2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1		Thursday, 20 June 2024
2	(9.4	5 am)
3	MR	BEER: Good morning, sir, can you see and hear us?
4	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you very much.
5	MR	BEER: Can I check that Mr Ward can see and hear us?
6	THE	WITNESS: I can, yes.
7	MR	BEER: Thank you very much. May I recall Graham Ward, he
8		needs to be resworn, please.
9	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
10		GRAHAM WARD (re-sworn)
11		Questioned by MR BEER
12	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Mr Ward, you may recall that, before you
13		gave evidence on the last occasion, I gave you what I'll
14		call a direction about answering questions which the
15		answers to which might incriminate you. Do you remember
16		you doing that?
17	Α.	I recall that, yes.
18	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Since a number of months have gone by,
19		I think it's appropriate that I remind you of that
20		before you answer questions this morning, all right. So
21		it will be in very similar terms, if not identical, to
22		the direction I gave you on the previous occasion when
23		you gave evidence. So, under our law, a witness at
24		a public inquiry has the right to decline to answer
25		a question put to him by any lawyer at the Inquiry, or,
		1
1	Α.	Yes, sir, I understand.
2	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Over to you, Mr Beer.
3	MR	BEER: Thank you.
4		Good morning, Mr Ward.
5	Α.	Good morning.
6	Q.	You gave evidence on 1 February 2024, and I want to ask
7		you some questions today about a single issue, namely
8		your involvement in changes made to Gareth Jenkins'
9		witness statement in the case of Noel Thomas; do you
10		understand?
11	Α.	Yes, I do.
10	~	Very neuro envidence charit that issue on 1 Estimutery 2024

- You gave evidence about that issue on 1 February 2024. 12 Q.
- 13 The Inquiry is now in possession of material with which
- 14 we can further explore that issue. Can I recap in
- 15 general terms of where we were when you gave evidence on
- 16 the last occasion. We're dealing with events in 2006,
- 17 where you were the assistant Casework Manager in the 18 Security team; is that right?
- I think in 2006 I was the Casework Manager. 19 Α.
- 20 Q. Okay. That was a position you'd held since 2002?
- 21 Α. Correct
- 22 Q. You told us on the last occasion that you were the
- 23 Casework Manager for the case of Noel Thomas and,
- 24 therefore, acted as the single point of contact between
- 25 the Post Office and Fujitsu in relation to Litigation

- for that matter, by anyone else, if there is a risk that the answer to that question would incriminate the witness. This legal principle is known in shorthand form as the privilege against self-incrimination. I remind you that is for you to make it clear to me in respect of any question put to you if you wish to rely upon the privilege against self-incrimination. So if any questions are put to you by any of the lawyers who ask you questions, or by me, which you do not wish to answer on the ground that to answer might incriminate you, you must tell me immediately after any such question is put to you. At that point I will consider your objection to answering the question and, thereafter, rule upon whether your objection should be upheld. Now, you're giving evidence remotely this morning. Do you have the facility to take legal advice from anyone should this issue arise. Yes. I have. ves. Α. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. So should this issue arise and should you wish to speak to the legal person who is assisting you, you must tell me and then I will consider whether that is appropriate, all right? 24 A. Okav. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: So do you understand all that, Mr Ward? Support in that case. A. That's correct. Q. On the last occasion, we dealt with proposed changes and changes made to a witness statement prepared by Gareth Jenkins for the purpose of the prosecution of Noel Thomas. A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, before I ask my questions of you, I think it's fair 8
- 9 to remind you of what you said on the last occasion
- 10 about this topic. Now, that's going to involve reading
- 11 a very significant part of the transcript of your
- 12 evidence on the last occasion but it's important that
- 13 I put the questions that I'm about to ask you in the
- 14 context of that evidence, so that you can see and hear
- 15 what you said on the last occasion, okay?
- A. Okay. 16
- 17 Q. Can we look, please, at the transcript. It's
- 18 INQ00001124. We can see, at the top left-hand side, if
- we can just scroll in on -- zoom in on that, rather. 19
 - It's Thursday, 1 February 2024, and you gave evidence
- 21 and you swore an oath like you have on this occasion.
- 22 A. Okay.

- 23 Q. Can we go forward to page 40, please, and can we look in 24 the bottom right-hand corner, please, at internal
- 25 pagination 160, and scroll down once more. Thank you.

1	This is partly picking it up mid-way through the topic,	1	refer. Can you add an extra paragraph in your statement
2	or partway through the topic, but I had to pick	2	explaining how online banking transactions are processed
3	somewhere to start and this is a good place. It's	3	and the data downloaded and how nil transactions can
4	a gentle run in to the questions that matter. I'll read	4	occur?"
5	it. It's me speaking, page 160, line 2:	5	Then over the page, page 161:
6	"We're now in March 2006 and you're emailing the	6	"So five or six months has now passed and you're now
7	Fujitsu email account in relation to a range of cases,	7	asking for a witness statement to address the things
8	'ARQs, statement request and assistance', and you speak	8	that had been mentioned in the three emails that you
9	about two attached files:	9	refer to there it; is that right?
10	"Both of the above requests relate to cases where	10	"Answer: Sounds like it, yeah.
11	the Post Office are being challenged about the accuracy	11	"Question: Can we go to FUJ00152582. Look at
12	'	12	page 3, please. This is 11 days later, you emailing
13	"You deal with Marine Drive and Torquay [Drive]	13	Penny Thomas about Mr Thomas' branch:
14	next, further down, if we scroll on, please. Then at	14	"These are the nil transactions you sent us and
15	the bottom of the page, you say:	15	will need to be produced and explained within your
16	"On a separate matter, I also require a witness	16	(Brian's) statement.'
17	statement in [relation] to the following ARQs', and then	17	"You attach the 401 analysis and then the ARQ data
18	there's one of the ones we've seen already, 401.	18	under the numbers 459 and 460, by which you have now got
19	"Answer: Yes.	19	these, yes?
20	"Question: There's also 459 and 460, all of which	20	"Answer: Yes.
21	relate to Mr Thomas' branch:	21	"Question: If we go down to page 2 please. We can
22	"We need the usual (leave out paragraphs H(b) and J	22	see that, if we scroll down a little bit, in an email
23	but we do need K) covering an analysis over the period	23	that you wouldn't have known about at the time, Brian
24	01/11/04 to 30/11/05. Penny you may recall this one	24	Pinder of Fujitsu is forwarding that email to Gareth
25	relates to nil transactions, my previous emails 5	25	Jenkins: 6
	C C		C C
1	" places are extract from a recent smail below		
1	" please see extract from a recent email below	1	are zero transactions. I suggest the [that should
2	in italics from Graham Ward'	1 2	are zero transactions. I suggest the [that should probably be 'following') as a brief explanation.
2	in italics from Graham Ward'	2	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation.
2 3	in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we	2 3	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "'Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe
2 3 4	in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago:	2 3 4	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "'Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system.
2 3 4 5	in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil	2 3 4 5	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "'Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "'No financial effect;
2 3 4 5 6	in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: "' regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put	2 3 4 5 6	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "'Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "'No financial effect; "'Declined by bank; or
2 3 4 5 6 7	in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: "' regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send	2 3 4 5 6 7	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "'Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "'No financial effect; "'Declined by bank; or "'There has been some sort of system failure.'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "'Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "'There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: "' regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.'	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "'Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "'No financial effect; "'Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "'How do you want to play this? Do you want to add
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: "' regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: "' regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March email; can you see that?"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these two codes or persuade [Post Office] that the generic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March email; can you see that?" "Answer: Yeah."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "'Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these two codes or persuade [Post Office] that the generic statement is okay perhaps with some clearer words?'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March email; can you see that?" "Answer: Yeah." "Question: The important part is in bold in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these two codes or persuade [Post Office] that the generic statement is okay perhaps with some clearer words?' "Further up the page, please. She that's Neneh
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March email; can you see that?" "Answer: Yeah." "Question: The important part is in bold in italics: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these two codes or persuade [Post Office] that the generic statement is okay perhaps with some clearer words?' "Further up the page, please. She that's Neneh Lowther says that she's updated your witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March email; can you see that?" "Answer: Yeah." "Question: The important part is in bold in italics: "Can you add an extra paragraph in your statement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these two codes or persuade [Post Office] that the generic statement is okay perhaps with some clearer words?' "Further up the page, please. She that's Neneh Lowther says that she's updated your witness statement Mr Jenkins' witness statement. She's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March email; can you see that?" "Answer: Yeah." "Question: The important part is in bold in italics: "'Can you add an extra paragraph in your statement explaining how online banking transactions are processed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these two codes or persuade [Post Office] that the generic statement is okay perhaps with some clearer words?' "Further up the page, please. She that's Neneh Lowther says that she's updated your witness statement Mr Jenkins' witness statement. She's not included the response below because she's not sure how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March email; can you see that?" "Answer: Yeah." "Question: The important part is in bold in italics: "Can you add an extra paragraph in your statement explaining how online banking transactions are processed and the data downloaded and how nil transactions can 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these two codes or persuade [Post Office] that the generic statement is okay perhaps with some clearer words?' "Further up the page, please. She that's Neneh Lowther says that she's updated your witness statement Mr Jenkins' witness statement. She's not included the response below because she's not sure how to fit it in. Could you help:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March email; can you see that?" "Answer: Yeah." "Question: The important part is in bold in italics: "Can you add an extra paragraph in your statement explaining how online banking transactions are processed and the data downloaded and how nil transactions can occur.' 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these two codes or persuade [Post Office] that the generic statement is okay perhaps with some clearer words?' "Further up the page, please. She that's Neneh Lowther says that she's updated your witness statement Mr Jenkins' witness statement. She's not included the response below because she's not sure how to fit it in. Could you help: "Also I believe that Graham Ward is thinking that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March email; can you see that?" "Answer: Yeah." "Question: The important part is in bold in italics: "Can you add an extra paragraph in your statement explaining how online banking transactions are processed and the data downloaded and how nil transactions can occur.' "So this is Mr Pinder asking Mr Jenkins to put 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these two codes or persuade [Post Office] that the generic statement is okay perhaps with some clearer words?' "Further up the page, please. She that's Neneh Lowther says that she's updated your witness statement Mr Jenkins' witness statement. She's not included the response below because she's not sure how to fit it in. Could you help: "Also I believe that Graham Ward is thinking that 'system failures' are drastic events.' "Is that true? "Answer: Well, I just wanted them explained. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March email; can you see that?" "Answer: Yeah." "Question: The important part is in bold in italics: "Can you add an extra paragraph in your statement explaining how online banking transactions are processed and the data downloaded and how nil transactions can occur.' "So this is Mr Pinder asking Mr Jenkins to put something together for him or for us, for Fujitsu, in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these two codes or persuade [Post Office] that the generic statement is okay perhaps with some clearer words?' "Further up the page, please. She that's Neneh Lowther says that she's updated your witness statement Mr Jenkins' witness statement. She's not included the response below because she's not sure how to fit it in. Could you help: "Also I believe that Graham Ward is thinking that 'system failures' are drastic events.' "Is that true?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March email; can you see that?" "Answer: Yeah." "Question: The important part is in bold in italics: "Can you add an extra paragraph in your statement explaining how online banking transactions are processed and the data downloaded and how nil transactions can occur.' "So this is Mr Pinder asking Mr Jenkins to put something together for him or for us, for Fujitsu, in order to address that issue. If we go up to page 1, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these two codes or persuade [Post Office] that the generic statement is okay perhaps with some clearer words?' "Further up the page, please. She that's Neneh Lowther says that she's updated your witness statement Mr Jenkins' witness statement. She's not included the response below because she's not sure how to fit it in. Could you help: "Also I believe that Graham Ward is thinking that 'system failures' are drastic events.' "Is that true? "Answer: Well, I just wanted them explained. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March email; can you see that?" "Answer: Yeah." "Question: The important part is in bold in italics: "Can you add an extra paragraph in your statement explaining how online banking transactions are processed and the data downloaded and how nil transactions can occur.' "So this is Mr Pinder asking Mr Jenkins to put something together for him or for us, for Fujitsu, in order to address that issue. If we go up to page 1, please, scroll down, please, we can see Mr Jenkins' 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these two codes or persuade [Post Office] that the generic statement is okay perhaps with some clearer words?' "Further up the page, please. She that's Neneh Lowther says that she's updated your witness statement Mr Jenkins' witness statement. She's not included the response below because she's not sure how to fit it in. Could you help: "Also I believe that Graham Ward is thinking that 'system failures' are drastic events.' "Is that true? "Answer: Well, I just wanted them explained. I didn't know whether they were drastic or not. It's just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 in italics from Graham Ward' "Then he extracts your email of 10 March that we looked at a moment ago: " regarding providing a statement about nil transactions and online banking. If you're able to put something together for us, I'd be grateful. If you send it back I'll arrange for Neneh or Penny to write into a statement for your signature.' "Then he cuts in what you had said in your 10 March email; can you see that?" "Answer: Yeah." "Question: The important part is in bold in italics: "Can you add an extra paragraph in your statement explaining how online banking transactions are processed and the data downloaded and how nil transactions can occur.' "So this is Mr Pinder asking Mr Jenkins to put something together for him or for us, for Fujitsu, in order to address that issue. If we go up to page 1, please, scroll down, please, we can see Mr Jenkins' reply: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	probably be 'following') as a brief explanation. "Three main reasons why zero transactions maybe generated as part of the banking system. "No financial effect; "Declined by bank; or "There has been some sort of system failure.' "He gives some examples: "How do you want to play this? Do you want to add in specific text to the witness statement to cover these two codes or persuade [Post Office] that the generic statement is okay perhaps with some clearer words?' "Further up the page, please. She that's Neneh Lowther says that she's updated your witness statement Mr Jenkins' witness statement. She's not included the response below because she's not sure how to fit it in. Could you help: "Also I believe that Graham Ward is thinking that 'system failures' are drastic events.' "Is that true? "Answer: Well, I just wanted them explained. I didn't know whether they were drastic or not. It's just from you know, and it's going back such a long, it's

	that time as livet wanted a hit mean context encound	4
1 2	that time so I just wanted a bit more context around what he meant by system failures.	1
2	"Question: Then scroll up, please. Mr Jenkins says	2
4	he's annotated it with revisions and doesn't feel able	4
5	to include the last two paragraphs which may make the	5
6	statement useless.	6
7	"Can we now look, please, at the draft that he had	7
8	previously provided. Can we start, please, with	8
9	FUJ00122204. Can we scroll down please. He says:	9
10	"There are three main reasons why a zero value	10
11	transaction may be generated'	11
12	"System failure is the third of them:	12
13	"Such failures are normal occurrences."	13
14	"He then sets out in summary terms in substance the	14
15	same thing in the email we looked at, about response	15
16	codes; can you see that?	16
17	"Answer: Yeah. Yes, I can, yeah.	17
18	"Question: Would you agree that this is important	18
19	information that a zero value transaction may be shown	19
20	or may be generated, including by reason of a system	20
21	failure	21
22	"Answer: Yes. It is important, yeah.	22
23	"Question: and that he is saying that such	23
24	failures are normal occurrences	24
25	"Answer: Yeah.	25
	9	
1	"Question: You remember he said he didn't feel he	1
2	was able to include those	2
3	"Answer: Yes, I do, yeah.	3
3 4	"Answer: Yes, I do, yeah. "Question: and that they may make the statement	
		3
4	"Question: and that they may make the statement	3 4
4 5	"Question: and that they may make the statement useless?	3 4 5
4 5 6	"Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes.	3 4 5 6
4 5 6 7	"Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the	3 4 5 6 7
4 5 6 7 8	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? 	3 4 5 6 7 8
4 5 6 7 8 9	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9
4 5 6 7 8 9	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs that the Criminal Law Team had asked to be included on 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs that the Criminal Law Team had asked to be included on statements that produced computer evidence. So it was, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs that the Criminal Law Team had asked to be included on statements that produced computer evidence. So it was, to my mind, important to have them in there to say that 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs that the Criminal Law Team had asked to be included on statements that produced computer evidence. So it was, to my mind, important to have them in there to say that the system was operating properly and didn't affect the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs that the Criminal Law Team had asked to be included on statements that produced computer evidence. So it was, to my mind, important to have them in there to say that the system was operating properly and didn't affect the information held on it." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs that the Criminal Law Team had asked to be included on statements that produced computer evidence. So it was, to my mind, important to have them in there to say that the system was operating properly and didn't affect the information held on it." "Question: What did you take from the fact that he 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs that the Criminal Law Team had asked to be included on statements that produced computer evidence. So it was, to my mind, important to have them in there to say that the system was operating properly and didn't affect the information held on it." "Question: What did you take from the fact that he thought that these bits, which I think on the original 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs that the Criminal Law Team had asked to be included on statements that produced computer evidence. So it was, to my mind, important to have them in there to say that the system was operating properly and didn't affect the information held on it." "Question: What did you take from the fact that he thought that these bits, which I think on the original were highlighted in yellow weren't true, or he wasn't 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs that the Criminal Law Team had asked to be included on statements that produced computer evidence. So it was, to my mind, important to have them in there to say that the system was operating properly and didn't affect the information held on it." "Question: What did you take from the fact that he thought that these bits, which I think on the original were highlighted in yellow weren't true, or he wasn't sure were true? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs that the Criminal Law Team had asked to be included on statements that produced computer evidence. So it was, to my mind, important to have them in there to say that the system was operating properly and didn't affect the information held on it." "Question: What did you take from the fact that he thought that these bits, which I think on the original were highlighted in yellow weren't true, or he wasn't sure were true? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs that the Criminal Law Team had asked to be included on statements that produced computer evidence. So it was, to my mind, important to have them in there to say that the system was operating properly and didn't affect the information held on it." "Question: What did you take from the fact that he thought that these bits, which I think on the original were highlighted in yellow weren't true, or he wasn't sure were true? "Answer: Well, that would have been a concern, obviously. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs that the Criminal Law Team had asked to be included on statements that produced computer evidence. So it was, to my mind, important to have them in there to say that the system was operating properly and didn't affect the information held on it." "Question: What did you take from the fact that he thought that these bits, which I think on the original were highlighted in yellow weren't true, or he wasn't sure were true? "Answer: Well, that would have been a concern, obviously. "Question: Sorry? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 "Question: and that they may make the statement useless? "Answer: Yes. "Question: What did you think the purpose of the inclusion of those two paragraphs was? "Answer: Well, these were two general paragraphs that the Criminal Law Team had asked to be included on statements that produced computer evidence. So it was, to my mind, important to have them in there to say that the system was operating properly and didn't affect the information held on it." "Question: What did you take from the fact that he thought that these bits, which I think on the original were highlighted in yellow weren't true, or he wasn't sure were true? "Answer: Well, that would have been a concern, obviously. "Question: Sorry? "Answer: That would have been a huge concern, if 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

n IT In	quiry 20 June 2024
1	"Question: and he's saying that there's a system
2	code that may identify them?
3	"Answer: Yeah, I don't know that I was tying in the
4	paragraphs beneath that with system failures. Maybe
5	that's just my ignorance of not knowing exactly what nil
6	transactions were.
7	"Question: Doesn't this explain it? His numbered
8	paragraph 3, some sort of system failure is linked to
9	the third bullet [attached] to this email?"
10	"Answer: I would like to think"
11	Sorry, if we just go back, please, to the previous
12	page:
13	" linked to the third bullet at the bottom of
14	this page: response code with a value greater than 10
15	implies some sort of system failure.
16	"Answer: Yeah
17	"Question: They're speaking about the same thing,
18	aren't they?
19	"Answer: Yeah, I can see that now, yeah.
20	"Question: Then if we go forward to page 3, please
21	those last two paragraphs, can you see the one beginning
22	'No reason to believe that' and "Answer: Yeah.
23 24	"Question: 'any records to which I refer'?
2 4 25	"Answer: Yeah.
20	10
1	operating properly, then, you know, there's a problem
2	isn't there? If this this wasn't the final statement
3	was it?
4	"Question: No.
5	"Answer: Oh, right.
6	"Question: What we'll see happens is that he
7	requests for them to be removed. They are removed and
8	then, in the end draft, they come back in.
9	"Answer: What the statement that was produced in
10	evidence?
11	"Question: Yes.
12	"Answer: I don't know anything about that.
13	"Question: He says:
14	"Can this be deleted? All I've done is interpret
15	the data'
16	"Answer: Yeah.
17	"Question: ' in the spreadsheets you've emailed
18	to me.'
19	"Would you have read this at the time, ie the
20	attachment to this email?
21	"Answer: I would like to think I would, yeah.
22	"Question: This being the attachment to the email
23	you got?
24	"Answer: Yeah, I would have thought so, yeah.
25	"Question: Do you understand these or did you 12

(3) Pages 9 - 12

1		
1	understand these two paragraphs to be statements	1
2	speaking to the accuracy and reliability of Horizon	2
3	generally or about a system that had been used to	3
4	extract ARQ data?	4
5	"Answer: Horizon generally.	5
6	"Question: Hence your belief that these were	6
7	significant omissions	7
8	"Answer: Yeah.	8
9	"Question: or they would have been significant	9
10	omissions?	10
11	"Answer: Yeah.	11
12	"Question: Can we look, please, at FUJ00122210. If	12
13	we scroll down, on the 24th, ie the next day sorry,	13
14	scroll up, please:	14
15	"This statement needs more work'	15
16	"You're emailing Neneh Lowther, Brian Pinder, Keith	16
17	Baines, Paul Dawkins and Diane Matthews:	17
18	"This statement needs more work. I've attached	18
19	a suggested draft with a number of comments (as	19
20	mentioned previously I think the "system failure normal	20
21	occurrence" line is potentially very damaging).	21
22	"Firstly, why did you think the 'system failure	22
23	normal occurrence' line was potentially very damaging?	23
24	"Answer: Well, just for the reason that I've said	24
25	previously. I think I was just looking for a little	25
	13	
1	"Question: That's not how it reads, is it?	1
2	"Answer: No, it's not.	2
3	"Question: You've gone straight to the effect of	3
4	what he says, ie it causes us, the Post Office, damage.	4
5	"Answer: Yeah, I can see how it looks now.	5
6	"Question: Again, is this one of those examples of	6
7	the way that you were thinking at the time: the	
0		7
8	importance thing is to maintain, even in our	7 8
8 9	importance thing is to maintain, even in our prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and	
		8
9	prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data?	8 9
9 10	prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and	8 9 10
9 10 11	prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data? "Answer: I wasn't trying to do that, no. I just	8 9 10 11
9 10 11 12	prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data? "Answer: I wasn't trying to do that, no. I just obviously had a closed mind to the way I put things	8 9 10 11 12
9 10 11 12 13	prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data? "Answer: I wasn't trying to do that, no. I just obviously had a closed mind to the way I put things across but I really wasn't trying to you know, at the	8 9 10 11 12 13
9 10 11 12 13 14	prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data? "Answer: I wasn't trying to do that, no. I just obviously had a closed mind to the way I put things across but I really wasn't trying to you know, at the end of the day, the truth is more important, and	8 9 10 11 12 13 14
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data? "Answer: I wasn't trying to do that, no. I just obviously had a closed mind to the way I put things across but I really wasn't trying to you know, at the end of the day, the truth is more important, and "Question: We don't see that kind of sentiment in	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data? "Answer: I wasn't trying to do that, no. I just obviously had a closed mind to the way I put things across but I really wasn't trying to you know, at the end of the day, the truth is more important, and "Question: We don't see that kind of sentiment in any of your email exchanges, do we?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data? "Answer: I wasn't trying to do that, no. I just obviously had a closed mind to the way I put things across but I really wasn't trying to you know, at the end of the day, the truth is more important, and "Question: We don't see that kind of sentiment in any of your email exchanges, do we? "Answer: Well, no, maybe not, but I know the person	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data? "Answer: I wasn't trying to do that, no. I just obviously had a closed mind to the way I put things across but I really wasn't trying to you know, at the end of the day, the truth is more important, and "Question: We don't see that kind of sentiment in any of your email exchanges, do we? "Answer: Well, no, maybe not, but I know the person that I am.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data? "Answer: I wasn't trying to do that, no. I just obviously had a closed mind to the way I put things across but I really wasn't trying to you know, at the end of the day, the truth is more important, and "Question: We don't see that kind of sentiment in any of your email exchanges, do we? "Answer: Well, no, maybe not, but I know the person that I am. "Question: I think we can delete 'maybe' from that 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data? "Answer: I wasn't trying to do that, no. I just obviously had a closed mind to the way I put things across but I really wasn't trying to you know, at the end of the day, the truth is more important, and "Question: We don't see that kind of sentiment in any of your email exchanges, do we? "Answer: Well, no, maybe not, but I know the person that I am. "Question: I think we can delete 'maybe' from that sentence and replace it with 'definitely'. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data? "Answer: I wasn't trying to do that, no. I just obviously had a closed mind to the way I put things across but I really wasn't trying to you know, at the end of the day, the truth is more important, and "Question: We don't see that kind of sentiment in any of your email exchanges, do we? "Answer: Well, no, maybe not, but I know the person that I am. "Question: I think we can delete 'maybe' from that sentence and replace it with 'definitely'. "Answer: Okay. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data? "Answer: I wasn't trying to do that, no. I just obviously had a closed mind to the way I put things across but I really wasn't trying to you know, at the end of the day, the truth is more important, and "Question: We don't see that kind of sentiment in any of your email exchanges, do we? "Answer: Well, no, maybe not, but I know the person that I am. "Question: I think we can delete 'maybe' from that sentence and replace it with 'definitely'. "Answer: Okay. "Question: Can we look, please, at POL00047895. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 prosecutions, the line that Horizon has integrity and produces reliable data? "Answer: I wasn't trying to do that, no. I just obviously had a closed mind to the way I put things across but I really wasn't trying to you know, at the end of the day, the truth is more important, and "Question: We don't see that kind of sentiment in any of your email exchanges, do we? "Answer: Well, no, maybe not, but I know the person that I am. "Question: I think we can delete 'maybe' from that sentence and replace it with 'definitely'. "Answer: Okay. "Question: Can we look, please, at POL00047895. This is a copy of the marked-up witness statement, 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

	bit it just wasn't clear to me exactly what he meant
	by it and that may just be my ignorance of not knowing
	about banking transactions and nil transactions but, you
	know, I'm just offering a comment. I'm not trying to
	lead him into saying anything in his statement, or
	anything like that, I was trying to be helpful but
	clearly I wasn't being. As I say, I can see here it
	says it may be worth someone from our team taking
	a statement directly from him.
)	"Question: Why did you think that was a good idea?
	"Answer: I just thought it was getting a bit
2	confusing with his statement and I just thought maybe it
	would be best for the Investigator dealing with the
	investigation to actually, you know, deal with it
;	themselves.
;	"Question: Why would you be concerned if a person
	with expertise from Fujitsu is giving technical
5	evidence, the effect of which was damaging? Wouldn't
)	you be pleased with that, as an Investigator or somebody
)	associated with an investigation, the true position was
	being revealed?
2	"Answer: Well, yeah, when you word it like that,
;	yes, and I really wasn't trying to alter his statement
	or make him say anything; I was just wanting, you know,
5	clarity on what he meant by 'system failures'.
	14
	if we just go back to the top, please. Then scroll down
	slowly, please.
	Remaining in the statement, in the second paragraph,
	second line:
	"I was asked to produce information relating to
	"nil" transactions'.
	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the
)	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your
)	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments:
)	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "There are three'
)	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "'There are three' "Then you've added:
) <u>-</u> 	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "'There are three' "Then you've added: "'If these are the main three reasons, what are the
) <u>-</u> 	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "There are three' "Then you've added: "'If these are the main three reasons, what are the rest?'.
	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "There are three' "There are three' "Then you've added: "If these are the main three reasons, what are the rest?'. "That's in the nature of a question both in terms of
	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "There are three' "Then you've added: "If these are the main three reasons, what are the rest?'. "That's in the nature of a question both in terms of the words used and the used of the question mark. So
	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "There are three' "Then you've added: "'If these are the main three reasons, what are the rest?'. "That's in the nature of a question both in terms of the words used and the used of the question mark. So that's clarificatory, isn't it?
	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "There are three' "Then you've added: "If these are the main three reasons, what are the rest?'. "That's in the nature of a question both in terms of the words used and the used of the question mark. So that's clarificatory, isn't it? "Answer: Yeah.
	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "There are three' "Then you've added: "If these are the main three reasons, what are the rest?'. "That's in the nature of a question both in terms of the words used and the used of the question mark. So that's clarificatory, isn't it? "Answer: Yeah. "Question: Yes?
	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "There are three' "Then you've added: "If these are the main three reasons, what are the rest?'. "That's in the nature of a question both in terms of the words used and the used of the question mark. So that's clarificatory, isn't it? "Answer: Yeah. "Question: Yes? "Answer: Yes, sorry.
	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "There are three' "Then you've added: "If these are the main three reasons, what are the rest?'. "That's in the nature of a question both in terms of the words used and the used of the question mark. So that's clarificatory, isn't it? "Answer: Yeah. "Question: Yes? "Answer: Yes, sorry. "Question: So you're genuinely trying to find
	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "There are three' "Then you've added: "If these are the main three reasons, what are the rest?'. "That's in the nature of a question both in terms of the words used and the used of the question mark. So that's clarificatory, isn't it? "Answer: Yeah. "Question: Yes? "Answer: Yes, sorry. "Question: So you're genuinely trying to find something out, by the look of it there
	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "There are three' "Then you've added: "If these are the main three reasons, what are the rest?'. "That's in the nature of a question both in terms of the words used and the used of the question mark. So that's clarificatory, isn't it? "Answer: Yeah. "Question: Yes? "Answer: Yes, sorry. "Question: So you're genuinely trying to find something out, by the look of it there "Answer: Yeah.
	"Then if we go to the second page, please. Then the paragraph beginning 'There are three', we see your comments: "There are three' "Then you've added: "If these are the main three reasons, what are the rest?'. "That's in the nature of a question both in terms of the words used and the used of the question mark. So that's clarificatory, isn't it? "Answer: Yeah. "Question: Yes? "Answer: Yes, sorry. "Question: So you're genuinely trying to find something out, by the look of it there "Answer: Yeah. "Question: why a zero transaction may be

1	"Transaction has no financial effect. Transaction	1	"Answer: Right.
2	has been declined by the bank.'	2	"Question: Do you know where these words have come
3	"Then we see system failure reason missing, don't	3	from, then?
4	we; you've delete it, haven't you?	4	"Answer: Well, I'm sure I've I must have typed
5	"Answer: No, I would not have deleted anything at	5	the words, yeah. But I wouldn't have typed over 'system
6	all.	6	failure'.
7	"Question: Well, why doesn't it appear here?	7	"Question: Okay, if we move on. Next page, please.
8	"Answer: I don't know.	8	We see that the paragraphs that were previously in
9	"Question: You've typed over it:	9	yellow, which Mr Jenkins said he didn't feel he could
10	"This is a really poor choice of words which seems	10	say, have been deleted. Did you delete those then?
11	to accept that failures in the system are normal and	11	"Answer: No. I can't explain it at all. I would
12	therefore may well support the postmaster's claim that	12	not have written over or deleted anything from anybody's
13	the system is to blame for losses!!!!'	13	statement. Absolutely not.
14	"Answer: No, I would not have typed over anything	14	"Question: Can we move to FUJ00122217."
15	or deleted anything at all. I just know the person that	15	Then the Chairman intervenes:
16	I am and I wouldn't have done that.	16	"Well, before we do, since I think you've just
17	"Question: Well, you were concerned, we've seen, at	17	accepted that you attached this witness statement to
18	the emails that preceded this, with what Mr Jenkins was	18	an email you sent, can you explain where there is
19	proposing to say about system failures, weren't you?	19	witness statement came from, so as to enable you to
20	"Answer: Yeah, I was concerned, yeah. I just	20	attach it to an email?"
21	wanted clarity on it, as I said, but I would not have	21	"Answer: No, I'm sorry, sir. I just cannot
22	typed over or deleted it.	22	remember, you know, this at all.
23	"Question: This is the attachment to an email that	23	"The Chairman: Well, people's memory, of course, is
24	you sent to Neneh Lowther, who, in turn send it on to	24	for them to tell me about, but this is a pretty
25	Mr Jenkins?	25	memorable event, is it not? This is you really becoming
	17		18
1	involved in an important statement in relation to the	1	draft witness statement but that you knew that it wasn't
1 2	involved in an important statement in relation to the prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done	1 2	draft witness statement but that you knew that it wasn't you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you?
		2	-
2	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done	2 3 A	you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you?
2 3	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack	2 3 A	you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree.
2 3 4	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be	2 3 A 4 C	you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may
2 3 4 5	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent.	2 3 A 4 C 5	you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you?Yes, I agree.Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to
2 3 4 5 6	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all,	2 3 A 4 C 5 6	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start,
2 3 4 5 6 7	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't."	2 3 A 4 C 5 6 7	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the	2 3 A 4 C 5 6 7 8	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the	2 3 A 4 C 5 6 7 8 9	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the issue of suggesting changes or actually making changes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in the morning. So this is exactly the same one, exactly the same copy that I showed you on the last occasion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the issue of suggesting changes or actually making changes to the witness statement of Gareth Jenkins in the case of the prosecution of Noel Thomas. Mr Ward, do you accept that, on the last occasion,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 14	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in the morning. So this is exactly the same one, exactly the same copy that I showed you on the last occasion? Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the issue of suggesting changes or actually making changes to the witness statement of Gareth Jenkins in the case of the prosecution of Noel Thomas. Mr Ward, do you accept that, on the last occasion, you, firstly, agreed that you sent an email on 24 March	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 14 5	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in the morning. So this is exactly the same one, exactly the same copy that I showed you on the last occasion? Okay. Now, if we just read the two paragraphs of the witness statement:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the issue of suggesting changes or actually making changes to the witness statement of Gareth Jenkins in the case of the prosecution of Noel Thomas. Mr Ward, do you accept that, on the last occasion,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 14 15 16	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in the morning. So this is exactly the same one, exactly the same copy that I showed you on the last occasion? Okay. Now, if we just read the two paragraphs of the witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the issue of suggesting changes or actually making changes to the witness statement of Gareth Jenkins in the case of the prosecution of Noel Thomas. Mr Ward, do you accept that, on the last occasion, you, firstly, agreed that you sent an email on 24 March 2006 to Fujitsu that had, as an attachment to it, a marked-up copy of Gareth Jenkins' witness statement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 14 15 16 17	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in the morning. So this is exactly the same one, exactly the same copy that I showed you on the last occasion? Okay. Now, if we just read the two paragraphs of the witness statement: "This statement [Mr Jenkins' statement] needs more work I've attached a suggested draft with a number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the issue of suggesting changes or actually making changes to the witness statement of Gareth Jenkins in the case of the prosecution of Noel Thomas. Mr Ward, do you accept that, on the last occasion, you, firstly, agreed that you sent an email on 24 March 2006 to Fujitsu that had, as an attachment to it, a marked-up copy of Gareth Jenkins' witness statement? A. Yes, I do, yeah. 	2 A 4 C 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 A 14 C 15 16 17 18	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in the morning. So this is exactly the same one, exactly the same copy that I showed you on the last occasion? Okay. Now, if we just read the two paragraphs of the witness statement: "This statement [Mr Jenkins' statement] needs more work I've attached a suggested draft with a number of comments (as previously mentioned I think the 'system
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the issue of suggesting changes or actually making changes to the witness statement of Gareth Jenkins in the case of the prosecution of Noel Thomas. Mr Ward, do you accept that, on the last occasion, you, firstly, agreed that you sent an email on 24 March 2006 to Fujitsu that had, as an attachment to it, a marked-up copy of Gareth Jenkins' witness statement? A. Yes, I do, yeah. Q. Do you agree that, on the last occasion, you denied 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in the morning. So this is exactly the same one, exactly the same copy that I showed you on the last occasion? Okay. Now, if we just read the two paragraphs of the witness statement: "This statement [Mr Jenkins' statement] needs more work I've attached a suggested draft with a number of comments (as previously mentioned I think the 'system failure normal occurrence' line is potentially very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 4 9 20	 prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the issue of suggesting changes or actually making changes to the witness statement of Gareth Jenkins in the case of the prosecution of Noel Thomas. Mr Ward, do you accept that, on the last occasion, you, firstly, agreed that you sent an email on 24 March 2006 to Fujitsu that had, as an attachment to it, a marked-up copy of Gareth Jenkins' witness statement? A. Yes, I do, yeah. Q. Do you agree that, on the last occasion, you denied deleting or typing over the "system failure" reason, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 A 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in the morning. So this is exactly the same one, exactly the same copy that I showed you on the last occasion? Now, if we just read the two paragraphs of the witness statement: "This statement [Mr Jenkins' statement] needs more work I've attached a suggested draft with a number of comments (as previously mentioned I think the 'system failure normal occurrence' line is potentially very damaging). It may be worth considering someone from our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the issue of suggesting changes or actually making changes to the witness statement of Gareth Jenkins in the case of the prosecution of Noel Thomas. Mr Ward, do you accept that, on the last occasion, you, firstly, agreed that you sent an email on 24 March 2006 to Fujitsu that had, as an attachment to it, a marked-up copy of Gareth Jenkins' witness statement? A. Yes, I do, yeah. Q. Do you agree that, on the last occasion, you denied deleting or typing over the "system failure" reason, the third reason for nil transactions that Mr Jenkins had 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in the morning. So this is exactly the same one, exactly the same copy that I showed you on the last occasion? Okay. Now, if we just read the two paragraphs of the witness statement: "This statement [Mr Jenkins' statement] needs more work I've attached a suggested draft with a number of comments (as previously mentioned I think the 'system failure normal occurrence' line is potentially very damaging). It may be worth considering someone from our team taking a statement directly from Gareth Jenkins
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 4 9 20 21 22	 prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the issue of suggesting changes or actually making changes to the witness statement of Gareth Jenkins in the case of the prosecution of Noel Thomas. Mr Ward, do you accept that, on the last occasion, you, firstly, agreed that you sent an email on 24 March 2006 to Fujitsu that had, as an attachment to it, a marked-up copy of Gareth Jenkins' witness statement? A. Yes, I do, yeah. Q. Do you agree that, on the last occasion, you denied deleting or typing over the "system failure" reason, the third reason for nil transactions that Mr Jenkins had given? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in the morning. So this is exactly the same one, exactly the same copy that I showed you on the last occasion? Now, if we just read the two paragraphs of the witness statement: "This statement [Mr Jenkins' statement] needs more work I've attached a suggested draft with a number of comments (as previously mentioned I think the 'system failure normal occurrence' line is potentially very damaging). It may be worth considering someone from our team taking a statement directly from Gareth Jenkins (where is he based?)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the issue of suggesting changes or actually making changes to the witness statement of Gareth Jenkins in the case of the prosecution of Noel Thomas. Mr Ward, do you accept that, on the last occasion, you, firstly, agreed that you sent an email on 24 March 2006 to Fujitsu that had, as an attachment to it, a marked-up copy of Gareth Jenkins' witness statement? A. Yes, I do, yeah. Q. Do you agree that, on the last occasion, you denied deleting or typing over the "system failure" reason, the third reason for nil transactions that Mr Jenkins had given? A. Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in the morning. So this is exactly the same one, exactly the same copy that I showed you on the last occasion? Okay. Now, if we just read the two paragraphs of the witness statement: "This statement [Mr Jenkins' statement] needs more work I've attached a suggested draft with a number of comments (as previously mentioned I think the 'system failure normal occurrence' line is potentially very damaging). It may be worth considering someone from our team taking a statement directly from Gareth Jenkins (where is he based?)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the issue of suggesting changes or actually making changes to the witness statement of Gareth Jenkins in the case of the prosecution of Noel Thomas. Mr Ward, do you accept that, on the last occasion, you, firstly, agreed that you sent an email on 24 March 2006 to Fujitsu that had, as an attachment to it, a marked-up copy of Gareth Jenkins' witness statement? A. Yes, I do, yeah. Q. Do you agree that, on the last occasion, you denied deleting or typing over the "system failure" reason, the third reason for nil transactions that Mr Jenkins had given? A. Yeah. Q. Do you agree that, on the last occasion, you said that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 A 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in the morning. So this is exactly the same one, exactly the same copy that I showed you on the last occasion? Okay. Now, if we just read the two paragraphs of the witness statement: "This statement [Mr Jenkins' statement] needs more work I've attached a suggested draft with a number of comments (as previously mentioned I think the 'system failure normal occurrence' line is potentially very damaging). It may be worth considering someone from our team taking a statement directly from Gareth Jenkins (where is he based?)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 prosecution of someone in a way that you'd ever done before, as I've understood it. So can you try and rack your memory, please, as to how this statement came to be attached to an email you sent. "Answer: Honestly, I just can't explain it at all, no. I really can't." We can take that transcript down. That's where the relevant exchanges end. So that's the entirety of the evidence that you gave on the last occasion about the issue of suggesting changes or actually making changes to the witness statement of Gareth Jenkins in the case of the prosecution of Noel Thomas. Mr Ward, do you accept that, on the last occasion, you, firstly, agreed that you sent an email on 24 March 2006 to Fujitsu that had, as an attachment to it, a marked-up copy of Gareth Jenkins' witness statement? A. Yes, I do, yeah. Q. Do you agree that, on the last occasion, you denied deleting or typing over the "system failure" reason, the third reason for nil transactions that Mr Jenkins had given? A. Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you that had deleted it because that just wasn't you? Yes, I agree. Now, the Inquiry is in possession of material that may assist us in where the truth lies here and I want to show you that material in a moment. Can we start, please, however, with what I showed you on the last occasion, the email. FUJ00122210. Thank you. If we scroll down, please thank you. So this is your email of 24 March 2006 at 11.37 in the morning. So this is exactly the same one, exactly the same copy that I showed you on the last occasion? Okay. Now, if we just read the two paragraphs of the witness statement: "This statement [Mr Jenkins' statement] needs more work I've attached a suggested draft with a number of comments (as previously mentioned I think the 'system failure normal occurrence' line is potentially very damaging). It may be worth considering someone from our team taking a statement directly from Gareth Jenkins (where is he based?)

