn't you? That's the truth. That's why I said it. In fact, you knew what you were doing. You threw mud in the jury's eyes, didn't you? I did not. Well, Mr Jenkins, in the 1931 film of Frankenstein, the monster is an assemblage of body parts from various corpses and they're brought to live by stitching and bolting them together. I'm going to go through some of the body parts that were stitched into Horizon and see what you accept and what you don't accept. So let's start, please, with cash accounts. If I could ask, please, for EXPG0000001. It's Professor Charles Cipione's expert report prepared for this inquiry. Can we go to page 118, please. What I'm going to take you to is his conclusions about his analysis of

(1) Pages 1 - 4

1		17.1.3 please, and I'll start a little way in, where it	1
2		says that AI376 end of the first line:	2
3		"AI376 (Accounting Integrity) caught my attention.	3
4		Accounting integrity is a fundamental requirement of the	4
5		LHITS [that's one his acronym for the Horizon system].	5
6		Al376 was one of the final Als to be closed."	6
7		That's Acceptance Incidents, yes?	7
8	A.	Yes.	8
9 (Q.	At 17.1.6, if we go down a little, it says:	9
10		"In January 2000, ICL Pathway states 'If pressed	10
11		POCL [Post Office] would agree that Als 342, 372, 376,	11
12		378, 218, 391 are closed/incapable of further update.	12
13		Their Acceptance Manager is leaving [blah, blah, blah]'.	13
14		Further in the same report it states that 'The outturn	14
15		Al376 was 0.06% Cash Account Discrepancies, exactly	15
16		an order of magnitude better than the target'"	16
17		Now, did you know that AI376 was ultimately resolved	17
18		by an agreement that a small number of cash account	18
19		discrepancies would, in fact, be acceptable?	19
	Α.	I don't know that I knew that at the time, I do know	20
21		that now.	20
	Q.	A bit further down, 17.1.9, Professor Cipione says this:	22
23	α.	"Regardless, the fact that accounting integrity was	22
24		a persistent issue in the national rollout of the	23
24			24
25		[Horizon system] cannot have been the intention of the	25
25		[Horizon system] cannot have been the intention of the 5	25
25		5	25
1		5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It	1
1 2		5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities:	1 2
1 2 3		5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has	1 2 3
1 2 3 4 5		5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out	1 2 3 4 5
1 2 3 4 5 6		5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface:	1 2 3 4 5 6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Α.	5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0		5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 (10 11		5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)."	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 12		5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)." The same one we've just been talking about. So you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 12 13		5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)." The same one we've just been talking about. So you may not have been centrally involved but you must have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 12 13 14		5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)." The same one we've just been talking about. So you may not have been centrally involved but you must have known, Mr Jenkins, that Al376 was an Acceptance Incident	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 4 9 0 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)." The same one we've just been talking about. So you may not have been centrally involved but you must have known, Mr Jenkins, that Al376 was an Acceptance Incident about cash accounts?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)." The same one we've just been talking about. So you may not have been centrally involved but you must have known, Mr Jenkins, that Al376 was an Acceptance Incident about cash accounts?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)." The same one we've just been talking about. So you may not have been centrally involved but you must have known, Mr Jenkins, that Al376 was an Acceptance Incident about cash accounts? I was aware there were Acceptance Incidents, I'm not sure that I was that aware of the details but I was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)." The same one we've just been talking about. So you may not have been centrally involved but you must have known, Mr Jenkins, that Al376 was an Acceptance Incident about cash accounts? I was aware there were Acceptance Incidents, I'm not sure that I was that aware of the details but I was aware that there was some work required in terms of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)." The same one we've just been talking about. So you may not have been centrally involved but you must have known, Mr Jenkins, that Al376 was an Acceptance Incident about cash accounts? I was aware there were Acceptance Incidents, I'm not sure that I was that aware of the details but I was aware that there was some work required in terms of adding further reconciliation into the system.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)." The same one we've just been talking about. So you may not have been centrally involved but you must have known, Mr Jenkins, that Al376 was an Acceptance Incident about cash accounts? I was aware there were Acceptance Incidents, I'm not sure that I was that aware of the details but I was aware that there was some work required in terms of adding further reconciliation into the system. Al right. Well, we can take that down. So you don't	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)." The same one we've just been talking about. So you may not have been centrally involved but you must have known, Mr Jenkins, that Al376 was an Acceptance Incident about cash accounts? I was aware there were Acceptance Incidents, I'm not sure that I was that aware of the details but I was aware that there was some work required in terms of adding further reconciliation into the system. All right. Well, we can take that down. So you don't accept that you knew that cash accounts were	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A.	5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)." The same one we've just been talking about. So you may not have been centrally involved but you must have known, Mr Jenkins, that Al376 was an Acceptance Incident about cash accounts? Was aware there were Acceptance Incidents, I'm not sure that I was that aware of the details but I was aware that there was some work required in terms of adding further reconciliation into the system. Al right. Well, we can take that down. So you don't accept that you knew that cash accounts were a persistent problem through the rollout. Am I right?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yes. "Pathway is not committed to producing a tool to reinput lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)." The same one we've just been talking about. So you may not have been centrally involved but you must have known, Mr Jenkins, that Al376 was an Acceptance Incident about cash accounts? Was aware there were Acceptance Incidents, I'm not sure that I was that aware of the details but I was aware that there was some work required in terms of adding further reconciliation into the system. Al right. Well, we can take that down. So you don't accept that you knew that cash accounts were a persistent problem through the rollout. Am I right? I was aware that there were a number of problems but	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A.	5 please a little to paragraph 2.1, you're named here. It says: "Last week's activities: "As part of the Acceptance discussions Pathway has documented a plan to incorporate carry out reconciliation processing in the TIP interface: (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" (documented by John Pope and Gareth Jenkins)" Yathway is not committed to producing a tool to re-input lost transactions (though I expect it to remain on the agenda Acceptance Incident 376)." The same one we've just been talking about. So you may not have been centrally involved but you must have known, Mr Jenkins, that Al376 was an Acceptance Incident about cash accounts? Was aware there were Acceptance Incidents, I'm not sure that I was that aware of the details but I was aware that there was some work required in terms of adding further reconciliation into the system. Al right. Well, we can take that down. So you don't accept that you knew that cash accounts were a persistent problem through the rollout. Am I right?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

onII	Inq	uiry 28 June 2024
1		sponsors nor the goal of ICL Pathway."
2		Do you accept that?
3	Α.	I'm not sure I quite understand what that means.
4	Q.	What that means is that accounting integrity was
5		absolutely fundamental to an accounting system and the
6		fact that he saw, through this analysis, that it was
7		a persistent issue in the national rollout, he says,
8		well, that just can't have been the intention of those
9		who were designing or commissioning the system.
10	Α.	I think it was the intention that there was accounting
11	Α.	integrity in the system.
12	Q.	But it wasn't the intention that that would continue to
13	ч.	be a problem holding up acceptance, was it?
14	Α.	I wasn't involved in the acceptance area and I wasn't
15		involved in the accounting side of things, at that time.
16	Q.	Is that right? Because I could take you to
17	α.	a document and I will if I need to which refers to
18		resolving Al376. It's a progress report by a fellow
19		
		called Roger Donato from August 1999. Do you know Roger Donato?
20 21	Α.	
		Yes.
22	Q.	It says there shall I bring it up for you? If we
23		could go, please, to FUJ00079162. We see at the top it
24 25		says it's a progress report and we can see it's prepared
25		by Roger Donato, dated 20 August 1999, and if we go down 6
		,
1		the agent's side of things.
2	Q.	Can we go back, please, to Professor Cipione's report,
3		I'm sorry to have had to take it down. EXPG0000001. If
4		we could go, please, to page 135. That figure, in the
5		middle of the page, is his review of the PEAKs, PinICLs
6		and KELs, and it's those that he's picked out where
7		there is a bug causing receipts and payments mismatches,
8		so in other words cash account problems. Do you see on
9		the right, "Development Code" was the cause of
10		33 per cent of them? Then we've also got various other
11		causes to do with development: low-level design,
12		development reference data, et cetera.
13		If we go a bit further down at 18.1.19, please, just
14		there:
15		"Based on this data I make the following
16		observation:
17		"A significant proportion of these [PEAKs and
18		PinICLs, that's his abbreviation] had defect causes that
19		were recognised as being related to the design or
20		development of [the Horizon system] (45%). This
21		indicates to me that there were acknowledged bugs,
22		errors or defects in [the Horizon system] that were
23		capable of giving rise to a payment and receipt
24		imbalances."

Do you accept what he says there?

8

(2) Pages 5 - 8

1	Α.	I think this is referring to the very early days during	1	A.	
2		the rollout of Legacy Horizon.	2		
3	Q.	Well, it's over number of years and they were mostly	3		
4		from the relatively early years but they go into the	4	Q.	
5		early 2000s, so we're not talking just about rollout,	5		
6		we're talking about going into the early 2000s here?	6		
7	Α.	I think rollout didn't complete until about 2002.	7		
8	Q.	Well, we can find out the exact dates on that if you	8		
9		like, but what we'll do, if I may, is ask you this: do	9		
10		you accept that, in those early years of Horizon when	10		
11		people were being prosecuted, a significant proportion	11		
12		of the PEAKs and PinICLs which related to accounting	12		
13		problems were down to the design and the coding of	13		
14		Horizon?	14		
15	Α.	I accept that is what Professor Cipione found out.	15	Α.	
16		I wasn't involved at that time and wasn't aware that	16	Q.	
17		people were being prosecuted at that time.	17	Α.	
18	Q.	If we go a little bit further down, please, at 18.2.4,	18		
19		he says this about his review of the documents:	19	Q.	
20		" I make the following general observations:	20		
21		"Many of these [PEAKs and PinICLs and KELs] seem to	21		
22		have been raised as a result of internal	22	Α.	
23		reconciliations."	23	Q.	
24		So that was your area, wasn't it, the	24		
25		reconciliations?	25		
		9			
1		sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't	1		
2		sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and	2	Q.	
2 3		sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution".	2 3	Q.	
2 3 4		sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal	2 3 4	Q.	
2 3 4 5		sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats?	2 3 4 5	Q. A.	
2 3 4 5 6	А.	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no.	2 3 4 5 6		
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck,	2 3 4 5 6 7		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	-	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	-	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	-	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	-	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of the problem but at least the branch can balance and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	-	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of the problem but at least the branch can balance and I can move on to all the other tickets waiting in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	-	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of the problem but at least the branch can balance and I can move on to all the other tickets waiting in my stack".	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	-	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of the problem but at least the branch can balance and I can move on to all the other tickets waiting in my stack".	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of the problem but at least the branch can balance and I can move on to all the other tickets waiting in my stack". Did any of them ever say something like that to you in those informal chats?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	-	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of the problem but at least the branch can balance and I can move on to all the other tickets waiting in my stack". Did any of them ever say something like that to you in those informal chats? I don't think it would be quite in that way. It would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of the problem but at least the branch can balance and I can move on to all the other tickets waiting in my stack". Did any of them ever say something like that to you in those informal chats? I don't think it would be quite in that way. It would be a case of, when there was a problem, you would need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of the problem but at least the branch can balance and I can move on to all the other tickets waiting in my stack". Did any of them ever say something like that to you in those informal chats? I don't think it would be quite in that way. It would be a case of, when there was a problem, you would need to make some adjustment to address the fact that that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of the problem but at least the branch can balance and I can move on to all the other tickets waiting in my stack". Did any of them ever say something like that to you in those informal chats? I don't think it would be quite in that way. It would be a case of, when there was a problem, you would need to make some adjustment to address the fact that that problem would happen, but you would then need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of the problem but at least the branch can balance and I can move on to all the other tickets waiting in my stack". Did any of them ever say something like that to you in those informal chats? I don't think it would be quite in that way. It would be a case of, when there was a problem, you would need to make some adjustment to address the fact that that problem would happen, but you would then need to actually go and fix the underlying root cause of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of the problem but at least the branch can balance and I can move on to all the other tickets waiting in my stack". Did any of them ever say something like that to you in those informal chats? I don't think it would be quite in that way. It would be a case of, when there was a problem, you would need to make some adjustment to address the fact that that problem would happen, but you would then need to actually go and fix the underlying root cause of the problem. A good example of that is what we were talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of the problem but at least the branch can balance and I can move on to all the other tickets waiting in my stack". Did any of them ever say something like that to you in those informal chats? I don't think it would be quite in that way. It would be a case of, when there was a problem, you would need to make some adjustment to address the fact that that problem would happen, but you would then need to actually go and fix the underlying root cause of the problem. A good example of that is what we were talking about a day or two ago, in terms of the receipts and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	sometimes, despite my very best efforts, I just can't get to the bottom of these accounting problems, and I just have to close the ticket without a resolution". Did none of them ever say that to you in these informal chats? I can't remember that sort of discussion, no. Did none of them ever say to you, "When I am stuck, I just have to inject transactions into the branch accounts to sort the problem out. Needs must. I know it's not ideal. I haven't really got to the bottom of the problem but at least the branch can balance and I can move on to all the other tickets waiting in my stack". Did any of them ever say something like that to you in those informal chats? I don't think it would be quite in that way. It would be a case of, when there was a problem, you would need to make some adjustment to address the fact that that problem would happen, but you would then need to actually go and fix the underlying root cause of the problem. A good example of that is what we were talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	

changes did need to be made to actually take into

1	Α.	No, my area was to do with the harvesting of the
2		transactions that had been generated as part of the
3		reconciliation.
4	Q.	"There does appear to be an earnest effort, on the part
5		of SSC, to investigate these issues, identify a root
6		cause, and mitigate future recurrences.
7		"The tickets show that different teams were involved
8		when investigating these issues.
9		"In the majority of these [PEAKs and PinICLs], it is
10		not evident that the identified fight issue was
11		resolved.
12		"In a majority of these [PEAKs and PinICLs] the root
13		cause is related to [the Horizon system]."
14		So the issues were not always resolved, Mr Jenkins.
15	Α.	l believe
16	Q.	You believe they were, you say?
17	Α.	I believe they were by the time I got involved with the
18		counter system a few years later.
19	Q.	You told us, when all these issues had been resolved,
20		all issues had always resolved, that was based on
21		informal chats, yes?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	But if you chatted to any of the people involved in
24		these PEAKs and PinICLs and if they'd been honest with
25		you, they'd have said, "Well, you know, Gareth,
		10
1		account the impact that it had had on the branches.
2	Q.	The point is, Professor Cipione tells us that they
3		couldn't always find the root cause of the problem,
4		Mr Jenkins, and they would just close the ticket anyway.
5	Α.	I understand that that is what he says in his report but
6		his report was only on the very early days of the
7		problem. I think things improved after that point.
8	Q.	All right, well, let's just think about that and when it
9		might have improved and what we'll do is move to what
10		I call body part number 2, which is remote access.
11		Mr Beer asked you some questions about Mr Roll's
12		evidence on this subject but I don't think that he read
13		out a section of it, which was Mr Roll's explanation for
14		why SSC used the ability to inject messages at the
15		counter using the SPM's ID. I won't take you to it
16		unless you need me to. What he said was this:
17		"Without the correct user ID at the start of every
18		message, then there would have been errors, things
19		wouldn't have been processed properly, from what
20		I remember. So you wouldn't have gone in that way to
21		make changes to the message store."
22		So during the GLO, SSC witnesses did ultimately
23		admit that they injected messages at the counter, didn't

- 24 they?
- 25 A. Yes, I was aware of that.
 - 12

Q.	They must have had their reasons for doing that rather	1		realise that it had been done.
	than injecting them at the correspondence server, as you	2	Q.	Do you accept that one of the problems or one of the
	said you believed was the proper routine?	3		possibilities of injecting transactions is that there
Α.	Yes, and I did have that conversation with John Simpkins	4		might be knock-on consequences, unintended consequences:
	as part of the preparation for the GLO.	5		you tried to fix one problem by injecting a transaction
Q.	Did he agree with Mr Roll that the reason for doing it	6		and then it causes another problem?
	at the counter was because, otherwise, there would have	7	Α.	I accept that that's a possibility.
	been errors and things that wouldn't have processed	8	Q.	But you say that, at the time, you knew nothing about
	properly?	9		any of this: it was just a theoretical possibility?
Α.	No, because there was a mechanism that, if messages were	10	Α.	That was what I understood, yes.
	injected at the correspondence server, that	11	Q.	Let's look at your witness statement, your fourth
	an artificial user ID could be picked up for those	12		witness statement, please, at page 33, paragraph 106.
	messages, so that they would process correctly through	13		Now, just before paragraph 106, you had set out the
	the system.	14		explanation that you gave us here in the tribunal, in
Q.	But he admitted that sometimes they would do it at the	15		other words what you've just said now, that you didn't
	counter, so there must have been a reason for that.	16		believe that they were using the theoretical ability to
	Sometimes, presumably, doing it on the correspondence	17		inject at the counter until the GLO. But then in 106
	server, the proper-ish way, didn't work?	18		you say this:
Α.	I didn't fully understand the details of the reason.	19		"In the years when Legacy Horizon was operational
	There was one specific example that he did explain to me	20		(ie up to 2010), my understanding from my colleagues was
	where it had to be done at the counter and it was	21		that, on the rare occasions it was used, the default
	nothing to do with injecting a transaction. I can't	22		position was that substantive remote access was done at
	remember the other examples he gave to me but, as far as	23		the correspondence server. During this period, I may
	that was concerned, it was sufficient for me to know	24		have been told that substantive remote access had been
	that there had been some injections at the counter to 13	25		done at the counter on one or two occasions (although 14
	I cannot now remember and cannot point to any examples	1		substantive remote access at the correspondence server."
	of this). My lawyers have looked at the Inquiry's	2		You wouldn't have thought about it, Mr Jenkins. You
	database but they have been unable to find any records	3		wouldn't have thought about the distinction which you
	where I gave advice about substantive remote access at	4		now tell us is really rather important?
	the counter. However, I am aware that Anne Chambers	5	A.	5
	emailed me and others in 2007 and referred to a possible	6		Is that a truthful account, Mr Jenkins?
	case for 'writing a corrective message at the counter'	7		It is.
	in relation to a particular problem she was dealing with."	8 9	Q.	It's rather at odds, isn't it, with the account that you
	Then you cite the email reference:	9 10	Α.	have wanted to give over the last few days, isn't it? I don't think so.
	"My lawyers have not found any reply from me on the	11		Well, you've wanted to give a tidy explanation. You've
	Inquiry's database and I am not mentioned on the	12	ч.	wanted to say that you knew nothing about SSC injecting
	associated PEAK. It is difficult to say therefore what	13		transactions at the counter until the GLO, didn't you?
	I thought or understood in 2007 about what Anne was	14	Α.	My memory is that I thought that the transactions had
	proposing (ie whether she meant writing a message at the	15	Λ.	been injected at the correspondence server because that
	correspondence server which would cause it to be	16		was much easier for SSC to actually do things and
	replicated to the counter or writing a message at the	17		I couldn't see any reason why they would need to do
	counter itself). I do note though that in her email,	18		things at the counter rather than at the correspondence
	Anne remembers to taking the question up with Tony	19		server.
	[Jamasb] or Gary Blackburn of POL, so she was clearly	20	Q.	The truth is that you knew that injecting them at the
	adopting an open approach to POL about the possible use	20	- A .	counter was tampering with branch accounts and you knew
	of substantive remote access.	22		that, if you admitted to that, it would not help your

"At this time, in 2007, I doubt that I would have drawn, or thought a great deal, about any distinction between substantive remote access at the counter and

Q.

Α.

Q.

(4) Pages 13 - 16

position, because you had been providing witness

statements and giving evidence against Seema Misra, and

yet you knew that your Fujitsu colleagues not only could

1		but did tamper with branch accounts, didn't you?	
2	Α.	I didn't feel that it made any significant difference in	
3		terms of the accounts were being changed, whether it	
4		was done at the correspondence server or the counter,	
5		l just felt my understanding was that it was normally	
6		done at the correspondence server because that was the	
7		simples way of doing things.	
8	Q.	You knew, Mr Jenkins, as any sensible person would, that	
9		it was essential for the safety of prosecutions to have	
10		a tamper-proof evidential chain when presenting ARQ data	
11		in court. You knew that, Mr Jenkins, didn't you?	
12	Α.	No, I didn't.	
13	Q.	You knew, as everyone in SSC did, that the practice of	
14		injecting transactions at the counter was wholly	
15		contrary to being able to rely on Horizon as a source of	
16		truth. You knew that, didn't you?	
17	Α.	I didn't know that.	
18	Q.	You needed to be able to produce 100 per cent accurate	
19		records of transactions that took place at the counter	
20		in the branch but this practice corrupted that, didn't	
21		it?	
22	Α.	It didn't occur to me that had an impact on things.	
23	Q.	Failing to tell the court that you knew SSC were	
24		injecting transactions at the counter was failing to	
25		tell the whole truth, wasn't it, Mr Jenkins?	
		17	
1		which begins:	
1 2		which begins: "I don't know what to do about it. As it stands.	
1 2 3		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands,	
2		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the	
2 3 4		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to	
2 3 4 5		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will	
2 3 4 5 6		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future.	
2 3 4 5 6 7		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb].	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?"	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?" So yes, she was planning to tell Post Office about	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?" So yes, she was planning to tell Post Office about it but she knew and you must have known that they	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?" So yes, she was planning to tell Post Office about it but she knew and you must have known that they weren't going to like it. Do you accept that's clear	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Δ	"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?" So yes, she was planning to tell Post Office about it but she knew and you must have known that they weren't going to like it. Do you accept that's clear from the way she said this?	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	А. О	"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?" So yes, she was planning to tell Post Office about it but she knew and you must have known that they weren't going to like it. Do you accept that's clear from the way she said this? Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?" So yes, she was planning to tell Post Office about it but she knew and you must have known that they weren't going to like it. Do you accept that's clear from the way she said this? Yes. Did you know that, when she wrote this up for them later	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?" So yes, she was planning to tell Post Office about it but she knew and you must have known that they weren't going to like it. Do you accept that's clear from the way she said this? Yes. Did you know that, when she wrote this up for them later in the OCP, the sort of mechanism that was used for	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?" So yes, she was planning to tell Post Office about it but she knew and you must have known that they weren't going to like it. Do you accept that's clear from the way she said this? Yes. Did you know that, when she wrote this up for them later in the OCP, the sort of mechanism that was used for approving these injections, she stressed that what she	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?" So yes, she was planning to tell Post Office about it but she knew and you must have known that they weren't going to like it. Do you accept that's clear from the way she said this? Yes. Did you know that, when she wrote this up for them later in the OCP, the sort of mechanism that was used for approving these injections, she stressed that what she planned to do would not be visible to the branch,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?" So yes, she was planning to tell Post Office about it but she knew and you must have known that they weren't going to like it. Do you accept that's clear from the way she said this? Yes. Did you know that, when she wrote this up for them later in the OCP, the sort of mechanism that was used for approving these injections, she stressed that what she planned to do would not be visible to the branch, Mr Jenkins; did you know that?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A.	"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?" So yes, she was planning to tell Post Office about it but she knew and you must have known that they weren't going to like it. Do you accept that's clear from the way she said this? Yes. Did you know that, when she wrote this up for them later in the OCP, the sort of mechanism that was used for approving these injections, she stressed that what she planned to do would not be visible to the branch, Mr Jenkins; did you know that? No, I didn't know that.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?" So yes, she was planning to tell Post Office about it but she knew and you must have known that they weren't going to like it. Do you accept that's clear from the way she said this? Yes. Did you know that, when she wrote this up for them later in the OCP, the sort of mechanism that was used for approving these injections, she stressed that what she planned to do would not be visible to the branch, Mr Jenkins; did you know that? No, I didn't know that. But the main point, from your point of view is this: the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A.	"I don't know what to do about it. As it stands, when they balance I think they will have a gain at the branch. If we correct the POLFS feed so it nets to zero, it will not be in line with the branch, and will probably cause problems in future. "This might be a case for writing a corrective message at the counter but this has not been a popular approach in the past. I could try talking to Gary Blackburn or Tony [Jamasb]. "Do you have any bright ideas?" So yes, she was planning to tell Post Office about it but she knew and you must have known that they weren't going to like it. Do you accept that's clear from the way she said this? Yes. Did you know that, when she wrote this up for them later in the OCP, the sort of mechanism that was used for approving these injections, she stressed that what she planned to do would not be visible to the branch, Mr Jenkins; did you know that? No, I didn't know that.	

19

on IT Inquiry 28 June 2		
1	А.	l didn't think that at the time.
2	Q.	Let's have a look at the email which caused you to
3		devise this tortured explanation in your witness
4		statement. If we could go, please, to FUJ00142197, Anne
5		Chambers to you, 10 December 2007. You say in your
6		witness statement it was two others but, in fact, that's
7		not correct. Two others are copied in and you are the
8		person it is directed to:
9		"Gareth,
10		"We have a problem with a branch where a single SC
11		line was written for 100 euros (\pounds 484) with no
12		settlement."
13		She gives some technical explanation:
14		" in the middle of two RISP transactions and
15		I suspect it's another oddity in the LFS counter code."
16		Note: another oddity in the counter code,
17		Mr Jenkins. She evidently expected you to know that
18		there had been more oddities, didn't she?
19	Α.	Um, I don't recall this discussion. I don't recall
20		that.
20	Q.	But they'd all been fixed, had they, Mr Jenkins?
22	а. А.	That was my understanding.
23	Q.	She goes on with a little more rather technical
24	ч.	terminology, which most of us, I'm afraid, probably
25		won't understand, but if we go down to her paragraph 18
1 2 3 4		not proposing to write a message at the correspondence server which could cause it to be replicated at the counter, in the way that you suggest she might have meant in your witness statement.
5	Α.	I took that as a loose language. I took that as being
6		a representation of doing it affecting the counter
7		accounts rather than the back end accounts.
8	Q.	Loose language, Mr Jenkins, really?
9	Α.	Yes, yes. So what I was thinking that to mean was that,
10		when you inject a message at the correspondence server,
11		it has an effect on the counter, as opposed to making
12		a correction to the back-end system, which was the other
13		option she was talking about in terms of changing things
14		in POLSAP.
15	Q.	This is just a complication or an obfuscation which you
16		have brought in to suggest that this email is somehow
17		ambiguous, isn't it, Mr Jenkins?
18	Α.	That is I don't know what to say to that.
19	Q.	This email shows that you knew full well that Fujitsu
20		colleagues not only could but did tamper with branch

- 20 colleagues not only could but did tamper with branch21 records, doesn't it?
- A. I would not necessarily have taken that as being --putting in an injection at the counter at that point.
- 24 Q. You must have consciously hidden that knowledge when you
- 25 provided witness statements and gave evidence at Seema

1		Misra's trial, Mr Jenkins?
2	Α.	No, I the concept of injecting messages was not
3		something that occurred to me when I was doing that.
4		Clearly, that was wrong, but that I'd not thought
5		about whether messages were being injected by the SSC.
6	Q.	All right, well, we'll move on to body part number 3:
7		bad error handling in the EPOSS code. Because there's
8		another reason why you don't want to admit to knowing
9		that transactions were inserted at the counter because
10		that's the unintended consequences point I was talking
11		about earlier, isn't it? If there were unintended
12		consequences, as a result of inserting transactions, you
13		wouldn't necessarily know about them. They were hidden
14	_	by definition. Yes?
15	Α.	I don't quite understand where you're getting at with
16	-	that.
17	Q.	Horizon might have been failing silently all across the
18		system, mightn't it?
19	Α.	I don't believe Horizon was failing silently all across
20		the system. I believe that when Horizon had failures,
21		it was generating events that I accept the fact that
22		it wasn't necessarily informing postmasters that there
23 24		had been problems but I believed that there were events there that were being tracked.
24 25	Q.	If there were unintended consequences, silent
25	α.	21
1		program aborto with a close array massage for the
1		program aborts with a clear error message for the
2		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not
2 3		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'."
2 3 4		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because,
2 3 4 5		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially
2 3 4 5 6		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to
2 3 4 5 6 7		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A	subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes. Later in his evidence, he said this:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes. Later in his evidence, he said this: "On the whole, the EPOSS code did not have good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes. Later in his evidence, he said this: "On the whole, the EPOSS code did not have good error handling."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes. Later in his evidence, he said this: "On the whole, the EPOSS code did not have good error handling." In other words, there were a lot of silent errors,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes. Later in his evidence, he said this: "On the whole, the EPOSS code did not have good error handling." In other words, there were a lot of silent errors, Mr Jenkins. Then, even more than that, he said this:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes. Later in his evidence, he said this: "On the whole, the EPOSS code did not have good error handling." In other words, there were a lot of silent errors, Mr Jenkins. Then, even more than that, he said this: after going through an example of a process that had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes. Later in his evidence, he said this: "On the whole, the EPOSS code did not have good error handling." In other words, there were a lot of silent errors, Mr Jenkins. Then, even more than that, he said this: after going through an example of a process that had failed as a result of encountering transactions that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes. Later in his evidence, he said this: "On the whole, the EPOSS code did not have good error handling." In other words, there were a lot of silent errors, Mr Jenkins. Then, even more than that, he said this: after going through an example of a process that had failed as a result of encountering transactions that the SSC had inserted so the inserted transactions had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes. Later in his evidence, he said this: "On the whole, the EPOSS code did not have good error handling." In other words, there were a lot of silent errors, Mr Jenkins. Then, even more than that, he said this: after going through an example of a process that had failed as a result of encountering transactions that the SSC had inserted so the inserted transactions had caused a process to fail he conceded that:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes. Later in his evidence, he said this: "On the whole, the EPOSS code did not have good error handling." In other words, there were a lot of silent errors, Mr Jenkins. Then, even more than that, he said this: after going through an example of a process that had failed as a result of encountering transactions that the SSC had inserted so the inserted transactions had caused a process to fail he conceded that: " it was not possible to know how many other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes. Later in his evidence, he said this: "On the whole, the EPOSS code did not have good error handling." In other words, there were a lot of silent errors, Mr Jenkins. Then, even more than that, he said this: after going through an example of a process that had failed as a result of encountering transactions that the SSC had inserted so the inserted transactions had caused a process to fail he conceded that: " it was not possible to know how many other processes had failed silently as a direct result of SSC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		subpostmaster to see and that way the process will not 'blunder on'." The reason that's important, Mr Jenkins, is because, if it does "blunder on", it may create potentially incorrect results. What he said is it's far better to abort and create no results than to "blunder on" and create incorrect results that no one can identify because the error was silent; do you see his logic, Mr Jenkins? I understand that, yes. Later in his evidence, he said this: "On the whole, the EPOSS code did not have good error handling." In other words, there were a lot of silent errors, Mr Jenkins. Then, even more than that, he said this: after going through an example of a process that had failed as a result of encountering transactions that the SSC had inserted so the inserted transactions had caused a process to fail he conceded that: " it was not possible to know how many other processes had failed silently as a direct result of SSC inserting transactions into the branch."

on IT	on IT Inquiry 28 June 2024		
1		failures they're what we might call known unknowns	
2		you knew that they were likely to be there but you	
3		didn't know how to find them to fix them, did you?	
4	Α.	I believed that the event trails would be left and	
5		events would be picked up. There was a process in place	
6		whereby events should be picked up. There was a process in place whereby events should be picked up and investigated.	
7	^	Well, let's just have a think about that in the context	
, 8	Q.	of the evidence given by Gerald Barnes, one of your	
9 10	Α.	colleagues in fourth line support. Yes? Yes.	
10	Q.	l will try to give a fair summary of what he said on	
12	Q.	, , ,	
		this to avoid the time that would be taken if we went	
13		through it in full, and I am sure I'll be corrected if	
14		I've got this wrong. He said:	
15		"Good error handling should be coded in from the	
16		start. Really bad error handling allows a process to	
17		'blunder on' even when it has hit a problem and that	
18		means that the error is silent, at least to the	
19		subpostmaster at the time."	
20		What he said was, agreeing with you:	
21		"It will leave a trace in the event log which	
22		a diagnostician would be able to read but, because the	
23		subpostmaster is not alerted to the error at the time,	
24		no one would know where to look in the event log. Good	
25		error handling ensures that when an error occurs, the 22	
1		been causing many, many other uncountable numbers of	
2		other problems in the system when the processes	
3		"blundered on" and failed silently; what do you say to	
4		that, Mr Jenkins?	
5	A.	I accept that it is a theoretical possibility.	
6	Q.	Known unknowns let's look at it this way: what are	
7		known errors before they become known?	
8	Α.	Well, until they're known, they are unknown, obviously.	
9	Q.	In some cases, Mr Jenkins, unknown errors which became	
10		known errors had existed in the system for a long time	
11		before they became known, correct?	
12	Α.	That is a possibility.	
13	Q.	There were thousands of Known Error Log entries, weren't	
14		there, Mr Jenkins?	
15	Α.	I'm not sure how many Known Error Log entries there	
16	~	were. I don't know the volumes.	
17	Q.	There were thousands, weren't there; you knew that?	
18	Α.	I didn't know how many Known Error Log entries there	
19 20	~	were.	
20	Q.	There's no way of knowing, Mr Jenkins, how many unknown	
21		errors there were, is there?	
22	A.	My understanding was that the system was behaving well.	
23 24	Q.	You're not a fool, are you, Mr Jenkins?	
24 25	A.	I don't believe so.	
25	Q.	You would have known of the potential unintended 24	

1

4

1		consequences of the SSC going off piste, wouldn't you?
2	Α.	I wasn't aware that the SSC were getting involved on
3		my understanding was it was very, very rare for the SSC
4		to need to inject any sort of transactions. Yes, you've
5		got you've shown me an example here but my
6		understanding was that it was a very rare occurrence for
7		such things to happen.
8	Q.	Body part number 4: the EPOSS code itself. Professor
9		Cipione assessed the examples of EPOSS code that David
10		McDonnell used to draw attention to problems with the
11		EPOSS code back in 1998. I don't intend to call what he
12		says up, a few quotes will do. Take into example 1,
13		Professor Cipione said:
14		"This is terrible code. This is terrible code."
15		He said it twice:
16		"This has to be a joke. I mean, this has to be
17		a joke because this is a ridiculous set of code."
18		Taken to another example:
19		"It's just not the right structure and it indicates
20		to me that they don't understand what those particular
21		structures are."
22		Taken to another example:
23		"So either this is written by someone not so smart
24		in here or there's been multiple updates to this code.
25		Either way, it's a bad example."

25

- 1 Q. Did anyone tell you in 2004 about this history?
- 2 A. Not that I recall.
- 3 **Q.** So no one told you that it might be important to keep
- an eye on this beast which had these sections ofdreadful code in?
- 6 A. Not that I can recall.
- 7 Q. Did you have anyone assessing the quality of the fixes8 that were being put in under your watch, as it were?
- 9 A. I was relying on the competence of the designers and
- developers who were actually doing the detailed codingat that time.
- 12 Q. So no one assessed their work to ensure it was done toa high standard?
- 14 A. Well, they were assessing each other's work. That waspart of their process.
- 16 Q. When they were assessing each other, did anyone raiseany concerns with you about the quality of the code orthe fixes?
- 19 A. I can't recall any examples of that.
- 20 Q. Do you say you can't recall but there might have been21 some?
- A. It is possible but I can't recall. My understanding wasthat it was working well by that time.
- 24 Q. How many bugs were being addressed on a weekly basis?
- 25 A. I can't remember.

27

- When did you take over as the counters man,
- 2 Mr Jenkins? When did the EPOSS code become your3 problem?
 - A. I'm not sure the EPOSS code became my problem. My role
- 5 was to do with actually designing the -- at the high
- 6 level, the way that the counter needed to work in terms
- 7 of impact, which would have been around 2004/2005,
- 8 something like that.
- 9 Q. But you would accept, wouldn't you, that, for the
- 10 counter to work, it has to work on the basis of the
- 11 EPOSS code, yes?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. So when did the quality of the EPOSS code become yourproblem?
- 15 A. Like I say, around 2004/2005. That sort of time.
- 16 Q. Do you say it had stabilised when you took it over?
- 17 A. I believed that it had.
- 18 Q. What safeguards did you put in place to assess that?
- 19 A. I didn't do anything specific about that. My
- 20 understanding was that it had been working well for some
- 21 time before I got involved with it. I accept that there
- 22 were these problems in the early days, which I hadn't
- 23 been involved in specifically, but there had been plenty
- 24 of time then for things to have been sorted out and for
 - it to be working stably. 26
- 1 Q. Tens? Hundreds? Thousands?
- 2 A. I don't know.

25

- 3 Q. You don't know?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. Who was your line manager, Mr Jenkins?
- 6 A. It varied a lot over the time.
- 7 Q. What was their job title; what was their role?
- 8 A. Design Managers, Chief Architect. There was that sort9 of role.
- 10 Q. What was your reporting line to the Board?
- A. What do you mean by "the Board"? Do you mean the PostOffice Account Board or the Fujitsu Board, or whatever?
- 13 Q. The Fujitsu Board: what director were you sitting under?
- 14 A. I've no idea. This would have been about sort of seven15 or eight levels above me.
- 16 Q. So, in other words, a very indirect reporting line,
- 17 then, all the way up to the Board; is that right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.

- 19 **Q.** How would anybody have raised any problems to the Board
- 20 if they were concerned about the quality of the EPOSS21 code and the fixes that were going in to try to make it
- 22 better?
- 23 A. I've no idea.
- 24 Q. No whistleblowing procedures that you were aware of?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.

- 1 Q. Body part number 5, Mr Jenkins: hardware failure. Would
- 2 you accept that, from the year 2000, you knew that when
- 3 hardware failed and was swapped out, there could be
- 4 problems with recovering transactions?
- 5 A. Yes, I was aware of that but I was also aware that it6 didn't happen very often.
- 7 Q. How were you aware of that?
- 8 A. Again, informal conversations.
- 9 **Q.** Informal chats, I see. People said to you in informal
- 10 chats, "Oh yeah, no, we don't have recovery problems
- 11 after hardware failures very often. That's not
- 12 something that happens very much"; is that right?
- 13 A. That was how I understood things.
- 14 **Q.** I see. Well, I won't need to take you, then, to one of
- the PinICLs from the year 2000, in which you yourselfdealt with a recovery problem and in which you said
- 17 this:
- 18 "This was another example of recovery having gone19 wrong after a box swap."
- 20 Do you take my point from the way I emphasised the 21 word "another example"?
- 22 **A.** Yes, I understand what you're getting at there.
- 23 Q. You personally knew that there were plenty of examples
- 24 of recovery problems after box swaps, didn't you?
- 25 A. It depends what you mean by "plenty". Clearly, there 29
- 1 Q. But you conceded that you'd, in fact, done nothing at
- 2 that stage to find out whether there had been any
- 3 hardware failures at Mrs Misra's branch?
- 4 A. Yes, I accept that.
- 5 Q. The fact is, your Data Integrity Report reassures,
- 6 doesn't it? It's intended to give comfort that there
- 7 won't be a loss of data if there is a hardware failure.8 That's the point of it, isn't it?
- 9 A. It says that, in normal circumstances, there won't be
- but it does accept the fact that potentially there couldbe.
- 12 Q. The message really, by attaching it, was that "You,
- Professor McLachlan, you can rule out the idea that
 hardware failures might have caused discrepancies"; that
 was the point of attaching it, wasn't it?
- A. No, it was to show that there was a possibility of ithappening but otherwise that it was a very rare
- 18 possibility.
- 19 Q. On Tuesday when Mr Beer first asked you what you knew of20 the duties of an expert you said, "I just thought I had
- 21 to answer the questions I was asked truthfully", yes?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 **Q.** You relied on that a lot in your answers when Mr Beer
- 24 asked you why you didn't reveal the complete picture.
- 25 You would say, well, you'd just answer the questions

- 1 were -- it had happened more than once.
- 2 **Q.** You were aware of persistent problems with
- 3 synchronisation between counters, within a branch, after
- 4 a hardware failure. You knew that they were
- 5 a persistent problem, didn't you?
- 6 A. I knew they had been in the early days but I believe the7 problem you're referring to did get fixed.
- 8 **Q.** Let's look at what you said about hardware in your Misra
- 9 evidence. Your third statement for the Seema Misra
- 10 trial, you attached the Horizon Data Integrity Report to
- 11 it, didn't you?
- 12 **A.** I did.
- 13 Q. In fact, what you did was a formal process that we
- lawyers refer to as exhibiting your report. Did anyonetell you what "exhibiting" means?
- A. Just attaching a document, rather than cutting andpasting it into the formal statement.
- 18 Q. It makes it part of the evidence, Mr Jenkins. Did you understand that?
- 20 A. No, I didn't understand that.
- 21 **Q.** You told Mr Beer that you did this, you exhibited this
- 22 report, because you thought it gave a useful summary of

- 23 the sort of hardware failures that could occur that
- 24 could possibly cause loss of data.
 - A. Yes, I accept that.
- 1 that you were asked, yes?
- 2 A. Yes.

25

- 3 Q. That also applied to the way you approached your witness
 4 statements for the Misra trial on the whole, isn't it?
- 5 A. Yes.

9

- 6 Q. But that's not what happened here, is it, Mr Jenkins?
- 7 The question that you were supposedly answering was8 this:
 - "I have been requested to comment on the issue
- 10 raised by the defence in relation to a post office
- 11 called Callendar Square, Falkirk that was mentioned at
- 12 the Castleton trial."
 - No one had asked you about hardware, had they,
- 14 Mr Jenkins?
- 15 A. I can't remember.
- 16 Q. Well, they hadn't, had they?
- 17 **A.** I--
- 18 Q. It was not one of Professor Cipione's questions, was it?
- 19 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Sorry, not Professor Cipione.
- 20 MS PAGE: I'm so sorry, Professor McLachlan.
- 21 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
- 22 A. I can't remember.
- 23 MS PAGE: Well, you were answering a series of his questions
- 24 in that statement and the one that you had just answered
- 25 when you attached this report was about the Callendar 32

- 1 Square bug. He had not asked you about hardware and he
- 2 had not asked you about data integrity. He had asked
- 3 you about the Callendar Square bug. Attaching your data
- 4 integrity report there was an attempt, wasn't it, to
- 5 answer an implied question which flowed from all of his
- 6 hypotheses. That implied question might have been
- 7 something like "Could any system failures have affected
- 8 Mrs Misra's branch accounts?" That was a sort of
- 9 implied question from all of the whole piece of work
- 10 that he'd been doing, the hypotheses he'd been putting
- 11 forward; do you accept that?
- 12 A. I'd not thought of it that way.
- 13 **Q.** No, you'd not thought of it that way. Well, the report
- 14 that you attached was specifically about data integrity.
- 15 So were you answering an implied question along these
- 16 lines: how do we know that the data underpinning
- 17 Mrs Misra's branch accounts has integrity?
- 18 Was that the question that you thought you were19 answering?
- A. I can't remember what -- the exact circumstances of what
 I thought I was answering.
- 22 **Q.** What you did here, in truth, is you exhibited this
- 23 report to your witness statement as if it were providing
- 24 the wider picture showing what Horizon was really about.
 - That's what you were doing, wasn't it? 33
 - -
- 1 A. That is not what I was trying to do.
- 2 **Q.** Ultimately, that meant you were throwing mud in the jury's eyes?
- 4 A. That was not my intent.

- 5 Q. Let's just remind ourselves of the question you were
- 6 asked which you didn't answer. It went like this: do
- 7 you know whether there are any known problems with the
- 8 Horizon system that Fujitsu are aware of?
 9 The truthful answer to that question would have
 10 covered all the body parts, wouldn't it? Cash accounts;
 11 remote access; tampering; bad error handling; silent
 12 faults across the system; the EPOSS code; the terrible
- 13 code -- the terrible code; hardware failures, persistent
- 14 hardware failures; recovering transactions that were
- 15 lost; failing to recover transactions that were lost.
- 16 A. That was not how I understood the question to be.
- 17 Q. That was not how you understood the question. No.
 18 There was even a bit more, wasn't there, because
- there was also the true bolt-ones, the Bank of Irelandcash points; they were a catastrophe, weren't they?
- 21 **A.** I don't have any real knowledge about the Bank of
- 21 A. Full thave any real knowledge about the bank of22 Ireland cash points and what the issues were with those.
- 23 Q. The Horizon Lottery terminals: they were a problem in24 Mrs Misra's branch, weren't they?
- 25 A. I was not aware there were any problems with the Lottery 35

- 1 A. I can't remember. Sorry.
- 2 **Q.** You were stepping outside the narrow task of responding
- to Professor McLachlan's hypotheses and questions, and
 you were purporting to give the wider picture, "Don't
- 5 worry about data integrity, this is a good system. The
- 6 data is sound. The system couldn't have caused the
- discrepancies". That's why you exhibited it, isn't it,
- 8 Mr Jenkins?
- 9 A. I can't remember exactly why I decided to exhibit it at10 the time.
- 11 Q. Even though you told us, during the course of this week,
- 12 that the report had been created for a narrow purpose,
- 13 only intended to respond to the narrow hardware failure
- 14 scenarios that Post Office had asked you to deal with,
- 15 and then yet you then exhibit it to a witness statement.
- 16 Why did you do that, Mr Jenkins?
- 17 A. I can't remember.
- 18 Q. This was a deliberately and knowingly deceptive
- reassuring report to exhibit to this statement in thiscontext, wasn't it?
- 21 A. I'm not sure that it was reassuring, particularly. It
- did indicate that there were circumstances in which datacould be lost.
- 24 Q. You were throwing mud in Mr McLachlan's eyes, weren't25 you, Mr Jenkins?
 - 34
- 1 terminals.
- 2 Q. Bureau de Change: that was another disaster area, wasn't3 it?
- 4 A. I'm not aware of any specific problems with Bureau de5 Change.
- 6 Q. You hid all these issues and problems when you gave
 - evidence against Seema Misra, didn't you?
- 8 **A.** No.

7

9

- Q. You did that, even though she was standing right there
- 10 in the dock in front of you?
- 11 A. I don't believe that I deliberately hid anything.
- 12 Q. Let's just take a quick final look before I finish with
- 13 how you reacted after she was convicted on the strength
- 14 of your evidence. We've already seen how, after the
- 15 trial, you were jokingly rather pleased with the
- 16 mistaken title of Professor, so I won't go to that.
- 17 What I'll go to is this, FUJ00156418. This is in
- 18 February 2011. It's from you to Penny Thomas. Now, if
- we just scroll down a bit, it's a reply from her. Shesays:
 - "Okay, Gareth.
- 22 "Did you watch the Inside Out programme last
- 23 evening?"

- 24 Let's go up to your reply.
- 25 "Yes, I did."

1	Do you remember that Inside Out programme?	1		you to do: protect the monster.
2 A	Not in detail, no.	2	Α.	l didn't think it was a monster.
3 Q	 Do you remember that it starts with Davinder Misra, 	3	Q.	Let's go finally to one last document, please:
4	Mrs Misra's husband, who sits a little further along	4		FUJ00156460. If we go to the bottom of page 1 and zoon
5	from me, in tears, because his wife is behind bars?	5		in, please, on paragraph 8a. This is you providing some
6 A	. I don't remember that, I'm afraid, sorry.	6		content for your appraisal. I should have shown you the
7 Q	. This is what you said about that:	7		date, I'm so sorry, this is March 2011.
8	"I was pleased that Fujitsu wasn't mentioned. [Post	8	Α.	Yes.
9	Office] have a significant problem!	9	Q.	So this is your performance appraisal. 8a:
10	"I also note that the screenshots were HNG-X	10		"I spent some time with POL supporting a series of
11	[Horizon Online] and not Horizon.	11		court cases where POL was prosecuting ex-postmasters f
12	"I remember chatting to Mr Misra outside the court!"	12		theft where the postmasters were claiming a problem with
13	Do you have anything to say about that, Mr Jenkins?	13		the system. Cases were:
14 A	. My feeling was then and is now that the issues to do	14		"a. West Byfleet: For this case I spent some time
15	with this are down to the way that Post Office has	15		analysing a year's worth of transactions and explaining
16	behaved, rather than actually faults in the Horizon	16		to the defence expert how Horizon worked. I was
17	system, and that, I think, is what was behind what	17		required to comment on the defence expert's reports and
18	I said there.	18		spent a week at the court during the trial including
19 Q	. Yet you told the judge that you being a Fujitsu man had	19		a full day in the witness box being examined and
20	no impact on your evidence?	20		cross-examined by the barristers. The defendant was
21 A	. I don't believe that it did. I believe that I told the	21		found guilty of Theft and Horizon was given a clean bill
22	truth as I understood it at the time.	22		of health."
23 Q	. "I was pleased that Fujitsu wasn't mentioned."	23		You knew that the Misra trial was a test case for
24 A	A. Yes.	24		Horizon, didn't you?
25 Q	. You were a Fujitsu company man doing what Fujitsu needed	25	Α.	l realised that afterwards. I'm not sure if I did at 38
1	the time.	1	MR	STEIN: Mr Jenkins, I've got a number of questions for
	 You knew that your role was to help get that clean bill 	2		you but can we travel back in time to the development of
3	of health, wasn't it?	3		the Horizon system. You were part of the team that was
				and the second state of the second state of the second state of the st
	My role was to tell the truth.	4		working on what became the Horizon system; that's right,
5 Q	My role was to tell the truth.You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you?	4 5		isn't it?
5 Q 6 A	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as 	4 5 6	A.	isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather
5 Q 6 A 7	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. 	4 5 6 7		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time.
5 Q 6 A 7 8 Q	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster 	4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to
5 Q 6 A 7 8 Q 9	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never 	4 5 6 7 8 9		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike
5 G 6 A 7 8 G 9 10	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. 	4 5 7 8 9 10		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at
5 Q 6 A 7 8 Q 9 10 11 A	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November
5 Q 6 A 7 8 Q 9 10 11 A 12	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that was down to the way that POL had actually behaved and 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November 2022 I want to ask you about something he said and he
5 Q 6 A 7 Q 9 10 11 A 12 13	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that was down to the way that POL had actually behaved and wasn't purely down to me. I clearly got trapped into 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November 2022 I want to ask you about something he said and he if you can help. He was asked this question:
5 Q 6 A 7 Q 9 10 11 A 12 13 14	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that was down to the way that POL had actually behaved and wasn't purely down to me. I clearly got trapped into doing things that I shouldn't have done but that was not 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November 2022 I want to ask you about something he said and he if you can help. He was asked this question: "Were you aware, during your time working as
5 Q 6 A 7 8 Q 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that was down to the way that POL had actually behaved and wasn't purely down to me. I clearly got trapped into doing things that I shouldn't have done but that was not intentional on my behalf my part. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November 2022 I want to ask you about something he said and he if you can help. He was asked this question: "Were you aware, during your time working as Programme Director, that Post Office Counters Limited
5 Q 6 A 7 8 Q 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15 M	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that was down to the way that POL had actually behaved and wasn't purely down to me. I clearly got trapped into doing things that I shouldn't have done but that was not intentional on my behalf my part. IS PAGE: Thank you, sir. Those are my questions. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November 2022 I want to ask you about something he said and he if you can help. He was asked this question: "Were you aware, during your time working as Programme Director, that Post Office Counters Limited were intending to place reliance upon data recorded on
5 Q 6 A 7 8 Q 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15 N 16 N	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that was down to the way that POL had actually behaved and wasn't purely down to me. I clearly got trapped into doing things that I shouldn't have done but that was not intentional on my behalf my part. IS PAGE: Thank you, sir. Those are my questions. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November 2022 I want to ask you about something he said and he if you can help. He was asked this question: "Were you aware, during your time working as Programme Director, that Post Office Counters Limited were intending to place reliance upon data recorded on Horizon to support the bringing of civil and criminal
5 G 6 A 7 8 G 9 10 11 A 13 14 15 16 N 17 S 18	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that was down to the way that POL had actually behaved and wasn't purely down to me. I clearly got trapped into doing things that I shouldn't have done but that was not intentional on my behalf my part. IS PAGE: Thank you, sir. Those are my questions. I'R WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you very much, Ms Page. We will break off now and we will resume again at 10.55. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November 2022 I want to ask you about something he said and he if you can help. He was asked this question: "Were you aware, during your time working as Programme Director, that Post Office Counters Limited were intending to place reliance upon data recorded on Horizon to support the bringing of civil and criminal proceedings against subpostmasters and office managers
5 Q 6 A 7 C 8 Q 9 10 A 11 A 12 13 14 15 N 13 14 15 S 18 19 (1	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that was down to the way that POL had actually behaved and wasn't purely down to me. I clearly got trapped into doing things that I shouldn't have done but that was not intentional on my behalf my part. I'S PAGE: Thank you, sir. Those are my questions. I'R WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you very much, Ms Page. We will break off now and we will resume again at 10.55. 10.45 am) 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November 2022 I want to ask you about something he said and he if you can help. He was asked this question: "Were you aware, during your time working as Programme Director, that Post Office Counters Limited were intending to place reliance upon data recorded on Horizon to support the bringing of civil and criminal proceedings against subpostmasters and office managers suspected of fraud?"
5 Q 6 A 77 8 Q 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15 N 14 15 N 15 18 19 (1 20	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that was down to the way that POL had actually behaved and wasn't purely down to me. I clearly got trapped into doing things that I shouldn't have done but that was not intentional on my behalf my part. IS PAGE: Thank you, sir. Those are my questions. IR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you very much, Ms Page. We will break off now and we will resume again at 10.55. 10.45 am) (A short break) 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November 2022 I want to ask you about something he said and he if you can help. He was asked this question: "Were you aware, during your time working as Programme Director, that Post Office Counters Limited were intending to place reliance upon data recorded on Horizon to support the bringing of civil and criminal proceedings against subpostmasters and office managers suspected of fraud?" Mr Coombs answer was this:
5 Q 6 A 77 8 Q 99 100 111 A 112 113 114 115 N 114 115 116 N 117 S 118 119 (1) 120 221 (1)	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that was down to the way that POL had actually behaved and wasn't purely down to me. I clearly got trapped into doing things that I shouldn't have done but that was not intentional on my behalf my part. IS PAGE: Thank you, sir. Those are my questions. I'R WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you very much, Ms Page. We will break off now and we will resume again at 10.55. 10.45 am) (A short break) 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November 2022 I want to ask you about something he said and he if you can help. He was asked this question: "Were you aware, during your time working as Programme Director, that Post Office Counters Limited were intending to place reliance upon data recorded on Horizon to support the bringing of civil and criminal proceedings against subpostmasters and office managers suspected of fraud?" Mr Coombs answer was this: "I didn't have the faintest idea that they were
5 Q 6 A 7 8 Q 9 10 A 112 A 13 14 15 N 14 1 5 18 19 (1 20 1 21 (1 22 N	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that was down to the way that POL had actually behaved and wasn't purely down to me. I clearly got trapped into doing things that I shouldn't have done but that was not intentional on my behalf my part. IS PAGE: Thank you, sir. Those are my questions. IR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you very much, Ms Page. We will break off now and we will resume again at 10.55. 10.45 am) (A short break) 10.56 am) IR BEER: Sir, good morning. Can you see and hear us? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November 2022 I want to ask you about something he said and he if you can help. He was asked this question: "Were you aware, during your time working as Programme Director, that Post Office Counters Limited were intending to place reliance upon data recorded on Horizon to support the bringing of civil and criminal proceedings against subpostmasters and office managers suspected of fraud?" Mr Coombs answer was this: "I didn't have the faintest idea that they were considering using information and I had no idea at all
5 Q 6 A 7 8 Q 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15 N 17 S 18 19 (1 17 S 18 (1 19 (1 19 (1 12 1 20 1 21 (1 22 N 23 S	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that was down to the way that POL had actually behaved and wasn't purely down to me. I clearly got trapped into doing things that I shouldn't have done but that was not intentional on my behalf my part. I'S PAGE: Thank you, sir. Those are my questions. I'R WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you very much, Ms Page. We will break off now and we will resume again at 10.55. 10.45 am) (A short break) 10.56 am) I'R BEER: Sir, good morning. Can you see and hear us? I'R WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		 isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November 2022 I want to ask you about something he said and he if you can help. He was asked this question: "Were you aware, during your time working as Programme Director, that Post Office Counters Limited were intending to place reliance upon data recorded on Horizon to support the bringing of civil and criminal proceedings against subpostmasters and office managers suspected of fraud?" Mr Coombs answer was this: "I didn't have the faintest idea that they were considering using information and I had no idea at all they were considering taking the step of prosecuting
5 Q 6 A 7 8 Q 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15 N 17 S 18 19 (1 17 S 18 (1 19 (1 19 (1 12 1 20 1 21 (1 22 N 23 S	 My role was to tell the truth. You tailored your evidence accordingly, didn't you? No. I addressed I attempted to answer as best as I could the questions that I was asked. Never mind whether a byproduct of protecting the monster was that a woman was sent to jail, Mr Jenkins: never mind that. I'm sorry for what happened to Mrs Misra but I feel that was down to the way that POL had actually behaved and wasn't purely down to me. I clearly got trapped into doing things that I shouldn't have done but that was not intentional on my behalf my part. IS PAGE: Thank you, sir. Those are my questions. IR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you very much, Ms Page. We will break off now and we will resume again at 10.55. 10.45 am) (A short break) 10.56 am) IR BEER: Sir, good morning. Can you see and hear us? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		isn't it? I was involved with the agent side of things, rather than the counter side of things at that time. Okay. Now, from your knowledge of that I want you to help us with something that Mr Coombs that's Mike Coombs, he's the former Horizon Programme Director at ICL Pathway, and he gave evidence on the 1st November 2022 I want to ask you about something he said and he if you can help. He was asked this question: "Were you aware, during your time working as Programme Director, that Post Office Counters Limited were intending to place reliance upon data recorded on Horizon to support the bringing of civil and criminal proceedings against subpostmasters and office managers suspected of fraud?" Mr Coombs answer was this: "I didn't have the faintest idea that they were considering using information and I had no idea at all

(10) Pages 37 - 40

1 that at least contributed to the overall system at that 2 stage and then perhaps the beginning of the Horizon 3 period, in around about, what, 1999/2000. At that time, 4 were you aware that Post Office was intending to place 5 reliance on the data recorded on the Horizon system to 6 support the bringing of civil and criminal proceedings? A. I was aware at some time. I can't remember at what 7 8 stage. It was probably in the early 2000s but I'm not 9 sure exactly when. 10 Q. Right. Were you aware at that same perhaps early stage that the Post Office was using the data from the Horizon 11 system in order to conduct audit visits, in other 12 13 words -- from the point of view of subpostmasters and be 14 stresses -- essentially, raids on their premises; were 15 you aware that it was being used in that way? 16 A. I can't remember at what stage that I got involved in 17 that sort of detail but it would be probably some time 18 in the early 2000s, but exactly when I can't remember. 19 Q. So at some point, this was to your knowledge, and you 20 think in the early 2000s? 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. Can you help us with whether, to your knowledge, either 23 Fujitsu or the Post Office made sure that the design

- 24 parameters of the Horizon system were up for the task of
 - supporting civil actions and prosecutions?

