
From: Marilyn Benjamin [GRO]
Sent: Mon 01/03/2010 3:47:00 PM (UTC)
To: Post Office Security@POSTOFFICE[Post Office Security@POSTOFFICE]
Cc: John Longman [GRO] Warwick Tatford [GRO]
Subject: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA GUILDFORD CROWN COURT TRIAL - 15TH MARCH 2010
Attachment: 4th interim technical expert's report to the Court 2010-02-12 v0 1.doc
Attachment: 5th interim technical expert's report to the Court 2010-02-25 v0 1 (2).doc

I now enclose a copy of the 4th and 5th Defence Expert Reports. I also enclose Gareth Jenkins latest E-Mail dated 1st March 2010 for your information. The content does not appear to be very helpful.

(See attached file: 4th interim technical expert's report to the Court 2010-02-12 v0 1.doc)(See attached file: 5th interim technical expert's report to the Court 2010-02-25 v0 1 (2).doc)

Jarnail A Singh
Senior Lawyer
Criminal Law Team

Tel.No: [GRO]
Fax.No: [GRO]

"Jenkins Gareth GI" To: <jarnail.a.singh [GRO]>
cc: "Thomas Penny" [GRO]
<Gareth.Jenkin [GRO]> Subject: RE: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA GUILDFORD CROWN COURT TRIAL - 15TH MARCH 2010
s [GRO]

01/03/2010
10:35

Jarnail,

Thanks for the information, which I've now read through.

I think I can summarise the situation as follows:

1. Ms Misera says initially identified that the sub post office was short of cash by about £80 - £90K
2. She tried to cover this up while she repaid the loses which she thought was due to staff theft
3. By the time of the audit in Jan 2008 she was £73K short suggesting she

had made good about £10K of the losses

4. When she went to court, she saw an article in Computer Weekly indicating that Horizon was unreliable and decided to jump on the bandwagon.

5. Note that there is no evidence to support any of the cases referenced in the Computer Weekly articles and there is case law showing the reliability of Horizon. (The case of Marine Drive which I think was referred to in the Computer Weekly article.)

However I don't see how anything here changes the fact that she falsified the accounts or that money was missing.

What I still don't understand is exactly what it is that the defence is claiming in terms of where exactly Horizon might have "lost" this money rather than her or her staff stealing it. Therefore unless we can get a clear statement as to exactly what is being looked for and when the system allegedly made mistakes, I don't think there is anything I can do. (I do appreciate that it is up to the Prosecution to prove Horizon is reliable rather than the defence to prove it isn't, but it is always difficult to prove that there are no errors - particularly over such a long period of time.)

Surely it is down to the Post Office investigators to get to the bottom of exactly where there is anything in dispute. At that point I might be able to assist with some technical knowledge to help interpret the various logs to support such areas of dispute.

I'll leave Penny to continue the discussions she is currently having with the Post Office investigators until there is something concrete for me to investigate.

Regards

Gareth

Gareth Jenkins

Distinguished Engineer

Applications Architect

Royal Mail Group Account

FUJITSU

Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN

Tel: Internal:

(Note new external number -
old number will not work after 31/12/2009)

Mobile: [GRO] Internal: [GRO]

email: [GRO]

Web: <http://uk.fujitsu.com>

P Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

Fujitsu Services Limited, Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office
22 Baker Street, London, W1U 3BW

This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

-----Original Message-----

From: marilyn.benjamin [GRO]
[mailto:[GRO]] On Behalf Of
jarnail.a.singh [GRO]
Sent: 26 February 2010 16:38
To: Jenkins Gareth GI
Cc: Thomas Penny
Subject: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA GUILDFORD CROWN COURT TRIAL - 15TH MARCH 2010

Thank you for your E-Mail of today. As per discussions I now enclose:-

- 1 Copy Case Summary.
- 2 Copy Indictment
- 3 Copy Defence Statement
- 4 Copy of the interview
- 5 Copy Defence Expert's name is Charles Alistair McLachlan, [GRO]

[GRO] Telephone number and mobile to follow.

It is important that we are pro active on this and that you contact him as soon as possible with a view to concluding this.

I appreciate all the help and assistance in this case.

I hope the above assists but if you require anything further or wish to discuss in more detail please telephone me.

(See attached file: 258932AI.doc) (See attached file: 258932 IND.doc) (See attached file: Defence Statement Scanned.pdf) (See attached file: Interview

- Scanned document.pdf)

Jarnail A Singh

Senior Lawyer

Criminal Law Team

Tel.No: **GRO**

Fax.No: **GRO**

Royal Mail Group Limited registered in England and Wales registered number
4138203 registered office 3rd Floor, 100 Victoria Embankment, London, EC4Y
OHQ

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the
addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use,
disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this
communication.

If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then
delete this email from your system.