(5) Pages 17 - 20

A. Yes, I can, yeah.

A. Yes, we can, yeah.

Q. We can see Neneh Lowther forwarding it at the top, just as we've seen in the image of this email that we've looked at. But, on this occasion, we can actually see

the attachment in the email, can't we --

Q. -- just like when you're sitting at your computer?

25		amendment, please, operator, at random, and then the one 23	25
24	Q.	If we scroll to the second page and just pick any	24
23	Α.	Yeah.	23
22	-	Okay?	22
21		amendment, we can see that they're all made by you.	21
20		If we just scroll down and hover again over each	20
19		course of 52 minutes.	19
18		if we hovered over it, was made at 11.13 am, so over the	18
17		there that's it at 10.21, and the last amendment,	17
16		was the first one we were actually hovering over	16
15		amendment was made at 10.21 in the morning, I think that	15
14		wrote down the times of them, take it from me the first	14
13	Q.	Now, we can see, if we hovered above each amendment and	13
12	Α.	Yes.	12
11		in comment boxes on the right-hand side, yes?	11
10		side, if there are any formatting changes, those appear	10
9	Q.	Then the third thing we can see is, on the right-hand	9
8	Α.	No, that would be me.	8
7		right, by you? Nobody else that access to your account?	7
6		10.28 in the morning on 24 March 2006 and, would this be	6
5		one we can see that that first amendment was made at	5
4	Q.		4
3	Α.	Yes, I can.	3
2		date on which they made it, yes; can you see that?	2
1		amendment and the time at which they made it and the	1
20		24 March, 11.57. exactly the same email. Tes? 21	23
24 25		foot of the page there, that's being displayed, 24 March, 11.37: exactly the same email. Yes?	24 25
23 24	Q.		23 24
22		Yes, I can, yeah.	22
21		your screen?	21
20		We've got the original email. Can you see that on	20
19		to the original of this email.	19
18		Can we now, please, switch on our system at this end	18
17		an image of an email?	17
16		an imaged copy, which is what this is here, it's	16
15		displayed at the moment and we looked at that in	15
14		version of it is FUJ00122211 neither of those need be	14
13		document, I gave it the name POL00047895, another	13
12		as a separate document. I then went to another	12
11	Q.	Now, on the last occasion, we looked at that attachment	11
10	Α.	Yes.	10
9	-	yes?	9
8		directly, with the attachment that you had included,	8
7		Lowther forwards that email on to Gareth Jenkins	7
6		If we just scroll up, please, we can see Neneh	6
5		"Let me know what you think of the draft."	5
4		there, and then:	4
3		Then the attached file, which you can see described	3
2		normal occurrences'."	2

ч.	Just into whom you're sitting at your computer?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	This is a more conventional format of an email which is
	attaching a Word document and the difference is we can
	now open the email attachment. So let's open that.
	Thank you.
	If we just scroll down to look at it. Thank you.
	I think this will be the more conventional format of
	a Word document that you, in your working life, would
	have been used to seeing; would that be right?
Α.	Yes, it would, yeah.
Q.	Where amendments have been made to a Word document,
α.	-
	I think we can see three things: firstly, if it's
	enabled and here it was the changes are tracked by
	being put in red and underlined, yes? That's the first
	thing we can see.
Α.	Yes, I can see that.
Q.	Then the second thing is, if we hover, as the operator
ч.	kindly has, above an amendment, we can see who made the
	22
	in the middle, thank you; then the middle of the page;
	then do one at the bottom of the page; then over the
	page, yes?
•	
A.	Yes.
Q.	All of the amendments made by you. So these were all
	made by you, Graham C Ward. This isn't a case of
	somebody else surreptitiously logging in to your system
	and pretending to be you; these are amendments made by
	you?
Α.	That's correct, yes.
Q.	Can we go back to page 1 and look at the substance,
ч.	
	then. The first thing you do in that second paragraph
	is you're effectively writing in, to Mr Jenkins' witness
	statement, him exhibiting three ARQs; is that right? So
	it says:
	"Audit Record Queries (ARQs) 401, 459 and 460
	I was asked to produce information relating to 'Nil'
	transactions during the period specified. I have
	0 1 1
	produced three spreadsheets which I now produce as
	exhibits GIJ01, GIJ02 and GIJ03."
	Yes? So that's you writing into Mr Jenkins'
	statement the exhibiting by him of the responses to
	three ARQs, yes?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	Then scrolling down. Is this right: you effectively
	24

1		explain the format of those three ARQs?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Okay, I've got no questions about that but, if we go to
4		the second page, please, and look at the second big
5		paragraph down. The one beginning "There are three
6		main". Originally the statement read:
7		"There are three main reasons why a zero value
8		transaction may be generated as part of the banking
9		system."
10		If we hover above the word "main", you deleted that,
11		didn't you?
12	Α.	Yeah.
13	Q.	Then you added in the words "if these are the main
14		reasons, what are the rest?" As we established on the
15		last occasion, that was you asking a clarificatory
16		question, correct?
17	Α.	That's correct, yeah.
18	Q.	Then the three reasons were set out in the witness
19		statement:
20		"[1] The transaction has no financial effect
21		(ie a balance enquiry or a PIN change).
22		"[2] The transaction has been declined by the Bank.
23		"[3] There has been some sort of System Failure.
24		Such failures are normal occurrences."
25		If we hover above those, you deleted those words,
		25
		25
1		25 intention for it to be removed from that statement
1 2	Q.	
	Q.	intention for it to be removed from that statement
2	Q.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the
2 3	Q.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the
2 3 4	Q.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the
2 3 4 5	Q.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	А.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	А.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to accept that failures in the system are normal and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	А.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to accept that failures in the system are normal and therefore me well support the postmaster's claim that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	А.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to accept that failures in the system are normal and therefore me well support the postmaster's claim that the system is to blame for the losses!!!!"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	А.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to accept that failures in the system are normal and therefore me well support the postmaster's claim that the system is to blame for the losses!!!!" Do you agree that discloses your motive for deleting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to accept that failures in the system are normal and therefore me well support the postmaster's claim that the system is to blame for the losses!!!!" Do you agree that discloses your motive for deleting the third explanation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	А.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to accept that failures in the system are normal and therefore me well support the postmaster's claim that the system is to blame for the losses!!!!" Do you agree that discloses your motive for deleting the third explanation? No, I don't agree with that. I'm just reviewing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to accept that failures in the system are normal and therefore me well support the postmaster's claim that the system is to blame for the losses!!!!" Do you agree that discloses your motive for deleting the third explanation? No, I don't agree with that. I'm just reviewing a statement. You know, I can see how it looks now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to accept that failures in the system are normal and therefore me well support the postmaster's claim that the system is to blame for the losses!!!!" Do you agree that discloses your motive for deleting the third explanation? No, I don't agree with that. I'm just reviewing a statement. You know, I can see how it looks now, I really can.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to accept that failures in the system are normal and therefore me well support the postmaster's claim that the system is to blame for the losses!!!!" Do you agree that discloses your motive for deleting the third explanation? No, I don't agree with that. I'm just reviewing a statement. You know, I can see how it looks now, I really can. Never mind how it looks now. Do you agree that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to accept that failures in the system are normal and therefore me well support the postmaster's claim that the system is to blame for the losses!!!!" Do you agree that discloses your motive for deleting the third explanation? No, I don't agree with that. I'm just reviewing a statement. You know, I can see how it looks now, I really can. Never mind how it looks now. Do you agree that the connection between the deletion of the words and what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to accept that failures in the system are normal and therefore me well support the postmaster's claim that the system is to blame for the losses!!!!" Do you agree that discloses your motive for deleting the third explanation? No, I don't agree with that. I'm just reviewing a statement. You know, I can see how it looks now, I really can. Never mind how it looks now. Do you agree that the connection between the deletion of the words and what was in your mind is shown by the explanation that you've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	А. Q. Q.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to accept that failures in the system are normal and therefore me well support the postmaster's claim that the system is to blame for the losses!!!!" Do you agree that discloses your motive for deleting the third explanation? No, I don't agree with that. I'm just reviewing a statement. You know, I can see how it looks now, I really can. Never mind how it looks now. Do you agree that the connection between the deletion of the words and what was in your mind is shown by the explanation that you've added in round brackets?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	intention for it to be removed from that statement Let's put aside the intention issue for the moment, Mr Ward. On the last occasion you denied deleting the third reason: you said, "It's just not me. I know the type of person I am". Do you agree that, in fact, you did delete that third explanation from Mr Jenkins' witness statement? I can see that now, yes. Can we turn to what you wrote after your deletion: "This a really poor choice of words which seems to accept that failures in the system are normal and therefore me well support the postmaster's claim that the system is to blame for the losses!!!!" Do you agree that discloses your motive for deleting the third explanation? No, I don't agree with that. I'm just reviewing a statement. You know, I can see how it looks now, I really can. Never mind how it looks now. Do you agree that the connection between the deletion of the words and what was in your mind is shown by the explanation that you've

25 if it's left there, it might support the postmaster,

- didn't you? 1 2 Α. Well, yeah, I mean, I've obviously put a line through it but, as I said at the outset, what I was doing here was 3 reviewing this statement. My intention was not to 4 insist on anything being put in or removed. I'm just 5 6 reviewing it as I was asked to do. 7 Q. In what way is reviewing a statement consistent with putting a line through something and deleting it? 8 A. Well, it was -- I can't explain that. I really can't. 9 10 **Q.** You agree that you deleted the words -- the third reason 11 that Mr Jenkins had given for a nil transaction value appearing -- "There has been some sort of System 12 13 Failure, such failures are normal occurrences"; you 14 agree that you deleted those words? 15 Α. I've put a line through it, yes, I can see, and it's 16 deleted, and that's what it says on there. But my 17 intention was absolutely not to have anything deleted from that statement that Mr Jenkins wasn't happy with at 18 19 all. I was just trying to help him and to review 20 a statement. 21 Q. Do you agree with this, Mr Ward: that, contrary to your 22 denial on the last occasion, both in answer to questions 23 from me and from the Chairman, you did delete the third 24 explanation? 25 **A.** I can see that I've deleted it, yes, but it was not my 26 1 Mr Thomas', defence, agreed? 2 A. As I've said, you know, my intention was not for 3 anything to be deleted but I can see that, yes, that's 4 how it looks. 5 **Q.** Do you agree that the information that you deleted was material to the prosecution of Mr Jenkins (sic)? 6 7 Α. Yes 8 Q. Do you agree that, by the time that you deleted it, you knew that a prosecution had been commenced against him? 9 10 A. Yes, I do --SIR WYN WILLIAMS: There may be some confusion. You said, 11 "Mr Jenkins", Mr Beer --12 13 MR BEER: I'm so sorry. 14 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: -- but we all know Mr Thomas --MR BEER: Mr Thomas, I'm so sorry. 15 16 I'll ask the question again: did you know that, by 17 the time of your deletion, a prosecution had been 18 commenced by Mr Thomas? A. Yes, I knew there was a prosecution against Mr Thomas. 19 20 Q. Do you accept that, by your conduct, you caused to be 21 removed material information from Mr Jenkins' witness 22 statement at the time that a prosecution was afoot 23 against Mr Thomas? 24 Α. No, I don't. I think the decision to remove that had to
 - 25 have been Mr Jenkins' decision. I've made a comment and 28

1		I've made a review and I accept that I shouldn't have
2		been doing that, but the final decision to make that
3		statement and for the you know, for what went in
4		there, that was for Mr Jenkins to decide.
5	Q.	You've agreed, I think, that your reason for deleting
6		that line from the statement was that it might support
7		the defendant's case that Horizon was to blame for the
8		losses that were being attributed to him, agreed?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	If we read on, as per the original witness statement, it
11		said:
12		"Each transaction has with it a response code
13		field, which identifies what has happened. Those values
14		are included (together with their descriptions) in the
15		"
16		Originally it said "ARQs" and you've added
17		"Spreadsheets produced".
18		Then "In summary". I'm going to skip over the next
19		two paragraphs which deal with values of 1 or between 2
20		and 10, but the third one, which you do amend is:
21		"[A response code] RespCd with a value greater than
22		10 implies some sort of system failure The actual
23		value provides further information as to the nature of
24		the failure within the overall system."
25		So, rather oddly, you've left that in the witness
		29
1	Q.	Why did you delete it?
2	Q. A.	As I've said, yes, it looks I've put a line through
2	Π.	it and I've put, you know, in brackets that I think it's
5		1. and 1.ve put, you know, in plackets that I think it s

- 4 a poor choice of words but that is just me reviewing it.
- 5 I'm not, for one second, suggesting anything needs to be 6 removed from the finalised statement.
- 7 Q. You didn't just say it was a poor choice of words. In
- 8 the brackets, you said that, if we leave those words in, 9 it "may well support the subpostmaster's claim that the
- 10 system is to blame for the losses". That's what was 11 motivating you, wasn't it?
- No, I don't believe it was, no. I mean it's just a poor 12 Α. 13 choice of words.
- 14 Poor choice of words by who? Q.
- Well, by me, obviously. 15 Α.
- Why, when you spent 52 minutes amending this witness 16 Q. 17 statement, did you select "a poor choice of words"?
- A. Well, I don't know. I really don't know. I'm just 18
- going to repeat what I've said. I mean, I haven't got 19 20 anything else I can add to it. I was trying to
- 21 genuinely help put a statement together for him.
- 22 This -- you know, back in 2006, Horizon integrity just
- 23 wasn't an issue for us at all and this was a fairly new
- 24 statement, as I said on my previous evidence, I think,
- 25 a banking transaction witness statement. So I was just 31

- statement, haven't you? 1
- 2 Α. Yes.

4

- Q. Was that just a mistake by you, that it ought to have 3
 - been, if you were acting consistently with your earlier
- deletion, to have been deleted as well, ie the mention 6 of a system failure?
- 7 A. No, not at all. It's not a mistake. I mean, there's
- 8 just a bit more context.
- 9 **Q.** What does that mean, Mr Ward? You deleted the system
- 10 failure reason why zero transactions may be generated, 11 but left in an explanation of the response code values
- relating to system failure? 12
- 13 Well, as I say, you know, going back 18 years, or Α.
- 14 whatever it is now, I can't really remember what I would
- have been thinking then but the fact that that paragraph 15
- 16 there has a little bit more information in it, you know,
- 17 made sense to me then.
- 18 What do you mean, that paragraph had a bit more Q. 19 information, made sense to you?
- 20 A. Because it's got a value greater than 10 implies some
- 21 sort of system failure. There's a bit more information
- 22 than just saying "system failure". That is all I can
- 23 think that I would have been thinking at that time.
- 24 Q. But you wanted the system failure reason deleted?
- 25 A. I didn't want it deleted, no. 30

1		trying to get the statement correct. That was my
2		intention and I'm really sorry for the way it comes
3		across, I really am, but I was trying to just do my job.
4	Q.	That last paragraph there, the one that says, "[Response
5		code] with a value greater than 10", you're not saying
6		to us that you left that in the witness statement
7		because you thought that that was a sufficient
8		explanation to a court and gave adequate disclosure to
9		a defendant and that that was, therefore, justification
10		for deleting the third reason from Mr Jenkins' list?
11	Α.	Well, I really don't know. But I just think there's
12		more context to it there. That's all I can say. I'm
13		sorry, it's such a long time ago. I just really just do
14		not remember this at all.
15	Q.	Can I suggest one explanation: that this was a rather
16		sloppy attempt at covering up, in criminal proceedings,
17		evidence of system faults within Horizon and that, if
18		you'd been pursuing your motive properly, you would have
19		also deleted that last paragraph that we've just read.
20		It's just a mistake by you that you haven't seen through
21		the task that you were setting for yourself.
22	Α.	No, that's absolutely not. I wouldn't agree there. I'm
23		not trying to cover anything up at all. I'm just trying

- 24 to get a statement correct.
- 25 Q. Your motive was that you didn't want to disclose, in the 32

1		prosecution of Noel Thomas, information that suggested	1		because it's potentially very damaging"?
2		that a fault in Horizon could have caused nil	2	Α.	There is no motive from me to remove anything because,
3		transactions, agreed?	3		at the time, there was absolutely, in my head, no issues
4	Α.	No, I don't agree.	4		with Horizon at all.
5	Q.	Can we look, please, lastly, at the original email.	5	Q.	If we look at the second paragraph:
6		FUJ00122210. Look at your email if we just scroll down.	6		"Whilst there is some urgency with this, it is more
7		Thank you. You say:	7		important to get it right and ensure we are not
8		"This statement needs more work"	8		embarrassed at court, which we certainly could be if we
9		That's the statement we've just read.	9		produced a statement accepting 'system failures are
10		" I've attached a suggested draft with a number	10		normal occurrences'."
11		of comments"	11		Again, that's the third occasion, one in the witness
12		Then you say:	12		statement itself, in your comment, and the other two in
13		" (as previously mentioned, I think the 'system	13		the covering email, this email, that reveals what was
14		failure normal occurrence' line is potentially very	14		operating on your mind, agreed?
15		damaging)."	15	Α.	I think what I take from that is, it's more important to
16		So the very line that you deleted, you recognised to	16		get it right because I want to get the statement right.
17		be "potentially very damaging", agreed?	17		I didn't think there were any issues with Horizon. We
18	Α.	Yes, but I just wanted more context on it, as I've said.	18		weren't told there were any issues with Horizon. So the
19	Q.	You say that that line that you deleted was "potentially	19		idea that I'd be covering anything up just wouldn't have
20		very damaging". That, again, draws a direct line	20		been in my head at all.
21		between the reason for the deletion of the line and the	21	Q.	Why did you say, "we need to ensure we're not
22		deletion of the line, doesn't it?	22		embarrassed at court, which we could be if we produced
23	Α.	I can see that it looks that way, yes.	23		a statement accepting 'system failures are normal
24	Q.	Well, it is that way, isn't it? That's the motive,	24		occurrences"'?
25		right there, in black and white: "I'm deleting it 33	25	Α.	Because I wanted more context on what system failures 34
4					
1		there were rather	1	0	Why did you delete it?
1	0.	there were, rather In which case, you would have typed. Mr Ward, "Can	1 2		Why did you delete it? I didn't delete it as I've said to you
2	Q.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can	2	Α.	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you.
2 3	Q.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system	2 3	A. Q.	l didn't delete it, as l've said to you. In what sense is striking through
2 3 4	Q.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures"	2 3 4	A. Q. A.	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see
2 3 4 5	Q.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We	2 3 4 5	A. Q. A.	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's	2 3 4 5 6	A. Q. A.	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q. A. Q.	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence".	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q.	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q.	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	А. Q. Q. А.	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	А. Q. Q. А.	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting that somebody from the team should go and take the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	А. Q. Q. А.	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting that somebody from the team should go and take the statement directly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. Q. A.	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask you. Sir, do you have any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting that somebody from the team should go and take the statement directly. Your motive was a desire that the Post Office was not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. Q. A. A. SIR	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask you. Sir, do you have any questions? WYN WILLIAMS: No. Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting that somebody from the team should go and take the statement directly. Your motive was a desire that the Post Office was not embarrassed at court by revealing that Horizon had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14	A. Q. Q. A. A. SIR	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask you. Sir, do you have any questions? WYN WILLIAMS: No. Thank you very much. BEER: Sir, that's all of the evidence from Mr Ward this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting that somebody from the team should go and take the statement directly. Your motive was a desire that the Post Office was not embarrassed at court by revealing that Horizon had defects, system errors, wasn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. Q. A. A. SIR	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask you. Sir, do you have any questions? WYN WILLIAMS: No. Thank you very much. BEER: Sir, that's all of the evidence from Mr Ward this morning. Because he's remote, we need to take a break
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting that somebody from the team should go and take the statement directly. Your motive was a desire that the Post Office was not embarrassed at court by revealing that Horizon had defects, system errors, wasn't it? No, not at all. My desire was that the statement was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. SIR MR	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask you. Sir, do you have any questions? WYN WILLIAMS: No. Thank you very much. BEER: Sir, that's all of the evidence from Mr Ward this morning. Because he's remote, we need to take a break now until we move to our next witness, who is live.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting that somebody from the team should go and take the statement directly. Your motive was a desire that the Post Office was not embarrassed at court by revealing that Horizon had defects, system errors, wasn't it? No, not at all. My desire was that the statement was produced correctly. I was unaware that there were bugs,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. SIR MR	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask you. Sir, do you have any questions? WYN WILLIAMS: No. Thank you very much. BEER: Sir, that's all of the evidence from Mr Ward this morning. Because he's remote, we need to take a break now until we move to our next witness, who is live. WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting that somebody from the team should go and take the statement directly. Your motive was a desire that the Post Office was not embarrassed at court by revealing that Horizon had defects, system errors, wasn't it? No, not at all. My desire was that the statement was produced correctly. I was unaware that there were bugs, errors and defects in the Horizon system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. SIR MR	 I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see - a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask you. Sir, do you have any questions? WYN WILLIAMS: No. Thank you very much. BEER: Sir, that's all of the evidence from Mr Ward this morning. Because he's remote, we need to take a break now until we move to our next witness, who is live. WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. All right. Well, thank you for returning to give evidence,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting that somebody from the team should go and take the statement directly. Your motive was a desire that the Post Office was not embarrassed at court by revealing that Horizon had defects, system errors, wasn't it? No, not at all. My desire was that the statement was produced correctly. I was unaware that there were bugs, errors and defects in the Horizon system. Mr Jenkins was saying that there are defects in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. SIR MR	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask you. Sir, do you have any questions? WYN WILLIAMS: No. Thank you very much. BEER: Sir, that's all of the evidence from Mr Ward this morning. Because he's remote, we need to take a break now until we move to our next witness, who is live. WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. All right. Well, thank you for returning to give evidence, Mr Ward. That completes your evidence to the Inquiry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting that somebody from the team should go and take the statement directly. Your motive was a desire that the Post Office was not embarrassed at court by revealing that Horizon had defects, system errors, wasn't it? No, not at all. My desire was that the statement was produced correctly. I was unaware that there were bugs, errors and defects in the Horizon system. Mr Jenkins was saying that there are defects in the system, in his witness statement, and you were deleting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. SIR SIR	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask you. Sir, do you have any questions? WYN WILLIAMS: No. Thank you very much. BEER: Sir, that's all of the evidence from Mr Ward this morning. Because he's remote, we need to take a break now until we move to our next witness, who is live. WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. All right. Well, thank you for returning to give evidence, Mr Ward. That completes your evidence to the Inquiry. So we'll now have a ten-minute break, Mr Beer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. Q.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting that somebody from the team should go and take the statement directly. Your motive was a desire that the Post Office was not embarrassed at court by revealing that Horizon had defects, system errors, wasn't it? No, not at all. My desire was that the statement was produced correctly. I was unaware that there were bugs, errors and defects in the Horizon system. Mr Jenkins was saying that there are defects in the system, in his witness statement, and you were deleting that information?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. SIR SIR	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask you. Sir, do you have any questions? WYN WILLIAMS: No. Thank you very much. BEER: Sir, that's all of the evidence from Mr Ward this morning. Because he's remote, we need to take a break now until we move to our next witness, who is live. WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. All right. Well, thank you for returning to give evidence, Mr Ward. That completes your evidence to the Inquiry. So we'll now have a ten-minute break, Mr Beer? BEER: Yes, I think probably 15, actually, to get the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	А. Q. Q. А.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting that somebody from the team should go and take the statement directly. Your motive was a desire that the Post Office was not embarrassed at court by revealing that Horizon had defects, system errors, wasn't it? No, not at all. My desire was that the statement was produced correctly. I was unaware that there were bugs, errors and defects in the Horizon system. Mr Jenkins was saying that there are defects in the system, in his witness statement, and you were deleting that information? He said there were system failures.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. MR SIR SIR MR	 I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see - a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask you. Sir, do you have any questions? WYN WILLIAMS: No. Thank you very much. BEER: Sir, that's all of the evidence from Mr Ward this morning. Because he's remote, we need to take a break now until we move to our next witness, who is live. WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. All right. Well, thank you for returning to give evidence, Mr Ward. That completes your evidence to the Inquiry. So we'll now have a ten-minute break, Mr Beer? BEER: Yes, I think probably 15, actually, to get the screens out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. Q.	In which case, you would have typed, Mr Ward, "Can I have some more context, please, on what system failures are?", rather than deleting "system failures" from the witness statement and saying, three times, "We need to delete this because it's damaging, it's potentially embarrassing, and it would assist the subpostmaster's defence". I can see how you would say that, yes. I do understand that but, as I've said, I don't recall finalising the final statement either and that's why I'm suggesting that somebody from the team should go and take the statement directly. Your motive was a desire that the Post Office was not embarrassed at court by revealing that Horizon had defects, system errors, wasn't it? No, not at all. My desire was that the statement was produced correctly. I was unaware that there were bugs, errors and defects in the Horizon system. Mr Jenkins was saying that there are defects in the system, in his witness statement, and you were deleting that information?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. MR SIR SIR MR	I didn't delete it, as I've said to you. In what sense is striking through I can see a sentence in tracked changes and providing three explanations why that should be removed not a case of deletion? I can see how it looks, I really can, and I'm sorry it looks that way but I can assure you, my intention was not for that to be deleted. BEER: Mr Ward, they are the only questions that I ask you. Sir, do you have any questions? WYN WILLIAMS: No. Thank you very much. BEER: Sir, that's all of the evidence from Mr Ward this morning. Because he's remote, we need to take a break now until we move to our next witness, who is live. WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. All right. Well, thank you for returning to give evidence, Mr Ward. That completes your evidence to the Inquiry. So we'll now have a ten-minute break, Mr Beer? BEER: Yes, I think probably 15, actually, to get the

35

(9) Pages 33 - 36

1	MR	BEER: 10.50?
2	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: 10.50, yes.
3	(10	.37 am)
4		(A short break)
5	(10	.52 am)
6	MS	HODGE: Good morning, sir, can you see and hear us?
7	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you very much.
8	MS	HODGE: Our next witness is Anthony Kearns.
9	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
10	MS	HODGE: Please can the witness be sworn.
11		ANTHONY PAUL KEARNS (sworn)
12		Questioned by MS HODGE
13	MS	HODGE: Mr Kearns, as you know, my name is Ms Hodge and
14		I ask questions on behalf of the Inquiry. Please give
15		your full name.
16	Α.	Anthony Paul Kearns.
17	Q.	You should have in front of you a witness statement
18		dated 9 May this year. Have you got that there, please?
19	Α.	I have.
20	Q.	This is the second statement which you've provided to
21		the Inquiry; is that correct?
22	Α.	It is.
23	Q.	It runs to 15 pages. Can I ask you, please, to turn to
24		page 14 of that statement.
25	Α.	Yes. 37
		51
1	Α.	It is.
2	Q.	Thank you. Now, in terms of your roles and
3		
		responsibilities, you were previously employed as
4		an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers
5		an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right?
5 6	Α.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct.
5 6 7	A. Q.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary
5 6 7 8		an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU
5 6 7 8 9		an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is
5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is that right?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is that right? Correct.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is that right? Correct. This would have included post office counter clerks who
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is that right? Correct. This would have included post office counter clerks who were employed at Crown Office branches, staff working in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is that right? Correct. This would have included post office counter clerks who were employed at Crown Office branches, staff working in cash centres and administration staff working in the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is that right? Correct. This would have included post office counter clerks who were employed at Crown Office branches, staff working in cash centres and administration staff working in the Post Office's back offices; is that right?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is that right? Correct. This would have included post office counter clerks who were employed at Crown Office branches, staff working in cash centres and administration staff working in the Post Office's back offices; is that right? Correct.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is that right? Correct. This would have included post office counter clerks who were employed at Crown Office branches, staff working in cash centres and administration staff working in the Post Office's back offices; is that right? Correct. You say that, since 2002, you've been employed as the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is that right? Correct. This would have included post office counter clerks who were employed at Crown Office branches, staff working in cash centres and administration staff working in the Post Office's back offices; is that right? Correct. You say that, since 2002, you've been employed as the Senior Deputy General Secretary of the Communication
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is that right? Correct. This would have included post office counter clerks who were employed at Crown Office branches, staff working in cash centres and administration staff working in the Post Office's back offices; is that right? Correct. You say that, since 2002, you've been employed as the Senior Deputy General Secretary of the Communication Workers Union; is that right?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is that right? Correct. This would have included post office counter clerks who were employed at Crown Office branches, staff working in cash centres and administration staff working in the Post Office's back offices; is that right? Correct. You say that, since 2002, you've been employed as the Senior Deputy General Secretary of the Communication Workers Union; is that right?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is that right? Correct. This would have included post office counter clerks who were employed at Crown Office branches, staff working in cash centres and administration staff working in the Post Office's back offices; is that right? Correct. You say that, since 2002, you've been employed as the Senior Deputy General Secretary of the Communication Workers Union; is that right? That's correct. You were elected to perform that role by the members of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	an Assistant Secretary of the Communication Workers Union between 1997 and 2002; is that right? That's correct. Whilst employed in that role, your primary responsibility was to promote the interests of CWU members who were employed by Post Office Limited; is that right? Correct. This would have included post office counter clerks who were employed at Crown Office branches, staff working in cash centres and administration staff working in the Post Office's back offices; is that right? Correct. You say that, since 2002, you've been employed as the Senior Deputy General Secretary of the Communication Workers Union; is that right?

- 24 Q. In your statement, you say you've not had any direct
- responsibility for Post Office matters since your 25

on IT	' Inq	uiry 20 June 2024
1	Q.	Do you see your signature there at the end of the
2		statement?
3	Α.	It's actually not on this hard copy that I've got.
4	Q.	If you could just bear with us. We'll ensure that you
5		have a copy with your signature.
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	Thank you. You can see you signature there at the end
8		of the statement dated 9 May this year?
9	Α.	(The witness nodded)
10	Q.	That's right?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	Thank you. Is the content of that statement true to the
13		best of your knowledge and belief?
14	Α.	It is.
15	Q.	Thank you. That statement will stand as your evidence
16		to the Inquiry in Phases 5 and 6. I shall be asking you
17		some questions that seek to expand upon and clarify some
18		aspects of your evidence.
19		You first appeared before the Inquiry on 29 November
20		2022, when we were examining issues of relevance to
21		Phase 2; is that correct?
22	Α.	Correct.
23	Q.	I don't intend to revisit those issues with you, save to
24		the extent that they are relevant to the issues that
25		we're examining in Phases 5 and 6; is that clear? 38

- 1 appointment as Senior Deputy General Secretary; is that 2 right?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- **Q.** Who within the senior leadership of the Communication 4 5 Workers Union has direct had direct responsibility for
- 6 postal matters since 2002?
- A. Andy Furey. 7
- 8 Q. Thank you. I'd like, very briefly, to revisit some of 9 the evidence which you gave on the last occasion about
- 10 your role as a member of the Horizon Working Group
- during 1999 to 2000. This was at a time when you were 11
- 12 employed as Assistant Secretary with responsibility for
- Post Office employees; is that right? 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. The Horizon Working Group had been established to
- 16 oversee the operational live trial and later the
- 17 national rollout of the Horizon system to the Post
- 18 Office Network; is that correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. You confirmed on the last occasion that you gave
- 21 evidence to the Inquiry that you were made aware of
- 22 technical issues being raised during the live trial; is
- 23 that right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. You understood these to relate to balancing; is that 40

1		right?	1		branches?
2	Α.	I was informed by Crown Office staff on visits that	2	Α.	Correct.
3		I would make to local branches that some individuals	3	Q.	Were these problems with balancing an issue that you
4		were experiencing difficulties with balancing, following	4		were recording or tracking at a national level within
5		the introduction of the Horizon project.	5		the Communication Workers Union at the time?
6	Q.	Just to confirm, by "balancing", you mean whether the	6	Α.	No, we had a process, which I think I outline in my
7		money received in and paid out by the branch was	7		witness statement, for how losses and gains or incorre
8		accurately recorded in the weekly financial accounts; is	8		balances were dealt with through a system losses and
9		that right?	9		gains procedure that was in place at the time and
10	Α.	Each counter clerk employed at a Crown Office is	10		subsequent to the Horizon project.
11		responsibility for their own individual balance on	11	Q.	We'll come on shortly to that but just dealing firstly
12		a Wednesday, the end of the balancing week, and that's	12		with the issue of how the issue was being, let's say,
13		when that balance takes place.	13		monitored, I think your evidence is it wasn't, at
14	Q.	I think you said on the last occasion that problems with	14		a national level; is that fair? That is to say that you
15		balancing had been reported to you before Horizon was	15		weren't keeping records or you weren't tracking the
16		implemented; that's correct, is it not?	16		number of branches or the number of staff who were
17	Α.	Yeah, we regularly had staff who reported difficulties	17		having difficulties with balancing?
18		with balancing prior to, and subsequent to, the	18	Α.	No, there was no facility or process for that.
19		introduction of Horizon.	19	Q.	Would it be fair to say that you were relying on those
20	Q.	But you said that, after the introduction of Horizon, it	20		local procedures to identify whether balancing problem
21		was your perception at the time that the number of	21		were caused by the system or by the performance of the
22		people reporting problems had increased?	22		employee?
23	Α.	Yes.	23	Α.	Yes, that would be dealt with through that losses and
24	Q.	Is that right? I think you've just confirmed that that	24		gains procedure, at various levels.
25		was information you obtained during your visits to local 41	25	Q.	Do you think that local representatives and branch 42
1		managers would have had sufficient knowledge or	1		time, and still through to today, is to get these
2		understanding to identify whether a balancing problem	2		matters dealt with, for want of a better phrase, at the
3		was caused by the system or by the employee's	3		coalface, at the lowest common place you can deal wi
4		performance?	4		So people having local firsthand knowledge would be
5	Α.	I couldn't say whether they were able to identify	5		office rep; subsequent to that would be the area rep.
6		specifically whether a misbalance, a loss or a gain, was	6		So if someone found themselves in difficulties over the
7	~	directly attributable to the system.	7		losses and gains procedure and was going to be calle
8	Q.	If you were not tracking the issue at a national level,	8		for interview, they would contact their local rep or
0		how would your local reps know whether they were dealing	9		their union branch and they would deal with the issue.
9 10		with an isolated incident or an issue affecting several	10		So those issues were dealt with predominantly by
10		branches or employees?	11	~	at a local level. I understand the point you're making but I think that
10 11			10		
10 11 12	Α.	I think I made in my last in one of my statements or	12	ω.	
10 11 12 13	Α.	the last time I gave evidence so we have a network of	13	ч.	the gist of my point is this: if it's not being looked
10 11 12 13 14	Α.	the last time I gave evidence so we have a network of representatives who operate at local branch level, sort	13 14	ч.	the gist of my point is this: if it's not being looked at at a national level, would those local reps and those
10 11 12 13 14 15	Α.	the last time I gave evidence so we have a network of representatives who operate at local branch level, sort of area level, regional level, and then a national	13 14 15	ч.	the gist of my point is this: if it's not being looked at at a national level, would those local reps and those local branches would they be able to know that this is
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Α.	the last time I gave evidence so we have a network of representatives who operate at local branch level, sort of area level, regional level, and then a national executive, and what would happen if somebody was so	13 14 15 16	ч.	the gist of my point is this: if it's not being looked at at a national level, would those local reps and those local branches would they be able to know that this is something that was affecting more than one area, do
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Α.	the last time I gave evidence so we have a network of representatives who operate at local branch level, sort of area level, regional level, and then a national executive, and what would happen if somebody was so there was a process in place, a losses and gains	13 14 15 16 17		the gist of my point is this: if it's not being looked at at a national level, would those local reps and those local branches would they be able to know that this is something that was affecting more than one area, do y think?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	the last time I gave evidence so we have a network of representatives who operate at local branch level, sort of area level, regional level, and then a national executive, and what would happen if somebody was so there was a process in place, a losses and gains procedure, and what would happen is, if somebody was	13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	the gist of my point is this: if it's not being looked at at a national level, would those local reps and those local branches would they be able to know that this is something that was affecting more than one area, do think? Yeah, we would have regular meetings of local reps
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	Α.	the last time I gave evidence so we have a network of representatives who operate at local branch level, sort of area level, regional level, and then a national executive, and what would happen if somebody was so there was a process in place, a losses and gains procedure, and what would happen is, if somebody was considered by the Post Office to be falling foul of that	13 14 15 16 17 18 19		the gist of my point is this: if it's not being looked at at a national level, would those local reps and those local branches would they be able to know that this is something that was affecting more than one area, do think? Yeah, we would have regular meetings of local reps would meet regularly at branch level. Area reps,
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Α.	the last time I gave evidence so we have a network of representatives who operate at local branch level, sort of area level, regional level, and then a national executive, and what would happen if somebody was so there was a process in place, a losses and gains procedure, and what would happen is, if somebody was considered by the Post Office to be falling foul of that procedure, they would be called for interview.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		the gist of my point is this: if it's not being looked at at a national level, would those local reps and those local branches would they be able to know that this is something that was affecting more than one area, do think? Yeah, we would have regular meetings of local reps would meet regularly at branch level. Area reps, regional reps, would get together and discuss the
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Α.	the last time I gave evidence so we have a network of representatives who operate at local branch level, sort of area level, regional level, and then a national executive, and what would happen if somebody was so there was a process in place, a losses and gains procedure, and what would happen is, if somebody was considered by the Post Office to be falling foul of that procedure, they would be called for interview. They would talk to their local rep or their area rep	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		the gist of my point is this: if it's not being looked at at a national level, would those local reps and those local branches would they be able to know that this is something that was affecting more than one area, do think? Yeah, we would have regular meetings of local reps would meet regularly at branch level. Area reps, regional reps, would get together and discuss the problems that they were facing, how best to deal with
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Α.	the last time I gave evidence so we have a network of representatives who operate at local branch level, sort of area level, regional level, and then a national executive, and what would happen if somebody was so there was a process in place, a losses and gains procedure, and what would happen is, if somebody was considered by the Post Office to be falling foul of that procedure, they would be called for interview. They would talk to their local rep or their area rep or their regional rep and ask for representation. So	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	А.	the gist of my point is this: if it's not being looked at at a national level, would those local reps and those local branches would they be able to know that this is something that was affecting more than one area, do think? Yeah, we would have regular meetings of local reps would meet regularly at branch level. Area reps, regional reps, would get together and discuss the problems that they were facing, how best to deal with them.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Α.	the last time I gave evidence so we have a network of representatives who operate at local branch level, sort of area level, regional level, and then a national executive, and what would happen if somebody was so there was a process in place, a losses and gains procedure, and what would happen is, if somebody was considered by the Post Office to be falling foul of that procedure, they would be called for interview. They would talk to their local rep or their area rep or their regional rep and ask for representation. So dealing with those the idea of that system as the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		the gist of my point is this: if it's not being looked at at a national level, would those local reps and those local branches would they be able to know that this is something that was affecting more than one area, do y think? Yeah, we would have regular meetings of local reps would meet regularly at branch level. Area reps, regional reps, would get together and discuss the problems that they were facing, how best to deal with them. So you would have expected that to have been discus
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Α.	the last time I gave evidence so we have a network of representatives who operate at local branch level, sort of area level, regional level, and then a national executive, and what would happen if somebody was so there was a process in place, a losses and gains procedure, and what would happen is, if somebody was considered by the Post Office to be falling foul of that procedure, they would be called for interview. They would talk to their local rep or their area rep or their regional rep and ask for representation. So	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	А.	the gist of my point is this: if it's not being looked at at a national level, would those local reps and those local branches would they be able to know that this is something that was affecting more than one area, do think? Yeah, we would have regular meetings of local reps would meet regularly at branch level. Area reps, regional reps, would get together and discuss the problems that they were facing, how best to deal with them.