41

- 1 Q. Now, we know from your evidence and from your statements
- 2 that you were very much part of the Litigation Support
- 3 system. I think you called it in your statement,
- 4 prosecution support, or something similar to that? Is 5 that what --
- 6 A. Yes, I mean, I can't remember the exact term that was 7 used but, yeah --
- 8 Q. Something like prosecution support --
- 9 Α. Yeah.

25

- 10 Q. -- seems to be what you say?
- A. Yeah. 11
- 12 Q. Okay. Now, Terence Austin gave evidence in October 2022 13 and he was asked questions, which I will paraphrase,
- 14
- which were about what training events and training 15 material was available in relation to the question of
- 16 support for litigation, prosecution support. Okay?
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. Let me take that in bits for you. Were there any
- 19 training events, opportunities, you know, hours in the
- 20 day set aside, parts of maybe a weekend or a day set
- 21 aside, for training purposes to do with the prosecution
- 22 support job?
- 23 A. Not as far as I was concerned. I don't know what the 24 people whose its full time job was to do, to support
- 25 that, people like Penny Thomas, and so on, but I wasn't

43

- Sorry, I can't help you with that. 1 Α.
- 2 Q. Is there anything, to your knowledge, that was done to
- 3 make sure that the Horizon system was good or fit for
- 4 purpose, the fit for purpose being the support of
- 5 proceedings against subpostmasters/mistresses, and
- 6 people working in their branches?
- 7 A. I believe I heard someone saying that they'd taken
- 8 advice as to whether the audit trail was something that
- 9 could be used in court proceedings but that's sort of
- 10 second, thirdhand type knowledge, but exactly when
- 11 I acquired that knowledge, I'm not sure.
- 12 All right, so from your work, since before 2000 and then Q. 13 you were still consulting for Fujitsu in 2022; is that
 - right?
- 15 A. I think my last actual consultation was just before
- 16 Covid in 2000 but I was still on a retainer until 2022.
- 17 Q. Okay. So for the period of time that we're talking
- 18 about, roughly -- certainly over 20 years, you're not
- 19 aware that there was a "Let's make sure that this
- 20 Horizon is up for the task of supporting prosecutions,
- 21 civil actions or indeed properly attending upon people
- 22 in audit"? You're not aware of anything that was done
- 23 to guarantee that the system was good for that; is that

42

24 fair?

1

- 25 A. That's probably fair.
 - aware of any training.
- 2 Q. When you say not aware --
- 3 Α. I mean, I didn't have any training.
- 4 Q. Right. That's what I'm trying to find out --
- 5 A. No, I've had no training in that and I realise now
- 6 I should have done but it didn't occur to me at the time 7 that I was lacking that.
- 8 Q. Were you offered any such training opportunities, you
- 9 know, opportunities to learn about systems in 10 litigation, either civil litigation or in criminal
- 11 litigation? Were you offered such opportunities to --
- 12 Α. No, I wasn't.
- 13 Q. Now, you've been asked in your statements about whether
- 14 you were provided with guidance, standards or protocols
- 15 or something similar that relates to investigations and
- 16 prosecutions. As we understand your statement, I think
- 17 it's your third statement -- I don't need to go to the
- 18 paragraph, I have a note of it -- your third statement,
- 19 which is WITN00460300, at paragraph 35, you say this you
- 20 "don't recall reading any of them at the time". Now,
- 21 the "them" you were referring to there was guidance,
- 22 policies, protocols about giving evidence, okay?
- 23 A. I'm not sure that I was aware that any such things 24 existed.
- 25 Q. Right. In a way, you're anticipating my next question. 44

1	Α.	Sorry.	1		over time. The one name I can remember is Brian Pinder,
2		No, that's fine. Are you saying that you were not	2		but there were a number of Security Managers over the
3	ч.	provided with any of these manuals; is that what you're	3		time.
4		saying: nobody brought them to your attention?	4	Q.	Now, in your statements you discuss the question of
5	Δ	No.	5	ч.	whether the PEAK, PinICL or KEL system was effective.
6	Q.	No?	6		This is from your first statement, I think,
7	Q. A.	No.	7		WITN00460100, paragraph I think it is 47, page 13.
8	Q.	You didn't ask to see any of these things?	8		You state this and, again, if I summarise this wrong or
9	_	No, I didn't. I realise now I should have done but it	9		badly then I'm sure that someone will correct me. You
9 10	Α.	didn't occur to me at the time.	9 10		say about the PEAK-PinICL system, that:
	~		10		
11	Q.	Who, within the Fujitsu organisation that you worked			"Used properly [you] believed that it was a good
12		for, should have been responsible, in your view, for	12		tool but only as good as the users handling it."
13		providing you with such materials?	13	_	Yes, I accept that.
14	Α.	I assume it's the Security Team or possibly some of the	14	Q.	You stand by that, do you?
15		lawyers that were behind that, so to speak. Though I'm	15	A.	Yes.
16		not sure that there were direct lawyers responsible for	16	Q.	Okay. So as far as it goes, the PEAK, PinICL, and you
17		the Security Team, which I think is another one of the	17		include within that the KEL system, was okay, but you
18	_	issues that I concede, looking back with hindsight.	18		can't speak to the quality of those people that were
19	Q.	Within the Security Team, I've asked you questions about	19	_	operating it; is that fair?
20		training opportunities, events, manuals, guidance,	20	Α.	Not quite. KELs, I had very little to do with. I saw
21		policies, all of those possible opportunities to enhance	21		KELs as being primarily something to support the
22		your understanding of what you were about; who within	22		Helpdesks, rather than something to use at the back end.
23		security should have provided you with such	23		As far as the PEAKs were concerned, then that was down
24		opportunities?	24		to whatever anyone put on it. I believed that the guys
25	Α.	o o y y	25		in the SSC were competent and were doing a good job of
		45			46
			4	~	
1	~	things. So I don't know if that answers your question.	1	Q.	You say in your first witness statement, WITN00460100,
2	Q.	It does, and you will recall being asked many a question	2		page 13, paragraph 47, as regards the system itself, in
3		by Mr Beer about this question of belief: things that	3		terms of the PEAK/PinICL system and, I suppose, the
4		you were told	4		operation of the Horizon system, you say this:
5	_	Yes.	5		"I have no point of comparison to offer the
6	Q.	and information that you had been supplied?	6		Inquiry", because your work has only been, essentially
7	Α.	Yes.	7		on the Horizon system.
8	Q.	So on this question of belief that the people within the	8	_	Is that fair?
9		system, operating the system and putting the entries to	9	Α.	Yes, that's fair.
10		the PEAK and PinICLs in, and the like, what did you have	10	Q.	Right. Were you aware of any industry standard or
11		to say in the fact that they were doing well, as	11		benchmark being applied to the Horizon system?
12		an example, quality assurance reports, reports to you	12	Α.	There were standards in particular areas. So, for
13		saying that, actually, there are these issues, we're	13		example, when we interacted with the banks we had to
14		addressing them. This is regarding the inputting of	14		conform with banking standards, and things like that
15		data. What do you have to provide a measurement of how	15		but, in terms of it overall system, then no.
16		well they were doing it? Did you have any of that sort	16	Q.	If we bring all of this together, essentially what
17		of material?	17		appears to be the situation is that at no time were you
18	Α.	No, I didn't.	18		either given or did you ask for an overall measurement
19	Q.	Just moving that on slightly further, what quality	19		of the quality of the Horizon system, its operation
20		assurance systems were embedded within the system to	20		through from the inputting of materials or data on to
21		assure the quality of the PEAK/PinICL process? So what	21		the PEAK/PinICLs. That just simply wasn't something
22		was there by way of, I don't know, comparison to other	22		that you had; is that fair?
23		similar systems, that type of comparative quality	23	Α.	Yes, I think that's probably fair.
24		assurance?	24		I'd not thought of it that way before but, yes,
25	Α.	I don't know, is the simple answer.	25		I accept what you're saying.
		47			

(12) Pages 45 - 48

2

3

4

		The Post Offi
1	Q.	Now, subpostmasters/mistresses, their branch managers,
2		their employees. Unless I misunderstand what you've
3		said about your work for Fujitsu, you've worked in this
4		country?
5	Α.	And I've worked abroad for brief periods as well.
6	Q.	Brief periods. You've lived in this country for
7		essentially your life?
8	Α.	Most of my life, yes.
9	Q.	You're as familiar with the Post Office branches as then
10		many people are. You know, you go to different parts of
11		the country, you see the small branches in small
12		villages. You're familiar with that.
13	Α.	I see that I'm not sure that I visit post office
14		branches that often. I probably visited more often the
15		last two or three years because the banks have closed
16	•	down and I have to use post office for banking money.
17	Q.	·
18		grocery side to them and operate as more of a general
19		store?
20 21	A.	
21 22	Q.	You're aware that, very often, not always, always, but very often that they're run by families working and
22		living effectively in the same premises?
23 24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	
1		prosecutions but I'm not sure exactly when I became
2		aware of that.
3	Q.	Right. You understand that people working in branches
4		of the Post Office aren't necessarily computer experts;
5		you know that?
6	Α.	Oh, yes.
7	Q.	You know that the data given to people working in
8		branches is, as it's been described by many witnesses,
9		relatively limited?
10	Α.	I'm not quite sure what you mean by "relatively
11		limited".
12	Q.	Well, they don't have full access to the system that you
13		enjoyed?
14	Α.	My understanding was that, if someone was being
15		prosecuted, then they would be given access to the data.
16		I now appreciate that they weren't always that didn't
17	~	always happen.
18	Q.	So the answer is, yes, you are, at least now aware
19 20	A.	Yeah.
20	Q.	that the people in branches were not given the full
21 22	۸	access to the system that you enjoyed?
22	Α.	Oh, yes, I certainly accept that now, yes.

- 23 **Q.** Right. So this pressing of subpostmasters to pay up for
- any shortfall, did that concern you, Mr Jenkins, when,as you say, you knew that, you think, when giving these

- the Post Office, I suppose, from the postmaster/mistress
- point of view, for the Post Office to treat them fairly?
- I'm sure that you would think that that should be what was happening?
- 5 A. And that's what I would expect to happen, yes, but
- 6 I appreciate now that that isn't what has happened.
- 7 Q. I want you to help us with one aspect of the way the
- 8 postmasters/mistresses and, indeed, their branch
- 9 employees were dealt with by the Post Office. Were you
- 10 aware that the branches were told that they were liable
- 11 contractually for any shortfalls and they had to make
- 12 good those shortfalls? Were you aware that that was the
- 13 consistent message that was sent and given to
- subpostmasters/mistresses and people working inbranches?
- 16 A. I'm certainly aware of that now. I'm not sure exactly17 when I became aware of that.
- 18 **Q.** Again, that was going to be my next question.
- 19 A. Sorry.
- 20 Q. When did you become aware that subpostmasters/
- 21 mistresses, people working in branches, were told,
- 22 "Look, if there's a shortfall, you have got to pay up
- and make it good"? Help us understand when you knewthat
- 25 A. I think I was aware of that when I was involved with the $50\,$
- statements? Did that make you think, "Hmm, that doesn't
 seem quite right"?
- 3 A. I didn't understand it quite in those ways at that time,4 is the issue, I think.
- 5 Q. Well, you either understand it, Mr Jenkins, or you
 6 don't, don't you? You either go, "Right, I understand
- 7 that people are being told to pay up for shortfalls
- 8 irrespective of fault," or "I don't know that". You
- 9 seemed to be saying a minute ago that you did know that.
- 10 A. It's not something I'd really considered seriously at
- the time and I accept, with hindsight, I should havedone.
- 13 Q. Well, you said repeatedly in your evidence -- and I'll
- 14 come to this again a bit later -- that you don't think
- 15 that the Post Office handled subpostmasters fairly. But
- 16 you knew that the Post Office was pressing people to pay
- 17 up irrespective of fault. That seems to be something
- that was in your knowledge. How do you ignore that,Mr Jenkins?
- 20 A. Well, I'm not sure what -- I'd not taken it as being --
- 21 the without-fault bit of it is the bit that I'd not
- 22 really fully understood and comprehended at the time,
- 23 I think, is really what it comes down to.
- 24 **Q.** Let's try to work out what you're saying. You seem to
- 25 be saying that, whilst you were giving statements 52

1		supporting the prosecution role of the Post Office, that
2		you had some awareness that people were being told to
3		pay up for shortfalls but you didn't know perhaps how
4		that was being explained to the people in the branches;
5		is that what you're saying?
6	Α.	I was looking my approach was looking at how Horizon
7		was working, rather than the effect on postmasters, and
8		I appreciate that was wrong and I should have been more
9		concerned about the impact on postmasters. But my role
10		was, I saw, was more of a technical one and that's where
11		I was coming from.
12	Q.	Do you regard yourself as being an uncaring person?
13	Α.	No, but I deal better with systems and things than
14		people.
15	Q.	That doesn't mean that you
16	Α.	I'm not saying I'm uncaring at all.
17	Q.	Yes, but despite
18	Α.	I just wasn't thinking things through, like I should
19		have done.

- 20 Did you turn to anyone and say, "Well, I'd like to know Q.
- 21 a bit more about what's going on in these branches"? 22 Α. No, I didn't.
- 23 Q. Is it perhaps more likely to be the truth that none of
- 24 the work that you did for the Post Office in supporting
- 25 the prosecutions had even a glimmer of a care about the 53
- 1 scripts at the time.

- 2 Q. No. Now, the problem with turning off the branch system 3 is that it disconnects from the rest of the network; is 4 that right?
- 5 A. Yes, but with Legacy Horizon the whole system was
- 6 designed to be able to operate when turned off from the 7
- rest of the network anyway and, certainly in the early 8 days, it was expected that most branches would be off
- 9 the network most of the time.

10 Q. The idea is that when actually relinked, in other words 11 turned back on or power restored, whatever it is, that the systems would catch up with each other. 12

- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Q. That's how it was planned?
- A. Yes, indeed. 15
- But that's not a 100 per cent guaranteed system. It can 16 Q. 17 lead to problems with data transfer?
- No, I would dispute that. I would say that the design 18 Α.
- of the system was that it would catch up. If it was 19
- 20 just a simple case of turning the box off and on again,
- 21 then the data would all be caught up in time and that
- 22 was one of the main reasons why the Riposte product was 23 chosen by Post Office.
- 24 Q. There's some suggestion that there are different bits of
- 25 the Horizon system, that, in other words, if you have 55

- 1 subpostmasters and their branches?
- 2 A. I'd just not been looking at it in that way.
- I appreciate I should have done but I was just looking 3
- 4 at things from the point of view of how Horizon was
- 5 operating.
- 6 Q. Turning to a different topic --
- 7 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Before you do that, Mr Stein, can I just 8
- 9 So far as you can recall, Mr Jenkins, were you aware
- 10 brought into a case before a decision to prosecute was
- taken or was it, as far as you can recall, always the 11
- case that you were asked to assist once a decision to 12
- 13 prosecute had been taken?
- A. As far as I'm aware, a decision to prosecute had always 14 been taken long before I got involved. I can't say 15
- 16 that -- I can't be absolutely certain that was the case,
- 17 but from what --
- SIR WYN WILLIAMS: But that's your recollection. 18
- 19 A. That's my recollection, yes.
- 20 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.
- 21 Sorry, Mr Stein.
- 22 MR STEIN: We know that, on occasions, call handler scripts
- 23 would advise subpostmasters to turn off the system.
- 24 I can give a reference if we need it and go to --
- 25 Α. I've seen such scripts now. I wasn't aware of the 54
- 1 a part of the system working in Leeds, that that might 2 relate to servers that relate to that area. Were there 3 different operational areas for Horizon? 4 Α. I'm not quite sure what you mean. We -- with Legacy 5 Horizon, we had two data centres, in Wigan and Bootle, 6 and all the systems in the UK connected through to one 7 or other of those data centres, using the BT telephone 8 network in general, though I think there was some 9 differences in Hull because that's not on BT, but -- and 10 there were a few obscure offices that used satellite 11 systems, rather than the BT network when there wasn't 12 suitable BT coverage. 13 But the systems did, in general, all connect through 14 to the main data centres. 15 So we've got -- so I can understand your evidence is Q. about this. Legacy Horizon, you've got essentially two 16 17 different servers? A. No, we had two separate data centres, really for 18 disaster recovery, so I think the example that was used 19 20 was that if a Jumbo Jet landed on one of them the other
- 21 could carry on doing the work. Obviously that never
- 22 happened. So the idea was just to make sure we had two
- 23 data centres sufficiently far apart that they were very
- 24 unlikely to both fail at the same time.
- 25 Q. Would bugs, defects or errors affect every counter on 56

1		Horizon or could some affect only a limited number of
2		counters?
3	Α.	It depends on the bug. So yes, there could be issues to
4		do with what was happening in the background on the
5		boxes and things like that, could cause timing type
6		issues, and so on, and those were the more difficult
7		things to actually understand what was going on. But in
8		general, my understanding was that the system was
9		working well in the majority of branches. The problem
10		has been that in a few branches things didn't always
11		operate correctly.
12	Q.	Why would it be possible for particular bugs, errors and
13		defects to affect a particular group of branches and not
14		the entire system if, going back to your evidence
15		a second ago, it's operating as one system?
16	Α.	To do with issues of timing and just minor differences
17		in terms of how the hardware operated all the sort of
18		sequence of activities, different postmasters would do
19		different sequences of activities and others, some typed
20		faster than others, and things like that. So it was
21		timing-type issues and things like that. So things that
22		were basically unpredictable.
23	Q.	So correspondence, in other words similar things
24		happening at a similar time, could mean that particular
25		branches all doing that at a similar time could be
		57

- 1 Α. Yes --
- 2 Q. Okay --
- 3 A. -- they had to sign them all off.
- 4 Q. -- and that those software updates included references 5 to the bugs that had been fixed by them, that sort of --
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 Q. Right, okay. We've had the benefit -- when I say "we", 8 I'm instructed by a firm of solicitors that have been
- 9 involved with these issues now for well over a decade,
- 10 Howe+Co solicitors. We've had the benefit of some pro
- 11 bono advice, as allowed for by the Inquiry, in relation
- 12 to computer expert advice. Okay? One of the parts of
- 13 that advice that sticks with me is that, in the software
- 14 fixing world, you fix 20 bugs, errors or defects and 19
- 15 more crop up; is that sort of a familiar IT expectation,
- 16 that fix one bug, others crop up, because, necessarily,
- 17 it affects the system as you go forward?
- A. I certainly accept the fact that fixing a bug can 18
- introduce other bugs. I don't think I would go as far 19 20 as to say fix 20 and you get 19 new ones, but
- I understand what you're getting at. 21
- 22 Q. You understand the point --
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- and the problem?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- affected; is that what you're trying to say?
- 2 A. I think most issues were -- would -- that occurred were
- affecting sort of just one or two isolated branches at 3
- a time rather than group of branches. I don't think --4
- 5 I think what you're trying to suggest is that there may
- 6 have been a geographical grouping or something like 7
 - that. I'm not aware of issues that would affect things
- 8 like that.
- 9 Q. We know some bugs affected larger numbers and one or two 10 we know that --
- A. Yes, and particularly after a new piece of software got 11
- rolled out, there may be some initial teething problems 12 13 that would get sorted out in a few days after that.
- Q. Right. So there's no geographical suggestion that it 14
- would affect a particular group of people, say, in 15
- 16 a particular county or something like that?
- 17 A. Not that I can think of.
- 18 Q. It's more about the way the system itself operates and 19 timing issues, you --
- 20 A. And the sort of activities that were being carried out.
- 21 So there might be specific transactions that could cause 22 issues
- 23 Q. As regards software updates, you've mentioned in your
- 24 statements that you believed that the Post Office was
 - aware of those software updates? 58
- 1 Q. How open was the Post Office in recognising bugs, errors 2 or defects to the Horizon system, in your mind?
- 3 A. I'm not guite sure what --
- 4 Q. Well, did they seem to be interested, the Post Office; 5 were they welcoming; did they go "Thanks for telling 6 us"?
- 7 Α. I wasn't actually involved in that direct communication 8 with Post Office but I -- I think one of the problems is
- 9 that -- from what I've realised now, looking back, is
- that Post Office wasn't fully joined up, in that there 10
- 11 were some people in Post Office who were well aware of
- 12 these sort of issues but there were clearly other people
- 13 in Post Office who weren't.
- 14 Q. Outside of Fujitsu software -- I include within that, if 15 you like, the jigsaw puzzle that was the Horizon system
- 16 made up of other software from other companies -- so
- 17 outside of the Fujitsu software, what else, what other
- 18 hardware or telecommunications could cause data to be 19 lost or corrupted, in your mind?
- I think it's a case of -- I'm not quite sure 20 Α.
- 21 I understand what you're getting at. In terms of the
- 22 branch accounts, then the branch accounts were all done
- 23 based on the Horizon system within itself. There were
- 24 then back-end systems that Post Office then used for
- 25 running their back-end business and Horizon was

1		responsible for feeding data into those back-end
2 3	~	systems. Hardware problems, could that cause difficulties with
3 4	Q.	branch accounts, in other words the terminals
4 5		themselves? That was capable of causing difficulties?
6	Α.	I suppose, I suppose it could have done but, yeah, I'm
7		not err, yeah.
8	Q.	Now, we know from your evidence and we know from other
9	-	parts of the evidence in this case that there obviously
10		were these bugs, errors and defects. We know about the
11		support system at Fujitsu, and so on. Help us
12		understand a little bit more about the way that there
13		was communication to the subpostmasters/mistresses and
14		their branches. Was there bug-of-the-day system, or
15		a "Watch out for this, this could affect your system"
16		type notification from Fujitsu?
17	Α.	Not that I'm aware of.
18	Q.	The people
19	Α.	Communication with branches, I think, was, in general,
20		Post Office's responsibility, rather than Fujitsu's.
21	Q.	Well, was anything done within Fujitsu to provide the
22		information in the way that I've suggested? In other
23		words, that "We think it would be a jolly good idea if
24		the people that are operating their small businesses
25		were told about, you know, watch out for this problem"; 61
		01
1		doesn't work", or something like that. I can take
2	Α.	I understand that and I understand now that that is
3		exactly what was happening and I wasn't aware of it at
4	•	the time.
5	Q.	6 6 6
6		support line at Fujitsu; you understand that now?
7 8	A. Q.	I understand that now, yes. I didn't at the time. That's a problem, isn't it?
9	Q. A.	Yes, it is indeed.
10	Q.	Well, explain why it's a problem, Mr Jenkins.
11	<u>ц</u> . А.	Because then people would when there were genuine
12		problems in the system, then we weren't being informed
13		about them and therefore couldn't actually fix them.
14	Q.	Now, the support lines at Fujitsu were not only just
15		dealing with problems that were let through this Post
16		Office filter system to Fujitsu but they were also
17		seeking within the helplines to identify faults of
40		

- themselves that would come to their own attention; isthat right?
- 20 A. As I understand it, the distinction was that the Fujitsu
- 21 Helpdesk was primarily dealing with hardware issues and
- 22 business issues were to be dealt with by NBSC. But if
- 23 NBSC identified something as being a potential software
- 24 issue, then it would be passed over to the Fujitsu
- 25 Helpdesks, is I understand how the system was supposed 63

- was there anything like that being done by Fujitsu?
- 2 A. I'm not aware of anything like that but I wouldn't have
- 3 been directly involved in any such communication.
- 4 Q. It would have been a good idea, wouldn't it, Mr Jenkins?
- 5 A. With hindsight, yes.
- 6 **Q.** You've stated a number of times, and I've touched on
- 7 this in the questions I've asked of you, about the fact
- 8 that the Post Office didn't support or help, you think,
- 9 in your mind, the subpostmasters. I'll give you some
- 10 quotes. Your fourth witness statement, WITN00460400.
- You state that the NBSC has, for some subpostmasters,
 not really helped and, in some cases, the advice made
 things worse.
- 14 A. I understand that, looking back. I wasn't particularly
- aware of that at the time but it's something I've
- been -- I've learnt over the course of the last fewyears.
- Q. You said yesterday, at just 2.32 in the afternoon, that
 the NBSC didn't handle referrals very well, referrals
- 20 being from the helpline at Post Office to Fujitsu?
- 21 A. That's something I now understand, yes.
- 22 Q. The problem, which is that if the Post Office isn't23 handling their helpline very well, that means that
- 24 subpostmasters can be saying, "Look, I've got a problem.
- 25 I don't understand what's going on. The system just 62
- to work. But I wasn't actually involved in that side of things then.
 Q. At the very beginning of your evidence, Mr Beer was
- 4 asking you questions about whether there was a kind
- 5 of -- this is my summary of the way the questions he was
- 6 asking you -- but whether there was essentially a big
- 7 book, a list of problems that you could consult.
- 8 A. The nearest thing I think we had to that was the Known
 9 Error Log, which was there to support the Fujitsu
- 10 Helpdesks but I don't think that was available to the
- 11 NBSC. But I'm -- I am not 100 per cent certain about12 that.
- 13 **Q.** This failure in communication between Fujitsu and the
- 14 Post Office, this inability, it seems, to reconcile
- 15 a helpline system that is run by the Post Office with
- 16 the operation of the Fujitsu system; how on earth could
- 17 that come about, Mr Jenkins?
- 18 A. I don't know. That wasn't an area that I was19 particularly involved in.
- 20 Q. Paragraph 51 of your first witness statement,
- 21 WITN00460100, you say this: you're describing your
- 22 impression that the Post Office did not provide enough
- 23 support to subpostmasters who were struggling with the
- 24 system and that your impression was that Post Office
- 25 blamed the subpostmasters rather than conducting further 64

1		investigations.
2		I think it may be useful if we, in fact, go to that
3		paragraph, paragraph 51, WITN00460100. So the paragraph
4		starts in relation to what POL could have done
5		differently.
6	Α.	I mean, this part of my statement is looking back on how
7		I see things now, not how I necessarily saw things at
8		the time. So this is looking back in 2023, rather than
9		what I was aware of at the time that I was working with
10		Fujitsu.
11	Q.	" my impression is that POL did not provide enough
12		support to [subpostmasters] when they were struggling to
13		use Legacy Horizon and Horizon Online. Instead of
14		investigating the issues that [subpostmasters] reported
15		(and trying to assist them), my impression is that POL
16		blamed them instead."
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	How did you come to this view, Mr Jenkins?
19	Α.	From what I've heard from the Inquiry and what I've
20		learnt from the Group Litigation that took place in
21		2018/2019. It's stuff that I've learnt after my
22		involvement with the design of Horizon. So this is
23		looking back, rather than what I knew at the time.
24	Q.	Your impression is that POL blamed them instead; blamed
25		them in what way, Mr Jenkins?
		65
		60
1	А.	Yeah.
1 2	A. Q.	Yeah.
		Yeah.
2		Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first
2 3 4 5		Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah.
2 3 4	Q.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A. Q.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q. A.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. Q.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office Accounts; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office Accounts; is that right? I'm not quite
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office Accounts; is that right? I'm not quite So could look at what was in the accounts?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office Accounts; is that right? I'm not quite So could look at what was in the accounts? I'm not quite sure what you mean. I mean, Fujitsu was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office Accounts; is that right? I'm not quite So could look at what was in the accounts? I'm not quite sure what you mean. I mean, Fujitsu was able to look at any data that was going through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office Accounts; is that right? I'm not quite So could look at what was in the accounts? I'm not quite sure what you mean. I mean, Fujitsu was able to look at any data that was going through the system.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office Accounts; is that right? I'm not quite So could look at what was in the accounts? I'm not quite sure what you mean. I mean, Fujitsu was able to look at any data that was going through the system. Right. Well, it is saying here that, from May 2013,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office Accounts; is that right? I'm not quite So could look at what was in the accounts? I'm not quite sure what you mean. I mean, Fujitsu was able to look at any data that was going through the system. Right. Well, it is saying here that, from May 2013, Fujitsu now monitored the suspense account for any such
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office Accounts; is that right? I'm not quite So could look at what was in the accounts? I'm not quite sure what you mean. I mean, Fujitsu was able to look at any data that was going through the system. Right. Well, it is saying here that, from May 2013, Fujitsu now monitored the suspense account for any such problems?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office Accounts; is that right? I'm not quite So could look at what was in the accounts? I'm not quite sure what you mean. I mean, Fujitsu was able to look at any data that was going through the system. Right. Well, it is saying here that, from May 2013, Fujitsu now monitored the suspense account for any such problems? I don't understand what that means. I don't understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office Accounts; is that right? I'm not quite So could look at what was in the accounts? I'm not quite sure what you mean. I mean, Fujitsu was able to look at any data that was going through the system. Right. Well, it is saying here that, from May 2013, Fujitsu now monitored the suspense account for any such problems? I don't understand what that means. I don't understand what is being got at by that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office Accounts; is that right? I'm not quite So could look at what was in the accounts? I'm not quite sure what you mean. I mean, Fujitsu was able to look at any data that was going through the system. Right. Well, it is saying here that, from May 2013, Fujitsu now monitored the suspense account for any such problems? I don't understand what that means. I don't understand what is being got at by that. What it might mean is that the theory was that Fujitsu
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yeah. All right, so let's see if I get this right. The first pre-IMPACT Programme suspense accounts were branch suspense accounts; are you okay with that description? Yeah. After IMPACT Programme, there's then the more centralised suspense accounts; is that again right? There were still suspense accounts in the branches. Okay. Help us with the way the system operated. Fujitsu, is this correct, had access to the Post Office Accounts; is that right? I'm not quite So could look at what was in the accounts? I'm not quite sure what you mean. I mean, Fujitsu was able to look at any data that was going through the system. Right. Well, it is saying here that, from May 2013, Fujitsu now monitored the suspense account for any such problems? I don't understand what that means. I don't understand what is being got at by that.

25 correlate to problems within the system?

n IT Inquiry 28 June 2		
1	Α.	Back to what you were saying before, that if money was
2		lost, then they were asked to pay up.
3	Q.	Now, I'm going to ask you about a particular email that
4		was sent on 16 May 2013. I'll ask it to go on the
5		screen, please. Hopefully I've got the right reference,
6		POL00029587. If we scroll down this email, please, this
7		is from Alwen Lyons. Essentially, it says at the top
8		there "Paula", that will be Paula Vennells:
9		" here are my speaking notes for your call with
10		Alice this afternoon"
11		Mr Jenkins, I know you were not privy to this email.
12		I'm going to ask you about one particular section, okay?
13		Now, you'll see as you go down to the bullet points that
14		it gets to the "The Good News is" bit and then if we
15		read across:
16		"The Good News is that where we have found to bugs,
17		[where we have found bugs] since [new Horizon] they have
18		been detected and put right with no loss for the
19		subpostmaster, and Fujitsu now monitor the suspense
20		account for any such problems."
21		All right? Now, this is an email in May 2013.
22		You've given evidence regarding suspense accounts and
23		you, in fact, when giving evidence, I think on the first
24		day, you asked Mr Beer to be careful about the way that
25		the term "suspense accounts" was being used. 66
1	A.	I don't think that's what's behind there. As I say,
2		I don't know what that referring to. There was an issue
3		that was discovered in 2013 to do with some old data
4		from suspense accounts that came forward a year or so
5		later. I think it was being referred to as the local
6		suspense issue, that affected 12 branches on 14
7		occasions, and that was a problem that was detected in
8		2013. So it could be a reference to that.
9	Q.	All right. Well, I'll move on.
10		New yearbook and a number of questions about

	~ .	r don't dinik diat 5 what 5 bening diere. As i say,
2		I don't know what that referring to. There was an issue
3		that was discovered in 2013 to do with some old data
4		from suspense accounts that came forward a year or so
5		later. I think it was being referred to as the local
6		suspense issue, that affected 12 branches on 14
7		occasions, and that was a problem that was detected in
8		2013. So it could be a reference to that.
9	Q.	All right. Well, I'll move on.
10		Now, you've been asked a number of questions about
11		what you've described in your statement as the
12		boilerplate parts of statements that you gave. Now,
13		I'll take you to a particular paragraph of your
14		statement, WITN00460300, so that's the third witness
15		statement, paragraph 102 so WITN00460300,
16		paragraph 102. Right. Thank you very much.
17		So paragraph 102 there is from your statement,
18		you're saying this:
19		"[You're] aware that there is a question in the
20		Inquiry as to what the two 'boilerplate' or 'standard'
21		paragraphs that appear at the very end of the standard
22		Fujitsu witness statement actually meant."
23		Okay? That's what
24	Α.	Yeah.
25	Q.	you're talking about and you've been asked a number 68

- 1 of questions about that.
- 2 Α. Yeah.
- 3 Q. I don't want to repeat those questions. Okay?
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. What I want to do is just understand what's going on
- 6 here a bit more. Now, you said essentially that this
- 7 didn't and wasn't meant to mean that the Horizon system
- 8 was working at any particular level of integrity, that
- 9 wasn't what this was about; that's what you're saying?
- 10 A. I can't understand how the people who were signing these 11 statements would be in a position to say that.
- 12 Right, okay. The people that you're talking about, that Q.
- 13 signed these statements, include you?
- 14 Α. Yes
- Q. Yes. Okay. So the people that signed these statements, 15 16 containing these paragraphs, you're saying you can't
- 17 understand how they could sign such declarations --
- 18 Α. Yeah.
- 19 Q. -- to warranty the integrity of the Horizon system?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay, got it. Fine. Now, when you're saying that
- 22 that's something you don't understand, help us a bit
- 23 more on that. Are you saying that these two paragraphs
- 24 you assumed were about the laptop or the computer that
- 25 you were writing things on, or about the audit data 69
- 1 don't you, Mr Jenkins?
- 2 A. I'm not aware -- I believe that there are some
- 3 statements that were served in my name that weren't 4 actually signed by me.
- 5 Q. Okay, well, we'll come back to that one in a moment. 6 So when you are making a statement that's got this
- 7 important declaration at the top, saying that, if you
- 8 say something in the statement that you know not to be
- 9 true, that you could be prosecuted, how does that
- 10 reconcile itself with making an assumption about what
- these two paragraphs mean? You don't really know, 11
- 12 you're just sort of guessing a bit?
- 13 A. I see now that it doesn't necessarily reconcile but I'd 14 not thought that through at the time.
- 15 Q. Just understanding your evidence, I think this has to be 16 true, you are saying that you would never have signed 17 paragraphs that warranted the working integrity of the 18 Horizon system?
- 19 A. I was happy that the Horizon system was working
- correctly. I wasn't -- I wouldn't have said that it was 20
- 21 working correctly everywhere in all particular
- 22 circumstances but I didn't think that's what I was being 23 asked to say.
- 24 Q. Which is why you're saying you'd have never signed these
 - paragraphs to have meant that --

25

- production? Are you saying that you assumed that or you 2 knew that: which?
- 3 A. Assumed.
- 4 Q. Assumed. Right, okay. Now, help us understand a little
- bit more about what you mean about this word 5
- 6 "assumption", then. You know that giving statements to
- 7 courts are important things, yes?
- 8 A. Oh, yes.
- 9 Q. You can affect those people's lives that you're giving
- 10 statements about, those people living in the small
- 11 businesses in various parts of the country, yes?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. You knew that, and you knew that statements contain
- 14 a declaration at the top saying that "This statement is
- 15 true to the best of your knowledge and belief".
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 **Q.** And you make it knowing that, if you say anything in it
- that is effectively untrue, or wrong, you may be opening 18
- 19 yourself up to prosecution. You know that that's what's
- 20 on those statements, don't you?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You know you have to sign a statement at the bottom of 23 each page, yes?
- 24 In some cases, yes. Α.
- 25 Q. Right. Well, you know you have to sign statements, 70
- 1 Α. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- and you don't think anybody else should have signed 3 paragraphs to say that the Horizon system was absolutely 4 tickety-boo at all times?
- 5 A. Well, certainly, the people who -- these paragraphs were 6 coming from the statement that was used to exhibit ARQs
- 7 and the people who were providing those statements 8 didn't have any knowledge of how the Horizon system was working. All they knew was how the ARQ extraction
- 10 process was working.
- 11 **Q.** Do you find it a little odd that other people may say 12 that they also assumed that this just meant that they
- were saying that the system producing the statements was 13
- 14 working okay; are you finding it a bit odd that everyone
- 15 is making the same assumption, without checking with 16 each other?
- 17 A. I realise now that I should have done more investigation 18 and tried to understand more what was being said, but I didn't 19
- 20 Q. What about having a natter with somebody like
- 21 Ms Chambers and saying "What on Earth does that mean, 22 why are we signing this"?
- 23 A. I didn't do that.
- 24 Q. Lastly, if we just touch, just very briefly, before
- 25 I finish on this entire question of your status as 72

- 9

1 a	an expert -	
-----	-------------	--

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- giving evidence as an expert. You're saying, 4 essentially, that you know now that it has a different 5 status within the legal proceedings --
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- that experts are allowed to give an opinion about
- 8 matters to which they have expert knowledge; that's one 9 of the big differences about being an expert. You know
- 10 that now?
- A. I understand that now, yes. 11
- Because, otherwise, a factual witness, a witness that 12 Q.
- 13 just says, I don't know, "I saw a particular person
- 14 outside of a shop at a particular time", they're not
- 15 allowed to give an opinion as to what they think is
- 16 going on, they've just got to say what they've seen; do 17 you understand the difference?
- A. I do now. I don't know if I did fully understand those 18 19 differences at the time.
- 20 Q. Now through the period of time that we're talking about,
- 21 there's Google, yes --
- 22 Α. Yes
- 23 Q. -- and we should add that there are other search 24 engines!
- 25 Α. Yes.

- 1 ignorance rather than maliciousness.
- 2 Q. Looking back in relation to your employer, Fujitsu, what 3 should they have done better?
- 4 A. They should have given me some training in terms of what 5 I -- what they were asking me to do.
- 6 Q. And about the system itself, what should they have done
- 7 better? You must have thought about this, Mr Jenkins? A. Sorry? 8
- 9 Q. You must have thought about this. What should Fujitsu 10 have done better?
- A. I think the system as a whole was working well but it 11
- 12 clearly wasn't working perfectly, and I don't think
- 13 anyone ever suggested that it was.
- 14 Q. What should Fujitsu have done better, Mr Jenkins?
- A. Probably not put me in the situation that I was put in. 15
- Q. Lastly, Mr Jenkins, it was at the end of 2020 where, by 16
- 17 chance, I happened to be the person that was presenting
- 18 the evidence that related to the Clarke Advices in the 19 Criminal Court of Appeal, so end of 2020 when that
- 20 happened.
- A. Right yes. 21
- 22 Q. That's when the Clarke Advices then started to become 23 part of discussion, generally --
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- in relation to Post Office matters. Now, you were on

- Q. Did you ever, as an example, Google, the question of 1
- 2 giving evidence in court proceedings?
- 3 A. No, I didn't.
- 4 Q. Did you ever speak to somebody else within your team and
- say, "Well, has anybody ever looked up what we should be 5 6 doing in court proceedings"?
- 7 A. No, I didn't. Again, I should have done --
- Q. Did you ever ask -- yes --8
- A. Again, I should have done but I didn't, yeah. 9
- 10 Q. Did you ever ask for advice about it?
- 11 A. Well, I did seek some advice in -- with -- from David
- Jones, for example, back in February 2010, and there was 12 13 no suggestion that I needed to do anything special at
- 14 that time
- 15 Q. So the odd situation that you were in, from your point
- 16 of view, which is giving evidence in court proceedings,
- 17 serious evidence affecting people in Post Office
- 18 branches, you didn't look it up? You didn't speak to
- 19 other people in your situation, like Ms Chambers, about
- 20 it; is that right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Do you think you could have done more to help people in 23 this situation?
- 24 Α. I clearly appreciate that now and I appreciate that
- 25 I did get things very wrong but I -- it was done through 74
- 1 retainer until 2022?
- 2 Δ Yes.
- 3 Q. You were saying, essentially, that you weren't doing
- 4 very much work from about 2020 onwards but you were 5
 - still theoretically consulting, if possible -- you
- 6 know --
- 7 Α. Yes. 8
- Q. -- if they wanted you to?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- Q. After the time when the Clarke Advices started to become 10
- part of the discussion within Post Office Inquiry 11
- 12 matters, as it became, did Fujitsu bring you to whatever
- 13 office and say, "Mr Jenkins, there has been this Advice
- 14 written by a chap called Simon Clarke that says you've
- 15 not told the truth or the whole truth to court
- 16 proceedings"; did Fujitsu bring you in and ask questions
- 17 of you about that?
- A. They -- I was certainly sent a copy of the Clarke Advice 18 19 at the time and, after the Horizon trial, I was brought
- 20 in to discuss with Fujitsu lawyers to give my view as to
- 21 what had actually, you know, the outcome from the
- 22 Horizon trial that had happened in 2019, and that was
- 23 the reason for my last couple of consultancy meetings in 24 early 2020.
- 25 Q. Was there an internal inquiry by Fujitsu into the 76

- 1 question of your integrity, your honesty, your probity,
- 2 your credibility, when giving the statements going back3 in time for the Post Office?
- 4 A. Not that I can remember as such, at least not one that5 involves me.
- 6 Q. You've mentioned -- and I'm grateful to Mr Enright --
- 7 that there were statements that were served in your name8 that weren't actually signed by you?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Are those statements that you've seen during the course of your preparation for your evidence in this hearing,
- 12 these hearings?
- 13 A. Yes, as I say, I can't remember exactly which ones I've
- 14 signed and which ones I haven't but I am not using that
- as an excuse. It's just that it's been pointed out thatsome of the statements that have been shown to me do not
- 17 have my signature on them. I'm not saying that I don't
- 18 stand by what they say; I'm just saying I -- my
- 19 understanding is that some of them were served before
- 20 I had actually signed them.
- 21 **Q.** Language is important. Your understanding is that some
- 22 were served, it seems, without them having been passed
- 23 by you, is that what you're saying, or are you saying
- 24 that some statements were served in court proceedings
 - that did not go via you: which?

- 1 handing over to Mr Moloney.
- 2 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.

Questioned by MR MOLONEY

- 4 **MR MOLONEY:** Thank you, Mr Beer. Thank you, sir.
- 5 Mr Jenkins, I've three topics to deal with you,
- 6 please. Just to start with a few general questions,
- 7 then a very short section on Mr Grant Allen's case and
- 8 then the most lengthy section on Mr Khayyam Ishaq's9 case.
- 10 **A.** Okay.

25

3

- 11 Q. Okay thank you. Just the general questions to start
- 12 with. As your services were utilised in more and more
- 13 prosecutions, did you become more familiar with the
- 14 types of documents prepared for the purposes of criminal
- proceedings, such as witness statements, case summaries,defence statements, and so on?
- 17 A. I got to recognise a bit more about the type of
- documents that I would be shown, so yes, I think that'sa fair comment.
- 20 Q. Yes. But it's a question rather than a comment, but --
- 21 A. Well, yes, okay, yes. But yes.
- 22 Q. Entirely. Did you become more familiar with the
- 23 language used by lawyers in such documents?
- 24 A. I'm not sure that I -- I thought much about the language
- 25 used. I was just looking at them in terms of just

79

- 1 A. I believe that I saw all of the statements. I'm not --
- 2 what I'm saying is I haven't necessarily actually put my
- 3 physical signature on the bottom of each one of them.
- 4 I'm not -- I'm not tying to distance myself from
- 5 statements that were put in my name. All I'm saying is
- 6 that I hadn't necessarily actually physically signed
- 7 them all.

12

21

- 8 MR STEIN: Thank you, Mr Jenkins.
- 9 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Stein?
- 10 MR STEIN: Yes, sir.
- 11 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.
 - So Mr Moloney, is it appropriate to take our second
- 13 break now and then you can have your question time after
- 14 that break?
- 15 MR MOLONEY: Yes, please, sir. Thank you.
- 16 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine.
- 17 So we'll start again, well, 12.05. Is that all
- 18 right with everyone?
- 19 MR BEER: Thank you, sir.
- 20 (11.52 am)
- (A short break)
- (12.05 pm)
- 23 MR BEER: Good afternoon, sir, can you see and hear us?
- 24 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you very much.
- 25 **MR BEER:** I'll just wait for the room to settle down before 78
- reading them in the same way as I would any other sort
 of document.
 Q. All right. Thank you. Did you become more familiar
- 4 with how cases might be conducted, the issues in the
- 5 case, and how the defence might seek to resist the6 prosecution case and how the prosecution might seek to
- 7 rebut what the defence was saying?
- 8 A. I'm not sure, is the simple answer to that. I've not
 9 done really any sort of comparison as to how things have
 10 changed over time, particularly.
- 11 **Q.** Just to try and put it in more simple terms, did you
- 12 actually say, "Right, this is the point the prosecution
- 13 are making and this is what the defence are saying to it
- 14 and this is how the prosecution might rebut that"?
- 15 A. Yes, I suppose so.
- 16 Q. When you were involved in a case, writing a report,
- meeting with counsel, did you ever consider how a badresult in the case, a negative outcome on the issues in
- 19 the case, might have negative implications for Fujitsu?
- 20 A. No, I don't think I was considering that particularly.
- 21 I was just trying to address the questions that I was22 being asked.
- 23 Q. Right. So you confined yourself, really, to answering24 the questions that you were asked?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q.	May I just the answer may be obvious because of the
2		answer you've just given but, just to repeat it when
3		you were involved in a case, writing a report, meeting
4		with counsel, did you ever consider how a bad result in
5		the case, a negative outcome on the issues, might affect
6		or have negative implications for Post Office?
7	Α.	No, I didn't really consider that.
8	Q.	Okay. I'd now like to ask you just a few questions
9		about Grant Allen's case. He's one of the Core
10		Participants represented by Hudgells Solicitors. Do you
11		have the recollection of this case from having read the
12		papers in preparation for your evidence?
13	Α.	I've read the stuff about that, yes, as far as the
14		preparation, yes.
15	Q.	So I'll just try and give a quick summary and then
16		you'll see if there's anything you disagree with?
17	Α.	Okay.
18	Q.	So in January 2013, Mr Allen plead the guilty to
19		a single count of fraud by false representation. When
20		his branch had been audited, there had been a shortfall
21		of about £17,000 and Mr Allen told Auditors that they
22		would find a shortfall in excess of £10,000. He told
23		Investigators he'd inflated the balance in the branch in
24		order to cover losses he'd experienced and he thought
25		that the shortfall was associated with problems he
		81
1	A.	Sure.
2	Q.	"Ishaq Having served your report, the defence have
3		queried it and are claiming that Ishaq had to make false
4		entries in order for the figures to reconcile, as the
5		Horizon system kept malfunctioning.

"The trial is listed for 25 February 2013 for 3-4

- 7 days. Please could you make a note in your diary, as
 8 you will be needed to attend to clarify our position
 9 with Horizon."
 - If we could go down. Thank you:
 - "Our barrister has asked if you could read the
- 12 Defence Case Statement attached and make a list of your
- 13 initial thoughts on the assertions that he is making.
- We may need you to add a few of those comments into yourreport so that each issue is addressed.
- 16 "I have attached a copy of the case summary for your assistance."
 - Then it deals with the case of Sefton and Nield,
- which we don't have to spend any time on, and the usualsalutations.
- 21 A. Yes.

6

10

11

18

- 22 Q. We see from that that you were told that Grant Allen's
 23 case had concluded --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- and Ms Panter refers to having served your report,

- encountered with hardware not functioning properly, as 1 2 he moved over to the new system; do you remember that? A. I didn't remember it was to do with moving over to the 3 4 new system; I thought it was to do with moving hardware from one branch to -- one location to another. 5 6 Q. Absolutely. Now, can we look at POL00089427. That's 7 POL00089427. Can we please go to the final page to start with, so we can scroll up to the penultimate page, 8 and keep going, and keep going. Thank you. 9 10 It's an email from Rachael Panter to you on 11 31 January 2013 and it follows on, really, in time from an email that Mr Beer asked you about yesterday 12 13 afternoon when all the cases were set out and your 14 generic statements were going to be served in relation to those cases? 15 16 Yes, I'm familiar with those sort of emails. Α. 17 Q. Yes, entirely. So I'll read it, if I may: "Hi Gareth 18 19 "Hope you are well. Just to let you know where we 20 are with a couple of cases. 21 "Grant Allen -- this case has concluded now so you 22 will not need to attend." 23 I will deal with what is said about Mr Ishaq here 24 because that will save time when I come to ask you about 25 Mr Ishaq.
 - 82

1		which of course follows on from reference in the
2		previous email that we saw about expert reports.
3	Α.	It was my generic witness statement, I believe, was what
4		was being served.
5	Q.	Absolutely, which was described as an expert report from
6		you.
7	Α.	I thought of it as being an expert witness statement but
8		I won't quibble the terms.
9	Q.	Okay. You reply in due course to this email, at 17.01,
10		so not long after. If we could go up the page, please,
11		and there we see:
12		"Rachael,
13		"I'm fine thanks. Hope you are too.
14		"Thanks for the update. I'll make a note of the
15		dates. No problem with them at present.
16		"I'll have a look at the Ishaq stuff and get back to
17		you. When do you need anything? I'm tied up all of
18		next week so I may not be able to get anything
19		formalised until the week of 11 February. Is that
20		okay?"
21		In fact, you were much quicker than that
22	Α.	Yes, I found a bit of space in my schedules during that
23		week but, yes, I was trying to set expectations.
24	Q.	It's this I'd like to ask you about, Mr Jenkins, if
25		I may. You go on to say:
		84

"What exactly was	the	conclusion	of the	Grant Allen
-------------------	-----	------------	--------	-------------

- 2 case? I was particularly concerned about his
- 3 allegations regarding the problems caught due to
- 4 refurbishment and comms issues being the reason for some
- 5 of his losses. Was anything said publicly about that?
- 6 We were quite concerned that this might set
- 7 a precedent."