- A. If those reps had a wide scale concern, yeah, I'd expect
 them to discuss it with each other. Standard practice,
- 4 is sort of sharing, you know, "I've got this problem,
- 5 how have you dealt with that? I've got a new problem,
- 6 how have you dealt with that?" That's how reps sort of
- 7 interact and build up their knowledge.
- 8 Q. Now, when you finished in your role as assistant
 9 secretary, to whom did you hand over responsibility in
 2002?
- A. Andy Furey was elected, so it wasn't me handing over
 responsibility. He was elected by the relationship --
- 13 **Q.** Into the role of Assistant Secretary?
- 14 A. Into the role of Assistant Secretary.
- 15 Q. Did you brief him on the knowledge you'd obtained duringyour time as a member of the Horizon Working Group?
- 17 **A.** Andy Furey was an elected member of our national
- 18 executive, prior to being elected to that position, and,
- 19 given that his background was a -- he was directly
- 20 employed by the Post Office as a counter clerk, Crown
- 21 Office staff. He worked closely with me and would
- 22 attend a lot of national negotiations -- so, in reality,
- 23 there was no formal handover, insofar as he'd worked in
- 24 my department with me, for the previous five years. So
- 25 he was more than well aware and was involved in a lot of 45
- 1 A. It is.
- 2 Q. Are you referring here to cases where the accounting3 discrepancies were shown by Horizon?
- 4 A. I'm referring to cases that our members would be called
 5 for interview under the losses and gains procedure.
- 6 Q. You said you were talking about your own personal
 7 experience. Is that before Horizon was implemented or
 8 after Horizon or both?
- 9 A. Both the losses and gains procedure is what was used to,
- 10 for want of a better phrase, measure the ability of
- 11 counter clerks to do their job. I was a counter clerk
- 12 before I was an elected representative and the losses
- 13 and gains procedure, in various formats -- it's been
- 14 rewritten a number of times -- is the measure that
- 15 individual counter clerks are held to see whether or not
- 16 they can do their job. Each individual counter clerk,
- 17 as I explained earlier, balances their stock on
- 18 a Wednesday evening, that would either balance, or it
- 19 would produce a loss or a gain, either of which, in the
- 20 eyes of the Post Office, losses and gains are considered
- 21 to be evidence of an inability to do the job to varying
- degrees, and there can be a variety of reasons whypeople record losses and gains, and then there was
- 24 a process to take people through to investigate why
- 25 those losses and gains have occurred, mostly designed to

- 1 discussions about these matters up to that point.
- 2 Q. So your evidence is you wouldn't have done a formal3 handover; is that right?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. But you think you would have discussed issues, including
 6 issues with the balancing, with him, before he took over
 7 that role?
- A. Myself and Andy worked almost together on a daily basis
 over number of years and anything I was aware of in my
 role, he was aware of.
- 11 **Q.** I'd like to move on, please, to a new topic, another
- 12 topic which concerns the support and the representation
- 13 which was available to members of the Communication
- 14 Workers Union if they were held accountable for
- 15 shortfalls shown by Horizon. Now, you address this at
- 16 paragraph 33 of your second witness statement, please,
- if that could be shown on the screen. WITN06370200, atpage 7.
 - Paragraph 33, please. Thank you. This reads:
- 20 "The CWU has always provided strong and effective
- 21 representation for members accused of accounting
- 22 discrepancies."

the CWU?

19

- 23 Just pausing there, please. Is that assertion based
- 24 upon your personal experience of representing members of
 - 46
- 1 be corrective. 2 Q. Mr Kearns, I wonder if I could please ask you if you can 3 try to slow down in your answers, please, just to assist 4 our stenographer who is making a verbatim record of what 5 you're saying. 6 A. Apologies. 7 Q. Thank you. Forgive me, coming back to the question, 8 please: 9 Is it your evidence that you have personal 10 experience of representing members of the Communication 11 Workers Union who were accused of accounting 12 discrepancies after Horizon was rolled out? 13 Α. Me personally? No. 14 Q. No. Are you aware of any specific cases in which 15 members were asked to account for shortfalls shown by 16 Horizon? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Now, you have stated that your responsibilities relating 19 to the Post Office ceased in 2002. From where have you 20 obtained your knowledge of cases after that date? 21 A. I don't really have any detailed knowledge of cases 22 after that date because it wasn't my responsibility. It 23 wasn't my day job. I've paid a general interest to the 24 union structures and how it represents its members since
- then because that's my job to sort of oversee partly how

't have any firsthand	1	Q.	Now, before we come to the losses and gains procedure,
le in 2002 of individual	2		do you know what type of training union representatives
	3		received to enable them to provide support to staff who
at, as a general assertion	4		found themselves in this situation, where they're being
not one based on any	5		asked to account for discrepancies?
02?	6	Α.	Yes. We would run what we would call schools,
n, what?	7		educational classes every time more or less every
al assertion that the CWU	8		time a major agreement would change and we would get
nd effective representation	9		reps together at varying levels, explain to them any
ounting discrepancies. What	10		changes we'd negotiated in policies and procedures and
h you is the basis of	11		train them through what we would call basic skills we
it you accept is that,	12		now call them skills 1, skills 2 on how to be
nal experience of those	13		an effective rep, how to engage with an individual who
any information about any	14		might be having problems, how to represent that to the
	15		employer. Yeah, we had an education and training
perience and I didn't deal	16	~	programme, designed to do just that.
y assertion about the CWU	17	Q.	Now, you go on to say at paragraph 33, that the:
rep structures that we had	18		"CWU collective agreements including the 'Losses and
sues, it's changed but it	19		Gains Procedure' have been significant ensuring a fair
s in we have local reps,	20 21		hearing for directly employed members."
called regional reps are o deal with those issues	21		You referred to that procedure in your evidence
at procedure remains largely	22		before the Inquiry on the last occasion. I think you recall it being in place at the time when you were
d gains procedure that they	23 24		assistant secretary and you've said, just now, it's
gains procedure that they	24 25		something which has been updated over the years; is that
	20		50
	1		and were very unlikely to fall foul of that procedure to
ed by the Post Office in	2		the point of dismissal.
and gains procedure in place	3	Q.	I think if we put to one side what might be
sent to me show a losses and	4		characterised as small discrepancies, in the order of $\pounds 5$
k it's 2013 and 2014.	5		or £6, which would ordinarily be treated as
e for directly employed	6		a performance matter is that fair
call them, by the Post	7	Α.	Yes.
that length of time.	8	Q.	and if we consider a more substantial discrepancy, in
o say that that policy	9		the order of several hundred pounds or possibly even
er of thresholds below which	10		several thousand pounds, a discrepancy of that type,
ould be treated as	11		would it not be referred to the Post Office to be
ve which it might be treated	12		investigated as suspected criminal activity?
air summary?	13	Α.	My experience was single large losses like that would be
and gains procedure was	14		dealt with outside of this process and would usually be
ns procedure, if people fell	15		subject to a specific investigation.
that procedure, they	16	Q.	So if Horizon was showing a substantial shortfall, which
explain why they thought	17		a Post Office staff member could not explain, they would
saying to them "Your	18		not be helped by this procedure, would they? That is to
, we need improvements,"	19		say that they would be in exactly the same position as
a number of specific	20		a subpostmaster who had a substantial shortfall which
llowed to misbalance before	21		they couldn't explain, would they not?
on would ensue.	22	Α.	If, at the end of the week, an individual counter
ostly people would understand	23		clerk's balance, either or pre or post-Horizon, showed
on, for want of a better	24		up a significant amount of the amounts that you've
re diligently to their job	25		referred to, my experience is that that would be 52
			JZ

1 the union functions	s, but I don't have any firsthand
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

- 2 experience since I left that role 3 cases.
- 4 Q. So would it be right to say that 5 that you're making there, it's no
- 6 personal experience after 2002
- 7 A. Apologies. General assertion
- 8 Q. Sorry, you're making a genera
- 9 has always provided strong an
- 10 for members accused of account
- 11 I've been trying to explore with
- 12 that assertion and I think what
- 13 after 2002, you had no person
- 14 matters, and you don't have a 15 individual cases; is that right?
- 16 A. I don't have any personal expe
- 17 with any individual cases. My
- 18 dealing with them is that the re
- 19 in place to deal with those issu
- 20 remains broadly the same, as
- 21 branch reps, what used to be
- 22 now called territorial reps, who
- 23 on a day-to-day basis and that
- 24 in place as does a losses and
- 25 would work with.

1 correct?

-		
2	Α.	That's correct. I was employed by the Post Office in
3		1978 and there was a losses and gains procedure in place
4		then and some of the papers sent to me show a losses and
5		gains procedure from I think it's 2013 and 2014.
6		A losses and gains procedure for directly employed
7		counter clerks, as we used to call them, by the Post
8		Office, has been in place for that length of time.
9	Q.	Would it be a fair summary to say that that policy
10		applied a threshold or number of thresholds below which
11		an accounting discrepancy would be treated as
12		a performance issue but above which it might be treated
13		as suspected theft; is that a fair summary?
14	Α.	No, that's not how the losses and gains procedure was
15		applied. The losses and gains procedure, if people fell
16		foul if individuals fell foul of that procedure, they
17		were given the opportunity to explain why they thought
18		that the Post Office would be saying to them "Your
19		performance is unacceptable, we need improvements,"
20		they'd be given deadlines, or a number of specific
21		occasions where they were allowed to misbalance before
22		more serious disciplinary action would ensue.
23		My experience is that mostly people would understand
24		the seriousness of the situation, for want of a better
25		phrase, apply themselves wore diligently to their job
		F 4

1	investigated by the Post Office Investigation Branch, as	1	Α.	That's possible.
2	it was then, or whatever its title is now.	2	Q.	So would it not be
3 Q .	Essentially, you, as the CWU, and that staff member,	3		know whether, ar
4	would be reliant upon the Post Office investigating the	4		lost their jobs or I
5	cause of the shortfall, would you not, and establishing	5		Horizon because
6	whether any fault lay within the system?	6		actively monitore
7 A .	Yeah, the Post Office they would interview the	7	Α.	
8	individual for their view of why such a loss occurred,	8		record of any of c
9	and then the Post Office would make its own	9		their jobs or being
10	investigations.	10		Horizon is a state
11 Q .		11		concerned. We
12	paragraph 33, you go on to say that:	12		Because of t
13	"The CWU has no record of any of our directly	13		the relationship w
14	employed members losing their jobs or being prosecuted	14		and our local rep
15	due to problems with Horizon."	15		we would be mad
16	What I want to ask you is this: does the CWU make	16		reps at local leve
7	and retain records relating to the dismissal or the	17		jobs" or "We're b
8	prosecution of its employees of its members, forgive	18		prosecution. If a
9	me.	19		prosecuted over
20 A .	No.	20		would come to ou
21 Q .		21		and, if if our rep
22	retain such records, does it not follow that there might	22	~	would come to us
23	well be directly employed members who have lost their	23	Q.	
24	jobs or been prosecuted due to problems with Horizon, of	24		make an assump
25	which you're not aware? 53	25		filtered its way up
1	been the case; is that fair?	1		the individual cou
	Yeah, we would assume our reps would tell us if they	2		their job properly
3	were being asked by our members to assist them in such	3		It's also true
4	cases.	4		being dismissed
5 Q.	You've also said earlier that you would have expected	5		was, for want of a
6	these issues, accounting discrepancies, to be resolved	6		defend them and
7	at a local level and that you didn't expect that to be	7		that, they would o
8	something that would be escalated to national level?	8	Q.	Are you saying th
9 A .	There are two separate things.	9		record held centr
10 Q .	In what sense?	10		that, effectively, y
1 A .	So I work at a Crown Office. I used to work at a Crown	11		that that request
12	Office and I work alongside people who on a Wednesday	12	Α.	Yeah, if they if
3	night would do their balance and would misbalance more	13		them in represen
4	regularly than the losses and gains procedure at the	14		to '99, write to us
15	time allowed for. So they would be interviewed by the	15		us could we assi
16	Post Office with their union rep or their local area	16		depending on the
17	union rep and they would go through the losses and gains	17	Q.	But any record of
8	process with the intention of rectifying their	18		time, which presu
19	performance on the job, so to speak.	19		over, have you?
20	There were regular occurrences. When I was a local	20	Α.	Yeah, we asked
21	rep I used to deal with those cases on behalf of the	21		and I think our re
22	members, one or two a month. They're just dealt with at	22		department my
	local level and that's where it ends, and that's the	23		provided a numb
23				
23 24 25	idea of that procedure, is to rectify those problems,	24		last couple of yea

2	Q.	So would it not be more accurate to say that you don't
3		know whether, and, if so, how many, of your members have
4		lost their jobs or been prosecuted due to problems with
5		Horizon because this isn't something which you've
6		actively monitored?
7	Α.	So the statements I make, which is the CWU has no direct
8		record of any of our directly employed members losing
9		their jobs or being prosecuted due to problems with
10		Horizon is a statement of fact for as far as I'm
11		concerned. We don't have that record.
12		Because of the extensive network we have of reps and
13		the relationship we have between the national officials
14		and our local reps and regional reps, my belief is that
15		we would be made aware if individuals had come to our
16		reps at local level and said to us "We're losing our
17		jobs" or "We're being" let's take the issue of
18		prosecution. If any of our members were to be
19		prosecuted over that, we're fairly certain that they
20		would come to our reps through the process I've outlined
21		and, if if our reps thought that was a problem, they
22		would come to us but we don't have any record of that.
23	Q.	Now, I think what you've just said really is that you
24		make an assumption that that information would have
25		filtered its way up to national headquarters if that had
		54
1		the individual counter clerk can prove that their doing
1 2		the individual counter clerk can prove that their doing
2		their job properly.
2 3		their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were
2 3 4		their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that
2 3 4 5		their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to
2 3 4 5 6		their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do
2 3 4 5 6 7	0.	their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or that, effectively, you would have some records showing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or that, effectively, you would have some records showing that that request had been made?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or that, effectively, you would have some records showing that that request had been made? Yeah, if they if somebody wanted us to help assist
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or that, effectively, you would have some records showing that that request had been made? Yeah, if they if somebody wanted us to help assist them in representing a member, they would email back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or that, effectively, you would have some records showing that that request had been made? Yeah, if they if somebody wanted us to help assist them in representing a member, they would email back to '99, write to us with details of the case, asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or that, effectively, you would have some records showing that that request had been made? Yeah, if they if somebody wanted us to help assist them in representing a member, they would email back to '99, write to us with details of the case, asking us could we assist or was it possible to assist,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	А.	their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or that, effectively, you would have some records showing that that request had been made? Yeah, if they if somebody wanted us to help assist them in representing a member, they would email back to '99, write to us with details of the case, asking us could we assist or was it possible to assist, depending on the nature of the individual case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	А.	their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or that, effectively, you would have some records showing that that request had been made? Yeah, if they if somebody wanted us to help assist them in representing a member, they would email back to '99, write to us with details of the case, asking us could we assist or was it possible to assist, depending on the nature of the individual case. But any record of that would have been in emails at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	А.	their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or that, effectively, you would have some records showing that that request had been made? Yeah, if they if somebody wanted us to help assist them in representing a member, they would email back to '99, write to us with details of the case, asking us could we assist or was it possible to assist, depending on the nature of the individual case. But any record of that would have been in emails at the time, which presumably you haven't gone back and checked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or that, effectively, you would have some records showing that that request had been made? Yeah, if they if somebody wanted us to help assist them in representing a member, they would email back to '99, write to us with details of the case, asking us could we assist or was it possible to assist, depending on the nature of the individual case. But any record of that would have been in emails at the time, which presumably you haven't gone back and checked over, have you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or that, effectively, you would have some records showing that that request had been made? Yeah, if they if somebody wanted us to help assist them in representing a member, they would email back to '99, write to us with details of the case, asking us could we assist or was it possible to assist, depending on the nature of the individual case. But any record of that would have been in emails at the time, which presumably you haven't gone back and checked over, have you? Yeah, we asked our research department what documents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or that, effectively, you would have some records showing that that request had been made? Yeah, if they if somebody wanted us to help assist them in representing a member, they would email back to '99, write to us with details of the case, asking us could we assist or was it possible to assist, depending on the nature of the individual case. But any record of that would have been in emails at the time, which presumably you haven't gone back and checked over, have you? Yeah, we asked our research department what documents and I think our research department and Andy Furey's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	their job properly. It's also true to say that, if our members were being dismissed or prosecuted and our reps felt that was, for want of a better phrase, unfair and wanted to defend them and wanted our assistance in helping them do that, they would come to us. Are you saying that, at that stage, there would be some record held centrally of a request for assistance or that, effectively, you would have some records showing that that request had been made? Yeah, if they if somebody wanted us to help assist them in representing a member, they would email back to '99, write to us with details of the case, asking us could we assist or was it possible to assist, depending on the nature of the individual case. But any record of that would have been in emails at the time, which presumably you haven't gone back and checked over, have you? Yeah, we asked our research department what documents and I think our research department and Andy Furey's department my successor in that previous role

25 we have around Horizon. And we haven't come across --56

1		to my knowledge, we've not come across any
2		correspondence, emails or letters of that type.
3	Q.	Now, the final thing you say in paragraph 33 is this:
4		"There have been cases of actual theft amongst CWU
5		represented grades, but invariably when people are
6		caught out they are quick to admit to theft. In these
7		cases, the CWU is generally not involved as people
8		resign before being dismissed."
9		Now, again, are you speaking here from your personal
10		experience of dealing with cases of theft?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	On how many occasions were you called upon to deal with
13		cases of theft by CWU staff members while you worked as
14		the assistant secretary?
15	Α.	Not when I worked as assistant secretary but when
16		l worked as a local rep when I was a local rep
17		back in Liverpool. When I worked as a local rep back in
18		Liverpool, I dealt with from memory, it's 30 years
19		ago from memory, three cases of individuals accused
20		of theft.
21	Q.	Was it your experience that members who were accused of
22		theft by the Post Office always admitted their guilt?
23	Α.	Of the three cases I remember dealing with, one didn't,
24		and we subsequently won that case in Employment
25		Tribunal. The Post Office had no evidence. One
		57
1		prosecuting staff members and you've just referred now
2		to an incidence of that. You also stated that, prior to
3		Horizon, I think you'd represented a member of the CWU
4		who'd gone on to be prosecuted by the Post Office. In

- 5 which type of hearings would you be representing
- 6 individuals at that stage?
- 7 A. So the individual concerned showed to a £500 loss in
 8 their weekly balance. They were then called to
- 9 interview by the Post Office investigation branch and
- 10 then allowed to have friend/witness in and the Post 11 Office investigation branch put it to them that a loss
- Office investigation branch put it to them that a loss
 of that figure, around 500, couldn't possibly have been
- a discrepancy; it was theft. And the individual denied
- 14 it and we went through the process of them being
- 15 dismissed, me doing the appeal, and it was at the appeal
- 16 stage that the individual changed their position and
- 17 said that, in fact, they did steal the money.
- 18 So yeah, that's how that process is dealt with.
- 19 So, under the investigation process, the
- 20 individual's allowed to have a witness/friend, whatever
- 21 you want to call it, in the room with them,
- 22 predominantly to make sure that that interview is
- 23 conduct correctly, fair play, in line with rules. Yeah,
- 24 and so I've dealt with that case.
- 25 **Q.** So you would have dealt with it and others in your

- 1 individual -- I think I made this in my earlier
- 2 submission, one individual was accused of stealing £500,
- 3 who denied it, right up until the final interview and
- 4 then admitted it, and then resigned, and then was
- 5 subsequently prosecuted.
- 6 Q. Were you involved in any cases of alleged theft after7 Horizon was rolled out?
- 8 **A.** No.
- 9 **Q.** Therefore, insofar as you make a general statement about
- 10 cases of theft, is it right to say that that wouldn't
- 11 apply to the period after 2002, of which you didn't have
- 12 any personal experience?
- 13 A. I have no experience after 2002. Sorry, maybe I'm14 misunderstanding the question, sorry.
- 15 Q. Sorry, it was badly phrased, so that's my fault. I'm
- 16 saying, insofar as you make a general statement about
- 17 cases of theft, it's right to say that that applies
- 18 prior to 2002 but not afterwards; is that fair?
- 19 A. I wasn't dealing with them after 2002 but, generally --
- 20 what I say in my statement, generally, is the CWU
- 21 generally is not involved, as my experience is, if
- 22 people are guilty, then they resign rather than wait to
- 23 be dismissed. I've seen that, prior to 2002.
- 24 Q. Thank you. Now, when you previously gave evidence you
 25 said that you were aware of the Post Office practice of 58
- 1 position would have dealt with it at this stage of the
- 2 internal disciplinary hearing; is that right?
 3 A. Yes.
 4 Q. And any appeal hearing --
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 **Q.** -- which followed. Did the CWU offer legal
- 7 representation to members against whom criminal
- 8 proceedings were brought by the Post Office?
- 9 A. CWU does not offer legal assistance to members for10 criminal cases.
- 11 Q. That's in any circumstances?
- 12 A. To the best of my knowledge and experience, yes.
- 13 Q. You've been shown some statistics relating to the number
- 14 of prosecutions brought against subpostmasters,
- 15 assistants and Post Office employees in the decade
- 16 before and in the decade after Horizon was introduced;
- 17 is that right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Now, it's right to acknowledge that the records on which
- 20 these statistics are based are incomplete, particularly
- 21 insofar as they relate to the decade before Horizon was
- 22 implemented. But I think you accept, do you not, that,
- 23 on the basis of the data we do have, it tends to show
- 24 a substantial increase in the number of prosecutions
- 25 bought against Post Office employees after Horizon was 60

1		rolled out; is that fair?
2	Α.	They appear to double: 2002 over 2001; 2003 over 2001;
3		drop back down again, 2004. So they fluctuate but it's
4		certainly true to say in so assuming we're (unclear)
5		enough to say the same thing, it says in 1999 for
6		employees, which are the people we were responsible for,
7		there were five, in 2001 there were six and then in 2002
8		there were 13, in 2003 there were 13, in 2004 that
9		dropped back down to seven, and then up to 11 in 2006
10		and so it fluctuates. But it is correct to say that, in
11		2002/2003, there were more than there were in 2001 and
12		1999.
13	Q.	Now, in your most recent statement, you say that you
14		were not aware at the time of the rise in prosecutions
15		and convictions of Post Office employees; is that right?
16	Α.	That's correct.
17	Q.	Do you think you should have been aware or that you
18		should have known about this in your role as assistant
19		secretary and subsequently as Senior Deputy General
20		Secretary of the union?
21	Α.	No, because, as I said earlier, they wouldn't get
22		reported to us
23	Q.	Well
24	Α.	at national level, sorry.
25	Q.	But I think you said the opposite. I think you said you
		61
1	Q.	
2		were relying upon your local rep effectively to identify
3		if there was a system fault, were you not?
4	Α.	That is the system we have in place. As I explained
5		earlier, the idea of dealing with discrepancies or
6		even not just discrepancies in balancing, if you
7		like, on the counter but any discipline cases for any
8		reason insubordination, failure to attend for duty
9		the whole system was set up to deal with those issues
10		quickly, at the point they occurred, and then there
11		would be a process you would go through where, in more
12		serious cases, there would be escalated up, in terms of
13		the Post Office, their managerial structure and, in the
14		case of the union, our representative structure. And
15		that's how the issues would be dealt with.
16		So, insofar as we would have no record of, let's say
17		in 1999, exactly how many individual members of the CWU

- 17 in 1999, exactly how many individual members of the CWU
- 18 were interviewed under the losses and gains procedure,
- we wouldn't have that record because they were dealtwith locally. So, in the same way, if somebody was
- 21 prosecuted, unless those individuals contacted us,
- unless representatives contacted us, we would have no
 record of that.
- 24 **Q.** Okay, so, unless either the member themselves or the
- 25 local rep came to you and said, "I'm being prosecuted 63

1		would have expected had members been prosecuted, you
2		would have expected that to have reached you at national
3		level because you would have been told that?
4	Α.	No, I would have expected, if our local reps thought
5		that they should have been involved, they thought
6		something wasn't right, I would have expected them to
7		have raised it with us at national level.
8	Q.	So your expectation
9	Α.	So I've referred sorry, so I referred earlier to they
10		would email us or they would write us to saying "We've
11		got a problem here, can you assist?" and so I would say
12		"We have no record of that", that's why we wouldn't have
13		been aware of, what, 1999, five prosecutions, if I'm
14		reading this correctly; 2001, six prosecutions, if I'm
15		reading it correctly. We would only have been aware or
16		we would have been made aware if representatives who had
17		been dealing with those cases had contacted us,
18		basically to say, "I've been dealing with this case its
19		looks like they're going to dismiss them, it looks like
20		they're going to prosecute them, we don't think that's
21		fair, we don't think that's right, is there something we
22		can do can we talk about this?", so on and so forth.
23		I've no recollection of people coming to me in my
24		role as the Assistant Secretary at national level and
25		raising that type of complaint, if you like, or concern. 62
1		because of a shortfall in Horizon which isn't my fault

•		because of a shortfall in Honzen which isn't my fault
2		it's the system at fault", you're saying that there
3		would be no record and you would have no awareness of
4		the fact of prosecutions being brought?
5	Α.	We would have no awareness at national level, no.
6	Q.	Now, given what you knew about problems with balancing
7		during the rollout of Horizon, do you think that the
8		bringing of prosecutions by the Post Office is something
9		which you ought to have been monitoring at a national
10		level?
11	Α.	We weren't aware of the prosecutions at national level,
12		so we wouldn't have been monitoring it.
13	Q.	But my point is you knew that the Post Office brought
14		prosecutions; is that not something which you should
15		have proactively been monitoring?
16	Α.	So let me just step back on we were aware of the Post
17		Office bringing prosecutions. At national level, we
18		don't have a record, we don't keep a record of the
19		prosecutions that the Post Office carries out. I think
20		the point I made in my statement is, usually, by the
21		time historically, by the time it got to that point,
22		we'd have individuals resigning, so we wouldn't have
23		a record of the prosecutions that the Post Office took
24		out against direct employees.
	-	

25 **Q.** I understand what you're saying, which is you didn't 64

(16) Pages 61 - 64

		•	
have one. My question was: do you think you should have	1		responsible for representing subpostmasters.
have one. My question was: do you think you should have had one, bearing in mind that you knew that there were	2		And so, if you fell into one of those categories,
increased numbers of Post Office staff who were	2		the recognition of the employer for anybody employed in
complaining about problems with balancing?	4		those categories were to within those unions. So the
No, because they were dealt with under the balancing	4 5		reason we didn't represent them is because we didn't
	6		
procedure.	7		have recognition agreements and they joined the National Federation of SubPostmasters.
I'd like to move on to another topic, please, which		~	
concerns the CWU's representation of subpostmasters.	8	Q.	In your statement you say that you don't consider the
When you worked as an assistant secretary, the CWU did	9		interests of subpostmasters and Post Office employees
not represent the interests of subpostmasters; is that	10		necessarily to be aligned; is that right?
right?	11		l did say that, yeah.
No, that's correct.	12		What do you mean by that?
Do you know why it was that subpostmasters were not	13	Α.	Well, because subpostmasters so Crown Office
admitted as members of the CWU at that stage?	14		staff, Post Office staff, were directly employed
Because they were members of the National Federation of	15		employees, of the Post Office. Subpostmasters, to my
SubPostmasters and that was so, within the Post	16		knowledge/recollection, worked on a contractual basis as
Office at the time, there were number of different	17		private business people, for want of a better phrase,
unions. There was the Post Office Engineering Union,	18		and had a private contract with the Post Office. So
who were responsible for light and heat and vans; there	19		there were two distinct, different groups: one were
was the Communication Workers Union who were responsible	20		directly employed workers and the other were privately
for directly employed Post Office staff working on Post	21		employed private businesses, and directly engaged, if
Office now Royal Mail issues as well; there was	22		you like, as agents by the Post Office. So two separate
the Communication Managers Association, who were	23		interests.
responsible for representing managers; and there was the National Federation of SubPostmasters who were	24 25		So we would negotiate and argue, for example, for increased wages and terms and conditions for directly
65			66
employed staff, whereas my understanding at the time is	1		Chairman of the same section. So it appears that, by
the contracts between the Post Office and subpostmasters	2		this time in October 2011, the CWU had established
would remunerate subpostmasters based on the	3		a separate section to represent the interests of
transactions they did, which are two distinctly	4		postmasters and agents. Do you think that's a fair
different things. They're not aligned.	5	Α.	Yeah.
Now, there came a time when the CWU started to recruit	6	Q.	inference from what we can see here? Do you recall
subpostmasters as members of the union. Do you recall	7		the circumstances in which these two individuals,
when efforts were first made to recruit subpostmasters?	8		Mr Baker and Mr Singh, joined the Communication Workers
Only from evidence that's been given to me in this and	9		Union?
from my involvement on the National Executive Council	10	Α.	No, I wasn't involved in that but, from discussions,
for the union. I think that was around the 2014/2015.	11		I was so the final decision on allowing them or
Now, you've been shown a printout from the CWU website	12		setting up a subpostmaster section and actively trying
which tends to indicate that the union were actively	13		to recruit them was a decision of the National Executive
recruiting subpostmasters in October 2011. That's	14		Council, of which I was a member. And my understanding
NFSP00001463, please.	15		from memory, although I'm talking about nine, ten years
Thank you very much. If you look at the very bottom	16		ago now, is that they had a sort of dissatisfaction with
of the page, please, you can see this is from where it's	17		the National Federation of SubPostmasters, then
drawn, so www.cwu.org/postmasters, and the date is	18		a dissatisfaction with the direction that Post Office
3 October 2011. If we could just scroll down, please,	19		was going, in a general sense, and my understanding was
thank you. So, in that first box, it identifies the	20		they didn't have much faith in the National Federation
-	20		-
Chairman of what was called the CWU Postmasters & Agents section, and that's Mr Nippi Singh.	21		of SubPostmasters representing them, which is why they approached us, and then we went "Well, if you're going
Mm.	22		to approach us, then we're set up a section, we're going
A little further down, please. Thank you. There's	23 24		to try to recruit more people from that cohort into the
a further box which identifies Mark Baker as the Vice	24 25		
			union".

- 3 October 2011. If we could ju
- thank you. So, in that first box
- Chairman of what was called the section, and that's Mr Nippi Sir
- **A.** Mm.

- Q. A little further down, please. T
- a further box which identifies Mark Baker as the Vice

(17) Pages 65 - 68

	Q.	Now, in your statement, you say that within of the roles	1		Workers Union and the National Federation of
		of the union is to regulate tension between its members;	2		SubPostmasters. In your statement, you say, at the time
		is that right?	3		when you worked as assistant secretary, the two
	Α.	Between its members and the employer.	4		organisations held equal status; is that fair?
	Q.	Well, between members and employer but between but	5	Α.	They were both recognised by the employer, by the Post
		internally between members, as well; is that not right?	6		Office, as having negotiating rights. So, yeah, equal
	Α.	Do I say that in my statement?	7		status insofar as they could both directly represent to
	Q.	If we go, please, to page 3, paragraph 8b. Thank you.	8		the Post Office the concerns of their members.
		So in the preceding paragraph you describe the	9	Q.	You said you would occasionally communicate on key
)		objectives of the union and you go on to say here at	10		issues and priorities but you did not collaborate on
1		(b):	11		projects or member representation; is that right?
2		"[They are] To regulate the tensions between members	12	Α.	That's correct.
3		and their employers, and between members."	13	Q.	Now, there came a time when the Federation and the union
4	Α.	Yeah.	14		discussed a possible merger; do you recall that?
5	Q.	Do you consider that tensions existed or exist between	15	Α.	l don't.
6		subpostmasters and Post Office employees, bearing in	16	Q.	It may assist you, please, if we
7		mind what you said about their interests not necessarily	17	Α.	Sorry, generally, yes. I think we were approached by
3		being aligned?	18		the then General Secretary, I think at the time was
9	Α.	No. No, I don't think there's a tension. We can	19		I think at the time was George Thomson, but it wasn't my
)		represent and do represent separate groups of workers to	20		day job so that approach would have been through Andy
1		the employers who are not necessarily in the same	21		Furey and the then General Secretary, I think, Billy
2		workplace, not necessarily in the same, if you like,	22		Hayes. So only in the sense that we were also
3		industry. So no, it's I don't believe that, no.	23		approached once by the Society of Telecom Executives,
4	Q.	Thank you. Another related topic, please, which	24		which was the managers in the in BT, where we also
5		concerns the relationship between the Communication	25		have members and, over the years excuse me over
		69			70
		the years, we had we do have general discussions with	1		decides not to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding
		other unions and representative bodies about whether or	2		with the Post Office in preference to a transfer of
		not there is common ground or there is the possibility	3		engagements to us or the National Federation of Retail
		of us merging or are there any common interests.	4		Newsagents."
		So yeah, that approach or whether they approached	5		This is a reference, is it not, to what later became
		us or we approached them, I don't know because I was not	6		known as the Grant Framework Agreement, that was
		involved but I'm generally aware that a discussion took	7		concluded between the NFSP and the Post Office in July
		place.	8		of that year; are you aware of that?
	Q.	Thank you. Could we please bring up CWU00000076. Thank	9	Α.	I am not. I'm not aware of that last point. As I say,
)		you. As you can see, this is a report to the National	10		my understanding was, along with other unions over
1		Executive Council on 18 June 2015, for consideration at	11		a period of time, the NFSP had approached us, as well as
2		a meeting scheduled for 25 June. Were a member of the	12		others, including the National Federation of Retail
3		NEC at this time?	13		Newsagents here, to understand or identify what scope
4	Α.	Correct, I was.	14		there was for the two organisations to come together,
5	Q.	Did you receive a copy of this report?	15		but I wasn't aware of that of that other point, as
3	Α.	I would have done.	16		I say because that was no longer my area of
7	Q.	You would have read it, presumably, at the time?	17		responsibility.
3	Α.	Yes.	18	Q.	What this appears to suggest is that that approach, that
9	Q.	Now, to place this in context, can we please take a look	19		you say you received from the NFSP, seems to have come
)		at the heading and introduction. So this report relates	20		at a time when they were also in negotiations with the
1		to the NFSP, Post Office Limited and CWU. Under	21		Post Office over a possible long-term partnership and
2		"Introduction", it reads:	22		a funding arrangement; is that fair?
3		"It is prudent for us to consider the challenges,	23	Α.	Yeah, I think that's fair. I mean, I referenced
4		opportunities and options for the CWU given the	24		discussions we'd had with other unions about potentially
5		likelihood that the NFSP special conference next month 71	25		merging with the CWU. But what happens is, if 72

(18) Pages 69 - 72

1 an organisation thinks there's a need to merge, for want 2 of a better phrase, they'll keep a number of options 3 open, they'll have discussions with a number of 4 partners, if you like, and then to a decision about 5 which they think is the possession, fit for them. So, 6 yeah, they were talking to us, talking to the National 7 Federation of Retail Newsagents and talking with the 8 Post Office, as I understand it, new arrangements which 9 led to the contract that you just referred to. 10 Now, it appears that it was the expectation of the CWU Q. 11 that the NFSP would not enter into that agreement. Do you know why that was their expectation at the time? 12 13 Α. No, my sense for that, having been involved in 14 discussions with other unions over a period of time, is 15 you get a feel whether you're just, for want of a better 16 phase, as a bargaining chip for them to go somewhere 17 else, so they will say to whoever their preferred 18 partner is "These are offering us a better deal, we're 19 in discussions with this other group as well, so the 20 deal had better be good from you", whoever you are, 21 "because we've got other options". 22 Once you go through the sort of negotiation process 23 on that, which I have been involved in but not the 24 Federation, you start to get a feel about whether 25 they're serious about merging with you, or actually, 73

1 "The exclusivity accorded to the NFSP does not 2 appear to be consistent with particular legal 3 obligations, especially as it necessarily means that CWU 4 represented postmasters are excluded from arrangements 5 which determine their contractual undertakings." 6 Do you consider that the CWU was hampered in its 7 ability effectively to represent the interests of 8 subpostmasters by reason of the fact that they were not 9 formally recognised by the Post Office for collective 10 bargaining purposes? A. Yeah, I think -- so, in reaching out to anybody who 11 12 wants to be a member of any union or the CWU this say to 13 them "This is what we can do on your behalf", so we can 14 say to directly employed staff, "What we can do on your 15 behalf is go direct to the employer on pay, terms, 16 conditions, that directly affect you. We are recognised 17 by the employer to bargain on your behalf". 18 I believe -- my understanding, as of today, we still 19 don't have a recognition agreement with the Post Office 20 for our subpostmasters' section and, therefore, the 21 offer that you make to potential members, one of the 22 first questions they would ask is "What can you do on

- 23 our behalf", and we have to say to them "We're not
- recognised by the Post Office to directly represent yourinterests".

- 1 they're keeping their options open in case what they 2 really want falls apart, and then they've got you as 3 a back-up. 4 Q. Now, if we could move on, please, to page 3 and we see 5 this issue addressed in some more detail under the 6 heading "Relationship issues". Firstly, "With POL", the 7 first issue dealt with. That reads: 8 "There was an exchange of correspondence with POL in 9 October 2014. This followed receipt by us of legal 10 advice on the nature of POL's relationship with the NFSP 11 following the removal of the Federation from the list of 12 accredited trade unions." 13 Just pausing there, do you know why it was that the 14 NFSP had been removed from the list of accredited trade 15 unions? 16 A. I don't know the specific reason that would have been 17 given by the CEO, no. 18 If we go on, please, to the second paragraph, it reads: Q. 19 "We ... need to return to that correspondence in the 20 event of the merger process being terminated." 21 So that's presumably a reference to the possible 22 merger between the CWU and the NFSP. 23 A. I assume that -- I'm assuming that's what that refers 24 to.
- 25 Q. The:

1		So, in answer, not being recognised by the Post
2		Office to directly represent their interests is
3		a hindrance to recruitment of that cohort, in my view.
4		Sorry.
5	Q.	Well, it may be a hindrance to recruitment, is it also
6		a hindrance to effective representation?
7	Α.	Insofar as you cannot directly go to the Post Office,
8		yes, it is.
9	Q.	Do you know the reasons which were given by the Post
10		Office for refusing to recognise the CWU
11	Α.	No.
12	Q.	as representative?
13	Α.	l don't.
14	Q.	What, if any, steps has the union taken to address this?
15	Α.	I don't know. As I think I've said in my statements,
16		since 2002, not my day job. Andy Furey would be in the
17		frontline of making that representation to the Post
18		Office.
19	Q.	Now, in the second half of this page we can see the
20		heading "Relationship issues With the NFSP as
21		reconstituted under an MoU". It reads:
22		"We have received a copy of the much-discussed
23		proposed Memorandum of Understanding between [Post
24		Office Limited] and the NFSP. This is attached.
25		"The most salient points of this document can be 76

1		summarised as follows"
2		We'll look at some of them now, please. The first
3		one summarising paragraph 2 of the draft MOU:
4		"The NFSP will reconstitute itself as a trade
5		association or similar organisation."
6		Are you able to explain, please, the distinction
7		between a trade union and a trade association?
8	Α.	Um
9	Q.	What the significance of that would be in
10	Α.	I understand what I know and believe a trade union to be
11		and what its purpose is, and a trade association or
12		similar organisation is there to protect the interests,
13		as I understand it, of the business or the business
14		owners, as opposed to all the workers who work in any
15		particular trade or business, which is why I suspect the
16		Certification Officer no longer includes the National
17		Federation of SubPostmasters as an accredited trade
18		union, because the Trade Union Certification Officer has
19		a strict set of criteria about what a trade union is,
20		and them not being listed as a trade union means that
21		they fall outside of that remit. So there is
22 23		a fundamental difference in protecting a business or
23 24		protecting a trade, than there is protecting directly employed workers.
24 25	Q.	The second bullet point, please, states that:
25	α.	77
1		subscription income paid by their members. This
1		subscription income paid by their members. This
2		document shows that had position for the Federation was
2 3		document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by
2 3 4	0	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office.
2 3 4 5	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states: "At paragraph 6c it says that the 'NFSP has not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states: "At paragraph 6c it says that the 'NFSP has not engaged in activities which are actively detrimental to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states: "At paragraph 6c it says that the 'NFSP has not engaged in activities which are actively detrimental to the [Post Office]' but does not define what these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states: "At paragraph 6c it says that the 'NFSP has not engaged in activities which are actively detrimental to the [Post Office]' but does not define what these are."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states: "At paragraph 6c it says that the 'NFSP has not engaged in activities which are actively detrimental to the [Post Office]' but does not define what these are." The paragraph goes on to state that:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states: "At paragraph 6c it says that the 'NFSP has not engaged in activities which are actively detrimental to the [Post Office]' but does not define what these are." The paragraph goes on to state that: " 'the Post Office acknowledges that the NFSP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states: "At paragraph 6c it says that the 'NFSP has not engaged in activities which are actively detrimental to the [Post Office]' but does not define what these are." The paragraph goes on to state that: " 'the Post Office acknowledges that the NFSP must have freedom to undertake activities that protect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states: "At paragraph 6c it says that the 'NFSP has not engaged in activities which are actively detrimental to the [Post Office]' but does not define what these are." The paragraph goes on to state that: " 'the Post Office acknowledges that the NFSP must have freedom to undertake activities that protect and represent their members' views. In undertaking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states: "At paragraph 6c it says that the 'NFSP has not engaged in activities which are actively detrimental to the [Post Office]' but does not define what these are." The paragraph goes on to state that: " 'the Post Office acknowledges that the NFSP must have freedom to undertake activities that protect and represent their members' views. In undertaking these activities, the NFSP agree that it will not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states: "At paragraph 6c it says that the 'NFSP has not engaged in activities which are actively detrimental to the [Post Office]' but does not define what these are." The paragraph goes on to state that: " 'the Post Office acknowledges that the NFSP must have freedom to undertake activities that protect and represent their members' views. In undertaking these activities, the NFSP agree that it will not introduce commercial risk to the [Post Office]'."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states: "At paragraph 6c it says that the 'NFSP has not engaged in activities which are actively detrimental to the [Post Office]' but does not define what these are." The paragraph goes on to state that: " 'the Post Office acknowledges that the NFSP must have freedom to undertake activities that protect and represent their members' views. In undertaking these activities, the NFSP agree that it will not introduce commercial risk to the [Post Office]'." The author observes this a "very wide potential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states: "At paragraph 6c it says that the 'NFSP has not engaged in activities which are actively detrimental to the [Post Office]' but does not define what these are." The paragraph goes on to state that: " 'the Post Office acknowledges that the NFSP must have freedom to undertake activities that protect and represent their members' views. In undertaking these activities, the NFSP agree that it will not introduce commercial risk to the [Post Office]'." The author observes this a "very wide potential prohibition". Then:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	document shows that had position for the Federation was to cease and they were to be funded directly by a payment from the employer or the Post Office. Now, the document goes on to suggest that there were likely to be some conditions attached to the grant of this funding. If we can scroll down, please. Thank you. So these are referenced as part of paragraph 6, the first bullet point identifies paragraph 6 as a review mechanism for the agreement and states: "At paragraph 6c it says that the 'NFSP has not engaged in activities which are actively detrimental to the [Post Office]' but does not define what these are." The paragraph goes on to state that: " 'the Post Office acknowledges that the NFSP must have freedom to undertake activities that protect and represent their members' views. In undertaking these activities, the NFSP agree that it will not introduce commercial risk to the [Post Office]'." The author observes this a "very wide potential prohibition". Then: " while [Post Office] remains publicly owned, the

1		"Under the MOU the, the [Post Office] (not [Post
2		Office Limited]) will provide funding of 'up to
3		£1.5 million' per annum from 2015/16'."
4		It goes on to explain that the actual amount would
5		depend on the difference between the revenues derived
6		from the current membership model and the income stream
7		and what they actually received, but it goes on to state
8		at the bottom:
9		"In discussion, CWU representatives have described
10		adoption of the MOU as meaning an inevitable cessation
11		of subscription income and no one [perhaps it should
12		read 'from', rather than 'form'] the NFSP has
13		disagreed."
14		So, essentially, a proposal to provide funding of up
15		to £1.5 million.
16		If we could go over the page, please, the second
17		bullet point there reads:
18		"PO will provide additional funding of no less than
19		£1 million per annum as a budget for grants to the
20		NFSP'. Thus the annual gross value of the MOU is up to
21		£2.5 million per annum."
22		Was a funding arrangement of this nature usual for
23		a trade union or trade organisation to your knowledge?
24	Α.	с <i>,</i> с
25	Λ.	Trade unions are almost predominantly funded by
25		78
1		treaty?
1 2	Α.	treaty? I think that was an international agreement around how
	A.	-
2	А.	I think that was an international agreement around how
2 3	A. Q.	I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate
2 3 4		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory.
2 3 4 5		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of
2 3 4 5 6		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it
2 3 4 5 6 7		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please: "Paragraph 6's final subparagraph says 'should the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please: "Paragraph 6's final subparagraph says 'should the NFSP disclose [Post Office] information that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please: "Paragraph 6's final subparagraph says 'should the NFSP disclose [Post Office] information that is confidential or commercially sensitive (as defined in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please: "Paragraph 6's final subparagraph says 'should the NFSP disclose [Post Office] information that is confidential or commercially sensitive (as defined in the confidentiality agreement) or encouragement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please: "Paragraph 6's final subparagraph says 'should the NFSP disclose [Post Office] information that is confidential or commercially sensitive (as defined in the confidentiality agreement) or encouragement [presumably was meant to read 'encourage']
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please: "Paragraph 6's final subparagraph says 'should the NFSP disclose [Post Office] information that is confidential or commercially sensitive (as defined in the confidentiality agreement) or encouragement [presumably was meant to read 'encourage'] subpostmasters to take action which conflicts with their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please: "Paragraph 6's final subparagraph says 'should the NFSP disclose [Post Office] information that is confidential or commercially sensitive (as defined in the confidentiality agreement) or encouragement [presumably was meant to read 'encourage'] subpostmasters to take action which conflicts with their contractual obligations, except where all other avenues
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please: "Paragraph 6's final subparagraph says 'should the NFSP disclose [Post Office] information that is confidential or commercially sensitive (as defined in the confidentiality agreement) or encouragement [presumably was meant to read 'encourage'] subpostmasters to take action which conflicts with their contractual obligations, except where all other avenues of dispute resolution have been exhausted, this will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please: "Paragraph 6's final subparagraph says 'should the NFSP disclose [Post Office] information that is confidential or commercially sensitive (as defined in the confidentiality agreement) or encouragement [presumably was meant to read 'encourage'] subpostmasters to take action which conflicts with their contractual obligations, except where all other avenues of dispute resolution have been exhausted, this will be deemed a material breach of this agreement."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please: "Paragraph 6's final subparagraph says 'should the NFSP disclose [Post Office] information that is confidential or commercially sensitive (as defined in the confidentiality agreement) or encouragement [presumably was meant to read 'encourage'] subpostmasters to take action which conflicts with their contractual obligations, except where all other avenues of dispute resolution have been exhausted, this will be deemed a material breach of this agreement." So, essentially, the NEC being notified there that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please: "Paragraph 6's final subparagraph says 'should the NFSP disclose [Post Office] information that is confidential or commercially sensitive (as defined in the confidentiality agreement) or encouragement [presumably was meant to read 'encourage'] subpostmasters to take action which conflicts with their contractual obligations, except where all other avenues of dispute resolution have been exhausted, this will be deemed a material breach of this agreement." So, essentially, the NEC being notified there that there's a new arrangement for funding to be made but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please: "Paragraph 6's final subparagraph says 'should the NFSP disclose [Post Office] information that is confidential or commercially sensitive (as defined in the confidentiality agreement) or encouragement [presumably was meant to read 'encourage'] subpostmasters to take action which conflicts with their contractual obligations, except where all other avenues of dispute resolution have been exhausted, this will be deemed a material breach of this agreement." So, essentially, the NEC being notified there that there's a new arrangement for funding to be made but that funding will come with certain conditions; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q.	I think that was an international agreement around how businesses transact standards that they had to operate under, from memory. " [that] could be prayed in aid as the arbiter of 'commercial risk' were the treaty to be ratified", it suggests. It goes on to say there are dispute management procedures, which are reasonably transparent and have a degree of independence but, in the final bullet point, please: "Paragraph 6's final subparagraph says 'should the NFSP disclose [Post Office] information that is confidential or commercially sensitive (as defined in the confidentiality agreement) or encouragement [presumably was meant to read 'encourage'] subpostmasters to take action which conflicts with their contractual obligations, except where all other avenues of dispute resolution have been exhausted, this will be deemed a material breach of this agreement." So, essentially, the NEC being notified there that there's a new arrangement for funding to be made but that funding will come with certain conditions; is that fair?

this.

A. That's correct.

cetera.

representatives.

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you.

MS HODGE: Thank you, sir.

which we're concerned?

A. I don't recall, no.

A. Apart from when it became public, yes.

until 12.10. MS HODGE: Thank you.

(12.00 noon)

(12.10 pm)

trade association?

"I did not take any steps between 2009 and 2019

Executive/UKGI, MPs, and peers or journalists as this issue no longer formed part of my remit to do so."

Now, just pausing there, for a minute, you've just told us that, as a member of the NEC, you received a report saying that the NFSP was compromised or was compromising its independence; is that correct?

(inclusive) in raising any concerns regarding the integrity of the Horizon IT System with [Post Office Limited], the Government, the Shareholder

Q. Do you not think that you had a duty to take forward

A. So the question was: did I take any steps? The answer was I didn't because it was not my job to do so. The CWU structure, at national level, is we have a National Executive Council, which I sit on, which is responsible for finance, admin functions, things like whether or not we agree to merge with another union to form a union, industrial issues, day-to-day industrial issues, which the Post Office and counter clerks are dealt with by a separate executive called the Postal Executive, of 82

issues with the employers, Royal Mail, Post Office, et

MS HODGE: Thank you, sir. I think the stenographer would like a short break, please. I have very few further questions for this witness but I think there may be a couple of questions from the recognised legal

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, that's fine. We will take a break

(A short break)

MS HODGE: Good afternoon, sir. Can you see and hear us?

subpostmasters and Post Office employees were being prosecuted in reliance on data shown by Horizon; is that right, at least for a substantial period of time with

evidence that you simply weren't aware that

Mr Kearns, you've said in your statement and in your

these issues on behalf of subpostmasters who were not being effectively represented, in your view, by their

4	~	New Street could true to the fellowing page along the	4
1 2	Q.	Now, if we could turn to the following page, please, we can see the overall conclusion reached by the CWU	1 2
2		forgive me, if you scroll up a little bit, thank you.	2
4		So, as to the effect of these provisions, the report	4
5		provided:	5
6		"There can be no doubt that the MOU represents the	6
7		abandonment by the Federation of any meaningful	7
8		independence. Our relationship with them and the	8
9		employer would necessarily change as a consequence, as	9
10		the CWU would be the only organisation of standing able	10
11		to offer postmasters effective representation."	11
12		Now, reading that, it rather suggests that the CWU	12
13		believed at that time that the NFSP had compromised or	13
14		was going to compromise its independence in reaching	14
15		this agreement; is that fair?	15
16	Α.	That's fair.	16
17	Q.	And that the CWU believed that it was the only	17
18		organisation which could offer effective representation	18
19		to subpostmasters?	19
20	Α.	That was our view, yes.	20
21	Q.	Now, it's a related issue but I'd like to deal now,	21
22		please, with how the CWU responded to the emerging	22
23		scandal. If we can please bring up your witness	23
24		statement at page, that's WITN06370200.	24
25		Thank you. Page 10, please, paragraph 43, you say	25
		81	
1		which Andy Furey is a national officer I used to be	1
2		a national officer of the Postal Executive from '97 to	2
3		2002.	3
4		So those issues are dealt with not by the National	4
5		Executive Council but are dealt with by the industrial	5
6		executive that I don't sit on and is not part of my	6
7		responsibilities. So my statement was in response to	-
0			7
8		the specific question that I was asked by the Inquiry	7 8
9		the specific question that I was asked by the Inquiry Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in	
			8
9		Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in	8 9
9 10		Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in raising any concerns on the issue with those? And the	8 9 10
9 10 11		Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in raising any concerns on the issue with those? And the answer is no because, as I've just explained, the remit	8 9 10 11
9 10 11 12		Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in raising any concerns on the issue with those? And the answer is no because, as I've just explained, the remit for those was the then-Assistant Secretary couldn't	8 9 10 11 12
9 10 11 12 13	Q.	Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in raising any concerns on the issue with those? And the answer is no because, as I've just explained, the remit for those was the then-Assistant Secretary couldn't Assistant Secretary, Andy Furey, and the postal	8 9 10 11 12 13
9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in raising any concerns on the issue with those? And the answer is no because, as I've just explained, the remit for those was the then-Assistant Secretary couldn't Assistant Secretary, Andy Furey, and the postal executive.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A.	Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in raising any concerns on the issue with those? And the answer is no because, as I've just explained, the remit for those was the then-Assistant Secretary couldn't Assistant Secretary, Andy Furey, and the postal executive. The role of Assistant Secretary was not as senior as	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in raising any concerns on the issue with those? And the answer is no because, as I've just explained, the remit for those was the then-Assistant Secretary couldn't Assistant Secretary, Andy Furey, and the postal executive. The role of Assistant Secretary was not as senior as Deputy General Secretary, was it, in terms of hierarchy? In terms of the internal hierarchy of the union, correct.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in raising any concerns on the issue with those? And the answer is no because, as I've just explained, the remit for those was the then-Assistant Secretary couldn't Assistant Secretary, Andy Furey, and the postal executive. The role of Assistant Secretary was not as senior as Deputy General Secretary, was it, in terms of hierarchy? In terms of the internal hierarchy of the union, correct. Do you not think this was an issue which required the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in raising any concerns on the issue with those? And the answer is no because, as I've just explained, the remit for those was the then-Assistant Secretary couldn't Assistant Secretary, Andy Furey, and the postal executive. The role of Assistant Secretary was not as senior as Deputy General Secretary, was it, in terms of hierarchy? In terms of the internal hierarchy of the union, correct. Do you not think this was an issue which required the involvement of more senior leadership?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Α.	Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in raising any concerns on the issue with those? And the answer is no because, as I've just explained, the remit for those was the then-Assistant Secretary couldn't Assistant Secretary, Andy Furey, and the postal executive. The role of Assistant Secretary was not as senior as Deputy General Secretary, was it, in terms of hierarchy? In terms of the internal hierarchy of the union, correct. Do you not think this was an issue which required the involvement of more senior leadership? No, because that isn't the structure. So the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in raising any concerns on the issue with those? And the answer is no because, as I've just explained, the remit for those was the then-Assistant Secretary couldn't Assistant Secretary, Andy Furey, and the postal executive. The role of Assistant Secretary was not as senior as Deputy General Secretary, was it, in terms of hierarchy? In terms of the internal hierarchy of the union, correct. Do you not think this was an issue which required the involvement of more senior leadership? No, because that isn't the structure. So the responsibilities of the national officials are laid out	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	A. Q.	Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in raising any concerns on the issue with those? And the answer is no because, as I've just explained, the remit for those was the then-Assistant Secretary couldn't Assistant Secretary, Andy Furey, and the postal executive. The role of Assistant Secretary was not as senior as Deputy General Secretary, was it, in terms of hierarchy? In terms of the internal hierarchy of the union, correct. Do you not think this was an issue which required the involvement of more senior leadership? No, because that isn't the structure. So the responsibilities of the national officials are laid out within the rule book. Mine is to assist the General	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	Team: did I take any steps between 2009 and 2019 in raising any concerns on the issue with those? And the answer is no because, as I've just explained, the remit for those was the then-Assistant Secretary couldn't Assistant Secretary, Andy Furey, and the postal executive. The role of Assistant Secretary was not as senior as Deputy General Secretary, was it, in terms of hierarchy? In terms of the internal hierarchy of the union, correct. Do you not think this was an issue which required the involvement of more senior leadership? No, because that isn't the structure. So the responsibilities of the national officials are laid out	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Q. Do you recall when you first did become aware?

84

(21) Pages 81 - 84

(22) Pages 85 - 88

1	Q.	I wonder, please, if we could look, again, at that	1	
2		report to the NEC dated 18 June 2015, that's	2	
3		CWU00000076. This was the report we were looking at	3	
4		just shortly before the break, insofar as it related to	4	
5		the changes to the constitution of the National	5	
6		Federation of SubPostmasters and its funding arrangement	6	
7		with the Post Office. It also contained an update on	7	
8		Horizon. We can see that, please, on the first page.,	8	Α
9		under the heading "Horizon". It said this:	9	Q
10		"As reported in LTB 269/15"	10	Α
11		Is that a reference to letter to branch, "LTB"?	11	Q
12	Α.	"LTB", letter to branch.	12	
13	Q.	" issued on 21 April, concern about the approach	13	
14		adopted by [Post Office Limited] to the alleged problems	14	
15		caused to postmasters by the Horizon operating system	15	
16		has now been raised directly with the Prime Minister.	16	
17		"There has been a pause in political activity on	17	
18		this during the General Election period, but POL's lack	18	
19		of engagement with the mediation process, the attempt to	19	
20		suppress a report by Second Sight the company engaged	20	Α
21		to investigate alleged shortcomings of Horizon and	21	Q
22		continuing concerns of both CWU and NFSP postmasters	22	
23		mean that the issue will not subside."	23	
24		Now, it goes on to read, please, over the page:	24	
25		"The 'Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance' 85	25	
1		quickly culminate in [Post Office Limited] terminating	1	
2		the contract of one member and forcibly making the other	2	
3		pay back his losses by deduction to pay."	3	A
4		Now, if we just step back for a minute, this is	4	
5		obviously in a report to you as a member of the NEC	5	
6		that's correct bringing to your attention concerns	6	
7		about Horizon; is that fair?	7	
8	Α.	Yes, it references	8	M
9	Q.	Concerns which the CWU shared at that stage, or by that	9	
10		stage. These were concerns that affected both former	10	
11		subpostmasters and current subpostmasters, some of whom	11	
12		were members of the CWU at that time; isn't that right?	12	s
13	Α.	Yes, I mean well, we had a subpostmaster section. So	13	M
14	7.1	yes.	10	
15	Q.		15	S
16		should you have done more, do you think, to raise	16	M
17		awareness within Government and to lend your support to	17	
18		the campaign to expose the failings of Horizon?	18	
19	Α.		19	
20		responsibility. Our rule book, which is under which	20	
21		the CWU operates, that responsibility is the	21	S
22		responsibility of the Postal Executive and the officers	22	N
23		of the Postal Executive, in this case Andy Furey, to	23	
24		deal with.	24	
25	Q.	Would it be fair, do you think, to say that, in essence,	25	
		87		

1		organisation has been set up by mostly ex-postmasters
2		who believe that they have been unfairly treated.
3		However, the key individual in JFSA, Alan Bates, is not
4		currently in contact with the CWU parties' branch."
5		This suggests at least that, at this stage, Mr Bates
6		hadn't approached the CWU directly for support for his
7		campaign; is that correct?
8	Α.	Yes, that's what it says, yes
9	Q.	On the face of it, of what we have before us.
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	The second paragraph goes on to read:
12		"[Post Office Limited's] position has essentially
13		been based on the principle that the Horizon system
14		cannot go wrong. However, this is not what we, NFSP and
15		JFSA are saying. Our position is that however robust
16		a computer system there can be and have been problems."
17		What this appears to be is an acknowledgement by the
18		CWU that there have been problems with the Horizon
19		system; is that fair?
20	Α.	That's what that suggests, yes.
21	Q.	Now, the final paragraph reads:
22		"Due to the collapse of the Mediation Scheme at
23		least two of our members will almost certainly now have
24		normal [Post Office] disciplinary action taken against
25		them (because they are still serving), which will
		86
1		the CWU left the group litigants to bear the burden of
2		challenging the integrity of Horizon?
3	Α.	Given that I had no involvement with that issue at that
4		time, I couldn't say I could agree with that statement.
5		I'm not in a position to agree with that statement
6		because I don't know what the executive and the officer
7		concerned were doing on a daily basis on this issue.
8	MS	HODGE: Thank you.
9	-	Sir, I've no further questions for this witness.
10		Before the recognised legal representatives ask
11		their questions, is there anything you wish to ask, sir?
12	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: No, thank you. No.
13		HODGE: Forgive me, sir. If we just pause for one
14	-	minute.
15	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. (Pause)
16		HODGE: Sorry, sir, we've had a late request for
17	-	a further document to be put to the witness. It may be
18		that the way we can approach it is to let Mr Stein, if
19		you have questions, to go first.
20		I think the other representative is Ms Watt.
21	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
22	-	HODGE: We can review the position in relation to that
23		document to ensure that the witness is given a fair
24		opportunity to review it before he is asked any
25		questions.
		88

92

1 S	IR WYN WILLIAMS: Sorry, we're going to hear from Mr Stein	1	Q.	You may or may not know my name is Sam Stein,
2	and then Ms Watt, and then review whether we need to ask	2		I represent a large number of subpostmasters/mistresses
3	any more questions; is that it?	3		and employees working in branch offices of the Post
4 M	IS HODGE: Well, sir, yes. I mean, my proposal is that we	4		Office.
5	proceed with questions now whilst we review in the	5		I've just got a couple of questions, using, first of
6	background what the effect of this document is and then	6		all, your experience, if may, as part of the trade union
7	we will perhaps see where we are in the next 15 minutes.	7		movement. In the judgment, judgment number 3 in the
8 S	IR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, that's fine.	8		High Court I'm not sure if you have this but I'll
9 M	IS HODGE: Thank you.	9		read out the relevant part a judgment by Mr Justice
10 M	IR STEIN: Sir, I've no objection, of course, to us	10		Fraser, now Lord Justice Fraser, he said this at
11	proceeding in that way. The difficulty though is, if	11		paragraph 1120 of judgment 3 that:
12	the document is released and I need to see and it and	12		"The National Federation of SubPostmasters is not
13	consider it, for the purpose of any further questions,	13		independent of the Post Office."
14	then I may beg an indulgence, which is to return to any	14		He went on to say:
15	questions we may have arising out of that document.	15		"The Post Office also has a highly detailed funding
16	But on that basis, sir, would you mind if I then go	16		agreement with the NFSP that would entitle the Post
17	ahead with our questions for Mr Kearns?	17		Office to claw back funds already paid to the NFSP if it
18 S	IR WYN WILLIAMS: No, no, carry on.	18		does anything that would damage the Post Office's
19 M	IR STEIN: I'm very grateful.	19		reputation, including supporting the subpostmasters in
20	Questioned by MR STEIN	20		this litigation."
21 M	IR STEIN: Mr Kearns, you're a longstanding employee of the	21		So he was making the points, which is the NFSP is
22	CWU, the Communication Workers Union, you're employed in	22		not independent of the Post Office, there's a funding
23	an elected position as the Senior Deputy General	23		agreement with the NFSP, allowing the Post Office to
24	Secretary of the CWU; is that correct?	24		claw back funds paid to the NFSP if the NFSP anything
25 A	. That's correct.	25		that would damage the Post Office's reputation.
	89			
1	Help us understand, with your own work within the	1		Ms Hodge that concerned and touched on collective
2	trade union movement, is that a normal arrangement with	2		bargaining. Now, you refer to collective bargaining at
3	a representative body working on behalf of, in this	3		paragraph 7 of your statement, where you say you must
4	case, subpostmasters?	4		add that:
5 A	. No. I wasn't aware of that specific but, having	5		"The CWU has long called for union recognition, for
6	listened to what you said, I've never come across	6		collective bargaining purposes, for subpostmasters but
7	a representative body/trade union, that has such clauses	7		POL has consistently refused to grant this."
8	in it. That doesn't sit comfortably people with me as	8		You've added in your evidence you still believe
9	a trade unionist, that you could enter into that and	9		that's the position today; is that correct?
10	claim to be a representative body.	10	Α.	That's my understanding of it.
11 Q	. It may be, in some ways, obvious but what's the problem	11	Q.	Now, paragraph 11 says this:
12	with entering into an agreement to not criticise the	12		"We engage in collective bargaining processes with
13	employer of the people you represent; what's the	13		several employers on issues such as pay, terms and
14	difficulty?	14		conditions of employment."
15 A	. Well, you would take away your independence, you take	15		So Ms Hodge was seeking to establish with you what
16	away your ability to challenge the direction that the	16		if you like, are the advantages of collective bargaining
17	employer or the company is going in, because you think	17		on behalf of members of the CWU. You refer to it there
18	it's detrimental to both the members you represent. In	18		as being discussions with employers on issues such as
19	the case of ourselves as the CWU, with recent examples	19		pay, terms and conditions of employment. What would b
20	of Royal Mail, the service they're providing to the	20		the effect of having what I am going to call a shackle
21	customer, to the public. If you're unable to challenge	21		on a representative body to not criticise the employer,
22	that, it strikes me that it's more like a business	22		in relation to collective bargaining?
23	partnership than it is a representative body for the	23	Α.	My view is that the shackle would be that you're not
24	individuals that make up the organisation.	24		able to effectively represent the members of that
25 Q	Can I then elide that to a question that was asked by 91	25		organisation and because well, if you're restricted,

91

(23) Pages 89 - 92

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 A. Yes.

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 **A**.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

subpostmasters, people employed in branches and working

Cash Handling so all those issues which includes Crown

within the Post Office itself; is that right?

A. Correct, his title is the Assistant Secretary, Clerical

Q. Which is why, in your evidence, you've made it clear that, since, I think, around 2002, that's not been your

direct area of responsibility, it's been Mr Furey's; is

Q. I'm grateful. Then turning to Mr Furey, are you aware

that he has given evidence before select committees in

relation to the CWU subpostmaster branch employee

Q. Given evidence at least on two occasions that I can find

on a quick search, is that right, and been interviewed

generally in regards the Post Office and actions taken

Q. Fairly obviously, Mr Furey would be someone who could

take any other matters further if there were any other

have been prosecutions of Crown Office employees, you

should have known about these prosecutions at the time;

saying I was aware of them, I was aware of them because

I was handed a piece of paper that details prosecutions.

the questions I was given in preparing my statement was

a question about what Legal Services the union offers to

its members, and we don't offer Legal Services for

Q. But, as a generality, and this is over time -- and

That's how I'm aware of them. I think also, in some of

prosecutions because you didn't provide legal funding

94

said the CWU didn't know anything about these

for criminal representation and you've said, as

I understand it, you don't think you could would or

Yeah, they were not raised with us at national level.

We were not aware of them. I mean, in terms of me

questions to be asked by the Inquiry?

by the management of the Post Office and is quoted often

Post Office staff and administrative staff in

employment --

that correct?

membership?

in the press?

is that correct?

criminal prosecutions.

A. Iam.

A. March 2002, to be specific.

1		for want of a better phrase, in criticism, such
2		I give an example. You take the we had a major
3		industrial dispute with Royal Mail some years ago
4		I understand not everybody likes this but we took major
5		industrial action which disrupted the service, partly
6		because we didn't think our members were being treated
7		with due respect, partly because the reason for that
8		was because the direction that Royal Mail was setting
9		out as a business, and therefore the industrial action
10		that we eventually took was, if you like, for want of
11		a better phrase, a protest against that, to defend our
12		members against job losses, so on and so forth.
13		If you're restricted from being able to make that
14		criticism then, well, you're not able to effectively
15		represent those individuals who make up that
16		organisation, is how I would see it.
17	Q.	Now you've mentioned to Ms Hodge that the position of
18		Mr Furey. Mr Furey, if I've got it right, is the
19		Secretary to the CWU but also is the CWU's national
20		officer for postmasters, CWU members who are working in
21		Post Office branches and working in the wider Post
22		Office; is that correct?
23	Α.	That's correct.
24	Q.	Right. So Mr Furey's title is, essentially, to deal
25		with all such matters in relation to CWU membership,
		93
1	Α.	
1 2		
	MR	Correct.
2	MR	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns.
2 3	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. R WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt?
2 3 4	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT
2 3 4 5	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. R WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me?
2 3 4 5 6	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. R WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you.
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we actually spoke before when you were here the last time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we actually spoke before when you were here the last time. Your union would have had hundreds, perhaps, if not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we actually spoke before when you were here the last time. Your union would have had hundreds, perhaps, if not thousands, of members working in what was then hundreds
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we actually spoke before when you were here the last time. Your union would have had hundreds, perhaps, if not thousands, of members working in what was then hundreds of Crown Office branches using Horizon during the 2000s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we actually spoke before when you were here the last time. Your union would have had hundreds, perhaps, if not thousands, of members working in what was then hundreds of Crown Office branches using Horizon during the 2000s when the scandal was emerging; that's correct, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we actually spoke before when you were here the last time. Your union would have had hundreds, perhaps, if not thousands, of members working in what was then hundreds of Crown Office branches using Horizon during the 2000s when the scandal was emerging; that's correct, isn't it? Yes, my recollection, from around 2000, I think we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR SIF	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we actually spoke before when you were here the last time. Your union would have had hundreds, perhaps, if not thousands, of members working in what was then hundreds of Crown Office branches using Horizon during the 2000s when the scandal was emerging; that's correct, isn't it? Yes, my recollection, from around 2000, I think we have maybe 9,000/9,500 members. That's severely diminished
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SIF MS	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we actually spoke before when you were here the last time. Your union would have had hundreds, perhaps, if not thousands, of members working in what was then hundreds of Crown Office branches using Horizon during the 2000s when the scandal was emerging; that's correct, isn't it? Yes, my recollection, from around 2000, I think we have maybe 9,000/9,500 members. That's severely diminished through the 2000s and to today because of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR SIF MS A. Q.	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we actually spoke before when you were here the last time. Your union would have had hundreds, perhaps, if not thousands, of members working in what was then hundreds of Crown Office branches using Horizon during the 2000s when the scandal was emerging; that's correct, isn't it? Yes, my recollection, from around 2000, I think we have maybe 9,000/9,500 members. That's severely diminished through the 2000s and to today because of So A lot of members
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR SIF MS A. Q.	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we actually spoke before when you were here the last time. Your union would have had hundreds, perhaps, if not thousands, of members working in what was then hundreds of Crown Office branches using Horizon during the 2000s when the scandal was emerging; that's correct, isn't it? Yes, my recollection, from around 2000, I think we have maybe 9,000/9,500 members. That's severely diminished through the 2000s and to today because of So A lot of members Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we actually spoke before when you were here the last time. Your union would have had hundreds, perhaps, if not thousands, of members working in what was then hundreds of Crown Office branches using Horizon during the 2000s when the scandal was emerging; that's correct, isn't it? Yes, my recollection, from around 2000, I think we have maybe 9,000/9,500 members. That's severely diminished through the 2000s and to today because of So A lot of members Yeah. many, many members
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we actually spoke before when you were here the last time. Your union would have had hundreds, perhaps, if not thousands, of members working in what was then hundreds of Crown Office branches using Horizon during the 2000s when the scandal was emerging; that's correct, isn't it? Yes, my recollection, from around 2000, I think we have maybe 9,000/9,500 members. That's severely diminished through the 2000s and to today because of So A lot of members Yeah. many, many members Yeah, thousands.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SIF MS A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Correct. STEIN: Thank you, Mr Kearns. WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Watt? Questioned by MS WATT WATT: Good afternoon, can you hear and see me? Thank you, Mr Kearns. I'm just diagonally behind Mr Stein there. Yes, we have this problem every time I speak. Thank you. I have some questions for you on behalf of the National Federation of SubPostmasters and I think we actually spoke before when you were here the last time. Your union would have had hundreds, perhaps, if not thousands, of members working in what was then hundreds of Crown Office branches using Horizon during the 2000s when the scandal was emerging; that's correct, isn't it? Yes, my recollection, from around 2000, I think we have maybe 9,000/9,500 members. That's severely diminished through the 2000s and to today because of So A lot of members Yeah. many, many members Yeah, thousands. working in these Crown Office post offices?

I think Sir Alan Bates first raising of the point is
around 2003/2004, and then everything gathers momentum
over the years there were these increasing voices
about problems with Horizon and prosecutions and this
was being reporting in the media, so my question is how
or why could the CWU, even if you yourself in your role,
not understand that issues with Horizon and
prosecutions, given they were being talked about in the
96

faces.

is not employed to deal with everything that the union

undertake. So we have a nationally elected executive that deals with all issues relating to our members employed within the postal industry, which includes the Post Office, and we have a Lead Assistant Secretary who

for specific, different aspects of the work we

deal with various businesses, in this case my responsibility up until March 2002, and then Andy

the list of a rather long line of people and

you that that is indeed being incurious? A. Well, I don't think I said I didn't know anything about it. I also, on a number of occasions, I've been quite specific about where the responsibility lies in a large trade union like ours to deal with these issues on

Q. So would it be fair to say that the CWU, whether it was you or whether it was Andy Furey, but the CWU is joining

Q. Well, you've said that you didn't know anything about it

98

mouth, is this a fair summary: that you would expect that, if local representatives were concerned about issues such as prosecutions or dismissal on the strength of Horizon, they would have ensured that it went up the

Just picking up and following on from that and earlier questions, you were referring in some evidence with Ms Hodge to the former NFSP members, such as Mark

Baker, coming over to the CWU because they were dissatisfied with the NFSP. I think it's fair to say it's a matter of public record on their part --

certainly, at least, Mark Baker's part -- that this was largely to do with the satisfaction about the way in which the issues on Horizon were being dealt with by the

subpostmasters at the time -- this is around 2011 -because they only wanted to deal with the NFSP on subpostmasters, surely any concerns being brought to the

Post Office wouldn't talk to the CWU about

Now, even if it was the case, for instance, that the

organisations who were simply incurious about Horizon?

and that, despite media coverage, no one was prompted to look at the issue for CWU members. So I'm suggesting to

Furey's responsibility.

A. No, I don't think that's fair to say.

a day-to-day basis.

NFSP.

25

ladder to more senior people? A. That's a fair summary, yes. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. All right. Sorry, Ms Watt. MS WATT: Thank you, sir.