1

8

- Then you referred to the case of Mr Patel and Kim
- Wylie, to conclude the email.
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Who was "we" there, Mr Jenkins? 11
- A. I guess it was "we," Fujitsu. I'm not 100 per cent 12
- 13 sure, about that would be -- it wasn't a royal 'we', if 14 that's what you mean.
- 15 Q. Well, that was one option, although you'd said "I" in 16 the previous sentence --
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. -- which rather precluded it being a royal 'we'.
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Q. So it was Fujitsu who were worried. Why would Fujitsu
- 21 be worried about a precedent being set in a case?
- 22 A. I think it was -- there was a suggestion that
- 23 a refurbishment had caused a loss and I'd not been given
- 24 the opportunity to investigate what was actually
- 25 happening, so I just wanted to sort of clarify exactly 85
- 1 career?
- 2 A. Possibly.
- 3 Q. Can I move on, please, now just to look at the case of 4 Khayyam Ishaq, and could we please put up the case of 5 Hamilton, which has the Inquiry URN POL00113278, and it 6 is paragraph 214. There it is. 7 This is what the Court of Appeal said about the case
- 8 of Khayyam Ishaq in the judgment Hamilton and others at 9 paragraph 214, and it goes through to paragraph 218 for 10 the facts:
- 11 "On 7 March 2013, in the Crown Court at Bradford, 12 before HHJ Potter, Khayyam Ishaq changed his plea to guilty to the theft of £17,863. On 22 April 2013, he 13
- 14 was sentenced to 54 weeks' imprisonment."
- 15 So what that means is that it's a sentence of 16 immediate custody, not suspended:
- 17 "215. The defence challenge to the Horizon system 18 was clear from a very early stage in the proceedings. 19 Mr Ishaq's solicitor had informed [Post Office] of the 20 issue and of the defence intention to instruct an expert 21 at an earlier Magistrates' Courts hearing on 25 July
- 22 2012. A defence statement of 29 August 2012 repeated
- 23 the defence challenge to Horizon and made a series of 24 disclosure requests targeted at the Horizon system.
- 25 "Mr Ishaq denied theft but admitted to altering
 - 87

- 1 what the outcome was, as to whether it needed further 2 investigation.
- 3 **Q.** Because if a refurbishment had been shown to cause
 - a loss or it had not been challenged that
- a refurbishment had caused a loss, that might cause 5
- 6 problems for Fujitsu?
- 7 A. Potentially, yes.
- Q. Yes. Specifically here, there is a reference to 8
- 9 "precedent" and that this perhaps could cause problems 10 in future cases?
- A. Yeah, I guess so. 11
- Q. Were you involving yourself, as it were, in strategy at 12
- 13 this point, Mr Jenkins, and not simply answering the
- 14 questions that were asked of you?
- 15 A. I didn't see it that way.
- 16 Q. Was there a risk of reputational damage to Fujitsu if 17 this had gone wrong?
- 18 A. I suppose there was a risk there but I was more
- 19 concerned in actually understanding what had actually 20 happened in that particular case and what the outcome
- 21 was
- 22 Q. And what the possible detriment was?
- 23 A. I suppose that may have come into it.
- 24 You were looking ahead with the interests of those who'd Q.
- 25 been your effective employers for the whole of your 86
- 1 items on Horizon out of necessity in order to reconcile 2 the accounts and due to the system malfunctioning. The 3 defence sought any information relating to the 4 malfunctioning of the Horizon system generally (such as 5 the outcome of any enquiries or investigations or 6 internal memoranda record malfunctioning) and the data 7 produced by Horizon. The defence repeatedly sought 8 disclosure in relation to Horizon and instructed 9 an accountancy expert to analyse the accounts. 10 "[Post Office] produced evidence to demonstrate the 11 integrity of Horizon and relied in particular upon the 12 involvement of Mr Jenkins who provided witness 13 statements and contributed to a joint expert report. In 14 a served witness statement dated 15 January 2013, 15 Mr Jenkins defended the integrity of the Horizon system. 16 "On 5 February 2013, the defence made a formal 17 application to a judge for further disclosure on 18 Horizon. The application was refused. On 20 February 19 2013, the defence served an addendum defence statement 20 which alleged Horizon malfunction and set out reports of 21 technical faults which Mr Ishaq had made to the Horizon 22 Helpdesk. He had also made reports to the National 23 Business Support Centre about shortfalls and 24 discrepancies." 25 Paragraph 219, which is the next paragraph, simply 88

92

		deals with Post Office's response to the appeal on	1		it says in the judgment in Hamilton and Others, Mr Ishaq
2		behalf of Mr Ishaq and points out about the absence of	2		had served the defence statement setting out his defence
3		analysis.	3		to the case and explaining why he took issue with the
4		At the fifth line:	4		prosecution case, and he'd also commissioned an exper
5		"The fact that Mr Jenkins provided witness	5		report from Beverley Ibbotson supporting his defence,
6		statements in itself suggested that [Post Office] did	6		and you had a number of emails with Beverley Ibbotson
7		not disclose the full and accurate position regarding	7		and did you meet her briefly, as well?
8		the reliability of Horizon. There was no proof of	8	Α.	Met her briefly outside of the court on the first day of
9		an actual loss as opposed to a Horizon-generated	9		the first trial but I'd not seen anything to do with
10		shortage."	10		that report until that point.
11		So that's sets the factual background, as it were,	11	Q.	Yeah. In fact, you got it very late in the day, didn't
12		to the questions that I'd like to ask you, Mr Jenkins.	12		you?
13		But just to add a little more, which I think you may	13	Α.	l got it on the first day the morning of the first
14		recollect of it, from the terms of your witness	14		day of the first trial, yeah.
15		statement, that Mr Ishaq had pleaded not guilty and was	15	Q.	Absolutely. When at court, did you meet the prosecution
16		due to be tried on 25 February 2013 in the Bradford	16		team, trial counsel, Mr Mark Ford?
17		Crown Court but, although the trial started, his counsel	17	Α.	I believe I must have done.
18		was not well and so the trial was adjourned until	18	Q.	The purpose of your email exchanges with the defence
19		6 March 2013.	19		expert, Beverley Ibbotson, prior to the part of the
20	Α.	Yes, I remember that.	20		trial, just prior to the start of the trial, was in
	Q.	Then on 7 March he was re-arraigned and pleaded guilty.	21		order that you could discuss each other's potential
22		In fact, you'll remember that because you went to	22		evidence and produce a joint report that identified any
23		Bradford, didn't you?	23		points of agreement and identified any differences
_	Α.	On both occasions, I was in Bradford, yes.	24		between you?
	Q.	Absolutely. You had served a report in the case and, as	25	Α.	That's what I now understand, yes. I'm not sure it was
		89			90
1		actually explained to me at the time, I was just given			
		actually explained to me at the time, I was just given	1	Q.	This is POL00059602. We can see this is 1 February
2		the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you	1 2	Q.	This is POL00059602. We can see this is 1 February 2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're
2 3				Q.	
3	Q.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you	2	Q. A.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're
3 4		the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect.	2 3	A.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document.
3 4		the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint	2 3 4	A.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes.
3 4 5		the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report.	2 3 4 5	A.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've
3 4 5 6		the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with	2 3 4 5 6	A.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document.Yes.Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before
3 4 5 6 7		the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether	2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document.Yes.Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the
3 4 5 6 7 8		the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document.Yes.Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9		the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she was saying.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from Mr Ishaq's defence statement, reproduced in this
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	Α.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she was saying. Entirely, and we'll come on to have a look at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from Mr Ishaq's defence statement, reproduced in this document for you to comment on.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Α.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she was saying. Entirely, and we'll come on to have a look at that shortly. But, as you say, your views in relation to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from Mr Ishaq's defence statement, reproduced in this document for you to comment on. Yes. Yeah. We see:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Α.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she was saying. Entirely, and we'll come on to have a look at that shortly. But, as you say, your views in relation to the separate aspects of the report were incorporated into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from Mr Ishaq's defence statement, reproduced in this document for you to comment on. Yes. Yeah. We see: "This Defence Case Statement sets out in general
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she was saying. Entirely, and we'll come on to have a look at that shortly. But, as you say, your views in relation to the separate aspects of the report were incorporated into Ms Ibbotson's report, in bold and italics. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	A. Q.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from Mr Ishaq's defence statement, reproduced in this document for you to comment on. Yes. Yeah. We see: "This Defence Case Statement sets out in general terms the defence of Khayyam Ishaq and the principal
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she was saying. Entirely, and we'll come on to have a look at that shortly. But, as you say, your views in relation to the separate aspects of the report were incorporated into Ms Ibbotson's report, in bold and italics. Yes. We'll see that. First of all, I want to ask you about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from Mr Ishaq's defence statement, reproduced in this document for you to comment on. Yes. Yeah. We see: "This Defence Case Statement sets out in general terms the defence of Khayyam Ishaq and the principal matters upon which issue is taken with the [court]. It
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she was saying. Entirely, and we'll come on to have a look at that shortly. But, as you say, your views in relation to the separate aspects of the report were incorporated into Ms Ibbotson's report, in bold and italics. Yes. We'll see that. First of all, I want to ask you about the defence statement. Now, the essence of Mr Ishaq's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from Mr Ishaq's defence statement, reproduced in this document for you to comment on. Yes. Yeah. We see: "This Defence Case Statement sets out in general terms the defence of Khayyam Ishaq and the principal matters upon which issue is taken with the [court]. It is served for no other purpose."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she was saying. Entirely, and we'll come on to have a look at that shortly. But, as you say, your views in relation to the separate aspects of the report were incorporated into Ms Ibbotson's report, in bold and italics. Yes. We'll see that. First of all, I want to ask you about the defence statement. Now, the essence of Mr Ishaq's sense, as is made clear, was set out in his defence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from Mr Ishaq's defence statement, reproduced in this document for you to comment on. Yes. Yeah. We see: "This Defence Case Statement sets out in general terms the defence of Khayyam Ishaq and the principal matters upon which issue is taken with the [court]. It is served for no other purpose." It carries on five paragraphs, introducing the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she was saying. Entirely, and we'll come on to have a look at that shortly. But, as you say, your views in relation to the separate aspects of the report were incorporated into Ms Ibbotson's report, in bold and italics. Yes. We'll see that. First of all, I want to ask you about the defence statement. Now, the essence of Mr Ishaq's sense, as is made clear, was set out in his defence statement and, for these purposes, can we look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from Mr Ishaq's defence statement, reproduced in this document for you to comment on. Yes. Yeah. We see: "This Defence Case Statement sets out in general terms the defence of Khayyam Ishaq and the principal matters upon which issue is taken with the [court]. It is served for no other purpose." It carries on five paragraphs, introducing the Defence Case Statement, which you say:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she was saying. Entirely, and we'll come on to have a look at that shortly. But, as you say, your views in relation to the separate aspects of the report were incorporated into Ms Ibbotson's report, in bold and italics. Yes. We'll see that. First of all, I want to ask you about the defence statement. Now, the essence of Mr Ishaq's sense, as is made clear, was set out in his defence statement and, for these purposes, can we look at a document which contains quotes from his defence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from Mr Ishaq's defence statement, reproduced in this document for you to comment on. Yes. Yeah. We see: "This Defence Case Statement sets out in general terms the defence of Khayyam Ishaq and the principal matters upon which issue is taken with the [court]. It is served for no other purpose." It carries on five paragraphs, introducing the Defence Case Statement, which you say: "All of the above appears to be standard legal
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	A. Q. Q.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she was saying. Entirely, and we'll come on to have a look at that shortly. But, as you say, your views in relation to the separate aspects of the report were incorporated into Ms Ibbotson's report, in bold and italics. Yes. We'll see that. First of all, I want to ask you about the defence statement. Now, the essence of Mr Ishaq's sense, as is made clear, was set out in his defence statement and, for these purposes, can we look at a document which contains quotes from his defence statement and your comments upon those quotes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from Mr Ishaq's defence statement, reproduced in this document for you to comment on. Yes. Yeah. We see: "This Defence Case Statement sets out in general terms the defence of Khayyam Ishaq and the principal matters upon which issue is taken with the [court]. It is served for no other purpose." It carries on five paragraphs, introducing the Defence Case Statement, which you say: "All of the above appears to be standard legal preamble and doesn't require any comment from me."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she was saying. Entirely, and we'll come on to have a look at that shortly. But, as you say, your views in relation to the separate aspects of the report were incorporated into Ms Ibbotson's report, in bold and italics. Yes. We'll see that. First of all, I want to ask you about the defence statement. Now, the essence of Mr Ishaq's sense, as is made clear, was set out in his defence statement and, for these purposes, can we look at a document which contains quotes from his defence statement and your comments upon those quotes. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from Mr Ishaq's defence statement, reproduced in this document for you to comment on. Yes. Yeah. We see: "This Defence Case Statement sets out in general terms the defence of Khayyam Ishaq and the principal matters upon which issue is taken with the [court]. It is served for no other purpose." It carries on five paragraphs, introducing the Defence Case Statement, which you say: "All of the above appears to be standard legal preamble and doesn't require any comment from me." Reflective of your familiarity with documents of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23	A. Q. Q.	the report and said, "Have a look at this, what do you think about it?", or words to that effect. Right, because you did produce a joint Yes. Well, I think it was called a joint report. Basically what it was, it was Ms Ibbotson's report with some comments interleaved with it that as to whether I agreed or disagreed with what she was saying and, in the event, on the whole, I agreed with most of what she was saying. Entirely, and we'll come on to have a look at that shortly. But, as you say, your views in relation to the separate aspects of the report were incorporated into Ms Ibbotson's report, in bold and italics. Yes. We'll see that. First of all, I want to ask you about the defence statement. Now, the essence of Mr Ishaq's sense, as is made clear, was set out in his defence statement and, for these purposes, can we look at a document which contains quotes from his defence statement and your comments upon those quotes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	2013, 9.31 in the morning. This is when you're essentially commenting on this document. Yes. Yes. We see the introduction explaining what you've been asked to do, so this is about five weeks before trial. If we could move up slightly so we can see the full section on Defence Case Statement. Thank you. So this is the first quote, as it were, from Mr Ishaq's defence statement, reproduced in this document for you to comment on. Yes. Yeah. We see: "This Defence Case Statement sets out in general terms the defence of Khayyam Ishaq and the principal matters upon which issue is taken with the [court]. It is served for no other purpose." It carries on five paragraphs, introducing the Defence Case Statement, which you say: "All of the above appears to be standard legal preamble and doesn't require any comment from me."

96

1		to do with the legal side of things that I didn't really	1		successful assistance was arranged to him despite the
2		fully understand and, as I understood it, I was expected	2		said requests."
3		to comment on the technical aspects, rather than the	3		Your response here is:
4		stuff there.	4		"If the defence can specify some examples of this,
5	Q.	The next section is "General nature of the defence	5		I am happy to investigate them. However I would conter
6		case", and we see that as the heading. Here we see:	6		that the system doesn't malfunction without leaving som
7		"The defendant is charged with theft of £21,168.64	7		trail to indicate what has happened. Without examining
8			8		the logs it is difficult to be any more specific.
9		"Again no comment. I have not seen any of the	9		"I think there are 3 possibilities here:
10		detail as to how this figure was arrived at.	10		"The defendant has not understood of the way the
11		"Note that I have been involved in a previous case	11		system operates and that the difficulties in
12		where the figure was disputed and was able to show why	12		reconciliation have been due to the defendant's lack of
13		the defendant had misunderstood the way the system	13		understanding of the system and the way in which it
14		operated, due to the way they were trying to hide the	14		operates.
15		loss."	15		"The defendant has stolen the money.
16		Then at paragraph 7, if you could scroll up a bit,	16		"There is a fault in the system.
17		thank you:	17		"There is no evidence of a fault in the system (and
18		"The nature of the Defence in relation to this	18		the fact that the system operates without issue in
19		allegation is:	19		12,000 other branches supports this fact), so I would
20		"There was no appropriation of monies. The Post	20		suggest that it is one of the other two. I can offer no
21		Office 'Horizon' software/hardware system had in the	21		opinion in identifying which is the case. The Post
22		past on numerous occasions malfunctioned causing	22		Office helpline is run by Post Office Limited and so
23		difficulties in reconciling sales, receipt and stock	23		I am unable to comment on the assistance it did or did
24		figures. The defendant had reported the same to the	24		not provide."
25		Post Office helpline seeking assistance but little or no 93	25		The system was faulty at times though, Mr Jenkins, 94
1		wasn't it?	1		though, didn't you? You first of all said, "I've not
2	Α.	I was aware of, at that stage, one fault that had	2		looked at the data but I think there are three
3		occurred after the pilot of Horizon Online, because this	3		possibilities here", you say, and the two that it must
4		case was to do with Horizon Online, if I remember	4		be are that the defendant must have misunderstood the
5		correctly, and that was the receipts and payments	5		operation of the system or the defendant was a thief,
6		mismatch issue that I think we discussed a day or two	6		but you could not say which, and that was before you'd
7		ago, and I was confident that we knew the scope of that	7		looked at the data.
8		and which branches that had actually affected.	8	Α.	That's what I say. I had no reason to think there was
9	Q.	Yes, and it could cause problems that had to be	9		a problem with the system at that time.
10		rectified, the system could do that. You knew that from	10	Q.	Without having looked at the data?
11		Horizon Legacy and Horizon Online was relatively new,	11	Α.	I have not I'd not been asked to look at the data and
12		and you knew that any computer system could cause	12		hadn't been given the data at that stage.
13		problems which had to be rectified?	13	Q.	You say:
14	Α.	Yes, but, as I was saying there, that as we were talking	14		"Note that this is a common complaint."
15		about Horizon Online in this case, that I wasn't aware	15		What was a common complaint?
		of any specific problems that would have affected that	16	Α.	The common complaint was that, by then, I was
16		particular branch.	17		understanding that people were complaining about the
			18		NBSC helpline not being very helpful.
16	Q.	You had not, at this stage, examined the data?	10		
16 17	Q. A.		19	Q.	Is that part of your expertise?
16 17 18	_	-		Q. A.	Is that part of your expertise? No, it wasn't.
16 17 18 19	Α.	And I make that clear at the time.	19		No, it wasn't.
16 17 18 19 20	Α.	And I make that clear at the time. But you were nevertheless venturing an opinion that it	19 20	Α.	No, it wasn't.
16 17 18 19 20 21	Α.	And I make that clear at the time. But you were nevertheless venturing an opinion that it is one of the other two, without having looked at the	19 20 21	Α.	No, it wasn't. If we could move to (iv), please, on this, if we scroll
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	And I make that clear at the time. But you were nevertheless venturing an opinion that it is one of the other two, without having looked at the data.	19 20 21 22	Α.	No, it wasn't. If we could move to (iv), please, on this, if we scroll down to (iv):

95

(24) Pages 93 - 96

You reply:

referring to.

that trial period.

previous such challenges."

"I am not aware of any such material other than

You were aware of material, weren't you, that showed, whether or not it had been fixed, that,

actually, the Post Office Horizon software and hardware system has proved to be unreliable and/or inaccurate? I didn't have -- I was aware that Post Office had been building up material of previous challenges but I didn't have a complete set of that and that's really what I was

branch had actually moved from Legacy Horizon to Horizon Online, and it wasn't operating on Horizon Online during

You knew about the problems during rollout? But the problems during rollout would have not been relevant because I had found out at what time this

So you're saying that you've confined yourself to Horizon Online here, and that you've not considered this as a general request about Horizon software and hardware. You've read Post Office Horizon Online

You knew all about the problems during rollout. You

be appropriate to tell her about what was going on with Horizon at this time, when she was essentially raising accountancy related problems in relation to Horizon? I can see now that maybe I should have done but I didn't

other material dealing with the correct or incorrect functioning of the Post Office hardware/software system

"I don't believe that I have anything specific that comes in this category. I assume that this is being

Didn't you know about the Known Error Log? That was not -- I'd not interpreted that question as

Well, that identifies incorrect functioning of the Post Office Horizon hardware and software system and gives

Yes, I accept that now but I'd not seen that as being

So far as the answers you've given in this document are concerned, did you appreciate that Post Office would

"Any internal memoranda and/or guidance notes and/or

knew all about the problems with Riposte. 98

software and hardware system? That is how I was taking that.

think of that at the time. Then, finally for this, at (v):

You say:

meaning that.

fixes, doesn't it?

what this question was about.

rely on your answers?

addressed by Post Office Limited."

..."

1		should be able to demonstrate that all sales, receipt	1	
2		and stock figures properly reconcile."	2	
3		You say:	3	
4		"Not sure I understand this. Is he asking to see	4	
5		the detailed logs to do his own analysis? If so I would	5	
6		suggest that he may need some help in understanding them	6	
7		and in the past I've worked with defence experts to	7	
8		provide that understanding."	8	Α.
9		You realise that the matters that were being raised	9	
10		were the proper subject of expertise	10	
11	Α.	Yes.	11	
12	Q.	not something that an ordinary person could be	12	Q.
13		expected to understand?	13	Α.
14	Α.	It was a case of explaining what the logs actually	14	
15		meant, yes.	15	
16	Q.	Then could we please go down to the disclosure requests,	16	
17		yes, and that's at 11(ii). It reads:	17	
18		"All material to the knowledge of the prosecution in	18	Q.
19		existence (whether in the hands of the prosecution or	19	
20		third parties) that reasonably supports (or is	20	
21		reasonably capable of supporting) the contention that	21	
22		the Post Office Horizon software/hardware system has	22	
23		proved to be unreliable and/or inaccurate and/or	23	Α.
24		unstable and/or susceptible to malfunction and/or	24	Q.
25		otherwise prone to the production of erroneous results."	25	
		97		
4		Dut this same uses to do with Uprizon Online and nothing	4	
1	Α.	But this case was to do with Horizon Online and nothing	1 2	
2 3	0	to do with Riposte.	2	
4	Q.	Yes. But this was an invitation to set out all you	4	Α.
4 5	Α.	knew, really, Mr Jenkins, wasn't it?	4 5	А.
6		I didn't see it that way.	6	0
_	Q.	Then down to (iv), please. We see there:	_	Q.
7		"The full results (whether provisional or final) of	7	
8		all internal and/or external investigations and/or	8 9	
9 10		enquiries and/or reviews (whether instigated by the Post		
10		Office or any other body) into the correct functioning	10	
11		of the Post Office Horizon hardware/software system"	11	
12		You reply:	12	
13		"Again, I do not have this information but	13	
14		presumably Post Office Limited does. I am aware of	14	
15		an ongoing investigation into this area by	15	
16		an independent 3rd party which is due to report in	16	Α.
17		a couple of months' time."	17	
18		That was the Second Sight investigation, was it?	18	Q.
19	Α.	Yes, yeah.	19	
20	Q.	When you met with Beverley Ibbotson, or emailed her, did	20	-
21		you tell her about the ongoing Second Sight	21	Α.
22		investigation?	22	~
23	Α.	No, I didn't know that I needed to.	23	Q.
24	Q.	Having seen what Mr Ishaq was getting at in this case,	24	
25		meeting with the expert, did you not feel that it might	25	

99

(25) Pages 97 - 100

¹⁰⁰

1	Α.	I don't think I don't think I heard anything further
2		from when I passed this response back to I think it
3		was Cartwright King, rather than Post Office, as such,
4		and I didn't get any further feedback in terms of
5		progressing this any further, that I can remember.
6	Q.	Because we know, as we've seen from the terms of the
7		Hamilton judgment, that a disclosure request was made by
8		Mr Ishaq on 5 February, that's just four days after
9		this, which related to that material and the application
10		was refused by the judge.
11		Now, we don't have a transcript of that disclosure
12		application but do you appreciate now that, on the basis
13		of what you said, Post Office would be able to say that
14		it had no material to disclose?
15 16		I'm not sure that that, on the basis of what I said,
17		that they would be able to say that because what I'm
18		saying here is that Post Office probably does have material that I didn't have personally and, therefore.
19		it was up to them as to what they should be disclosing
20		or not.
20		What material were you thinking of, Mr Jenkins? That
22		Post Office would have?
23		I didn't know what they had. All I'm saying is that
24		I didn't think that I had anything that was relevant.
25		Well, you're saying that "Post Office probably does have
		101
1		it. I thought Post Office had that information and they
2		could tell people about it.
3	Q.	In any event, matters progressed and the defence served
4		an expert report from Ms lbbotson and, as you've
5		explained, you had email exchanges with Ms lbbotson and
6		you met her briefly at court?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	Could we now, please, have a look at Ms Ibbotson's
9		report and the contributions from you, that are
10		contained therein, the joint statement. This is
11		POL00059927. We can see there it's dated 26 February
12		2013. It's the report of Beverley Ibbotson and the
13		joint statement of Beverley Ibbotson and Gareth Jenkins.
14		Now, I assume, Mr Jenkins, that you read this report?
15		I did at the time, yes.
16		Yes. I'd like to take you to particular sections of it,
17		if I may. First to page 4, and paragraph 1.10. Now,
18		there's a part of Beverley Ibbotson's report that says
19 20		here:
20 21		"I understand that my duty in providing this report is to the court and this report is addressed to the
21		Court and not to those instructing me."
22		Did you read this as written by Ms lbbotson?
23 24		That was part of her statement. I was commenting more
24		on the technical aspects that came later.
20		103

1	material that I didn't have personally", are your words
2	and therefore it would be up to them

- A. I think that's what I'm trying to say here, "Again, I do
 not have this information but presumably Post Office
 Limited does".
- 6 Q. What did you suspect they had?
- 7 A. I was aware of the work that Helen Rose had done a few
 8 months earlier, in terms of cataloguing previous
- 9 challenges to Horizon.
- 10 Q. But wasn't that something that you were aware of asan expert, that you should be pointing out here, in
- 12 terms of the answers to it?
- 13 **A.** That was not my material. That was Post Office's
- 14 material and, when I looked at that, I didn't recognise
- 15 a lot of the information in there. So I felt the Post
- 16 Office had a better picture than I had.
- 17 **Q.** Why didn't you say, presumably, you'll disclose the
- 18 Helen Rose Report? You knew about it, Mr Jenkins.
- A. Well, I assume that Post Office knew about it as wellbecause they were the ones who had shown it to me.
- Q. Well, precisely. But you were the person who was tasked
 with being the expert in this case, Mr Jenkins, and you
- knew about the Helen Rose Report, but you didn't tellanybody.
- 25 A. I didn't know that I was expected to tell anybody about 102
- Q. No, of course. I'm asking you, though, whether or not
 you read that?
- 3 A. I probably read it, yes.
- 4 Q. Did that inform your understanding of the section in
 5 your generic statement that -- where you said,
- 6 "I understand that my duty in providing this report is7 to the Court"?
- 8 A. I don't think I related the two together, at the time.
- 9 **Q.** Right. Then, at page 4, please, 1.11:
- 10 "In accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal
- 11 Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction supplementing
- 12 it, I set out my expert's declaration at the conclusion
- 13 of the body of this report."
- Did it never occur to you that you might be subjectto the same duty?
- 16 A. It hadn't, until it was pointed out to me much later.
- 17 Q. When you read that, "in accordance with Part 33 of the
- 18 Criminal Procedure Rules and the practice directions
- 19 supplementing it, I set out my expert's declaration at
- 20 the conclusion of the body of this report", did you not
- 21 wonder what Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules was
- 22 and the Practice Direction supplementing it?
- 23 A. No, as I say, this was all -- the first time that I saw
- 24 the report was the previous day. I'd spent most of the
- 25 evening analysing a whole load of data to be able to

1		address the issues, so that's what I was concentrating	1	Α.
2		on at this time.	2	
3	Q.	Can we please go to page 5, please, which is the next	3	
4		page, and to 2.3. This is really the body of	4	
5		Ms lbbotson's report, dealing with the issues in the	5	
6		case, and what had happened was that essentially there	6	Q.
7		was an audit, Mr Ishaq was suspended and there was	7	
8		a difference between the shortfalls from when he was	8	Α.
9		suspended and a later check?	9	
10	Α.		10	
11	Q.	Of course, you could see the obvious point that's being	11	
12		made, which is how has this balance changed, this	12	_
13		shortfall, how has it increased, if Mr Ishaq has been	13	Q.
14		suspended? It reads:	14	
15		"A repeat balance snapshot exercise was carried out	15	
16		on 11 February 2011, 3 days after the defendant had been	16	Α.
17		suspended, at which time the shortfall was identified as	17	~
18		being £21,213.79."	18	Q.
19		Then what you say is:	19	
20		"GJ agrees that these exhibits are clearly different	20	Α.
21		but is unable to explain the differences at this point.	21	Q.
22		GJ points out, however, that the difference is only	22	
23		£45.15."	23	
24		Why did you mention that, Mr Jenkins, about that	24	
25		figure, that it's only £45.15? 105	25	
1		between 9 September 2010 (start of branch trading	1	Q.
2		statement period 1) and 8 February 2011, which I have	2	
3		further subcategorised by user ID and by product code.	3	
4		As my analysis shows of the 248 reversals over the	4	A.
5		period, 14 were made by Mr Dennis Watson, following the	5	Q.
6		audit on 8 February 2011, 173 were carried out under the	6	
7		usernames associated with Mr Ishaq and 61 reversals were	7	
8		carried out under the username associated with	8	
9		Mr Liaguat."	9	
10		You then say:	10	
11		"[Gareth Jenkins] agrees that there were 248 items	11	
12		and with the split on the total basis as above. GJ	12	
13		points out that if only 4 product lines are analysed (as	13	
14		SB/21) then other than one transaction all were carried	14	
15		out by under name KI001 or KIS002. BI agrees."	15	
16		Was this part of your expertise, to be able to	16	
17		identify who made the reversals?	17	
18	Α.	I was identifying what the logs said had been done in	18	
19		terms of the reversals. So I'd been looking through the	19	A.
20		transaction logs for the branch for that. As I say,	20	
21		I can't remember the analysis I did now but I had spent	21	Q.
22		was of the previous evening going through the logs	22	
23		looking to see what these reversals were and trying to	23	
24		marry them up with what was mentioned in the report here	24	
25		by Ms Ibbotson.	25	
		107		

1	Α.	Because I saw that as being small compared to the
2		\pounds 21,000 that was being talked about and, in the work
3		that I'd done in a fairly limited timescale, I'd been
4		unable to sort of track down exactly what why that
5		difference was.
6	Q.	Wasn't that a matter for comment by the lawyers, rather
7		than you?
8	Α.	Possibly it was. I don't know. As I say, I don't know
9		what I'd been asked to actually look at this report
10		and I was agreeing with the fact that the figures were
11		different, but I was just pointing out that the
12		difference was only £45.
13	Q.	Can we go to page 17, please, and to paragraph 3.29.
14		This case was all about reversals, wasn't it,
15		Mr Jenkins?
16	Α.	Yes, I think it was. I can't remember all the details,
17		I'm afraid.
18	Q.	We see at 3.29, and of course the issue is, a central
19		issue is, who was responsible for the reversals?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	Yeah. We see Ms Ibbotson dealing with that issue and
22		something which perhaps undermined the assertion that
23		Khayyam Ishaq had been physically responsible for the
24		reversals. It reads:
25		"I have summarised, at Appendix G3, all reversals
		106
1	0	But that was a fact for the investigator and the
1	Q.	But that was a fact for the investigator and the
2	Q.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it;
2 3		prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise?
2 3 4	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at.
2 3 4 5		prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go
2 3 4 5 6	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms lbbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that" Then at (b):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that" Then at (b): " the Court may at any stage direct a discussion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms lbbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that" Then at (b): " the Court may at any stage direct a discussion to take place between experts"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that" Then at (b): " the Court may at any stage direct a discussion to take place between experts" Did you understand, when you had a discussion with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that" Then at (b): " the Court may at any stage direct a discussion to take place between experts" Did you understand, when you had a discussion with Beverley Ibbotson, that you were essentially as two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that" Then at (b): " the Court may at any stage direct a discussion to take place between experts" Did you understand, when you had a discussion with Beverley Ibbotson, that you were essentially as two experts discussing the issues in the case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Α.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms lbbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that" Then at (b): " the Court may at any stage direct a discussion to take place between experts" Did you understand, when you had a discussion with Beverley lbbotson, that you were essentially as two experts discussing the issues in the case? I was aware that I was there as an expert on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that" Then at (b): " the Court may at any stage direct a discussion to take place between experts" Did you understand, when you had a discussion with Beverley Ibbotson, that you were essentially as two experts discussing the issues in the case? I was aware that I was there as an expert on understanding how the Horizon system operated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that" Then at (b): " the Court may at any stage direct a discussion to take place between experts" Did you understand, when you had a discussion with Beverley Ibbotson, that you were essentially as two experts discussing the issues in the case? I was aware that I was there as an expert on understanding how the Horizon system operated. Yes. At (c):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that" Then at (b): " the Court may at any stage direct a discussion to take place between experts" Did you understand, when you had a discussion with Beverley Ibbotson, that you were essentially as two experts discussing the issues in the case? I was aware that I was there as an expert on understanding how the Horizon system operated. Yes. At (c): " the court may direct that, following
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms lbbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that" Then at (b): " the Court may at any stage direct a discussion to take place between experts" Did you understand, when you had a discussion with Beverley lbbotson, that you were essentially as two experts discussing the issues in the case? I was aware that I was there as an expert on understanding how the Horizon system operated. Yes. At (c): " the court may direct that, following a discussion between the experts, a statement should be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms Ibbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that" Then at (b): " the Court may at any stage direct a discussion to take place between experts" Did you understand, when you had a discussion with Beverley Ibbotson, that you were essentially as two experts discussing the issues in the case? I was aware that I was there as an expert on understanding how the Horizon system operated. Yes. At (c): " the court may direct that, following a discussion between the experts, a statement should be prepared showing those issues which are agreed and those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q.	prosecutor, not expert evidence from you, wasn't it; that's not part of your expertise? That's what I'd been asked to look at. Then, just finally, looking at this report, could we go to page 19 and the expert's declaration. This is the expert's declaration that Ms lbbotson had referred to in the early part of her report that is in accordance with Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules and the Practice Direction. Could we just look at 5.12, thank you. If we could move all of that up. Thank you very much. "I understand that" Then at (b): " the Court may at any stage direct a discussion to take place between experts" Did you understand, when you had a discussion with Beverley lbbotson, that you were essentially as two experts discussing the issues in the case? I was aware that I was there as an expert on understanding how the Horizon system operated. Yes. At (c): " the court may direct that, following a discussion between the experts, a statement should be

(27) Pages 105 - 108

		The Post Office Horiz
1		the reasons for disagreeing."
2		What did you think your joint statement was about?
3	Α.	I think it I think it was actually looking at that
4		now, I think it was fulfilling what it says at (c)
5		there.
6	Q.	Yes. Then at (f):
7		" I have read Part 33 of the Criminal Procedure
8		Rules and I have complied with its requirements."
9		Beverley Ibbotson is saying, as part of her
10		declaration, that she's read Part 33 of the Criminal
11		Procedure Rules and "I have complied with its
12		requirements". She says that in the context of it being
13		mentioned that the court can direct a discussion to take
14		place between experts and that the court can direct
15		a joint statement. She says "I have read Part 33 of the
16		Criminal" and she's declared this, "and I have
17		complied with its requirements".
18		Did you not wonder whether or not that was something
19		you ought to have done?
20	Α.	No, I didn't because, as I say, I was concerned about
21		analysing the data and commenting on the technical
22		aspects of the report, and that's all I thought that
23		I needed to be doing.
24	Q.	Did you read this?
25	Α.	I'm not sure.
		109
1		established a couple of days ago, I clearly had seen
2	~	that letter.
3	Q.	All of those documents contained the same or similar
4		aspects to that which is contained within Beverley
5		Ibbotson's report. You'd become familiar with the
6		language of documents, legal preamble, format of
7		documents. Why did never occur to you that any of this
8		might apply to you in your role as somebody giving
9		expert evidence on behalf of, essentially, Fujitsu and
10		Post Office?
11	Α.	I don't know. Clearly it should have done but it
12	~	didn't.
13	Q.	Is it because you knew you could not be you couldn't
14		sign this because you weren't independent, Mr Jenkins?
15 16	A.	I I'd just not thought it through.
16 17	Q.	Because you knew that you were part of the prosecution
	•	team batting for Post Office and Fujitsu?
18 10	A.	That's how they were treating me.
19 20	Q.	So you couldn't sign this expert's declaration, could
20	^	you?
21 22	A.	I was never asked to.
22	Q.	Even if you'd looked at it, you couldn't sign it, could

- 23 you?
- 24 A. Well, I've certainly never seen Part 33 of the Criminal
- 25 Procedure Rules and I certainly haven't seen the Code of 111

- 1 Q. You read the report but did you -- you're not sure?
- A. The bit of the report that I was concentrating on was
 the analysis of the -- what had been taking place in the
 branch.

8

- 5 **Q.** Yes. At (g):
- 6 "I confirm that I have acted in accordance with the7 Code of Practice for Experts."
 - Did you ever ask anybody about whether or not there
- 9 might be a Code of Practice for Experts?
- 10 A. No, I did not.
- 11 Q. You had been party to a joint statement with Charles
- 12 McLachlan in Mrs Misra's case, as well, hadn't you?
- 13 A. Yes, I probably had.
- 14 Q. Yes. Mr Beer took you to your notes on the expert15 report in the case of Wylie?
- 16 A. He did.
- 17 Q. You were also looking at that in February 2013, shortly18 before you came to consider the work of Beverley
- 19 Ibbotson.
- 20 A. I can't remember the chronology but I won't argue with21 you.
- Q. You'd received a letter from Bond Pearce at the earliest
 stages of your work on Litigation Support, before
- 24 working on the Castleton case.
- 25 A. I have no recollection of that, and -- but as it was 110

1		Practice for Experts, so on that basis I definitely
2		couldn't have signed it.
3	Q.	Because you were considering matters of strategy, such
4		as this would have been an unhelpful precedent?
5	Α.	I wouldn't call that a matter of strategy.
6	MR	MOLONEY: That's all I ask. Thank you, Mr Jenkins.
7	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr Moloney.
8		Now, where have we got to? It's well, 12.53.
9		There are potential questions on behalf of Ms Sinclair;
10		is that correct?
11	MR	BEER: Yes, sir. Might I suggest that we break until
12		1.55 and take those questions then?
13	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. Certainly, then. That's what
14		we'll do.
15	MR	BEER: Thank you very much, sir.
16	(12.	53 pm)
17		(The Short Adjournment)
18	(1.5	5 pm)
19	MR	BEER: Good afternoon, sir, can you hear and see us?
20	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, thank you very much.
21	MR	BEER: Thank you, I think it's Ms Allan on behalf of
22		Ms Sinclair next.
23	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Right.

- Questioned by MS ALLAN
- 25 **MS ALLAN:** Good afternoon, Mr Jenkins, can you see me? 112

1	Α.	Oh, sorry, right.
2	Q.	Hello. My name is Christie Allan. I represent Core
3		Participant Susan Sinclair, who is a wrongfully
4		convicted subpostmistress and the first to successfully
5		appeal her conviction in Scotland, which only happened
6		in September last year.
7		In the various witness statements that you've
8		provided to the Inquiry to date and in your oral
9		evidence this week, you've described the use of
10		case-specific witness statements in cases such as Seema
11		Misra and Hughie Thomas, and you've described the use of
12		generic witness statements in a number of criminal cases
13		thereafter, up until December 2013.
14		Am I correct in my understanding that you estimate
15		that you provided witness statements, in one form or
16		another, for approximately 15 Post Office prosecutions
17		in total?
18	Α.	I can't remember the number. I thought it was less than
19		that but I won't argue with the figure. It's that sort
20		of order of magnitude.
21	Q.	So thereabouts.
22	Α.	Yeah.
23	Q.	15 or thereabouts?
24	Α.	Yeah.
25	Q.	Of these 15 or thereabouts cases, approximately how many 113
1	A.	Yes, that's right, yes.
2	Q.	Thank you. Given that the Core Participant who
3		I represent was prosecuted in accordance with the
4		criminal justice system in Scotland, I want to now turn
5		to focus on the position in Scotland. Firstly, what was

- 6 your understanding of the legal procedure for Post
- 7 Office Prosecutions in Scotland and the involvement,
- 8 therefore, of the Crown Office and the Procurator Fiscal9 Service?
- 10 A. At the time I had no knowledge. I do understand that
- 11 the Scottish system is different from the English one
- 12 but that's the level of my knowledge, I'm afraid.
- Okay, thank you. Is it right to assume that you never
 provided oral evidence in a Scottish criminal
- 15 prosecution?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. What was the extent of your involvement in providing
 evidence in Scottish cases as to the functionality or
 integrity of Horizon, if any?
- A. I don't believe I was involved in any Scottish cases but
 I can't be 100 per cent sure of that.
- Q. On that basis, as far as you're aware, was your genericwitness statements, and/or your Horizon integrity
- 24 reports, relied upon in such cases?
- 25 A. Not that I'm aware of.

- 1 times did you attend court to give oral evidence at
- 2 trial as an expert witness?
- 3 A. Only -- I only gave oral evidence in one case.
- 4 Q. Of that one attendance at court, how many times -- or on
- 5 that one occasion, was your independence called into
- 6 question, given your employment with Fujitsu, which was
- 7 commercially contracted by Post Office?
- 8 A. I'm not quite sure what you mean by that, sorry.
- 9 **Q.** On the one attendance -- I'll repeat the question -- on
- 10 the one attendance that you did appear at court, am
- 11 I right in thinking that your independence was called
- 12 into question at trial, given your employment with
- Fujitsu, which was commercially contracted, of course,by Post Office?
- 15 A. I'm not sure that it was -- I was just asked was
- 16 I biased because I worked for Fujitsu, or words to that17 effect.
- 18 Q. So how was the issue of your independence overcome attrial?
- 20 A. I'm not quite clear. I was just asked what was my --
- 21 the fact that I worked for Fujitsu relevant by the judge
- 22 and I said I didn't think it was, and that was the end
- 23 of it, as far as I'm aware.
- 24 Q. And that's, I think, the point where you were called25 "the Fujitsu man" at trial?
 - 114
- 1 Q. Just finally on this topic, to the best of your 2 knowledge, was anyone else from Fujitsu, or indeed Post 3 Office, involved in providing evidence in Scottish 4 cases? 5 A. I don't know, is the simple answer. 6 O. Thank you. 7 If we could just move on to another topic, at 8 paragraph 10 of your fourth witness statement, you refer to Post Office's instruction of Second Sight in 2012 as 9 10 a good thing and state that you believed that 11 an independent review would conclude that Horizon was 12 sound, but they might also provide recommendations for 13 improvements, which you would welcome. 14 You also note that you understood the need for 15 an independent review of Horizon, given the criticism of 16 it in the media. Were you concerned by the growing
- 17 criticisms of Horizon in the media?
- 18 A. I wasn't concerned about it. I just wanted -- I felt it
 19 was a sound system, and I was -- welcomed the
 20 opportunity to actually show that it was.
- 21 Q. So, therefore, did you consider the impact of these
- 22 concerns and public criticisms of Horizon as having any
- 23 bearing on the witness evidence that you provided in
- 24 criminal cases, whether in the past or indeed going
- 25 forwards in considering you carried on your role in 116

1		prosecution support until 2013?
2	Α.	I think the last case I was involved in was about the
3		same sort of I think it was the case of Mr Ishaq that
4		we talked about this morning, was the time that I first
5		became involved with Second Sight. So they seemed to
6		coincide, I think.
7	Q.	Would you consider that the impact of these emerging
8		public concerns and criticism of Horizon had any impact
9		on the way that you conducted your evidence at that
10		point?
11	Α.	No, I just continued to explain what I thought I knew
12		about the system.
13	Q.	Thank you. If we move on, at paragraph 28 of your
14		fourth witness statement, you state that you recall
15		suggesting that Post Office should provide information
16		to Second Sight about the receipts and payments mismatch
17		bug and the suspense account bug. Given that we're
18		talking about Second Sight, I assume that you made this

- 19 suggestion around the time of Second Sight's instruction 20 in 2012?
- 21 A. Yes, I believe so. I can't remember exactly. I think 22 it was probably 2013 by then, rather than 2012, but yes.
- 23 Q. By this time, was the existence of these bugs accepted
- 24 as being within the common knowledge of Fujitsu and Post
- 25 Office, if not the defence teams and the accused? 117
- 1 criminal cases?
- Α. 2 Yes.
- 3 Q. Thank you. I have just one final question for you. In 4 your evidence to the Inquiry this week, you were asked 5 by Mr Beer why, when you were not the lead engineer for 6 the Post Office Account, nor were you the only one 7 involved in the maintenance and development of Horizon, 8 that Post Office relied on you specifically to provide 9 evidence relating to the integrity of Horizon in 10 criminal prosecutions? I note that your answer was you 11 had a fairly good overview knowledge of Horizon, due to 12 your role on the agent team, your work in middle 13 account, back end and IMPACT, so you had a detailed 14 knowledge of how counter operated and a good overview 15 knowledge of how Horizon worked, but that you were not 16 the only person who could be used as an expert. 17 You stated that, despite some email correspondence, 18 where other names within Fujitsu were suggested, for one 19 reason or another, it was you in the end that was picked 20 to support Post Office in this way. Indeed, you 21 confirmed, when asked by Dave Jennings in 2009, whether
- 22 there was anyone else that could cover this activity as
- 23 well or instead of you, your answer was:
- 24 "Should? Then probably yes. Could? Then probably 25 no."

- Yes, I believe so. I wasn't aware that there was any 1 Δ 2
- secret about them between Fujitsu and Post Office.
- Q. So why did you feel the need, therefore, to make this 3 4
- point to Post Office, if Post Office already knew about such issues and that these were indeed within the common 5 6
 - knowledge?
- 7 A. I thought they were -- I thought it was a good example
- of how we were able to identify a problem, diagnose the 8
- 9 problem and fix the problem, and work out its full 10 extent.
- So that would be beneficial for Second Sight's 11 Q.
- instruction? 12
- 13 Yes. Α.
- Q. Why, when you've maintained throughout your evidence to 14 the Inquiry this week, that past problems and bugs in 15
- 16 Horizon were not relevant for inclusion in your
- 17 case-specific and generic witness statements, nor did
- 18 you deem them relevant to the purposes of your Horizon
- 19 Integrity Reports, did you now, in 2012 to 2013,
- 20 consider these issues relevant to disclose for the
- 21 purposes of Second Sight?
- 22 Α. Because I saw this as being a much broader issue and, 23 again, I was seeing that as good example of how we were
- 24 able to diagnose and identify and fix problems.
- 25 Q. It was a broader issue, as opposed to the disclosure in 118

1		Do you therefore consider that you had a monopoly,
2		in terms of the availability of alternative experts who
3		were able to speak to the integrity of Horizon, which
4		effectively contributed significantly, perhaps, to
5		what's been suggested as the Post Office's over-reliance
6		on your services in the criminal prosecutions of
7		subpostmasters, and indeed again in a Group Litigation,
8		notwithstanding the criticisms of you five years
9		previously?
10	Α.	No, I disagree with that. There was an example, for
11		example, at the beginning of February, when I was
12		getting fairly heavily involved with the case of
13		Mrs Misra, I was off sick for a few days and there was
14		a question about, well, if I'm off sick, who could take
15		my place? And there was an email discussion about
16		suggesting some alternative names to get involved
17		instead of me.
18	Q.	Out of interest, who were those alternative names?
19	Α.	I think the name that was mentioned was someone called
20		Dave Jones.
21	Q.	To the best of your knowledge did these get involved
22		in
23	Α.	No, he didn't because I came back from my sick leave
24		after that. I was only off for about two or three days,

25 as it turned out, but people didn't know that at the 120

1	time.	1	Α.	Okay.
2	Q. But you would disagree that you maybe had the monopoly	2	Q.	
3	in terms of experts available to speak to those issues?	3	Α.	So what you're saying is,
4	A. Yes.	4		time, as opposed to what
5	MS ALLAN: Okay, thank you, Mr Jenkins. That's the end of	5	Q.	Yes.
6	my questions?	6	Α.	Okay.
7	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you, Ms Allan.	7	Q.	Exactly, Mr Jenkins. The
8	So Mr Beer, do I take it had those are the questions	8		go back and ask you abo
9	on behalf of Core Participants, other than any questions	9		sent in 2006 in the Castle
10	to come from Mr Jenkins' own representative?	10		you recollect that?
11	MR BEER: That's right, sir.	11	Α.	Is this the one in prepara
12	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: So, Ms Dobbin, do you want to ask any	12		following day?
13	questions?	13	Q.	Yes, it is. Exactly. So thi
14	MS DOBBIN: I do sir, if I may.	14		appears that you were se
15	Questioned by MS DOBBIN	15		the Part 18 reply, that set
16	MS DOBBIN: Mr Jenkins, as you know, I represent you in	16		Mr Castleton was particul
17	these proceedings. I'm going to stand up because you're	17	Α.	
18	quite far away from me and it's easier for me to see you	18	Q.	
19	if I do.	19		letter as well from Nover
20	A. Okay.	20	A.	I now understand that, ye
21	Q. All right? I hope you can hear me as well.	21		All right. Now, before I go
22	A. Yes.	22		to go to your statement, i
23	Q. All right. Mr Jenkins, when I ask you these questions,	23		third statement, at paragr
24	I'm going to ask you that you try and answer as best you	24		that that be brought up.
25	can, unclouded by everything that you know now. Okay? 121	25		could just go over the pag 12
1	that, Mr Jenkins?	1		very much memory of the
2	A. Yes.	2		case?
3	Q. I think you set out at paragraph 249 and perhaps it's	3	Α.	Correct.
4	easier if I read it that:	4	Q.	And that you've been dep
5	"Until I saw the documents provided to me by the	5		to help you reconstruct, a
6	Inquiry, I had virtually no memory of being involved in	6	Α.	Yes, indeed.
7	the civil proceedings between POL and Mr Lee Castleton	7	Q.	All right. If we go, just dro
8	"	8		paragraph 252, and this i
9	Yes?	9		and orientate you, you se
10	A. Yes.	10		line that you were:
11	Q. " bar perhaps one or two conversations."	11		" invited to attend a
12	If we go over the page, it sets out that:	12		solicitors on 6 June. My
13	"The Inquiry has referred me to 27 documents to	13		from Brian Pinder dated §
14	assist my recall of it but nonetheless I have little	14		attached an agenda for th
15	memory of my involvement. I am reliant upon these	15		I think that's what we
16	documents to help me to reconstruct what happened. My	16		yes?
17	lawyers have alerted me to a number of additional	17	Α.	Yes.
18	documents on the Inquiry's database to which I refer	18	Q.	" and a scanned extract
19	below. Having read all of this material, I believe that	19		recorded issues which Mi
20	my actual involvement in the case was fairly limited.	20		Yes?
21	I neither signed a witness statement nor gave evidence	21	Α.	Yes.
22	in court."	22	Q.	You had set out there:
23	Yes?	23		"I probably read both
24	A. Yes, I agree.	24		although I don't recall doi
25	Q. Is that right, Mr Jenkins: that you don't really have 123	25		Is that right?

- est with that. Yes?
- s, in terms of what I knew at the
- at I know now?
- ne first thing that I wanted to
- bout was the email that you were
- tleton case, on 6 June 2006. Do
- ration for the meeting the
- his is the one where it
- sent the quite technical document,
- et out various things that
- cularising in his case. Yes?
- nail you're talking about.
- opears that you were sent the
- mber 2005. Yes?
- yes.
- go back to that, I just want
- if I may, and this is your
- graph 249. I'm going to ask
- That's WITN00460300. If we
- age. Thank you. Can you see 122

1		very much memory of the involvement that you had in that
2		case?
3	Α.	Correct.
4	Q.	And that you've been dependent on the documents to try
5		to help you reconstruct, as you say, what happened?
6	Α.	Yes, indeed.
7	Q.	All right. If we go, just dropping down please, to
8		paragraph 252, and this is just to pick up the thread
9		and orientate you, you set out if we see the second
10		line that you were:
11		" invited to attend a meeting with POL's
12		solicitors on 6 June. My lawyers have shown me an email
13		from Brian Pinder dated 5 June 2006. This email
14		attached an agenda for the next day's meeting"
15		I think that's what we now know to be the letter,
16		yes?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	" and a scanned extract from a document which
19		recorded issues which Mr Castleton had experienced"
20		Yes?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	You had set out there:
23		"I probably read both attachments in advance
24		although I don't recall doing so."
25		Is that right?
		124

1	Α.	Yes.	1		lo
2	Q.	Okay. So have you any memory, for example, of reading	2	Α.	Ye
3		the Part 18 reply or the sort of technical document that	3	Q.	Ag
4		was sent to you with that email?	4		W
5	Α.	No, as I say, I have a vague memory of going to	5		
6		a meeting but that's about it, and clearly having read	6		m
7		the notes of the meeting, then I clearly, at that	7		
8		meeting, answered some technical questions and that	8	Α.	Ye
9		other document attached there seemed to have similar	9	Q.	W
10		technical questions. So that's all I'm basing my memory	10		to
11		on.	11	Α.	Ye
12	Q.	All right. Now, on the morning that you started to give	12	Q.	lf
13		evidence, so before we knew the correct position about	13		ple
14		what had been sent with the email, Mr Beer asked you	14		th
15		questions about what you would have done if you'd	15		
16		received this letter in 2006. Yes	16		ar
17	Α.	Yes.	17		
18	Q.	you recollect that? You said and if anyone wants	18		ра
19		the reference it's the transcript for 26 June, internal	19	Α.	T٢
20		page 73, line 5 that you would have skimmed through	20	Q.	Ye
21		it; is that right?	21		so
22	Α.	Yes.	22		th
23	Q.	All right. I wonder, then, if we could just turn to	23	Α.	Ye
24		this document, the email that you were sent ahead of the	24	Q.	Al
25		meeting, and that's FUJ00152601, and I think we've 125	25		inf
1		order to prepare for the meeting the next day?	1	Q.	Yo
2	A.	I think so, as best as I can work out from what I've	2	-	ha
3		seen of what happened at the time.	3	Α.	Ye
4	Q.	Did you understand that that's why you were being	4	Q.	
5		invited to the meeting, to help contribute in relation	5		at
6		to that information?	6		ab
7	Α.	That is yes, I think so, from seeing what is said in	7	Α.	١c
8		the covering email and so on, yes.	8	Q.	Al
9	Q.	Exactly. Now I'm showing you these documents to see if	9	Α.	l'n
10		l can just put you again, just back in time, Mr Jenkins,	10	Q.	Al
11		but, at the point that you were sent these, had anyone	11		ar
12		actually mentioned to you that you might be any sort of	12		or
13		witness in this case?	13	Α.	Ye
14	Α.	I don't believe so but I can't be 100 per cent sure.	14	Q.	lf
15	Q.	There are no emails to that effect, are there?	15		We
16	Α.	Not that I've seen.	16	Α.	Ye
17	Q.	Had anyone suggested to you that the meeting was to	17	Q.	T٢
18		consider whether anyone might be a witness in this case?	18		
19	Α.	Again, I have no recollection of that.	19		th
20	Q.	And that's not reflected in the email that you were sent	20		
21		either, is it?	21	Α.	Ye
22	Α.	No.	22	Q.	T٢
23	Q.	We've seen an attendance note of the meeting, haven't	23		dr
24		we?	24		a
25	Α.	Yes.	25	Α.	۱b

- oked at this, Mr Jenkins.
- es.
- gain, it's just to help you orientate yourself in time.
 - e see there:
 - "Please be advised of the email regarding the
- eeting tomorrow and attachments."
- Yes?
- es.
- 'e've seen that and that's the email that directed you wards the scanned document; is that right?
- es.
- we just look very quickly at this scanned document,
- ease. That's FUJ00152602. If we just scroll through at document, please.
- - Thank you. If we could just keep scrolling through,
- nd keep scrolling through.
 - So I think it carries on, Mr Jenkins, to about
- age 8, yes?
- nat seems to be it, yes.
- es. So I think eight pages of quite, perhaps dense
- ort of technical information or queries set out; is at right?
- es.
- right. Is that the document, is that the
- formation that you would have been interested in, in 126
- ou've been through that attendance note, I think,
- aven't you, for preparing --
- es, I have.
- for the inquiry. There is no suggestion in that tendance note, is there, that there is discussion bout anyone being a witness in that case?
- can't remember that.
- right. Well, perhaps you'll take it from me --
- n happy to take it from you, yes.
- right. In fact, if we look at POL00071138, this is email from Mr Dilley the solicitor, and that was sent
 - 27 June 2006.
- es.
- we just scroll down a bit to the witnesses of fact,
- e see your name there, don't we?
- es, that's me.
- hen if we just look at the next line, it says:
- "We will also have one or two expert witnesses on
- e IT and accountancy side."
- Correct?
- es.
 - nank you. It's right, isn't it, when Mr Dilley came to
- aft a witness statement for you, Mr Jenkins, it was
- witness statement of fact, wasn't it?
- 25 A. I believe so. As I say, at the time I had no concept of 128

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 A. Yes.

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

A. Yes.

A. Yes.

Q. It just says:

true."

A. Yes.

Yes?

Q. -- Mr Beer put this to you:

Yes?

Correct?

"Not sure I can agree to this without looking more

across you. We can see that it was proposed that you

"I believe the facts in this ... statement are

Q. You can see that. Thank you, that can be taken down.

"If we scan through the letter just slowly --

I think you will have read it carefully overnight -- the letter doesn't refer, would you accept, to the provider

of a report from Fujitsu as being an expert witness."

Q. Mr Beer then took you through the letter, didn't he? 130

consultants acting as expert witnesses."

duties, the one from November 2005 --

When you were taken to the letter about expert

Q. Then we -- sorry, Mr Jenkins, I didn't mean to cut

sign that as a witness of fact, correct?

closely at what has gone on."

Correct?

A. Yes, that's right.

1		the difference.
2	Q.	
3	٩.	Perhaps if we could just go to the last paragraph in
4		that witness statement.
5		Okay, we've seen this, this first part of it,
6		Mr Jenkins. You can see it says, "Witness statement".
7		If we go to the last paragraph, please, paragraph 38,
8		that was drafted for you by Mr Dilley, wasn't it?
9	Α.	Yes. Well, I assume so at least.
10	Q.	I think we know from the emails, Mr Jenkins, that it
11		was.
12	Α.	Yeah.
13	Q.	That's not in dispute.
14	Α.	Yeah.
15	Q.	He sets out there what it was that he wanted or he was
16		proposing that you say. Yes?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	He sets out I'm not going to through all of it:
19		"There are no grounds for believing that the
20		problems Mr Castleton says he experienced with his
21		computer would have caused either theoretical or real
22		losses."
23		Correct?
24	A.	Yes.
25	Q.	We can see what you say underneath that: 129
1	Α.	Yes, he did.
2	A. Q.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter
2 3	Q.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes?
2 3 4	Q. A.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes.
2 3 4 5	Q.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it
2 3 4 5 6	Q. A.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it says at paragraph 7, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, if we look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it says at paragraph 7, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, if we look at the paragraph there, that the report should:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it says at paragraph 7, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, if we look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it says at paragraph 7, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, if we look at the paragraph there, that the report should: "Contain a declaration that it's been prepared in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it says at paragraph 7, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, if we look at the paragraph there, that the report should: "Contain a declaration that it's been prepared in accordance with the Code of Guidance on Expert
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it says at paragraph 7, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, if we look at the paragraph there, that the report should: "Contain a declaration that it's been prepared in accordance with the Code of Guidance on Expert Evidence."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it says at paragraph 7, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, if we look at the paragraph there, that the report should: "Contain a declaration that it's been prepared in accordance with the Code of Guidance on Expert Evidence." Yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it says at paragraph 7, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, if we look at the paragraph there, that the report should: "Contain a declaration that it's been prepared in accordance with the Code of Guidance on Expert Evidence." Yes? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it says at paragraph 7, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, if we look at the paragraph there, that the report should: "Contain a declaration that it's been prepared in accordance with the Code of Guidance on Expert Evidence." Yes? Yes. If we continue scrolling, please, to paragraph (5). So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it says at paragraph 7, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, if we look at the paragraph there, that the report should: "Contain a declaration that it's been prepared in accordance with the Code of Guidance on Expert Evidence." Yes? Yes. If we continue scrolling, please, to paragraph (5). So this immediately under "Duty to the Post Office" it sets
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it says at paragraph 7, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, if we look at the paragraph there, that the report should: "Contain a declaration that it's been prepared in accordance with the Code of Guidance on Expert Evidence." Yes? Yes. If we continue scrolling, please, to paragraph (5). So this immediately under "Duty to the Post Office" it sets out:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it says at paragraph 7, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, if we look at the paragraph there, that the report should: "Contain a declaration that it's been prepared in accordance with the Code of Guidance on Expert Evidence." Yes? Yes. If we continue scrolling, please, to paragraph (5). So this immediately under "Duty to the Post Office" it sets out: "In performing all of your duties, for which the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	In fact you agreed with him that that's what the letter said, yes? Yes. In fact, I think we see, if we go to the letter, that it refers to that twice. I'm going to ask if we go to it, FUJ00152573. I'm going to go to the second place where it mentions it, but if we go, please, to the final page of it, page 3 sorry, it's page 14 of the document. If you could just scroll down, please, and if perhaps we could just pause there. So for example, it says at paragraph 7, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, if we look at the paragraph there, that the report should: "Contain a declaration that it's been prepared in accordance with the Code of Guidance on Expert Evidence." Yes? Yes. If we continue scrolling, please, to paragraph (5). So this immediately under "Duty to the Post Office" it sets out: "In performing all of your duties, for which the client will pay, you will owe a duty to the client to

A. Yes, I see that. Q. All right. Now, that shows you, doesn't it, Mr Jenkins, that two people can read a document like this, or perhaps skim over it, and miss important points, yes? Α. Yes. Q. Even someone as forensic as Mr Beer can miss that, yes? Α. Yes. Or not understand it, correct? Q. Δ Yeah. All right. I'm just going to ask if that be taken down, Q. please. Now, the Inquiry saw, when it was decided that you wouldn't be a witness in that case, Mr Pinder emailed you on 4 September, didn't he? A. Yes. I believe there was an email around that sort of time. Q. All right. If we look at FUJ00154733. We didn't come back to this again yesterday, Mr Jenkins, when this was revisited, but if we just look at what it says again, so Mr Pinder is telling you why you're not going to be a witness. Yes? Α. Yes. "He states that although you will probably [be] a good Q. 132

3

1		witness, it is for evidential reasons"
2		Yes?
3	Α.	Yes, I saw that.
4	Q.	You saw that. Then you said:
5		"Fine (I won't [even] try [and] understand what this
6		means!)"
7		Yes?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	Now, I know this was about 18 years ago, Mr Jenkins, but
10		again, reading that email now, does it assist you as to
11		whether, had you read the November 2005 letter, you had
12		digested its contents or understood it in any way?
13	Α.	I don't think so because, as I say as I say there,
14		I won't try and understand what that means, talking
15		about opinion evidence and expert evidence, and it
16	~	didn't really have any meaning for me then.
17	Q.	All right. Now, you've been asked about what guidance
18	•	you sought about being a witness, haven't you
19 20	A.	Yes.
20 21	Q.	in the course of the past few days. I wanted to ask you about one of the occasions, proximate to this, when
21		you did ask for guidance. Yes?
22	Α.	Okay.
23	Q.	If we could go, please, to FUJ00152616. If we could
25	ч.	scroll down, please, and scroll down again, please, and
20		133
1		but remember that you will have sight of your statement
2		prior to taking the stand can only be asked questions
3		specifically about your statement."
4		Yes?
5	Α.	Yes, I see that.
6	Q.	Was that pretty much the height of the sort of guidance
7		that you were given about being a witness when you
8		sought that guidance?
9	Α.	Yes, I think so.
10	Q.	Now, that was in July 2006, yes?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	So that was a few months after the conference that had
13		taken place in June 2006, wasn't it?
14	Α.	Yes, the following month, yes.
15	Q.	Again, does that throw any light, Mr Jenkins, on what
16		you understood about giving evidence in court, do you
17	_	think?
18	Α.	Well, it shows that I didn't have much idea about what
19	_	was involved.
20	Q.	Right. I'm going to move on, if I may, in time,
21		Mr Jenkins, to 2010, and to Mrs Misra's case. Okay?
22	A.	Okay.
23	Q.	Now, some expert reports have been put to you, yes
24	A.	Yes.
25	Q.	and you've been asked the question why didn't they

Q. -- and you've been asked the question why didn't they 25 135

keep scrolling down.	Okay.	If we could just stop
1 0	,	, ,

- 2 there. So this was an email that you were sending to
 - Ms Matthews; is that right?
- 4 A. Yes, it looks like that, yes.
- So one of the Post Office Investigators, correct? 5 Q.
- 6 Yes, as I understand it. Α.
- 7 Q. If we just look there, we can see that this is an email
- about arrangements. Yes? 8
- A. Yes. 9
- 10 Q. You say to her:
- 11 "Do you have any idea as to how much time will be
- involved and exactly what is required? I've never been 12
- 13 to court in any capacity and my knowledge of such things
- 14 is based on films and TV (which I'm sure are
- 15 inaccurate!)"
- 16 Yes?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 If we just scroll up, please, and she answers you and we Q. 19 can see this is on 12 July, isn't it?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Q. We see a couple of paragraphs down:
- 22 "All witnesses will have to be present on the 1st
- 23 day of trial unless the defence has agreed [their]
- 24 statement and don't wish to ask any questions about that
- 25 evidence. It's pretty much as you see on the TV really 134
- 1 trigger you to think "Does this all apply to me? Am 2 I subject to these sorts of duties?" Yes? 3 A. Yes, I've certainly been asked that. 4 Q. I wanted to ask you this: in the years that you provided
- 5 support to Post Office and its prosecutions, did those
- 6 type of reports ever trigger any lawyer to send to you
- 7 formal instructions as an expert in any case?
- 8 A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Did those sorts of reports trigger any lawyer to tell 9
- you that the evidence that you were giving was opinion 10 evidence and that you were therefore subject to those 11
- 12 sorts of duties?
- A. Not that I can recall. 13
- 14 Q. Did they ever trigger any lawyer to tell you that your
- 15 response should be in the form of an expert report? A. No, I believe all -- the only things I ever produced 16
- 17 were witness statements.
- Q. Did they ever trigger any lawyer to sit down with you 18 19 and actually explain what expert duties are and what 20 they mean?
- A. Not that I can recall. 21
- 22 Q. Did they ever trigger any lawyer to explain to you or to 23 say to you that you were in the same sort of category as 24 these experts?
- 25 A. Not that I can recall.

- Did they ever trigger any lawyer to say to you that your 1 Q. 2 statements ought to bear an expert declaration?
- 3 Α. No, I don't believe they ever did.
- 4 Q. Did any of that ever happen, Mr Jenkins?
- 5 A. I don't believe so.
- 6 Q. In the case of Mr Ishaq, Mr Jenkins, I think it's right,
- 7 isn't it, that you went to trial on the first day
- 8 without having been provided with Ms Ibbotson's expert 9 report; is that right?
- 10 A. That's my understanding of things from looking at the
- 11 email documentation, and I do recall meeting with her on the first day of the trial in Bradford. 12
- 13 It was an accountancy report, wasn't it? Q.
- 14 A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. You had to ask Ms Ibbotson for all of the appendices to 15 16 her report because you didn't have them; that's right,
- 17 isn't it?
- 18 A. I believe so. I got emailed the report and I think
- 19 later on I had to ask her for the appendices so I could
- 20 try to do some sort of analysis to try and correlate her
- figures against the ARQ data that I'd happened to have 21 22 with me because I'd asked for it.
- 23 Q. All right. The email that sent you her report was in
- 24 fact a blank email. wasn't it?
- 25 Α. Yes, I believe it was.

- 1 Q. We've seen, and Mr Moloney asked you about this, that
- 2 you were also sent a Defence Case Statement in 3 Mr Ishaq's case, yes?
- 4 A. Yes, that was sort of a week or two before that, 5 I believe.
- 6 Q. The fact that a lawyer sent you, a witness in a case,
- 7 the Defence Case Statement, did that make you think that
- 8 that was all right, that that was the sort of thing that 9 a lawyer could do?
- A. Yes, I'd been sent Defence Case Statements before. So 10 11 I thought it was the normal thing to do.
- Did you think that it was all right to comment on 12 Q.
- disclosure requests that were being made to Post Office? 13
- 14 It didn't occur to me to question it. Α.
- Q. Did you have any responsibility for Post Office's 15 16 disclosure obligations?
- A. I didn't think I had. 17
- Q. Did anyone properly explain to you the sorts of duties 18 that Post Office owed as a prosecutor? 19
- 20 Α. No, I didn't understand what disclosure meant or -- and what responsibilities anybody had to do with it. 21
- 22 Q. All right. I'm going to move on, then, if I may,
- 23 Mr Jenkins, to ask you about the boilerplate paragraphs
- 24 that appear in Penny Thomas' witness statement, yes?
- 25 Α. Yeah.
- 139

- Q. Did you have to work into the night on the first day of 1 2 trial in order to be able to respond to her report?
- 3 A. Yes, I think it was about 9.00/10.00 in the evening when
- 4 I sent my response to her report to her.
- 5 Q. Did you have to, as I understand it, do a reconciliation 6 exercise --
- 7 Α. Yes
- Q. -- overnight, in order to be able to, as it were, agree 8 9 with her report; is that what you had to do with the
- 10 material that you'd been provided with?
- Yes, I had to go through the ARQ -- I can't remember all 11 Α.
- the details now but I didn't start looking at the ARQ 12
- 13 data until I'd got that and I'd spent quite a bit of
- 14 time, both in the afternoon and in the evening, going
- 15 through the ARQ data to try to agree the figures that
- 16 she had in her report and try and work out where she'd 17 got her figures from.
- 18 Q. Did it occur to you, Mr Jenkins, to object or to say
- 19 that that wasn't a very fair way of treating you, to
- 20 expect you to come along to a trial on the first day and
- 21 to do all of that and to be expected to get on top of 22 her report?
- 23 A. I just thought I'd try and get on with it and do the
- best that I could with the limited information that 24

138

- 1 Q. All right. So I wanted to start then, if I could,
- 2 exactly as Mr Stein did, with your statement at
- 3 paragraph 102. That's your third witness statement,
- okay? Do you have that?
- SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Did you say page or paragraph 102,
- Ms Dobbin, sorry?

I had

MS DOBBIN: Sorry, sir, it's paragraph 102. I apologise if

- 9
- A. I see that in front of me now, yes. 10
- 11 Q. All right. I'm not going to read all of this out, I'm
- 12 just going to ask you to look at paragraph 102. And you
- 13 see the heading above that, yes?
- 14 A. Yes
- 15 **Q.** If we just scroll through, you see at paragraph 103,
- Mr Jenkins, you set out there the understanding on the 16
- 17 part of some witnesses to the Inquiry that those
- 18 paragraphs in Penny's statement were about how Horizon
- 19 was working, yes?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Q. If we go on to paragraph 104, I don't think we need
- 22 worry about that. If we scan through to paragraph 105,
- 23 ves --
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- and you set out there about why you didn't think they 140

4 5 6

25

- 8 I said that. Page 33.
 - Okay, Mr Jenkins, do you see that?

1		could give an opinion on the operation of Horizon, yes?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Paragraph 106: you set out that their witness statement
4		was concerned with the production of ARQ data, correct?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Now, I want to ask you about this and I'm going to come
7		on to Mr Thomas' case as well but, if we look at
8		paragraph 107, you were taken to this. You were
9		explaining that there was no guidance, as it were, as to
10		what the standard paragraphs were supposed to mean,
11		correct?
12	Α.	Correct.
13	Q.	You set out that you could see from the communications
14		in Mr Thomas' case that you raised a question about
15		these paragraphs, yes?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	I'll come back to that and the question or the query
18		that you raised. If you just read through that.
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Have you read that to the end of the paragraph, yes?
21		You set out:
22		"In Mr Thomas' case, I think my concern was that
23		I could not include these paragraphs because I had not
24		extracted the ARQ spreadsheets that my draft statement
25		was referring to. By this I could not speak to the
		141
1	Q.	I just wanted to be clear about this, Mr Jenkins. If we
2		just yes, that's fine.
3		In terms of what you understood the first paragraph
4		to relate to and what computer it related to, can you
5		explain that?
6	Α.	I think it's the computer that's actually doing the
7		extraction of the audit data. So I think there's
8		a comment there about the "AW", so that would be the
9		audit workstation.
10	Q.	Where she has said:
11		"I hold a responsible position in relation to the
12		working of the [Audit Workstation]."
13	Α.	Yeah.
14	Q.	I just want to ask you about your understanding of that
15		paragraph and what was meant by it?
16	Α.	It was to do with the computer, on which she was
17		actually doing the extraction, working properly.
18	Q.	Why did you not think, or what was the basis for your
19		belief, that she was not speaking to the Horizon system
20		in that paragraph?
21	Α.	I didn't feel that she understood the way that the
22		Horizon system worked at all, so therefore was not
23		qualified to be able to talk about whether the Horizon
24		was working or not. That wasn't her background or
25		expertise.

1		computer which had extracted the spreadsheets as working
2		properly. I deal with the contemporaneous evidence
3		about this"
4		Yes?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	I just wanted to remind you of the background against
7		which you were asked questions about this. All right?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	So I wonder then if we could go to Penny Thomas'
10		statement and this is at FUJ00122139. If we could go to
11		the final two paragraphs, please, I think, Mr Jenkins,
12		you might recognise this. I think Ms Thomas asked you,
13		and then I think some other people, to look at her
14		witness statement?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	Is that right? Sorry, if we could go to the penultimate
17		page, please. So we see, don't we, those two paragraphs
18		at the end of her standard statement. Yes?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Is that the standard statement by which the ARQ data
21	-	would be produced?
22	Α.	l believe so.
23	Q.	I think she's made some notes there, that may not
24	·	matter; do you see that?
25	Α.	Yes, I can see that.
20	Λ.	142
1	Q.	If we then go to Mr Thomas' case, it's right, isn't it,
2	ч.	that those paragraphs appeared in a draft of your
3		statement, yes
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	and we've seen that. Perhaps if we just go to that,
6	ч.	first of all, and look at your comment on it. So this
7		is FUJ00122204. If we just go to the end of that,
' 8		please. Sorry, if we just go up, please. All right,
9		we've seen this before, yes?
9 10	•	
10	A. 0	Yes. Those were your highlighted paragraphs, yes?
12	Q. A.	
		Yes.
13	Q.	Just noting what you say there:
14		"I'm not sure that the yellow bit is true. Can this

be deleted? All I've done is interpret the data in the

Q. I'm going to look, then, in a bit more detail about what

what it was that was concerning you to make that

A. Well, this is saying that I'd actually extracted the

25 Q. Yes. Do we see, if we go to FUJ00122218, and if we

144

your concern was but can you, just before we do, explain

spreadsheets that you have emailed to me."

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

A. Yes.

Yes?

comment?

data, which I hadn't.

(36) Pages 141 - 144

4

1		scroll down a little bit, and if we just look at that
2		second paragraph, Mr Jenkins. So ARQ queries, I won't
3		read them all out:
4		" requested information in relation to Gaerwen
5		I was asked to produce information relating to 'Nil'
6		transactions during the periods specified. I have
7		provided three spreadsheets which I now produce"
8		Then I think this is where you make your comment,
9		isn't it?
10	Α.	Yes, so I'm saying I'm not sure about this, I've had
11		nothing to do with producing those spreadsheets.
12	Q.	"All I've done is make some statements based on what are
13		in the spreadsheets. I assume that Neneh or Penny
14		produced the spreadsheets, but I have no personal
15		knowledge as to what was included with then or what was
16		excluded, [and we've seen this] for all I know you could
17		have typed them up from scratch."
18		Yes?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	So it's quite hard to discuss this without having the
21		boilerplate paragraphs in front of us but, perhaps if we
22		go back to FUJ00122204 sorry and to the final two
23		paragraphs, please.
24		All right. So having looked at that, and your
25		concern as set out in the other it's a draft,
		145
1		well, which was talking about generating the ARQ data.
2	Q.	Was that something that you could speak to without
3		having undertaken that process yourself?
4	Α.	Well, no, I couldn't speak about generating ARQ data
5		because I had no access to it myself.
6	Q.	Were the spreadsheets that you were talking about and
7		discussing in this witness statement, had they come from
8		the ARQ data in the first place?
9	Α.	I can't remember exactly what the spreadsheets were but
10		they would have come from the ARQ data. I can't
11		remember exactly what extracts they were.
12	Q.	All right. I think what and this is the bit that
13		I wanted to try and understand, Mr Jenkins, and in fact
14		what you did in order to try and remedy the concern that
15		you had, it appeared from what you were saying yesterday
16		in your evidence that you went to the PEAK system; is
17		that right?
10	•	That's what it said in the final version of the

- A. That's what it said in the final version of the 18
- statement, so I assume that must have been what I did 19
- 20 because I can't actually remember what I did at the 21
- time. But the fact that my final version of the witness 22 statement talks about having extracted the information
- 23 from PEAK, then that must have been what I'd done.
- 24 Q.
- I think you mentioned yesterday that you obtained the message store from PEAK; is that right? 25

147

- I think, at around the same time, isn't it, Mr Jenkins?
- 2 Α. Yes, yes.
- Q. Again, can you just help us. Having looked that, help 3
 - us understand, then, when you were looking at the first
- part of the standard statement, again, just to 5
- 6 understand what your concern was in relation to the
- 7 computer and what computer you were referring to?
- 8 A. I wasn't sure about that. My main concern was with the 9 second part, the second paragraph, rather than the 10 first.
- Q. That was to do with producing the records? 11
- A. Producing the data, yes. 12
- Q. All right. In terms of the first part, the improper use 13 14 of the computer, did you understand that to refer to the
- 15 Horizon system?
- 16 A. No, I did not.
- 17 Q. Can you just explain why you didn't think that that 18 related to the Horizon system?
- 19 Α. Because this was a standard paragraph that I could see 20 had been used by Penny and I knew that she was in no
- 21 position to talk about how Horizon was working or not.
- 22 Q. When it appeared in your witness statement, did you 23 understand it to be concerned with the production of the 24 material that comprised the ARQ data?
- 25 Α. Yes, yes, particularly in relation to the second bit as 146
- 1 A. That -- yes, exactly how I did that, I can't remember
- 2 now, but that's what it said in the statement so that
- 3 must have been what I did.
- 4 Q. Again, just to try and understand, if you'd got the 5 message store, what is the message store?
- 6 The message store is the raw data which is actually put Α.
- 7 on to the ARQ system from which the ARQ spreadsheets are
- 8 extracted. So I would have extracted similar sort of
- 9 spreadsheets from the message store but using tools of
- 10 my own, rather than the standard tools that Penny and
- 11 Neneh would have used on the ARQ workstation.
- 12 Q. Can you just, in terms of why -- or was that a more
- 13 reliable way of obtaining the data than simply relying
- 14 on the spreadsheets that had been produced for you by 15 someone else?
- A. Thinking about it, it's probably less reliable because 16
- 17 the ARQ data in the audit server had a better auditable
- means of proof that it came from the right place, rather 18
- than what I did from PEAK. But I'd not thought that 19 20 through properly at the time.
- 21 Q. All right. But in terms of what it allowed you to do,
- 22 did it allow you to look at the kind of preserved 23 record?
- 24 Α. Yes, it was the same underlying data that would have
- 25 been on the audit server that was there on the PEAK 148

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1 2

7

1		data. So, yes, in terms of extracting the data, it
2		should have been the same data. It's just that yeah.
3	Q.	So it allowed you, as it were, to undertake that
4		process
5	Α.	So I could do the extraction process myself, yes.
6	Q.	All right. At the time, did that meet your concerns
7		about
8	Α.	Yes, it did, because I'd actually done the extraction of
9		the data myself rather than relying on Neneh or Penny
10		doing it on my behalf.
11	Q.	All right. Now, I think we know, after all of this
12		happened, that Ms Matthews then came to take a witness
13		statement from you; is that right?
14	Α.	So I understand. I have no actual memory of meeting
15		Ms Matthews but, clearly, from the various emails around
16		then, that must have happened, yes.
17	Q.	I think we can tell, can't we, that she did come to
18		visit you to take the witness statement from you?
19	Α.	l believe so yes.
20	Q.	All right. Just a point that we didn't really touch on
21		in your evidence but I wanted to ask you was why it was
22		that you were content to take out of the statement the
23		reference to system failure, yes?
24	Α.	Yes, okay.
25	Q.	
		149
1		Horizon system that Fujitsu are aware of. If none could
1 2		Horizon system that Fujitsu are aware of. If none could this be clarified"
2	А.	this be clarified"
2 3	A. Q.	this be clarified" Yes.
2 3 4		this be clarified" Yes. Yes.
2 3 4 5		this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent,
2 3 4 5 6		this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at
2 3 4 5 6 7		this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where I'm reluctant to make a clear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where l'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where l'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions have been lost in particular circumstances due to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where you say: "This is where l'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions have been lost in particular circumstances due to locking issues." Yes? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where l'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions have been lost in particular circumstances due to locking issues." Yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where you say: "This is where l'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions have been lost in particular circumstances due to locking issues." Yes? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A.	this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where you say: "This is where l'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions have been lost in particular circumstances due to locking issues." Yes? Yes. That question and your answer to it goes to Mr Jones in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where you say: "This is where l'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions have been lost in particular circumstances due to locking issues." Yes? Yes. That question and your answer to it goes to Mr Jones in Fujitsu, yes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	<pre>this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where I'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions have been lost in particular circumstances due to locking issues." Yes? Yes. That question and your answer to it goes to Mr Jones in Fujitsu, yes Yes Mr Singh Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where l'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions have been lost in particular circumstances due to locking issues." Yes? Yes. That question and your answer to it goes to Mr Jones in Fujitsu, yes Yes. Mr Singh
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where l'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions have been lost in particular circumstances due to locking issues." Yes? Yes. That question and your answer to it goes to Mr Jones in Fujitsu, yes Yes. Mr Singh Yes. from Post Office, Mr Longman, the Investigator Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where I'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions have been lost in particular circumstances due to locking issues." Yes? Yes. That question and your answer to it goes to Mr Jones in Fujitsu, yes Yes Mr Singh Yes from Post Office, Mr Longman, the Investigator Yes and it also went to Mr Tatford as well, didn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where l'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions have been lost in particular circumstances due to locking issues." Yes? Yes. That question and your answer to it goes to Mr Jones in Fujitsu, yes Yes Mr Singh Yes from Post Office, Mr Longman, the Investigator Yes and it also went to Mr Tatford as well, didn't it? I believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where I'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions have been lost in particular circumstances due to locking issues." Yes? Yes. That question and your answer to it goes to Mr Jones in Fujitsu, yes Yes Mr Singh Yes from Post Office, Mr Longman, the Investigator Yes and it also went to Mr Tatford as well, didn't it? I believe so. You having provided that information, Mr Jenkins, did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	this be clarified" Yes. Yes. Scrolling up to your reply, or the reply that was sent, you having provided the information. So if we look at (3), that's where you say: "This is where l'm reluctant to make a clear statement. I am aware of one problem where transactions have been lost in particular circumstances due to locking issues." Yes? Yes. That question and your answer to it goes to Mr Jones in Fujitsu, yes Yes Mr Singh Yes from Post Office, Mr Longman, the Investigator Yes and it also went to Mr Tatford as well, didn't it? I believe so.

151

- what was being referred to as a zero transaction
 - a failure in the Horizon system?
- 3 A. No, it wasn't.
- 4 Q. What was it a failure in?
- 5 A. It was a failure in the end-to-end banking system. So
- 6 the things that I was referring to as system failures
 - were failures in the communication, either between
- 8 Horizon and the banks or between the banks, because, in
- 9 effect, when doing a banking transaction, what Horizon
- 10 connected to was Link, which then communicated with the
- 11 specific bank that was associated with the transaction
- 12 concerned. So those response codes would have been ones
- that were generated by the banking system, rather thanby Horizon itself.
- 15 Q. All right, thank you. I'm going to move on, Mr Jenkins,
- 16 if I may, to a separate issue and that's the initial
- 17 question that you were asked in the case of Mrs Misra.
- 18 All right?
- 19 **A.** Okay.

21

- 20 **Q.** If we could go, please, to FUJ00152930, at page 2.
 - All right, we've looked at this, if we could scroll
- down, please. Okay, so this is the question that we'velooked at a few times, Mr Jenkins:
- 24 "When Gareth completes his statement could he also
- 25 mention whether there are any known problems with the 150
- 1 example, the locking problems to which you've referred"?
- 2 A. I'm not aware of anyone asking for any clarification on3 that.
- 4 Q. Did anyone ask you if they could speak to you about that
 5 in order to understand more about what you were talking
 6 about in respect of the locking issues?
- 7 A. I don't believe so.
- 8 Q. Or did anyone ask if they could speak to you about the
 9 process that you undertook to look at the event logs
- 10 that you were referring to in that response?
- 11 A. I don't believe so.
- 12 Q. Did anyone, for example, in Fujitsu say, "Well, this
- 13 question is asking what Fujitsu knows, that might
- 14 require a broader response, but that ought not to lie on
- your shoulders, for example, Mr Jenkins"; did anyone sayanything like that?
- 17 A. I don't believe so.
- 18 Q. Did anyone from Prosecution Support speak to you at thatpoint in order to discuss or explore with you what might
- 20 be required? Was there any of that sort of
- 21 conversation?
- 22 A. I don't believe so.
- 23 **Q.** Now, I think it's right, Mr Jenkins, that you insisted
- 24 that the data be obtained for Mrs Misra's branch, yes?
- 25 **A.** I did.

1	Q.	I think you had to press over a period of about five
2		weeks or so, maybe longer?
3	Α.	It was about a month later that the data became
4		available.
5	Q.	Now, I think at some point, this is on 4 March and
6		perhaps we can go to this, this is FUJ00153027.
7		Mr Jenkins, you were sent a number of communications?
8	Α.	Yeah.
9	Q.	I don't have time to go to them all, so I'm just going
10		to go to this one. All right, and if we just scroll
11		down, please. I think this is from Mr Singh and we just
12		see set out there:
13		"Thank you for your email What has been
14		requested [are the] transaction logs"
15		I'm not going to read all of this out, Mr Jenkins,
16		but if you just read it, the first paragraph
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	and then perhaps a bit of the second paragraph, yes?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Can I just ask you, having received that further
21		instruction of sort, Mr Jenkins, what did you understand
22		that your task was, or what you were being asked to do
23	_	after that?
24	Α.	To respond to the reports that Professor McLachlan had
25		produced and to make my comment on his theories. Now, 153
		155
1		review of NT events."
1 2		review of NT events." Yes?
	Α.	Yes? Yes.
2	A. Q.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how
2 3		Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing
2 3 4 5 6		Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how
2 3 4 5 6 7		Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment Yes. 2005 to 2009?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment Yes. 2005 to 2009? I think I'd received those about a week or two earlier.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment Yes. 2005 to 2009? I think I'd received those about a week or two earlier. All right. So a four-year period, yes?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment Yes. 2005 to 2009? I think I'd received those about a week or two earlier. All right. So a four-year period, yes? I believe so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment Yes. 2005 to 2009? I think I'd received those about a week or two earlier. All right. So a four-year period, yes? I believe so, yes. All right. What work was done on the NT events,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment Yes. 2005 to 2009? I think I'd received those about a week or two earlier. All right. So a four-year period, yes? I believe so, yes. All right. What work was done on the NT events, Mr Jenkins?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment Yes. 2005 to 2009? I think I'd received those about a week or two earlier. All right. So a four-year period, yes? I believe so, yes. All right. What work was done on the NT events, Mr Jenkins? I think, initially, Anne Chambers had a review through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment Yes. 2005 to 2009? I think I'd received those about a week or two earlier. All right. So a four-year period, yes? I believe so, yes. All right. What work was done on the NT events, Mr Jenkins? I think, initially, Anne Chambers had a review through them and passed me an email of what she had actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment Yes. 2005 to 2009? I think I'd received those about a week or two earlier. All right. So a four-year period, yes? I believe so, yes. All right. What work was done on the NT events, Mr Jenkins? I think, initially, Anne Chambers had a review through them and passed me an email of what she had actually found there, which I then had a look at, and I believe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment Yes. 2005 to 2009? I think I'd received those about a week or two earlier. All right. So a four-year period, yes? I believe so, yes. All right. What work was done on the NT events, Mr Jenkins? I think, initially, Anne Chambers had a review through them and passed me an email of what she had actually found there, which I then had a look at, and I believe I looked at the events myself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment Yes. 2005 to 2009? I think I'd received those about a week or two earlier. All right. So a four-year period, yes? I believe so, yes. All right. What work was done on the NT events, Mr Jenkins? I think, initially, Anne Chambers had a review through them and passed me an email of what she had actually found there, which I then had a look at, and I believe I looked at the events myself. All right. Was that exercise confined to just looking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes? Yes. I just want to start with a general question: how important are NT events for the purposes of diagnosing the sorts of bugs at a branch that might cause discrepancies? That tends to be where you'd find that there would be a problem. All right. Did you get the NT events for the whole of the period under indictment Yes. 2005 to 2009? I think I'd received those about a week or two earlier. All right. So a four-year period, yes? I believe so, yes. All right. What work was done on the NT events, Mr Jenkins? I think, initially, Anne Chambers had a review through them and passed me an email of what she had actually found there, which I then had a look at, and I believe I looked at the events myself.

on I1	on IT Inquiry 28 June 2	
1		that actually talks to rebutting or answering them. My
2		approach was to just respond to them with what I with
2		what I thought how they reflected on the Horizon
4		system's operation.
5	Q.	Then at paragraph 1, to look at, as well, "errors within
6	ω.	the Horizon system for the transaction log period"; what
7		did you understand that
, 8	А.	Looking at the associated NT events associated with the
9	А.	periods for which I had the transaction logs.
9 10	Q.	All right. I'm just going to try to break that down
11	ц.	
		a bit. So you received this, yes?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	You understand that you've got to look at the report,
14		yes?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	And that you need to look at the NT events. Yes?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Why were you looking at the NT events?
19	Α.	Primarily to see whether there was anything in there
20		that would reflect that something had been going wrong
21		with the Horizon system in the period for which we had
22	•	the NT events.
23	Q.	
24		page 27, line 19:
25		"I thought that what I needed to do was a thorough 154
1	-	ordinary.
2	Q.	I should ask you the question: did you find anything out
3		of the ordinary when you did that exercise?
4	Α.	I can't be specifically remember that I found anything
5		out of the ordinary but I'm sure, if I had, I would have
6	_	noted it.
7	Q.	All right. Now, it been suggested to you that you could
8		have looked, for example, at PEAKs or perhaps KELs for
9		this period as well, in order to look at the ARQ data in
10		relation to that as well, yes?
11	Α.	I believe I did make some enquiries as to what PEAKs had
12		been raised associated with that branch over that period
13		but I can't be absolutely certain that did so. But
14		I believe that I it's the sort of thing that I think
15		I would have done.
16	Q.	All right. Would you have obtained assistance from
17		looking at other KELs, for example
18	Α.	

19 Q. -- or other PEAKs related to the same broad period of20 time?

A. I would tended to have looked at PEAKs rather than KELs
and I was relying on the fact that -- I think we

23 mentioned at some point the concept of having a master

24 PEAK, when there was a problem affecting multiple

25 branches. So, therefore, I thought that a search of 156

- 2 there'd been any problems associate with that branch.
- 3 Q. Right. Had that check been done?
- 4 A. I believe so but I can't be absolutely certain.
- 5 Q. All right. There's been focus about things that you
- 6 didn't do in the Misra case. I just wanted to ask you, 7 if I may, about some of the things you did do, okay?
- 8 Α. Yeah.

- 9 Q. I'm going to set the NT events to one side.
- 10 So you had the transaction logs, yes?
- 11 A. I did.
- 12 Q. I think that that came to about 500,000 transactions, 13 ves?
- A. Something like that, yes. 14
- Q. I think is it right you were looking at that to test it 15 16 against Professor McLachlan's hypothesis?
- 17 Α. Yes, I was.
- Did you also get the raw message store? 18 Q.
- 19 Α. Yes, I did have the raw message store.
- 20 Did you do some work on that to look at failed credit Q. 21 card debits, as well?
- 22 A. I think I did that from the ARQ data, rather than the 23 raw message store.
- 24 All right. What about transaction corrections, did you Q.
- 25 look at those as well?
 - 157
- 1 A. I made a statement -- yes, yes.
- 2 Q. Having mentioned and said that you had undertaken that
- 3 exercise and looked at this statement -- the system
- 4 events -- did anyone say at that point "We need to get
- 5 a copy of the system events" or "Can you provide those"?
- 6 A. I don't believe so.
- 7 Q. So was it a surprise, or had you expected that Professor 8 McLachlan had been provided with those?
- 9 A. I was surprised when it came to the trial that he was
- 10 asking me for them because I thought that, if he was
- 11 interested in them, he would have been given them
- 12 earlier but -- which I think is effectively what you're
- 13 asking.
- 14 Q. All right. I understand. All right. So in terms of 15 all of -- and also, sorry, I forgot to ask you about
- 16 this, you did have the PEAK, and that was also provided
- 17 to Professor McLachlan as well; is that right?
- A. The Callendar Square PEAK? 18
- Q. Sorry, the Callendar Square PEAK. 19
- A. Yes, again, I don't think I provided it to him until the 20
- 21 time of the trial and, again, I was surprised that he
- 22 hadn't been given that sort of information --
- 23 Q. All right?
- 24 Α. -- because he'd been asking about it.
- You were asked many questions about the PEAK as well, at 25 Q. 159

- A. I looked at those again in the ARQ data, and I believe 1 2 that I also, at some stage -- I think this may be 3 a month or two later -- I was sent a spreadsheet from 4 Mr Longman of the transaction corrections that had been generated from the back-end POLSAP system, and I did 5 6 a self-correlation between the report that he gave me of 7 transaction corrections and those which appeared in the 8 message store. 9 Q. All right. Did you look at pouch reversals as well? 10 Α. I did. 11 Q. Did you also seek to do an analysis of the daily cash 12 movements? 13 Α. I did. 14 Q. All right. Was that a type of reconciliation exercise? A. It would have been, except for the fact that the daily 15 16 cash declarations didn't seem to match at all the sort 17 of movements of cash within the day and, therefore, it
- 18 made it difficult to try and pin down where losses had 19 actually occurred.
- 20 Q. All right. Now, just coming back to the Callendar 21 Square point, you made a statement referring to the fact
- 22 that you had checked the system events --
- 23 Α. Yes.

25

- 24 Q. -- in relation to that, in March, on 9 March 2010;
 - 158
- 1 the trial, weren't you?

correct?

- 2 Δ I was.
- 3 Q. All right, well, we will come back to that. Just on
- 4 a similar point, Mr Jenkins, may I also ask you about 5
 - this: in terms of the ability of Fujitsu engineers to
- 6 detect bugs and to be knowledgeable about them, were
- 7 there systems within Fujitsu intended to pick up 8 whenever, for example, unusual events were generated, or 9 whenever there were bugs that caused discrepancies?
- A. Yes, there was a group called SMC -- I forget exactly 10
- what it stands for -- but they were monitoring events 11
- 12 from the overall system both at the counters and the
- data centres, and they were monitoring these 24 hours 13
- 14 a day, seven days a week. It was the only part of the
- 15 operation that actually operated on a 24/7 basis.
- Q. So was that part of the systems in place then, by which 16 17 Fujitsu knew and understood about bugs in the system?
- 18 Α. It was, yeah, yeah.
- 19 All right. In terms of all of the work that you did Q.
- 20 over the years working on the Horizon system, are those
- 21 the sorts of mechanisms that you were familiar with and 22 knew about?
- 23 A. I knew about them. I don't know that I knew the detail
- 24 of exactly what was going on but I was aware that these
- 25 sort of things were in place.

160

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24 25 A. Yes.

20 **A.** No.

1	Q.	In terms of the work that you were doing, were Fujitsu
2		systems picking up whenever things were going wrong, or
3		discrepancies were arising?
4	Α.	That was my understanding and that was the purpose of
5		this sort of monitored, yes. And there were also
6		reconciliation systems in place that were picking up,
7		for example, failed banking transactions and things like
8		that. And there daily reports being produced that were
9		being monitored and checked for, and there was a group
10		that actually did that on a daily checks and things
11		like that.
12	Q.	All right, so these are systems in place, yes
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	as opposed to sort of conversations with people
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	about whether or not bugs might come to light?
17		There's been a focus, Mr Jenkins, on what your state
18		of mind was during the time, particularly in Mrs Misra's
19		case, yes
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	and how you approached prosecutions. I want to ask
22		you about the information that you provided to Professor
23		McLachlan, and if we can go to FUJ00153157, and if we
24		could go to page 2 of that, please. If we could just
25		scroll up, please. Okay, sorry, if we could just go up. 161
1		Mcl achlan with that information, yee?
1	Δ	McLachlan with that information, yes?
2	A. Q	Yes, I believe so.
2 3	A. Q.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if
2 3 4		Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry,
2 3 4 5		Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes,
2 3 4 5 6		Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there.
2 3 4 5 6 7		Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of that text has been cut and pasted from, from me to Penny
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of that text has been cut and pasted from, from me to Penny Thomas.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of that text has been cut and pasted from, from me to Penny Thomas. I'm just going to look at page 3, "System Change
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of that text has been cut and pasted from, from me to Penny Thomas. I'm just going to look at page 3, "System Change Requests".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of that text has been cut and pasted from, from me to Penny Thomas. I'm just going to look at page 3, "System Change Requests". "Basically he was asking to look at all system
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of that text has been cut and pasted from, from me to Penny Thomas. I'm just going to look at page 3, "System Change Requests". "Basically he was asking to look at all system faults. I suggested that as we kept all testing and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of that text has been cut and pasted from, from me to Penny Thomas. I'm just going to look at page 3, "System Change Requests". "Basically he was asking to look at all system faults. I suggested that as we kept all testing and live faults in the same system, and there was around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of that text has been cut and pasted from, from me to Penny Thomas. I'm just going to look at page 3, "System Change Requests". "Basically he was asking to look at all system faults. I suggested that as we kept all testing and live faults in the same system, and there was around 200,000 of them, then that wasn't going to get him very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of that text has been cut and pasted from, from me to Penny Thomas. I'm just going to look at page 3, "System Change Requests". "Basically he was asking to look at all system faults. I suggested that as we kept all testing and live faults in the same system, and there was around 200,000 of them, then that wasn't going to get him very far."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of that text has been cut and pasted from, from me to Penny Thomas. I'm just going to look at page 3, "System Change Requests". "Basically he was asking to look at all system faults. I suggested that as we kept all testing and live faults in the same system, and there was around 200,000 of them, then that wasn't going to get him very far." Yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of that text has been cut and pasted from, from me to Penny Thomas. I'm just going to look at page 3, "System Change Requests". "Basically he was asking to look at all system faults. I suggested that as we kept all testing and live faults in the same system, and there was around 200,000 of them, then that wasn't going to get him very far." Yes? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of that text has been cut and pasted from, from me to Penny Thomas. I'm just going to look at page 3, "System Change Requests". "Basically he was asking to look at all system faults. I suggested that as we kept all testing and live faults in the same system, and there was around 200,000 of them, then that wasn't going to get him very far." Yes? Yes. So just to be clear about this, Mr Jenkins, you told
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	Yes, I believe so. If we scroll up, and keep scrolling up, please, and if we just scroll down a bit, please. Thank you sorry, if we could go to the body of that email. Sorry, yes, thank you. If you keep it there. So this is Ms Thomas reflecting the views that, or reflecting why it is or how it came to pass that you provided that information; is that right? Yes, I believe there's a separate email in which most of that text has been cut and pasted from, from me to Penny Thomas. I'm just going to look at page 3, "System Change Requests". "Basically he was asking to look at all system faults. I suggested that as we kept all testing and live faults in the same system, and there was around 200,000 of them, then that wasn't going to get him very far." Yes? Yes. So just to be clear about this, Mr Jenkins, you told Professor McLachlan not just about the Known Error Log

n IT Inquiry 28 June		
1		Sorry, keep scrolling down, I apologise. Thank you. If
2		you keep scrolling until we get to Jarnail. Thank you.
2 3		So Mr Jenkins, this was an email that was sent by
4		Ms Hogg on 22 July 2010, yes?
4 5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	"As a result of the meeting that took place between
7	ω.	Charles McLachlan and Gareth Jenkins as directed by the
, 8		judge, we now need to have:
9		"access to the system in the Midlands"
10		Yes?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	" access to the operations at Chesterfield"
13	ч.	Yes?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	" access to the system change requests"
16	-	Yes?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	" Known Error Log"
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	" and new release documentation to understand what
21		problems have had to be fixed."
22		Yes?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	So I think we can tell from that, can't we, Mr Jenkins,
25		that you were the person that provided Professor 162
1	A.	In effect, though many of them were from the testing
2		systems, and so on, but that's really a reference to the
3		PEAK system.
4	Q.	So you were quite clear with him, weren't you, that
5		those were the sorts of numbers of fault in the testing
6		and live system, yes?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	Do you understand, then, that the defence applied to get
9		disclosure of those materials from Post Office?
10	Α.	That's what this email chain seems to be showing, yes.
11	Q.	I think it's right, it's not contentious, that Post
12		Office refused that, yes?
13	Α.	So I understood.
14	Q.	I think you can see from your paragraph at the end that

exercise in order to be able to explain, or to be able

164

he's "fishing"; you don't personally support the

Q. So you didn't see any harm in he being able to obtain

A. Yes, I didn't see any need to stop him actually looking

Q. I think it's right that Fujitsu did start a scoping

requests but they seem "harmless", yes?

the information that was set out or --

Q. -- the access that he wanted --

at that sort of information, no.

(41) Pages 161 - 164

1		to set out, all of the changes that had been at the
2		counter; is that right?
3	Α.	Yes, we came up with a cost for actually doing that but
4		it would appear the Post Office had already, by the
5		long before we'd actually done that costing exercise,
6		Post Office had rejected the requests.
7	Q.	All right. But, again, was there any problem with
8		putting that information together and providing it, if
9		Post Office had wanted that to happen?
10	Α.	Well, there was a problem in terms of time and effort
11		for doing it but there wasn't a technical problem in
12		terms of actually providing that information, and, in
13		fact, Post Office should have had all that information
14		themselves anyway because all these change requests
15		should have been signed off by Post Office at the time.
16	Q.	All right. Thank you.
17		Right. I'm going to turn then, if I may, to
18		a different subject, Mr Jenkins
19	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Dobbin, I understood from Mr Beer that
20		around about an hour was a reasonable estimate of your
21		questioning. I think you've probably had a generous
22		hour. Can you give me some idea of what you have in
23		mind?
24	MS	DOBBIN: Sir, I'm almost finished. It's probably just
25		about ten minutes more.
		105
		165
		165
1		165 Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it.
1 2		
		Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it.
2		Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if
2 3		Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked
2 3 4		Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where
2 3 4 5	А.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis,
2 3 4 5 6	A. Q.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes?
2 3 4 5 6 7		Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of investigation that you had carried out, yes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of investigation that you had carried out, yes Yes.
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of investigation that you had carried out, yes Yes. that you couldn't exclude, is that right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of investigation that you had carried out, yes Yes. that you couldn't exclude, is that right Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of investigation that you had carried out, yes Yes. that you couldn't exclude, is that right Correct. that there was a computer issue, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. Q. Q. A.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of investigation that you had carried out, yes Yes. that you couldn't exclude, is that right Correct. that there was a computer issue, correct? Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. Q. Q. A.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of investigation that you had carried out, yes Yes. that you couldn't exclude, is that right Correct. that there was a computer issue, correct? Correct. I think we can see, if we go on, first of all if we look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. Q. Q. A.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of investigation that you had carried out, yes Yes. that you couldn't exclude, is that right Correct. that there was a computer issue, correct? Correct. I think we can see, if we go on, first of all if we look at page 91. Sorry, if we start at page 90 at letter G.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. Q. Q. A.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of investigation that you had carried out, yes Yes. that you couldn't exclude, is that right Correct. that there was a computer issue, correct? Correct. I think we can see, if we go on, first of all if we look at page 91. Sorry, if we start at page 90 at letter G. You explained, didn't you, and you were questioned about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. Q. Q. A.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of investigation that you had carried out, yes Yes. that you couldn't exclude, is that right Correct. that there was a computer issue, correct? Correct. I think we can see, if we go on, first of all if we look at page 91. Sorry, if we start at page 90 at letter G. You explained, didn't you, and you were questioned about the fact that you didn't know about the Callendar Square
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of investigation that you had carried out, yes Yes. that you couldn't exclude, is that right Correct. that there was a computer issue, correct? Correct. I think we can see, if we go on, first of all if we look at page 91. Sorry, if we start at page 90 at letter G. You explained, didn't you, and you were questioned about the fact that you didn't know about the Callendar Square bug at the time, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of investigation that you had carried out, yes Yes. that you couldn't exclude, is that right Correct. that there was a computer issue, correct? Correct. I think we can see, if we go on, first of all if we look at page 91. Sorry, if we start at page 90 at letter G. You explained, didn't you, and you were questioned about the fact that you didn't know about the Callendar Square bug at the time, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Mr Jenkins; I don't have time to go through all of it. I am just going to pick out some bits, if I may. So if we look at page 58, and go to the letter D. We looked at this yesterday, Mr Jenkins, and this is the bit where you set out that you were doing a high level analysis, yes? Yes. Was this you being candid and open about this sort of investigation that you had carried out, yes Yes. that you couldn't exclude, is that right Correct. that there was a computer issue, correct? Correct. I think we can see, if we go on, first of all if we look at page 91. Sorry, if we start at page 90 at letter G. You explained, didn't you, and you were questioned about the fact that you didn't know about the Callendar Square bug at the time, correct? Correct. If we go over the page, you explained, didn't you we

25 **Q.** And accepting that Callendar Square was the failing by 167

1	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: All right, okay, that's fine.
2	MS	DOBBIN: Mr Jenkins, I want to ask you then about the
3		evidence you gave when it came to Mrs Misra's trial.
4	Α.	Okay.
5	Q.	I'm just going to pick up the transcript and ask if we
6		go to that, please. That's at POL00029406. All right,
7		if we could go and let's just orientate ourselves.
8		We can see that this is the transcript, Mr Jenkins,
9		yes
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	of 14 October?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	If we just go, please, to page 8. We saw a little bit
14		of this yesterday but we didn't go the full way down
15		this page but, if we just look at C, you were being
16		asked, weren't you, "Can a computer system be perfect",
17		yes?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	If we go, please, to page
20		"Can a computer system be perfect?"
21		You were saying:
22		"No, I don't think so."
23		Correct?
24	Α.	Correct.
25	Q.	That's something that the transcript comes back to,
		166
1		the computer, yes
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	by the Horizon system?
4	Δ	Yes

- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 $\,$ **Q.** Now, I won't go on with that but you were questioned
 - about that and about it not being the fault of the
 - subpostmaster. Yes?
- 8 A. Yes.

6

7

- 9 **Q.** If we go to page 94, this is where we see you being
- 10 questioned about the PEAK --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 **Q.** -- in Callendar Square, yes?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. I think that goes on for some time, yes?
- 15 A. Yes.

17

- 16 Q. If we go to page 96, you were also asked questions about
 - the Known Error Log as well, yes --
- 18 A. Yes, I am.
- 19 **Q.** -- at letter C. At 106 -- this goes on for some time,
- 20 this topic -- from line F onwards, we can see the
- 21 reference to the Callendar Square PEAK, can't we?
- 22 A. Yes, it seems to be.
- 23 $\,$ Q. $\,$ "A few of these errors seem to occur every week at
- 24 different sites. So it's not just isolated ..."
- 25 Yes?

168

1	Α.	I'm not quite sure where we are on that.
2	Q.	I'm so sorry. Letter G.
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	That's taken from the PEAK, isn't it?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	That's what you're being questioned about?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	Again, coming back to the idea it's been generated by
9		the computer, yes?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	If we look at page 123, again, I think you were asked
12		questions, we can see, if we look at letters E and F
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	yes that there could be problems that you weren't
15		aware of, yes?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	If we go to 124, please, and if we look at the letter
18		E., and you say in your evidence, don't you, Mr Jenkins,
19		that you couldn't even say. I'm just looking at the
20		answer:
21		"I've no way of knowing whether any money loss was
22		due to theft. I don't even know that money was lost."
23		Yes?
24	Α.	Correct.
25	Q.	Indeed, I think at page 114, that you also agreed about 169
1		a total of five witness statements, at least two of
2		which can properly be described as extremely detailed,
3		and thank you very much for giving oral evidence over
4		four days.
5		So we will adjourn now until Tuesday, when we well
6		hear from Mr Parker. 9.45 as usual. Mr Beer?
7	MR	BEER: That's right, sir. I think there might be
8		an amendment to that. It might be and we'll discuss
9		this at the end of the day with you, I suspect that
10		only one day is required and that day may be Wednesday.
11		So the Core Participants and the public interested in
12		the proceedings should keep an eye on their emails and
13		the website respectively, just in case there is
14		an update.
15	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: All right. Well, I look forward to
16		further discussions with you about it.
17	MR	BEER: Yes. We will speak in a moment, sir.
18	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Fine.
19	MR	BEER: Thank you.
20	(3.2	0 pm)
21		(The hearing adjourned until 09.45 am on
22		Tuesday, 2 July 2024)
23		
24		
25		171

1		defence questions about mismanagement of the branch as
2		well. So if we look at the very bottom of page 114,
3		that there would appear to be mismanagement to the
4		financial running of this Post Office. You agreed and
5		said:
6		"That's certainly what it looks like."
7		Yes?
8	Α.	I've not quite got to which letter are we at?
9	Q.	I'm so sorry. Bottom of page 114.
10	Α.	And then it goes over the page, are we?
11	Q.	The top of page 115, please.
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	Do you agree, Mr Jenkins, that at a number of points
14		and I'm afraid I can't go through the whole of the
15		transcript might be thought that you gave evidence
16		that wasn't helpful to the prosecution case.
17	Α.	Indeed.
18	MS	DOBBIN: Can you see that?
19		Thank you, if you wait just one moment.
20		Thank you, sir, I'm grateful for being able to ask
21		questions.
22	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you.
23		Mr Beer, is that it?
24	MR	BEER: It is.
25	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Well, thank you, Mr Jenkins, for making 170

INDEX GARETH IDRIS JENKINS (continued)	1
Questioned by MS PAGE	1
Questioned by MR STEIN	39
Questioned by MR MOLONEY	79
Questioned by MS ALLAN	112

Questioned by MS DOBBIN

171

172

121

	10.55 [1] 39/18	2.32 [1] 62/18	25 February [2] 83/6	8
	10.56 [1] 39/21	20 [2] 59/14 59/20	89/16	
MR BEER: [17] 1/4	100 [7] 17/18 18/11	20 August [1] 6/25	25 July [1] 87/21	8 February [2] 107/2
1/7 1/11 39/22 39/24	55/16 64/11 85/12	20 February [1]	252 [1] 124/8	107/6
78/19 78/23 78/25	115/21 127/14	88/18	26 February [1]	8a [2] 38/5 38/9
	102 [7] 68/15 68/16	20 years [1] 42/18	103/11	9
112/21 121/11 170/24	68/17 140/3 140/5	200,000 [2] 163/18	26 June [1] 125/19	
171/7 171/17 171/19	140/7 140/12	163/24	27 [2] 123/13 154/24	9 March [1] 158/24
MR MOLONEY: [3]	103 [1] 140/15	2000 [6] 5/10 29/2	27 June [1] 128/12	9 September [1] 107/1
78/15 79/4 112/6	104 [1] 140/21	29/15 41/3 42/12	28 [1] 117/13	1
MR STEIN: [4] 40/1	105 [1] 140/22	42/16	28 June 2024 [1] 1/1	9.00/10.00 [1] 138/3
54/22 78/8 78/10	106 [5] 14/12 14/13	2000s [5] 9/5 9/6	29 August [1] 87/22	9.31 [1] 92/2
MS ALLAN: [2]	14/17 141/3 168/19	41/8 41/18 41/20		9.45 [2] 1/2 171/6
112/25 121/5	107 [1] 141/8	2002 [1] 9/7	3	90 [1] 167/16
MS DOBBIN: [6]	11 [1] 97/17	2004 [1] 27/1	3-4 [1] 83/6	91 [1] 167/16
121/14 121/16 140/7	11 February [2]	2004/2005 [2] 26/7	3.20 [1] 171/20	94 [1] 168/9
165/24 166/2 170/18	84/19 105/16	26/15	3.29 [2] 106/13	96 [1] 168/16
MS PAGE: [4] 1/16	11.52 [1] 78/20	2005 [6] 26/7 26/15	106/18	Α
32/20 32/23 39/16	114 [3] 169/25 170/2		31 January [1] 82/11	abbreviation [1] 8/18
SIR WYN WILLIAMS:	170/9	155/13	33 [10] 14/12 104/10	ability [3] 12/14
[28] 1/5 1/10 1/14	115 [1] 170/11	2006 [7] 122/9 122/9	104/17 104/21 108/9	14/16 160/5
32/19 32/21 39/17	118 [1] 4/23	124/13 125/16 128/12	109/7 109/10 109/15	able [22] 17/15 17/18
39/23 54/7 54/18	12 [1] 68/6	135/10 135/13	111/24 140/8	22/22 55/6 67/15
54/20 78/9 78/11	12 July [1] 134/19	2007 [4] 15/6 15/14	33 per cent [1] 8/10	84/18 93/12 97/1
78/16 78/24 79/2	12,000 [1] 94/19	15/23 18/5	342 [1] 5/11	101/13 101/16 104/25
112/7 112/13 112/20	12.05 [2] 78/17 78/22		35 [1] 44/19	107/16 118/8 118/24
112/23 121/7 121/12	12.53 [2] 112/8	155/13	372 [1] 5/11	120/3 138/2 138/8
140/5 165/19 166/1	112/16	2010 [7] 1/17 14/20	376 [2] 5/11 7/11	143/23 164/18 164/25
170/22 170/25 171/15	123 [1] 169/11	74/12 107/1 135/21	378 [1] 5/12	164/25 170/20
171/18	124 [1] 169/17	158/24 162/4	38 [1] 129/7	abort [1] 23/7
•	13 [2] 46/7 48/2	2011 [5] 36/18 38/7	391 [1] 5/12	aborts [1] 23/1
"hlunder [2] 22/17	135 [1] 8/4	105/16 107/2 107/6	3rd [1] 99/16	about [229]
'blunder [2] 22/17 23/3	14 [3] 68/6 107/5	2012 [6] 87/22 87/22		above [4] 28/15
'boilerplate' [1] 68/20	404/0	116/9 117/20 117/22	4	92/20 107/12 140/13
'Horizon' [1] 93/21	14 October [2] 1/17	118/19	4 March [1] 153/5	abroad [1] 49/5
'lf [1] 5/10	166/11	2013 [22] 66/4 66/21	4 September [1]	absence [1] 89/2
'Nil' [1] 145/5	15 [3] 113/16 113/23		132/16	absolutely [9] 6/5
'standard' [1] 68/20	113/25	82/11 83/6 87/11	45 [2] 8/20 106/12	54/16 72/3 82/6 84/5
'The [1] 5/14	15 January [1] 88/14	87/13 88/14 88/16	45.15 [2] 105/23	89/25 90/15 156/13
'we' [2] 85/13 85/18	16 May [1] 66/4	88/19 89/16 89/19	105/25	157/4
writing [1] 15/7	17 [1] 106/13	92/2 103/12 110/17	47 [2] 46/7 48/2	accept [27] 3/15 4/18
	17,000 [1] 81/21	113/13 117/1 117/22	484 [1] 18/11	4/18 6/2 7/21 8/25
-	17,863 [1] 87/13	118/19	5	9/10 9/15 14/2 14/7
which [1] 85/18	17.01 [1] 84/9	2018/2019 [1] 65/21	5	19/14 21/21 24/5 26/9
	17.1.3 [1] 5/1	2019 [2] 65/21 76/22	5 February [2] 88/16	26/21 29/2 30/25 31/4
	17.1.6 [1] 5/9	2020 [4] 75/16 75/19	101/8	31/10 33/11 46/13
' [1] 5/16	17.1.9 [1] 5/22	76/4 76/24	5 June [1] 124/13	48/25 51/22 52/11
	173 [1] 107/6	2022 [5] 40/12 42/13	5.12 [1] 108/10	59/18 100/21 130/21
0	18 [2] 122/15 125/3	42/16 43/12 76/1	500,000 [1] 157/12	acceptable [1] 5/19
0.06 [1] 5/15	18 years [1] 133/9	2023 [1] 65/8	51 [2] 64/20 65/3	acceptance [8] 5/7
09.45 [1] 171/21	18.1.19 [1] 8/13	2024 [2] 1/1 171/22	54 [1] 87/14	5/13 6/13 6/14 7/4
	18.2.4 [1] 9/18	21 [1] 107/14	57,000 [1] 4/25	7/11 7/14 7/16
1	19 [4] 59/14 59/20	21,000 [1] 106/2	58 [1] 167/3	accepted [1] 117/23
1 February [1] 92/1	108/6 154/24	21,168.64 [1] 93/7	6	accepting [1] 167/25
1.10 [1] 103/17	1931 [1] 4/13	21,213.79 [1] 105/18		access [17] 12/10
1.11 [1] 104/9	1998 [1] 25/11	214 [2] 87/6 87/9	6 June [2] 122/9	14/22 14/24 15/4
1.55 [2] 112/12	1999 [2] 6/19 6/25	215 [1] 87/17	124/12 6 March [1] 80/10	15/22 15/25 16/1
112/18	1999/2000 [1] 41/3	218 [2] 5/12 87/9	6 March [1] 89/19	35/11 51/12 51/15
10 [1] 116/8	1st [2] 40/11 134/22	219 [1] 88/25	61 [1] 107/7	51/21 67/10 147/5
10 December [1]	2	22 April [1] 87/13	7	162/9 162/12 162/15
18/5	2	22 July [1] 162/4	7 March [1] 89/21	164/21
10,000 [1] 81/22	2 July [1] 171/22	24 hours [1] 160/13	73 [1] 125/20	accordance [6]
10.00 [1] 138/3	2.1 [1] 7/1	248 [2] 107/4 107/11		104/10 104/17 108/8
10.45 [1] 39/19	2.3 [1] 105/4	249 [2] 122/23 123/3		
1				