So individuals and elected groups are responsible

1		context of subpostmasters, might be able well be	1
2		involving your members, could also be affecting them?	2
3	Α.	As I say, from March 2002 so the period you refer to,	3
4		2003/2004 from March 2002, if such incidents	4
5		occurred, they would go to Andy Furey and his department	5
6		to deal with.	6
7	Q.	I mean, I understand it wasn't you personally. I'm	7
8		talking about the union as a whole, looking at its	8
9		members and issues that were coming into the public	9
10		domain, were in the public domain. How is it that the	10
11		union itself couldn't understand that those issues might	11
12		be affecting their own members, even if they weren't	12
13		hearing about actual criminal prosecutions of their own	13
14		members?	14
15	Α.	I mean, I think the point I've made and tried to make is	15
16		that the union is made up of a number of sections and	16
17		those elected to the top of the union have completely	17
18		different responsibilities. So the responsibilities for	18
19		dealing with any issues that would arise for our members	19
20		directly employed by Crown Offices would go to and rest	20
21		with what I would call the Postal Executive, which is	21
22		under our rule book, which has the sole responsibility	22
23		for dealing with those issues. So it's not that the	23
24		union in total would be aware of all of those issues	24
25		because it's not why everyone employed by the union 97	25
		97	
	_		
1	Q.	Just to	1
2	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Let me just ask you, Mr Kearns. Clearly,	2
3		I understand what you're telling me about the	3
4		demarcation of responsibility but would you expect that	4
5		the relevant heads of the section which deals with Post	5
6		Office employees and subpostmasters would have had	6
7		information about the numbers of people who were either	7
8		been prosecuted or dismissed on the strength of	8
9	•	information from Horizon?	9
10	Α.	If that information somehow was given to them, either by	10
11	ein	the Post Office or by our reps or members.	11
12 13	214	WYN WILLIAMS: Well, when you say "somehow", were there	12 13
13 14		any processes in place, so far as you know perhaps you don't know which would allow information such as	13
14		l've just suggested to you to go up the ladder, from the	14
16		local reps, where no doubt it will have started, to the	15 16
17		senior people at the head of the relevant section?	10
18	Α.	If those were issues of concern to our representatives	17
19	д.	at local level, area level, regional level, they would	18
20		be passed up through briefings and meetings that our	19 20
20 21		executive and national officers would have with those	20 21
21		representatives on a regular basis. So, if those were	21
23		issues of concern, then, yes, that would be the process	22
20		sector of concern, and, yee, and would be the process	20
24		through the procedure	24

25 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Without wishing to put words in your 99

CWU by Mark Baker and others about Horizon would have
100

- 1 been another way that your union was alerted to problems
- 2 with Horizon that could be affecting your own Crown
- 3 Office members, and you could have raised it with the
- 4 Post Office then; would you accept that?
- 5 A. That would be the -- as I said earlier, that would -- if
- Mark Baker has represented those to Andy Furey, who was
 dealing with those issues in 2011, that would have been
- 8 a way of raising them with the Post Office, yes.
- 9 Q. But it just never bubbled up to the relevant surface of
- 10 the CWU; is that where we're --
- 11 A. Sorry, it didn't bubble up?
- 12 Q. Yeah, it didn't reach/go through those layers that you
- 13 described to the Chair there of how matters come up
- through to the top of the CWU and perhaps then go to thePost Office?
- 16 A. Well, again, I'm -- I know people might get fed up with
- 17 me repeating this but, after 2002, it wasn't my
- 18 responsibility, so I'm not aware of which issues bubbled
- 19 up, as you describe it, through that rep structure to
- 20 Andy Furey, and what then transpired after that.
- Q. Did the Postal Executive or the CWU ever bring issues
 about Horizon concerns and Crown Office employees to the
 Executive Council of the CWU, that you can recall?
- 24 A. Not that I can recall.
- 25 **Q.** I've just got a couple more questions. I think you were 101
- 1 Q. We heard that.
- A. -- members of the CWU. They were the Communication
 Managers Association.
- 4 **Q.** Yes, we heard that. We have also heard much evidence 5 about the aggressive bullying and intimidating tactics
- 6 used by Investigators and Auditors and I just wanted to
- 7 ask what you have to say about that behaviour and what
- 8 the Inquiry has heard about the way in which Auditors9 and Investigators operated?
- 10 A. So I only saw a snippet of one of the Post Office
- 11 investigation branches giving evidence. I've had
- 12 experience of the Post Office Investigation Branch, as
- 13 it was then in my day job, when I was a local rep.
- 14 Having represented people who have been accused of
- 15 theft, I do find their methods to be aggressive, I do
- 16 find their methods to be almost -- well, natural justice
- 17 is innocence until proven guilty; my experience of
- 18 sitting down alongside our members, facing the Post
- 19 Office Investigation Branch, is there's a strong belief
- 20 in the Post Office Investigation Branch where people are
- 21 guilty and they're there to ensure that there are
- 22 consequences to that.
- 23 I don't find it -- generally, my experience in the
- 24 past -- it's a long time since I've had any dealings
- 25 with Post Office Investigation Branch -- is I found them 103

- just discussing there, towards the end of your evidence,
- 2 about self-employed subpostmasters and collective
- 3 bargaining. I think you've been discussing and you'd
- 4 agree that self-employed subpostmasters running small
- 5 businesses are quite a different beast to large-scale
- 6 groups of employees such as postal workers on collective
- 7 bargaining; would you agree with that?
- 8 A. Yeah, they are different groups.
- 9 **Q.** One final question. When I asked you questions on the
- 10 last time you appeared, you agreed it was likely that
- 11 Post Office employees, such as Auditors and others, were
- 12 or could have been members of the CWU. I think you'd
- 13 agree that would likely also include Investigators, as
- 14 well; would that be right?
- 15 A. When you say Investigators, you mean --
- 16 **Q.** Those who carried out an investigation function. People
- who were formerly counter clerks and then investigatedshortfalls and discrepancies and --
- 19 **A.** The Audit Team, you mean?
- 20 **Q.** Yes.
- 21 A. Yes, a number of those were -- yeah --
- 22 Q. Yeah, members?
- 23 A. -- members -- certain members of the Audit Team were
- 24 members of the CWU. Managers of the Audit Team were25 not --
 - 102
- 1 to be aggressive, not always -- I wouldn't trust them.
- 2 Q. So, to the extent that any of those were your members,
- 3 is it something that you could or should or would now
- 4 provide training to your members in how to conduct5 themselves?
- 6 A. Training to our members?
- 7 Q. Well, members who are conducting those kind of
- 8 functions --
- 9 A. Our reps?
- 10 Q. Yeah.
- 11 A. We -- as I said earlier, we provide training for our
- 12 reps.
- 13 Q. Sorry, not your reps. The members who are actually
- 14 conducting themselves in this way. Do you consider the
- 15 CWU has an obligation to perhaps remind its members of
- 16 how to conduct themselves when carrying out their
- 17 functions?
- 18 A. Sorry, members of the investigation branch were not
- 19 members of the CWU, members of the Audit Team were.
- 20 Q. Audit Team, yes.
- A. I'm talking about -- we're talking about two different
 things.
- 23 Q. Two different things?
- 24 A. We had the investigation branch who sit down and accuse
- 25 people of theft or wrong doing. The Audit Team -- so 104

1		the Audit Team predominantly in Crown Offices,	
2		individual stocks and the office balance is conducted on	
3		a Wednesday evening. Predominantly, Audit Teams would	
4		arrive before the opening of business on a Thursday	
5		morning and do a full audit. So they would audit all	
6		the individual stocks and the whole office balance to	
7		check that the closing balance that the office and the	
8		individuals declared on a Wednesday night was, in fact,	
9		accurate.	
10		The staff who carried that out were CWU members but	
11		they were not the ones who would be interviewing	
12		individual members of staff and accusing them of, for	
13		example, theft. That was the Post Office Investigation	
14		Branch. Two separate bodies within the Post Office.	
15	Q.	But those individuals might have been the ones out in	
16		the field dealing with subpostmasters. That's what the	
17		evidence has heard; would you accept that?	
18	Α.	The Audit Team?	
19	Q.	Yes, members of the Audit Team.	
20	Α.	Yes.	
21	MS	WATT: Thank you. Those are all my questions. Thanks.	
22	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Right, Ms Hodge where are we with this	
23		document?	
24	MS	HODGE: Sir, I don't think there's any need to share it	:
25		in the end. I think the questions were posed in a more	:
		105	
1	0	Thank you for attending the Inquiry to give evidence	
1	Q.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
2	Q.	today. You have produced a written witness statement,	
2 3	Q.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in	
2 3 4	Q.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in	
2 3 4 5		today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024.	
2 3 4 5 6	A.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does.	
2 3 4 5 6 7		today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well, spelt with an "E", I understand you wish to correct that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well, spelt with an "E", I understand you wish to correct that to "Swinson", spelt with an "I"?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well, spelt with an "E", I understand you wish to correct that to "Swinson", spelt with an "I"? Yes, please. Spelling mistake, and it's the first of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well, spelt with an "E", I understand you wish to correct that to "Swinson", spelt with an "I"? Yes, please. Spelling mistake, and it's the first of two paragraphs 91, which is a numerical mistake.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well, spelt with an "E", I understand you wish to correct that to "Swinson", spelt with an "I"? Yes, please. Spelling mistake, and it's the first of two paragraphs 91, which is a numerical mistake. Can I ask you to turn to page 41, please.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well, spelt with an "E", I understand you wish to correct that to "Swinson", spelt with an "I"? Yes, please. Spelling mistake, and it's the first of two paragraphs 91, which is a numerical mistake. Can I ask you to turn to page 41, please. Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well, spelt with an "E", I understand you wish to correct that to "Swinson", spelt with an "I"? Yes, please. Spelling mistake, and it's the first of two paragraphs 91, which is a numerical mistake. Can I ask you to turn to page 41, please. Yes. You should see paragraph 157 and then below that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well, spelt with an "E", I understand you wish to correct that to "Swinson", spelt with an "I"? Yes, please. Spelling mistake, and it's the first of two paragraphs 91, which is a numerical mistake. Can I ask you to turn to page 41, please. Yes. You should see paragraph 157 and then below that a statement of truth with your signature?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well, spelt with an "E", I understand you wish to correct that to "Swinson", spelt with an "I"? Yes, please. Spelling mistake, and it's the first of two paragraphs 91, which is a numerical mistake. Can I ask you to turn to page 41, please. Yes. You should see paragraph 157 and then below that a statement of truth with your signature? That's my signature. Thank you.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well, spelt with an "E", I understand you wish to correct that to "Swinson", spelt with an "I"? Yes, please. Spelling mistake, and it's the first of two paragraphs 91, which is a numerical mistake. Can I ask you to turn to page 41, please. Yes. You should see paragraph 157 and then below that a statement of truth with your signature? That's my signature. Thank you. Can I ask, are the facts in that statement true to the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well, spelt with an "E", I understand you wish to correct that to "Swinson", spelt with an "I"? Yes, please. Spelling mistake, and it's the first of two paragraphs 91, which is a numerical mistake. Can I ask you to turn to page 41, please. Yes. You should see paragraph 157 and then below that a statement of truth with your signature? That's my signature. Thank you. Can I ask, are the facts in that statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well, spelt with an "E", I understand you wish to correct that to "Swinson", spelt with an "I"? Yes, please. Spelling mistake, and it's the first of two paragraphs 91, which is a numerical mistake. Can I ask you to turn to page 41, please. Yes. You should see paragraph 157 and then below that a statement of truth with your signature? That's my signature. Thank you. Can I ask, are the facts in that statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief? They are.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	today. You have produced a written witness statement, could I ask you to turn that up, please. That's in front of you. Excellent. It should have the date in the top right of 16 May 2024. Yes, it does. Thank you. For the record, that Unique Reference Number is WITN00550100. Now, before I go to your signature, could I ask you to turn to page 24, please, paragraph 91. It doesn't need to be shown on screen you, on the first line, refer to "Jo Swenson's" well, spelt with an "E", I understand you wish to correct that to "Swinson", spelt with an "I"? Yes, please. Spelling mistake, and it's the first of two paragraphs 91, which is a numerical mistake. Can I ask you to turn to page 41, please. Yes. You should see paragraph 157 and then below that a statement of truth with your signature? That's my signature. Thank you. Can I ask, are the facts in that statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief? They are.	

107

1 general way.

2 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine.

- 3 MS HODGE: So that concludes the questions from the
- 4 recognised legal representatives and the witness may be5 released.
- 6 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you, Mr Kearns, for
 - reappearing at the Inquiry and answering further
- 8 questions. I'm grateful to you.
- 9 So, is it sensible to break for lunch now?
- 10 MS HODGE: Sir, I think so, yes.
- 11 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: So we'll resume at 1.40?
- 12 MS HODGE: Yes, thank you.
- 13 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right, fine.
- 14 (12.41 pm)

7

15

23

(The Short Adjournment)

- 16 (1.40 pm)
- 17 MR STEVENS: Good afternoon, sir, can you see and hear me?
- 18 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I can indeed.
- 19 MR STEVENS: Thank you, we are going to hear from Kay
- 20 Linnell.
- 21 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
- 22 DR KAY CATHERINE SHEILA HILARY LINNELL (sworn)

Questioned by MR STEVENS

24 MR STEVENS: Please could you state your full name?

25 A. Kay Catherine Sheila Hilary Linnell. 106 1 ask you some questions about it but, before I do, 2 I understand that you'd like to make a statement? 3 A. Yes, please. Thank you. 4 Before I start, I'd like to say this is a good 5 opportunity to pay tribute to those who have worked very 6 hard behind the scenes to help the SPMs to right the 7 miscarriage of justice that has been brought to light. 8 There are many unsung and unseen heroes and I have been personally supported very strongly by Howe+Co in this 9 10 matter and, before that, by Freeths in the High Court litigation, and by other firms, such as Hudgells. 11 12 I would like to pay my respect and thanks to them, 13 because a lot of what they've done is unpaid and 14 unnoticed. Their support continues. 15 One other person I'd like to nominate for a special 16 vote of thanks, so to speak, on behalf of the SPMs and 17 myself is Barbara Jeremiah, my business partner. 18 I slightly blame her for becoming involved in this, 19 because Barbara believed in Jo Hamilton's innocence and 20 would not believe me until we got involved. Jo is our 21 local subpostmistress, or was, and Barbara has worked 22 tirelessly providing support, guidance and encouragement 23 to many subpostmasters in the very many years we've been 24 involved.

25 Barbara has been involved in almost 20 years and has 108

The Post Office Horizon

1		never once wavered from her steadfast belief against
2		impossible odds. Barbara has never refused a request
3		for help from any SPM and has always been mindful of the
4		damage inflicted on them and their families by the
5		malicious, incompetent, coercive, controlling behaviour
6		of the shape-shifting Post Office.
7		We will continue to give support to SPMs in every
8		way we can, through accountancy, taxation, counselling
9		and advice, and any SPM who needs advice should contact
10		us until the money wrongly extracted from them, and the
11		damages to compensate them, have been paid in full.
12		The new Post Office team appear to be no better
13		organised than the old one through recent events but
14		I will not mention that. Thank you.
15	Q.	We are not going to cover any recent events, we'll look
16		at matters in the Inquiry's terms of reference. I'm
17		going to start with your background, please.
18		You qualified as a chartered accountant in 1979?
19	Α.	Correct.
20	Q.	You now work as forensic accountant?
21	Α.	That's correct.
22	Q.	When did you first start to act as a forensic
23		accountant?
24	Α.	Possibly in the late '80s/early '90s.
25	Q.	From that point the late '80s/early '90s, did you work 109
		109
1	Q.	Could I start with your initial interaction, then, with
1 2	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we,
2 3	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2,
2 3 4	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top.
2 3 4 5	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say:
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she used to drop into Jo's shop in South Warnborough to buy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she used to drop into Jo's shop in South Warnborough to buy her lunch on the way to court:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she used to drop into Jo's shop in South Warnborough to buy her lunch on the way to court: "One day in 2005, she found Jo in tears because of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she used to drop into Jo's shop in South Warnborough to buy her lunch on the way to court: "One day in 2005, she found Jo in tears because of ever increasing unexplained shortfalls in her business
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she used to drop into Jo's shop in South Warnborough to buy her lunch on the way to court: "One day in 2005, she found Jo in tears because of ever increasing unexplained shortfalls in her business accounts."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she used to drop into Jo's shop in South Warnborough to buy her lunch on the way to court: "One day in 2005, she found Jo in tears because of ever increasing unexplained shortfalls in her business accounts." You go on to describe some aspects of the criminal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she used to drop into Jo's shop in South Warnborough to buy her lunch on the way to court: "One day in 2005, she found Jo in tears because of ever increasing unexplained shortfalls in her business accounts." You go on to describe some aspects of the criminal trial.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she used to drop into Jo's shop in South Warnborough to buy her lunch on the way to court: "One day in 2005, she found Jo in tears because of ever increasing unexplained shortfalls in her business accounts." You go on to describe some aspects of the criminal trial. Can I just clarify, were you first made aware of Jo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she used to drop into Jo's shop in South Warnborough to buy her lunch on the way to court: "One day in 2005, she found Jo in tears because of ever increasing unexplained shortfalls in her business accounts." You go on to describe some aspects of the criminal trial. Can I just clarify, were you first made aware of Jo Hamilton's case in 2009? No, earlier than that, and I did try and get involved in 2009 but my real first involvement with Sir Alan was in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	А.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she used to drop into Jo's shop in South Warnborough to buy her lunch on the way to court: "One day in 2005, she found Jo in tears because of ever increasing unexplained shortfalls in her business accounts." You go on to describe some aspects of the criminal trial. Can I just clarify, were you first made aware of Jo Hamilton's case in 2009? No, earlier than that, and I did try and get involved in 2009 but my real first involvement with Sir Alan was in 2012.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she used to drop into Jo's shop in South Warnborough to buy her lunch on the way to court: "One day in 2005, she found Jo in tears because of ever increasing unexplained shortfalls in her business accounts." You go on to describe some aspects of the criminal trial. Can I just clarify, were you first made aware of Jo Hamilton's case in 2009? No, earlier than that, and I did try and get involved in 2009 but my real first involvement with Sir Alan was in 2012. Thank you. So is it fair to say that you were aware of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	А.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she used to drop into Jo's shop in South Warnborough to buy her lunch on the way to court: "One day in 2005, she found Jo in tears because of ever increasing unexplained shortfalls in her business accounts." You go on to describe some aspects of the criminal trial. Can I just clarify, were you first made aware of Jo Hamilton's case in 2009? No, earlier than that, and I did try and get involved in 2009 but my real first involvement with Sir Alan was in 2012. Thank you. So is it fair to say that you were aware of some facts of the issues, you were aware of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	А.	subpostmasters and the subpostmasters' cause. Could we, please, bring up the witness statement at page 2, paragraph 5. If we could have paragraph 5 at the top. You say: "I became involved in the Post Office treatment of its subpostmasters in 2009 because of my local postmistress, Jo Hamilton." You then refer to your business partner, saying she used to drop into Jo's shop in South Warnborough to buy her lunch on the way to court: "One day in 2005, she found Jo in tears because of ever increasing unexplained shortfalls in her business accounts." You go on to describe some aspects of the criminal trial. Can I just clarify, were you first made aware of Jo Hamilton's case in 2009? No, earlier than that, and I did try and get involved in 2009 but my real first involvement with Sir Alan was in 2012. Thank you. So is it fair to say that you were aware of

on IT	' Inq	uiry 20 June 2024
1		for a firm or an a celf amplaued basis?
	•	for a firm or on a self-employed basis?
2	Α.	I began engagement with fraud when I was a partner in
3 4		a small firm of chartered accountants in Derby and,
		having discovered fraud, I applied to and joined the
5 6		Inland Revenue and ultimately became the board of Inland Revenue's Chief Investigating and Prosecuting Accountant
7		and Head of Accounting Profession, prosecuting several
7 8		well-known figures. I then left HMRC, as it became, and
9		I set up the Joint Insolvency Monitoring Unit to monitor
10		insolvency practitioners.
11		I've also worked in the industry for Forte Plc as
12		Head of UK Taxation and then went back into the
13		profession with a small London firm of accountants and
14		I was then headhunted by Smith & Williamson to set up
15		a Southampton branch as a forensic accountant and
16		latterly by BDO. In about 2010, I set up my own
17		practice.
18	Q.	So when you were first engaged in or involved in matters
19		relating to subpostmasters, for whom were you working at
20		that stage.
21	Α.	I was working for myself. It was about 2012.
22	Q.	I think you mentioned BDO, you were employed by BDO?
23	Α.	Yes, I was the Forensic Director in Southampton.
24	Q.	What was the full name of BDO, sorry?
25	Α.	I think, at that stage, it was BDO LLP, I forget. 110
1		in 2012 when you became involved.
2	Α.	Yes. You could almost say I got my hands dirty from
3	Λ.	2012 onwards but I was well aware of it much earlier
4		than that
5	Q.	That can come down. Thank you.
6		You go on to say that your business partner
7		suggested that you spoke to Jo Hamilton in June 2012.
8	Α.	Yes, that's right.
9	Q.	At that point, I think, Ms Hamilton suggested that you
10		spoke to Sir Alan?
11	Α.	That's correct.
12	Q.	Now, had you heard of Sir Alan before that, before you
13		spoke to him?
14	Α.	Yes, indeed. Mrs Hamilton told me all about him,
15		regularly.
16	Q.	Can you recall your initial meeting with him?
17	Α.	I met Sir Alan outside James Arbuthnot's office in
18		a first meeting which we were going to have with James
19		Arbuthnot, and Ron Warmington and Ian Henderson of
20	_	Second Sight.
21	Q.	
22		effectively, the first

- A. That was my first physical meeting. I had had a couple of telephone calls with him.
- **Q.** I just want to ask a few points about representation . 112

3

- 1 generally, starting with the JFSA. At paragraph 9 of
- 2 your statement, you say that JFSA is an unincorporated
- 3 affiliation with no constitution, rules or hierarchy,
- 4 but simply united by a common problem, which is to get
- 5 back the money wrongly taken by Post Office and attempt
- 6 to recover losses and damages caused by the Post Office
- 7 operation of the Horizon computer and support system in
- 8 the subpostmaster Post Office Network.
 9 You say no hierarchy: was Sir Alan the c
- 9 You say no hierarchy; was Sir Alan the chairman of10 the JFSA?
- 11 A. In one way. He was effectively the person driving
- 12 a campaign and the SPMs that I met preferred that he led
- 13 the way for them. I don't think there's an officially
- recognised hierarchy or structure where there's chairmanor secretary or treasurer, or anything like that.
- 16 Q. How was decision making on behalf of the JFSA as
- 17 a whole, how did that decision making happen?
- 18 A. It happened at group meetings by show of hands.
- 19 **Q.** When you first met Sir Alan in 2012, can you recall
- 20 roughly how many subpostmasters or former subpostmasters21 formed part of the JFSA?
- 22 A. I don't know that information.
- 23 Q. Do you know how the JFSA was funded at that time?
- 24 A. My understanding is it had no funding.
- 25 **Q.** At that time, had you had any contact with the NFSP? 113
- 1 **Q.** Prior to that meeting, had you had any experience or
- 2 dealing with either Ron Warmington or Ian Henderson?
- A. None whatsoever. I looked them up before meeting them
 but I wasn't certain that they weren't people put up to
 do a whitewash burial job by the Post Office.
- 6 Q. So we can actually bring up your witness statement,7 please, page 4. There you say:
- 7 please, page 4. There you say:
 8 "I was extremely suspicious of Second Sight, at
 - "I was extremely suspicious of Second Sight, as they had been nominated by [Post Office] as independent
- 9 had been nominated by [Post Office] as independent10 forensic accountants, and I was concerned that their
- 11 access to documents and review of [Post Office's]
- 12 Horizon system might be a whitewash. I challenged them
- 13 at our meeting in 2012 but was satisfied with their
- 14 responses."

15

16

Can I ask your recollection of how you challenged them at that meeting?

- 17 A. I recall I asked them various questions about their
- background and approach to what ended up being the spotreviews of the cases.
- 20 Q. Do you recall what they said to satisfy you?
- A. Not precisely but I wanted to know what documents theywere going to look at, what level they were going to
- look at in the IT support and whether they were going tomeet the complainants.
- 25 **Q.** You said in your evidence then what ended up being the 115

- A. None whatsoever.
- 2 **Q.** Let's go, then, to the meeting in July 2012, and I think
 - you say that that was with Sir Alan, Ron Warmington, Ian
- 4 Henderson and Lord Arbuthnot?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Before that meeting, what had you been told about the7 purpose of it -- sorry, the purpose of the meeting?
- 8 **A.** I was told the purpose of the meeting was to consider
- 9 a mediation proposal put forward by the Post Office.
- 10 Q. So did you say mediation proposal?
- 11 A. A mediation proposal put forward by the Post Office to
- 12 James Arbuthnot which he wanted JFSA to sign up to, to
- try to resolve the -- I think it was 47 MP complaintsabout SPMs.
- 15 **Q.** Pausing there, there was obviously the Mediation Scheme,
- 16 which we'll come to after the Interim Report in 2013.
- 17 This in July 2012, prior to the Second Sight Interim
- 18 Report, was this still described to you as a mediation
- 19 proposal?
- 20 A. My recollection, which may not be that accurate at this
- 21 remove of time, but my recollection was there was
- 22 looking to be a mechanism to resolve the disputes,
- 23 effectively raised by the 47 SPMs complainants thorough
- 24 their MPs, and they wanted an initial external
- 25 independent forensic firm of accountants to look at it. 114
- spot reviews. From your recollection, was the concept
 of spot reviews discussed at that meeting?
- 3 A. Not to my recollection, no.
- 4 Q. Do you recall, broadly, what the proposal from Second5 Sight was?
- 6 A. I think the initial proposal was to pick several of the
- 7 47 cases and do an in-depth review of each of them to
- 8 try to find out what had gone wrong and whether the9 complainant had any substance in what they were saying.
- 10 **Q.** So, at that stage, a focus looking to specific cases
- 11 raised by MPs?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Lord Arbuthnot produced a witness statement and gave
- 14 oral evidence to this Inquiry, the witness statement was
- 15 dated 12 March, and he recalls this meeting taking place
- 16 on 12 July 2012, and his evidence was that you and Alan
- 17 were satisfied and agreed to the appointment of Second
- 18 Sight at that meeting, with the caveat that you would be
- 19 able to double check that Second Sight were acting
- 20 independently; would you agree with that?
- 21 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 22 Q. At that point, how did you envisage checking on Second23 Sight's independence?
- 24 A. At that stage, I thought I would be acting as
- 25 an independent forensic accountant for JFSA and, 116

1

4

1		I believe at the time, I prepared a letter of engagement
2		and it was agreed I'd be paid a small fee for checking
3		their work and going round with them, just to see what
4		they were doing.
5	Q.	Let's look at that letter of engagement now. It's
6		exhibited to your witness statement at WITN00550101. Is
7		this the letter to which you were referring?
8	Α.	Yes, it is.
9	Q.	It's dated 6 July 2012, so shortly after the meeting
10	_	with Lord Arbuthnot and Second Sight?
11	Α.	16 July 2012.
12	Q.	16th, sorry, did I say the 6th? I do apologise. 16th.
13		If we could go down, please. We see "Scope of Our
14		Work", it says, well, firstly a sentence about acting as
15		expert accountant and
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	refers to Second Sight. The second sentence says:
18		"The initial investigation would be in two parts,
19 20		the first being into current errors, the second to investigate the historic cases which have been raised by
20 21		MPs and a number of cases you will recommend."
21	Α.	That's correct.
22	Q.	
23 24	α.	this time, or at least your understanding of it, a look
25		at, in the first tranche, current cases and then more
20		117
1		hefore then?
1 2	Α.	before then? I don't think I'd met Alwen I yons before then and
2	Α.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and
	A.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells.
2 3	A.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year
2 3 4	Α.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells.
2 3 4 5	A. Q.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting
2 3 4 5 6		I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it was before the Interim Report was released.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it was before the Interim Report was released. But you're confident that it was 2013 and not 2012?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it was before the Interim Report was released. But you're confident that it was 2013 and not 2012? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it was before the Interim Report was released. But you're confident that it was 2013 and not 2012? Yes. We'll come back to that meeting, then, in due course.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it was before the Interim Report was released. But you're confident that it was 2013 and not 2012? Yes. We'll come back to that meeting, then, in due course. Can I please ask for us to look at POL00091028. If we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it was before the Interim Report was released. But you're confident that it was 2013 and not 2012? Yes. We'll come back to that meeting, then, in due course. Can I please ask for us to look at POL00091028. If we could go down, please, thank you. So we have an email
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it was before the Interim Report was released. But you're confident that it was 2013 and not 2012? Yes. We'll come back to that meeting, then, in due course. Can I please ask for us to look at POL00091028. If we could go down, please, thank you. So we have an email from Ronald Warmington to Mike Wood MP. You're not in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it was before the Interim Report was released. But you're confident that it was 2013 and not 2012? Yes. We'll come back to that meeting, then, in due course. Can I please ask for us to look at POL00091028. If we could go down, please, thank you. So we have an email from Ronald Warmington to Mike Wood MP. You're not in copy to this, so you wouldn't have seen it at the time, presumably? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it was before the Interim Report was released. But you're confident that it was 2013 and not 2012? Yes. We'll come back to that meeting, then, in due course. Can I please ask for us to look at POL00091028. If we could go down, please, thank you. So we have an email from Ronald Warmington to Mike Wood MP. You're not in copy to this, so you wouldn't have seen it at the time, presumably? No. Have you seen it for the first time when preparing for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it was before the Interim Report was released. But you're confident that it was 2013 and not 2012? Yes. We'll come back to that meeting, then, in due course. Can I please ask for us to look at POL00091028. If we could go down, please, thank you. So we have an email from Ronald Warmington to Mike Wood MP. You're not in copy to this, so you wouldn't have seen it at the time, presumably? No. Have you seen it for the first time when preparing for the Inquiry?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it was before the Interim Report was released. But you're confident that it was 2013 and not 2012? Yes. We'll come back to that meeting, then, in due course. Can I please ask for us to look at POL00091028. If we could go down, please, thank you. So we have an email from Ronald Warmington to Mike Wood MP. You're not in copy to this, so you wouldn't have seen it at the time, presumably? No. Have you seen it for the first time when preparing for the Inquiry? That's correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it was before the Interim Report was released. But you're confident that it was 2013 and not 2012? Yes. We'll come back to that meeting, then, in due course. Can I please ask for us to look at POL00091028. If we could go down, please, thank you. So we have an email from Ronald Warmington to Mike Wood MP. You're not in copy to this, so you wouldn't have seen it at the time, presumably? No. Have you seen it for the first time when preparing for the Inquiry? That's correct, yes. The second paragraph of that email says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I don't think I'd met Alwen Lyons before then and I think I had seen rather than spoken to Paula Vennells. There was a briefing day in the September of that year when I definitely met them but I don't recall meeting them before then. The Interim Report is produced on 8 July 2013. Yes. Was this meeting before or after the Interim Report? Unfortunately, I can't find the date but I believe it was before the Interim Report was released. But you're confident that it was 2013 and not 2012? Yes. We'll come back to that meeting, then, in due course. Can I please ask for us to look at POL00091028. If we could go down, please, thank you. So we have an email from Ronald Warmington to Mike Wood MP. You're not in copy to this, so you wouldn't have seen it at the time, presumably? No. Have you seen it for the first time when preparing for the Inquiry? That's correct, yes.

h to the state			
nistoric	ones in a	second tra	ancne ?

- 2 A. That was my understanding.
- 3 **Q.** At this stage, was there any discussion, from your
 - recollection, of systemic errors or looking for systemic errors?
- 6 A. No, not at all.
- 7 Q. That document can come down. Thank you.
- 8 If we could bring up the witness statement, please,
- 9 page 4, paragraph 15.
- 10 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Before that comes up, Mr Stevens, can you
- 11 remind me to whom that letter was addressed? I saw that
- 12 it was on Ms Linnell's notepaper but it's "Dear sirs";
- 13 is there a --
- 14 MR STEVENS: Yes, the addressee is Justice for
- 15 Subpostmasters Alliance but, actually, sir, you are
- 16 quite right, the question I should ask, if it's
- 17 an unincorporated association, was to whom was that
- 18 letter sent?
- 19 A. It was sent to Alan Bates.
- 20 Q. There we are on the witness statement. So paragraph 15,
- 21 you refer to attending a meeting at Post Office with
- 22 Alwen Lyons and Paula Vennells. You say "I think in
- around June or July 2013".
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 **Q.** Had you met with Alwen Lyons and/or Paula Vennells 118

1		is on hold until we have completed the Case Reviews.
2		Few of us think that sort of review will work well.
3		Some of us think it would probably turn out to be
4		a colossal and expensive disappointment (for those
5		seeking evidence of anomalies in Horizon). Those
6		pressing for such a Review now seem happy to wait to see
7		what the Case Reviews throw up."
8		Do you have any recollection, between the meeting
9		you had with Second Sight on 12 July and this meeting on
10		18 July, of any discussion about a deep dive review or
11		specific case reviews?
12	Α.	No, we only had the one meeting to start the process
13		off. I think it's referring to our first meeting.
14	Q.	At this stage, you were happy with the case reviews
15		approach?
16	Α.	I think if you didn't approach it through case reviews,
17		you wouldn't know what anomalies you would be looking
18		for. You'd be checking 100 per cent of the system at
19		vast cost and it would take an enormous amount of time,
20		so I do agree with starting with the problems and then
21		working back to see what went wrong.
22	Q.	Thank you, that document can come down.
23		Please can we bring up your witness statement at
24		page 11, paragraph 47. Thank you. Now, this is
25		something I've already touched on. You say here about 120

124

	the distinction between systems error and systemic	1		is an appendix to the Interim Report which sets out, it
	error. You say:	2		says, the remit of the inquiry. It says:
	"It's worth noticing the difference between	3		"The remit of the Inquiry will be to consider and to
	a 'systems error', (that is an IT coding error that will	4		advise on whether there are any systemic issues and/or
	replicate an error of the entry of the same data and	5		concerns with the 'Horizon' system, including training
	processing of the transactions in the IT system) and	6		and support processes, giving evidence and reasons for
	a 'systemic error'), that is one caused by incorrect	7		the conclusions reached."
	implementation and management of the subpostmaster	8		Do you recall the first time you heard the inquiry
	network using the Horizon computer accountancy system)."	9		expressed in those terms was?
	Were those concepts, as you describe them there,	10	Α.	I think it was on the publishing of this report which
	discussed with Second Sight in 2012?	11		I didn't see in draft before it was published. I just
Α.	I think the first time the words "systemic error" was	12		saw the final version.
	used was in a letter from Sir Alan to, I think, James	13	Q.	Keeping at the time when the Second Sight review was
	Arbuthnot, and the difference between Ron and lan's	14		commissioned, so July 2012, what at that time was your
	definition of systemic errors and ours is theirs was	15		view of the Post Office's motivations for commissioning
	restricted solely to the computer system, whereas ours	16		that review?
	saw it to be a complete system of administration,	17	Α.	Personal opinion is that they wanted to settle the MPs'
	training, implementation and management, using the	18		complaints and make it quiet.
	computer Horizon.	19	Q.	What was that based on?
Q.	Do I take it from that, then, that there wasn't	20	Α.	It was based on the attitude of the Post Office in
	a discussion with Horizon on the difference between	21		falling over themselves to provide information about
	"systems error" and "systemic error" in 2012?	22		those particular cases and nothing else.
Α.	Not that I recall.	23	Q.	In your view at the time, what, if any, other options
Q.	Could we look, please, at the Interim Report. It's	24		were open to the JFSA to push forward with the
	POL00099063. If we could go to page 22, please, so this 121	25		subpostmasters' concerns? 122
	121			122
		4		
Α.	The JFSA were an alliance of people who had similar	1		one I am familiar with is Jo Hamilton's, which came
	problems with the Horizon system, who'd suffered	2		about an inch thick with nearly every page redacted but
	personal damage but had no information whatsoever. If	3		for one or two words. It was a document that must have
	it could be taken to the next stage of taking it to the	4		cost a lot of ink but it couldn't be used for litigation
	court, the SPMs, JFSA, required evidence, and there was	5	~	because there was no information worth using.
	no way of getting evidence from the Post Office, except	6	Q.	So is it fair to say that the access to information
	through MP complaints. So there was no other course of	7		about the cases and the system, was absolutely
	action they could have taken at that stage, in my	8		imperative to pursuing the subpostmasters' campaign?
~	personal opinion.	9	Α.	It was critical because, without such information, it
Q.	Just to be clear, that was your opinion at the time?	10		would not have been possible to convince any lawyer
A.	It was my opinion at the time and remains so.	11	~	there was a case to be answered.
Q.	In 2011, the Inquiry has seen letters of claim from	12	Q.	
	Shoosmiths starting or intimating actions and the	13		assistance from other bodies, such as the NFSP?
	Inquiry has heard evidence of, in 2005, Post Office	14	A.	I'm not aware of that, I'm afraid.
	considering that a group action may be on the horizon at	15	Q.	
	some stage. Why was that not a viable option for the	16		itself. Please could we bring up POL00097402. This is
	JFSA at the time? You've mentioned the evidential	17		an email on 25 January 2013 from Ian Henderson to Jan
	concerns; were there any others?	18		Walker. Now, Janet Walker worked for Lord Arbuthnot,
А.	There are two problems that JFSA faced: one is no	19	•	then James Arbuthnot MP.
	evidence or information; and the second is no funding.	20	A.	That's correct.
	So to get funding, you'd have to put a case together to	21	Q.	It says:
	take to a litigation funder and, without any information	22		"Ron and I have discussed this.
	being extracted from the Post Office, it would be	23		"We both think it's an excellent idea for Alan and
	impossible. It had already been tried and kept being tried to get Freedom of Information requests, and the	24		Kay to be invited [referring to a meeting]. We are now working quite closely with them and have almost daily
		25		

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tried to get Freedom of Information requests, and the 123

(31) Pages		

1		contact."	1
2		Would you agree with that about the state of your	2
3		working relationship at January 2013?	3
4	Α.	Yes, I was going out to various meetings with SPMs with	4
5		Ron, and Alan and Ian were also talking quite a lot so,	5
6	_	yes, I would agree with that.	6
7	Q.	At that stage did either Mr Henderson or Mr Warmington	7
8		communicate to you any of their views on what they'd	8
9		heard about the Horizon IT System?	9
10 11	Α.	As you've heard from them on Tuesday, you'll know that	1(1 [.]
12		they're not backward at telling their views about things, so yes, they had.	1
13	0	What's your recollection of what they said?	1:
14	а. А.		14
15		defects and problems that the complaints by the	1
16		subpostmasters through their MPs were generally being	1
17		found to be based on errors in the Horizon system.	1
18	Q.		18
19		they had and were carrying out?	19
20	Α.	I was very satisfied that they were trying to do their	20
21		best to get information from Post Office to answer the	2
22		question we'd all been asking: why had these differences	22
23		occurred?	23
24	Q.	At that stage, January 2013, had either Mr Warmington or	24
25		Mr Henderson mentioned concern that there may have been	2
		125	
1		"Now systemic failures on the other hand are	1
2		different, and in my letter to James Arbuthnot"	2
3		Now, pausing there, was that the letter to which you	3
4 5		referred earlier in your evidence as the first time you recollect this issue arising?	4
6	Α.	Yes, that's my recollection.	6
7		He says:	7
, 8	ч.	" where I first use the phrase, I have qualified	8
9		its context.	g
10		"This occurs in the first sentence of paragraph 2,	1
11		where I say 'the weight that it adds to the systemic	1
12		failures with Post Office and the Horizon system'. It	1:
13		is these systemic failures with Post Office and their	1;
14		Horizon system that are the proven facts."	14
15		Now, do you agree with that definition of system	1
16		errors and systemic failures.	10
17	Α.	Yes, I do.	1
18	Q.	Did you have any further discussion on that distinction	18
19		with any representatives of Second Sight following this	19
20		email?	20
21	Α.	No, I left this to Alan because he had some experience	2
22		in point of sales and software and, frankly, I'm too	22
23		old. I use pen and ink, really.	23
24	Q.	Before we move to look at the Interim Report itself, to	24
	Q.		