(44) MR BEER: - accordance

Α	addendum [1] 88/19	36/7 40/18 42/5	149/11 149/20 150/15	171/8
accordance [3]	adding [1] 7/19	137/21 142/6 157/16	150/18 150/21 153/9	analyse [1] 88/9
110/6 115/3 131/15	additional [1] 123/17	agenda [2] 7/11	153/10 153/15 154/10	
accordingly [1] 39/5	address [3] 11/18	124/14	154/23 155/10 155/15	
account [12] 5/15	80/21 105/1 addressed [5] 27/24	agent [2] 40/6 119/12	155/17 155/23 156/7 156/16 157/5 157/24	104/25 109/21 analysis [10] 4/24
5/18 8/8 12/1 16/6	39/6 83/15 100/14	agent's [1] 8/1 ago [6] 11/22 52/9	158/9 158/14 158/16	6/6 89/3 97/5 107/4
16/8 28/12 66/20	103/21	57/15 95/7 111/1	158/20 159/14 159/14	107/21 110/3 137/20
67/18 117/17 119/6	addressing [1] 47/14	133/9	159/15 159/23 160/3	158/11 167/5
119/13	adhered [1] 131/25	agree [8] 5/11 13/6	160/19 160/19 161/12	
accountancy [4] 88/9 100/3 128/19 137/13	adjourn [1] 171/5	16/5 123/24 130/1	163/15 163/16 165/1	15/19 18/4 155/19
accounting [10] 5/3	adjourned [2] 89/18	138/8 138/15 170/13	165/7 165/13 165/14	another [15] 2/16
5/4 5/23 6/4 6/5 6/10	171/21	agreed [8] 91/8 91/9	165/16 166/1 166/6	14/6 18/15 18/16 21/8
6/15 9/12 11/2 23/25	Adjournment [1]	108/24 108/25 131/2	167/1 167/15 171/15	25/18 25/22 29/18
accounts [27] 4/19	112/17	134/23 169/25 170/4	Allan [5] 112/21	29/21 36/2 45/17 82/5
7/15 7/21 11/9 16/21	adjustment [1] 11/18 admit [2] 12/23 21/8	agreeing [2] 22/20 106/10	112/24 113/2 121/7 172/10	113/16 116/7 119/19 answer [18] 31/21
17/1 17/3 20/7 20/7	admitted [3] 13/15	agreement [2] 5/18	allegation [1] 93/19	31/25 33/5 35/6 35/9
33/8 33/17 35/10	16/22 87/25	90/23	allegations [1] 85/3	39/6 40/20 47/25
60/22 60/22 61/4	adopting [1] 15/21	agrees [3] 105/20	alleged [1] 88/20	51/18 80/8 81/1 81/2
66/22 66/25 67/3 67/4 67/7 67/8 67/11 67/13	advance [1] 124/23	107/11 107/15	Allen [4] 81/18 81/21	116/5 119/10 119/23
67/23 68/4 88/2 88/9	advice [10] 15/4 42/8	ahead [2] 86/24	82/21 85/1	121/24 151/14 169/20
accurate [2] 17/18	59/11 59/12 59/13	125/24	Allen's [3] 79/7 81/9	answered [4] 4/2 4/5
89/7	62/12 74/10 74/11	AI376 [7] 5/2 5/3 5/6	83/22	32/24 125/8
accused [1] 117/25	76/13 76/18	5/15 5/17 6/18 7/14	allow [1] 148/22	answering [8] 32/7
acknowledge [1]	Advices [3] 75/18 75/22 76/10	aimed [1] 4/3 Als [2] 5/6 5/11	allowed [5] 59/11 73/7 73/15 148/21	32/23 33/15 33/19 33/21 80/23 86/13
2/25	advise [1] 54/23	alerted [2] 22/23	149/3	154/1
acknowledged [1]	advised [1] 126/5	123/17	allows [1] 22/16	answers [7] 2/21
8/21	affect [8] 56/25 57/1	Alice [1] 66/10	almost [1] 165/24	31/23 47/1 100/23
acquired [1] 42/11	57/13 58/7 58/15	all [149] 3/17 7/20	along [3] 33/15 37/4	100/25 102/12 134/18
acronym [1] 5/5 across [5] 21/17	61/15 70/9 81/5	10/19 10/20 11/12	138/20	anticipating [1]
21/19 35/12 66/15	affected [6] 33/7 58/1		already [4] 3/14	44/25
130/6	58/9 68/6 95/8 95/16	21/19 28/17 33/5 33/9		any [92] 10/23 11/14
act [1] 131/24	affecting [4] 20/6	35/10 36/6 40/22	also [27] 3/11 8/10	14/9 15/1 15/3 15/11
acted [1] 110/6	58/3 74/17 156/24	42/12 45/21 48/16 53/16 54/20 55/21	29/5 32/3 35/19 37/10 63/16 72/12 88/22	15/24 16/17 17/2 17/8 19/11 25/4 27/17
acting [1] 132/1	affects [1] 59/17 afraid [5] 18/24 37/6	56/6 56/13 57/17	90/4 110/17 116/12	27/19 28/19 31/2 33/7
actions [2] 41/25	106/17 115/12 170/14		116/14 122/18 128/18	
42/21	after [24] 2/12 12/7	66/21 67/2 68/9 71/21		43/18 44/1 44/3 44/8
activities [4] 7/3 57/18 57/19 58/20	23/17 29/11 29/19	72/4 72/9 78/1 78/5	157/18 158/2 158/11	44/20 44/23 45/3 45/8
activity [1] 119/22	29/24 30/3 36/13	78/7 78/17 79/2 80/3	159/15 159/16 160/4	47/16 48/10 50/11
actual [4] 42/15 89/9	36/14 58/11 58/13	82/13 84/17 91/16	161/5 168/16 169/25	51/24 62/3 66/20
123/20 149/14	65/21 67/6 76/10	92/20 96/1 96/23 97/1	altering [1] 87/25	67/15 67/18 69/8 72/8
actually [53] 1/5 2/25	76/19 78/13 84/10 95/3 101/8 105/16	97/18 98/24 98/25	alternative [3] 120/2 120/16 120/18	80/1 80/9 83/19 88/3
7/25 11/20 11/23	95/3 101/8 105/16 120/24 135/12 149/11	99/3 99/8 101/23 104/23 106/14 106/16		88/5 90/22 90/23 92/21 93/9 94/8 95/12
11/25 16/16 26/5	153/23	106/25 107/14 108/11		95/16 98/2 99/10
27/10 37/16 39/12	afternoon [7] 62/18	109/22 111/3 112/6	132/25	100/7 101/4 101/5
47/13 55/10 57/7 60/7	66/10 78/23 82/13	121/21 121/23 122/21		103/3 108/14 111/7
63/13 64/1 68/22 71/4 76/21 77/8 77/20 78/2	112/19 112/25 138/14	123/19 124/7 125/10	10/20 12/3 49/21	115/19 115/20 116/22
78/6 80/12 85/24	afterwards [1] 38/25	125/12 125/23 126/24		117/8 118/1 121/9
86/19 86/19 91/1 95/8	again [32] 29/8 39/18	128/8 128/10 129/2	54/11 54/14 57/10	121/12 125/2 127/12
97/14 98/6 98/15	46/8 50/18 52/14	129/18 131/22 132/4	Alwen [1] 66/7	133/12 133/16 134/11
106/9 109/3 116/20	55/20 67/7 74/7 74/9 78/17 93/9 99/13	132/12 132/19 133/17 134/22 136/1 136/16	am [24] 1/2 7/22 11/7 15/5 15/12 22/13	134/13 134/24 135/15 136/6 136/7 136/9
127/12 136/19 143/6	102/3 118/23 120/7	137/15 137/23 138/11		136/14 136/18 136/22
143/17 144/23 147/20	126/3 127/10 127/19	138/21 139/8 139/12	64/11 77/14 78/20	137/1 137/4 139/15
148/6 149/8 154/1	132/20 132/21 133/10	139/22 140/1 140/11	94/5 94/23 98/2 99/14	
155/20 158/19 160/15 161/10 164/22 165/3	133/25 135/15 146/3	140/11 142/7 143/22	113/14 114/10 123/15	
165/5 165/12	146/5 148/4 158/1	144/6 144/8 144/15	136/1 151/9 167/2	164/22 165/7 169/21
add [3] 73/23 83/14	159/20 159/21 165/7	145/3 145/12 145/16	168/18 171/21	anybody [7] 28/19
89/13	169/8 169/11		ambiguous [1] 20/17	
	against [7] 16/24	148/21 149/6 149/11	amendment [1]	102/25 110/8 139/21
1				

(45) accordance... - anybody

Α	52/7 55/24 61/24 66/9	asked [55] 2/22	attempt [1] 33/4	169/8
	67/4 69/23 70/1 70/7	12/11 31/19 31/21	attempted [1] 39/6	back-end [5] 20/12
anyone [23] 27/1 27/7 27/16 30/14	71/2 71/6 71/16 72/14	31/24 32/1 32/13 33/1	attend [4] 82/22 83/8	60/24 60/25 61/1
46/24 53/20 75/13	72/22 73/7 73/23	33/2 33/2 34/14 35/6	114/1 124/11	158/5
116/2 119/22 125/18	77/10 77/23 80/13	39/7 40/13 43/13	attendance [6] 114/4	background [4] 57/4
127/11 127/17 127/18	80/13 82/19 82/20	44/13 45/19 47/2	114/9 114/10 127/23	89/11 142/6 143/24
128/6 139/18 151/25	83/3 84/13 94/9 96/2	54/12 62/7 66/2 66/24		bad [6] 21/7 22/16
152/2 152/4 152/8	96/4 100/23 102/1	68/10 68/25 71/23	attending [1] 42/21	25/25 35/11 80/17
152/12 152/15 152/18	103/9 105/20 107/13	80/22 80/24 82/12	attention [4] 5/3	81/4
159/4	108/24 108/25 112/9 121/8 127/15 127/15	83/11 86/14 92/6 96/11 106/9 108/4	25/10 45/4 63/18 audit [11] 41/12 42/8	badly [1] 46/9 balance [5] 11/11
anything [24] 26/19	129/19 130/10 134/14	111/21 114/15 114/20		19/3 81/23 105/12
36/11 37/13 42/2	136/19 145/12 148/7	119/4 119/21 125/14	107/6 143/7 143/9	105/15
42/22 61/21 62/1 62/2	150/25 151/1 153/14	133/17 135/2 135/25	143/12 148/17 148/25	
70/17 74/13 81/16	155/5 160/20 161/12	136/3 137/22 139/1	auditable [1] 148/17	150/11
84/17 84/18 85/5 90/9 92/25 100/12 101/1	169/1 170/8 170/10	142/7 142/12 145/5	audited [1] 81/20	banking [6] 48/14
101/24 152/16 154/19	area [7] 6/14 9/24	150/17 153/22 159/25		49/16 150/5 150/9
155/25 156/2 156/4	10/1 36/2 56/2 64/18	166/16 168/16 169/11		150/13 161/7
anyway [3] 12/4 55/7	99/15	asking [11] 64/4 64/6		banks [4] 48/13
165/14	areas [2] 48/12 56/3	75/5 97/4 104/1 152/2		49/15 150/8 150/8
apart [1] 56/23	aren't [2] 19/24 51/4	152/13 159/10 159/13		bar [1] 123/11
apologise [2] 140/7	argue [2] 110/20	159/24 163/15	availability [1] 120/2	Barnes [1] 22/8
162/1	113/19 arising [1] 161/3	aspect [1] 50/7 aspects [5] 91/13	available [4] 43/15 64/10 121/3 153/4	barrister [1] 83/11 barristers [1] 38/20
appeal [4] 75/19 87/7	around [9] 26/7	93/3 103/25 109/22	avoid [1] 22/12	bars [1] 37/5
89/1 113/5	26/15 41/3 117/19	111/4	AW [1] 143/8	based [5] 8/15 10/20
appear [6] 10/4 68/21	132/17 146/1 149/15	assemblage [1] 4/14	aware [76] 2/4 2/9	60/23 134/14 145/12
114/10 139/24 165/4	163/17 165/20	assertion [1] 106/22	3/16 3/17 7/16 7/17	basic [1] 149/25
170/3	ARQ [22] 17/10 72/9	assertions [1] 83/13	7/18 7/23 9/16 12/25	basically [3] 57/22
appeared [4] 144/2 146/22 147/15 158/7	137/21 138/11 138/12	assess [1] 26/18	15/5 25/2 28/24 28/25	91/6 163/15
appears [4] 48/17	138/15 141/4 141/24	assessed [2] 25/9	29/5 29/5 29/7 30/2	basing [1] 125/10
92/20 122/14 122/18	142/20 145/2 146/24	27/12		basis [9] 26/10 27/24
appendices [2]	147/1 147/4 147/8	assessing [3] 27/7		
137/15 137/19	147/10 148/7 148/7 148/11 148/17 156/9	27/14 27/16	42/19 42/22 44/1 44/2	112/1 115/22 143/18 160/15
Appendix [1] 106/25	157/22 158/1	assist [4] 54/12 65/15 123/14 133/10	44/23 48/10 49/17 49/20 49/21 49/25	batting [1] 111/17
application [4] 88/17	ARQs [1] 72/6	assistance [5] 83/17	50/10 50/12 50/16	be [157] 3/7 5/6 5/19
88/18 101/9 101/12	arraigned [1] 89/21	93/25 94/1 94/23	50/17 50/20 50/25	6/13 10/4 11/16 11/17
applied [3] 32/3	arranged [3] 2/4 2/6	156/16	51/2 51/18 54/9 54/14	
48/11 164/8 apply [2] 111/8 136/1	94/1	associate [1] 157/2	54/25 58/7 58/25	14/4 15/16 17/18 19/5
appraisal [2] 38/6	arrangements [1]	associated [8] 15/13	60/11 61/17 62/2	19/7 19/20 20/2 22/2
38/9	134/8	81/25 107/7 107/8	62/15 63/3 65/9 68/19	
appreciate [8] 50/6	arrived [1] 93/10	150/11 154/8 154/8	71/2 91/23 95/2 95/15	
51/16 53/8 54/3 74/24	artificial [1] 13/12	156/12	98/2 98/4 98/8 99/14	25/16 25/16 26/25
74/24 100/24 101/12	as [205] aside [2] 43/20 43/21	assume [9] 45/14 100/13 102/19 103/14	102/7 102/10 108/19 114/23 115/22 115/25	27/3 29/3 31/7 31/9 31/11 34/23 35/16
approach [4] 15/21	ask [52] 4/20 9/9	115/13 117/18 129/9	118/1 151/1 151/9	41/13 41/17 42/9
19/9 53/6 154/2	39/24 40/12 45/8	145/13 147/19	152/2 160/24 169/15	43/10 48/17 50/3
approached [3] 2/19	48/18 54/8 66/3 66/4	assumed [6] 69/24	awareness [1] 53/2	50/18 51/15 52/9
32/3 161/21	66/12 74/8 74/10	70/1 70/3 70/4 72/12	away [1] 121/18	52/17 52/25 53/23
appropriate [2] 78/12 100/1	76/16 81/8 82/24	92/25	B	54/16 55/6 55/8 55/21
appropriation [1]	84/24 89/12 91/16	assumption [3] 70/6		57/3 57/12 57/25
93/20	110/8 112/6 121/12	71/10 72/15	back [38] 8/2 20/7	58/12 58/21 60/4
approving [1] 19/19	121/23 121/24 122/2	assurance [3] 47/12	20/12 25/11 40/2 40/25 45/18 46/22	60/18 61/23 62/24
approximately [2]	122/8 122/23 131/6 132/12 133/20 133/22	47/20 47/24	55/11 57/14 60/9	63/22 63/24 65/2 66/8 66/24 68/8 69/11
113/16 113/25	134/24 136/4 137/15	at [311]	60/24 60/25 61/1	70/18 71/8 71/9 71/15
April [1] 87/13	137/19 139/23 140/12		62/14 65/6 65/8 65/23	74/5 75/17 79/18 80/4
Architect [1] 28/8	141/6 143/14 149/21	32/25 33/14 83/12	66/1 71/5 74/12 75/2	81/1 82/14 83/8 84/18
are [69] 1/25 5/12	149/25 151/25 152/4	83/16 124/14 125/9	77/2 84/16 101/2	85/13 85/21 89/16
18/7 18/7 19/24 24/6 24/8 24/23 25/21 35/7	152/8 153/20 156/2	attaching [4] 30/16	119/13 120/23 122/8	92/20 94/8 95/9 95/13
35/8 37/15 39/16 45/2	157/6 159/15 160/4	31/12 31/15 33/3	122/21 127/10 132/20	96/4 97/1 97/12 97/23
47/13 49/10 51/18	161/21 166/2 166/5	attachments [2]	141/17 145/22 158/5	98/7 100/1 101/13
	170/20	124/23 126/6	158/20 160/3 166/25	101/16 101/19 102/2
				(16) anyong ba

(46) anyone - be

В	33/10 33/10 34/12	52/20 53/2 53/4 53/12	108/17 109/9 110/18	35/24 49/1 50/8 55/2
	44/13 45/12 47/6 48/6	58/20 62/1 62/20	111/4	60/22 60/22 61/4 67/3
be [61] 102/11				
104/14 104/25 107/16	51/8 53/8 54/2 54/13	63/12 63/23 66/25	BI [1] 107/15	81/20 81/23 82/5
	54/15 57/10 58/6 59/5	67/21 67/24 68/5	biased [1] 114/16	95/17 98/15 107/1
108/23 109/23 110/9	59/8 62/3 62/4 62/16	71/22 72/18 73/9	big [2] 64/6 73/9	107/20 110/4 152/24
111/13 115/21 118/11	66/18 68/10 68/25	80/22 84/4 84/7 85/4		155/6 156/12 157/1
119/16 122/24 124/15			bill [2] 38/21 39/2	
126/5 126/19 127/12	76/13 77/15 77/16	85/18 85/21 96/18	bit [32] 5/22 8/13	157/2 170/1
	77/22 81/20 81/20	96/25 97/9 100/13	9/18 35/18 36/19	branches [29] 12/1
127/14 127/18 130/14	85/23 86/3 86/4 86/25	100/21 102/22 105/11		42/6 49/9 49/11 49/14
132/12 132/15 132/22				
132/25 134/11 134/22	92/6 93/11 94/12	105/18 106/1 106/2	53/21 61/12 66/14	50/10 50/15 50/21
	96/11 96/12 98/5 98/8	109/12 117/24 118/22	69/6 69/22 70/5 71/12	51/3 51/8 51/20 53/4
135/2 136/15 138/2	98/13 105/13 105/16	123/6 127/4 128/6	72/14 79/17 84/22	53/21 54/1 55/8 57/9
138/8 138/21 142/21	106/3 106/9 106/23	130/22 133/18 135/7	93/16 110/2 128/14	57/10 57/13 57/25
143/1 143/8 143/23				
144/15 146/23 151/2	107/18 107/19 108/4	139/13 150/1 153/22	138/13 144/14 144/19	58/3 58/4 61/14 61/19
	110/3 110/11 112/4	161/8 161/9 164/18	145/1 146/25 147/12	67/8 68/6 74/18 94/19
152/20 152/24 155/8	120/5 124/4 125/14	166/15 167/8 168/6	153/18 154/11 163/4	95/8 156/25
155/8 156/4 156/13				
157/4 158/2 160/6	126/25 128/1 131/14	168/9 169/6 170/20	166/13 167/4	break [7] 39/18 39/20
	133/17 134/12 135/23	belief [5] 3/18 47/3	bits [3] 43/18 55/24	78/13 78/14 78/21
162/21 163/22 164/10	135/25 136/3 137/8	47/8 70/15 143/19	167/2	112/11 154/10
164/25 164/25 166/16	138/10 139/10 146/20			Brian [2] 46/1 124/13
166/20 168/22 169/14			Blackburn [2] 15/20	
170/3 170/15 171/2	147/19 147/23 148/3	10/16 10/17 14/16	19/10	brief [2] 49/5 49/6
	148/14 148/25 149/2	21/19 21/20 24/24	blah [3] 5/13 5/13	briefly [4] 72/24 90/7
171/7 171/8 171/10	150/12 151/10 153/13	30/6 36/11 37/21	5/13	90/8 103/6
bear [1] 137/2				
bearing [1] 116/23	154/20 156/7 156/12	37/21 42/7 71/2 78/1	blamed [4] 64/25	bright [1] 19/11
beast [1] 27/4	157/2 157/3 157/5	84/3 90/17 100/12	65/16 65/24 65/24	bring [4] 6/22 48/16
	158/4 158/15 159/8	115/20 117/21 118/1	blank [1] 137/24	76/12 76/16
became [9] 24/9	159/11 159/22 159/24		blunder [2] 23/5 23/7	bringing [2] 40/17
24/11 26/4 40/4 50/17				
51/1 76/12 117/5	161/17 163/11 165/1	130/10 132/17 136/8	blundered [1] 24/3	41/6
153/3	165/15 167/23 169/8	136/16 137/3 137/5	Board [7] 28/10	broad [1] 156/19
	Beer [19] 12/11	137/14 137/18 137/25		broader [3] 118/22
because [57] 2/6	30/21 31/19 31/23	139/5 142/22 149/19	28/13 28/17 28/19	118/25 152/14
2/11 2/19 6/16 13/7				
13/10 16/15 16/23	47/3 64/3 66/24 79/4	151/22 152/7 152/11	body [12] 4/14 4/17	brought [6] 4/15
	82/12 110/14 119/5	152/17 152/22 155/16	12/10 21/6 25/8 29/1	20/16 45/4 54/10
17/6 21/7 21/9 22/22	121/8 125/14 130/18	155/21 156/11 156/14		76/19 122/24
23/4 23/9 25/17 30/22				
35/18 37/5 48/6 49/15	130/25 132/8 165/19	157/4 158/1 159/6	104/20 105/4 163/5	BT [4] 56/7 56/9
56/9 59/16 63/11	170/23 171/6	163/2 163/10	boilerplate [3] 68/12	56/11 56/12
	before [28] 14/13	believed [8] 13/3	139/23 145/21	bug [10] 8/7 33/1
73/12 81/1 82/24 86/3	21/7 21/11 26/21	21/23 22/4 26/17		
89/22 91/4 95/3 98/14	24/7 24/11 26/21		bold [1] 91/14	33/3 57/3 59/16 59/18
101/6 101/16 102/20	36/12 42/12 42/15	46/11 46/24 58/24	bolt [1] 35/19	61/14 117/17 117/17
	48/24 54/7 54/10	116/10	bolt-ones [1] 35/19	167/19
106/1 109/20 111/13	54/15 66/1 72/24	believing [1] 129/19	bolting [1] 4/16	bugs [20] 3/18 8/21
111/14 111/16 112/3				
114/16 118/22 120/23	77/19 78/25 87/12	below [1] 123/19	Bond [1] 110/22	27/24 56/25 57/12
121/17 133/13 137/16	92/6 95/25 96/6	bench [1] 1/22	bono [1] 59/11	58/9 59/5 59/14 59/19
	110/18 110/23 122/21		boo [1] 72/4	60/1 61/10 66/16
137/22 141/23 146/19	125/13 139/4 139/10	beneficial [1] 118/11	book [1] 64/7	66/17 117/23 118/15
147/5 147/20 148/16				
149/8 150/8 159/10	144/9 144/20 165/5	benefit [2] 59/7 59/10		155/6 160/6 160/9
159/24 165/14	begin [1] 1/12	beside [1] 1/19	both [5] 56/24 89/24	160/17 161/16
	beginning [3] 41/2		124/23 138/14 160/12	building [1] 98/9
become [10] 24/7	64/3 120/11	39/6 70/15 116/1	bottom [7] 11/2	bullet [1] 66/13
26/2 26/13 50/20				
75/22 76/10 79/13	begins [1] 19/1	120/21 121/24 122/2		Bureau [2] 36/2 36/4
	behalf [7] 39/15 89/2	127/2 138/24	170/2 170/9	business [3] 60/25
79/22 80/3 111/5	111/9 112/9 112/21	better [10] 5/16 23/6	bound [1] 2/12	63/22 88/23
been [133] 1/22 1/24	121/9 149/10			
3/18 5/25 6/8 7/12		28/22 53/13 75/3 75/7	box [6] 1/16 3/7	businesses [2] 61/24
7/13 9/22 10/2 10/19	behaved [2] 37/16	75/10 75/14 102/16	29/19 29/24 38/19	70/11
	39/12	148/17	55/20	but [185]
10/24 12/18 12/19	behaving [1] 24/22	between [15] 15/25	boxes [1] 57/5	Byfleet [1] 38/14
13/8 13/16 13/25 14/1	behind [4] 37/5 37/17	30/3 64/13 90/24	Bradford [5] 87/11	byproduct [1] 39/8
14/24 14/24 15/3				sypionuci [1] 39/0
16/15 16/23 18/18	45/15 68/1	105/8 107/1 108/15	89/16 89/23 89/24	С
	being [73] 8/19 9/11	108/23 109/14 118/2	137/12	
18/21 19/8 21/17	9/17 17/3 17/15 20/5	123/7 150/7 150/8	branch [37] 11/8	call [7] 12/10 22/1
21/23 24/1 25/24 26/7	20/22 21/5 21/24 27/8			25/11 54/22 66/9
26/20 26/23 26/23				1 1
26/24 27/20 28/14	27/24 37/19 38/19	Beverley [11] 90/5	17/20 18/10 19/4 19/5	112/5 167/23
	41/15 42/4 46/21 47/2	90/6 90/19 99/20	19/20 20/20 23/23	called [10] 6/19
30/6 31/2 32/9 33/6	48/11 51/14 52/7	103/12 103/13 103/18		32/11 43/3 76/14 91/5
L	1		1	

(47) be... - called

C called [5] 114/5 114/11 114/24 120/19 160/10 Callendar [11] 32/11 32/25 33/3 155/24 158/20 159/18 159/19 167/18 167/25 168/12 168/21 came [13] 68/4 95/25 103/25 110/18 120/23 128/22 148/18 149/12 157/12 159/9 163/8	card [1] 157/21 care [2] 53/25 131/24 career [1] 87/1 careful [1] 66/24 carefuly [1] 130/20	136/23 caught [3] 5/3 55/21 85/3	110/20	16/25 20/20 22/9 come [18] 52/14 63/18 64/17 65/18 71/5 82/24 86/23 91/11 121/10 132/19 138/20 141/6 141/17 147/7 147/10 149/17 160/3 161/16 comes [3] 52/23 100/13 166/25 comfort [1] 31/6 coming [4] 53/11 72/6 158/20 169/8 comment [16] 32/9
165/3 166/3 can [99] 1/4 1/5 1/7 1/8 1/9 1/10 2/2 4/23 6/24 7/20 8/2 9/8 11/11 11/12 23/8 27/6 31/13 39/22 40/2 40/13 41/22 46/1 54/7 54/9 54/11 54/24 55/16 56/15 58/17 59/18 62/24 63/1 70/9	Cartwright [1] 101/3 case [88] 11/17 15/7 19/7 38/14 38/23 54/10 54/12 54/16 55/20 60/20 61/9 79/7 79/9 79/15 80/5 80/6 80/16 80/18 80/19 81/3 81/5 81/9 81/11 82/21 83/12 83/16	causes [3] 8/11 8/18 14/6 causing [5] 3/23 8/7 24/1 61/5 93/22 cent [7] 8/10 17/18 55/16 64/11 85/12 115/21 127/14 central [1] 106/18 centralised [1] 67/7	8/2 32/18 circumstances [5] 31/9 33/20 34/22 71/22 151/10 cite [1] 15/10 civil [6] 40/17 41/6 41/25 42/21 44/10 123/7 claiming [2] 38/12	38/17 79/19 79/20 92/11 92/21 93/3 93/9 94/23 106/6 139/12 143/8 144/6 144/22 145/8 153/25 commenting [3] 92/3 103/24 109/21 comments [3] 83/14 91/7 91/21
77/4 78/13 78/23 82/6 82/7 82/8 87/3 91/19 92/1 92/7 94/4 94/20 100/4 101/5 103/11 105/3 106/13 109/13 109/14 112/19 112/20 112/25 121/21 121/25 122/25 127/2 127/10 129/6 129/25 130/1	83/18 83/23 85/2 85/8 85/21 86/20 87/3 87/4 87/7 89/25 90/3 90/4 92/8 92/14 92/19 92/24 93/6 93/11 94/21 95/4 95/15 97/14 99/1 99/24	Centre [1] 88/23 centres [6] 56/5 56/7 56/14 56/18 56/23 160/13 certain [4] 54/16 64/11 156/13 157/4 certainly [13] 3/10 42/18 50/16 51/22	83/3 clarification [1] 152/2 clarified [1] 151/2 clarify [2] 83/8 85/25 Clarke [5] 75/18 75/22 76/10 76/14 76/18 clean [2] 38/21 39/2 clear [10] 19/14 23/1	commercially [2] 114/7 114/13 commissioned [1] 90/4 commissioning [1] 6/9 committed [1] 7/9 common [5] 96/14 96/15 96/16 117/24 118/5
130/6 130/14 130/14 132/5 132/8 134/7 134/19 135/2 136/13 136/21 136/25 142/25 143/4 144/14 144/20 146/3 146/17 148/12 149/17 149/25 153/6 153/20 159/5 161/23 162/24 164/14 165/22 166/8 166/16 166/20	117/2 117/3 118/17 120/12 122/9 122/16 123/20 124/2 127/13 127/18 128/6 132/15 135/21 136/7 137/6 139/2 139/3 139/6 139/7 139/10 141/7 141/14 141/22 144/1 150/17 157/6 161/19	111/24 111/25 112/13 136/3 170/6 cetera [1] 8/12 chain [2] 17/10 164/10 challenge [2] 87/17 87/23 challenged [1] 86/4 challenges [3] 98/3	87/18 91/18 95/19 114/20 143/1 151/8 163/22 164/4 clearly [13] 15/20 21/4 29/25 39/13 60/12 74/24 75/12 105/20 111/1 111/11 125/6 125/7 149/15 client [2] 131/23	comms [1] 85/4 communicated [1] 150/10 communication [6] 60/7 61/13 61/19 62/3 64/13 150/7 communications [2] 141/13 153/7 companies [1] 60/16
167/15 167/22 168/20 169/12 170/18 171/2 can't [47] 1/5 1/6 6/8 11/1 11/6 13/22 27/19 27/20 27/22 27/25 32/15 32/22 33/20 34/1 34/9 34/17 41/7 41/16 41/18 42/1 43/6 46/18 54/15 54/16	case-specific [2] 113/10 118/17 cases [19] 24/9 38/11 38/13 62/12 70/24 80/4 82/13 82/15 82/20 86/10 113/10 113/12 113/25 115/18 115/20 115/24	80/10 87/12 105/12	Co [1] 59/10 code [27] 8/9 18/15	company [1] 37/25 comparative [1] 47/23 compared [1] 106/1 comparison [3] 47/22 48/5 80/9 competence [1] 27/9 competent [2] 46/25 131/25
69/10 69/16 77/13 106/16 107/21 110/20 113/18 115/21 117/21 127/14 128/7 138/11 147/9 147/10 147/20 148/1 149/17 156/4 156/13 157/4 162/24 168/21 170/14 candid [1] 167/8	116/4 116/24 119/1 cash [12] 4/19 5/15 5/18 7/15 7/21 8/8 35/10 35/20 35/22 158/11 158/16 158/17 Castleton [7] 32/12 110/24 122/9 122/16 123/7 124/19 129/20 cataloguing [1] 102/8	changes [3] 11/25 12/21 165/1 changing [1] 20/13 chap [1] 76/14 charged [1] 93/7 Charles [3] 4/21 110/11 162/7 chats [5] 10/21 11/5 11/15 29/9 29/10 chatted [1] 10/23	18/16 21/7 23/13 25/8 25/9 25/11 25/14 25/14 25/17 25/24 26/2 26/4 26/11 26/13 27/5 27/17 28/21 35/12 35/13 35/13 107/3 110/7 110/9 111/25 131/15 coded [1] 22/15 codes [1] 150/12	complaining [1] 96/17 complaint [3] 96/14 96/15 96/16 complete [3] 9/7 31/24 98/10 completes [1] 150/24 complication [1] 20/15 complied [3] 109/8
cannot [3] 5/25 15/1 15/1 capability [1] 23/24	catastrophe [1] 35/20 catch [2] 55/12 55/19	chatting [1] 37/12 check [2] 105/9	coding [2] 9/13 27/10 coincide [1] 117/6 colleagues [4] 14/20	

(48) called... - comprehended

С	98/19	18/7 19/4 23/25 24/11	161/24 161/24 161/25	
comprised [1]	considering [5]	46/9 67/10 74/21	163/5 166/7 169/14	113/12 115/4 115/14
146/24	40/22 40/23 80/20	99/10 100/8 112/10	couldn't [11] 12/3	116/24 119/1 119/10
computer [17] 51/4	112/3 116/25	113/14 115/16 124/3	16/17 34/6 63/13	120/6
59/12 69/24 95/12	consistent [1] 50/13	125/13 128/20 129/23		criticism [2] 116/15
129/21 142/1 143/4	consult [1] 64/7	130/3 130/7 132/2	112/2 147/4 167/11 169/19	117/8
143/6 143/16 146/7	consultancy [1] 76/23	132/10 134/5 141/4 141/11 141/12 158/25		criticisms [3] 116/17 116/22 120/8
146/7 146/14 166/16	consultants [1]	166/23 166/24 167/12		crop [2] 59/15 59/16
166/20 167/13 168/1	132/1	167/13 167/14 167/19		cross [1] 38/20
169/9	consultation [1]	167/20 167/24 169/24		cross-examined [1]
concede [1] 45/18	42/15	corrected [1] 22/13	12/15 12/23 13/7	38/20
conceded [2] 23/20 31/1	consulting [2] 42/13	correction [1] 20/12	13/16 13/21 13/25	Crown [4] 1/17 87/11
concentrating [2]	76/5	corrections [3]	14/17 14/25 15/5	89/17 115/8
105/1 110/2	contain [2] 70/13	157/24 158/4 158/7	15/17 15/18 15/25	custody [1] 87/16
concept [3] 21/2	131/14	corrective [2] 15/7	16/13 16/18 16/21	cut [2] 130/5 163/11
128/25 156/23	contained [3] 103/10	19/7		cutting [1] 30/16
concern [7] 51/24	111/3 111/4	correctly [5] 13/13 57/11 71/20 71/21	17/24 18/15 18/16 19/8 19/25 20/3 20/6	D
141/22 144/20 145/25	containing [1] 69/16 contains [1] 91/20	95/5	20/11 20/23 21/9 26/6	daily [4] 158/11
146/6 146/8 147/14	contemporaneous	correlate [2] 67/25	26/10 40/7 56/25	158/15 161/8 161/10
concerned [15]	[1] 142/2	137/20	119/14 165/2	damage [1] 86/16
13/24 28/20 43/23	contend [1] 94/5	correlation [1] 158/6	counter' [1] 15/7	data [73] 8/12 8/15
46/23 53/9 85/2 85/6 86/19 100/24 109/20	contends [1] 96/23	correspondence [14]		17/10 30/10 30/24
116/16 116/18 141/4	content [2] 38/6	13/2 13/11 13/17	30/3 40/15 57/2	31/5 31/7 33/2 33/3
146/23 150/12	149/22	14/23 15/16 16/1	160/12	33/14 33/16 34/5 34/6
concerning [1]	contention [1] 97/21		country [4] 49/4 49/6	34/22 40/16 41/5
144/21	contentious [1]	20/1 20/10 57/23	49/11 70/11	41/11 47/15 48/20 51/7 51/15 55/17
concerns [4] 27/17	164/11 contents [1] 133/12	119/17 corrupted [2] 17/20	county [1] 58/16 couple [5] 76/23	55/21 56/5 56/7 56/14
116/22 117/8 149/6	context [3] 22/7	60/19	82/20 99/17 111/1	56/18 56/23 60/18
conclude [2] 85/9	34/20 109/12	cost [1] 165/3	134/21	61/1 67/15 68/3 69/25
116/11	continue [2] 6/12	costing [1] 165/5	course [10] 34/11	88/6 95/18 95/22
concluded [2] 82/21 83/23	131/19		62/16 77/10 84/1 84/9	95/24 96/2 96/7 96/10
conclusion [4] 85/1	continued [3] 1/3	6/23 8/4 13/12 16/25	104/1 105/11 106/18	96/11 96/12 96/23
95/25 104/12 104/20	117/11 172/2	18/4 19/9 20/2 20/20	114/13 133/20	104/25 109/21 137/21
conclusions [1] 4/24	contracted [2] 114/7	23/25 29/3 30/23	court [34] 1/17 2/4	138/13 138/15 141/4 142/20 143/7 144/15
conduct [1] 41/12	contractually [1]	30/24 31/10 33/7 34/23 39/7 42/9 56/21	17/11 17/23 37/12 38/11 38/18 42/9 74/2	144/24 146/12 146/24
conducted [2] 80/4	50/11	57/1 57/3 57/5 57/24	74/6 74/16 75/19	147/1 147/4 147/8
117/9	contrary [1] 17/15	57/25 58/21 60/18	76/15 77/24 87/7	147/10 148/6 148/13
conducting [1] 64/25	contribute [1] 127/5	61/3 61/6 61/15 64/7	87/11 89/17 90/8	148/17 148/24 149/1
conference [1] 135/12	contributed [3] 41/1	64/16 65/4 67/13 68/8	90/15 92/16 103/6	149/1 149/2 149/9
confident [1] 95/7	88/13 120/4	69/17 71/9 74/22 83/7		152/24 153/3 156/9
confined [4] 2/21	contributions [1]	83/10 83/11 84/10	108/14 108/22 109/13	157/22 158/1 160/13
80/23 98/18 155/23	103/9	86/9 87/4 90/21 92/7	109/14 114/1 114/4	database [3] 15/3 15/12 123/18
confirm [1] 110/6	control [1] 3/13 conversation [2]	93/16 95/9 95/10 95/12 96/6 96/21	114/10 123/22 134/13 135/16	date [2] 38/7 113/8
confirmed [2] 3/19	13/4 152/21	97/12 97/16 103/2	courts [2] 70/7 87/21	dated [4] 6/25 88/14
119/21	conversations [3]	103/8 105/11 108/5	cover [2] 81/24	103/11 124/13
conform [1] 48/14	29/8 123/11 161/14	108/10 108/11 111/13		dates [2] 9/8 84/15
connect [1] 56/13 connected [2] 56/6	convicted [2] 36/13	111/19 111/22 116/7	coverage [1] 56/12	Dave [2] 119/21
150/10	113/4	119/16 119/22 119/24		120/20
consciously [1]	conviction [1] 113/5	120/14 122/25 125/23		David [2] 25/9 74/11
20/24	Coombs [3] 40/9 40/10 40/20	126/15 129/3 131/10	Covid [1] 42/16	Davinder [1] 37/3 day [26] 2/15 3/1
consequences [6]	copied [1] 18/7	131/11 133/24 133/24 134/1 137/19 138/24	23/8	11/22 38/19 43/20
14/4 14/4 21/10 21/12	copy [3] 76/18 83/16	139/9 140/1 141/1	created [1] 34/12	43/20 61/14 66/24
21/25 25/1	159/5	141/13 141/23 141/25		90/8 90/11 90/13
consider [9] 80/17 81/4 81/7 110/18	core [6] 81/9 96/23	142/9 142/10 142/16	credit [1] 157/20	90/14 95/6 104/24
116/21 117/7 118/20	113/2 115/2 121/9	145/16 146/19 147/2	criminal [20] 40/17	122/12 127/1 134/23
120/1 127/18	171/11	149/5 150/20 150/21	41/6 44/10 75/19	137/7 137/12 138/1
considered [2] 52/10	corpses [1] 4/15	150/24 151/1 151/25	79/14 104/10 104/18	138/20 158/17 160/14
	correct [35] 12/17	152/4 152/8 156/7	104/21 108/9 109/7	171/9 171/10 171/10

(49) comprised - day

D	definition [1] 21/14	62/8 62/19 63/7 69/7	87/24 88/8 88/17	157/7 157/20 158/11
day's [1] 124/14	deleted [1] 144/15	71/22 72/8 72/19	97/16 101/7 101/11	164/8 170/13
days [16] 9/1 12/6	deliberately [2] 34/18		118/25 139/13 139/16	
16/9 26/22 30/6 55/8	36/11	74/18 74/18 81/7 82/3		121/15 140/6 165/19
58/13 83/7 101/8	demonstrate [2]	86/15 89/23 90/11	disconnects [1] 55/3	172/12
105/16 111/1 120/13	88/10 97/1	92/24 93/1 96/1 98/8	discovered [1] 68/3	dock [3] 1/19 3/8
120/24 133/20 160/14	denied [1] 87/25			36/10
171/4	Dennis [1] 107/5	100/15 101/4 101/18	5/15 5/19 31/14 34/7	document [21] 6/17
de [2] 36/2 36/4	dense [1] 126/20	101/23 101/24 102/1 102/14 102/17 102/23	88/24 155/7 160/9 161/3	30/16 38/3 80/2 91/20 91/23 91/24 92/3
deal [6] 15/24 34/14	dependent [1] 124/4 depends [2] 29/25	102/25 109/20 111/12		92/11 100/23 122/14
53/13 79/5 82/23	57/3	114/22 120/23 120/25		124/18 125/3 125/9
142/2	described [6] 51/8	130/5 130/25 132/16	152/19 171/8	125/24 126/10 126/12
dealing [6] 15/8	68/11 84/5 113/9	132/19 133/16 135/18		126/14 126/24 131/9
63/15 63/21 100/8	113/11 171/2	135/25 137/16 138/12		132/5
105/5 106/21	describing [1] 64/21	139/14 139/17 139/20		documentation [2]
deals [2] 83/18 89/1	description [2] 67/4	140/25 143/21 146/17		137/11 162/20
dealt [3] 29/16 50/9	91/24	149/20 151/21 157/6	18/19 75/23 76/11	documented [2] 7/5
63/22	design [7] 8/11 8/19	158/16 164/18 164/22	108/14 108/16 108/23	7/7
debits [1] 157/21 decade [1] 59/9	9/13 28/8 41/23 55/18	166/14 167/17 167/18		documents [14] 9/19
December [2] 18/5	65/22	167/21	discussions [2] 7/4	79/14 79/18 79/23
113/13	designed [1] 55/6	difference [7] 17/2	171/16	92/22 111/3 111/6
deceptive [1] 34/18	designers [1] 27/9	73/17 105/8 105/22	dispute [2] 55/18	111/7 123/5 123/13
decided [2] 34/9	designing [2] 6/9	106/5 106/12 129/1	129/13	123/16 123/18 124/4
132/14	26/5	differences [6] 56/9	disputed [1] 93/12	127/9
decision [3] 54/10	despite [4] 11/1	57/16 73/9 73/19	distance [1] 78/4	does [13] 10/4 23/5
54/12 54/14	53/17 94/1 119/17	90/23 105/21	distinction [3] 15/24	31/10 47/2 71/9 72/21
declaration [10]	detail [6] 7/24 37/2	different [18] 2/17	16/3 63/20	99/14 101/17 101/25
70/14 71/7 104/12	41/17 93/10 144/19 160/23	2/25 3/5 3/10 10/7 49/10 54/6 55/24 56/3	do [125] 1/16 1/18	102/5 133/10 135/15 136/1
104/19 108/6 108/7		49/10 54/6 55/24 56/3 56/17 57/18 57/19	2/22 3/15 5/20 6/2	doesn't [12] 20/21
109/10 111/19 131/14	detailed [4] 27/10 97/5 119/13 171/2	73/4 105/20 106/11	6/19 8/8 8/11 8/25 9/9	
137/2	details [4] 7/17 13/19	115/11 165/18 168/24		71/13 92/21 94/6
declarations [2]	106/16 138/12	differently [1] 65/5	13/22 14/2 15/18	100/20 130/21 131/12
69/17 158/16	detect [1] 160/6	difficult [4] 15/13	16/16 16/17 19/2	132/4
declared [1] 109/16	detected [2] 66/18	57/6 94/8 158/18	19/11 19/14 19/20	doing [30] 2/18 4/10
deem [1] 118/18	68/7	difficulties [4] 61/3	23/9 24/3 25/12 26/5	13/1 13/6 13/17 17/7
default [1] 14/21 defect [1] 8/18	detriment [1] 86/22	61/5 93/23 94/11	26/16 26/19 27/20	20/6 21/3 27/10 33/10
defects [6] 8/22	developers [1] 27/10	digested [1] 133/12	28/11 28/11 29/20	33/25 37/25 39/14
56/25 57/13 59/14	development [6] 8/9	diligence [1] 131/25	33/11 33/16 34/16	46/25 47/11 47/16
60/2 61/10	8/11 8/12 8/20 40/2	Dilley [3] 128/11	35/1 35/6 37/1 37/3	56/21 57/25 74/6 76/3
defence [43] 32/10	119/7	128/22 129/8	37/13 37/14 38/1	109/23 124/24 143/6
38/16 38/17 79/16	devise [1] 18/3	direct [7] 23/22 45/16		143/17 149/10 150/9
80/5 80/7 80/13 83/2	diagnose [2] 118/8	60/7 108/14 108/22	46/14 46/20 47/15	161/1 165/3 165/11
83/12 87/17 87/20	118/24	109/13 109/14	52/18 53/12 54/7 57/4	167/5
87/22 87/23 88/3 88/7	diagnosing [1] 155/5		57/16 57/18 68/3 69/5	
88/16 88/19 88/19	diagnostician [1]	126/9 162/7	72/11 72/23 73/16 73/18 74/13 74/22	5/20 7/20 11/16 12/12 16/10 18/19 18/19
90/2 90/2 90/5 90/18	22/22 diary [1] 83/7	Direction [3] 104/11 104/22 108/10	75/5 77/16 81/10 82/2	19/2 20/18 21/8 21/15
91/17 91/18 91/20	did [175]	directions [1] 104/18	82/3 82/4 84/17 90/9	21/19 24/16 24/24
92/8 92/10 92/14	didn't [113] 3/25 4/4	directly [1] 62/3	91/2 92/6 93/1 95/4	25/11 25/20 28/2 28/3
92/15 92/19 93/5	4/8 4/11 9/7 12/23	director [3] 28/13	95/10 97/5 99/1 99/2	28/4 29/10 34/4 35/21
93/18 94/4 96/25 97/7	13/18 13/19 14/15	40/10 40/15	99/13 101/12 102/3	36/11 37/6 37/21
103/3 117/25 134/23	16/13 17/1 17/2 17/11		112/14 115/10 120/1	43/23 44/17 44/20
139/2 139/7 139/10	17/12 17/16 17/17	120/10 121/2	121/8 121/12 121/14	47/1 47/22 47/25
164/8 170/1	17/20 17/22 18/1	disagreed [1] 91/8	121/19 122/2 122/9	51/12 52/6 52/6 52/8
defendant [10] 38/20 93/7 93/13 93/24	18/18 19/22 22/3	disagreeing [1]	134/11 135/16 137/11	52/14 58/4 59/19
93/193/13 93/24	24/18 26/19 29/6	109/1	137/20 138/5 138/9	62/25 64/10 64/18
96/23 105/16	29/24 30/5 30/11	disaster [2] 36/2	138/21 138/23 139/9	67/20 67/20 68/1 68/2
defendant's [1]	30/20 31/24 35/6 36/7	56/19	139/11 139/21 140/4	69/3 69/22 70/20 71/1
94/12	38/2 38/24 39/5 40/21		140/9 142/24 143/16	71/11 72/2 73/13
defended [1] 88/15	44/3 44/6 45/8 45/9	101/14 102/17 118/20		73/18 75/12 77/17
definitely [1] 112/1	45/10 47/18 51/16	disclosing [1] 101/19		80/20 83/19 100/12
	52/3 53/3 53/22 57/10	aisciosure [11]	153/22 154/25 157/6	101/1 101/1 101/11
-				(50) dav's - don't

D	duty [5] 103/20 104/6	emplovees [2] 49/2	165/20	38/11
	104/15 131/20 131/23		et [1] 8/12	exact [3] 9/8 33/20
don't [30] 104/8		employer [1] 75/2	et cetera [1] 8/12	43/6
106/8 106/8 111/11	E	employers [1] 86/25	euros [1] 18/11	exactly [23] 5/15
115/20 116/5 123/25	each [8] 27/14 27/16	employment [2]	even [11] 22/17	34/9 41/9 41/18 42/10
124/24 127/14 128/15	55/12 70/23 72/16	114/6 114/12	23/16 34/11 35/18	50/16 51/1 63/3 77/13
133/13 134/24 136/8	78/3 83/15 90/21	encountered [1] 82/1		85/1 85/25 106/4
137/3 137/5 140/21	earlier [6] 2/19 21/11	encountering [1]	132/8 133/5 169/19	117/21 122/7 122/13
142/17 152/7 152/11	87/21 102/8 155/14	23/18	169/22	127/9 134/12 140/2
152/17 152/22 153/9	159/12	end [22] 5/2 20/7	evening [5] 36/23	147/9 147/11 148/1
159/6 159/20 160/23	earliest [1] 110/22	20/12 46/22 60/24	104/25 107/22 138/3	160/10 160/24
164/15 166/22 167/1	early [16] 9/1 9/4 9/5	60/25 61/1 68/21	138/14	examined [3] 38/19
169/18 169/22	9/6 9/10 12/6 26/22	75/16 75/19 114/22	event [6] 22/4 22/21	38/20 95/18
Donato [3] 6/19 6/20	30/6 41/8 41/10 41/18	119/13 119/19 121/5	22/24 91/9 103/3	examining [1] 94/7
6/25	41/20 55/7 76/24	141/20 142/18 144/7	152/9	example [28] 11/21
done [48] 4/6 13/21	87/18 108/8	150/5 150/5 158/5	events [23] 21/21	13/20 23/17 25/5
14/1 14/22 14/25 17/4	earnest [1] 10/4	164/14 171/9	21/23 22/5 22/6 43/14	25/12 25/18 25/22
17/6 27/12 31/1 39/14	earth [2] 64/16 72/21		43/19 45/20 154/8	25/25 29/18 29/21
42/2 42/22 44/6 45/9	easier [3] 16/16	engineer [1] 119/5	154/16 154/18 154/22	I I
52/12 53/19 54/3	121/18 123/4	engineers [1] 160/5		47/12 48/13 56/19
60/22 61/6 61/21 62/1	effect [7] 20/11 53/7	engines [1] 73/24	155/1 155/5 155/10	74/1 74/12 118/7
65/4 72/17 74/7 74/9	91/3 114/17 127/15	English [1] 115/11	155/17 155/22 155/24	118/23 120/10 120/11
74/22 74/25 75/3 75/6	150/9 164/1	enhance [1] 45/21	157/9 158/22 159/4	
75/10 75/14 80/9		enjoyed [2] 51/13	159/5 160/8 160/11	152/12 152/15 156/8
90/17 100/4 102/7	effective [2] 46/5	51/21	ever [20] 11/4 11/7	156/17 160/8 161/7
106/3 107/18 109/19	86/25	enough [2] 64/22	11/14 74/1 74/4 74/5	examples [6] 13/23
111/11 125/15 144/15	effectively [5] 4/3 49/23 70/18 120/4	65/11	74/8 74/10 75/13	15/1 25/9 27/19 29/23
145/12 147/23 149/8	159/12	enquiries [3] 88/5	80/17 81/4 110/8	94/4
155/17 156/15 157/3		99/9 156/11	136/6 136/14 136/16	except [1] 158/15
165/5	effort [2] 10/4 165/10	Enright [1] 77/6	136/18 136/22 137/1	excess [1] 81/22
doubt [1] 15/23	efforts [1] 11/1	ensure [1] 27/12	137/3 137/4	exchanges [2] 90/18
down [42] 5/9 5/22	eight [2] 28/15 126/20	ensures [1] 22/25	every [4] 12/17 56/25	103/5
6/25 7/20 8/3 8/13	either [10] 25/23	entire [2] 57/14 72/25		exclude [1] 167/11
9/13 9/18 18/25 36/19	25/25 41/22 44/10	entirely [3] 79/22	everyone [3] 17/13	excluded [1] 145/16
37/15 39/12 39/13	48/18 52/5 52/6	82/17 91/11	72/14 78/18	excuse [1] 77/15
46/23 49/16 52/23	127/21 129/21 150/7	entries [5] 24/13	everything [1]	exercise [8] 105/15
66/6 66/13 78/25	else [6] 60/17 72/2	24/15 24/18 47/9 83/4		138/6 155/23 156/3
83/10 96/22 97/16		EPOSS [11] 21/7	everywhere [1] 71/21	
99/6 106/4 124/7	74/4 116/2 119/22 148/15	23/13 25/8 25/9 25/11		165/5
128/14 130/14 131/10		26/2 26/4 26/11 26/13		exhibit [4] 34/9 34/15
132/12 133/25 133/25	email [43] 15/10 15/18 18/2 20/16	28/20 35/12	20/25 22/8 23/12 30/9	
134/1 134/21 136/18	20/19 66/3 66/6 66/11	err [1] 61/7	30/18 36/7 36/14	exhibited [3] 30/21
145/1 150/22 153/11	66/21 82/10 82/12	erroneous [1] 97/25	37/20 39/5 40/11 43/1	33/22 34/7
154/10 158/18 162/1	84/2 84/9 85/9 90/18	error [19] 21/7 22/15	43/12 44/22 52/13 56/15 57/14 61/8 61/9	exhibiting [2] 30/14 30/15
163/4 166/14	103/5 119/17 120/15	22/16 22/18 22/23 22/25 22/25 23/1 23/9		
draft [4] 128/23	122/8 122/17 124/12	23/14 24/13 24/15	71/15 73/3 74/2 74/16	exhibits [1] 105/20
141/24 144/2 145/25	124/13 125/4 125/14	24/18 35/11 64/9	74/17 75/18 77/11	44/24
drafted [1] 129/8	125/24 126/5 126/9	100/15 162/18 163/23		existence [2] 97/19
draw [1] 25/10	127/8 127/20 128/11	168/17	94/17 108/2 111/9	117/23
drawn [1] 15/24	132/17 133/10 134/2	errors [15] 8/22	113/9 114/1 114/3	expect [3] 7/10 50/5
dreadful [1] 27/5	134/7 137/11 137/23	12/18 13/8 23/15 24/7		138/20
dropping [1] 124/7	137/24 153/13 155/20		116/23 117/9 118/14	expectation [1]
due [10] 84/9 85/3	162/3 163/5 163/10	56/25 57/12 59/14	119/4 119/9 123/21	59/15
88/2 89/16 93/14	164/10	60/1 61/10 154/5	125/13 131/16 133/15	
94/12 99/16 119/11	emailed [5] 15/6	168/23	133/15 134/25 135/16	
151/10 169/22	99/20 132/15 137/18	essence [1] 91/17	136/10 136/11 142/2	expected [7] 18/17
during [14] 9/1 12/22	144/16	essential [1] 17/9	147/16 149/21 166/3	55/8 93/2 97/13
14/23 34/11 38/18	emails [6] 82/16 90/6	essentially [15]	169/18 170/15 171/3	102/25 138/21 159/7
40/14 77/10 84/22	127/15 129/10 149/15		evident [1] 10/10	experience [1] 2/17
98/12 98/13 98/16	171/12	56/16 64/6 66/7 69/6	evidential [2] 17/10	experienced [4]
98/24 145/6 161/18	embedded [1] 47/20	73/4 76/3 92/3 100/2	133/1	81/24 124/19 129/20
duties [7] 31/20	emerging [1] 117/7	105/6 108/17 111/9	evidently [1] 18/17	131/25
130/16 131/22 136/2	omphasisod [1]	established [1] 111/1		expert [38] 4/21
136/12 136/19 139/18	29/20	estimate [2] 113/14	ex-postmasters [1]	31/20 38/16 59/12
				(51) don't _ expert