1		miscarriages of justice?
2	Α.	No, we didn't discuss criminal prosecutions or
3	7.	miscarriage of justice. We were simply looking to try
4		to find out why the errors had occurred.
5	Q.	Please could we bring up POL00098315. If we can move
6	Ψ.	down the page, please. Thank you. We have an email
7		from Sir Alan to Ron Warmington on 12 May 2013.
8		I believe that data protection has covered up the email
9		address but I believe that's you in copy; is that right?
10	Α.	Yes, I was copied.
11	Q.	It's responding to quite a lengthy email from
12		Mr Warmington, which I don't need to take you to. As
13		you may expect, it's the "System Errors vs Systemic
14		Failures" that I want to deal with. It says:
15		"I think there may be, at times, confusion by others
16		over the referring of these two points. At its most
17		basic, system errors would to me be something like
18		an extra loop in the software code causing the false
19		result of a transaction, but as you rightly say, that
20		would affect every one of the 11,500 offices. Then at
21		the other end of the scale it might be something far
22		more complex resulting from a network communication
23		failure and an incomplete recovery of a transaction at
24		a particular office"
25		It goes on, and Sir Alan goes on to say:
		126
1		during the sorry, I'll rephrase that.
2		To what extent were you aware of Fujitsu being
2		conculted by Deet Office during the Coccard Cight
3		consulted by Post Office during the Second Sight
4		investigation?
4 5	А.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the
4 5 6	А.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be
4 5 6 7	A.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of
4 5 6 7 8		investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations.
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made
4 5 7 8 9 10		investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had no
4 5 7 8 9 10	Q.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had no direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had not direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu? Not at that time, no.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had not direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu? Not at that time, no. At that time, had you heard of Gareth Jenkins?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had no direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu? Not at that time, no. At that time, had you heard of Gareth Jenkins? No, I had not.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had not direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu? Not at that time, no. At that time, had you heard of Gareth Jenkins? No, I had not. I said it would be before I move to the Interim Report
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had not direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu? Not at that time, no. At that time, had you heard of Gareth Jenkins? No, I had not. I said it would be before I move to the Interim Report but, of course, I put off the question I was going to
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had not direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu? Not at that time, no. At that time, had you heard of Gareth Jenkins? No, I had not. I said it would be before I move to the Interim Report but, of course, I put off the question I was going to ask earlier about a meeting with Paula Vennells and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had not direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu? Not at that time, no. At that time, had you heard of Gareth Jenkins? No, I had not. I said it would be before I move to the Interim Report but, of course, I put off the question I was going to ask earlier about a meeting with Paula Vennells and Alwen Lyons. Could we please bring up your statement at
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had not direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu? Not at that time, no. At that time, had you heard of Gareth Jenkins? No, I had not. I said it would be before I move to the Interim Report but, of course, I put off the question I was going to ask earlier about a meeting with Paula Vennells and Alwen Lyons. Could we please bring up your statement at page 4, paragraph 15. Thank you.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	 investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had not direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu? Not at that time, no. At that time, had you heard of Gareth Jenkins? No, I had not. I said it would be before I move to the Interim Report but, of course, I put off the question I was going to ask earlier about a meeting with Paula Vennells and Alwen Lyons. Could we please bring up your statement at page 4, paragraph 15. Thank you. So you refer to, again, this meeting in either June
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had not direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu? Not at that time, no. At that time, had you heard of Gareth Jenkins? No, I had not. I said it would be before I move to the Interim Report but, of course, I put off the question I was going to ask earlier about a meeting with Paula Vennells and Alwen Lyons. Could we please bring up your statement at page 4, paragraph 15. Thank you. So you refer to, again, this meeting in either June or July 2013 and my understanding is that this was
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had not direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu? Not at that time, no. At that time, had you heard of Gareth Jenkins? No, I had not. I said it would be before I move to the Interim Report but, of course, I put off the question I was going to ask earlier about a meeting with Paula Vennells and Alwen Lyons. Could we please bring up your statement at page 4, paragraph 15. Thank you. So you refer to, again, this meeting in either June or July 2013 and my understanding is that this was before the Interim Report came out. That was your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. Q.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had not direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu? Not at that time, no. At that time, had you heard of Gareth Jenkins? No, I had not. I said it would be before I move to the Interim Report but, of course, I put off the question I was going to ask earlier about a meeting with Paula Vennells and Alwen Lyons. Could we please bring up your statement at page 4, paragraph 15. Thank you. So you refer to, again, this meeting in either June or July 2013 and my understanding is that this was before the Interim Report came out. That was your evidence earlier.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	investigation? I assumed, at this time, that Fujitsu held some of the electronic evidence and data and they would have to be asked by Post Office to produce it. I'm not aware of any consultations. So is it fair to say that was an assumption you made because they operated the computer system but you had not direct knowledge of Post Office contacting Fujitsu? Not at that time, no. At that time, had you heard of Gareth Jenkins? No, I had not. I said it would be before I move to the Interim Report but, of course, I put off the question I was going to ask earlier about a meeting with Paula Vennells and Alwen Lyons. Could we please bring up your statement at page 4, paragraph 15. Thank you. So you refer to, again, this meeting in either June or July 2013 and my understanding is that this was before the Interim Report came out. That was your

1		I think on around the beginning of June 2013, and the	1		b
2		date was suggested by, I think, Paula Vennells.	2	Α.	Y
3	Q.	Well, let's see if we're thinking about the same email.	3		а
4		Could we bring up POL00098418, please, and if we could	4		b
5		go to the bottom of the page, please. This is an email	5		0
6		from Sir Alan on 21 May 2013, and the last paragraph on	6		s
7		the page says:	7		w
8		"Would it be possible for Kay Linnell and I to meet	8		n
9		with you?"	9	Q.	lt
10		Is that the email you were referring to?	10		
11	Α.	Yes, and I think there's some subsequent emails where	11		th
12		she comes back and I think a date of 5 June was	12		р
13		suggested but that wasn't convenient. Yes, it's down	13		I
14		there at the bottom of the page.	14		
15	Q.	So looking at this email, Sir Alan refers to you and who	15	Α.	V
16		you are, as a reminder. If we go down, he says that:	16	Q.	A
17		"The main purpose of the meeting is to ensure that	17		th
18		you have been receiving the full details of what has	18		th
19		been occurring with the Second Sight investigation.	19	Α.	В
20		Bearing in mind what has been discovered so far, I for	20		Н
21		one am surprised that we haven't yet met to discuss the	21		th
22		implications."	22		b
23		Now, pausing there, at that stage, what had been	23		а
24		discovered so far that prompted an email from Sir Alan?	24		th
25		I should ask, sorry, did you and Sir Alan discuss this 129	25		d
1	_	spot cases.	1		to
2	Q.	Just pausing there, when you say "errors", can you	2		S
3		remember, putting yourself back to the time, what those	3	_	a
4		errors were which led you to believe this?	4	Q.	Т
5	Α.	I can't remember which names the bugs had but	5		u
6	Q.	Is it bugs that you're referring to?	6		
7	Α.	It's bugs and everyone has called them bugs but they're	7		y
8		actually errors in the computer software programming	8		b
9		that, when you put in a certain series of transactions,	9		
10		always cause a difference. And as the Post Office read	10	Α.	W
11		the contract, that it was always the subpostmaster's	11		th
12		fault or liability, whatever the circumstances, it was	12		0
13		clear to me that money had been taken wrongly, and also,	13		L
14		as in Jo's case, they had relied on the computer figures	14		th
15		to mount a prosecution, and I therefore felt at that	15 16		C
16 17	~	stage prosecutions might or probably were unsafe.	10		la
	Q.	Was that, the prosecutions being unsafe			a
18		Let me rephrase it. Who did you discuss your	18	~	e If
19 20		concern that prosecutions may have been unsafe, other	19 20	Q.	lf
20 21		than Sir Alan and, by way of this email, Paula Vennells?	20 21		y.
21 22	Α.	Nobody, because it's a suspicion, not evidence and, as forensic accountant, I have prosecuted people while	21 22		0' th
		I was at HMRC/Inland Revenue, and I am aware of the	22		th
		i was al hiving/inianu revenue, and i am aware of the	23		
23			24		~
23 24		standard beyond reasonable doubt and the evidence	24 25		g
23			24 25		g re

zon IT	[Inq	uiry 20 June 2024
1		before sending it?
2	Α.	Yes, we regularly discussed things and swapped drafts
3		and, as I recall, what had been discovered is there had
4		been substantial and important errors in the operation
5		of the computer, which had caused differences in
6		shortfalls in SPMs' accounts, and it was, at this stage,
7		we had, from the spot reviews, evidence that Horizon did
8		not operate perfectly and left differences.
9	Q.	It goes on to say, the final sentence of the paragraph:
10		"I have little doubt that it is now feasible to show
11		that many of the prosecutions that [Post Office] have
12		pressed home should never have taken place, and
13		I believe this is a view shared by Kay."
14		Was that a view shared by you?
15	Α.	Very much so.
16	Q.	Again, so we're clear here, what was it at that stage
17		that led you to believe that it was feasible to show
18		that many prosecutions should not have taken place?
19	Α.	Bearing in mind I had personal knowledge of Jo
20		Hamilton's case and her case was taken to the doors of
21		the trial before a plea bargain, without any evidence
22		being given to Jo to check, as to how and why the errors
23		arose, when Second Sight started their spot reviews,
24		they also found that there were errors which hadn't been
25		disclosed to people who were prosecuted as part of the
		130
1		to be present in Jo's case. But I was not aware of
2		sufficient evidence to take that anywhere else. It was
3		a suspicion.
4	Q.	The last question I'd like to ask on this email is the
5		use of the words:
6		"The main purpose of the meeting is to ensure that
7		you have been receiving the full details of what has
8		been occurring"
9		Do you know why Sir Alan used that turn of phrase?
10	Α.	We actually discussed this. It's a question, we
11		thought, of the Post Office being a former Government
12		organisation which had silos where, for example, the
13		Legal Department appeared to be completely separate from
14		the Operation and Management Department of the Post
15		Office Network and there appeared to be management
16		layers between them and the Board and the CEO. So Alan
17		and I wanted to make sure the people at the top knew
18		exactly what had been found.
19	Q.	If we then can go back to the meeting, which we had in
20		your witness statement, so if we could bring back page 4
21		of the witness statement, please, paragraph 15, it says
22		that:
23		"We asked to meet Paula Vennells as CEO to try to
24		get a reality check on the [Post Office] opposition to
25		recognising the plight that [Post Office] had caused
		132

1		[subpostmasters], to admit Horizon was not 100 per cent	1	
2		perfect (as no computer system can be) and to get money	2	
3		paid back to [subpostmasters as soon as possible]."	3	
4		You go on to say:	4	
5		"At that stage, JFSA did not want a full public	5	
6		exposure just proper care for SPMs in giving money back	6	
7		wrongly taken and paying for losses and damages. We	7	
8		were asking for substantial amounts but nothing compared	8	
9		to their own bonuses published in great deal in the	9	
10		[Post Office Limited] annual accounts."	10	Q.
11		There you don't refer to prosecutions. Did you not	11	
12		discuss that with Paula Vennells at this meeting?	12	
13	Α.	As far as I can recall, we discussed everything, and we	13	
14		were slightly worried that they'd used no evidence at	14	Α.
15		all to mount criminal prosecutions without any idea of	15	Q.
16		whether there was a guilty mindset or not. But I didn't	16	
17		refer to it there because I am not certain. I don't	17	
18		have any notes of that meeting. I can't even find the	18	
19		date of it, unfortunately.	19	
20	Q.	From your recollection, what do you think you said to	20	
21		Paula Vennells and Alwen Lyons about your concerns as to	21	Α.
22		criminal prosecutions?	22	Q.
23	Α.	I believe Sir Alan and I have always been concerned	23	
24		about giving money back to subpostmasters who were in	24	
25		dire financial straits, having had money taken away from	25	
		133		
1	A.	•	1	
2	A. Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight	2	
2 3		What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be	2 3	
2 3 4	Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released?	2 3 4	Q.
2 3 4 5		What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some	2 3 4 5	Α.
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my	2 3 4 5 6	
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure	2 3 4 5 6 7	Α.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Α.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Α.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it, I expected it a full in-depth analysis of them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it, I expected it a full in-depth analysis of them. That purpose, so producing an interim distilled analysis	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	A. Q. A.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it, I expected it a full in-depth analysis of them. That purpose, so producing an interim distilled analysis of four or a select number of the spot reviews, did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it, I expected it a full in-depth analysis of them. That purpose, so producing an interim distilled analysis of four or a select number of the spot reviews, did you think that was a what did you think of that as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it, I expected it a full in-depth analysis of them. That purpose, so producing an interim distilled analysis of four or a select number of the spot reviews, did you think that was a what did you think of that as an idea?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it, I expected it a full in-depth analysis of them. That purpose, so producing an interim distilled analysis of four or a select number of the spot reviews, did you think that was a what did you think of that as an idea? Not a bad idea because it might start the ball rolling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it, I expected it a full in-depth analysis of them. That purpose, so producing an interim distilled analysis of four or a select number of the spot reviews, did you think that was a what did you think of that as an idea? Not a bad idea because it might start the ball rolling towards giving the money back, I thought, and as long as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it, I expected it a full in-depth analysis of them. That purpose, so producing an interim distilled analysis of four or a select number of the spot reviews, did you think that was a what did you think of that as an idea? Not a bad idea because it might start the ball rolling towards giving the money back, I thought, and as long as it's only a stepping stone in their enquiries, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it, I expected it a full in-depth analysis of them. That purpose, so producing an interim distilled analysis of four or a select number of the spot reviews, did you think that was a what did you think of that as an idea? Not a bad idea because it might start the ball rolling towards giving the money back, I thought, and as long as it's only a stepping stone in their enquiries, it wouldn't make any difference.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it, I expected it a full in-depth analysis of them. That purpose, so producing an interim distilled analysis of four or a select number of the spot reviews, did you think that was a what did you think of that as an idea? Not a bad idea because it might start the ball rolling towards giving the money back, I thought, and as long as it's only a stepping stone in their enquiries, it wouldn't make any difference. Was there any discussion, before the Interim Report was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it, I expected it a full in-depth analysis of them. That purpose, so producing an interim distilled analysis of four or a select number of the spot reviews, did you think that was a what did you think of that as an idea? Not a bad idea because it might start the ball rolling towards giving the money back, I thought, and as long as it's only a stepping stone in their enquiries, it wouldn't make any difference. Was there any discussion, before the Interim Report was published, as to what would happen to Second Sight's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it, I expected it a full in-depth analysis of them. That purpose, so producing an interim distilled analysis of four or a select number of the spot reviews, did you think that was a what did you think of that as an idea? Not a bad idea because it might start the ball rolling towards giving the money back, I thought, and as long as it's only a stepping stone in their enquiries, it wouldn't make any difference. Was there any discussion, before the Interim Report was published, as to what would happen to Second Sight's investigation after it was published?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	What did you understand the purpose of Second Sight releasing the Interim Report to be and, just to be clear, my question is before it was released? Before it was released, I believe there was some pressure, particularly from James Arbuthnot was my MP, as well as Jo's, and I think there was some pressure from the MP committee, led by James, to actually give some sort of Interim Report as to what the Second Sight investigation was finding. So, I believe they selected a few cases, four or five, and produced, effectively, a distilled analysis of them. Before they produced it, I expected it a full in-depth analysis of them. That purpose, so producing an interim distilled analysis of four or a select number of the spot reviews, did you think that was a what did you think of that as an idea? Not a bad idea because it might start the ball rolling towards giving the money back, I thought, and as long as it's only a stepping stone in their enquiries, it wouldn't make any difference. Was there any discussion, before the Interim Report was published, as to what would happen to Second Sight's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.

1		them and the thought about prospections was compating
2		them, and the thought about prosecutions was something
2		we suspected but couldn't prove or worry about. As in
3 4		2013, so many years ago, we wanted the money given back
4 5		to people it had been taken off, and that still is a primary concern. And, you know, I'm sorry to expand
6		on the answer but I recall that all we got from Paula
7		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
, 8		Vennells was her main purpose was to learn from the past and build the brand and make it profitable, which is not
9		an answer to our questions at all.
10	Q.	Do you recall there being any discussion on, or proposal
11	ч.	put forward, by either Alwen Lyons or Paula Vennells
12		that went to concerns about either criminal prosecutions
13		or giving money back to subpostmasters as you requested?
14	Α.	No. None.
15	Q.	Thank you. That document can come down. Thank you.
16	-	Please could we actually, before we go to that,
17		the Interim Report, we know, is published on 8 July
18		2013. What, if any, involvement, did you have with
19		looking at or discussing drafts of the Interim Report
20		with Second Sight?
21	Α.	None whatsoever.
22	Q.	Did you have any discussion with representatives of
23		Second Sight more generally about the content of the
24		not specific drafting but the content, the general
25		content, of the Interim Report before it was published?
		134
1		there was an understanding that the Second Sight
2		investigation would continue until they'd finished the
3		subpostmasters' complaint cases, the ones from the MPs.
4	Q.	Sorry, I
5	Α.	l beg your pardon.
6	Q.	I overcut you there. I was about to ask, you said
7		"until they'd finished the subpostmasters' complaint
8		cases", and then you say the ones from the MPs, as in
9		the cases referred by the MPs?
10	Α.	And I think they were augmented in some way by a few
11	_	added by Post Office as sample cases, from memory.
12	Q.	You referred to an understanding that Second Sight's
13		work would continue. When you say that understanding,
14		was that just your understanding or one shared by
15	•	others?
16 17	Α.	The original engagement of Second Sight was by the MPs,
17 10		countersigned by JFSA and Post Office were used by the
18 19		MPs as a vehicle to implement the contract and pay, because the MPs did not have a budget. So my
19 20		understanding was the MPs were instructing Second Sight,
20 21		nobody else, and the MPs wanted an answer to their
		queries.
//		
22 23	0	
22 23 24	Q.	Could we look now at the Interim Report, please. It's POL00099063. If we could look at page 8. The

25 preliminary conclusions are very well known to the 136

2

1		Inquiry. We see there (a) "no evidence of system wide
2		(systemic) problems" and (b) referring to two incidents
3		of where "defects or 'bugs' in the Horizon system", and
4		it goes on to refer to two of the bugs that the Inquiry
5		has heard a lot about.
6		What do you think of, in particular, these two
7		preliminary conclusions at the time?
8	Α.	I was particularly disappointed by conclusion (a)
9		because I didn't think there was sufficient data for
10		Second Sight to reach that conclusion. I don't think
11		they should have opined at all on whether there were
12		systemic problems at that stage. I also found in my own
13		research, following them round, that they had found
14		problems across the system where one error would affect
15		many, many branches, and I was therefore disappointed.
16	Q.	Just taking that in stages. Firstly, you say
17		disappointed because you didn't think they had the
18		evidence to say that, the fact they've said, "We have so
19		far found", so saying, "we haven't found evidence of
20		a systemic problem", did that not reassure you?
21	Α.	Quite the opposite. It made me think that Post Office
22		had interfered with the report.
23	Q.	When you said that you felt you had seen evidence of
24		"system-wide (systemic) problems", do you recall, at the
25		time, what you thought those were?
		137
1		remotely, prior to the issuing of the Interim Report?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	What were you told?
4	Α.	I was told it was possible to enter the system through
5		a backdoor and it was a systemic error.
6	Q.	Who told you that?
7	Α.	Well, believe it or not, I think it was Ron Warmington,
8		but I've certainly talked to Sir Alan about it and we

- 9 knew about the Bracknell and other parts of Fujitsu who
- 10 regularly made corrections to the live system.
- Q. So you spoke about it with Sir Alan and I think you said 11 12 Ron Warmington discussed it with you. At this stage, so
- 13 when the Interim Report was released, were you aware of 14 Gareth Jenkins as a person?
- A. No, I'm still not aware of Gareth Jenkins at this stage. 15
- Q. You say in your statement -- we don't need to pull it 16
- 17 up -- that you thought the Interim Report could have
- been much stronger with regard to the Post Office 18
- 19 Limited field of operation and systemic working errors,
- 20 and, in your oral evidence you've expressed frustration 21 about the report. 22
 - Did you discuss your feelings on the report with
- 23 either Mr Henderson or Mr Warmington at the time?
- 24 Α. After the report was issued, yes.
- 25 What did you say to them? Q.

139

- No, I can't recall. There was something to do with ATMs Δ but I don't know whether that -- and it was the Bank of
- 3 Ireland, but I'm sorry, my memory of that is very hazy.
- 4 I just remember being quite cross about this because
- 5 they shouldn't have put anything in without the evidence
- 6 to support it and, from what I'd seen of Ron Warmington
- 7 and lan Henderson, they were very thorough, so I don't
- 8 believe, on their own, they would have written that.
- 9 Q. Just to be clear, you weren't involved in the drafting 10 process, so you don't have any direct knowledge of how 11 this report was drafted?
- None whatsoever. 12 Α.
- 13 Q. Can we turn to page 12, please. This is spot review 5,
- 14 which concerns the allegation that had been made by
- Michael Rudkin, regarding what's now referred to in 15
- 16 shorthand as remote access. The Inquiry has seen this
- 17 a lot, I don't need to go through it in full. If we
- 18 could just look at the bottom of page 13, please,
- 19 saving:

- "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this
- 21 issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in
- 22 light of the new information confirming that the meeting
- 23 on 19 August 2008 did in fact occur." 24
 - Were you told anything about Fujitsu's ability to
- 25 access data or insert data into branch accounts 138
- 1 Α. I was disappointed.
- What was their response? 2 O.
- 3 A. I don't exactly recall what they said, except that, you 4 know, they'd had to discuss the draft with Post Office 5 and clear it. which I still don't understand.
- 6 Q. I want to now look, then, at what happens next in the 7 chronology. It's the Mediation Scheme. Were you
- 8 involved in any discussions with Post Office
- 9 representatives following the publication of the Interim
- 10 Report about what to do next, following its findings?
- 11 A. Yes, there were extensive discussions generally led 12 through James Arbuthnot and Alan Bates about how we
- 13 should go forward from the Interim Report because,
- 14 although I thought they'd carry on finishing the job, it
- 15 was clear they wanted to take a different line.
- Q. When you say it was clear they wanted to take 16 17 a different line, how did you come to learn of that?
- 18 When you say "they" do you mean Post Office?
- Post Office, I'm sorry, I should say. Post Office 19 Α. 20 wanted to be seen, in my view, as reacting to the 21 Interim Report in a positive way.
- 22 Q. Were you involved in the initial discussions as to the
- 23 establishment of what became the Mediation Scheme?
- 24 Α. Yes, I was.
- 25 Q. Who else was involved in those discussions? 140

1	Α.	Sir Alan Bates, Ron Warmington, Susan Crichton, Ian	1		rightly say, it was attended by Julian Wilson and you
2		Henderson, James Arbuthnot; possibly some others.	2		say:
3	Q.	So, on behalf on the Post Office, it was Susan Crichton?	3		"The training day was also attended by Second Si
4	Α.	Susan Crichton led the discussions.	4		and presentations were given by Susan Crichton, Ang
5	Q.	And can you recall, in terms of dates, when those	5		van den Bogerd and Andy Parsons."
6		discussions took place?	6		Is this the first time you'd met Andy Parsons or ha
7	Α.	They were some time around August 2013.	7		you met him before then?
8	Q.	What was your view of Susan Crichton's approach to the	8	Α.	No, I think this was my first meeting with him.
9		issues in the Mediation Scheme?	9	Q.	Do you have any recollection of his approach to the
10	Α.	She struck me as somebody who was trying to get to the	10		training session itself?
11		bottom of what had gone on and to put forward some	11	Α.	The training session was done in such a way to explain
12		redress or remediation for the people who'd been caught	12		the background to the way Post Office operated and its
13		up in it.	13		structure and Andy Parsons gave some legal overview
14	Q.	The Working Group that oversaw the Mediation Scheme, do	14		I forget the details precisely.
15		you remember when that first became suggested as	15	Q.	Angela van den Bogerd, was that your first dealing wit
16		an idea?	16		her or had you met her before?
17	Α.	The Working Group was merely a part of the Mediation	17	Α.	No, this was my first dealing with her.
18		Scheme, and I think it was called the Initial Complaints	18	Q.	Again, the same question. What was her what was
19		and Interim Mediation Scheme, as it's supposed to be	19		view of her sorry, I'll rephrase that.
20		a model where we tested whether complainants could get	20		What was your view of her approach to the trainin
21		redress, and I believe it started with a training	21		day and the Mediation Scheme at that point?
22		session in September 2013, which I attended with Julian	22	Α.	Her approach was to tell us sufficient to understand ho
23		Wilson from the JFSA.	23		the Network was managed by Post Office and the dution
24	Q.	If we look at that now, if you can bring up your witness	24		the subpostmaster.
25		statement, please, page 18, paragraph 66. So, as you	25	Q.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1		please actually, no, sorry, if we start on page 1.,	1		miscarriage of justice, they would support a criminal
2		usually a good place to start. I think this is	2		appeal. Just as an aside, if I may, you would have
3		exhibited to your witness statement as a presentation	3		thought, if they'd known about Gareth Jenkins at this
4		given at this training day; is that correct?	4		stage, they would have actually told us in the briefing.
5		That's correct. This is a copy of the slide deck.	5	Q.	Can we look, please, at POL00022120. This is a docu
6	Q.		6		titled "Overview of the Initial Complaint Review and
7		Dickinson at the top. Can we infer from that that it	7		Mediation Scheme". Was this a document to which th
8		would be Andy Parsons giving this part of the	8		JFSA had input?
9		presentation?	9	Α.	Yes, we had a lot of input.
10	Α.	This is Andy Parsons' presentation.	10	Q.	Was it an agreed document?
11	Q.	We have "Possible remedies", I want to ask about two.	11	Α.	In the end, yes. It was compromised in various parts
12		Can you recall what was said about compensation?	12		but it was generally agreed.
13	Α.	My recollection of this day is quite muddled, to be	13	Q.	Throughout my questions today, I'll come back to this,
14		honest. I have a feeling he said, if there is something	14		and there may be points where I ask you where there's
15		that's been done wrong, clearly the Post Office will	15		been compromise but I'm not going to ask you for the
16		compensate you, or some wording like that. My notes are	16		whole of the drafting by committee. Could I look,
17		also pretty fuzzy because I'm talking to people and	17		please, at page 5. We have "Frequently Asked Quest
18		presenting as well. But I think it basically was that	18		about the Scheme", and at the bottom, "What if my ca
19		they would pay compensation to people who had suffered,	19		involves a completed criminal prosecution or
20		if there was an error proved by Post Office.	20		conviction?" It says:
21	Q.	I appreciate you say your memory is I think the words	21		"You may put your case through the Scheme ever
22		were "quite muddled" but do you recall what was said	22		you have already receive a police caution or have bee
23		about "Support a criminal appeal"?	23		subject to a criminal prosecution or conviction.
24	Α.	Again, if the evidence I think my recollection is	24		"However, Post Office does not have the power to
25		that Andy Develope said if there was a may an	25		

25

that Andy Parsons said, if there was a proven

143

2		say:
3		"The training day was also attended by Second Sight,
4		and presentations were given by Susan Crichton, Angela
5		van den Bogerd and Andy Parsons."
6		Is this the first time you'd met Andy Parsons or had
7		you met him before then?
8	Α.	No, I think this was my first meeting with him.
9	Q.	Do you have any recollection of his approach to the
10		training session itself?
11	Α.	The training session was done in such a way to explain
12		the background to the way Post Office operated and its
13		structure and Andy Parsons gave some legal overview.
14		I forget the details precisely.
15	Q.	Angela van den Bogerd, was that your first dealing with
16		her or had you met her before?
17	Α.	No, this was my first dealing with her.
18	Q.	Again, the same question. What was her what was your
19		view of her sorry, I'll rephrase that.
20		What was your view of her approach to the training
21		day and the Mediation Scheme at that point?
22	Α.	Her approach was to tell us sufficient to understand how
23		the Network was managed by Post Office and the duties of
24		the subpostmaster.
25	Q.	Please can we look at WITN00550103, and page 11,
1		miscarriage of justice, they would support a criminal
2		appeal. Just as an aside, if I may, you would have
3		thought, if they'd known about Gareth Jenkins at this
4		stage, they would have actually told us in the briefing.
5	Q.	Can we look, please, at POL00022120. This is a document
6		titled "Overview of the Initial Complaint Review and
7		Mediation Scheme". Was this a document to which the
8		JFSA had input?
9	Α.	Yes, we had a lot of input.
10	Q.	Was it an agreed document?
11	Α.	In the end, yes. It was compromised in various parts
12		but it was generally agreed.
13	Q.	Throughout my questions today, I'll come back to this,
14		and there may be points where I ask you where there's
15		been compromise but I'm not going to ask you for the
16		whole of the drafting by committee. Could I look,
17		please, at page 5. We have "Frequently Asked Questions
18		about the Scheme", and at the bottom, "What if my case
19		involves a completed criminal prosecution or
20		conviction?" It says:
21		"You may put your case through the Scheme even if
22		you have already receive a police caution or have been
23		subject to a criminal prosecution or conviction.
24 25		"However, Post Office does not have the power to
25		reverse or overturn any criminal conviction only the 144

(36) Pages 141 - 144

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1		criminal courts have this power.
2		"If at any stage during the scheme, new information
3		comes to light that might reasonably be considered
4		capable of undermining the case for a prosecution or
5		assisting for the defence, Post Office has a duty to
6		notify you and your defence lawyers. You may then
7		choose whether to use that new information to appeal
8		your conviction or sentence."
9		You may have already answered my question. At this
10		stage were you aware of the advice of Simon Clarke,
11		dated 15 July 2013, which raised allegations that Gareth
12		Jenkins had breached his expert duties to the court?
13	Α.	I believe the Clarke Advice was only disclosed in March
14		2021, after the criminal appeal trials.
15	Q.	At this time, were you aware of the substance of the
16		allegations, namely that Gareth Jenkins had produced
17		expert evidence in breach of his duties to the court?
18	Α.	No, I wasn't aware.
19	Q.	
20		conducting an internal investigation into past
21		convictions?
22	A.	
23	MR	STEVENS: Sir, that might be a good time to stop for
24		an afternoon break.
2 E	CID	W/VNI WILLIAMS: Vac that's find What time shall we
25	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, that's fine. What time shall we 145
25	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, that's fine. What time shall we 145
	SIR	145
1	SIR	145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment
1 2	SIR	145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the
1 2 3		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group.
1 2 3 4	SIR A.	145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she
1 2 3 4 5		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody
1 2 3 4 5 6		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela van den Bogerd's Investigation Team, and I just accepted
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela van den Bogerd's Investigation Team, and I just accepted her as someone nominated to do a job. But what I wasn't
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela van den Bogerd's Investigation Team, and I just accepted her as someone nominated to do a job. But what I wasn't aware of, which is what those two paragraphs indicate,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela van den Bogerd's Investigation Team, and I just accepted her as someone nominated to do a job. But what I wasn't aware of, which is what those two paragraphs indicate, that she was senior in Post Office and not just
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela van den Bogerd's Investigation Team, and I just accepted her as someone nominated to do a job. But what I wasn't aware of, which is what those two paragraphs indicate,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela van den Bogerd's Investigation Team, and I just accepted her as someone nominated to do a job. But what I wasn't aware of, which is what those two paragraphs indicate, that she was senior in Post Office and not just a secretary or somebody, and that she seemed to be
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela van den Bogerd's Investigation Team, and I just accepted her as someone nominated to do a job. But what I wasn't aware of, which is what those two paragraphs indicate, that she was senior in Post Office and not just a secretary or somebody, and that she seemed to be chairing somebody well, some group of people who were
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela van den Bogerd's Investigation Team, and I just accepted her as someone nominated to do a job. But what I wasn't aware of, which is what those two paragraphs indicate, that she was senior in Post Office and not just a secretary or somebody, and that she seemed to be chairing somebody well, some group of people who were working against the disclosure and settlement of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela van den Bogerd's Investigation Team, and I just accepted her as someone nominated to do a job. But what I wasn't aware of, which is what those two paragraphs indicate, that she was senior in Post Office and not just a secretary or somebody, and that she seemed to be chairing somebody well, some group of people who were working against the disclosure and settlement of the cases. It's disingenuous in terms of a mediation scheme.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela van den Bogerd's Investigation Team, and I just accepted her as someone nominated to do a job. But what I wasn't aware of, which is what those two paragraphs indicate, that she was senior in Post Office and not just a secretary or somebody, and that she seemed to be chairing somebody well, some group of people who were working against the disclosure and settlement of the cases. It's disingenuous in terms of a mediation scheme.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela van den Bogerd's Investigation Team, and I just accepted her as someone nominated to do a job. But what I wasn't aware of, which is what those two paragraphs indicate, that she was senior in Post Office and not just a secretary or somebody, and that she seemed to be chairing somebody well, some group of people who were working against the disclosure and settlement of the cases. It's disingenuous in terms of a mediation scheme.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 8 9 10	A.	145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela van den Bogerd's Investigation Team, and I just accepted her as someone nominated to do a job. But what I wasn't aware of, which is what those two paragraphs indicate, that she was senior in Post Office and not just a secretary or somebody, and that she seemed to be chairing somebody well, some group of people who were working against the disclosure and settlement of the cases. It's disingenuous in terms of a mediation scheme. With hindsight, with that knowledge now, do you have any concerns as to whether or not it affected the Mediation Scheme or disclosure within it?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	145 as to the appropriateness of Belinda Crowe's appointment as a person to provide secretarial support to the Working Group. I didn't know anything about Belinda Crowe, except she was a Post Office employee. I'm not sure that anybody else was able to afford the services of a secretariat to manage the case flows and assist with the disclosure exercise of Post Office, which was headed up by Angela van den Bogerd's Investigation Team, and I just accepted her as someone nominated to do a job. But what I wasn't aware of, which is what those two paragraphs indicate, that she was senior in Post Office and not just a secretary or somebody, and that she seemed to be chairing somebody well, some group of people who were working against the disclosure and settlement of the cases. It's disingenuous in terms of a mediation scheme. With hindsight, with that knowledge now, do you have any concerns as to whether or not it affected the Mediation Scheme or disclosure within it?

1		rooumo?
2	мр	resume?
2		STEVENS: Can we say 3.00, sir. WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, by all means.
4		STEVENS: Thank you.
4 5		7 pm)
6	(2.4	(A short break)
7	(3.0	0 pm)
, 8	•	STEVENS: Sir, can you see and hear me?
9		WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can. Thank you.
10		STEVENS: Thank you. I want to carry on with a few
11		points on the Mediation Scheme now. Can we please have
12		your witness statement on the screen, page 20. Go to
13		the page before, please. Thank you. At paragraph 76,
14		you refer to Belinda Crowe as being the appointed
15		administrator and acted as secretary to the Working
16		Group.
17	Α.	Correct
18	Q.	You say at 77:
19		"I was not aware at that time that [Post Office] had
20		a separate committee chaired by Belinda Crowe called
21		Project Sparrow which was only revealed after the High
22		Court trials. The fact that JFSA did not know about
23		this is typical of [Post Office Limited's] behind the
24		scenes obsession with secrecy and control."
25		At the time, at the time, did you have any concerns
		146
1	Α.	Belinda Crowe was responsible for the minutes the case
2		administration and producing bundles of documents for
3		the cases we looked at, at an early stage, to make sure
4	_	the system was working properly.
5	Q.	I'm going to come back to the minutes later. So I won't
6		deal with it now. Could we look, please, at page 15 of
7		your statement. At paragraph 55, you referred to
8		minutes for the 30 January 2014 Working Group. You say:
9 10		"[Post Office] sought to narrow the terms of
10		reference and JFSA objected, Chris Aujard went away to review the terms for [Post Office] and report back. He
12		did not report to any other Working Group meeting, and
13		to my recollection simply imposed the [Post Office] new
14		terms unilaterally to restrict the authority of the
15		Working Group that effectively further slowed up the
16		completion of cases and passing cases to mediation."
17		Can we bring up those minutes, please. That's
18		POL00026641. We see it's 30 January 2014.
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	If we can just scroll down slightly, please. Thank you.
21		We see there's a discussion about the terms of
22		reference, and under "Action" it says:
23		"Alan Bates raised the issue of the scope of the

- 24 **Q.** You say recording of the minutes, is that because
- 25 Belinda Crowe took the minutes?

23

else.

147

Working Group and whether the intention was that the

25 terms of reference would replace existing documentation

24

148[.]

(37) Pages 145 - 148

1	particularly but not limited to the training concerns	1		had taken over the General Counsel and that his
	particularly but not limited to the 'raising concerns			
2	about Horizon' documentation."	2		understanding was that the Working Group's purpose was
3	Secondly:	3		narrower than Alan Bates had set out."
4	"Discussion then turned to the purpose set out for	4		Pausing there, just as a slight detour, in your
5	the Working Group with the point being made that if the	5		statement you refer to a change of tone and approach for
6	terms of reference superseded previous documentation	6		the mediation when Chris Aujard replaced Susan Crichton.
7	then JFSA felt the terms of reference as drafted were	7		Can you explain what you mean by the change of tone?
8	insufficiently broad."		Α.	Yes, Susan Crichton was a lawyer, counsel for the Post
9	Is that what you were referring to in your statement	9		Office, who was tying to find out why differences had
10	as the challenge?	10		occurred and it was under her instigation we got two
11 A		11		excellent investigators, Ron Warmington and Ian
12	that the Working Group could look at.	12		Henderson; it was under her instigation we had
13 Q	Q. In what way was the attempt to narrow the terms of	13		a training day for people sitting on the Working Group
14	reference?	14		to understand the system. So it was kind of open
15 A	A. Preparing the two sets of documentation, the first set,	15		environment where access was given to documents to try
16	prepared under Susan Crichton, was to embrace the	16		and find the true reason for things.
17	reasons for the differences.	17		The second she disappeared without explanation and
18	The set of documentation, as I recall the new terms	18		Chris Aujard replaced her, we had someone who appeared
19	of reference prepared by Chris Aujard, or someone under	19		to be a litigation lawyer attempting to obstruct any
20	his direction, sought to limit anything the Working	20		access to documentation, slow things down, narrow the
21	Group looked at solely to the Horizon computer system.	21		focus on to the narrowest of margins to limit damages
22 Q). We see there it says:	22		for the Post Office. That's how it appeared to me.
23	"Responding for Post Office Chris Aujard explained	23	Q.	You appearing to be suggesting that direction came from
24	that the terms of reference accurately reflected the	24		Chris Aujard. Was that anything he said or did that
25	purpose of the Working Group as explained to him when he 149	25		made you think that, or was it just a timing matter 150
1	that, once Susan Crichton left and Chris Aujard came,	1		to "Terms of Reference", it says:
1 2	there was this change of tone?	2		"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of
	there was this change of tone? A. The change of tone was obvious. It's not always			"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed.
2	there was this change of tone?The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for	2		"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the
2 3 A	there was this change of tone? A. The change of tone was obvious. It's not always	2 3		"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed.
2 3 A 4	there was this change of tone?The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for	2 3 4		"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the
2 3 A 4 5	there was this change of tone?The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony	2 3 4 5		"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It
2 3 A 4 5 6	there was this change of tone?The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain	2 3 4 5 6		"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for
2 3 A 4 5 6 7	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense	2 3 4 5 6 7		"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the
2 3 A 5 6 7 8	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would
2 3 A 5 6 7 8 9	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was
2 3 A 5 6 7 8 9 10	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of minutes, one in November 2014, and Chris Aujard says he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was required. The terms of reference were agreed."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of minutes, one in November 2014, and Chris Aujard says he will ask his accounts team to answer what is a really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was required. The terms of reference were agreed." Now, the inclusion there of the "and any associated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of minutes, one in November 2014, and Chris Aujard says he will ask his accounts team to answer what is a really simple question, and it simply doesn't recur again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	А.	"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was required. The terms of reference were agreed." Now, the inclusion there of the "and any associated issues in Horizon", was that addressing the concern you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of minutes, one in November 2014, and Chris Aujard says he will ask his accounts team to answer what is a really simple question, and it simply doesn't recur again. But at the start of every Working Group meeting,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was required. The terms of reference were agreed." Now, the inclusion there of the "and any associated issues in Horizon", was that addressing the concern you had about the narrowing of the terms of reference?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of minutes, one in November 2014, and Chris Aujard says he will ask his accounts team to answer what is a really simple question, and it simply doesn't recur again. But at the start of every Working Group meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper asked that question and Chris Aujard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was required. The terms of reference were agreed." Now, the inclusion there of the "and any associated issues in Horizon", was that addressing the concern you had about the narrowing of the terms of reference? It was supposed to make sure the previous issues were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of minutes, one in November 2014, and Chris Aujard says he will ask his accounts team to answer what is a really simple question, and it simply doesn't recur again. But at the start of every Working Group meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper asked that question and Chris Aujard has simply blocked it and stopped anything happening	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	_	"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was required. The terms of reference were agreed." Now, the inclusion there of the "and any associated issues in Horizon", was that addressing the concern you had about the narrowing of the terms of reference? It was supposed to make sure the previous issues were still included in the Working Group remit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of minutes, one in November 2014, and Chris Aujard says he will ask his accounts team to answer what is a really simple question, and it simply doesn't recur again. But at the start of every Working Group meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper asked that question and Chris Aujard has simply blocked it and stopped anything happening with regard to revealing it. It is clear to me that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was required. The terms of reference were agreed." Now, the inclusion there of the "and any associated issues in Horizon", was that addressing the concern you had about the narrowing of the terms of reference? It was supposed to make sure the previous issues were still included in the Working Group remit. Why did you say "supposed to"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of minutes, one in November 2014, and Chris Aujard says he will ask his accounts team to answer what is a really simple question, and it simply doesn't recur again. But at the start of every Working Group meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper asked that question and Chris Aujard has simply blocked it and stopped anything happening with regard to revealing it. It is clear to me that he's there to close the scheme and close them down and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was required. The terms of reference were agreed." Now, the inclusion there of the "and any associated issues in Horizon", was that addressing the concern you had about the narrowing of the terms of reference? It was supposed to make sure the previous issues were still included in the Working Group remit. Why did you say "supposed to"? Well, I don't believe it did. I mean, for example, one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of minutes, one in November 2014, and Chris Aujard says he will ask his accounts team to answer what is a really simple question, and it simply doesn't recur again. But at the start of every Working Group meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper asked that question and Chris Aujard has simply blocked it and stopped anything happening with regard to revealing it. It is clear to me that he's there to close the scheme and close them down and make sure that we don't see anything that damages the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was required. The terms of reference were agreed." Now, the inclusion there of the "and any associated issues in Horizon", was that addressing the concern you had about the narrowing of the terms of reference? It was supposed to make sure the previous issues were still included in the Working Group remit. Why did you say "supposed to"? Well, I don't believe it did. I mean, for example, one of the other things we discussed extensively was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of minutes, one in November 2014, and Chris Aujard says he will ask his accounts team to answer what is a really simple question, and it simply doesn't recur again. But at the start of every Working Group meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper asked that question and Chris Aujard has simply blocked it and stopped anything happening with regard to revealing it. It is clear to me that he's there to close the scheme and close them down and make sure that we don't see anything that damages the Post Office brand. So, yes, it was a change of tone and it came from Chris Aujard.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was required. The terms of reference were agreed." Now, the inclusion there of the "and any associated issues in Horizon", was that addressing the concern you had about the narrowing of the terms of reference? It was supposed to make sure the previous issues were still included in the Working Group remit. Why did you say "supposed to"? Well, I don't believe it did. I mean, for example, one of the other things we discussed extensively was the retention of documents required for the cases and, bear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of minutes, one in November 2014, and Chris Aujard says he will ask his accounts team to answer what is a really simple question, and it simply doesn't recur again. But at the start of every Working Group meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper asked that question and Chris Aujard has simply blocked it and stopped anything happening with regard to revealing it. It is clear to me that he's there to close the scheme and close them down and make sure that we don't see anything that damages the Post Office brand. So, yes, it was a change of tone and it came from Chris Aujard.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was required. The terms of reference were agreed." Now, the inclusion there of the "and any associated issues in Horizon", was that addressing the concern you had about the narrowing of the terms of reference? It was supposed to make sure the previous issues were still included in the Working Group remit. Why did you say "supposed to"? Well, I don't believe it did. I mean, for example, one of the other things we discussed extensively was the retention of documents required for the cases and, bear in mind, we've got a lot more cases, we've got the 136
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Q	 there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of minutes, one in November 2014, and Chris Aujard says he will ask his accounts team to answer what is a really simple question, and it simply doesn't recur again. But at the start of every Working Group meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper asked that question and Chris Aujard has simply blocked it and stopped anything happening with regard to revealing it. It is clear to me that he's there to close the scheme and close them down and make sure that we don't see anything that damages the Post Office brand. So, yes, it was a change of tone and it came from Chris Aujard. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was required. The terms of reference were agreed." Now, the inclusion there of the "and any associated issues in Horizon", was that addressing the concern you had about the narrowing of the terms of reference? It was supposed to make sure the previous issues were still included in the Working Group remit. Why did you say "supposed to"? Well, I don't believe it did. I mean, for example, one of the other things we discussed extensively was the retention of documents required for the cases and, bear in mind, we've got a lot more cases, we've got the 136 now, which is the 150 minus the ones the Post Office
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 there was this change of tone? The change of tone was obvious. It's not always reflected in the minutes by Belinda Crowe but, for example, at the beginning of every meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper would ask could the Post Office please explain what has happened to this money, where are the suspense account items, where has the money from subpostmasters gone? And this is only referred to in two sets of minutes, one in November 2014, and Chris Aujard says he will ask his accounts team to answer what is a really simple question, and it simply doesn't recur again. But at the start of every Working Group meeting, Sir Anthony Hooper asked that question and Chris Aujard has simply blocked it and stopped anything happening with regard to revealing it. It is clear to me that he's there to close the scheme and close them down and make sure that we don't see anything that damages the Post Office brand. So, yes, it was a change of tone and it came from Chris Aujard. I'm going to look at suspense accounts later. Sticking with the terms of reference, can we bring up, please, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	"The Working Group discussed the revised terms of reference. The reworked Clause 4.9 was agreed. Clause 4.10.1 was agreed subject to the addition of the phrase 'and any associated issues' after Horizon. It was confirmed that the terms of reference allowed for different lengths of mediation. It was agreed that the Working Group should not have its own budget but would use the process set at in Section 8 where funding was required. The terms of reference were agreed." Now, the inclusion there of the "and any associated issues in Horizon", was that addressing the concern you had about the narrowing of the terms of reference? It was supposed to make sure the previous issues were still included in the Working Group remit. Why did you say "supposed to"? Well, I don't believe it did. I mean, for example, one of the other things we discussed extensively was the retention of documents required for the cases and, bear in mind, we've got a lot more cases, we've got the 136 now, which is the 150 minus the ones the Post Office objected to, and every time again we'd say, "Please

151

152

(38) Pages 149 - 152

		destroyed the documents". He made no effort to retain	1		"ACTION Post Office to reinforce the point that
2		documents.	2		files not to be destroyed Note to issue in Chris
3	Q.	Let's look at what you say on that in your witness	3		Aujard's name including letter to Royal Mail and
4		statement, please. It's page 16 of your witness	4		other relevant bodies."
5		statement sorry, no, it's not. It's page 28.	5		Do you recall that being discussed?
6		Thank you. This is a section on concerns raised at	6	Α.	Yes, it was discussed at every meeting.
7		the Working Group meetings. We'll be coming back here	7	Q.	If we can go, please, to POL00026635, and if we can just
8		and I'm just going to deal with the paragraph 108 first,	8		start at page 2, to date the document, Thursday,
9		and you say that:	9		6 February. If we go back to the front page, please, we
10		" Sir Anthony Hooper asked how the documents for	10		see that you're not in attendance at this meeting.
11		[subpostmasters] and other affected cases were being	11	Α.	No.
12		preserved. He warned Chris Aujard not to destroy any	12	Q.	Would you have reviewed the minutes?
13		documents at all. Chris Aujard replied to Sir Anthony	13	Α.	Yes. I always reviewed the minutes when they came out.
14		Hooper that [Post Office Limited] would continue to	14	Q.	Can we turn to page 5, please, and, if we can go to the
15		destroy documents following its usual six-year statute	15		bottom of the page under 7. We have "Review of
16		of limitations document destruction policy."	16		outstanding actions", and reference number 28, it's the
17		Can we just look at some minutes on that, please,	17		point we went to earlier at AOB.
18		starting with POL00026640.	18		"Post Office to reinforce the point that files not
19		Now, as happens with some of these meetings, we have	19		to be destroyed"
20		the standing agenda and attendees there. The date of	20		It says:
21		the document is on the second page, so 23 January.	21		"Update
22		Would that be 23rd January 2014?	22		"Correspondence issue to Royal Mail and from Royal
23	Α.	Yes, it would. These were telephone calls. We only met	23		Mail to Iron Mountain."
24		in person, I think, once a month.	24		Was it not the case that Post Office were taking
25	Q.	Can we look at page 8, please under "AOB". It says: 153	25		steps or saying they were taking steps not to destroy 154
1		documentation?	1		whether or not the Working Group actually recommended
2	Α.	Well, they said they'd corresponded with their previous	2	_	mediation; is that a fair summary?
3		head, covering Royal Mail, which separated in 2012, and	3	-	Yes, except Post Office had no authority to do that.
4		Royal Mail had stored some documents at Iron Mountain,	4	Q.	No authority to do what, sorry?
5		so presumably they told Royal Mail since 2012 and	5	Α.	Well, at the point that the original terms of
6		earlier not to destroy documents. It didn't affect	6		reference, before Chris Aujard's version, was that, if
7		really what the Post Office were doing with their own	7		Second Sight, having reviewed the claim, the Post Office
8		documents. A lot of the cases being reviewed were			In a sime new and the ODD has 0 a set of 0 in the if 0 a set of
		ne 2012 se that was relevant but what her it was	8		Inquiry report and the CRR by Second Sight, if Second
9		pre-2012, so that was relevant, but whether it was	9		Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go
9 10	0	complete, I can't say.	9 10		Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or
9 10 11	Q.	complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27,	9 10 11		Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have
9 10 11 12	Q.	complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27, paragraph 101. You discuss here a practice by JFSA of	9 10 11 12	0	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have a discussion at this point.
9 10 11 12 13	Q.	complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27, paragraph 101. You discuss here a practice by JFSA of retiring during discussion of individual cases, and by	9 10 11 12 13	Q.	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have a discussion at this point. Can we look please at POL00022120. It's the same
9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27, paragraph 101. You discuss here a practice by JFSA of retiring during discussion of individual cases, and by "retiring", do you know what I mean: not participating	9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have a discussion at this point. Can we look please at POL00022120. It's the same document we went to earlier, just before the break about
9 10 11 12 13 14 15		complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27, paragraph 101. You discuss here a practice by JFSA of retiring during discussion of individual cases, and by "retiring", do you know what I mean: not participating in the discussion?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have a discussion at this point. Can we look please at POL00022120. It's the same document we went to earlier, just before the break about criminal convictions. Can we turn to page 2. If we can
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27, paragraph 101. You discuss here a practice by JFSA of retiring during discussion of individual cases, and by "retiring", do you know what I mean: not participating in the discussion? We left the room.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have a discussion at this point. Can we look please at POL00022120. It's the same document we went to earlier, just before the break about criminal convictions. Can we turn to page 2. If we can go down there, perfect, thank you. This is describing
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27, paragraph 101. You discuss here a practice by JFSA of retiring during discussion of individual cases, and by "retiring", do you know what I mean: not participating in the discussion? We left the room. You left the room. It says:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have a discussion at this point. Can we look please at POL00022120. It's the same document we went to earlier, just before the break about criminal convictions. Can we turn to page 2. If we can go down there, perfect, thank you. This is describing the scheme, and the fourth paragraph down says:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27, paragraph 101. You discuss here a practice by JFSA of retiring during discussion of individual cases, and by "retiring", do you know what I mean: not participating in the discussion? We left the room. You left the room. It says: "There was a development in the Working Group	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have a discussion at this point. Can we look please at POL00022120. It's the same document we went to earlier, just before the break about criminal convictions. Can we turn to page 2. If we can go down there, perfect, thank you. This is describing the scheme, and the fourth paragraph down says: "As a result of this investigation, Second Sight
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27, paragraph 101. You discuss here a practice by JFSA of retiring during discussion of individual cases, and by "retiring", do you know what I mean: not participating in the discussion? We left the room. You left the room. It says: "There was a development in the Working Group meetings where the originally agreed terms for the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have a discussion at this point. Can we look please at POL00022120. It's the same document we went to earlier, just before the break about criminal convictions. Can we turn to page 2. If we can go down there, perfect, thank you. This is describing the scheme, and the fourth paragraph down says: "As a result of this investigation, Second Sight will produce a Case Review summarising its findings and
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27, paragraph 101. You discuss here a practice by JFSA of retiring during discussion of individual cases, and by "retiring", do you know what I mean: not participating in the discussion? We left the room. You left the room. It says: "There was a development in the Working Group meetings where the originally agreed terms for the Mr Jenkins were unilaterally varied by [the Post Office]	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have a discussion at this point. Can we look please at POL00022120. It's the same document we went to earlier, just before the break about criminal convictions. Can we turn to page 2. If we can go down there, perfect, thank you. This is describing the scheme, and the fourth paragraph down says: "As a result of this investigation, Second Sight will produce a Case Review summarising its findings and a recommendation on whether the case is suitable for
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27, paragraph 101. You discuss here a practice by JFSA of retiring during discussion of individual cases, and by "retiring", do you know what I mean: not participating in the discussion? We left the room. You left the room. It says: "There was a development in the Working Group meetings where the originally agreed terms for the Mr Jenkins were unilaterally varied by [the Post Office] when the Working Group attempted to discuss cases where	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have a discussion at this point. Can we look please at POL00022120. It's the same document we went to earlier, just before the break about criminal convictions. Can we turn to page 2. If we can go down there, perfect, thank you. This is describing the scheme, and the fourth paragraph down says: "As a result of this investigation, Second Sight will produce a Case Review summarising its findings and a recommendation on whether the case is suitable for mediation. A copy of this case review will be provided
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27, paragraph 101. You discuss here a practice by JFSA of retiring during discussion of individual cases, and by "retiring", do you know what I mean: not participating in the discussion? We left the room. You left the room. It says: "There was a development in the Working Group meetings where the originally agreed terms for the Mr Jenkins were unilaterally varied by [the Post Office]	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have a discussion at this point. Can we look please at POL00022120. It's the same document we went to earlier, just before the break about criminal convictions. Can we turn to page 2. If we can go down there, perfect, thank you. This is describing the scheme, and the fourth paragraph down says: "As a result of this investigation, Second Sight will produce a Case Review summarising its findings and a recommendation on whether the case is suitable for
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A.	complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27, paragraph 101. You discuss here a practice by JFSA of retiring during discussion of individual cases, and by "retiring", do you know what I mean: not participating in the discussion? We left the room. You left the room. It says: There was a development in the Working Group meetings where the originally agreed terms for the Mr Jenkins were unilaterally varied by [the Post Office] when the Working Group attempted to discuss cases where Second Sight had recommended mediation."	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have a discussion at this point. Can we look please at POL00022120. It's the same document we went to earlier, just before the break about criminal convictions. Can we turn to page 2. If we can go down there, perfect, thank you. This is describing the scheme, and the fourth paragraph down says: "As a result of this investigation, Second Sight will produce a Case Review summarising its findings and a recommendation on whether the case is suitable for mediation. A copy of this case review will be provided to you."
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A.	complete, I can't say. Can we bring up your witness statement, please, page 27, paragraph 101. You discuss here a practice by JFSA of retiring during discussion of individual cases, and by "retiring", do you know what I mean: not participating in the discussion? We left the room. You left the room. It says: "There was a development in the Working Group meetings where the originally agreed terms for the Mr Jenkins were unilaterally varied by [the Post Office] when the Working Group attempted to discuss cases where Second Sight had recommended mediation." So this is Second Sight do the report, Second Sight	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have a discussion at this point. Can we look please at POL00022120. It's the same document we went to earlier, just before the break about criminal convictions. Can we turn to page 2. If we can go down there, perfect, thank you. This is describing the scheme, and the fourth paragraph down says: "As a result of this investigation, Second Sight will produce a Case Review summarising its findings and a recommendation on whether the case is suitable for mediation. A copy of this case review will be provided to you." Pausing there, this is aimed at an applicant

	Was it not the case that Post Office were taking
	steps or saying they were taking steps not to destroy 154
	104
	whether or not the Working Group actually recommended
	mediation; is that a fair summary?
۹.	Yes, except Post Office had no authority to do that.
Q .	No authority to do what, sorry?
۹.	Well, at the point that the original terms of
	reference, before Chris Aujard's version, was that, if
	Second Sight, having reviewed the claim, the Post Office
	Inquiry report and the CRR by Second Sight, if Second
	Sight said it's a case fit to mediate, it should go
	straight to mediation. Post Office had no right or
	authority to interrupt the flow to mediation and have
	a discussion at this point.
Q .	Can we look please at POL00022120. It's the same
	document we went to earlier, just before the break about
	criminal convictions. Can we turn to page 2. If we can
	go down there, perfect, thank you. This is describing
	the scheme, and the fourth paragraph down says:
	"As a result of this investigation, Second Sight
	will produce a Case Review summarising its findings and
	a recommendation on whether the case is suitable for
	mediation. A copy of this case review will be provided
	to you."
	Pausing there, this is aimed at an applicant
_	subpostmaster, is it, so the "you" is the applicant?
۹.	Yes, this briefing document is for the claimants to make

(39) Pages 153 - 156

1		a claim, so it is to encourage them and explain how it	1	Q.	If we turn to page 8 as well, please, and "Will my case
2		will work.	2		definitely be referred to mediation?" I think this is
3	Q.	It then says:	3		a paragraph to which Sir Alan referred in his evidence
4		"The Working Group will, however, take the final	4		to the Inquiry. It says:
5		decision on any cases that may not be suitable for	5		"If your case is suitable and you provide accurate,
6		mediation."	6		detailed information to Second Sight, then this is
7	Α.	That's correct, so any case that Second Sight have	7		likely in most circumstances.
8		rejected or can't make a decision about, the Working	8		"However, the Working Group may consider that some
9		Group should review. Ergo, it means that any case where	9		cases are not suitable for mediation. For example, if
10		Second Sight say it's suitable for mediation should go	10		there is insufficient information about a case or the
11		straight thorough.	11		case is not one requiring resolution."
12	Q.	Is it not the case that Second Sight would produce	12		How does that work with your interpretation of the
13		a recommendation, which it was intended that the Working	13		role of the Working Group, if it's only going to decide
14		Group would then consider and arrive at a final	14		cases for mediation where Second Sight haven't made
15		decision?	15		a recommendation?
16	Α.	No, it wasn't. The CRR, if it recommended mediation,	16	Α.	The Working Group was intended to process cases and make
17		should go straight to mediation. It's only cases where	17		sure they had the relevant information and inquiries
18		they didn't take a final decision.	18		made before going to mediation. My understanding, when
19	Q.	Where did you get that understanding from, that that was	19		this document was issued, is only in exceptional or
20		the proper interpretation of what the Working Group's	20		strange circumstances, when Second Sight, as competent
21		role was?	21		investigators, couldn't make a decision, or there was
22	Α.	The Working Group will take a decision, a final	22		anyone sufficient evidence, would the Working Group
23		decision, on any cases that may not be suitable for	23		consider the case. So it meant that the majority of
24		mediation, not on cases that are suitable for mediation.	24		cases should have rolled through to mediation, and Post
25		That's what it says.	25		Office, nor any other party around that table had the
		157			158
1		power to stop them	1		please with POI 00026673 This is hopefully dated on
1 2	Q.	power to stop them. The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper	1		please, with POL00026673. This is hopefully dated on the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to
2	Q.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper,	2		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to
		The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes?	2 3		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down
2 3 4	Α.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes.	2 3 4		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document:
2 3 4 5	A. Q.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation?	2 3 4 5		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to
2 3 4 5 6	A. Q.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness	2 3 4 5 6		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite	2 3 4 5 6 7		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for mediation or not?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion: "Regardless of a decision to recommend mediation by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for mediation or not? No, that wasn't the main purpose, the main purpose was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion: "Regardless of a decision to recommend mediation by the Working Group, either party had the right to decline
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for mediation or not? No, that wasn't the main purpose, the main purpose was to find someone of great integrity and knowledge of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion: "Regardless of a decision to recommend mediation by the Working Group, either party had the right to decline to mediate."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for mediation or not? No, that wasn't the main purpose, the main purpose was to find someone of great integrity and knowledge of the resolution of disputes, to make sure that the process	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Δ	the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion: "Regardless of a decision to recommend mediation by the Working Group, either party had the right to decline to mediate." Did you disagree with that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for mediation or not? No, that wasn't the main purpose, the main purpose was to find someone of great integrity and knowledge of the resolution of disputes, to make sure that the process produced by this mediation processing scheme was run	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. 0	<pre>the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion: "Regardless of a decision to recommend mediation by the Working Group, either party had the right to decline to mediate." Did you disagree with that? No, I think mediation has to be a consensual process.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for mediation or not? No, that wasn't the main purpose, the main purpose was to find someone of great integrity and knowledge of the resolution of disputes, to make sure that the process produced by this mediation processing scheme was run fairly. I mean, it was an absolute bonus, he had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	<pre>the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion: "Regardless of a decision to recommend mediation by the Working Group, either party had the right to decline to mediate." Did you disagree with that? No, I think mediation has to be a consensual process. It says: </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for mediation or not? No, that wasn't the main purpose, the main purpose was to find someone of great integrity and knowledge of the resolution of disputes, to make sure that the process produced by this mediation processing scheme was run fairly. I mean, it was an absolute bonus, he had substantial knowledge of criminal cases because he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		<pre>the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion: "Regardless of a decision to recommend mediation by the Working Group, either party had the right to decline to mediate." Did you disagree with that? No, I think mediation has to be a consensual process. It says: "The extent to which case raises issues that had not</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for mediation or not? No, that wasn't the main purpose, the main purpose was to find someone of great integrity and knowledge of the resolution of disputes, to make sure that the process produced by this mediation processing scheme was run fairly. I mean, it was an absolute bonus, he had substantial knowledge of criminal cases because he was able to review files where Post Office said this wasn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		<pre>the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion: "Regardless of a decision to recommend mediation by the Working Group, either party had the right to decline to mediate." Did you disagree with that? No, I think mediation has to be a consensual process. It says: "The extent to which case raises issues that had not been previously explained to the satisfaction of the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for mediation or not? No, that wasn't the main purpose, the main purpose was to find someone of great integrity and knowledge of the resolution of disputes, to make sure that the process produced by this mediation processing scheme was run fairly. I mean, it was an absolute bonus, he had substantial knowledge of criminal cases because he was able to review files where Post Office said this wasn't fit to mediate because this person was going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		<pre>the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion: "Regardless of a decision to recommend mediation by the Working Group, either party had the right to decline to mediate." Did you disagree with that? No, I think mediation has to be a consensual process. It says: "The extent to which case raises issues that had not been previously explained to the satisfaction of the applicant in the context of the benefit of mediation for</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for mediation or not? No, that wasn't the main purpose, the main purpose was to find someone of great integrity and knowledge of the resolution of disputes, to make sure that the process produced by this mediation processing scheme was run fairly. I mean, it was an absolute bonus, he had substantial knowledge of criminal cases because he was able to review files where Post Office said this wasn't fit to mediate because this person was going to be prosecuted or had a criminal conviction; Sir Anthony and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion: "Regardless of a decision to recommend mediation by the Working Group, either party had the right to decline to mediate." Did you disagree with that? No, I think mediation has to be a consensual process. It says: "The extent to which case raises issues that had not been previously explained to the satisfaction of the applicant in the context of the benefit of mediation for the applicant in terms of being able to 'move on' after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for mediation or not? No, that wasn't the main purpose, the main purpose was to find someone of great integrity and knowledge of the resolution of disputes, to make sure that the process produced by this mediation processing scheme was run fairly. I mean, it was an absolute bonus, he had substantial knowledge of criminal cases because he was able to review files where Post Office said this wasn't fit to mediate because this person was going to be prosecuted or had a criminal conviction; Sir Anthony and went and reviewed the files and, in every case, he said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion: "Regardless of a decision to recommend mediation by the Working Group, either party had the right to decline to mediate." Did you disagree with that? No, I think mediation has to be a consensual process. It says: "The extent to which case raises issues that had not been previously explained to the satisfaction of the applicant in the context of the benefit of mediation for the applicant in terms of being able to 'move on' after mediation from the events being mediated."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. Q.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for mediation or not? No, that wasn't the main purpose, the main purpose was to find someone of great integrity and knowledge of the resolution of disputes, to make sure that the process produced by this mediation processing scheme was run fairly. I mean, it was an absolute bonus, he had substantial knowledge of criminal cases because he was able to review files where Post Office said this wasn't fit to mediate because this person was going to be prosecuted or had a criminal conviction; Sir Anthony and went and reviewed the files and, in every case, he said "That's not true, they can go through".	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion: "Regardless of a decision to recommend mediation by the Working Group, either party had the right to decline to mediate." Did you disagree with that? No, I think mediation has to be a consensual process. It says: "The extent to which case raises issues that had not been previously explained to the satisfaction of the applicant in the context of the benefit of mediation for the applicant in terms of being able to 'move on' after mediation from the events being mediated." What does that mean?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	The Working Group was chaired by Sir Anthony Hooper, a previous Court of Appeal judge, yes? Yes. I think Sir Anthony Hooper was your recommendation? Sir Anthony Hooper was Chairman of the Expert Witness Institute and I was his Vice Chairman. I knew him quite well. Was the purpose of appointing someone like Sir Anthony Hooper, with a legal background, to assist with resolving issues such as to whether a case should go for mediation or not? No, that wasn't the main purpose, the main purpose was to find someone of great integrity and knowledge of the resolution of disputes, to make sure that the process produced by this mediation processing scheme was run fairly. I mean, it was an absolute bonus, he had substantial knowledge of criminal cases because he was able to review files where Post Office said this wasn't fit to mediate because this person was going to be prosecuted or had a criminal conviction; Sir Anthony and went and reviewed the files and, in every case, he said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		the first page, it's 16 June 2014. Could we go to page 3, please, sorry, page 2. And if we could go down to point 3 at the top of the document: "Case M054 was discussed to inform a decision as to whether the Working Group should recommend the case for mediation. Second Sight had, in their final report, recommended mediation." It says: "The following points were considered during the course of the discussion: "Regardless of a decision to recommend mediation by the Working Group, either party had the right to decline to mediate." Did you disagree with that? No, I think mediation has to be a consensual process. It says: "The extent to which case raises issues that had not been previously explained to the satisfaction of the applicant in the context of the benefit of mediation for the applicant in terms of being able to 'move on' after mediation from the events being mediated."

159

A. This is a particularly difficult case because it involves someone who'd suffered, I believe, criminal 160

(40) Pages 157 - 160

Q.

Α. Yes.

Α.

Q.

Α. Yes.

Q.

	conviction. I may be misremembering the number but	1		vote as:
	I think this is one where there was a criminal	2		"On the assumption that both parties approach
	conviction and there was strong opposition from Chris	3		mediation in a genuine attempt to reconcile their
	Aujard in particular that you could mediate anything,	4		differences. Is it reasonably likely that the parties
	post a criminal conviction, but the financial loss was	5		will reach an agreed resolution of their issues'."
	still there, whether they were convicted of something	6		Then, over the page, it says:
	criminal or not, and if their conviction was based on	7		"The Working Group moved on to a vote. Post Office
	some misinterpretation or some plea bargain under false	8		voted against JFSA voted for.
	circumstances with limited disclosure, we all felt that	9		" the Chair undertook to decide the matter and
	they should still have the opportunity to go face to	10		provide the Working Group with his reasoned, written
	face and discuss the financial issues.	11		decision."
Q.	So was this a case where Second Sight had recommended	12		So, at this point, were the JFSA engaging in
	mediation, the JFSA were in favour of mediation but Post	13		a position where the Working Group were going to
	Office were against mediation?	14		determine whether or not to recommend a mediation in
Α.	Yes, because there'd been a plea bargain in this case,	15		circumstances where Second Sight had recommended
	if I'm, again, interpreting the number correctly and	16		mediation?
	this individual had plea bargained to false accounting	17	Α.	Yes.
	to avoid a theft charge and going to prison. But	18	Q.	Why was that?
	whether they'd actually done false accounting or not was	19	Α.	Because this is, I think, one of the first times this
	pretty dubious.	20		occurs, and it was somewhat of a curveball because we'd
Q.	If we go to the bottom of the page, it says:	21		previously understood the scheme, if mediation was
	"It being apparent that the matter of whether the	22		recommended it would go through. Post Office strongly
	Working Group should recommend M054 for mediation might	23		objected and indicated they wouldn't take part in
	proceed to a vote, the Working Group agreed the test the	24	_	a mediation.
	Chair should consider if called upon to use his casting 161	25	Q.	So is your evidence this was being caught off guard but 162
				102
	engaging in the debate and the vote at the time?	1		"AB" again:
Δ	engaging in the debate and the vote at the time? Yes	1		"AB" again: "AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the
	Yes.	2		"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the
	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got	2 3		"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had
	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in	2		"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the
	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have	2 3 4		"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had
	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it	2 3 4 5		"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054."
	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says:	2 3 4 5 6		"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in]
	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps	2 3 4 5 6 7	А.	"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute?
	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	А.	"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this
	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really,
	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the
	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case." So it appears that that Sir Anthony Hooper had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A.	"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the recommendations by Second Sight. It was clearly going
	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case." So it appears that that Sir Anthony Hooper had decided against recommending mediation in this case; is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the recommendations by Second Sight. It was clearly going to cause delay and distress for the applicants when they
Q.	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case." So it appears that that Sir Anthony Hooper had decided against recommending mediation in this case; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the recommendations by Second Sight. It was clearly going to cause delay and distress for the applicants when they had cleared the hurdle of proving there was a dispute
Q.	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case." So it appears that that Sir Anthony Hooper had decided against recommending mediation in this case; is that correct? That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13		"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the recommendations by Second Sight. It was clearly going to cause delay and distress for the applicants when they had cleared the hurdle of proving there was a dispute that could be mediated.
Q. A. Q.	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case." So it appears that that Sir Anthony Hooper had decided against recommending mediation in this case; is that correct? That's correct. "KL", I assume that's you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the recommendations by Second Sight. It was clearly going to cause delay and distress for the applicants when they had cleared the hurdle of proving there was a dispute that could be mediated. When it was said, "It was noted that this was the
Q. A. Q. A.	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case." So it appears that that Sir Anthony Hooper had decided against recommending mediation in this case; is that correct? That's correct. "KL", I assume that's you? Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the recommendations by Second Sight. It was clearly going to cause delay and distress for the applicants when they had cleared the hurdle of proving there was a dispute that could be mediated. When it was said, "It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed for
Q. A. Q. A.	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case." So it appears that that Sir Anthony Hooper had decided against recommending mediation in this case; is that correct? That's correct. "KL", I assume that's you? Yes. " raised a concern about sharing the Chair's decision	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the recommendations by Second Sight. It was clearly going to cause delay and distress for the applicants when they had cleared the hurdle of proving there was a dispute that could be mediated. When it was said, "It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed for M054", at that stage, were you in agreement that M054
Q. A. Q. A.	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case." So it appears that that Sir Anthony Hooper had decided against recommending mediation in this case; is that correct? That's correct. "KL", I assume that's you? Yes. " raised a concern about sharing the Chair's decision The Chair noted this concern"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the recommendations by Second Sight. It was clearly going to cause delay and distress for the applicants when they had cleared the hurdle of proving there was a dispute that could be mediated. When it was said, "It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed for M054", at that stage, were you in agreement that M054 had followed a previously agreed process?
Q. A. Q. Q.	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case." So it appears that that Sir Anthony Hooper had decided against recommending mediation in this case; is that correct? That's correct. "KL", I assume that's you? Yes. " raised a concern about sharing the Chair's decision The Chair noted this concern" Then it says "AB", presumably that's Sir Alan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the recommendations by Second Sight. It was clearly going to cause delay and distress for the applicants when they had cleared the hurdle of proving there was a dispute that could be mediated. When it was said, "It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed for M054", at that stage, were you in agreement that M054 had followed a previously agreed process? No. That's the point. Belinda Crowe has written this
Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case." So it appears that that Sir Anthony Hooper had decided against recommending mediation in this case; is that correct? That's correct. "KL", I assume that's you? Yes. " raised a concern about sharing the Chair's decision The Chair noted this concern" Then it says "AB", presumably that's Sir Alan? It is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the recommendations by Second Sight. It was clearly going to cause delay and distress for the applicants when they had cleared the hurdle of proving there was a dispute that could be mediated. When it was said, "It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed for M054", at that stage, were you in agreement that M054 had followed a previously agreed process? No. That's the point. Belinda Crowe has written this minute. The first half of the first half of the
Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case." So it appears that that Sir Anthony Hooper had decided against recommending mediation in this case; is that correct? That's correct. "KL", I assume that's you? Yes. " raised a concern about sharing the Chair's decision The Chair noted this concern" Then it says "AB", presumably that's Sir Alan? It is. " voiced concern about the additional time delay this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the recommendations by Second Sight. It was clearly going to cause delay and distress for the applicants when they had cleared the hurdle of proving there was a dispute that could be mediated. When it was said, "It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed for M054", at that stage, were you in agreement that M054 had followed a previously agreed process? No. That's the point. Belinda Crowe has written this minute. The first half of the first half of the second sentence belongs with the first:
Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case." So it appears that that Sir Anthony Hooper had decided against recommending mediation in this case; is that correct? That's correct. "KL", I assume that's you? Yes. " raised a concern about sharing the Chair's decision The Chair noted this concern" Then it says "AB", presumably that's Sir Alan? It is. " voiced concern about the additional time delay this would cause the applicant, but agreed that the [Working	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the recommendations by Second Sight. It was clearly going to cause delay and distress for the applicants when they had cleared the hurdle of proving there was a dispute that could be mediated. When it was said, "It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed for M054", at that stage, were you in agreement that M054 had followed a previously agreed process? No. That's the point. Belinda Crowe has written this minute. The first half of the first half of the second sentence belongs with the first: "AB queried the role of the Working Group in
Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes. Can we look, please, to POL00026665. So we've got a minute of the call on 26 June, which we see you're in attendance at. Please can we go to page 8. So we have M054, that's the case we were just discussing, and it says: "[The] Chair asked all parties to consider the steps to be taken to inform applicant of the decision not to mediate the case." So it appears that that Sir Anthony Hooper had decided against recommending mediation in this case; is that correct? That's correct. "KL", I assume that's you? Yes. " raised a concern about sharing the Chair's decision The Chair noted this concern" Then it says "AB", presumably that's Sir Alan? It is. " voiced concern about the additional time delay this would cause the applicant, but agreed that the [Working Group] should take time to consider how to proceed in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	"AB queried the role of the [Working Group] in the recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] had recommended mediation. It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed [in] M054." Do you recall the discussion that led to this minute? Yes. This is the point at which we objected, really, to the intrusion of the Working Group committee into the recommendations by Second Sight. It was clearly going to cause delay and distress for the applicants when they had cleared the hurdle of proving there was a dispute that could be mediated. When it was said, "It was noted that this was the previously agreed process, and the one followed for M054", at that stage, were you in agreement that M054 had followed a previously agreed process? No. That's the point. Belinda Crowe has written this minute. The first half of the first half of the second sentence belongs with the first: "AB queried the role of the Working Group in recommendation to mediate when [Second Sight] has

(41) Pages 161 - 164

1	followed for M054]."	1		
2 Q	-	2	Α.	I
3	you to minutes of other Working Group meetings but	3		I
4	I can, if you like. They showed, as you said earlier,	4		ł
5	that JFSA, from then on, would leave the room or not	5		ł
6	participate in discussions when the Working Group were	6		I
7	deciding whether or not to recommend a case for	7		(
8	mediation.	8		1
э А	That's correct. I mean, the latter meetings were held	9		(
0	at Matrix Chambers, rather than Bond Dickinson Womble's	10	Q.	I
1	(sic) office but we left the room, and sometimes the	11		(
2	building, until they'd finished dealing with their	12		I
3	interference in the Scheme because, frankly, it was	13	Α.	I
4	a power the Working Group did not that have, whatever	14	Q.	`
5	Chris Aujard's explanation was when he joined Post	15	Α.	
6	Office.	16	Q.	I
7 Q	Was it a case that Sir Anthony tried to encourage the	17		I
3	JFSA to stay for that discussion?	18		
A	He did but I don't criticise him for that. He simply	19		I
)	wanted a straightforward way forward for the claimants	20		
1	who were being caught up in this battle.	21		i
2 Q	Could you explain the basis on which, or the reasons	22		١
3	for, not participating in those discussions, other	23		1
Ļ	than you've given evidence that it wasn't the agreed	24	Α.	`
5	process. Were there any further reasons for not 165	25		I
1	Office.	1	А.	,
Q	You say in your statement and we'll come to the email	2		;
	shortly that you've now seen an extremely inaccurate	3	Q.	I
	file note of that conversation. When did you first see	4		
	what you describe as the inaccurate file note?	5		
	what you describe as the inaccurate file note? In the papers for this Inquiry.	5 6		(
	-			1
A Q	In the papers for this Inquiry.	6	Α.	1
A. Q	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would	6 7	A.	1
A. Q	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells?	6 7 8	A.	1 1 1
A Q D A	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells?	6 7 8 9	A.	1 1 1 1
6 A. 7 Q 8 9 0 A. 1	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding	6 7 8 9 10	А.	())))))
 A. Q 3 A. <li< td=""><td>In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding her, if that's the correct phrase, with some sort of</td><td>6 7 8 9 10 11</td><td>Α.</td><td>1 1 1 1 1</td></li<>	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding her, if that's the correct phrase, with some sort of	6 7 8 9 10 11	Α.	1 1 1 1 1
A. Q) A. 1 2 3	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding her, if that's the correct phrase, with some sort of encouragement for her to settle and at least face up to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Α.	(1) 1) 1) 1) 1)
A Q D A 2 3 4	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding her, if that's the correct phrase, with some sort of encouragement for her to settle and at least face up to the claimants and explain to them what had happened, and pay them back their money.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	1 1 1 1 1 1
A Q D A 1 2 3 4 5 Q	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding her, if that's the correct phrase, with some sort of encouragement for her to settle and at least face up to the claimants and explain to them what had happened, and pay them back their money.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
5 A. 7 Q 3 0 A. 1 2 3 4 5 Q 6	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding her, if that's the correct phrase, with some sort of encouragement for her to settle and at least face up to the claimants and explain to them what had happened, and pay them back their money. Let's look at the note, actually. We'll go straight	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		1 1 1 1 1 1 1
A. Q D) A. 1 2 2 3 3 4 5 Q 5 7	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding her, if that's the correct phrase, with some sort of encouragement for her to settle and at least face up to the claimants and explain to them what had happened, and pay them back their money. Let's look at the note, actually. We'll go straight there. It's POL00101367. It's an email from Paula	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		
A. Q A. 1 2 3 4 5 Q 3 5 7 3	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding her, if that's the correct phrase, with some sort of encouragement for her to settle and at least face up to the claimants and explain to them what had happened, and pay them back their money. Let's look at the note, actually. We'll go straight there. It's POL00101367. It's an email from Paula Vennells to Chris Aujard. It's on 17 September, so this is made on the day of the meeting; is that right?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		
A Q Q A A 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 Q 0 5 Q 0 7 3 3 A	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding her, if that's the correct phrase, with some sort of encouragement for her to settle and at least face up to the claimants and explain to them what had happened, and pay them back their money. Let's look at the note, actually. We'll go straight there. It's POL00101367. It's an email from Paula Vennells to Chris Aujard. It's on 17 September, so this is made on the day of the meeting; is that right? It's 17 September is when I saw her at Bonn airport.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		
 A. Q Q A A	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding her, if that's the correct phrase, with some sort of encouragement for her to settle and at least face up to the claimants and explain to them what had happened, and pay them back their money. Let's look at the note, actually. We'll go straight there. It's POL00101367. It's an email from Paula Vennells to Chris Aujard. It's on 17 September, so this is made on the day of the meeting; is that right? It's 17 September is when I saw her at Bonn airport. Do you remember roughly what time the meeting was?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		
A. Q Q Q Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding her, if that's the correct phrase, with some sort of encouragement for her to settle and at least face up to the claimants and explain to them what had happened, and pay them back their money. Let's look at the note, actually. We'll go straight there. It's POL00101367. It's an email from Paula Vennells to Chris Aujard. It's on 17 September, so this is made on the day of the meeting; is that right? It's 17 September is when I saw her at Bonn airport. Do you remember roughly what time the meeting was?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		
 A. A. 7 Q 3 3 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 4 5 4 4 4 5 4 4 4 4 5 4 4	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding her, if that's the correct phrase, with some sort of encouragement for her to settle and at least face up to the claimants and explain to them what had happened, and pay them back their money. Let's look at the note, actually. We'll go straight there. It's POL00101367. It's an email from Paula Vennells to Chris Aujard. It's on 17 September, so this is made on the day of the meeting; is that right? It's 17 September is when I saw her at Bonn airport. Do you remember roughly what time the meeting was? No, I can't. It was in the morning or around lunchtime, at a guess. But you would accept that this is a contemporaneous	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		
7 Q 3 9 A 1 2 3 4 5 Q 4 5 Q 6 6 7 8 8 9 A 0 0 0 1 A 2 2	In the papers for this Inquiry. Before seeing what you describe as the filenote, would you have recollected this conversation with Paula Vennells? Yes, I remember meeting her at the airport and bearding her, if that's the correct phrase, with some sort of encouragement for her to settle and at least face up to the claimants and explain to them what had happened, and pay them back their money. Let's look at the note, actually. We'll go straight there. It's POL00101367. It's an email from Paula Vennells to Chris Aujard. It's on 17 September, so this is made on the day of the meeting; is that right? It's 17 September is when I saw her at Bonn airport. Do you remember roughly what time the meeting was? No, I can't. It was in the morning or around lunchtime, at a guess.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		

partici	pating	in	the	discus	sion's

- Not only was it not the agreed process; it was not what had been advertised to the claimants when they made an application to join the scheme. Once you joined a scheme and the rules are set, you can't then do what Post Office often did, which is slip change into some other shape, so you do something different, you've got the people on Board, so then suddenly they're not going off to Clacton-on-Sea, they're off to Scarborough. I want to move on, still in the time of the Working Group but could we bring up your statement, please, at paragraph 91, which is page 24. Is this the second 91? You're quite right; it is the second 91. Apologies for that. None needed. When it arrives on the screen, it is the meeting with Paula Vennells. Sorry -- yes, if we can go to the bottom of the page, please. So this refers to a meeting you had -- I think it's a coincidental meeting where you bumped into Paula Vennells at Bonn airport in Germany on 17 September 2014. Yes, I was waiting for my flight by the gate and she hove into view with two other people from the Post 166 Well, it appears Ms Vennells has sent an email on the same day, yes. It refers to just bumping into you at Bonn airport: "... had a chat together. Off the record but of course not really." Do you know what that means or what would lead to that impression? Well, I did say that, I said, you know, "Paula can I have a word off the record because I do think you need to settle this because the subpostmasters need their money back, and they ought to have some sort of face-to-face explanation to explain why they've been treated so badly for so long, and if you don't settle this, you'll regret it". It says -- I'm not going to go through all of the document, I think it's the fourth bullet point down: "Can we get Angela to lead on mediations? Particularly if we think we are unlikely to move our position. Kay's view is that she is credible, understandable (v important) and stands the best chance of getting people on side or at least to feel they have been listened to, even if they still disagree." Now, taking it in stages, is Angela, in that bullet point, referring to Angela van den Bogerd?
- 25 A. Definitely Angela van den Bogerd.