(51) don't... - expert

F	19/24 21/21 30/13	92/1 101/8 103/11	Figoal [1] 115/8	18/4
<u>E</u>	31/1 31/5 31/10 47/11		Fiscal [1] 115/8 fishing [1] 164/15	FUJ00152573 [1]
expert [34] 73/1	59/18 62/7 65/2 66/23		fit [2] 42/3 42/4	131/7
73/3 73/8 73/9 84/2 84/5 84/7 87/20 88/9	84/21 89/5 89/22	February 2011 [1]	five [5] 92/6 92/18	FUJ00152601 [1]
88/13 90/4 90/19	90/11 94/18 94/19	36/18	120/8 153/1 171/1	125/25
99/25 102/11 102/22	106/10 108/1 114/21	feed [1] 19/4	five paragraphs [1]	FUJ00152602 [1]
103/4 108/2 108/19	128/10 128/14 128/24		92/18	126/13
110/14 111/9 114/2	130/7 131/2 131/5	feeding [1] 61/1	fix [10] 11/20 11/23	FUJ00152616 [1]
119/16 128/18 130/15	137/24 139/6 147/13 147/21 156/22 158/15	feel [6] 3/9 17/2 39/11 99/25 118/3	14/5 22/3 59/14 59/16 59/20 63/13 118/9	133/24 FUJ00152930 [1]
130/22 131/15 132/1	158/21 165/13 167/18		118/24	150/20
133/15 135/23 136/7	facts [2] 87/10	feeling [1] 37/14	fixed [6] 3/18 18/21	FUJ00153027 [1]
136/15 136/19 137/2 137/8	130/10	fellow [1] 6/18	30/7 59/5 98/5 162/21	153/6
expert's [6] 38/17	factual [2] 73/12	felt [8] 3/3 3/5 3/6	fixes [4] 27/7 27/18	FUJ00153157 [1]
104/12 104/19 108/6	89/11	3/10 3/24 17/5 102/15		161/23
108/7 111/19	fail [2] 23/20 56/24	116/18	fixing [2] 59/14 59/18	FUJ00154733 [1] 132/19
expertise [5] 96/19	failed [6] 23/18 23/22 24/3 29/3 157/20	25/12 56/10 57/10	flowed [1] 33/5 focus [3] 115/5 157/5	I I
97/10 107/16 108/3	161/7	58/13 62/16 79/6 81/8		36/17
143/25	failing [6] 17/23	83/14 102/7 120/13	following [6] 8/15	FUJ00156460 [1]
experts [13] 51/4 73/7 97/7 108/15	17/24 21/17 21/19	133/20 135/12 150/23	9/20 107/5 108/22	38/4
108/18 108/23 100/1/	35/15 167/25	168/23	122/12 135/14	Fujitsu [70] 16/25
110/7 110/9 112/1	failure [9] 29/1 30/4	fifth [1] 89/4	follows [2] 82/11	20/19 28/12 28/13
120/2 121/3 136/24	31/7 34/13 64/13	fight [1] 10/10	84/1	35/8 37/8 37/19 37/23
EXPG0000001 [2]	149/23 150/2 150/4 150/5	figure [5] 8/4 93/10 93/12 105/25 113/19	fool [1] 24/23 Ford [1] 90/16	37/25 37/25 41/23 42/13 45/11 49/3
4/20 8/3	failures [11] 21/20	figures [7] 83/4	forensic [1] 132/8	60/14 60/17 61/11
explain [12] 13/20	22/1 29/11 30/23 31/3		forget [1] 160/10	61/16 61/21 62/1
63/10 105/21 117/11	31/14 33/7 35/13		forgot [1] 159/15	62/20 63/6 63/14
136/19 136/22 139/18 143/5 144/20 146/17	35/14 150/6 150/7	film [1] 4/13	form [2] 113/15	63/16 63/20 63/24
151/25 164/25	faintest [1] 40/21	films [1] 134/14	136/15	64/9 64/13 64/16
explained [5] 53/4	fair [10] 22/11 42/24	filter [1] 63/16	formal [4] 30/13	65/10 66/19 67/10
91/1 103/5 167/17	42/25 46/19 48/8 48/9		30/17 88/16 136/7	67/14 67/18 67/22
167/21	48/22 48/23 79/19 138/19	142/11 145/22 147/18	formalised [1] 84/19	68/22 75/2 75/9 75/14 76/12 76/16 76/20
explaining [5] 38/15	fairly [6] 50/2 52/15	147/21	former [1] 40/10	76/25 80/19 85/12
90/3 92/3 97/14 141/9	106/2 110/11 120/12	finally [4] 38/3 100/6		85/20 85/20 86/6
explanation [5] 12/13 14/14 16/11 18/3	123/20	108/5 116/1	59/17 68/4 171/15	86/16 111/9 111/17
18/13	Falkirk [1] 32/11	financial [1] 170/4	forwards [1] 116/25	114/6 114/13 114/16
explore [1] 152/19	false [2] 81/19 83/3	find [10] 9/8 12/3	found [9] 9/15 15/11	114/21 114/25 116/2
extent [2] 115/17	familiar [9] 49/9 49/12 59/15 79/13	15/3 22/3 31/2 44/4	38/21 66/16 66/17	117/24 118/2 119/18
118/10	79/22 80/3 82/16	72/11 81/22 155/8 156/2	84/22 98/14 155/21 156/4	130/22 151/1 151/15 152/12 152/13 160/5
external [1] 99/8	111/5 160/21	finding [1] 72/14	four [3] 101/8 155/15	160/7 160/17 161/1
extract [1] 124/18	familiarity [1] 92/22	findings [1] 3/20	171/4	164/24
extracted [6] 141/24 142/1 144/23 147/22	families [1] 49/22	fine [10] 1/6 45/2	fourth [5] 14/11 22/9	Fujitsu's [1] 61/20
148/8 148/8	far [17] 3/17 13/23	69/21 78/16 84/13	62/10 116/8 117/14	fulfilling [1] 109/4
extracting [1] 149/1	23/6 43/23 46/16	112/13 133/5 143/2	Frankenstein [1]	full [11] 20/19 22/13
extraction [5] 72/9	46/23 54/9 54/11	166/1 171/18	4/13	38/19 43/24 51/12 51/20 89/7 92/8 99/7
143/7 143/17 149/5	54/14 56/23 59/19 81/13 100/23 114/23	finished [1] 165/24	fraud [2] 40/19 81/19 Friday [1] 1/1	51/20 89/7 92/8 99/7 118/9 166/14
149/8	115/22 121/18 163/19	firm [1] 59/8	front [3] 36/10	fully [5] 13/19 52/22
extracts [1] 147/11	faster [1] 57/20	first [33] 5/2 31/19	140/10 145/21	60/10 73/18 93/2
extraordinary [2] 3/7 3/9	fate [1] 3/8	46/6 48/1 64/20 66/23	FUJ00079162 [1]	functionality [1]
extremely [1] 171/2	fault [8] 52/8 52/17	67/2 90/8 90/9 90/13	6/23	115/18
eye [3] 27/4 67/23	52/21 94/16 94/17	90/13 90/14 91/16	FUJ00122139 [1]	functioning [4] 82/1
171/12	95/2 164/5 168/6	92/9 96/1 103/17	142/10	99/10 100/9 100/18
eyes [3] 4/11 34/24	faults [7] 35/12 37/16 63/17 88/21 163/16	104/23 113/4 117/4 122/7 129/5 137/7	FUJ00122204 [2] 144/7 145/22	fundamental [2] 5/4 6/5
35/3	163/17 163/24	137/12 138/1 138/20	FUJ00122218 [1]	further [17] 5/12 5/14
F	faulty [1] 94/25	143/3 144/6 146/4	144/25	5/22 7/19 8/13 9/18
fact [41] 4/10 5/19	February [15] 36/18	146/10 146/13 147/8	FUJ00122284 [1]	37/4 47/19 64/25 86/1
5/23 6/6 11/18 18/6	74/12 83/6 84/19	153/16 167/15	129/2	88/17 101/1 101/4
	88/16 88/18 89/16	Firstly [1] 115/5	FUJ00142197 [1]	101/5 107/3 153/20
				(52) oxport _ furthor

(52) expert... - further

F	159/22	86/17 130/2	62/23	23/16 23/20 25/11
	gives [2] 18/13	good [27] 1/4 11/21	hands [1] 97/19	25/15 33/1 33/1 33/2
further [1] 171/16	100/19	22/15 22/24 23/13	happen [7] 11/19	40/11 40/12 40/12
future [3] 10/6 19/6	giving [17] 2/20 8/23	34/5 39/22 42/3 42/23		40/13 43/13 64/5
86/10	16/24 44/22 51/25	46/11 46/12 46/25	137/4 165/9	81/22 81/24 81/25
G	52/25 66/23 70/6 70/9	50/12 50/23 61/23	happened [18] 30/1	82/2 83/13 87/13
G3 [1] 106/25	73/3 74/2 74/16 77/2	62/4 66/14 66/16	32/6 39/11 50/6 56/22	88/22 89/21 90/3 97/4
Gaerwen [1] 145/4	111/8 135/16 136/10	78/23 112/19 112/25	75/17 75/20 76/22	97/6 105/8 110/16
gain [1] 19/3	171/3	116/10 118/7 118/23	86/20 94/7 105/6	120/23 129/15 129/15
GARETH [11] 1/3 7/7	GJ [3] 105/20 105/22	119/11 119/14 132/25		129/15 129/18 129/20
10/25 18/9 36/21	107/12	Google [2] 73/21	127/3 137/21 149/12	130/25 131/1 132/16
82/18 103/13 107/11	glimmer [1] 53/25	74/1	149/16	132/25 150/24 158/6
150/24 162/7 172/2	GLO [4] 12/22 13/5	got [30] 8/10 10/17	happening [6] 31/17	159/9 159/10 159/11
Gary [2] 15/20 19/9	14/17 16/13	11/10 22/14 25/5	50/4 57/4 57/24 63/3	159/21 163/15 164/18
gave [14] 13/23	go [85] 4/16 4/23 4/25 5/9 6/23 6/25 8/2	26/21 39/13 40/1 41/16 50/22 54/15	85/25	164/21 he'd [6] 33/10 33/10
14/14 15/4 20/25	8/4 8/13 9/4 9/18	56/15 56/16 58/11	happens [1] 29/12 happy [3] 71/19 94/5	81/23 81/24 90/4
30/22 36/6 40/11	11/20 18/4 18/25	62/24 66/5 67/21	128/9	159/24
43/12 68/12 114/3	36/16 36/17 36/24	69/21 71/6 73/16	hard [1] 145/20	he's [4] 8/6 40/10
123/21 158/6 166/3	38/3 38/4 40/25 44/17	79/17 90/11 90/13	hardware [29] 29/1	81/9 164/15
170/15	49/10 52/6 54/24	112/8 137/18 138/13	29/3 29/11 30/4 30/8	heading [2] 93/6
general [12] 9/20 49/18 56/8 56/13 57/8	59/17 59/19 60/5 65/2	138/17 148/4 154/13	30/23 31/3 31/7 31/14	140/13
61/19 79/6 79/11	66/4 66/13 77/25 82/7	170/8		health [2] 38/22 39/3
92/14 93/5 98/20	83/10 84/10 84/25	Grant [5] 79/7 81/9		hear [12] 1/4 1/5 1/6
155/4	97/16 105/3 106/13	82/21 83/22 85/1	60/18 61/3 63/21 82/1	1/7 1/8 1/9 2/2 39/22
generally [2] 75/23	108/5 122/8 122/21	grateful [2] 77/6	82/4 93/21 96/24	78/23 112/19 121/21
88/4	122/22 122/25 123/12	170/20	97/22 98/6 98/21	171/6
generated [6] 10/2	124/7 129/3 129/7	great [1] 15/24	98/22 99/11 100/9	heard [3] 42/7 65/19
89/9 150/13 158/5	131/5 131/6 131/7	grocery [1] 49/18	100/19	101/1
160/8 169/8	131/8 133/24 138/11	grounds [1] 129/19	hardware/software	hearing [3] 77/11
generating [3] 21/21	140/21 142/9 142/10	group [7] 57/13 58/4	[3] 96/24 99/11	87/21 171/21
147/1 147/4	142/16 144/1 144/5 144/7 144/8 144/25	58/15 65/20 120/7 160/10 161/9		hearings [1] 77/12 heavily [1] 120/12
generic [6] 82/14	145/22 150/20 153/6	grouping [1] 58/6		height [1] 135/6
84/3 104/5 113/12	153/9 153/10 161/23	growing [1] 116/16		Helen [3] 102/7
115/22 118/17	161/24 161/25 163/5	guarantee [1] 42/23	has [34] 7/4 19/8	102/18 102/23
generous [1] 165/21	166/6 166/7 166/13	guaranteed [1] 55/16	20/11 22/17 25/16	Hello [1] 113/2
genuine [1] 63/11	166/14 166/19 167/1	guess [3] 45/25	25/16 26/10 33/17	help [21] 16/22 39/2
geographical [2] 58/6 58/14	167/3 167/15 167/21	85/12 86/11	37/15 48/6 50/6 57/10	
Gerald [1] 22/8	168/5 168/9 168/16	guessing [1] 71/12	62/11 71/15 73/4 74/5	50/7 50/23 61/11 62/8
get [20] 11/2 30/7	169/17 170/14	guidance [10] 44/14	76/13 82/21 83/11	67/9 69/22 70/4 74/22
39/2 58/13 59/20 67/2	goal [1] 6/1	44/21 45/20 100/7	87/5 94/7 94/10 94/15	97/6 123/16 124/5
74/25 84/16 84/18	goes [7] 18/23 46/16	131/15 133/17 133/22		126/3 127/5 146/3
101/4 120/16 120/21	87/9 151/14 168/14	135/6 135/8 141/9	105/13 105/13 123/13	146/3
138/21 138/23 155/10	168/19 170/10	Guildford [1] 1/17	130/2 134/23 143/10	Helpdesk [2] 63/21
157/18 159/4 162/2	going [55] 1/12 1/16 4/16 4/23 9/6 19/14	guilty [5] 38/21 81/18 87/13 89/15 89/21		88/22 Helpdesks [3] 46/22
163/18 164/8	23/17 25/1 28/21	guys [1] 46/24	have [228] haven't [7] 11/10	63/25 64/10
gets [1] 66/14	50/18 53/21 57/7	·	77/14 78/2 111/25	helped [1] 62/12
getting [8] 21/15 25/2	57/14 62/25 66/3	Н		helpful [2] 96/18
29/22 59/21 60/21	66/12 67/15 69/5	had [184]	having [23] 29/18	170/16
63/5 99/24 120/12 give [15] 16/9 16/11	73/16 77/2 82/9 82/9	hadn't [8] 26/22	72/20 77/22 81/11	helpline [6] 62/20
22/11 31/6 34/4 54/24	82/14 100/1 107/22	32/16 78/6 96/12	83/2 83/25 95/21	62/23 64/15 93/25
62/9 73/7 73/15 76/20	116/24 121/17 121/24	104/16 110/12 144/24	96/10 99/24 116/22	94/22 96/18
81/15 114/1 125/12	122/23 125/5 129/18	159/22	123/19 125/6 137/8	helplines [1] 63/17
141/1 165/22	131/6 131/7 132/12	Hamilton [4] 87/5		her [31] 1/20 3/8
given [22] 22/8 38/21	132/22 135/20 138/14	87/8 90/1 101/7	147/3 147/22 151/6	15/18 18/25 36/19
48/18 50/13 51/7	139/22 140/11 140/12		151/23 153/20 156/23	90/7 90/8 99/20 99/21
51/15 51/20 66/22	141/6 144/19 150/15	handle [1] 62/19	159/2	100/1 103/6 103/24
75/4 81/2 85/23 91/1	153/9 153/15 154/10	handled [1] 52/15 handler [1] 54/22	he [61] 6/6 6/7 8/25	108/8 109/9 113/5
96/12 100/23 114/6	154/20 157/9 160/24 161/2 163/13 163/18	handling [8] 21/7	9/19 12/5 12/12 12/16 13/6 13/15 13/20	134/10 137/11 137/16 137/19 137/20 137/23
114/12 115/2 116/15	165/17 166/5 167/2	22/15 22/16 22/25	13/23 22/11 22/14	138/2 138/4 138/4
117/17 135/7 159/11	gone [4] 12/20 29/18	23/14 35/11 46/12	22/20 23/6 23/12	138/9 138/16 138/17
	Jane [1] 0 _ 0, 10		,,,,	

(53) further... - her

Н	65/22 66/17 69/7		113/18 115/21 117/21	67/20 68/1 68/2 69/3
her [4] 138/22	69/19 71/18 71/19	l accept [10] 9/15	127/14 128/7 138/11	73/13 73/18 75/12
142/13 142/18 143/24	72/3 72/8 76/19 76/22	14/7 21/21 24/5 26/21	147/9 147/10 147/20	77/17 80/20 100/12
here [22] 7/1 9/6	83/5 83/9 87/17 87/23	30/25 31/4 48/25	148/1 156/4 156/13	101/1 104/8 106/8
14/14 25/5 25/24 32/6	87/24 88/1 88/4 88/7	52/11 100/21	157/4 170/14	106/8 111/11 115/20
33/22 66/9 67/17 69/6	88/8 88/11 88/15	l acquired [1] 42/11	I cannot [1] 15/1	116/5 124/24 127/14
82/23 86/8 93/6 94/3	88/18 88/20 88/21	l addressed [1] 39/6	I certainly [3] 51/22	133/13 136/8 137/3
94/9 96/3 98/19	89/8 89/9 95/3 95/4	l agree [2] 16/5	59/18 111/25	137/5 140/21 152/7
101/17 102/3 102/11	95/11 95/11 95/15	123/24	I clearly [4] 39/13	152/11 152/17 152/22
103/19 107/24	96/24 97/22 98/6	I agreed [2] 91/8 91/9	74/24 111/1 125/7	153/9 159/6 159/20
HHJ [1] 87/12	98/15 98/15 98/16	I also [3] 37/10 158/2	1 come [1] 82/24	160/23 166/22 167/1
Hi [1] 82/18	98/19 98/20 98/21	160/4	I concede [1] 45/18	169/22
hid [3] 3/25 36/6	99/1 99/11 100/2 100/3 100/19 102/9	l am [15] 11/7 15/5	I confirm [1] 110/6	I doubt [1] 15/23
36/11	108/20 115/19 115/23	15/12 22/13 49/20	I correct [1] 113/14 I could [11] 4/20 6/16	I emphasised [1] 29/20
hidden [2] 20/24	116/11 116/15 116/17	64/11 77/14 94/5	19/9 39/7 137/19	l ever [1] 136/16
21/13	116/22 117/8 118/16	94/23 98/2 99/14	138/24 140/1 141/23	l expect [1] 7/10
hide [1] 93/14	118/18 119/7 119/9	123/15 151/9 167/2		I feel [1] 39/11
high [3] 26/5 27/13	119/11 119/15 120/3	168/18		I felt [2] 3/24 116/18
167/5	140/18 141/1 143/19	I apologise [2] 140/7	147/4	I finish [2] 36/12
highlighted [1]	143/22 143/23 146/15	162/1	I deal [2] 53/13 142/2	72/25
144/11	146/18 146/21 150/2	l appreciate [4] 50/6	I decided [1] 34/9	l first [1] 117/4
him [8] 94/1 96/25	150/8 150/9 150/14	53/8 54/3 74/24	I definitely [1] 112/1	I forget [1] 160/10
131/2 159/20 163/18 163/24 164/4 164/22	151/1 154/3 154/6	l ask [2] 112/6	I deliberately [1]	I forgot [1] 159/15
	154/21 160/20 168/3	121/23	36/11	I found [2] 84/22
hindsight [3] 45/18 52/11 62/5	hour [2] 165/20	l assume [8] 45/14 100/13 102/19 103/14	I did [27] 3/12 4/1	156/4
his [27] 4/24 4/24 5/5	165/22	117/18 129/9 145/13	4/12 13/4 30/12 36/25	I gave [1] 15/4
8/5 8/18 9/19 12/5	hours [2] 43/19	147/19	38/25 73/18 74/11	l get [1] 67/2
12/6 23/9 23/12 32/23	160/13	l assumed [1] 92/25		I go [1] 122/21
33/5 37/5 81/20 85/2	how [62] 3/24 22/3	l attempted [1] 39/6	110/10 146/16 147/19	
85/5 87/12 89/17 90/2	23/21 24/15 24/18	I became [2] 50/17	147/20 148/1 148/3	41/16 54/15 79/17
90/5 91/18 91/20 97/5	24/20 27/24 28/19	51/1	148/19 152/25 156/11	
122/16 129/20 150/24	29/7 29/13 33/16	I believe [29] 10/15	157/11 157/19 157/22	
153/25	35/16 35/17 36/13	10/17 21/20 30/6	158/5 158/10 158/13	85/12 86/11
history [1] 27/1	36/14 38/16 47/15 52/18 53/3 53/6 54/4	37/21 42/7 71/2 78/1	I didn't [48] 13/19 17/2 17/12 17/17 18/1	I had [20] 31/20 40/22 46/20 77/20
hit [1] 22/17	55/14 57/17 60/1	84/3 90/17 117/21	19/22 24/18 26/19	96/8 98/14 101/24
Hmm [1] 52/1	63/25 64/16 65/6 65/7	118/1 128/25 130/10	30/20 38/2 10/21 11/3	102/16 107/21 115/10
HNG [1] 37/10	65/18 69/10 69/17	132/17 136/16 137/14	45/9 47/18 52/3 53/22	
HNG-X [1] 37/10	71/9 72/8 72/9 80/4	137/18 137/25 139/5	63/7 71/22 72/10	138/11 138/25 139/17
Hogg [1] 162/4	80/5 80/6 80/9 80/14	149/19 151/22 155/16	72/23 71/3 71/7 81/7	141/23 147/5 154/9
hold [1] 143/11	80/17 81/4 93/10	155/21 156/11 156/14	82/3 86/15 92/24 93/1	156/5
holding [1] 6/13	98/23 105/12 105/13	157/4 158/1 163/10	98/8 98/9 99/5 99/23	I hadn't [3] 26/22
honest [1] 10/24	108/20 111/18 113/25	I believed [4] 21/23 22/4 26/17 46/24	100/4 101/4 101/18	78/6 144/24
honesty [1] 77/1 hope [3] 82/19 84/13	114/4 114/18 118/8	I biased [1] 114/16	101/23 101/24 102/1	I happened [1] 75/17
121/21	118/23 119/14 119/15	I bring [1] 6/22	102/14 102/25 109/20	
Hopefully [1] 66/5	134/11 140/18 146/21	I call [1] 12/10	114/22 130/5 135/18	44/18 48/5 49/16
Horizon [135] 3/11	148/1 154/3 155/4	I came [1] 120/23	138/12 139/17 139/20	
3/13 3/20 3/22 4/17	161/21 163/8	I can [20] 1/10 11/12	143/21 164/22	96/11 100/12 106/25
5/5 5/25 8/20 8/22 9/2	Howe [1] 59/10	27/6 16/1 51/21 56/15	I disagree [1] 120/10	107/2 109/7 109/8
9/10 9/14 10/13 14/19	however [3] 15/5	58/17 63/1 77/4 94/20	I do [11] 1/18 1/21	109/11 109/15 109/16
17/15 21/17 21/19	94/5 105/22	100/4 101/5 112/20	5/20 15/18 73/18	110/6 110/25 119/3
21/20 30/10 33/24	Hudgells [1] 81/10	127/2 127/10 130/1	99/13 102/3 115/10	123/14 125/5 127/19
35/8 35/23 37/11	Hughie [1] 113/11	136/13 136/21 136/25	121/14 121/19 137/11	128/3 145/6 145/14 149/14
37/11 37/16 38/16	Hull [1] 56/9 hundreds [2] 3/13	142/25	l don't [65] 5/20 11/16 12/12 16/10	I haven't [3] 11/10
38/21 38/24 40/3 40/4	28/1	I can't [39] 1/5 1/6	18/19 18/19 20/18	77/14 78/2
40/10 40/17 41/2 41/5	husband [1] 37/4	11/6 13/22 27/19	21/15 21/19 24/16	I heard [2] 42/7 101/1
41/11 41/24 42/3	hypotheses [3] 33/6	27/22 27/25 32/15	24/24 25/11 28/2 28/4	
42/20 48/4 48/7 48/11	33/10 34/3	32/22 33/20 34/1 34/9	35/21 36/11 37/6	I hope [1] 121/21
48/19 53/6 54/4 55/5	hypothesis [1]	34/17 41/7 41/16	37/21 13/23 11/17	l include [1] 60/14
55/25 56/3 56/5 56/16	157/16	41/18 42/1 43/6 54/15	47/1 47/22 47/25 52/8	
57/1 60/2 60/15 60/23		54/16 69/10 77/13 106/16 107/21 110/20	58/1 50/10 62/25	11/8 17/5 31/20 53/18
60/25 65/13 65/13		100/10 107/21 110/20	64/10 64/18 67/20	54/7 81/1 85/25
				(E4) hor Livet

(54) her... - I just

	133/3	16/14 33/21 79/24	133/14 145/2 168/5	163/13 165/17 165/24
I just [10] 116/18	I say [13] 26/15 59/7	82/4 84/7 103/1	I wonder [2] 125/23	166/5 169/1 169/2
117/11 122/21 138/23	68/1 77/13 96/8	109/22 113/18 117/11	142/9	169/19 170/9 170/14
142/6 143/1 143/14	104/23 106/8 107/20	118/7 118/7 139/11	I worked [2] 114/16	170/20
149/25 153/20 155/4	109/20 125/5 128/25	154/3 154/25 156/25	114/21	I've [35] 22/14 28/14
I knew [8] 5/20 30/6	133/13 133/13	159/10	I would [16] 3/6	28/23 40/1 44/5 45/19
65/23 117/11 122/3	I see [8] 29/9 29/14	I told [1] 37/21	15/23 20/22 50/5	49/5 54/25 60/9 61/22
146/20 160/23 160/23	49/13 65/7 71/13	I took [2] 20/5 20/5	55/18 55/18 59/19	62/6 62/7 62/15 62/16
I know [6] 11/9 66/11	132/3 135/5 140/10	I understand [20]	79/18 80/1 94/5 94/19	62/24 65/19 65/19
91/24 122/4 133/9	I sent [1] 138/4	12/5 23/11 29/22 52/6	97/5 148/8 156/5	65/21 66/5 77/13 79/5
145/16	I set [2] 104/12	59/21 60/21 62/14	156/15 156/21	80/8 81/13 96/1 97/7
I look [1] 171/15	104/19	63/2 63/2 63/7 63/20	I wouldn't [3] 62/2	111/24 127/2 127/16
I looked [3] 102/14	I should [13] 4/6 38/6		71/20 112/5	134/12 136/3 144/15
155/22 158/1	44/6 45/9 52/11 53/8	103/20 104/6 108/12	l'd [37] 21/4 33/12	145/10 145/12 169/21
I made [1] 159/1	53/18 54/3 72/17 74/7	134/6 149/14 159/14	48/24 52/10 52/20	170/8
I make [3] 8/15 9/20	74/9 100/4 156/2	I understood [7]	52/21 53/20 54/2	I've had [1] 145/10
95/19	I shouldn't [1] 39/14	14/10 29/13 35/16	71/13 81/8 84/24	Ibbotson [16] 90/5
I may [14] 9/9 14/23	I spent [2] 38/10	37/22 93/2 164/13	85/23 89/12 90/9	90/6 90/19 99/20
82/17 84/18 84/25	38/14	165/19	95/23 96/11 100/16	103/4 103/5 103/12
103/17 121/14 122/22	I still [1] 3/21	I visit [1] 49/13	100/21 103/16 104/24	103/13 103/23 106/21
135/20 139/22 150/16	I subject [1] 136/2	I want [9] 40/8 40/12	106/3 106/3 106/9	107/25 108/7 108/17
157/7 165/17 167/2	I suggest [1] 112/11	50/7 69/5 91/16 115/4		109/9 110/19 137/15
I mean [5] 25/16 43/6	I suggested [1]	141/6 161/21 166/2	137/21 137/22 138/13	
44/3 65/6 67/14	163/16	I wanted [6] 122/7	138/13 138/23 139/10	
I misunderstand [1]	I summarise [1] 46/8	133/20 136/4 140/1	144/23 147/23 148/19	
49/2	I suppose [7] 48/3	147/13 149/21	149/8 155/14	ICL [3] 5/10 6/1 40/11
I move [1] 87/3	50/1 61/6 61/6 80/15	I was [87] 2/9 2/18	I'II [17] 1/7 5/1 22/13	ID [4] 12/15 12/17
I must [1] 90/17	86/18 86/23	3/16 3/17 7/16 7/17 7/17 7/23 12/25 20/9	36/17 52/13 62/9 66/4 68/9 68/13 78/25	13/12 107/3 idea [13] 28/14 28/23
I necessarily [1] 65/7	I suspect [2] 18/15 171/9	21/3 21/10 27/9 29/5	81/15 82/17 84/14	31/13 40/21 40/22
I need [1] 6/17	I take [1] 121/8	29/5 31/21 33/21 35/1	84/16 114/9 122/2	55/10 56/22 61/23
I needed [4] 74/13	I then [1] 155/21	35/25 37/8 37/23	141/17	62/4 134/11 135/18
99/23 109/23 154/25	I think [97] 6/10 9/1	38/16 39/7 40/6 41/7	l'm [115] 3/5 3/12	165/22 169/8
I neither [1] 123/21	9/7 12/7 19/3 42/15	42/16 43/23 44/7	4/16 4/23 6/3 7/16 8/3	
I note [1] 119/10	44/16 45/17 46/6 46/7	44/23 50/25 50/25	18/24 24/15 26/4	ideas [1] 19/11
I now [5] 51/16 62/21	48/23 50/25 52/4	53/6 53/11 54/3 64/18		identified [5] 10/10
90/25 122/20 145/7	52/23 56/8 56/19 58/2		36/4 37/6 38/7 38/25	63/23 90/22 90/23
I only [1] 114/3	58/5 60/8 60/20 61/19		39/11 41/8 42/11 44/4	
I passed [1] 101/2	64/8 65/2 66/23 68/5	80/20 80/21 80/21	44/23 45/15 46/9	identifies [1] 100/18
I probably [4] 49/14	71/15 75/11 79/18	84/23 85/2 86/18	49/13 50/3 50/16	identify [7] 10/5 23/8
104/3 110/13 124/23 I provided [1] 159/20	85/22 89/13 91/5 94/9	89/24 91/1 93/2 95/2	50/16 51/1 51/10	63/17 107/17 118/8
I qualified [1] 95/23	95/6 96/2 101/2 102/3	95/7 95/14 96/16 98/8	52/20 53/16 53/16	118/24 157/1
I quite [1] 6/3	106/16 109/3 109/3	98/10 98/23 102/7	54/14 56/4 58/7 59/8	identifying [2] 94/21
l read [1] 123/4	109/4 112/21 114/24	102/25 103/24 105/1	60/3 60/20 61/6 61/17	
I realise [5] 4/6 4/7	117/2 117/3 117/6	106/10 106/11 107/18		
44/5 45/9 72/17	117/21 120/19 123/3	108/19 108/19 109/20		
I realised [1] 38/25	124/15 125/25 126/17	110/2 111/21 114/15	77/17 77/18 78/1 78/2	
I recall [1] 27/2	126/20 127/2 127/7	114/20 115/20 116/19		ie whether [1] 15/15
I recognise [1] 91/24	128/1 129/10 130/20	117/2 118/23 120/11	80/8 82/16 84/13	if [184]
I refer [1] 123/18	131/5 135/9 137/6	120/13 120/24 150/6	84/17 85/12 90/25	ignorance [1] 75/1
I related [1] 104/8	137/18 138/3 141/22	156/22 157/17 158/3	101/15 101/16 101/23	
I remember [7] 2/14	142/11 142/12 142/23		102/3 104/1 106/17	ii [1] 97/17
2/24 12/20 37/12	143/6 143/7 145/8	160/24		imagine [1] 3/6
89/20 95/4 122/17	146/1 147/12 147/24 149/11 149/17 152/23	l wasn't [18] 2/4 6/14 6/14 7/24 9/16 25/2	114/20 114/23 115/12	
I represent [3] 113/2	153/1 153/5 153/11	6/14 7/24 9/16 25/2 43/25 44/12 54/25	121/24 122/23 125/10	immediate [1] 87/16
115/3 121/16	155/14 155/19 156/14		127/9 128/9 129/18	131/20
I right [2] 7/22 114/11	156/22 157/12 157/15		131/6 131/7 132/12	impact [11] 12/1
I said [6] 4/9 16/5	157/22 158/2 159/12	118/1 146/8	134/14 135/20 139/22	17/22 26/7 37/20 53/9
37/18 101/15 114/22	162/24 164/11 164/14		140/11 140/11 141/6	67/3 67/6 116/21
140/8	164/24 165/21 167/15		144/14 144/19 145/10	I I
I saw [9] 46/20 53/10	168/14 169/11 169/25		145/10 150/15 151/8	implications [2]
73/13 78/1 104/23	171/7	29/14 36/16 84/8	152/2 153/9 153/15	80/19 81/6
106/1 118/22 123/5	I thought [17] 15/14	110/20 113/19 133/5	154/10 156/5 157/9	implied [4] 33/5 33/6
				• • •

(55) I just... - implied

	126/21 126/25 127/6	31/6 34/13 160/7	involving [1] 86/12	149/2 152/23 156/14
implied [2] 33/9	138/24 145/4 145/5	intending [2] 40/16	Ireland [2] 35/19	164/11 164/11 164/24
33/15	147/22 151/6 151/23	41/4	35/22	165/24 168/24 169/8
important [8] 16/4	151/24 159/22 161/22		irrespective [2] 52/8	
23/4 27/3 70/7 71/7	163/1 163/9 164/19	intention [5] 5/25 6/8 6/10 6/12 87/20	52/17	items [2] 88/1 107/11
77/21 132/6 155/5	164/23 165/8 165/12 165/13	intentional [1] 39/15	is [275] ish [1] 13/18	its [8] 43/24 48/19 109/8 109/11 109/17
impression [5] 64/22	informed [2] 63/12	interacted [1] 48/13	Ishaq [22] 82/23	118/9 133/12 136/5
64/24 65/11 65/15	87/19	interest [1] 120/18	82/25 83/2 83/3 84/16	
65/24	informing [1] 21/22	interested [4] 60/4	87/4 87/8 87/12 87/25	
imprisonment [1] 87/14	initial [3] 58/12 83/13	126/25 159/11 171/11	88/21 89/2 89/15 90/1	71/10 75/6 89/6
improper [1] 146/13	150/16	interests [1] 86/24	92/15 99/24 101/8	150/14
improved [2] 12/7	initially [1] 155/19	interface [1] 7/6	105/7 105/13 106/23	iv [3] 96/21 96/22
12/9	inject [5] 11/8 12/14	interleaved [1] 91/7	107/7 117/3 137/6	99/6
improvements [1]	14/17 20/10 25/4	internal [6] 9/22 76/25 88/6 99/8 100/7	Ishaq's [5] 79/8	J
116/13	injected [4] 12/23 13/11 16/15 21/5	125/19	87/19 91/17 92/10 139/3	jail [1] 39/9
inability [1] 64/14	injecting [9] 13/2	interpret [1] 144/15	isn't [20] 3/22 16/8	Jamasb [2] 15/20
inaccurate [3] 97/23	13/22 14/3 14/5 16/12		16/9 20/17 21/11 31/8	
98/7 134/15	16/20 17/14 17/24	100/16	32/4 34/7 40/5 50/6	January [4] 5/10
incapable [1] 5/12	21/2	into [23] 1/16 4/17	62/22 63/8 128/22	81/18 82/11 88/14
Incident [2] 7/11 7/14 Incidents [2] 5/7 7/16	injection [1] 20/23	7/19 9/4 9/6 11/8	134/19 137/7 137/17	January 2000 [1]
include [4] 46/17	injections [2] 13/25	11/25 23/23 25/12	144/1 145/9 146/1	5/10
60/14 69/13 141/23	19/19	30/17 39/13 54/10	169/4	Jarnail [1] 162/2
included [2] 59/4	innocent [1] 3/14	61/1 67/24 76/25	isolated [2] 58/3	JENKINS [128] 1/3 4/13 7/7 7/14 10/14
145/15	input [1] 7/10 inputting [2] 47/14	83/14 86/23 91/13 99/10 99/15 114/5	168/24 issue [22] 5/24 6/7	12/4 16/2 16/6 17/8
including [1] 38/18	48/20	114/12 138/1	10/10 32/9 52/4 63/24	17/11 17/25 18/17
inclusion [1] 118/16	inquiry [16] 4/22 48/6		68/2 68/6 83/15 87/20	18/21 19/21 20/8
incorporate [1] 7/5	59/11 65/19 68/20	introducing [1] 92/18		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
incorporated [1] 91/13	76/11 76/25 87/5	introduction [1] 92/5	106/18 106/19 106/21	23/16 24/4 24/9 24/14
incorrect [4] 23/6	113/8 118/15 119/4	investigate [3] 10/5	114/18 118/22 118/25	
23/8 100/8 100/18	123/6 123/13 128/4	85/24 94/5	150/16 167/13	29/1 30/18 32/6 32/14
increased [1] 105/13	132/14 140/17	investigated [1] 22/6		34/8 34/16 34/25
indeed [12] 42/21	Inquiry's [3] 15/2	investigating [2]	10/8 10/14 10/19	37/13 39/9 40/1 51/24 52/5 52/19 54/9 62/4
50/8 55/15 63/9 116/2	15/12 123/18 inserted [3] 21/9	10/8 65/14 investigation [6]	10/20 35/22 36/6 37/14 45/18 47/13	63/10 64/17 65/18
116/24 118/5 119/20	23/19 23/19	72/17 86/2 99/15	57/3 57/6 57/16 57/21	
120/7 124/6 169/25	inserting [3] 21/12	99/18 99/22 167/9	58/2 58/7 58/19 58/22	
170/17	23/23 23/24	investigations [4]	59/9 60/12 63/21	78/8 79/5 84/24 85/11
independence [3] 114/5 114/11 114/18	Inside [2] 36/22 37/1	44/15 65/1 88/5 99/8	63/22 65/14 80/4	86/13 88/12 88/15
independent [4]	insisted [1] 152/23	investigator [2]	80/18 81/5 85/4 105/1	89/5 89/12 94/25 99/4
99/16 111/14 116/11	instead [5] 65/13	108/1 151/19	105/5 108/18 108/24	101/21 102/18 102/22
116/15	65/16 65/24 119/23	Investigators [2]	108/25 118/5 118/20	103/13 103/14 105/24
indicate [2] 34/22	120/17	81/23 134/5	121/3 124/19 151/11	106/15 107/11 111/14 112/6 112/25 121/5
94/7	instigated [1] 99/9 instruct [1] 87/20	invitation [1] 99/3 invited [2] 124/11	152/6 it [473]	121/16 121/23 122/7
indicates [2] 8/21	instructed [2] 59/8	127/5	it's [62] 2/6 4/20 6/18	123/1 123/25 126/1
25/19	88/8	involved [34] 6/14	6/24 6/24 8/6 9/3	126/17 127/10 128/23
indictment [1]	instructing [1]	6/15 7/13 7/24 9/16	11/10 16/8 18/15 23/6	
155/11 indirect [1] 28/16	103/22	10/7 10/17 10/23 25/2	25/19 25/25 31/6	131/12 132/4 132/20
individuals [1]	instruction [4] 116/9	26/21 26/23 40/6	36/18 36/19 44/17	133/9 135/15 135/21
151/24	117/19 118/12 153/21	41/16 50/25 54/15	45/14 51/8 52/10	137/4 137/6 138/18
industry [1] 48/10	instructions [1]	59/9 60/7 62/3 64/1	57/15 58/18 60/20	139/23 140/9 140/16
inflated [1] 81/23	136/7	64/19 80/16 81/3	62/15 63/10 65/21	142/11 143/1 145/2 146/1 147/13 150/15
inform [1] 104/4	integrity [23] 5/3 5/4 5/23 6/4 6/11 30/10	93/11 115/20 116/3 117/2 117/5 119/7	77/15 77/15 79/20 82/10 84/24 87/15	150/23 151/23 152/15
informal [6] 10/21	31/5 33/2 33/4 33/14	120/12 120/16 120/21	103/11 103/12 105/25	
11/4 11/15 29/8 29/9	33/17 34/5 69/8 69/19	123/6 134/12 135/19	112/8 112/21 113/19	153/21 155/18 160/4
29/9	71/17 77/1 88/11	involvement [7]	121/18 123/3 125/19	161/17 162/3 162/7
information [29] 40/22 47/6 61/22 63/5	88/15 115/19 115/23	65/22 88/12 115/7	126/3 128/22 131/9	162/24 163/22 165/18
88/3 99/13 102/4	118/19 119/9 120/3	115/17 123/15 123/20	131/14 134/25 137/6	166/2 166/8 167/1
102/15 103/1 117/15	intend [1] 25/11	124/1	140/7 143/6 144/1	167/4 169/18 170/13
	intended [4] 23/24	involves [1] 77/5	145/20 145/25 148/16	170/25 172/2
L				(EC) impulied ICNI//INC

(56) implied... - JENKINS

J	134/18 138/23 140/12 140/15 141/18 142/6	24/18 28/2 28/3 28/4 33/16 35/7 43/1 43/19	lawyer [8] 136/6 136/9 136/14 136/18	132/5 134/4 152/16 157/14 161/7 161/11
Jenkins' [1] 121/10	143/1 143/2 143/14	43/23 44/9 47/1 47/22		170/6
Jennings [1] 119/21 Jet [1] 56/20	144/5 144/7 144/8	47/25 49/10 51/5 51/7		likely [2] 22/2 53/23
jigsaw [1] 60/15	144/13 144/20 145/1	52/8 52/9 53/3 53/20	lawyers [10] 15/2	limited [11] 40/15
job [6] 2/15 3/1 28/7	146/3 146/5 146/17	54/22 58/9 58/10 61/8		51/9 51/11 57/1 94/22
43/22 43/24 46/25	148/4 148/12 149/2 149/20 149/25 153/9	61/8 61/10 61/25	45/16 76/20 79/23	99/14 100/14 102/5
John [2] 7/7 13/4	153/10 153/11 153/16	64/18 66/11 68/2 70/6 70/19 70/22 70/25	106/6 123/17 124/12 lead [2] 55/17 119/5	106/3 123/20 138/24 line [14] 5/2 18/11
joined [1] 60/10	153/20 154/2 154/10	71/8 71/11 73/4 73/9	learn [1] 44/9	19/5 22/9 28/5 28/10
joint [9] 88/13 90/22	155/4 155/23 157/6	73/13 73/18 76/6	learnt [3] 62/16 65/20	
91/4 91/5 103/10 103/13 109/2 109/15	158/20 160/3 161/24	76/21 82/19 91/24	65/21	124/10 125/20 128/17
110/11	161/25 163/4 163/13	99/23 100/15 101/6	least [7] 11/11 22/18	154/24 168/20
joke [2] 25/16 25/17	163/22 163/23 165/24	101/23 102/25 106/8	41/1 51/18 77/4 129/9	
jokingly [1] 36/15	166/5 166/7 166/13	106/8 111/11 116/5	171/1	line F [1] 168/20
jolly [1] 61/23	166/15 167/2 168/24 169/19 170/19 171/13	120/25 121/16 121/25 122/4 122/18 124/15	120/23	lines [3] 33/16 63/14 107/13
Jones [3] 74/12	justice [1] 115/4	129/10 133/9 145/16		Link [1] 150/10
120/20 151/14	<u> </u>	149/11 160/23 167/18		list [2] 64/7 83/12
judge [6] 1/22 37/19	K	167/22 169/22	Leeds [1] 56/1	listed [1] 83/6
88/17 101/10 114/21 162/8	keep [12] 27/3 67/23	knowing [5] 3/8 21/8	left [1] 22/4	litigation [8] 43/2
judgment [4] 3/20	82/9 82/9 126/15	24/20 70/17 169/21	Legacy [8] 9/2 14/19	43/16 44/10 44/10
87/8 90/1 101/7	126/16 134/1 162/1 162/2 163/3 163/6	knowingly [1] 34/18	55/5 56/4 56/16 65/13	44/11 65/20 110/23
July [5] 87/21 134/19	171/12	knowledge [24]	95/11 98/15	120/7
135/10 162/4 171/22	KEL [2] 46/5 46/17	20/24 35/21 40/8 41/19 41/22 42/2	legal [5] 73/5 92/20 93/1 111/6 115/6	little [15] 5/1 5/9 7/1 9/18 18/23 37/4 46/20
Jumbo [1] 56/20	KELs [8] 4/25 8/6	42/10 42/11 52/18	lengthy [1] 79/8	61/12 70/4 72/11
June [7] 1/1 122/9	9/21 46/20 46/21	70/15 72/8 73/8 97/18		89/13 93/25 123/14
124/12 124/13 125/19 128/12 135/13	130/8 130/17 130/21	115/10 115/12 116/2	148/16	145/1 166/13
jury [3] 1/24 1/25 4/4	kept [2] 83/5 163/16	117/24 118/6 119/11	let [3] 43/18 63/15	live [3] 4/15 163/17
jury's [2] 4/11 35/3	Khayyam [6] 79/8	119/14 119/15 120/21		164/6
just [153] 2/15 2/15	87/4 87/8 87/12 92/15 106/23	134/13 145/15	let's [16] 4/19 12/8	lived [1] 49/6
2/20 6/8 7/12 8/13 9/5	KI001 [1] 107/15	knowledgeable [1] 160/6	14/11 18/2 22/7 24/6	lives [2] 3/14 70/9 living [2] 49/23 70/10
11/1 11/3 11/8 12/4	Kim [1] 85/8	known [20] 7/14	38/3 42/19 52/24 67/2	
	kind [2] 64/4 148/22	19/13 22/1 24/6 24/7	129/2 166/7	local [1] 68/5
17/5 20/15 22/7 25/19 30/16 31/20 31/25	King [1] 101/3	24/7 24/8 24/10 24/11	letter [19] 110/22	location [1] 82/5
32/24 35/5 36/12	KIS002 [1] 107/15	24/13 24/15 24/18		locking [3] 151/11
36/19 42/15 47/19	knew [54] 3/22 4/10	24/25 35/7 64/8	125/16 130/15 130/19	
48/21 53/18 54/2 54/3	5/20 7/21 14/8 16/12 16/20 16/21 16/25	100/15 150/25 162/18 163/23 168/17	130/21 130/25 131/2 131/5 133/11 167/3	log [11] 22/21 22/24 24/13 24/15 24/18
54/7 55/20 56/22	17/8 17/11 17/13	knows [1] 152/13	167/16 167/22 168/19	64/9 100/15 154/6
57/16 58/3 62/18	17/16 17/23 19/13		169/2 169/17 170/8	162/18 163/23 168/17
62/25 63/14 69/5 71/12 71/15 72/12	20/19 22/2 24/17 29/2		letters [1] 169/12	logic [1] 23/9
72/24 72/24 73/13	29/23 30/4 30/6 31/19		level [5] 8/11 26/6	logs [10] 94/8 97/5
73/16 77/15 77/18	38/23 39/2 50/23	lacking [1] 44/7	69/8 115/12 167/5	97/14 107/18 107/20
78/25 79/6 79/11	51/25 52/16 65/23 70/2 70/13 70/13 72/9	landed [1] 56/20	levels [1] 28/15	107/22 152/9 153/14
79/25 79/25 80/11	95/7 95/10 95/12	20/8 77/21 79/23	LFS [1] 18/15 LHITS [1] 5/5	154/9 157/10 long [4] 24/10 54/15
80/21 81/1 81/2 81/2	98/12 98/24 98/25	79/24 111/6	liable [1] 50/10	84/10 165/5
81/8 81/15 82/19	99/4 102/18 102/19	laptop [1] 69/24	Liaquat [1] 107/9	longer [1] 153/2
85/25 87/3 89/13 90/20 91/1 101/8	102/23 111/13 111/16		lie [1] 152/14	Longman [2] 151/19
106/11 108/5 108/10	117/11 118/4 122/3	last [12] 7/3 16/9	life [2] 49/7 49/8	158/4
111/15 114/15 114/20	125/13 146/20 160/17		light [2] 135/15	look [57] 14/11 18/2
116/1 116/7 116/18	100/22 100/23 100/23	49/15 62/16 76/23 113/6 117/2 129/3	161/16	22/24 24/6 30/8 36/12
117/11 119/3 122/21	knock [1] 14/4 knock-on [1] 14/4	129/7	like [38] 2/15 2/15 9/9 11/14 19/14 26/8	50/22 62/24 67/13 67/15 74/18 82/6
122/25 124/7 124/8	know [88] 1/25 3/11	Lastly [2] 72/24	26/15 33/7 35/6 43/8	84/16 87/3 91/2 91/11
125/23 126/3 126/12	5/17 5/20 5/20 6/19	75/16	43/25 47/10 48/14	91/19 96/11 103/8
126/13 126/15 127/10 127/10 128/14 128/17	10/25 11/9 15/24	late [1] 90/11	53/18 53/20 57/5	106/9 108/4 108/10
129/3 130/9 130/19	17/17 18/17 19/2	later [11] 10/18 19/17	57/20 57/21 58/6 58/8	126/12 128/10 128/17
131/10 131/11 132/12	19/17 19/21 19/22	23/12 52/14 68/5	58/16 60/15 62/1 62/2	129/2 131/12 132/19
132/21 134/1 134/7	20/18 21/13 22/3 22/24 23/21 24/16	103/25 104/16 105/9 137/19 153/3 158/3	63/1 72/20 74/19 81/8 84/24 89/12 103/16	132/21 134/7 140/12 141/7 142/13 144/6
	22/27 20/21 24/ 10		04/24 09/12 103/10	141/1 142/13 144/0
				(57) Jenkins' - look

(57) Jenkins' - look

1	119/7	82/17 83/14 84/18	149/6	95/6 117/16
	majority [3] 10/9	84/25 86/23 89/13	meeting [18] 80/17	mismatches [1] 8/7
look [23] 144/19	10/12 57/9	97/6 103/17 108/14	81/3 99/25 122/11	Misra [16] 1/19 3/8
145/1 148/22 151/6	make [25] 8/15 9/20	108/22 121/14 122/22	124/11 124/14 125/6	4/3 16/24 30/8 30/9
152/9 154/5 154/13	11/18 12/21 28/21	135/20 139/22 142/23		32/4 36/7 37/3 37/12
154/16 155/21 156/9	42/3 42/19 50/11	150/16 157/7 158/2	126/6 127/1 127/5	38/23 39/11 113/11
157/20 157/25 158/9	50/23 52/1 56/22	160/4 165/17 167/2	127/17 127/23 137/11	120/13 150/17 157/6
	70/17 83/3 83/7 83/12	171/10	149/14 162/6	Misra's [11] 21/1
167/3 167/15 169/11	84/14 95/19 118/3	May 2013 [1] 66/21	meetings [1] 76/23	31/3 33/8 33/17 35/24
169/12 169/17 170/2	139/7 144/21 145/8	maybe [4] 43/20	members [1] 40/24	37/4 110/12 135/21
171/15	145/12 151/8 153/25	100/4 121/2 153/2	memoranda [2] 88/6	152/24 161/18 166/3
looked [21] 15/2 74/5 95/21 95/23 96/2 96/7	156/11	McDonnell [1] 25/10	100/7	miss [2] 132/6 132/8
96/10 102/14 111/22	makes [1] 30/18	McLachlan [10]	memory [8] 16/14	mistaken [1] 36/16
126/1 145/24 146/3	making [7] 20/11	31/13 32/20 110/12	123/6 123/15 124/1	mistress [1] 50/1
150/21 150/23 155/22	71/6 71/10 72/15	153/24 159/8 159/17	125/2 125/5 125/10	mistresses [6] 42/5
155/25 156/8 156/21	80/13 83/13 170/25	161/23 162/7 163/1	149/14	49/1 50/8 50/14 50/21
158/1 159/3 167/3	malfunction [3]	163/23	mention [2] 105/24	61/13
looking [32] 1/24	88/20 94/6 97/24	McLachlan's [3] 34/3	150/25	misunderstand [1]
45/18 53/6 53/6 54/2	malfunctioned [1]	34/24 157/16	mentioned [13]	49/2
54/3 60/9 62/14 65/6	93/22	me [57] 1/7 1/8 1/19	15/12 32/11 37/8	misunderstood [2]
65/8 65/23 75/2 79/25	malfunctioning [4]	8/21 12/16 13/20	37/23 58/23 77/6	93/13 96/4
86/24 107/19 107/23	83/5 88/2 88/4 88/6	13/23 13/24 15/6	107/24 109/13 120/19	
108/5 109/3 110/17	maliciousness [1]	15/11 17/22 21/3 25/5		
130/1 137/10 138/12	75/1	25/20 28/15 37/5	159/2	79/1 79/3 112/7 139/1
146/4 154/8 154/18	man [4] 26/1 37/19	39/13 43/18 44/6	mentions [1] 131/8	172/8
155/23 155/25 156/17	37/25 114/25	45/10 46/9 59/13 71/4		moment [4] 2/12
157/1 157/15 164/22	manager [3] 5/13	75/4 75/5 75/15 77/5	12/21 15/7 15/15	71/5 170/19 171/17
169/19	28/5 45/25	77/16 91/1 92/21	15/17 19/8 19/25 20/1	money [6] 49/16 66/1
looks [2] 134/4 170/6	managers [4] 28/8	102/20 103/22 104/16		67/24 94/15 169/21
loose [2] 20/5 20/8	40/18 46/2 49/1	111/18 112/25 120/17		169/22
loss [9] 30/24 31/7	manuals [2] 45/3	121/18 121/18 121/21		monies [1] 93/20
66/18 85/23 86/4 86/5	45/20	123/5 123/13 123/16 123/17 124/12 128/8	157/18 157/19 157/23 158/8	
89/9 93/15 169/21	many [15] 9/21 23/21	128/16 133/16 136/1		67/23 monitored [3] 67/18
losses [4] 81/24 85/5	24/1 24/1 24/15 24/18 24/20 27/24 47/2	137/22 139/14 140/10	messages [6] 12/14	161/5 161/9
129/22 158/18	49/10 51/8 113/25	144/16 155/20 158/6	21/2 21/5	monitoring [2]
lost [8] 7/10 34/23	114/4 159/25 164/1	159/10 163/11 165/22		160/11 160/13
35/15 35/15 60/19		mean [24] 20/9 25/16		monopoly [2] 120/1
66/2 151/10 169/22	89/19 89/21 153/5	28/11 28/11 29/25	middle [3] 8/5 18/14	121/2
lot [5] 4/2 23/15 28/6	158/24 158/24	43/6 44/3 51/10 53/15		monster [7] 3/13
31/23 102/15	March 2013 [1] 87/11			3/22 4/5 4/14 38/1
Lottery [2] 35/23	Mark [1] 90/16	67/14 67/22 69/7 70/5		38/2 39/8
35/25	marry [1] 107/24	71/11 72/21 85/14	19/7 20/3 21/17 22/1	month [3] 135/14
low [1] 8/11	master [1] 156/23	114/8 130/5 136/20	27/3 27/20 31/14 33/6	
low-level [1] 8/11	match [1] 158/16	141/10	56/1 58/21 67/22	months [2] 102/8
Lyons [1] 66/7	material [17] 43/15	meaning [2] 100/17	67/24 80/4 80/5 80/6	135/12
Μ	47/17 97/18 98/2 98/4		80/14 80/19 81/5 85/6	months' [1] 99/17
made [20] 11/25 17/2	98/9 100/8 101/9	means [10] 6/3 6/4	86/5 99/25 104/14	more [41] 1/7 18/18
41/23 60/16 62/12	101/14 101/18 101/21		110/9 111/8 112/11	18/23 23/16 30/1
87/23 88/16 88/21	102/1 102/13 102/14	67/20 87/15 133/6	116/12 127/12 127/18	
88/22 91/18 101/7	123/19 138/10 146/24		142/12 152/13 152/19	
105/12 107/5 107/17	materials [3] 45/13	meant [10] 15/15	155/6 161/16 170/15	53/23 57/6 58/18
117/18 139/13 142/23	48/20 164/9	20/4 35/2 68/22 69/7	171/7 171/8	59/15 61/12 67/6 69/6
158/18 158/21 159/1	matter [3] 106/6	71/25 72/12 97/15	mightn't [1] 21/18	69/23 70/5 72/17
Magistrates' [1]	112/5 142/24	139/20 143/15	Mike [1] 40/9	72/18 74/22 79/12
87/21	matters [7] 73/8	measurement [2]	mind [7] 39/8 39/10	79/12 79/13 79/17
magnitude [2] 5/16	75/25 76/12 92/16	47/15 48/18	60/2 60/19 62/9	79/22 80/3 80/11
113/20	97/9 103/3 112/3	mechanism [2] 13/10		86/18 89/13 92/24
main [4] 19/23 55/22	Matthews [3] 134/3	19/18	minor [1] 57/16	94/8 103/24 130/1
56/14 146/8	149/12 149/15	mechanisms [1]	minute [1] 52/9	144/19 148/12 151/25
maintained [1]	may [36] 7/13 9/9	160/21	minutes [1] 165/25	152/5 165/25
118/14	14/23 23/5 58/5 58/12 65/2 66/4 66/21 67/17		mismanagement [2] 170/1 170/3	morning [6] 1/4 39/22 90/13 92/2
maintenance [1]	70/18 72/11 81/1 81/1		mismatch [3] 11/23	117/4 125/12
				(E9) look morning

(58) look... - morning

Μ	112/6 112/25 121/5	112/21 112/22 112/24	113/14 114/20 115/12	News [2] 66/14 66/16
morning's [1] 1/12	121/16 121/23 122/7	121/7 121/12 121/15	120/15 120/23 121/6	next [11] 39/24 44/25
	123/1 123/25 126/1	134/3 137/8 137/15	123/14 123/15 123/16	
most [10] 4/5 18/24	126/17 127/10 128/23	140/6 142/12 149/12	123/20 124/12 125/10	93/5 105/3 112/22
49/8 55/8 55/9 58/2	129/6 129/10 130/5	149/15 162/4 163/7	134/13 137/10 138/4	124/14 127/1 128/17
79/8 91/9 104/24	131/12 132/4 132/20	165/19 172/4 172/10	141/22 141/24 146/8	Nield [1] 83/18
163/10	133/9 135/15 135/21	172/12	147/21 148/10 149/10	night [1] 138/1
mostly [1] 9/3	137/4 137/6 138/18	Ms Allan [2] 112/21	153/25 154/1 161/4	no [102] 1/5 2/5 2/17
move [13] 11/12 12/9	139/23 140/9 140/16	121/7	myself [5] 78/4 147/5	3/16 3/24 4/1 10/1
21/6 68/9 87/3 92/7	142/11 143/1 145/2	Ms Chambers [2]	149/5 149/9 155/22	11/6 13/10 17/12
96/21 108/11 116/7	146/1 147/13 150/15	72/21 74/19		18/11 19/22 21/2
117/13 135/20 139/22	150/23 151/23 152/15		N	22/24 23/7 23/8 24/20
150/15	152/23 153/7 153/15	121/12 140/6 165/19	name [8] 46/1 71/3	27/3 27/12 28/14
moved [2] 82/2 98/15	153/21 155/18 160/4		77/7 78/5 107/15	28/23 28/24 29/10
movements [2]		Ms Hogg [1] 162/4	113/2 120/19 128/15	30/20 31/16 32/13
158/12 158/17	161/17 162/3 162/24	Ms lbbotson [7]	named [1] 7/1	
moving [3] 47/19	163/22 165/18 166/2	103/4 103/5 103/23		33/13 35/17 36/8 37/2
82/3 82/4		106/21 107/25 108/7	names [3] 119/18 120/16 120/18	37/20 39/6 40/22 44/5
Mr [209]	169/18 170/13 170/25			44/5 44/12 45/2 45/5
Mr Allen [2] 81/18	Mr Jenkins' [1]	Ms lbbotson's [5]	narrow [5] 2/21 2/21	45/6 45/7 45/9 47/18
81/21	121/10	91/6 91/14 103/8	34/2 34/12 34/13	48/5 48/15 48/17
Mr Beer [19] 12/11	Mr Jones [1] 151/14	105/5 137/8	national [3] 5/24 6/7	53/13 53/22 55/2
30/21 31/19 31/23	Mr Khayyam [1] 79/8		88/22	55/18 56/18 58/14
47/3 64/3 66/24 79/4	Mr Lee [1] 123/7	134/3 149/12 149/15	natter [1] 72/20	66/18 74/3 74/7 74/13
82/12 110/14 119/5	Mr Liaquat [1] 107/9	Ms Page [4] 1/13	nature [3] 92/23 93/5	80/20 81/7 84/15 89/8
121/8 125/14 130/18	Mr Longman [2]	1/15 39/17 172/4	93/18	92/17 93/9 93/20
130/25 132/8 165/19	151/19 158/4	Ms Panter [1] 83/25	NBSC [6] 62/11	93/25 94/17 94/20
170/23 171/6	Mr Mark [1] 90/16	Ms Sinclair [2] 112/9	62/19 63/22 63/23	96/8 96/20 99/23
Mr Castleton [3]	Mr McLachlan's [1]	112/22	64/11 96/18	101/14 104/1 104/23
122/16 124/19 129/20	34/24	Ms Thomas [2]	nearest [1] 64/8	109/20 110/10 110/25
	Mr Misra [1] 37/12	142/12 163/7	necessarily [9] 20/22	115/10 117/11 119/25
Mr Coombs [2] 40/9 40/20	Mr Moloney [4] 78/12	much [23] 1/11 1/14	21/13 21/22 51/4	120/10 120/23 123/6
Mr Dennis [1] 107/5	79/1 112/7 139/1	16/16 29/12 39/17	59/16 65/7 71/13 78/2	125/5 127/15 127/19
	Mr Parker [1] 171/6	43/2 68/16 76/4 78/11		127/22 128/4 128/25
Mr Dilley [3] 128/11 128/22 129/8	Mr Patel [1] 85/8	78/24 79/24 84/21	necessity [1] 88/1	129/19 136/16 137/3
Mr Enright [1] 77/6	Mr Pinder [2] 132/15	104/16 108/11 112/15	need [21] 6/17 11/17	139/20 141/9 145/14
Mr Grant [1] 79/7	132/22	112/20 118/22 124/1	11/19 11/25 12/16	146/16 146/20 147/4
Mr Ishaq [14] 82/23	Mr Roll [1] 13/6	134/11 134/25 135/6	16/17 25/4 29/14	147/5 149/14 150/3
82/25 87/25 88/21	Mr Roll's [2] 12/11	135/18 171/3	44/17 54/24 82/22	164/20 164/23 166/22
89/2 89/15 90/1 99/24	12/13	mud [3] 4/10 34/24	83/14 84/17 97/6	169/21
101/8 105/7 105/13	Mr Singh [2] 151/17	35/2	116/14 118/3 140/21	nobody [1] 45/4
107/7 117/3 137/6	153/11 -	multiple [2] 25/24	154/16 159/4 162/8	none [4] 11/4 11/7
	Mr Stein [4] 54/7	156/24	164/22	53/23 151/1
Mr Ishaq's [4] 87/19 91/17 92/10 139/3	54/21 78/9 140/2	must [15] 7/13 11/9	needed [9] 17/18	nonetheless [1]
	Mr Tatford [1] 151/21	13/1 13/16 19/13	26/6 37/25 74/13 83/8	123/14
Mr Jenkins [121] 4/13 7/14 10/14 12/4	Mr Thomas' [4]	20/24 75/7 75/9 90/17		nor [4] 6/1 118/17
16/2 16/6 17/8 17/11	141/7 141/14 141/22	96/3 96/4 147/19	154/25	119/6 123/21
17/25 18/17 18/21	144/1	147/23 148/3 149/16	Needs [1] 11/9	normal [3] 3/10 31/9
19/21 20/8 20/17 21/1	Mrs [14] 3/8 31/3	my [83] 3/18 5/3 7/25	negative [4] 80/18	139/11
23/4 23/10 23/16 24/4	33/8 33/17 35/24 37/4	10/1 11/1 11/12 14/20	80/19 81/5 81/6	normally [2] 2/18
24/9 24/14 24/20	39/11 110/12 120/13	14/20 15/2 15/11 16/5	neither [1] 123/21	17/5
	135/21 150/17 152/24	16/14 17/5 18/22	Neneh [3] 145/13	not [216]
24/23 26/2 28/5 29/1	161/18 166/3	24/22 25/3 25/5 26/4	148/11 149/9	note [13] 15/18 18/16
30/18 32/6 32/14 34/8	Mrs Misra [4] 3/8	26/4 26/19 27/22	nets [1] 19/4	37/10 44/18 83/7
34/16 34/25 37/13	39/11 120/13 150/17	29/20 35/4 37/14 39/4	network [5] 55/3 55/7	84/14 93/11 96/14
39/9 51/24 52/5 52/19	Mrs Misra's [10] 31/3	39/15 39/15 39/16	55/9 56/8 56/11	116/14 119/10 127/23
54/9 62/4 63/10 64/17	33/8 33/17 35/24 37/4	42/15 44/25 49/8	never [11] 39/8 39/9	128/1 128/5
65/18 65/25 66/11	110/12 125/21 152/24	50/18 51/14 53/6 53/9		noted [1] 156/6
71/1 75/7 75/14 75/16	161/18 166/3	54/19 57/8 64/5 65/6	104/14 111/7 111/21	notes [5] 66/9 100/7
76/13 78/8 79/5 84/24	Ms [36] 1/13 1/15	65/11 65/15 65/21	111/24 115/13 134/12	110/14 125/7 142/23
85/11 86/13 88/12	39/17 72/21 74/19	66/9 71/3 76/20 76/23		nothing [7] 2/13
88/15 89/5 89/12	83/25 91/6 91/14	77/17 77/18 78/2 78/5	95/20	13/22 14/8 16/12 31/1
94/25 99/4 101/21 102/18 102/22 103/14	103/4 103/5 103/8	84/3 84/22 102/13	new [7] 58/11 59/20	99/1 145/11
102/18 102/22 103/14	103/23 105/5 106/21	103/20 104/6 104/12	66/17 82/2 82/4 95/11	notification [1] 61/16
	107/25 108/7 112/9	104/19 107/4 113/2	162/20	noting [1] 144/13
				(59) morning's - noting

(59) morning's - noting

N	139/16	101/22 101/25 102/4	150/12	59/14 60/2 60/18
notwithstanding [1]	obscure [1] 56/10	102/16 102/19 103/1	ongoing [2] 99/15	60/19 61/14 62/8 63/1
120/8	observation [1] 8/16	111/10 111/17 113/16		68/4 68/20 69/24
November [4] 40/11	observations [1] 9/20	114/7 114/14 115/7 115/8 116/3 117/15	Online [11] 37/11 65/13 95/3 95/4 95/11	69/25 70/1 70/18 76/15 77/23 81/6 86/4
122/19 130/16 133/11	obtain [1] 164/18	117/25 118/2 118/4	95/15 98/16 98/16	88/5 88/5 91/3 91/8
now [109] 1/7 1/8 1/9 1/19 4/6 4/7 4/25 5/17	obtained [3] 147/24	118/4 119/6 119/8	98/19 98/21 99/1	93/25 94/23 95/6 96/5
5/21 14/13 14/15 15/1	152/24 156/16	119/20 131/20 134/5	only [23] 11/23 12/6	97/19 97/20 97/23
16/4 36/18 37/14	obtaining [1] 148/13	136/5 139/13 139/19	16/25 20/20 34/13	97/23 97/24 97/24 98/5 98/7 99/7 99/8
39/18 40/8 40/25 43/1	obvious [2] 81/1 105/11	151/19 164/9 164/12 165/4 165/6 165/9	46/12 48/6 57/1 63/14 105/22 105/25 106/12	99/8 99/9 99/10 99/20
43/12 44/5 44/13	obviously [3] 24/8	165/13 165/15 170/4	107/13 113/5 114/3	100/7 100/7 100/8
44/20 45/9 46/4 49/1 50/6 50/16 51/16	56/21 61/9	Office's [6] 61/20	114/3 119/6 119/16	101/20 104/1 107/15
51/18 51/22 54/25	occasion [1] 114/5	89/1 102/13 116/9	120/24 135/2 136/16	109/18 110/8 111/3
55/2 59/9 60/9 61/8	occasions [7] 14/21 14/25 54/22 68/7	120/5 139/15	160/14 171/10	113/15 113/23 113/25 114/4 114/16 115/18
62/21 63/2 63/6 63/7	89/24 93/22 133/21	offices [1] 56/10 often [6] 29/6 29/11	onwards [2] 76/4 168/20	115/23 116/2 116/24
63/14 65/7 66/3 66/13	occur [9] 17/22 30/23		open [3] 15/21 60/1	119/19 119/23 120/24
66/19 66/21 67/18 68/10 68/12 69/6	44/6 45/10 104/14	49/22	167/8	123/11 125/3 126/21
69/21 70/4 71/13	111/7 138/18 139/14	Oh [5] 29/10 51/6	opening [1] 70/18	128/18 129/15 129/21
72/17 73/4 73/10	168/23 occurred [4] 21/3	51/22 70/8 113/1 okay [52] 36/21 40/8	operate [3] 49/18 55/6 57/11	132/5 132/10 133/12 136/22 138/18 139/4
73/11 73/18 73/20	58/2 95/3 158/19	42/17 43/12 43/16	operated [6] 57/17	139/20 140/5 141/17
74/24 75/25 78/13 81/8 82/6 82/21 87/3	occurrence [1] 25/6	43/17 44/22 46/16	67/9 93/14 108/20	143/18 143/24 143/24
90/25 91/17 100/4	occurring [1] 7/25	46/17 59/2 59/7 59/12		145/13 145/15 146/21
100/21 101/11 101/12	occurs [1] 22/25	66/12 67/4 67/9 68/23		148/12 149/9 150/8
103/8 103/14 103/17	OCP [1] 19/18 October [3] 1/17	69/3 69/4 69/12 69/15 69/21 70/4 71/5 72/14		151/5 152/8 152/19 153/2 153/22 154/1
107/21 109/4 112/8	43/12 166/11	79/10 79/11 79/21	47/9 54/5 57/15 61/24	155/14 156/8 156/19
115/4 118/19 121/25 122/4 122/20 122/21	odd [3] 72/11 72/14	81/8 81/17 84/9 84/20	98/16	158/3 159/5 159/7
124/15 125/12 127/9	74/15	115/13 121/5 121/20	operation [7] 48/4	160/8 161/2 161/16
132/4 132/14 133/9	oddities [1] 18/18 oddity [2] 18/15	121/25 122/1 122/6 125/2 129/5 133/23	48/19 64/16 96/5 141/1 154/4 160/15	163/7 163/8 164/19 164/25
133/10 133/17 135/10	18/16	134/1 135/21 135/22	operational [2] 14/19	
135/23 138/12 140/10 141/6 145/7 148/2	odds [1] 16/8	140/4 140/9 149/24	56/3	114/3 115/14 171/3
149/11 152/23 153/5	off [12] 25/1 39/18	150/19 150/22 157/7	operations [1]	order [15] 5/16 41/12
153/25 154/23 156/7	54/23 55/2 55/6 55/8	161/25 166/1 166/4	162/12	81/24 83/4 88/1 90/21
158/20 162/8 168/5	55/20 59/3 120/13 120/14 120/24 165/15	old [1] 68/3 on [232]	opinion [7] 73/7 73/15 94/21 95/20	113/20 127/1 138/2 138/8 147/14 152/5
171/5	offer [2] 48/5 94/20	on' [2] 22/17 23/3	133/15 136/10 141/1	152/19 156/9 164/25
NT [9] 154/8 154/16 154/18 154/22 155/1	offered [2] 44/8	once [3] 1/7 30/1	opportunities [7]	ordinary [4] 97/12
155/5 155/10 155/17		54/12	43/19 44/8 44/9 44/11	
157/9	office [105] 5/11 19/12 28/12 32/10	one [66] 5/5 5/6 7/12 13/20 14/2 14/2 14/5	45/20 45/21 45/24 opportunity [2] 85/24	organisation [2] 40/24 45/11
number [19] 5/18	34/14 37/9 37/15	14/25 22/8 22/24 23/8		orientate [3] 124/9
7/23 9/3 12/10 21/6 25/8 29/1 40/1 46/2	40/15 40/18 41/4	27/3 27/12 29/14	opposed [5] 20/11	126/3 166/7
57/1 62/6 68/10 68/25	41/11 41/23 49/9	32/13 32/18 32/24	89/9 118/25 122/4	other [48] 8/8 8/10
90/6 113/12 113/18	49/13 49/16 50/1 50/2 50/9 51/4 52/15 52/16	38/3 45/17 46/1 50/7 53/10 55/22 56/6	161/14 opposite [1] 1/24	11/12 13/23 14/15 20/12 23/15 23/21
123/17 153/7 170/13	53/1 53/24 55/23	56/20 57/15 58/3 58/9		24/1 24/2 27/16 28/16
number 2 [1] 12/10 number 3 [1] 21/6	58/24 60/1 60/4 60/8	59/12 59/16 60/8	85/15	41/12 47/22 55/10
number 3 [1] 21/8	60/10 60/11 60/13	66/12 71/5 73/8 77/4	or [148] 3/12 6/9 8/19	
number 5 [1] 29/1	60/24 62/8 62/20 62/22 63/16 64/14	78/3 81/9 82/5 82/5 85/15 94/20 95/2	8/22 11/22 14/2 14/25 15/14 15/17 15/20	56/20 57/23 59/19 60/12 60/16 60/16
numbers [3] 24/1	64/15 64/22 64/24	95/21 107/14 113/15	15/24 17/4 19/10	60/17 61/4 61/8 61/22
58/9 164/5	67/10 74/17 75/25	114/3 114/4 114/5	20/15 25/24 27/17	72/11 72/16 73/23
numerous [1] 93/22	76/11 76/13 77/3 81/6	114/9 114/10 115/11	28/12 28/12 28/15	74/19 80/1 92/17
0	87/19 88/10 89/6	119/3 119/6 119/18	41/23 42/3 42/21 43/4	
oath [1] 2/11	93/21 93/25 94/22 94/22 96/25 97/22	122/11 122/13 123/11 128/18 130/16 133/21	43/20 44/10 44/14 44/15 45/14 46/5 46/8	98/2 99/10 100/8 107/14 119/18 121/9
obfuscation [1] 20/15	98/6 98/8 98/21 99/10	134/5 151/9 153/10	48/10 48/18 48/20	125/9 142/13 145/25
object [1] 138/18	99/11 99/14 100/9	157/9 170/19 171/10	49/15 52/5 52/8 54/11	156/17 156/19
obligations [1]	100/14 100/19 100/24		55/11 56/7 56/25 57/1	other's [2] 27/14
	101/3 101/13 101/17	77/13 77/14 102/20	58/3 58/6 58/9 58/16	90/21
				notwithstanding - other's

(60) notwithstanding - other's

0	97/5 121/10 148/10	140/5 140/7 140/12	Parker [1] 171/6	147/16 147/23 147/25
others [8] 15/6 18/6	Ρ	140/15 140/21 140/22		148/19 148/25 156/24
18/7 57/19 57/20	page [50] 1/13 1/15	141/3 141/8 141/20	10/4 12/10 13/5 21/6	159/16 159/18 159/19
59/16 87/8 90/1	4/23 8/4 8/5 14/12	143/3 143/15 143/20 145/2 146/9 146/19	25/8 27/15 29/1 30/18 39/15 40/3 43/2 56/1	159/25 164/3 168/10 168/21 169/4
otherwise [4] 13/7	38/4 39/17 46/7 48/2	153/16 153/18 154/5	65/6 75/23 76/11	PEAK-PinICL [1]
31/17 73/12 97/25	70/23 82/7 82/8 84/10	164/14	90/19 96/19 103/18	46/10
ought [3] 109/19	103/17 104/9 105/3	paragraph 1 [1]	103/24 104/10 104/17	PEAK/PinICL [2]
137/2 152/14 our [3] 78/12 83/8	105/4 106/13 108/6	154/5	104/21 107/16 108/3	47/21 48/3
83/11	122/25 123/12 125/20	hana 2. ahu uu e [.]	108/8 108/9 109/7	PEAK/PinICLs [1]
ourselves [2] 35/5	126/18 131/8 131/9 131/9 140/5 140/8	103/17	109/9 109/10 109/15	48/21
166/7	142/17 150/20 154/24	paragraph 10 [1] 116/8	111/16 111/24 122/15 125/3 129/5 140/17	8/17 9/12 9/21 10/9
out [75] 3/13 7/5 8/6	161/24 163/13 166/13	naragraph 102 [7]	146/5 146/9 146/13	10/12 10/24 46/23
9/8 9/15 11/9 12/13	166/15 166/19 167/3	68/15 68/16 68/17	160/14 160/16	156/8 156/11 156/19
14/13 26/24 29/3 31/2 31/13 36/22 37/1 44/4	167/16 167/16 167/21	140/3 140/5 140/7	Part 18 [2] 122/15	156/21 157/1
52/24 58/12 58/13	168/9 168/16 169/11	140/12	125/3	Pearce [1] 110/22
58/20 61/15 61/25	169/25 170/2 170/9	paragraph 103 [1]	Participant [2] 113/3	Penny [9] 36/18
77/15 82/13 88/1	170/10 170/11 172/4 page 1 [1] 38/4	140/15	115/2 Participanta [2]	43/25 139/24 142/9 145/13 146/20 148/10
88/20 89/2 90/2 91/18	page 114 [3] 169/25	paragraph 104 [1] 140/21	Participants [3] 81/10 121/9 171/11	149/9 163/11
92/14 98/14 99/3	170/2 170/9	paragraph 105 [1]	particular [20] 15/8	Penny's [1] 140/18
102/11 104/12 104/16 104/19 105/15 105/22	page 115 [1] 170/11	140/22	25/20 48/12 57/12	penultimate [2] 82/8
106/11 107/6 107/8	page 118 [1] 4/23	paragraph 106 [3]	57/13 57/24 58/15	142/16
107/13 107/15 118/9	page 123 [1] 169/11	14/12 14/13 141/3	58/16 66/3 66/12	people [44] 3/14 9/11
120/18 120/25 122/15	page 13 [2] 46/7 48/2	paragraph 107 [1]	68/13 69/8 71/21	9/17 10/23 29/9 42/6
123/3 123/12 124/9	page 135 [1] 8/4 page 14 [1] 131/9	141/8 paragraph 2.1 [1] 7/1	73/13 73/14 86/20 88/11 95/17 103/16	42/21 43/24 43/25 46/18 47/8 49/10
124/22 126/21 127/2	page 17 [1] 106/13	paragraph 214 [2]	151/10	50/14 50/21 51/3 51/7
129/15 129/18 131/21	page 19 [1] 108/6	87/6 87/9	particularising [1]	51/20 52/7 52/16 53/2
138/16 140/11 140/16 140/25 141/3 141/13	page 2 [2] 150/20	paragraph 218 [1]	122/16	53/4 53/14 58/15
141/21 145/3 145/25	161/24	87/9	particularly [9] 34/21	60/11 60/12 61/18
149/22 153/12 153/15	page 27 [1] 154/24	Paragraph 219 [1]	58/11 62/14 64/19	61/24 63/11 69/10
155/25 156/2 156/5	page 3 [2] 131/9 163/13	88/25	80/10 80/20 85/2	69/12 69/15 70/10
164/19 165/1 167/2	page 33 [2] 14/12	paragraph 249 [2] 122/23 123/3	146/25 161/18 parties [1] 97/20	72/5 72/7 72/11 74/17 74/19 74/22 96/17
167/5 167/9	140/8	paragraph 252 [1]	parts [9] 4/14 4/17	103/2 120/25 132/5
outcome [6] 76/21	page 4 [2] 103/17	124/8	35/10 43/20 49/10	142/13 161/14
80/18 81/5 86/1 86/20 88/5	104/9	paragraph 28 [1]	59/12 61/9 68/12	people's [1] 70/9
outside [6] 34/2	page 5 [1] 105/3	117/13	70/11	per [7] 8/10 17/18
37/12 60/14 60/17	page 58 [1] 167/3 page 73 [1] 125/20	paragraph 3.29 [1]	party [2] 99/16	55/16 64/11 85/12
73/14 90/8	page 8 [2] 126/18	106/13	110/11 pass [1] 163/8	115/21 127/14 perfect [2] 166/16
outturn [1] 5/14	166/13	paragraph 35 [1] 44/19	passed [4] 63/24	166/20
over [26] 9/3 16/9	page 90 [1] 167/16	paragraph 38 [1]	77/22 101/2 155/20	perfectly [2] 23/25
26/1 26/16 28/6 42/18 46/1 46/2 59/9 62/16	page 91 [1] 167/16	129/7	past [6] 19/9 93/22	75/12
63/24 79/1 80/10 82/2	page 94 [1] 168/9	paragraph 47 [1]	97/7 116/24 118/15	performance [1] 38/9
82/3 107/4 120/5	page 96 [1] 168/16	48/2	133/20	performing [1]
122/25 123/12 132/6	pages [1] 126/20 Panter [2] 82/10	paragraph 51 [2] 64/20 65/3	pasted [1] 163/11 pasting [1] 30/17	131/22 perhaps [19] 41/2
153/1 156/12 160/20	83/25	paragraph 7 [2]	Patel [1] 85/8	41/10 53/3 53/23 86/9
167/21 170/10 171/3	papers [1] 81/12	93/16 131/12	Pathway [5] 5/10 6/1	106/22 120/4 123/3
over-reliance [1]	paragraph [56] 7/1	paragraph 8a [1]	7/4 7/9 40/11	123/11 126/20 128/8
overall [4] 41/1 48/15	14/12 14/13 18/25	38/5	Paula [2] 66/8 66/8	129/3 131/11 132/6
48/18 160/12	38/5 44/18 44/19 46/7 48/2 64/20 65/3 65/3	paragraphs [21]	pause [2] 1/8 131/11	144/5 145/21 153/6
overcome [1] 114/18	65/3 68/13 68/15	68/21 69/16 69/23 71/11 71/17 71/25	pay [7] 50/22 51/23 52/7 52/16 53/3 66/2	153/18 156/8 period [15] 14/23
overnight [2] 130/20	68/16 68/17 87/6 87/9	72/3 72/5 92/18	131/23	41/3 42/17 73/20
138/8	87/9 88/25 88/25	134/21 139/23 140/18		98/17 107/2 107/5
overview [2] 119/11 119/14	93/16 103/17 106/13	141/10 141/15 141/23		153/1 154/6 154/21
owe [1] 131/23	116/8 117/13 122/23	142/11 142/17 144/2	11/23 95/5 117/16	155/11 155/15 156/9
owed [1] 139/19	123/3 124/8 129/3 129/7 129/7 131/12		PEAK [22] 15/13 46/5	
own [5] 40/24 63/18	131/13 131/19 140/3	parameters [1] 41/24 paraphrase [1] 43/13		periods [4] 49/5 49/6 145/6 154/9
		parapinase [1] 40/13	71/21 70/3 40/21	170/0 104/3
				(61) others pariada

(61) others - periods

Р	142/11 142/17 144/8	94/9 96/3	pre-IMPACT [1] 67/3	problems [51] 7/23
-	144/8 145/23 150/20	possibility [6] 14/7	preamble [2] 92/21	7/24 8/8 9/13 11/2
persistent [6] 5/24 6/7 7/22 30/2 30/5	150/22 153/11 161/24			14/2 19/6 21/23 23/25
35/13	161/25 163/3 163/4	31/18	precedent [4] 85/7	24/2 25/10 26/22
person [9] 17/8 18/8	166/6 166/13 166/19	possible [8] 15/6	85/21 86/9 112/4	28/19 29/4 29/10
53/12 73/13 75/17	169/17 170/11	15/21 23/21 27/22	precisely [1] 102/21	29/24 30/2 35/7 35/25
97/12 102/21 119/16	pleased [3] 36/15	45/21 57/12 76/5	precluded [1] 85/18	36/4 36/6 55/17 58/12
162/25	37/8 37/23	86/22	premises [2] 41/14	60/8 61/3 63/12 63/15
personal [1] 145/14	plenty [3] 26/23 29/23 29/25	possibly [4] 30/24 45/14 87/2 106/8	49/23 preparation [5] 13/5	64/7 66/20 67/19 67/25 81/25 85/3 86/6
personally [4] 29/23	pm [4] 78/22 112/16	post [108] 5/11 19/12		86/9 95/9 95/13 95/16
101/18 102/1 164/15	112/18 171/20	28/11 32/10 34/14	122/11	98/12 98/13 98/24
physical [1] 78/3	POCL [1] 5/11	37/8 37/15 40/15 41/4		98/25 100/3 118/15
physically [2] 78/6	point [34] 7/25 12/2	41/11 41/23 49/9	prepared [5] 4/21	118/24 129/20 150/25
106/23 pick [4] 124/8 160/7	12/7 15/1 19/23 19/23	49/13 49/16 50/1 50/2		152/1 157/2 162/21
166/5 167/2	20/23 21/10 29/20	50/9 51/4 52/15 52/16	131/14	169/14
picked [5] 8/6 13/12	31/8 31/15 41/13	53/1 53/24 55/23	preparing [1] 128/2	procedure [8] 104/11
22/5 22/6 119/19	41/19 48/5 50/2 54/4	58/24 60/1 60/4 60/8	present [2] 84/15	104/18 104/21 108/9
picking [2] 161/2	59/22 74/15 80/12	60/10 60/11 60/13	134/22	109/7 109/11 111/25
161/6	86/13 90/10 105/11	60/24 61/20 62/8 62/20 62/22 63/15	presenting [2] 17/10 75/17	115/6
picture [4] 31/24	105/21 114/24 117/10 118/4 127/11 149/20	62/20 62/22 63/15 64/22	preserved [1] 148/22	procedures [1] 28/24
33/24 34/4 102/16	152/19 153/5 156/23	64/24 67/10 74/17	press [1] 153/1	40/18 41/6 42/5 42/9
piece [2] 33/9 58/11	158/21 159/4 160/4	75/25 76/11 77/3 81/6		73/5 74/2 74/6 74/16
pilot [1] 95/3	pointed [2] 77/15	87/19 88/10 89/1 89/6		76/16 77/24 79/15
pin [1] 158/18 Binder [4] 46/1	104/16	93/20 93/25 94/21	52/16	87/18 121/17 123/7
Pinder [4] 46/1 124/13 132/15 132/22	pointing [2] 102/11	94/22 96/24 97/22	presumably [4]	171/12
PinICL [5] 46/5 46/10	106/11	98/6 98/8 98/21 99/9	13/17 99/14 102/4	process [14] 13/13
46/16 47/21 48/3	points [9] 35/20	99/11 99/14 100/9	102/17	22/5 22/16 23/2 23/17
PinICLs [11] 4/25 8/5	35/22 66/13 89/2	100/14 100/18 100/24		23/20 27/15 30/13
8/18 9/12 9/21 10/9	90/23 105/22 107/13	101/3 101/13 101/17	135/6	47/21 72/10 147/3
10/12 10/24 29/15	132/6 170/13 POL [10] 15/20 15/21	101/22 101/25 102/4	previous [8] 84/2 85/16 93/11 98/3 98/9	149/4 149/5 152/9
47/10 48/21	38/10 38/11 39/12	102/13 102/13 102/19	102/8 104/24 107/22	processed [2] 12/19 13/8
piste [1] 25/1	65/4 65/11 65/15	113/16 114/7 114/14	previously [1] 120/9	processes [2] 23/22
place [19] 17/19 22/5	65/24 123/7	115/6 116/2 116/9	primarily [3] 46/21	24/2
26/18 40/16 41/4 65/20 108/15 109/14	POL's [1] 124/11	117/15 117/24 118/2	63/21 154/19	processing [1] 7/6
110/3 120/15 131/7	POL00029406 [1]	118/4 118/4 119/6	principal [1] 92/15	Procurator [1] 115/8
135/13 147/8 148/18	166/6	119/8 119/20 120/5	prior [3] 90/19 90/20	produce [5] 17/18
160/16 160/25 161/6	POL00029587 [1]	131/20 134/5 136/5	135/2	90/22 91/4 145/5
161/12 162/6	66/6	139/13 139/15 139/19		145/7
places [1] 49/17	POL00059602 [1]	151/19 164/9 164/11	pro [1] 59/10	produced [8] 88/7
plan [1] 7/5	92/1 POL00059927 [1]	165/4 165/6 165/9 165/13 165/15 170/4	probably [21] 3/6 18/24 19/6 41/8 41/17	88/10 136/16 142/21 145/14 148/14 153/25
planned [2] 19/20	103/11	postmaster [1] 50/1	42/25 48/23 49/14	161/8
55/14	POL00071138 [1]	postmaster/mistress	75/15 101/17 101/25	producing [5] 7/9
planning [1] 19/12	128/10	[1] 50/1	104/3 110/13 117/22	72/13 145/11 146/11
plea [1] 87/12 plead [1] 81/18	POL00089427 [2]	postmasters [7]	119/24 119/24 124/23	
pleaded [2] 89/15	82/6 82/7	21/22 38/11 38/12	132/25 148/16 165/21	
89/21	POL00113278 [1]	50/8 53/7 53/9 57/18	165/24	107/3 107/13
please [61] 4/19 4/20	87/5	postmasters/mistres	probity [1] 77/1	production [4] 70/1
4/23 5/1 6/23 7/1 8/2	POLFS [1] 19/4	ses [1] 50/8	problem [44] 6/13	97/25 141/4 146/23
8/4 8/13 9/18 14/12	policies [2] 44/22 45/21	potential [4] 24/25 63/23 90/21 112/9	7/22 11/9 11/11 11/17 11/19 11/21 11/24	professional [1] 131/24
18/4 38/3 38/5 66/5	POLSAP [2] 20/14	potentially [3] 23/5	12/3 12/7 14/5 14/6	Professor [20] 4/20
66/6 78/15 79/6 82/7	158/5	31/10 86/7	15/8 18/10 22/17 26/3	
83/7 84/10 87/3 87/4	Pope [1] 7/7	Potter [1] 87/12	26/4 26/14 29/16 30/5	
96/21 97/16 99/6	popular [1] 19/8	pouch [1] 158/9	30/7 35/23 37/9 38/12	
103/8 104/9 105/3 105/3 106/13 124/7	position [9] 14/22	power [1] 55/11	55/2 57/9 59/24 61/25	
126/5 126/13 126/14			62/22 62/24 63/8	157/16 159/7 159/17
129/7 131/8 131/10	115/5 125/13 143/11	17/20 104/11 104/18	63/10 68/7 84/15 96/9	
131/19 132/13 133/24	146/21	104/22 108/9 110/7	118/8 118/9 118/9	Professor Cipione [4]
133/25 133/25 134/18	positioned [1] 2/1	110/9 112/1	151/9 155/9 156/24 165/7 165/10 165/11	5/22 9/15 12/2 32/19 Professor Cipione's
	possibilities [3] 14/3	pre [1] 67/3		[2] 8/2 32/18
				[2] 0/2 02/10

(62) persistent - Professor Cipione's

Р	137/8 138/10 145/7	questions [47] 1/13	104/3 104/17 109/7	recommendations
Professor	151/6 151/23 159/8	2/22 4/2 12/11 31/21	109/10 109/15 109/24	[1] 116/12
McLachlan [2] 32/20	159/16 159/20 161/22	31/25 32/18 32/23	110/1 123/4 123/19	reconcile [6] 64/14
153/24	162/25 163/9 provider [1] 130/21	34/3 39/7 39/16 39/24 40/1 43/13 45/19 62/7		71/10 71/13 83/4 88/1 97/2
program [1] 23/1	providing [11] 16/23	64/4 64/5 68/10 69/1	141/18 141/20 145/3	reconciliation [7] 7/6
programme [6] 36/22	33/23 38/5 45/13 72/7	69/3 76/16 79/6 79/11	153/15 153/16	7/19 10/3 94/12 138/5
37/1 40/10 40/15 67/3 67/6	103/20 104/6 115/17	80/21 80/24 81/8	reading [4] 44/20	158/14 161/6
progress [2] 6/18	116/3 165/8 165/12	86/14 89/12 112/9	80/1 125/2 133/10	reconciliations [2]
6/24	provisional [1] 99/7	112/12 121/6 121/8 121/9 121/13 121/23	reads [3] 97/17 105/14 106/24	9/23 9/25
progressed [1] 103/3	proximate [1] 133/21 public [3] 116/22	125/8 125/10 125/15	real [2] 35/21 129/21	reconciling [1] 93/23 reconstruct [2]
progressing [1]	117/8 171/11	134/24 135/2 142/7	realise [7] 4/6 4/7	123/16 124/5
101/5 prone [1] 97/25	publicly [1] 85/5	159/25 168/16 169/12		record [2] 88/6
proof [3] 17/10 89/8	purely [1] 39/13	170/1 170/21	97/9	148/23
148/18	purporting [1] 34/4	quibble [1] 84/8 quick [3] 36/12 81/15	realised [2] 38/25 60/9	recorded [3] 40/16 41/5 124/19
proper [3] 13/3 13/18	purpose [6] 34/12 42/4 42/4 90/18 92/17	129/2	really [25] 11/10 16/4	
97/10	161/4	quicker [1] 84/21	20/8 22/16 31/12	17/19 20/21 146/11
proper-ish [1] 13/18 properly [11] 12/19	purposes [6] 43/21	quickly [1] 126/12	33/24 52/10 52/22	recover [1] 35/15
13/9 42/21 46/11 82/1	79/14 91/19 118/18	quite [24] 3/6 6/3	52/23 56/18 62/12	recovering [2] 29/4
97/2 139/18 142/2	118/21 155/5 put [15] 26/18 27/8	11/16 21/15 46/20 51/10 52/2 52/3 56/4	71/11 80/9 80/23 81/7 82/11 93/1 98/10 99/4	35/14 recovery [5] 29/10
143/17 148/20 171/2	46/24 66/18 67/24	60/3 60/20 67/12	105/4 123/25 133/16	29/16 29/18 29/24
proportion [2] 8/17 9/11	75/15 75/15 78/2 78/5	67/14 85/6 114/8	134/25 149/20 164/2	56/19
proposed [1] 130/6	80/11 87/4 127/10		reason [11] 1/25 13/6	
proposing [4] 15/15	130/18 135/23 148/6	126/20 138/13 145/20		95/13
19/25 20/1 129/16	putting [4] 20/23 33/10 47/9 165/8	164/4 169/1 170/8 quote [1] 92/9	21/8 23/4 76/23 85/4 96/8 119/19	recurrences [1] 10/6 refer [5] 30/14 116/8
prosecute [3] 54/10	puzzle [1] 60/15	quotes [4] 25/12	reasonable [1]	123/18 130/21 146/14
54/13 54/14		62/10 91/20 91/21	165/20	reference [11] 8/12
prosecuted [5] 9/11 9/17 51/15 71/9 115/3		R	reasonably [2] 97/20	15/10 54/24 66/5 68/8
prosecuting [2]	qualified [3] 95/23 95/25 143/23	Rachael [2] 82/10	97/21	84/1 86/8 125/19 149/23 164/2 168/21
38/11 40/23	quality [10] 26/13	84/12	reasons [4] 13/1 55/22 109/1 133/1	references [1] 59/4
prosecution [19] 43/4 43/8 43/16 43/21	27/7 27/17 28/20	raids [1] 41/14	reassures [1] 31/5	referrals [2] 62/19
53/1 70/19 80/6 80/6	46/18 47/12 47/19	raise [1] 27/16	reassuring [2] 34/19	62/19
80/12 80/14 90/4	47/21 47/23 48/19	raised [8] 9/22 28/19	34/21	referred [7] 15/6 68/5
90/15 97/18 97/19	queried [1] 83/3 queries [2] 126/21	32/10 97/9 141/14 141/18 156/12 167/23	rebut [2] 80/7 80/14	85/8 108/7 123/13 150/1 152/1
111/16 115/15 117/1	145/2	raising [1] 100/2	recall [18] 18/19	referring [10] 9/1
152/18 170/16 prosecutions [13]	query [1] 141/17	rare [4] 14/21 25/3	18/19 27/2 27/6 27/19	
17/9 41/25 42/20	question [44] 15/19	25/6 31/17	27/20 27/22 44/20	141/25 146/7 150/6
44/16 51/1 53/25	32/7 33/5 33/6 33/9 33/15 33/18 35/5 35/9	rather [32] 13/1 16/4 16/8 16/18 18/23 20/7	47/2 54/9 54/11 117/14 123/14 124/24	152/10 158/21
79/13 113/16 115/7	35/16 35/17 40/13	30/16 36/15 37/16	136/13 136/21 136/25	
119/10 120/6 136/5 161/21	43/15 44/25 46/4 47/1	40/6 46/22 53/7 56/11	137/11	reflect [1] 154/20
prosecutor [2] 108/2	47/2 47/3 47/8 50/18	58/4 61/20 64/25 65/8	receipt [3] 8/23 93/23	reflected [2] 127/20
139/19	68/19 72/25 74/1 77/1 78/13 79/20 100/16	65/23 75/1 79/20 85/18 93/3 101/3	97/1	154/3
protect [2] 3/15 38/1	100/22 114/6 114/9	106/6 117/22 146/9	receipts [4] 8/7 11/22 95/5 117/16	reflecting [2] 163/7 163/8
protecting [1] 39/8	114/12 119/3 120/14	148/10 148/18 149/9	received [5] 110/22	Reflective [1] 92/22
protocols [2] 44/14 44/22	135/25 139/14 141/14		125/16 153/20 154/11	refurbishment [4]
proved [2] 97/23 98/7		raw [4] 148/6 157/18	155/14	85/4 85/23 86/3 86/5
provide [10] 47/15	150/22 151/14 152/13 155/4 156/2	157/19 157/23 re [2] 7/10 89/21	recognise [5] 1/20 79/17 91/24 102/14	refused [3] 88/18 101/10 164/12
61/21 64/22 65/11	questioned [14] 1/15		142/12	regard [1] 53/12
94/24 97/8 116/12 117/15 119/8 159/5	39/25 79/3 112/24	89/21	recognised [1] 8/19	regarding [5] 47/14
provided [24] 20/25	121/15 167/17 168/5	re-input [1] 7/10	recognising [1] 60/1	66/22 85/3 89/7 126/5
44/14 45/3 45/23	168/10 169/6 172/4 172/6 172/8 172/10	reacted [1] 36/13 read [31] 12/12 22/22	recollect [3] 89/14	Regardless [1] 5/23
88/12 89/5 96/25	172/12	66/15 81/11 81/13	122/10 125/18 recollection [5]	regards [2] 48/2 58/23
113/8 113/15 115/14	questioning [2] 1/12	82/17 83/11 98/21	54/18 54/19 81/11	rejected [2] 3/19
116/23 123/5 136/4	165/21	103/14 103/23 104/2	110/25 127/19	165/6
L	1	I	(22) 5 (sor Mol achian rejected

(63) Professor McLachlan - rejected

R	88/7	requirements [3]	123/25 124/7 124/25	S
relate [3] 56/2 56/2	replicated [2] 15/17	109/8 109/12 109/17	125/12 125/21 125/23	safeguards [1] 26/18
143/4	20/2	resist [1] 80/5	126/10 126/22 126/24	safety [1] 17/9
related [10] 8/19 9/12	reply [10] 15/11	resolution [1] 11/3	128/8 128/10 128/22	said [53] 2/19 3/9 4/9
10/13 75/18 100/3	36/19 36/24 84/9 98/1 99/12 122/15 125/3	10/11 10/14 10/19	129/2 130/4 132/4 132/12 132/19 133/17	10/25 12/16 13/3
101/9 104/8 143/4	151/5 151/5	10/20	134/3 135/20 137/6	14/15 16/5 19/15
146/18 156/19	report [72] 4/21 5/14	resolving [1] 6/18	137/9 137/16 137/23	22/11 22/14 22/20
relates [1] 44/15	6/18 6/24 8/2 12/5	respect [1] 152/6	139/8 139/12 139/22	23/6 23/12 23/16
relating [3] 88/3 119/9 145/5	12/6 30/10 30/14	respectively [1]	140/1 140/11 142/7	25/13 25/15 29/9 29/16 30/8 31/20 37/7
relation [19] 15/8	30/22 31/5 32/25 33/4		142/16 144/1 144/8	37/18 40/12 49/3
32/10 43/15 59/11	33/13 33/23 34/12	respond [5] 34/13	145/24 146/13 147/12	52/13 62/18 69/6
65/4 75/2 75/25 82/14	34/19 80/16 81/3 83/2		147/17 147/25 148/18	71/20 72/18 82/23
88/8 91/12 93/18	83/15 83/25 84/5	154/2	148/21 149/6 149/11	85/5 85/15 87/7 91/2
100/3 127/5 143/11	88/13 89/25 90/5 90/10 90/22 91/2 91/5	responding [1] 34/2	149/13 149/20 150/15 150/18 150/21 152/23	94/2 96/1 101/13
145/4 146/6 146/25	91/6 91/13 91/14	94/3 101/2 136/15	153/10 154/10 154/23	101/15 104/5 107/18
156/10 158/24	99/16 102/18 102/23	138/4 150/12 152/10	155/10 155/15 155/17	114/22 125/18 127/7
relatively [4] 9/4 51/9	103/4 103/9 103/12	152/14	155/23 156/7 156/16	131/3 133/4 140/8
51/10 95/11	103/14 103/18 103/20		157/3 157/5 157/15	143/10 147/18 148/2
release [1] 162/20	103/21 104/6 104/13	139/21	157/24 158/9 158/14	154/23 159/2 170/5 sales [2] 93/23 97/1
relevant [6] 98/14 101/24 114/21 118/16	104/20 104/24 105/5	responsibility [2]	158/20 159/14 159/14	salutations [1] 83/20
118/18 118/20	106/9 107/24 108/5	61/20 139/15	159/17 159/23 160/3	same [18] 2/20 5/14
reliability [1] 89/8	108/8 109/22 110/1	responsible [6]	160/19 161/12 163/9	7/12 41/10 49/23
reliable [2] 148/13	110/2 110/15 111/5	45/12 45/16 61/1	164/11 164/24 165/2	56/24 72/15 80/1
148/16	130/22 131/13 136/15		165/7 165/16 165/17	93/24 104/15 111/3
reliance [4] 40/16	137/9 137/13 137/16 137/18 137/23 138/2	rest [2] 55/3 55/7 restored [1] 55/11	166/1 166/6 167/11 171/7 171/15	117/3 136/23 146/1
41/5 49/25 120/5	138/4 138/9 138/16	result [7] 9/22 21/12	Riposte [3] 55/22	148/24 149/2 156/19
reliant [1] 123/15	138/22 154/13 158/6	23/18 23/22 80/18	98/25 99/2	163/17
relied [4] 31/23 88/11	reported [2] 65/14	81/4 162/6	rise [2] 8/23 67/24	satellite [1] 56/10
115/24 119/8	93/24	results [5] 23/6 23/7	risk [2] 86/16 86/18	save [1] 82/24
relinked [1] 55/10 reluctant [1] 151/8	reporting [2] 28/10	23/8 97/25 99/7	RISP [1] 18/14	saw [15] 6/6 46/20 53/10 65/7 73/13 78/1
rely [2] 17/15 100/25	28/16	resume [1] 39/18	Roger [3] 6/19 6/19	84/2 104/23 106/1
relying [4] 27/9	reports [13] 38/17	retainer [2] 42/16	6/25	118/22 123/5 132/14
148/13 149/9 156/22	47/12 47/12 84/2	76/1	role [11] 7/25 26/4	133/3 133/4 166/13
remain [1] 7/10	88/20 88/22 115/24	reveal [1] 31/24	28/7 28/9 39/2 39/4	say [84] 4/8 10/16
remedy [1] 147/14	118/19 135/23 136/6 136/9 153/24 161/8	reversals [10] 106/14 106/19 106/24 106/25		11/4 11/7 11/14 14/8
remember [47] 1/16	represent [3] 113/2	107/4 107/7 107/17	Roll [1] 13/6	14/18 15/13 16/12
2/11 2/14 2/22 2/24	115/3 121/16	107/19 107/23 158/9	Roll's [2] 12/11 12/13	18/5 20/18 24/3 26/15
11/6 12/20 13/23 15/1	representation [2]	review [6] 8/5 9/19	rolled [1] 58/12	26/16 27/20 31/25
27/25 32/15 32/22 33/20 34/1 34/9 34/17	20/6 81/19	116/11 116/15 155/1	rollout [9] 5/24 6/7	37/13 43/10 44/2 44/19 46/10 47/11
37/1 37/3 37/6 37/12	representative [1]	155/19	7/22 9/2 9/5 9/7 98/12	48/1 48/4 51/25 53/20
41/7 41/16 41/18 43/6	121/10	reviews [1] 99/9	98/13 98/24	54/15 55/18 58/1
46/1 77/4 77/13 82/2	represented [1]	revisited [1] 132/21	room [1] 78/25	58/15 59/7 59/20
82/3 89/20 89/22 95/4	81/10	ridiculous [1] 25/17	root [5] 10/5 10/12	64/21 68/1 69/11
101/5 106/16 107/21	reproduced [1] 92/10	right [140] 6/16 7/20 7/22 8/9 12/8 21/6	11/20 11/24 12/3 Rose [3] 102/7	70/17 71/8 71/23 72/3
110/20 113/18 117/21	reputational [1] 86/16	25/19 28/17 29/12	102/18 102/23	72/11 73/16 74/5
122/17 128/7 135/1	request [2] 98/20	36/9 40/4 41/10 42/12		76/13 77/13 77/18
138/11 147/9 147/11	101/7	42/14 44/4 44/25	routine [1] 13/3	80/12 84/25 91/12
147/20 148/1 156/4	requested [3] 32/9		royal [2] 85/13 85/18	92/19 96/3 96/6 96/8 96/13 97/3 100/11
remembers [1] 15/19 remind [2] 35/5	145/4 153/14	52/6 54/20 55/4 58/14	ruined [1] 3/14	101/13 101/16 102/3
142/6	requests [9] 87/24	59/7 63/19 66/5 66/18		102/17 104/23 105/19
remote [8] 12/10	94/2 97/16 139/13	66/21 67/2 67/2 67/7	Rules [7] 104/11	106/8 107/10 107/20
14/22 14/24 15/4	162/15 163/14 164/16		104/18 104/21 108/9	109/20 124/5 125/5
15/22 15/25 16/1	165/6 165/14	68/16 69/12 70/4 70/25 74/20 75/21	109/8 109/11 111/25	128/25 129/16 129/25
35/11	require [2] 92/21 152/14	78/18 79/2 80/3 80/12	run [3] 49/22 64/15 94/22	133/13 133/13 134/10
repeat [4] 69/3 81/2	required [5] 7/18	80/23 91/4 104/9	running [2] 60/25	136/23 137/1 138/18
105/15 114/9	38/17 134/12 152/20	112/23 113/1 114/11	170/4	140/5 144/13 151/7
repeated [1] 87/22	171/10	115/1 115/13 121/11		152/12 152/15 159/4
repeatedly [2] 52/13	requirement [1] 5/4	121/21 121/23 122/21		169/18 169/19
L				(64) relate

(64) relate - say

S	117/16 117/18 117/19	Sefton [1] 83/18	18/17 18/18 18/23	78/3
	118/11 118/21 124/9	self [1] 158/6	19/12 19/13 19/15	signed [13] 69/13
saying [52] 2/8 2/22	131/7 145/2 146/9	send [1] 136/6	19/17 19/19 19/19	69/15 71/4 71/16
2/24 42/7 45/2 45/4 47/13 48/25 52/9	146/9 146/25 153/18	sending [1] 134/2	19/24 19/25 20/3	71/24 72/2 77/8 77/14
52/24 52/25 53/5	secret [1] 118/2	sense [1] 91/18	20/13 36/9 36/13	77/20 78/6 112/2
53/16 62/24 66/1	section [7] 12/13	sensible [1] 17/8	36/19 91/8 91/9 100/2	
67/17 68/18 69/9	66/12 79/7 79/8 92/8	sent [22] 39/9 50/13	109/12 109/15 134/18	
69/16 69/21 69/23	93/5 104/4	66/4 76/18 122/9	138/16 143/10 143/16	
70/1 70/14 71/7 71/16	sections [2] 27/4	122/14 122/18 125/4	143/19 143/21 146/20	
71/24 72/13 72/21	103/16	125/14 125/24 127/11		120/4
73/3 76/3 77/17 77/18	security [6] 45/14	127/20 128/11 137/23		signing [2] 69/10
77/23 77/23 78/2 78/5	45/17 45/19 45/23	138/4 139/2 139/6	she's [3] 109/10	72/22
80/7 80/13 91/8 91/10	45/25 46/2 see [82] 1/4 1/5 2/2	139/10 151/5 153/7 158/3 162/3	109/16 142/23	silent [5] 21/25 22/18 23/9 23/15 35/11
95/14 95/23 98/18	4/17 6/23 6/24 8/8	sentence [2] 85/16	shop [1] 73/14 short [4] 39/20 78/21	silently [4] 21/17
101/17 101/23 101/25	16/17 23/2 23/9 29/9	87/15	79/7 112/17	21/19 23/22 24/3
109/9 122/3 144/23	29/14 39/22 45/8	sentenced [1] 87/14	shortage [1] 89/10	similar [10] 43/4
145/10 147/15 166/21	49/11 49/13 65/7	separate [4] 56/18	shortfall [7] 50/22	44/15 47/23 57/23
says [27] 5/2 5/9 5/22	66/13 67/2 71/13	91/13 150/16 163/10	51/24 81/20 81/22	57/24 57/25 111/3
6/7 6/22 6/24 7/2 8/25	78/23 81/16 83/22	September [3] 107/1	81/25 105/13 105/17	125/9 148/8 160/4
9/19 12/5 25/12 31/9 36/20 66/7 73/13	84/11 86/15 91/16	113/6 132/16	shortfalls [6] 50/11	Simon [1] 76/14
76/14 90/1 103/18	92/1 92/5 92/7 92/13	sequence [1] 57/18	50/12 52/7 53/3 88/23	Simpkins [1] 13/4
109/4 109/12 109/15	93/6 93/6 97/4 99/5	sequences [1] 57/19	105/8	simple [5] 47/25
128/17 129/6 129/20	99/6 100/4 103/11	series [3] 32/23	shortly [2] 91/12	55/20 80/8 80/11
130/9 131/12 132/21			110/17	116/5
SB [1] 107/14	107/23 112/19 112/25		should [40] 4/6 22/6	simples [1] 17/7
SB/21 [1] 107/14	121/18 122/25 124/9	seriously [1] 52/10	22/15 38/6 44/6 45/9	simply [4] 48/21
SC [1] 18/10	126/4 127/9 128/15 129/6 129/25 130/6	served [15] 71/3 77/7 77/19 77/22 77/24		86/13 88/25 148/13
scan [2] 130/19	130/14 131/5 132/3	82/14 83/2 83/25 84/4		since [2] 42/12 66/17 Sinclair [3] 112/9
140/22	134/7 134/19 134/21	88/14 88/19 89/25	73/23 74/5 74/7 74/9	112/22 113/3
scanned [3] 124/18	134/25 135/5 140/9	90/2 92/17 103/3	75/3 75/4 75/6 75/9	Singh [2] 151/17
126/10 126/12	140/10 140/13 140/15		75/14 97/1 100/4	153/11
scenarios [1] 34/14	141/13 142/17 142/24		101/19 102/11 108/23	
schedules [1] 84/22	142/25 144/25 146/19	15/16 16/1 16/15	111/11 117/15 119/24	
scope [1] 95/7 scoping [1] 164/24	153/12 154/19 164/14	16/19 17/4 17/6 20/2	131/13 136/15 149/2	sir [22] 1/4 1/7 1/8
Scotland [4] 113/5	164/18 164/22 166/8	20/10 148/17 148/25	156/2 165/13 165/15	1/9 1/11 39/16 39/22
115/4 115/5 115/7	167/15 167/22 168/9		171/12	78/10 78/15 78/19
Scottish [5] 115/11	168/20 169/12 170/18		shoulders [1] 152/15	
115/14 115/18 115/20	seeing [2] 118/23	Service [1] 115/9	shouldn't [1] 39/14	
116/3	127/7	services [2] 79/12	show [4] 10/7 31/16	121/14 140/7 165/24
scratch [1] 145/17	seek [4] 74/11 80/5 80/6 158/11	120/6 set [30] 14/13 25/17	93/12 116/20	170/20 171/7 171/17 sit [1] 136/18
screen [1] 66/5	seeking [2] 63/17	43/20 43/20 82/13	showed [1] 98/5 showing [4] 33/24	sites [1] 168/24
screenshots [1]	93/25	84/23 85/6 85/21	108/24 127/9 164/10	sits [2] 1/19 37/4
37/10	seem [7] 9/21 52/2	88/20 91/18 98/10	shown [7] 25/5 38/6	sitting [1] 28/13
scripts [3] 54/22	52/24 60/4 158/16	99/3 104/12 104/19	77/16 79/18 86/3	situation [5] 48/17
54/25 55/1	164/16 168/23	122/15 123/3 124/9	102/20 124/12	74/15 74/19 74/23
scroll [18] 36/19 66/6 82/8 93/16 96/21	Seema [6] 1/19 16/24		shows [4] 20/19	75/15
126/13 128/14 131/10	20/25 30/9 36/7	140/25 141/3 141/13	107/4 132/4 135/18	skill [1] 131/24
133/25 133/25 134/18	113/10	141/21 145/25 153/12		skim [1] 132/6
140/15 145/1 150/21	seemed [3] 52/9	157/9 164/19 165/1	120/14 120/23	skimmed [1] 125/20
153/10 161/25 163/3	117/5 125/9	167/5	side [9] 6/15 8/1 40/6	
163/4	seems [7] 43/10	sets [6] 89/11 92/14	40/7 49/18 64/1 93/1	92/7
scrolling [8] 126/15	52/17 64/14 77/22 126/19 164/10 168/22	123/12 129/15 129/18 131/20		slowly [1] 130/19
126/16 131/19 134/1	seen [21] 36/14	setting [1] 90/2	sight [8] 99/18 99/21 116/9 117/5 117/16	small [7] 5/18 49/11 49/11 49/17 61/24
151/5 162/1 162/2	54/25 73/16 77/10	settle [1] 78/25	117/18 118/21 135/1	70/10 106/1
163/3	90/9 93/9 99/24	settlement [1] 18/12	Sight's [2] 117/19	smart [1] 25/23
search [2] 73/23	100/21 101/6 111/1	seven [2] 28/14	118/11	SMC [1] 160/10
156/25	111/24 111/25 126/9	160/14	sign [8] 59/3 69/17	snapshot [1] 105/15
second [19] 42/10	127/3 127/16 127/23	shall [1] 6/22	70/22 70/25 111/14	so [205]
57/15 78/12 99/18 99/21 116/9 117/5	129/5 139/1 144/5	she [35] 1/19 15/8	111/19 111/22 130/7	software [18] 58/11
	144/9 145/16	15/15 15/20 18/13	signature [2] 77/17	58/23 58/25 59/4
L	l	I	1	(GE) coving coffware

(65) saying - software

S	19/18 25/4 26/15 28/8	23/19 23/22 25/1 25/2	statements [44]	50/14 61/13
	28/14 30/23 33/8	25/3 46/25	16/24 20/25 32/4 43/1	subpostmistress [1]
software [14] 59/13	41/17 42/9 47/16	stabilised [1] 26/16	44/13 46/4 52/1 52/25	113/4
60/14 60/16 60/17			58/24 68/12 69/11	substantive [6] 14/22
63/23 93/21 96/24	59/15 60/12 71/12	stack [1] 11/13	69/13 69/15 70/6	14/24 15/4 15/22
97/22 98/6 98/20	80/1 80/9 82/16 85/25		70/10 70/13 70/20	15/25 16/1
98/22 99/11 100/9	106/4 113/19 117/3	41/8 41/10 41/16	70/25 71/3 72/7 72/13	
100/19	125/3 126/21 127/12	87/18 95/2 95/18	77/2 77/7 77/10 77/16	
software/hardware	132/17 135/6 136/23	96/12 108/14 158/2	77/24 78/1 78/5 79/15	
[2] 93/21 97/22	137/20 139/4 139/8	stages [1] 110/23	79/16 82/14 88/13	such [23] 25/7 44/8
solicitor [2] 87/19	148/8 152/20 153/21	stand [5] 3/21 46/14	89/6 113/7 113/10	44/11 44/23 45/13
128/11	156/14 158/16 159/22		113/12 113/15 115/23	
solicitors [4] 59/8	160/25 161/5 161/14	standard [10] 27/13	118/17 136/17 137/2	66/20 67/18 69/17
59/10 81/10 124/12	164/23 167/8	48/10 68/21 92/20	139/10 145/12 171/1	77/4 79/15 79/23 88/4
some [62] 4/16 4/25	sorted [2] 26/24	141/10 142/18 142/20		98/2 98/3 101/3 112/3
7/18 11/18 12/11	58/13	146/5 146/19 148/10	132/25	113/10 115/24 118/5
13/25 18/13 24/9	sorts [7] 136/2 136/9		status [2] 72/25 73/5	134/13
26/20 27/21 38/5	136/12 139/18 155/6	48/12 48/14 131/24	Stein [7] 39/24 39/25	sufficient [1] 13/24
38/10 38/14 41/7	160/21 164/5	standing [1] 36/9	54/7 54/21 78/9 140/2	sufficiently [1] 56/23
41/17 41/19 45/14	sought [4] 88/3 88/7	stands [2] 19/2	172/6	suggest [6] 20/3
53/2 55/24 56/8 57/1	133/18 135/8	160/11	step [1] 40/23	20/16 58/5 94/20 97/6
57/19 58/9 58/12	sound [3] 34/6	start [15] 4/19 5/1	stepping [1] 34/2	112/11
59/10 60/11 62/9	116/12 116/19	12/17 22/16 78/17	sticks [1] 59/13	suggested [8] 61/22
62/11 62/12 68/3	source [1] 17/15	79/6 79/11 82/8 90/20		75/13 89/6 119/18
70/24 71/2 74/11 75/4	space [1] 84/22	107/1 138/12 140/1	42/13 42/16 67/8 76/5	
77/16 77/19 77/21	speak [13] 45/15	155/4 164/24 167/16	stitched [1] 4/17	163/16
77/24 85/4 91/7 94/4	46/18 74/4 74/18	started [4] 75/22	stitching [1] 4/15	suggesting [2]
94/6 97/6 119/17	120/3 121/3 141/25	76/10 89/17 125/12	stock [2] 93/23 97/2	117/15 120/16
120/16 125/8 135/23	147/2 147/4 152/4	starts [2] 37/3 65/4	stolen [1] 94/15	suggestion [6] 55/24
137/20 140/17 142/13	152/8 152/18 171/17	state [5] 46/8 62/11	stop [2] 134/1 164/22	58/14 74/13 85/22
142/23 145/12 153/5 156/11 156/23 157/7	speaking [2] 66/9	116/10 117/14 161/17	store [11] 12/21	117/19 128/4
157/20 158/2 165/22	143/19	stated [2] 62/6	49/19 147/25 148/5	suitable [1] 56/12
167/2 168/14 168/19	special [1] 74/13	119/17	148/5 148/6 148/9	summaries [1] 79/15
somebody [3] 72/20	specific [11] 13/20	statement [95] 14/11	157/18 157/19 157/23	summarise [1] 46/8
74/4 111/8	26/19 36/4 58/21 94/8		158/8	summarised [1]
somehow [1] 20/16	95/16 100/12 113/10	20/4 30/9 30/17 32/24	straight [1] 1/24	106/25
someone [7] 25/23	118/17 150/11 157/1	33/23 34/15 34/19	strategy [3] 86/12	summary [6] 22/11
42/7 46/9 51/14	specifically [6] 26/23	43/3 44/16 44/17	112/3 112/5	30/22 64/5 81/15
120/19 132/8 148/15	33/14 86/8 119/8	44/18 46/6 48/1 62/10		83/16 108/25
something [33]	135/3 156/4	64/20 65/6 68/11	stressed [1] 19/19	supplementing [3]
11/14 21/3 26/8 29/12	specified [1] 145/6	68/14 68/15 68/17	stresses [1] 41/14	104/11 104/19 104/22
33/7 40/9 40/12 42/8	specify [1] 94/4	68/22 70/14 70/22	structure [1] 25/19	supplied [1] 47/6
43/4 43/8 44/15 46/21	spend [1] 83/19	71/6 71/8 72/6 83/12	structures [1] 25/21	support [26] 22/9
46/22 48/21 52/10	spent [6] 38/10 38/14	84/3 84/7 87/22 88/14		40/17 41/6 42/4 43/2
52/17 58/6 58/16	38/18 104/24 107/21	88/19 89/15 90/2	65/12	43/4 43/8 43/16 43/16
62/15 62/21 63/1	138/13	91/17 91/19 91/21	stuck [1] 11/7	43/22 43/24 46/21
63/23 69/22 71/8	split [1] 107/12	92/8 92/10 92/14	stuff [4] 65/21 81/13	61/11 62/8 63/6 63/14
92/25 97/12 102/10	SPM's [1] 12/15	92/19 103/10 103/13	84/16 93/4	64/9 64/23 65/12
106/22 109/18 147/2	sponsors [1] 6/1	103/24 104/5 107/2	subcategorised [1]	88/23 110/23 117/1
154/20 157/14 166/25	spreadsheet [1]	108/23 109/2 109/15	107/3	119/20 136/5 152/18
sometimes [4] 11/1	158/3	110/11 116/8 117/14	subject [6] 12/12	164/15
13/15 13/17 49/17	spreadsheets [12]	122/22 122/23 123/21		supporting [8] 2/15
sorry [32] 3/4 3/5 8/3	141/24 142/1 144/16	128/23 128/24 129/4	136/11 165/18	38/10 41/25 42/20
32/19 32/20 34/1 37/6	145/7 145/11 145/13	129/6 130/10 134/24	subpostmaster [5]	53/1 53/24 90/5 97/21
38/7 39/11 42/1 45/1	145/14 147/6 147/9	135/1 135/3 139/2	22/19 22/23 23/2	supports [2] 94/19
50/19 54/21 75/8	148/7 148/9 148/14	139/7 139/24 140/2 140/3 140/18 141/3	66/19 168/7	97/20
113/1 114/8 130/5	Square [11] 32/11	140/3 140/18 141/3	subpostmasters [19] 40/18 41/13 42/5 49/1	suppose [7] 48/3
131/9 140/6 140/7	33/1 33/3 155/24 158/21 159/18 159/19	141/24 142/10 142/14 142/14 142/18 142/20 144/3		50/1 61/6 61/6 80/15 86/18 86/23
142/16 144/8 145/22	167/18 167/25 168/12		50/14 50/20 51/23	
159/15 159/19 161/25	167/18 167/25 168/12	146/5 146/22 147/7 147/19 147/22 148/2	52/15 54/1 54/23 61/13 62/9 62/11	supposed [2] 63/25 141/10
162/1 163/4 163/5	SSC [14] 10/5 12/14	149/13 149/18 149/22		
167/16 169/2 170/9	12/22 16/12 16/16	150/24 151/9 158/21	65/12 65/14 120/7	supposedly [1] 32/7 sure [47] 3/12 6/3
sort [46] 11/6 11/9	17/13 17/23 21/5	159/1 159/3		7/17 22/13 24/15 26/4
			resses [4] 42/5 49/1	,,,,, <i>,,,,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

(66) software... - sure

	04/42 04/40 04/47	4	CE100 7514 70100	
S	94/13 94/16 94/17	task [4] 34/2 41/24	65/23 75/1 79/20	49/1 49/2 50/8 54/1
sure [41] 34/21	94/18 94/25 95/10	42/20 153/22	84/21 93/3 98/2 101/3	
38/25 41/9 41/23 42/3	95/12 96/5 96/9 96/24		102/16 106/7 107/14	62/23 63/18 134/23
42/11 42/19 44/23	97/22 98/7 98/22	Tatford [1] 151/21	113/18 117/22 121/9	141/3 171/12
45/16 46/9 49/13 50/3	99/11 100/9 100/19	team [9] 40/3 45/14	146/9 148/10 148/13	them [58] 2/6 4/5
50/16 51/1 51/10	108/20 115/4 115/11	45/17 45/19 45/25	148/19 149/9 150/13	4/16 8/10 11/4 11/7
52/20 56/4 56/22 60/3	116/19 117/12 143/19	74/4 90/16 111/17	156/21 157/22	11/14 13/2 16/20
60/20 67/14 79/24	143/22 146/15 146/18	119/12	thank [50] 1/11 1/14	19/17 21/13 22/3 22/3
80/8 83/1 85/13 90/25	147/16 148/7 149/23	teams [2] 10/7	39/16 39/17 39/23	44/20 44/21 45/4
97/4 101/15 109/25	150/2 150/5 150/6	117/25	68/16 78/8 78/11	47/14 49/18 50/2
	150/13 151/1 154/6	tears [1] 37/5	78/15 78/19 78/24	56/20 59/3 59/5 63/13
110/1 114/8 114/15	154/21 158/5 158/22	technical [14] 18/13	79/4 79/4 79/11 80/3	63/13 65/15 65/16
115/21 127/14 130/1	159/3 159/5 160/12	18/23 53/10 88/21	82/9 83/10 92/8 93/17	65/24 65/25 77/17
134/14 144/14 145/10	160/17 160/20 162/9	92/25 93/3 103/25	108/10 108/11 112/6	77/19 77/20 77/22
146/8 156/5 169/1	162/15 163/13 163/15		112/7 112/15 112/20	78/3 78/7 79/25 80/1
surprise [1] 159/7	163/17 163/25 164/3	125/8 125/10 126/21	112/21 115/2 115/13	84/15 94/5 97/6
surprised [2] 159/9	164/6 166/16 166/20	165/11	116/6 117/13 119/3	101/19 102/2 107/24
159/21	168/3	teething [1] 58/12	121/5 121/7 122/25	118/2 118/18 137/16
Susan [1] 113/3	system's [1] 154/4	telecommunications	126/15 128/22 130/14	145/3 145/17 153/9
susceptible [1] 97/24	systems [16] 44/9	[1] 60/18	150/15 153/13 162/1	154/1 154/2 155/20
suspect [3] 18/15	47/20 47/23 53/13	telephone [1] 56/7	162/2 163/4 163/6	159/10 159/11 159/11
102/6 171/9	55/12 56/6 56/11	tell [18] 2/12 4/4 16/4	165/16 170/19 170/20	160/6 160/23 163/18
suspected [1] 40/19	56/13 60/24 61/2	17/23 17/25 19/12	170/22 170/25 171/3	164/1
suspended [5] 87/16	160/7 160/16 161/2	27/1 30/15 39/4 99/21	170/22 170/25 171/3	
105/7 105/9 105/14		100/1 102/23 102/25		themselves [3] 61/5 63/18 165/14
105/17	161/6 161/12 164/2	100/1 102/23 102/25	thanks [3] 60/5 84/13 84/14	then [88] 3/13 8/10
suspense [13] 66/19	Т	149/17 162/24	that [1338]	11/19 11/24 12/18
66/22 66/25 67/3 67/4	tailored [1] 39/5		that's [98] 2/20 4/9	14/6 14/17 15/10
67/7 67/8 67/18 67/23	take [26] 4/24 6/16	telling [2] 60/5 132/22	4/9 5/5 5/7 8/18 14/7	23/16 26/24 28/17
67/24 68/4 68/6	7/20 8/3 11/25 12/15	tells [1] 12/2	18/6 19/14 21/10 23/4	29/14 34/15 34/15
117/17	25/12 26/1 29/14	ten [1] 165/25	29/11 31/8 32/6 33/25	37/14 41/2 42/12 46/9
swap [1] 29/19	29/20 36/12 43/18	tended [1] 156/21	34/7 40/4 40/9 42/9	46/23 48/15 49/9
swapped [1] 29/3	63/1 68/13 78/12	tends [1] 155/8	42/25 44/4 45/2 48/9	51/15 55/21 60/22
swaps [1] 29/24	103/16 108/15 109/13	Tons [1] 28/1	48/23 50/5 53/10	60/24 60/24 63/11
synchronisation [1]	112/12 120/14 121/8	Terence [1] 43/12	54/18 54/19 55/14	63/12 63/24 64/2 66/2
30/3	128/8 128/9 149/12	term [2] 43/6 66/25	55/16 56/9 62/21 63/8	66/14 67/6 70/6 75/22
system [150] 5/5	149/18 149/22	terminals [3] 35/23	68/1 68/14 68/23 69/9	78/13 79/7 79/8 81/15
5/25 6/5 6/9 6/11 7/19	taken [16] 20/22	36/1 61/4	69/22 70/19 71/6	83/18 85/8 89/21
8/20 8/22 10/13 10/18	22/12 25/18 25/22	terminology [1]	71/22 73/8 75/22	93/16 96/16 97/16
13/14 20/12 21/18	42/7 52/20 54/11	18/24	79/18 82/6 85/14	99/6 100/6 104/9
21/20 24/2 24/10	54/13 54/15 92/16	terms [34] 7/18 11/22		105/19 107/10 107/14
24/22 33/7 34/5 34/6	130/14 130/15 132/12	17/3 20/13 26/6 48/3	97/17 98/10 101/8	108/5 108/13 109/6
35/8 35/12 37/17	135/13 141/8 169/4	48/15 57/17 60/21	102/3 105/1 105/11	112/12 112/13 117/22
38/13 40/3 40/4 41/1	taking [6] 2/11 15/19	75/4 79/25 80/11 84/8		119/24 119/24 125/7
41/5 41/12 41/24 42/3	40/23 98/23 110/3	89/14 92/15 101/4	111/18 112/6 112/13	125/23 128/17 130/5
42/23 43/3 46/5 46/10	135/2	101/6 102/8 102/12	114/24 115/1 115/12	130/25 133/4 133/16
46/17 47/9 47/9 47/20	talk [2] 143/23	107/19 120/2 121/3	121/5 121/11 122/24	139/22 140/1 142/9
48/2 48/3 48/4 48/7	146/21	122/3 143/3 146/13	121/5 121/11 122/24	142/13 144/1 144/19
48/11 48/15 48/19	talked [2] 106/2	122/3 143/3 140/13	125/25 126/9 126/13	142/13 144/1 144/19
51/12 51/21 54/23	117/4		125/25 126/9 126/13	
55/2 55/5 55/16 55/19	talking [18] 7/12 9/5	159/14 160/5 160/19		147/23 149/12 149/16
55/25 56/1 57/8 57/14	9/6 11/21 19/9 20/13	161/1 165/10 165/12	129/13 130/4 131/2	150/10 153/18 154/5
57/15 58/18 59/17	21/10 42/17 68/25	terrible [4] 25/14	137/10 137/16 140/3	155/21 160/16 163/18
60/2 60/15 60/23	69/12 73/20 95/14	25/14 35/12 35/13	143/2 143/6 147/18	164/8 165/17 166/2
61/11 61/14 61/15	117/18 122/17 133/14	test [3] 3/11 38/23	148/2 150/16 151/7	170/10
62/25 63/12 63/16	147/1 147/6 152/5		164/2 164/10 166/1	theoretical [4] 14/9
63/25 64/15 64/16	talks [2] 147/22	testing [3] 163/16	166/6 166/25 167/23	14/16 24/5 129/21
64/24 67/9 67/16	154/1	164/1 164/5	169/4 169/6 170/6	theoretically [1] 76/5
67/25 69/7 69/19	tamper [3] 17/1	text [1] 163/11	171/7	theories [1] 153/25
71/18 71/19 72/3 72/8	17/10 20/20	than [40] 5/16 13/2	theft [6] 38/12 38/21	theory [1] 67/22
72/13 75/6 75/11 82/2		16/18 20/7 23/7 23/16		there [184]
82/4 83/5 87/17 87/24	tampering [2] 16/21 35/11	30/1 30/16 37/16 40/7	169/22	there'd [1] 157/2
88/2 88/4 88/15 93/13	target [1] 5/16	46/22 53/7 53/13 56/11 57/20 58/4	their [22] 3/14 5/13	there's [15] 21/7 24/20 25/24 49/25
93/21 94/6 94/11	targeted [1] 87/24	61/20 64/25 65/8	13/1 27/12 27/15 28/7 28/7 40/24 41/14 42/6	
		01/20 04/20 00/0	20/1 40/24 41/14 42/0	JUIZZ JJIZ4 JO/14
				(67) sura _ there's

(67) sure... - there's

		450/4 450/5 450/44		1
<u>T</u>	they'd [4] 10/24		three days [1] 120/24	
there's [8] 67/6	10/25 18/21 42/7	155/14 155/19 156/14		timing [4] 57/5 57/16
73/21 81/16 103/18	they're [5] 4/15 22/1		through [37] 4/16 6/6	
143/7 157/5 161/17	24/8 49/22 73/14	157/22 158/2 159/12	7/22 13/13 22/13	timing-type [1] 57/21
163/10	they've [2] 73/16	159/20 162/24 164/11		TIP [1] 7/6
thereabouts [3]	73/16	164/14 164/24 165/21	56/6 56/13 63/5 63/15	title [2] 28/7 36/16
113/21 113/23 113/25	thief [1] 96/5	166/22 167/15 168/14		together [5] 4/16
thereafter [1] 113/13	thing [6] 64/8 116/10	169/11 169/25 171/7	74/25 87/9 107/19	48/16 104/8 108/25
therefore [13] 15/13	122/7 139/8 139/11	thinking [5] 20/9	107/22 111/15 125/20	165/8
49/25 63/13 101/18	156/14	53/18 101/21 114/11	126/13 126/15 126/16	told [20] 3/17 10/19
102/2 115/8 116/21	things [52] 6/15 8/1	148/16	128/1 129/18 130/19	14/24 27/3 30/21
118/3 120/1 136/11	12/7 12/18 13/8 16/16	third [7] 30/9 44/17	130/25 138/11 138/15	34/11 37/19 37/21
	16/18 17/7 17/22	44/18 68/14 97/20	140/15 140/22 141/18	47/4 50/10 50/21 52/7
143/22 156/25 158/17	20/13 25/7 26/24	122/23 140/3	148/20 155/19 167/1	53/2 61/25 76/15
therein [1] 103/10	29/13 39/14 40/6 40/7	thirdhand [1] 42/10	170/14	81/21 81/22 83/22
these [56] 8/17 9/21		this [294]	throughout [1]	163/22 163/24
10/5 10/8 10/9 10/12	48/14 53/13 53/18	Thomas [6] 36/18	118/14	tomorrow [1] 126/6
10/19 10/24 11/2 11/4	54/4 57/5 57/7 57/10	43/25 113/11 142/12	throw [1] 135/15	Tony [2] 15/19 19/10
19/19 26/22 27/4	57/20 57/21 57/21	163/7 163/12	throwing [2] 34/24	too [1] 84/13
33/15 36/6 45/3 45/8	57/23 58/7 62/13 64/2		35/2	took [9] 17/19 20/5
47/13 51/25 53/21	65/7 65/7 69/25 70/7	141/7 141/14 141/22	ticket [3] 2/16 11/3	20/5 26/16 65/20 90/3
59/9 60/12 61/10	74/25 80/9 93/1	141/7 141/14 141/22	12/4	110/14 130/25 162/6
69/10 69/13 69/15	122/15 134/13 136/16		tickets [2] 10/7 11/12	
69/16 69/23 71/11	137/10 150/6 157/5			tools [2] 148/9
71/24 72/5 77/12	157/7 160/25 161/2	those [56] 6/8 8/6 9/10 11/15 13/12	tickety [1] 72/4	148/10
91/19 105/20 107/23			tickety-boo [1] 72/4	
113/25 116/21 117/7	161/7 161/10	25/20 35/22 39/16	tidy [1] 16/11	top [6] 6/23 66/7
117/23 118/5 118/20	think [148] 6/10 9/1	45/21 46/18 50/12	tied [1] 84/17	70/14 71/7 138/21
120/21 121/17 121/23	9/7 11/16 12/7 12/8	52/3 56/7 57/6 58/25	time [109] 3/16 3/19	170/11
123/15 127/9 127/11	12/12 16/10 18/1 19/3	59/4 61/1 69/3 70/9	5/20 6/15 9/16 9/17	topic [4] 54/6 116/1
136/2 136/24 141/15	22/7 37/17 38/2 39/24	70/10 70/20 72/7	10/17 14/8 15/23 18/1	116/7 168/20
141/23 160/13 160/24	41/20 42/15 43/3	73/18 77/10 82/15	22/12 22/19 22/23	topics [1] 79/5
161/12 165/14 168/23	44/16 45/17 46/6 46/7	82/16 83/14 86/24	24/10 26/15 26/21	tortured [1] 18/3
they [100] 2/1 2/2 2/9	48/23 50/3 50/25	91/21 103/22 108/24	26/24 27/11 27/23	total [3] 107/12
9/3 9/4 10/16 10/17	51/25 52/1 52/4 52/14		28/6 34/10 37/22	113/17 171/1
12/2 12/4 12/23 12/24	52/23 56/8 56/19 58/2		38/10 38/14 39/1 40/2	
13/1 13/13 13/15	58/4 58/5 58/17 59/19		40/7 40/14 40/25 41/3	
14/16 15/3 16/17	60/8 60/20 61/19	140/17 142/17 144/2	41/7 41/17 42/17	touched [1] 62/6
18/21 19/3 19/3 19/13	61/23 62/8 64/8 64/10	144/11 145/11 150/12	43/24 44/6 44/20	towards [1] 126/10
19/24 21/13 22/2 24/7	65/2 66/23 68/1 68/5	151/24 155/14 157/25	45/10 46/1 46/3 48/17	
24/8 24/11 25/20	71/15 71/22 72/2	158/1 158/7 159/5	52/3 52/11 52/22 55/1	track [1] 106/4
27/14 27/16 28/20	73/15 74/22 75/11	159/8 160/20 164/5	55/9 55/21 56/24	tracked [1] 21/24
30/4 30/6 32/13 32/16	75/12 79/18 80/20	164/9	57/24 57/25 58/4	trading [1] 107/1
32/16 35/20 35/20	85/22 89/13 91/3 91/5	though [10] 7/10	62/15 63/4 63/7 65/8	trail [2] 42/8 94/7
35/23 35/24 40/21	92/24 94/9 95/6 96/2	15/18 34/11 36/9	65/9 65/23 71/14	trails [1] 22/4
40/23 47/11 47/16	96/8 100/5 101/1	45/15 56/8 94/25 96/1	73/14 73/19 73/20	training [10] 43/14
	101/1 101/2 101/24	104/1 164/1	74/14 76/10 76/19	43/14 43/19 43/21
50/10 50/11 51/12	102/3 104/8 106/16	thought [35] 15/14	77/3 78/13 80/10	44/1 44/3 44/5 44/8
51/15 51/16 56/23	109/2 109/3 109/3	15/24 16/2 16/3 16/14		45/20 75/4
59/3 60/4 60/5 60/5	109/4 112/21 114/22	21/4 30/22 31/20	91/1 95/19 96/9 98/14	
63/16 65/12 66/2	114/24 117/2 117/3	33/12 33/13 33/18	99/17 100/2 100/5	13/22 14/5 107/14
66/17 69/17 72/9	117/6 117/21 120/19	33/21 48/24 71/14	103/15 104/8 104/23	107/20 150/1 150/9
72/12 72/12 73/8	123/3 124/15 125/25	75/7 75/9 79/24 81/24		150/11 153/14 154/6
73/15 75/3 75/4 75/5	126/17 126/20 127/2	82/4 84/7 103/1	117/4 117/19 117/23	154/9 157/10 157/24
75/6 76/8 76/18 77/18	127/7 128/1 129/10	109/22 111/15 113/18		158/4 158/7
81/21 93/14 101/16	130/20 131/5 133/13	117/11 118/7 118/7	127/3 127/10 128/25	transactions [25]
101/19 101/23 102/6	135/9 135/17 136/1	138/23 139/11 148/19		7/10 10/2 11/8 14/3
102/20 103/1 111/18	137/6 137/18 138/3	154/3 154/25 156/25	138/14 146/1 147/21	16/13 16/14 17/14
116/12 117/5 118/7				
135/25 136/14 136/18	139/7 139/12 139/17	159/10 170/15	148/20 149/6 153/9	17/19 17/24 18/14
136/20 136/22 137/1	140/21 140/25 141/22		156/20 159/21 161/18	21/9 21/12 23/18
137/3 140/25 147/7		thousands [3] 24/13	165/10 165/15 167/1	23/19 23/23 25/4 29/4
147/10 147/11 152/4	142/23 143/6 143/7	24/17 28/1		35/14 35/15 38/15
152/8 154/3 160/11	143/18 145/8 146/1	thread [1] 124/8	times [6] 62/6 72/4	58/21 145/6 151/9
160/13 164/16	146/17 147/12 147/24		94/25 114/1 114/4	157/12 161/7
-	149/11 149/17 152/23	96/2 120/24 145/7	150/23	transcript [6] 101/11
L	1	1	1	(69) there's transprint

(68) there's... - transcript

Т	56/5 56/16 56/18	148/4 149/14 152/5	160/7 161/2 161/6	124/1 126/12 138/19
	56/22 58/3 58/9 68/20	153/21 154/7 154/13	161/25 161/25 163/3	163/18 170/2 171/3
transcript [5]	69/23 71/11 94/20	159/14 162/20 164/8	163/3 165/3 166/5	via [1] 77/25
125/19 166/5 166/8	95/6 95/21 96/3 104/8		update [3] 5/12 84/14	
166/25 170/15	108/17 120/24 123/11	4/4 14/20 17/5 18/22	171/14	41/13 45/12 50/2 54/4
transfer [1] 55/17	128/18 132/5 139/4	24/22 25/3 25/6 26/20	updates [4] 25/24	65/18 74/16 76/20
trapped [1] 39/13	142/11 142/17 145/22	27/22 45/22 51/14	58/23 58/25 59/4	views [2] 91/12 163/7
travel [1] 40/2	155/14 158/3 171/1	57/8 71/15 77/19	upon [9] 40/16 42/21	villages [1] 49/12
treat [1] 50/2	two paragraphs [1]	77/21 86/19 94/13	49/25 88/11 91/21	virtually [1] 123/6
treating [2] 111/18	71/11	96/17 97/6 97/8 104/4		visible [1] 19/20
138/19	tying [1] 78/4	108/20 113/14 115/6	123/15	visit [2] 49/13 149/18
trial [34] 3/11 4/3	type [8] 42/10 47/23	137/10 140/16 143/14	URN [1] 87/5	visited [1] 49/14
21/1 30/10 32/4 32/12 36/15 38/18 38/23	57/5 57/21 61/16	161/4	us [24] 1/4 1/9 3/17	visits [1] 41/12
76/19 76/22 83/6	79/17 136/6 158/14	understood [17]	10/19 12/2 14/14 16/4	
89/17 89/18 90/9	typed [2] 57/19	14/10 15/14 29/13	18/24 34/11 39/22	<u> </u>
90/14 90/16 90/20	145/17	35/16 35/17 37/22	40/9 41/22 50/7 50/23	W
90/20 92/7 98/17	types [1] 79/14	52/22 93/2 94/10		
114/2 114/12 114/19	U	116/14 133/12 135/16		waiting [1] 11/12
114/25 134/23 137/7		143/3 143/21 160/17	145/21 146/3 146/4	want [15] 21/8 40/8
137/12 138/2 138/20	UK [1] 56/6	164/13 165/19	use [7] 15/21 46/22	40/12 50/7 69/3 69/5
159/9 159/21 160/1	ultimately [3] 5/17	undertake [1] 149/3	49/16 65/13 113/9	91/16 115/4 121/12
166/3	12/22 35/2	undertaken [2] 147/3	113/11 146/13	122/21 141/6 143/14
tribunal [1] 14/14	Um [1] 18/19	159/2	used [19] 12/14	155/4 161/21 166/2
tried [3] 14/5 72/18	unable [4] 15/3 94/23		14/21 19/18 25/10	wanted [18] 16/9
89/16	105/21 106/4	unhelpful [1] 112/4	41/15 42/9 43/7 46/11	16/11 16/12 76/8
trigger [7] 136/1	unambiguous [1]	unintended [5] 14/4	56/10 56/19 60/24	85/25 116/18 122/7
136/6 136/9 136/14	19/24	21/10 21/11 21/25	66/25 72/6 79/23	129/15 133/20 136/4
136/18 136/22 137/1	uncaring [2] 53/12	24/25	79/25 96/24 119/16	140/1 142/6 143/1
true [7] 3/18 35/19	53/16	unknown [3] 24/8	146/20 148/11	147/13 149/21 157/6 164/21 165/9
70/15 71/9 71/16	unclouded [1] 121/25	24/9 24/20	useful [2] 30/22 65/2	
130/11 144/14	uncountable [1] 24/1	unknowns [2] 22/1 24/6	user [3] 12/17 13/12 107/3	wants [1] 125/18 warranted [1] 71/17
truth [15] 2/7 2/12				warranty [1] 69/19
2/13 2/13 3/22 4/9	107/6 107/8 107/15	unless [3] 12/16 49/2 134/23	usernames [1] 107/8	was [588]
16/20 17/16 17/25	131/20 155/11	unlikely [1] 56/24	users [1] 46/12	was [300] wasn't [64] 2/4 2/7
33/22 37/22 39/4		unpredictable [1]	using [7] 12/15 14/16	
53/23 76/15 76/15	148/24	57/22	40/22 41/11 56/7	6/14 7/24 9/16 9/16
truthful [2] 16/6 35/9	undermined [1]	unreliable [2] 97/23		9/24 17/25 21/22 25/2
truthfully [1] 31/21	106/22	98/7	usual [2] 83/19 171/6	31/15 33/4 33/25
try [21] 1/7 19/9	underneath [1]	unstable [1] 97/24	utilised [1] 79/12	34/20 35/18 36/2 37/8
22/11 28/21 52/24	129/25	until [19] 9/7 14/17		37/23 39/3 39/13
80/11 81/15 121/24	underpinning [1]	16/13 24/8 42/16 76/1	V	43/25 44/12 48/21
124/4 133/5 133/14	33/16	84/19 89/18 90/10	vague [1] 125/5	53/18 54/25 56/11
137/20 137/20 138/15	understand [73] 6/3	104/16 112/11 113/13		60/7 60/10 62/14 63/3
138/16 138/23 147/13 147/14 148/4 154/10	12/5 13/19 18/25	117/1 123/5 138/13	varied [2] 28/6 45/25	64/1 64/18 69/7 69/9
147/14 148/4 154/10	21/15 23/11 25/20	159/20 162/2 171/5	various [6] 4/14 8/10	71/20 75/12 85/13
trying [11] 2/9 35/1	29/22 30/19 30/20	171/21	70/11 113/7 122/15	95/1 95/15 96/20
44/4 58/1 58/5 65/15	44/16 50/23 51/3 52/3	untrue [1] 70/18	149/15	98/16 99/4 102/10
80/21 84/23 93/14	52/5 52/6 56/15 57/7	unusual [1] 160/8	Vennells [1] 66/8	106/6 106/14 108/2
102/3 107/23	59/21 59/22 60/21	up [58] 2/7 6/13 6/22	venturing [1] 95/20	116/18 118/1 128/24
Truesoav 151 -51719	61/12 62/14 62/21	13/12 14/20 15/19	version [2] 147/18	129/8 135/13 137/13
Tuesday [3] 31/19 171/5 171/22	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12	version [2] 147/18 147/21	137/24 138/19 143/24
171/5 171/22	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/20 63/25	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12 28/17 36/24 41/24	version [2] 147/18 147/21 very [46] 1/11 1/14	137/24 138/19 143/24 146/8 150/3 163/18
171/5 171/22 turn [6] 3/8 53/20	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/20 63/25 67/20 67/20 69/5	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12 28/17 36/24 41/24 42/20 50/22 51/23	version [2] 147/18 147/21 very [46] 1/11 1/14 2/1 2/17 2/25 3/5 9/1	137/24 138/19 143/24 146/8 150/3 163/18 165/11 170/16
171/5 171/22	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/20 63/25 67/20 67/20 69/5 69/10 69/17 69/22	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12 28/17 36/24 41/24 42/20 50/22 51/23 52/7 52/17 53/3 55/12	version [2] 147/18 147/21 very [46] 1/11 1/14 2/1 2/17 2/25 3/5 9/1 11/1 12/6 25/3 25/3	137/24 138/19 143/24 146/8 150/3 163/18 165/11 170/16 watch [4] 27/8 36/22
171/5 171/22 turn [6] 3/8 53/20 54/23 115/4 125/23 165/17	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/20 63/25 67/20 67/20 69/5 69/10 69/17 69/22 70/4 72/18 73/11	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12 28/17 36/24 41/24 42/20 50/22 51/23 52/7 52/17 53/3 55/12 55/19 55/21 59/15	version [2] 147/18 147/21 very [46] 1/11 1/14 2/1 2/17 2/25 3/5 9/1 11/1 12/6 25/3 25/3 25/6 28/16 29/6 29/11	137/24 138/19 143/24 146/8 150/3 163/18 165/11 170/16 watch [4] 27/8 36/22 61/15 61/25
171/5 171/22 turn [6] 3/8 53/20 54/23 115/4 125/23	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/20 63/25 67/20 67/20 69/5 69/10 69/17 69/22 70/4 72/18 73/11 73/17 73/18 90/25	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12 28/17 36/24 41/24 42/20 50/22 51/23 52/7 52/17 53/3 55/12 55/19 55/21 59/15 59/16 60/10 60/16	version [2] 147/18 147/21 very [46] 1/11 1/14 2/1 2/17 2/25 3/5 9/1 11/1 12/6 25/3 25/3 25/6 28/16 29/6 29/11 29/12 31/17 39/17	137/24 138/19 143/24 146/8 150/3 163/18 165/11 170/16 watch [4] 27/8 36/22 61/15 61/25 Watson [1] 107/5
171/5 171/22 turn [6] 3/8 53/20 54/23 115/4 125/23 165/17 turned [3] 55/6 55/11 120/25	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/20 63/25 67/20 67/20 69/5 69/10 69/17 69/22 70/4 72/18 73/11 73/17 73/18 90/25 93/2 97/4 97/13	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12 28/17 36/24 41/24 42/20 50/22 51/23 52/7 52/17 53/3 55/12 55/19 55/21 59/15 59/16 60/10 60/16 66/2 70/19 74/5 74/18	version [2] 147/18 147/21 very [46] 1/11 1/14 2/1 2/17 2/25 3/5 9/1 11/1 12/6 25/3 25/3 25/6 28/16 29/6 29/11 29/12 31/17 39/17 43/2 46/20 49/21	137/24 138/19 143/24 146/8 150/3 163/18 165/11 170/16 watch [4] 27/8 36/22 61/15 61/25 Watson [1] 107/5 way [52] 2/1 2/3 2/5
171/5 171/22 turn [6] 3/8 53/20 54/23 115/4 125/23 165/17 turned [3] 55/6 55/11	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/20 63/25 67/20 67/20 69/5 69/10 69/17 69/22 70/4 72/18 73/11 73/17 73/18 90/25 93/2 97/4 97/13 103/20 104/6 108/12	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12 28/17 36/24 41/24 42/20 50/22 51/23 52/7 52/17 53/3 55/12 55/19 55/21 59/15 59/16 60/10 60/16 66/2 70/19 74/5 74/18 82/8 84/10 84/17 87/4	version [2] 147/18 147/21 very [46] 1/11 1/14 2/1 2/17 2/25 3/5 9/1 11/1 12/6 25/3 25/3 25/6 28/16 29/6 29/11 29/12 31/17 39/17 43/2 46/20 49/21 49/22 56/23 62/19	137/24 138/19 143/24 146/8 150/3 163/18 165/11 170/16 watch [4] 27/8 36/22 61/15 61/25 Watson [1] 107/5 way [52] 2/1 2/3 2/5 2/6 2/20 5/1 11/16
171/5 171/22 turn [6] 3/8 53/20 54/23 115/4 125/23 165/17 turned [3] 55/6 55/11 120/25 turning [3] 54/6 55/2	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/20 63/25 67/20 67/20 69/5 69/10 69/17 69/22 70/4 72/18 73/11 73/17 73/18 90/25 93/2 97/4 97/13 103/20 104/6 108/12 108/16 115/10 122/20	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12 28/17 36/24 41/24 42/20 50/22 51/23 52/7 52/17 53/3 55/12 55/19 55/21 59/15 59/16 60/10 60/16 66/2 70/19 74/5 74/18 82/8 84/10 84/17 87/4 92/7 93/16 98/9	version [2] 147/18 147/21 very [46] 1/11 1/14 2/1 2/17 2/25 3/5 9/1 11/1 12/6 25/3 25/3 25/6 28/16 29/6 29/11 29/12 31/17 39/17 43/2 46/20 49/21 49/22 56/23 62/19 62/23 64/3 68/16	137/24 138/19 143/24 146/8 150/3 163/18 165/11 170/16 watch [4] 27/8 36/22 61/15 61/25 Watson [1] 107/5 way [52] 2/1 2/3 2/5 2/6 2/20 5/1 11/16 12/20 13/18 17/7
171/5 171/22 turn [6] 3/8 53/20 54/23 115/4 125/23 165/17 turned [3] 55/6 55/11 120/25 turning [3] 54/6 55/2 55/20	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/20 63/25 67/20 67/20 69/5 69/10 69/17 69/22 70/4 72/18 73/11 73/17 73/18 90/25 93/2 97/4 97/13 103/20 104/6 108/12 108/16 115/10 122/20 127/4 132/10 133/5	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12 28/17 36/24 41/24 42/20 50/22 51/23 52/7 52/17 53/3 55/12 55/19 55/21 59/15 59/16 60/10 60/16 66/2 70/19 74/5 74/18 82/8 84/10 84/17 87/4 92/7 93/16 98/9 101/19 102/2 107/24	version [2] 147/18 147/21 very [46] 1/11 1/14 2/1 2/17 2/25 3/5 9/1 11/1 12/6 25/3 25/3 25/6 28/16 29/6 29/11 29/12 31/17 39/17 43/2 46/20 49/21 49/22 56/23 62/19 62/23 64/3 68/16 68/21 72/24 74/25	137/24 138/19 143/24 146/8 150/3 163/18 165/11 170/16 watch [4] 27/8 36/22 61/15 61/25 Watson [1] 107/5 way [52] 2/1 2/3 2/5 2/6 2/20 5/1 11/16 12/20 13/18 17/7 19/15 20/3 23/2 24/6
171/5 171/22 turn [6] 3/8 53/20 54/23 115/4 125/23 165/17 turned [3] 55/6 55/11 120/25 turning [3] 54/6 55/2 55/20 TV [2] 134/14 134/25 twice [2] 25/15 131/6 two [32] 11/22 14/25	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/20 63/25 67/20 67/20 69/5 69/10 69/17 69/22 70/4 72/18 73/11 73/17 73/18 90/25 93/2 97/4 97/13 103/20 104/6 108/12 108/16 115/10 122/20 127/4 132/10 133/5 133/14 134/6 138/5 139/20 146/4 146/6	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12 28/17 36/24 41/24 42/20 50/22 51/23 52/7 52/17 53/3 55/12 55/19 55/21 59/15 59/16 60/10 60/16 66/2 70/19 74/5 74/18 82/8 84/10 84/17 87/4 92/7 93/16 98/9 101/19 102/2 107/24 108/11 113/13 121/17	version [2] 147/18 147/21 very [46] 1/11 1/14 2/1 2/17 2/25 3/5 9/1 11/1 12/6 25/3 25/3 25/6 28/16 29/6 29/11 29/12 31/17 39/17 43/2 46/20 49/21 49/22 56/23 62/19 62/23 64/3 68/16 68/21 72/24 74/25 76/4 78/11 78/24 79/7	137/24 138/19 143/24 146/8 150/3 163/18 165/11 170/16 watch [4] 27/8 36/22 61/15 61/25 Watson [1] 107/5 way [52] 2/1 2/3 2/5 2/6 2/20 5/1 11/16 12/20 13/18 17/7 19/15 20/3 23/2 24/6 24/20 25/25 26/6
171/5 171/22 turn [6] 3/8 53/20 54/23 115/4 125/23 165/17 turned [3] 55/6 55/11 120/25 turning [3] 54/6 55/2 55/20 TV [2] 134/14 134/25 twice [2] 25/15 131/6	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/20 63/25 67/20 67/20 69/5 69/10 69/17 69/22 70/4 72/18 73/11 73/17 73/18 90/25 93/2 97/4 97/13 103/20 104/6 108/12 108/16 115/10 122/20 127/4 132/10 133/5 133/14 134/6 138/5 139/20 146/4 146/6	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12 28/17 36/24 41/24 42/20 50/22 51/23 52/7 52/17 53/3 55/12 55/19 55/21 59/15 59/16 60/10 60/16 66/2 70/19 74/5 74/18 82/8 84/10 84/17 87/4 92/7 93/16 98/9 101/19 102/2 107/24 108/11 113/13 121/17 122/24 124/8 134/18	version [2] 147/18 147/21 very [46] 1/11 1/14 2/1 2/17 2/25 3/5 9/1 11/1 12/6 25/3 25/3 25/6 28/16 29/6 29/11 29/12 31/17 39/17 43/2 46/20 49/21 49/22 56/23 62/19 62/23 64/3 68/16 68/21 72/24 74/25 76/4 78/11 78/24 79/7 87/18 90/11 96/18	137/24 138/19 143/24 146/8 150/3 163/18 165/11 170/16 watch [4] 27/8 36/22 61/15 61/25 Watson [1] 107/5 way [52] 2/1 2/3 2/5 2/6 2/20 5/1 11/16 12/20 13/18 17/7 19/15 20/3 23/2 24/6 24/20 25/25 26/6 28/17 29/20 32/3
171/5 171/22 turn [6] 3/8 53/20 54/23 115/4 125/23 165/17 turned [3] 55/6 55/11 120/25 turning [3] 54/6 55/2 55/20 TV [2] 134/14 134/25 twice [2] 25/15 131/6 two [32] 11/22 14/25	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/20 63/25 67/20 67/20 69/5 69/10 69/17 69/22 70/4 72/18 73/11 73/17 73/18 90/25 93/2 97/4 97/13 103/20 104/6 108/12 108/16 115/10 122/20 127/4 132/10 133/5 133/14 134/6 138/5 139/20 146/4 146/6	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12 28/17 36/24 41/24 42/20 50/22 51/23 52/7 52/17 53/3 55/12 55/19 55/21 59/15 59/16 60/10 60/16 66/2 70/19 74/5 74/18 82/8 84/10 84/17 87/4 92/7 93/16 98/9 101/19 102/2 107/24 108/11 113/13 121/17 122/24 124/8 134/18	version [2] 147/18 147/21 very [46] 1/11 1/14 2/1 2/17 2/25 3/5 9/1 11/1 12/6 25/3 25/3 25/6 28/16 29/6 29/11 29/12 31/17 39/17 43/2 46/20 49/21 49/22 56/23 62/19 62/23 64/3 68/16 68/21 72/24 74/25 76/4 78/11 78/24 79/7	137/24 138/19 143/24 146/8 150/3 163/18 165/11 170/16 watch [4] 27/8 36/22 61/15 61/25 Watson [1] 107/5 way [52] 2/1 2/3 2/5 2/6 2/20 5/1 11/16 12/20 13/18 17/7 19/15 20/3 23/2 24/6 24/20 25/25 26/6 28/17 29/20 32/3
171/5 171/22 turn [6] 3/8 53/20 54/23 115/4 125/23 165/17 turned [3] 55/6 55/11 120/25 turning [3] 54/6 55/2 55/20 TV [2] 134/14 134/25 twice [2] 25/15 131/6 two [32] 11/22 14/25	61/12 62/14 62/21 62/25 63/2 63/2 63/6 63/7 63/20 63/25 67/20 67/20 69/5 69/10 69/17 69/22 70/4 72/18 73/11 73/17 73/18 90/25 93/2 97/4 97/13 103/20 104/6 108/12 108/16 115/10 122/20 127/4 132/10 133/5 133/14 134/6 138/5 139/20 146/4 146/6	13/12 14/20 15/19 19/17 22/5 22/6 25/12 28/17 36/24 41/24 42/20 50/22 51/23 52/7 52/17 53/3 55/12 55/19 55/21 59/15 59/16 60/10 60/16 66/2 70/19 74/5 74/18 82/8 84/10 84/17 87/4 92/7 93/16 98/9 101/19 102/2 107/24 108/11 113/13 121/17 122/24 124/8 134/18	version [2] 147/18 147/21 very [46] 1/11 1/14 2/1 2/17 2/25 3/5 9/1 11/1 12/6 25/3 25/3 25/6 28/16 29/6 29/11 29/12 31/17 39/17 43/2 46/20 49/21 49/22 56/23 62/19 62/23 64/3 68/16 68/21 72/24 74/25 76/4 78/11 78/24 79/7 87/18 90/11 96/18	137/24 138/19 143/24 146/8 150/3 163/18 165/11 170/16 watch [4] 27/8 36/22 61/15 61/25 Watson [1] 107/5 way [52] 2/1 2/3 2/5 2/6 2/20 5/1 11/16 12/20 13/18 17/7 19/15 20/3 23/2 24/6 24/20 25/25 26/6 28/17 29/20 32/3

(69) transcript... - way

W	128/8 129/2 129/9	114/24 119/18 122/13	whose [1] 43/24	130/7 130/22 132/15
way [29] 39/12	135/18 141/7 144/23	131/7 138/16 143/10	why [36] 2/4 2/20 4/4	132/23 133/1 133/18
	147/1 147/4 151/21	145/8 151/7 151/8	4/9 12/14 16/17 21/8	135/7 136/17 139/6
41/15 44/25 47/22	152/12 154/5 156/9	151/9 155/8 158/18	31/24 34/7 34/9 34/16	139/24 140/3 141/3
48/24 50/7 54/2 58/18	156/10 157/21 157/25	167/4 168/9 169/1	55/22 57/12 63/10	142/14 146/22 147/7
61/12 61/22 64/5	158/9 159/17 159/25		71/24 72/22 85/20	147/21 149/12 149/18
65/25 66/24 67/9 80/1		whereby [1] 22/6		
86/15 93/13 93/14	160/3 165/10 168/17	whether [31] 3/12	90/3 93/12 102/17	171/1
94/10 94/13 99/5	170/2 170/25 171/5	15/15 17/3 21/5 31/2	105/24 106/4 111/7	witnesses [7] 12/22
117/9 119/20 133/12	171/15	35/7 39/8 41/22 42/8	118/3 118/14 119/5	51/8 128/14 128/18
	went [6] 22/12 35/6	44/13 46/5 64/4 64/6	127/4 132/22 135/25	132/1 134/22 140/17
138/19 143/21 148/13	89/22 137/7 147/16	86/1 91/7 97/19 98/5	140/25 143/18 146/17	
166/14 169/21	151/21	99/7 99/9 104/1	148/12 149/21 154/18	
ways [1] 52/3				
we [232]	were [297]	109/18 110/8 116/24	163/8	18/25 29/14 31/7 31/9
we'd [1] 165/5	weren't [18] 19/14		wider [2] 33/24 34/4	36/16 84/8 110/20
we'll [9] 9/9 12/9 21/6	24/13 24/17 34/24	143/23 150/25 154/19		113/19 133/5 133/14
71/5 78/17 91/11	35/20 35/24 51/16	161/16 169/21	Wigan [1] 56/5	145/2 168/5
	60/13 63/12 71/3 76/3	which [95] 2/12 4/3	will [34] 1/22 1/24 3/3	wonder [4] 104/21
91/16 112/14 171/8	77/8 98/4 111/14	6/17 9/12 12/10 12/13		
we're [6] 9/5 9/6	160/1 164/4 166/16	15/16 16/3 18/2 18/24		
42/17 47/13 73/20				
117/17	169/14	19/1 20/2 20/12 20/15		words [14] 8/8 14/15
we've [17] 7/12 8/10	West [1] 38/14	22/21 24/9 26/7 26/22	43/13 46/9 47/2 66/8	19/24 23/15 28/16
36/14 56/15 59/7	what [274]	27/4 29/15 29/16 33/5	82/22 82/23 82/24	41/13 55/10 55/25
	what's [6] 53/21	34/22 35/6 43/13	83/8 128/18 130/20	57/23 61/4 61/23 91/3
59/10 101/6 125/25	62/25 68/1 69/5 70/19		131/23 131/23 132/25	
126/9 127/23 129/5	120/5	62/22 64/9 67/24 70/2		work [31] 7/18 13/18
139/1 144/5 144/9		71/24 73/8 74/16	160/3 171/5 171/17	26/6 26/10 26/10
145/16 150/21 150/22	whatever [4] 28/12			
website [1] 171/13	46/24 55/11 76/12	77/13 77/14 77/25	wish [1] 134/24	27/12 27/14 33/9
Wednesday [1]	when [88] 9/10 10/8	83/19 84/1 84/5 85/18		40/25 42/12 48/6 49/3
171/10	10/19 11/7 11/17 12/8	87/5 88/20 88/21	45/11 45/19 45/22	52/24 53/24 56/21
	14/19 17/10 19/3	88/25 89/13 91/20	46/17 47/8 47/20	63/1 64/1 76/4 102/7
week [13] 2/19 34/11	19/17 20/10 20/24	92/16 92/19 94/13	60/14 60/23 61/21	106/2 110/18 110/23
38/18 84/18 84/19	21/3 21/20 22/17	94/21 95/8 95/13 96/6		118/9 119/12 127/2
84/23 113/9 118/15	22/25 24/2 26/1 26/2	99/16 101/9 105/3	76/11 111/4 117/24	138/1 138/16 155/17
119/4 139/4 155/14				
160/14 168/23	26/13 26/16 27/16	105/12 105/17 106/22		157/20 160/19 161/1
week's [1] 7/3	29/2 31/19 31/23	107/2 108/24 108/25	158/17 160/7	worked [9] 38/16
weekend [1] 43/20	32/25 36/6 41/9 41/18	111/4 113/5 114/6	without [14] 11/3	45/11 49/3 49/5 97/7
	42/10 44/2 48/13	114/13 116/13 120/3	12/17 52/21 72/15	114/16 114/21 119/15
weekly [1] 27/24	50/17 50/20 50/23	123/18 124/18 124/19	77/22 94/6 94/7 94/18	143/22
weeks [2] 92/6 153/2	50/25 51/1 51/24	131/22 134/14 142/1	95/21 96/10 130/1	working [32] 26/20
weeks' [1] 87/14	51/25 55/6 55/10	142/7 142/20 143/16	137/8 145/20 147/2	26/25 27/23 40/4
weigh [1] 2/6				
welcome [1] 116/13	56/11 59/7 63/11	144/24 145/7 147/1	without-fault [1]	40/14 42/6 49/22
welcomed [1] 116/19	65/12 66/23 69/21	148/6 148/7 150/10	52/21	50/14 50/21 51/3 51/7
welcoming [1] 60/5	71/6 75/19 75/22	152/1 154/9 154/21	WITN00460100 [4]	53/7 56/1 57/9 65/9
	76/10 77/2 80/16 81/2	155/21 158/7 159/12	46/7 48/1 64/21 65/3	69/8 71/17 71/19
well [91] 4/13 6/8	81/19 82/13 82/24	160/16 163/10 170/8	WITN00460300 [4]	71/21 72/9 72/10
7/20 9/3 9/8 10/25	84/17 90/15 92/2	171/2	44/19 68/14 68/15	72/14 75/11 75/12
12/8 16/11 20/19 21/6	99/20 100/2 101/2	whilst [1] 52/25	122/24	110/24 140/19 142/1
22/7 23/25 24/8 24/22	102/14 104/17 105/8			143/12 143/17 143/24
26/20 27/14 27/23		whistleblowing [1]	WITN00460400 [1]	
29/14 31/25 32/16	108/16 118/14 119/5	28/24	62/10	146/21 160/20
32/23 33/13 47/11	119/21 120/11 121/23		witness [66] 1/16 2/2	
47/16 49/5 51/12 52/5	128/22 130/15 132/14	28/5 37/4 45/11 45/22	2/3 3/7 14/11 14/12	143/9 143/12 148/11
	132/20 133/21 135/7	60/11 60/13 64/23	16/23 18/3 18/6 20/4	world [1] 59/14
52/13 52/20 53/20	138/3 146/4 146/22	69/10 72/5 72/7 85/11	20/25 32/3 33/23	worried [2] 85/20
57/9 59/9 60/4 60/11	150/9 150/24 156/3	85/20 88/12 102/20	34/15 38/19 48/1	85/21
61/21 62/19 62/23	156/24 159/9 166/3	102/21 106/19 107/17	62/10 64/20 68/14	worry [2] 34/5 140/22
63/10 67/17 68/9				
70/25 71/5 72/5 74/5	171/5	113/3 115/2 119/16	68/22 73/12 73/12	worse [1] 62/13
74/11 75/11 78/17	whenever [3] 160/8	120/2 120/14 120/18	79/15 84/3 84/7 88/12	worth [1] 38/15
79/21 82/19 85/15	160/9 161/2	who'd [1] 86/24	88/14 89/5 89/14	would [105] 3/6 3/8
	where [32] 5/1 8/6	whole [13] 2/13	113/7 113/10 113/12	5/11 5/19 6/12 11/16
89/18 90/7 91/5 92/24	12/21 15/1 19/10	17/25 23/13 32/4 33/9		11/16 11/17 11/19
100/18 101/25 102/19	21/15 22/24 28/11	55/5 75/11 76/15	116/8 116/23 117/14	11/19 12/4 12/18 13/7
102/19 102/21 110/12	38/12 53/10 66/16	86/25 91/9 104/25	118/17 123/21 127/13	13/13 13/15 15/16
111/24 112/8 119/23	66/17 75/16 82/10			
120/14 121/21 122/19	66/17 75/16 82/19	155/10 170/14	127/18 128/6 128/23	15/23 16/17 16/22
	93/12 104/5 112/8	wholly [1] 17/14	128/24 129/4 129/6	17/8 19/20 20/22 22/4
L				(70)

(70) way... - would

W	9/10 10/18 14/19			
would [82] 22/5	42/18 49/15 62/17			
22/12 22/22 22/24	120/8 133/9 136/4 160/20			
24/25 26/7 26/9 28/14 28/19 29/1 31/25 35/9	yellow [1] 144/14			
41/17 50/3 50/5 51/15				
54/23 55/8 55/12 55/18 55/18 55/19	4/2 62/18 82/12			
55/21 56/25 57/12	132/20 147/15 147/24			
57/18 58/2 58/7 58/13	154/23 166/14 167/4 yet [3] 16/25 34/15			
58/15 59/19 61/23 62/4 63/11 63/18	37/19			
63/24 69/11 71/16	you [964]			
79/18 80/1 81/22	you'd [13] 31/1 31/25 33/13 71/24 85/15			
85/13 85/20 94/5 94/19 95/16 97/5	96/6 110/22 111/5			
98/13 100/24 101/13	111/22 125/15 138/10 148/4 155/8			
101/16 101/22 102/2	you'll [5] 66/13 81/16			
117/7 118/11 121/2	89/22 102/17 128/8			
125/15 125/20 126/25	you're [46] 7/1 21/15 24/23 29/22 30/7			
129/21 130/21 142/21 143/8 147/10 148/8	42/18 42/22 44/25			
148/11 148/24 150/12	45/3 48/25 49/9 49/12			
154/20 155/8 156/5	49/17 49/21 49/25 52/24 53/5 58/1 58/5			
156/15 156/16 156/21 157/1 158/15 159/11	59/21 60/21 64/21			
165/4 170/3	68/18 68/19 68/25 69/9 69/12 69/16			
wouldn't [15] 12/19 12/20 13/8 16/2 16/3	69/21 70/9 71/12			
21/13 25/1 26/9 35/10	71/24 73/3 77/23 91/23 92/2 98/18			
62/2 62/4 71/20 112/5	101/25 110/1 115/22			
132/15 167/22 write [2] 19/25 20/1	121/17 122/3 122/17			
writing [6] 15/15	132/22 159/12 169/6 you've [37] 14/15			
15/17 19/7 69/25 80/16 81/3	16/11 16/11 25/4 25/5			
written [4] 18/11	44/13 49/2 49/3 49/6 56/16 58/23 62/6			
25/23 76/14 103/23	66/22 68/10 68/11			
wrong [10] 21/4 22/14 29/19 46/8 53/8	68/25 76/14 77/6			
70/18 74/25 86/17	77/10 81/2 92/5 98/18 98/19 98/21 100/23			
154/20 161/2 wrongfully [1] 113/3	103/4 113/7 113/9			
wrote [1] 19/17	113/11 118/14 124/4 128/1 133/17 135/25			
Wylie [2] 85/9 110/15	152/1 154/13 165/21			
Y	your [178]			
yeah [33] 29/10	yourself [9] 2/21 29/15 53/12 70/19			
41/21 43/7 43/9 43/11 51/19 61/6 61/7 67/1	80/23 86/12 98/18			
67/5 68/24 69/2 69/18				
74/9 85/17 86/11 90/11 90/14 92/13	Z			
99/19 106/21 113/22	zero [2] 19/5 150/1 zoom [1] 38/4			
113/24 129/12 129/14 132/11 139/25 143/13				
149/2 153/8 157/8				
160/18 160/18				
year [5] 29/2 29/15 68/4 113/6 155/15				
year's [1] 38/15				
years [12] 9/3 9/4				
L	1	I	1	(71) would - zoom