168

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1	Q.	Did you discuss Angela van den Bogerd in your meeting	1		е
2		with Paula Vennells?	2	A.	V
3	Α.	Yes, we did, because she was getting to the bottom of	3	Q.	Т
4		an investigation, which seemed to have been carried out	4		0
5		for the first time on any of the applicants' cases, and	5		W
6		we were actually finding out why the differences had	6 7	A.	N V
7 8		occurred. So she was very thorough, easy to understand	8	Q.	th
		in her explanations of how differences arose and, if you			
9 10		cut her in half, she'd be Post Office through and through	9 10	A.	s Y
11	0	through. Did you say that, about being Post Office through and	10	A. Q.	י ".
12	ω.	through?	12	α.	th
13	Α.	No, I did not say that to Paula Vennells.	12		h
14	Q.		13		
15	ч.	understandable", is that a fair comment for Paula	15		d
16		Vennells to have made?	16		s
17	Α.	Concerning the investigation of differences in the	10	A.	N
18		network, yes, but not generally.	18	Λ.	h
19	Q.	She said:	10		to
20	ч.	"We should consider being more open to mediation on	20		d
21		the cases where more money was at stake not less.	20		th
22		Because this had a greater impact on those affected	22		h
23		(lost jobs, homes, etc) and therefore, they are the ones	23		
24		who need to vent more. And who will benefit from us	24		h
25		allowing them to 'yell' at us (she thinks we're big	25		h
		169			
1		note, and I thought they could have been more	1		h
2		sympathetic than taking someone into her home and	2		S
3		interviewing her without anyone else present and	3	Α.	Y
4		attempting to take her goods, which was prevented by her	4	Q.	Ν
5		mother.	5		
6		I don't remember saying Jo had evidence that they	6		fi
7		hadn't got at the time of prosecution. I probably did	7		
8		say Jo should have had information disclosed by Post	8		
9		Office that wasn't available at the time of prosecution.	9		S
10		This is a complete misrepresentation of what I said.	10		
11	Q.	Would you have said, as part of the discussion	11		is
12		generally, about the fact of a guilty plea, whether or	12		Т
13		not you agreed with that guilty plea? Would you have	13		ir
14		mentioned that?	14		W
15	Α.	No, I don't believe we discussed prosecutions or guilty	15		
16		pleas, as far as I recall. My notes of this	16		y
17		conversation have not been reduced to a file note at the	17		0
18	_	time. They were in passing at an airport.	18	_	S
19	Q.	Thank you. That document can come down.	19	Α.	V
20		I want to go back to one of the two points you	20		0
21		raised, and one of them I said I'd come back to. It's	21		ir
22		page 28 of your statement, please, paragraph 107, and,	22		1
23		if we could go to the bottom, please. Thank you.	23		0
24		These are two of the concerns that you raised here.	24		a
25		We looked at 108 earlier; 107 is the question, "Where 171	25		s

171	
-----	--

- enough to take that)."
- Where on earth has that come from?
- That was about to be my question: from what was said --
- or do you recall anything being said at the meeting that
- would lead to this note being made?
- No.
- We then have, it says, "Jo Harrison (JA SPMR)". Now that means presumably Jo Hamilton, James Arbuthnot,
- subpostmaster?
- Yes, I'm pretty certain it's Hamilton, not Harrison. "... Kay intends to go into mediation with her -- she
- thinks she needs looking after. Kay indicated that Jo
- had done something wrong but feels sorry for her ..."
- Now, I'm going to pause there because I know you
- dispute that. What is your recollection of what was
- said about Jo Hamilton at this meeting?
- My recollection is I said the system was such that Jo
- had been muddled and concerned, very upset and had had
- to roll over a difference which Angela had said, when we
- discussed M035, that was a reason she couldn't get to
- the bottom of the reasons for the difference because it had been rolled forwards over so many periods.
 - I didn't feel sorry for Jo. I did say she might
- have got out of her depth with the system but she didn't
- have any documentation to check, which isn't in the 170

1		has the [subpostmasters'] money gone?" You say that
2		Sir Anthony Hooper asked that question regularly.
3	Α.	Yes, he did.
4	Q.	Now, you say at the end of that paragraph:
5		"[Post Office] failed to provide any explanation or
6		figures at all."
7		That's in relation to "Where's the money gone?":
8		"Chris Aujard said he would get this information on
9		several occasions but [he] never did."
10		I just want to look at a few of the meetings on this
11		issue and could we start, please, with POL00026685.
12		This is a meeting on 16 September 2014. We see you're
13		in attendance. Could we look at page 6, please and, if
14		we go down to "Suspense account paper", thank you.
15		So "Suspense account paper". Now, you do say in
16		your witness statement that there are at least two types
17		of suspense account potentially relevant. What type of
18		suspense account was being discussed here?
19	Α.	We should be discussing what I would call the head
20		office suspense account, where differences were posted
21		in the main Post Office Limited accounting system, and
22		I would expect it to include things like the differences
23		on reconciling Camelot or Bank of Ireland control
24		accounts. But it must be that place where the
25		subpostmaster's money had gone and I know, talking to 172

1		Ron and Ian at the Working Group, they definitely
2		volunteered many times to go to Chesterfield, or
3		wherever the accounts were held, and have a look for
4		themselves to actually ascertain what had happened to
5		the money.
6		Chris Aujard, on many occasions, confirmed that
7		wasn't necessary, it was too complicated, they weren't
8		going to be paid for it, and he would produce a paper
9		from his accounts team asking the CFA. I've no idea
10		what this paper was but I don't believe it was
11		a substantial explanation.
12	Q.	But this part here where Post Office explained they
13		provided with a paper, you say you don't know what that
14		was?
15	Α.	No, I've never seen it.
16	Q.	"Post Office explained that this request was too broad
17		and they could not see how it linked to any case that
18		Second Sight investigating. Second Sight undertook to
19		provide their further specific question(s) in writing to
20		Post Office."
21		Could we look at the meeting on 17 October, which is
22		POL00040475. It is 18 October, as I say. Can we go to
23		page 2, please. This is referring back to the minutes
24		of 16 September meeting. This is:
25		"Referring to page 6, item 5 Second Sight had
		173
1		Update on Part Two Report". So that's the Second Sight
1 2		Update on Part Two Report". So that's the Second Sight Part Two Report.
	А.	Update on Part Two Report". So that's the Second Sight Part Two Report. Yes.
2	A. Q.	Part Two Report. Yes.
2 3		Part Two Report.
2 3 4		Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various
2 3 4 5		Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer
2 3 4 5 6 7		Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed
2 3 4 5 6		Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be
2 3 4 5 7 8 9		Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so." Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence, and there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so." Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence, and there's documentary evidence, on matters that happened
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so." Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence, and there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so." Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence, and there's documentary evidence, on matters that happened thereafter. My question to you is: were you involved at all in this investigation into suspense accounts?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so." Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence, and there's documentary evidence, on matters that happened thereafter. My question to you is: were you involved at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A.	Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so." Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence, and there's documentary evidence, on matters that happened thereafter. My question to you is: were you involved at all in this investigation into suspense accounts? No, I was not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so." Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence, and there's documentary evidence, on matters that happened thereafter. My question to you is: were you involved at all in this investigation into suspense accounts? No, I was not. Did Ron Warmington or Ian Henderson tell you anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q.	Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so." Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence, and there's documentary evidence, on matters that happened thereafter. My question to you is: were you involved at all in this investigation into suspense accounts? No, I was not. Did Ron Warmington or Ian Henderson tell you anything following this meeting about that investigation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so." Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence, and there's documentary evidence, on matters that happened thereafter. My question to you is: were you involved at all in this investigation into suspense accounts? No, I was not. Did Ron Warmington or Ian Henderson tell you anything following this meeting about that investigation? "In about 2015 [I brought the paper to remind me] I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so." Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence, and there's documentary evidence, on matters that happened thereafter. My question to you is: were you involved at all in this investigation into suspense accounts? No, I was not. Did Ron Warmington or Ian Henderson tell you anything following this meeting about that investigation? "In about 2015 [I brought the paper to remind me] I was told by Ian Henderson that the amounts credited to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so." Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence, and there's documentary evidence, on matters that happened thereafter. My question to you is: were you involved at all in this investigation into suspense accounts? No, I was not. Did Ron Warmington or Ian Henderson tell you anything following this meeting about that investigation? "In about 2015 [I brought the paper to remind me] I was told by Ian Henderson that the amounts credited to the profit and loss account of Post Office in 2010/11 was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so." Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence, and there's documentary evidence, on matters that happened thereafter. My question to you is: were you involved at all in this investigation into suspense accounts? No, I was not. Did Ron Warmington or Ian Henderson tell you anything following this meeting about that investigation? "In about 2015 [I brought the paper to remind me] I was told by Ian Henderson that the amounts credited to the profit and loss account of Post Office in 2010/11 was £612,000; in 2011/12 was £207,000; in 2012/13 was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A.	Part Two Report. Yes. The Chair asks for an update on progress of various matters and, at the bottom, we see: "The Chair asked if Post Office was able to answer the question on suspense accounts that had been posed for several months. Ian reported that the information had not yet been provided. The Chair asked that this be addressed as a matter of urgency and suggested that Post Office arrange a meeting between Second Sight and Post Office Finance staff to do so." Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence, and there's documentary evidence, on matters that happened thereafter. My question to you is: were you involved at all in this investigation into suspense accounts? No, I was not. Did Ron Warmington or Ian Henderson tell you anything following this meeting about that investigation? "In about 2015 [I brought the paper to remind me] I was told by Ian Henderson that the amounts credited to the profit and loss account of Post Office in 2010/11 was £612,000; in 2011/12 was £207,000; in 2012/13 was £234,000; and in 2013/14 was £104,000."

written to Post Office with questions on the suspense 1 2 account but are yet to receive a response. The Chair 3 noted the complexity of the questions. He asked if 4 there was a surplus in the account would it be taken into Post Office income. Post Office confirmed that it 5 6 would after 3 years. Post Office and Second Sight 7 agreed to clarify Second Sight's precise needs for 8 information. q "... The Chair asked Post Office for figures taken 10 into income from the suspense account to be broken down 11 year by year." Now, firstly, do you recall this conversation beyond 12 13 what's recorded in the minutes? 14 A. I remember a November 2014 minute where Chris Aujard gave a specific undertaking, I can't remember the 15 16 wording, and that information was never followed up. 17 But I know, to my own -- from my own investigations that 18 there were amounts of over £1 million pounds taken to 19 the Post Office profit and loss account over a five-year 20 period. 21 Q. I think you have answered the question I was about to 22 ask on what came next, and we're going to jump forward 23 to 14 January, please. POL00043633. We see 14 January 24 2015. Can we go, please, to page 3, and to the bottom 25 of the page. Thank you. It's "Additional Agenda Item: 174 1 of a conversation that --2 Δ It's a note of a conversation I had and I highlighted it 3 in yellow so I could find it. 4 Q. So that's -- sorry, it's gone off my -- that was lan 5 Henderson who told you that? 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. Did you have any further involvement in investigating 8 suspense accounts? A. There was no opportunity because the Mediation Working 9 Scheme was cancelled unilaterally by Post Office without 10 11 that question ever being answered. 12 Q. I want to now turn to my final topic, what happened

- 13 thereafter, and the Group Litigation --
- 14 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Before you do, am I right in thinking
- 15 that either Mr Henderson or Mr Warmington had in their
- 16 witness statement, when they gave evidence, figures
- 17 either identical to, or very similar to, the ones which
- 18 Ms Linnell has just referred to?
- 19 MR STEVENS: Sir, off the top of my head, I couldn't say
- 20 with confidence but, at the break, we'll double check
- 21 that point.
- 22 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Okay, I don't think I'm imagining that,
- 23 that's all.
- 24 A. If it assists, they're in the published accounts.
- 25 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Right. So there's a public record of 176

1		them somewhere, anyway?	1		representation for the Group Litigation?
2		STEVENS: Yes.	2	Α.	Sir Alan and I did several things at the end of the
3	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. Well, you needn't go researching	3		Mediation Scheme. First of all, we had a look at the
4		then, Mr Stevens.	4		evidence that had been produced by the Post Office and
5	MR	STEVENS: Thank you. It shows a confidence in my memory.	5		Angela van den Bogerd. We also without wishing to
6		Turning, then, to the litigation, please. I asked	6		pierce privilege of mediation discussions had some
7		earlier about the prospect of litigation in 2012 and my	7		general feedback from those people who had been
8		understanding of your answer was that you need funding	8		fortunate enough to reach the mediation panel. And,
9		for litigation	9		thirdly, we started putting together a panel of
10	Α.		10		potential lawyers who had experience in Group
11	Q.	and, in order to get funding, you needed to have	11		Litigation.
12		sufficient information to show a basis to put forward	12		We then went to several firms of lawyers and, as
13		your claim?	13		happenstance would have it, we ended up with Freeths,
14	Α.	Yeah, and a reasonable chance of success or no sensible	14		who also introduced us to litigation funders,
15		lawyer would take it on.	15		after-the-event insurers and counsel, Henderson
16	Q.	I'm now going to ask you some questions about the	16		Chambers.
17		process of litigation but, remember, I'm not asking you	17	Q.	It's well known that the litigation was funded by
18		anything which would require you to provide privileged	18		a litigation funder, Therium?
19		information, unless you were in a position to waive it	19	Α.	Therium were the funders, yes.
20		and you wanted to waive it.	20	Q.	If you hadn't had funding by way of a funder such as
21	Α.	Thank you.	21		Therium, would the GLO claimants have been able to
22	Q.	Once the Mediation Scheme had finished, so in 2015	22		pursue the litigation?
23	Α.	It was abruptly ended by Post Office in 2015 and I had	23	Α.	No, they wouldn't and if they hadn't had generous
24		a letter from Jane MacLeod out of the blue.	24		solicitors and counsel, prepared to take a proportion on
25	Q.	how easy or difficult was it for you to find legal 177	25		a conditional fee/success arrangement, we wouldn't hav 178
1		been able to process either, and the funders recognized	1		interested, you have to have sufficient evidence to
1 2		been able to process either, and the funders recognised the importance of having after-the-event insurance for	2		interested, you have to have sufficient evidence to prove that something has been done wrong and there is
2			2		similarity across a group of claimants, so that there
		people in our claimants' position. So we had to put all those four pieces in place before proceeding to			
4			4		are sufficient to actually put a force together to form
5	~	litigation.	5		a group litigation group. Quite high hurdles to
6	Q.	Just for the benefit of the public, when you say	6	~	overcome.
7		"after-the-event insurer", do you mean an insurer who	7	Q.	Just rounding this off, the information that you were
8		would indemnify the claimants if the litigation was	8		able to obtain and put forward to the solicitors and
9	_	unsuccessful and a costs order was made against them?	9		barristers to get them interested, did that come from
10	Α.	If you look at the case of Lee Castleton, it's very	10		the Mediation Scheme?
11		clear. If you lose in a court and the court has the	11	Α.	Yes. One of the things about going into the Mediation
12		power to award costs against you, it can have severe	12		Scheme because, normally, anything discovered in the
13		financial implications. The purpose of after-the-event	13		mediation is privileged and within the bubble of
14		insurance is to put an insurance policy in place so, if	14		mediation, but one of the things JFSA managed to
15		you lose, the insurance policy coughs up and pays the	15		negotiate is any document disclosed in the mediation
16		costs.	16		would be usable by the claimant person. So yes, that's
17	Q.	So, as I understand it, you say the sort of essential	17		where the data came from to enable litigation to take
18		ingredients were: (a) Therium or a litigation funder;	18		place.
19		(b) the after-the-event insurer; and (c) solicitors and	19	Q.	Before I ask you another question about the GLO, then,
20		barristers who were prepared to take a portion of their	20		to what extent, if at all, do you think the Mediation
21		fees on a conditional fee basis, or a no-win-no-fee	21		Scheme fulfilled its purpose?
22		basis?	22	Α.	At least 85 or 90 per cent. Without the Mediation
23	Α.	Yes, and bearing in mind all are essential, so you could	23		Scheme, there wouldn't have been the volume and the
24		take them all as one. There is no priority in that	24		capacity of claimants to go forward because Post Office
25		listing. And to get the solicitors and barristers 179	25		has, throughout my involvement with them, deliberately 180

1		or otherwise withheld documents that are essential for
2		the defence of individuals or the prosecution of
3		a claim.
4	Q.	Can we look at page 31 of your statement.
5	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: So, again, Mr Stevens, sorry. But, if it
6		be the case that the Post Office was seeking to use the
7		Mediation Scheme as a sham, to use that word, in fact,
8		on your view of it, it had the opposite effect because
9		it provided you with the ammunition for the litigation
10		that followed?
11	Α.	Yes, that's exactly right.
12	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. Okay.
13	MR	STEVENS: At paragraph 119 and 120, you say:
14		"The Freeths legal team put in place all the
15		necessary mechanisms and steps to cope with and respond
16		to the aggressive [Post Office] litigation strategy,
17		which in my view and the view of others, was primarily
18		designed to run the [Group Litigation] claimants out of
19		funding. Mr Justice Fraser refers to this in his
20		judgment by implication."
21		You go on to specify what you saw as the aggressive
22		litigation tactics by saying:
23		"[They] included limited and sporadic late
24		disclosure, contested costs applications and other
25		litigation 'tricks' such as [Post Office's] recusal
1 2		on the Horizon system, add huge legal costs to our side and try and derail the whole process.
3	Q.	Did you speak to other people in the claimant group
4		about the fact of the recusal application being made?
5	Α.	I certainly did because people wanted to understand what
6		it was.
7	Q.	Can you summarise what the general feeling was within
8		the claimant group about the application having been
9		made?
10	Α.	I can only say this from my personal point of view.
11		I think there was some anger and frustration, as yet
12		another tactic by Post Office stopped the move slowly
13		towards redress, justice and some sort of conclusion as
14		to what had happened to them.
15	Q.	The final question, or possibly questions, I ask is
16		about interaction with Government. Now, when Sir Alan
17		gave evidence, Mr Beer presented a series of letters
18		that he had sent to ministers and went through various
19		meetings. Did you have any interactions with Government
20		or Government Ministers in respect of the Horizon IT
21		System?
22	Α.	Sir Alan and I decided that he should write the letters.
23		We discussed the contents before they were sent. In
24		particular, I was a part of his issuing of a bill to,
25		I think the Prime Minister at the time. Boris, Johnson

25 I think, the Prime Minister at the time, Boris Johnson, 183

1		application to derail the planned five trial
2		litigation."
3		I want to just focus on one aspect of that, and
4		that's the recusal application. Were you in court when
5		the recusal application was announced?
6	Α.	Yes, I was.
7	Q.	What was your reaction to that?
8	Α.	It happened after lunch, in the middle of someone's
9		testimony. I think Mr de Garr Robinson stood up and
10		made the application. Fraser J insisted the witness was
11		completed before he dealt with it, which was kind,
12		because, otherwise, the witness would have been held
13		over indefinitely, and I think it was an absolutely
14		shocking result because it was the tactics of
15		a desperate, drowning man, as far as I could see, to
16		recuse a judge who had been no more than colourful in
17		his language and comparatives.
18		It was the handing down of this judgment that same
19		morning, and there were phrases in it which clearly the
20		Post Office and their Legal Team objected to, such as
21		"The Flat Earth Society", and things like that. And
22		I think it was absolutely disgraceful because there was
23		no bias that I could see in that judgment. However, I'm
24		not a lawyer, I'm a mere accountant. But I thought it
25		was a disgraceful tactic and designed to stop the trial 182
1		concerning the litigation costs of 46 million plus
2		interest.
3	MR	STEVENS: Thank you.
4		Sir, before I famous last words, saying it was my
5		last question. If I may just have a moment to check
6		a note. <i>(Pause)</i>
7		Thank you, sir. I do actually have one further
8		question and it's going right back to the start of your
9		evidence, when you referred to working for a firm called
10		BDO.
11	-	
	Α.	Yes.
12	A. Q.	Yes. We've heard evidence about Lee Castleton's civil case,
12 13		
		We've heard evidence about Lee Castleton's civil case,
13 14 15		We've heard evidence about Lee Castleton's civil case, and an expert report being produced to Womble Bond Dickinson, not disclosed in the hearing, by BDO Stoy Hayward. Did you have any involvement in the Lee
13 14 15 16	Q.	We've heard evidence about Lee Castleton's civil case, and an expert report being produced to Womble Bond Dickinson, not disclosed in the hearing, by BDO Stoy Hayward. Did you have any involvement in the Lee Castleton case at all?
13 14 15 16 17		We've heard evidence about Lee Castleton's civil case, and an expert report being produced to Womble Bond Dickinson, not disclosed in the hearing, by BDO Stoy Hayward. Did you have any involvement in the Lee Castleton case at all? No, I really wasn't aware of Lee Castleton's case until
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	We've heard evidence about Lee Castleton's civil case, and an expert report being produced to Womble Bond Dickinson, not disclosed in the hearing, by BDO Stoy Hayward. Did you have any involvement in the Lee Castleton case at all? No, I really wasn't aware of Lee Castleton's case until I met Alan Bates and we went into this process.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	We've heard evidence about Lee Castleton's civil case, and an expert report being produced to Womble Bond Dickinson, not disclosed in the hearing, by BDO Stoy Hayward. Did you have any involvement in the Lee Castleton case at all? No, I really wasn't aware of Lee Castleton's case until I met Alan Bates and we went into this process. I'm not suggesting it's necessarily the same firm.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	We've heard evidence about Lee Castleton's civil case, and an expert report being produced to Womble Bond Dickinson, not disclosed in the hearing, by BDO Stoy Hayward. Did you have any involvement in the Lee Castleton case at all? No, I really wasn't aware of Lee Castleton's case until I met Alan Bates and we went into this process. I'm not suggesting it's necessarily the same firm. I just wanted
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A.	We've heard evidence about Lee Castleton's civil case, and an expert report being produced to Womble Bond Dickinson, not disclosed in the hearing, by BDO Stoy Hayward. Did you have any involvement in the Lee Castleton case at all? No, I really wasn't aware of Lee Castleton's case until I met Alan Bates and we went into this process. I'm not suggesting it's necessarily the same firm. I just wanted It will be the same firm, because Stoy Hayward and BDO,
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Q. A. Q.	We've heard evidence about Lee Castleton's civil case, and an expert report being produced to Womble Bond Dickinson, not disclosed in the hearing, by BDO Stoy Hayward. Did you have any involvement in the Lee Castleton case at all? No, I really wasn't aware of Lee Castleton's case until I met Alan Bates and we went into this process. I'm not suggesting it's necessarily the same firm. I just wanted It will be the same firm, because Stoy Hayward and BDO, which was formerly BDO Binder Hamlyn, amalgamated at
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	We've heard evidence about Lee Castleton's civil case, and an expert report being produced to Womble Bond Dickinson, not disclosed in the hearing, by BDO Stoy Hayward. Did you have any involvement in the Lee Castleton case at all? No, I really wasn't aware of Lee Castleton's case until I met Alan Bates and we went into this process. I'm not suggesting it's necessarily the same firm. I just wanted It will be the same firm, because Stoy Hayward and BDO,

25 MR STEVENS: Thank you.

184

1	Sir, those are the questions I have.
2	Now, Ms Linnell is represented by Mr Stein and would
3	he like to ask questions in re-examination under Rule
4	10(2) which, subject to your approval, I think, is open
5	to them. I think if we are doing that, though, first,
6	I will check if there are other Core Participants who
7	wish to ask questions?
8	No, sir. I think Mr Stein has two questions.
9	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Literally two, Mr Stein?
10	MR STEIN: Two topics, sir.
11	MR STEVENS: Sorry.
12	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: No, I'm just concerned about the
13	shorthand writer, that's all. If you're going to be
14	literally a few minutes, I'm sure she'd prefer to finish
15	but, if it's more than that, we'll ask her which she'd
16	prefer.
17	MR STEIN: Our shorthand-writer is confirming that if it's
18	a few minutes then to go ahead, and it will be, sir.
19	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine.
20	Questioned by MR STEIN
21	MR STEIN: Sir, the reference in Mr Warmington's statement
22	to the suspense account monies is his statement
23	WITN01050200, page 7, paragraph 12, and the figure of
24	£612,000 is given. You'll recall I asked him questions
25	about that matter, and he referred to other sums.
	185
1	A. The feeling among people I spoke to who were SPMs is
2	that the National Federation of SubPostmasters were no
3	
3 4	more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you.
	more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you.
4	more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling
4 5	more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"?
4 5 6	more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling
4 5 6 7	more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir.
4 5 6 7 8	more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness
4 5 6 7 8 9	 more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness statement was a reference to you becoming a doctor in
4 5 7 8 9 10	 more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness statement was a reference to you becoming a doctor in 2024. So, Dr Linnell, thank you very much for your
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness statement was a reference to you becoming a doctor in 2024. So, Dr Linnell, thank you very much for your witness statement and thank you very much for giving
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness statement was a reference to you becoming a doctor in 2024. So, Dr Linnell, thank you very much for your witness statement and thank you very much for giving evidence before me this afternoon.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness statement was a reference to you becoming a doctor in 2024. So, Dr Linnell, thank you very much for your witness statement and thank you very much for giving evidence before me this afternoon. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness statement was a reference to you becoming a doctor in 2024. So, Dr Linnell, thank you very much for your witness statement and thank you very much for giving evidence before me this afternoon. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR STEVENS: Thank you, sir.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness statement was a reference to you becoming a doctor in 2024. So, Dr Linnell, thank you very much for your witness statement and thank you very much for giving evidence before me this afternoon. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR STEVENS: Thank you, sir. I apologise for repeatedly saying "Ms Linnell" all
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness statement was a reference to you becoming a doctor in 2024. So, Dr Linnell, thank you very much for your witness statement and thank you very much for giving evidence before me this afternoon. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR STEVENS: Thank you, sir. I apologise for repeatedly saying "Ms Linnell" all the way through.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness statement was a reference to you becoming a doctor in 2024. So, Dr Linnell, thank you very much for your witness statement and thank you very much for giving evidence before me this afternoon. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR STEVENS: Thank you, sir. I apologise for repeatedly saying "Ms Linnell" all the way through. THE WITNESS: No, no, I'm happy.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness statement was a reference to you becoming a doctor in 2024. So, Dr Linnell, thank you very much for your witness statement and thank you very much for giving evidence before me this afternoon. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR STEVENS: Thank you, sir. I apologise for repeatedly saying "Ms Linnell" all the way through. THE WITNESS: No, no, I'm happy. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I'd just like everybody to know that I do
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness statement was a reference to you becoming a doctor in 2024. So, Dr Linnell, thank you very much for your witness statement and thank you very much for giving evidence before me this afternoon. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR STEVENS: Thank you, sir. I apologise for repeatedly saying "Ms Linnell" all the way through. THE WITNESS: No, no, I'm happy. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I'd just like everybody to know that I do read the lines of the witness statement, Mr Stevens.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness statement was a reference to you becoming a doctor in 2024. So, Dr Linnell, thank you very much for your witness statement and thank you very much for giving evidence before me this afternoon. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. I apologise for repeatedly saying "Ms Linnell" all the way through. THE WITNESS: No, no, I'm happy. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I'd just like everybody to know that I do read the lines of the witness statement, Mr Stevens. That's all.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 more than part of Post Office and not to be trusted. MR STEIN: Sir, thank you. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, first of all, should I be calling you "Dr Linnell"? THE WITNESS: You could, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, hidden amongst your witness statement was a reference to you becoming a doctor in 2024. So, Dr Linnell, thank you very much for your witness statement and thank you very much for giving evidence before me this afternoon. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR STEVENS: Thank you, sir. I apologise for repeatedly saying "Ms Linnell" all the way through. THE WITNESS: No, no, I'm happy. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I'd just like everybody to know that I do read the lines of the witness statement, Mr Stevens. That's all. Thank you very much, everyone.

(The hearing adjourned until 9.45 am the following day) 25

187

- SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. 1
- MR STEIN: Ms Linnell, just two topics, as mentioned to 2 Sir Wyn. 3 4 You were asked a question by Mr Stevens about your 5 first joining meetings of the JFSA and we all know from 6 the ITV drama that the meetings were sometimes at 7 village halls -- Fenny Compton, famously, otherwise at 8 Kineton on occasions. Now, regarding funding, which is what you were asked 9 10 by Mr Stevens, how broke were the subpostmasters that 11 you met at these meetings? Very broke and in "minus red land", as my friend used to 12 Α. 13 call it, with lots of credit card and other debts 14 hanging around them. 15 Q. Sometimes, in relation to your support of 16 subpostmasters/mistresses working with Mrs Jeremiah, you 17 were helping them try and make their way through the mess that had been made of their finances. That needed, 18 19 on occasions, to get things like bank statements, which 20 aren't necessarily free; how did you manage to do that? A. Barbara and I paid for them. 21 22 Q. Another question asked by Mr Stevens was regarding the 23 JFSA and whether it engaged with the National Federation 24 of SubPostmasters. Was there any desire amongst the 25 people that you met at the JFSA to engage with the NFSP?

186

GRAHAM WARD (re-sworn)	1
Questioned by MR BEER	1
ANTHONY PAUL KEARNS (sworn)	37
Questioned by MS HODGE	37
Questioned by MR STEIN	89
Questioned by MS WATT	95
DR KAY CATHERINE SHEILA HILARY LINNELL (sworn)	. 106
Questioned by MR STEVENS	106
Questioned by MR STEIN	185

188

	'Declined [1] 8/6	81/25 185/4	85/2	129/1 129/6 134/3
MD DEED. [40] 1/2	'definitely' [1] 15/20	10 March [2] 7/3 7/10		134/18 141/7 141/22
MR BEER: [10] 1/3 1/5 1/7 3/3 28/13		10.21 [2] 23/15 23/17		145/11
28/15 36/11 36/15	'following' [1] 8/2	10.28 [1] 23/6	18 years [1] 30/13	2013/14 [1] 175/24
36/22 37/1	'form' [1] 78/12	10.37 [1] 37/3	19 August [1] 138/23	2014 [13] 51/5 74/9
	'from' [1] 78/12	10.50 [2] 37/1 37/2	1978 [1] 51/3	148/8 148/18 151/10
MR STEIN: [9] 89/10 89/19 89/21 95/2	'Horizon' [1] 122/5	10.52 [1] 37/5	1979 [1] 109/18	151/24 151/25 151/25
185/10 185/17 185/21	'How [1] 8/9	100 [2] 120/18 133/1	1997 [1] 39/5	153/22 160/2 166/23
186/2 187/4	'I [1] 16/5	101 [1] 155/12	1999 [5] 40/11 61/5	172/12 174/14
MR STEVENS: [18]	'I was [1] 16/5	104,000 [1] 175/24	61/12 62/13 63/17	2014/2015 [1] 67/11
106/17 106/19 106/24	'I've [1] 7/24	107 [2] 171/22	2	2015 [7] 67/11 71/11
118/14 145/23 146/2	' if [1] 16/12	171/25		85/2 174/24 175/20
146/4 146/8 146/10	'Justice [1] 85/25	108 [2] 153/8 171/25	2.47 [1] 146/5	177/22 177/23
176/19 177/2 177/5	'Losses [1] 50/18	11 [6] 61/9 92/11	2.5 million [1] 78/21	2015/16' [1] 78/3
181/13 184/3 184/25	'maybe' [1] 15/19	120/24 142/25 143/6	20 [1] 146/12	2019 [2] 82/2 83/9
185/11 187/14 187/23	'move [1] 160/21	175/22	20 June 2024 [1] 1/1	2021 [1] 145/14
MS HODGE: [18]	NFSP [1] 79/11	11 days [1] 6/12	20 years [1] 108/25	2022 [1] 38/20
37/6 37/8 37/10 37/13	'Nil' [1] 24/17	11,500 [1] 126/20	2000 [2] 40/11 95/16	2024 [6] 1/1 3/6 3/12
84/3 84/10 84/14	NO[2] 8/5 10/22	11.13 am [1] 23/18	2000s [2] 95/14	4/20 107/5 187/10
84/16 88/8 88/13	'On [2] 5/16 162/2	11.37 [2] 20/10 21/25	95/18	207,000 [1] 175/23
88/16 88/22 89/4 89/9	'PO [1] 78/18	1120 [1] 90/11	2001 [5] 61/2 61/2	21 [1] 85/13
105/24 106/3 106/10	'raising [1] 149/1	119 [1] 181/13	61/7 61/11 62/14	21 May [1] 129/6
106/12	'should [1] 80/12	12 [5] 116/15 116/16	2002 [24] 3/20 39/5	22 [1] 121/25
MS WATT: [3] 95/5	'Such [1] 9/13	138/13 175/23 185/23		23 January [1]
100/9 105/21	'system [9] 8/19	12 July [1] 120/9	48/19 49/2 49/6 49/13	153/21
SIR WYN WILLIAMS:	13/22 14/25 18/5	12 May [1] 126/7	58/11 58/13 58/18	234,000 [1] 175/24
[52] 1/4 1/9 1/12	20/18 21/1 33/13 34/9		58/19 58/23 61/2 61/7	23rd January [1]
1/18 2/20 2/25 3/2	34/23	12.10 [2] 84/9 84/13	76/16 83/3 94/8 94/11	153/22
28/11 28/14 36/14	'systemic [1] 121/7	12.41 [1] 106/14	97/3 97/4 98/10	24 [2] 107/9 166/12
36/18 36/24 37/2 37/7	'systems [1] 121/4	120 [1] 181/13	101/17	24 March [4] 19/15
37/9 84/8 84/15 88/12	'the [2] 79/16 127/11		2002/2003 [1] 61/11	20/10 21/25 23/6
88/15 88/21 89/1 89/8	'There [4] 8/7 9/10	138/18 175/23	2003 [3] 61/2 61/8 61/11	24th [1] 13/13
89/18 95/3 99/2 99/12	16/8 16/10	136 [1] 152/20	2003/2004 [2] 96/19	25 January [1]
99/25 100/7 105/22	'These [1] 6/14	14 [2] 37/24 175/24	97/4	124/17
106/2 106/6 106/11	'This [3] 13/15 13/18		2004 [4] 61/3 61/8	25 June [1] 71/12
106/13 106/18 106/21	17/10	174/23 174/23	96/19 97/4	26 June [1] 163/4
118/10 145/25 146/3	'Three [1] 8/3	15 [7] 36/22 85/10		269/15 [1] 85/10
146/9 176/14 176/22	'Transaction [1] 17/1		2005 [2] 111/12 123/14	27 [1] 155/11
176/25 177/3 181/5	'tricks' [1] 181/25	132/21 148/6	2006 [8] 3/16 3/19	28 [3] 153/5 154/16 171/22
181/12 185/9 185/12	'up [1] 78/2	15 July [1] 145/11	5/6 19/16 20/10 23/6	
185/19 186/1 187/5	'We [1] 5/22	15 minutes [1] 89/7	31/22 61/9	29 November [1] 38/19
187/8 187/18	'yell' [1] 169/25	15 pages [1] 37/23 15-minute [1] 36/24	2008 [1] 138/23	
THE WITNESS: [4]		150 [1] 152/21	2009 [5] 82/2 83/9	3
1/6 187/7 187/13	.' [1] 13/21	157 [1] 107/18	111/7 111/18 111/20	3 October [1] 67/19
187/17	' [6] 5/12 7/2 9/11	16 [1] 153/4	2010 [1] 110/16	3 years [1] 174/6
•	12/15 13/15 16/10	16 July [1] 117/11	2010/11 [1] 175/22	3.00 [2] 146/2 146/7
		16 June [1] 160/2	2011 [6] 67/14 67/19	30 January [2] 148/8
'80s [2] 109/24	0	16 May [1] 107/5	68/2 100/22 101/7	148/18
109/25	01/11/04 [1] 5/24	16 September [2]	123/12	30 years [1] 57/18
'80s/early [2] 109/24	04 [1] 5/24	172/12 173/24	2011/12 [1] 175/23	30/11/05 [1] 5/24
109/25	05 [1] 5/24	16' [1] 78/3	2012 [20] 110/21	31 [1] 181/4
'90s [2] 109/24	1	160 [2] 4/25 5/5	111/21 112/1 112/3	33 [5] 46/16 46/19
109/25	<u> </u>	161 [1] 6/5	112/7 113/19 114/2	50/17 53/12 57/3
'97 [1] 83/2 '99 [1] 56/14	1 February [3] 3/6	16th [2] 117/12	114/17 115/13 116/16	4
'Also [1] 8/18	3/12 4/20	117/12	117/9 117/11 119/12	
and [1] 152/5	1 million [2] 78/19	17 [1] 166/22	121/11 121/22 122/14	
and [1] 152/5	174/18	17 October [1]	155/3 155/5 155/9	4.10.1 [1] 152/4
'ARQs [1] 5/8	1.40 [2] 106/11	173/21	177/7	4.9 [1] 152/3
Both [1] 5/10	106/16	17 September [2]	2012/13 [1] 175/23	40 [1] 4/23
'bugs' [1] 137/3	1.5 million [1] 78/15	167/17 167/19	2013 [17] 51/5	401 [3] 5/18 6/17
'Can [2] 7/15 12/14	1.5 million' [1] 78/3	18 [2] 71/11 141/25	114/16 118/23 119/7	24/16
'commercial [1] 80/6	10 [7] 10/14 29/20	18 July [1] 120/10	119/12 124/17 125/3	41 [1] 107/16
	29/22 30/20 32/5	18 June 2015 [1]	125/24 126/7 128/21	43 [1] 81/25
	I	1	I	(48) MR BEER: - 43

(48) MR BEER: - 43

Δ	5/11 6/13 6/23 7/5	172/17 172/18 172/20	78/4 97/13	58/13 58/19 60/16
4	9/15 10/17 12/12 13/3		actually [25] 14/14	60/25 101/17 101/20
459 [3] 5/20 6/18 24/16	14/3 17/19 18/24	174/19 175/22 185/22	19/11 22/4 23/16	114/16 117/9 119/9
46 million [1] 184/1	19/10 25/3 40/9 46/1	accountable [1]	36/22 38/3 73/25 78/7	135/24 139/24 145/14
460 [3] 5/20 6/18	47/6 49/14 49/17 58/9 58/16 61/18 62/22		95/11 104/13 115/6 118/15 131/8 132/10	146/21 152/5 160/21 170/12 174/6 178/15
24/16	64/6 65/4 68/15 69/17	accountancy [2] 109/8 121/9	134/16 135/8 143/1	179/2 179/7 179/13
47 [4] 114/13 114/23	71/2 72/24 73/4 73/24		144/4 156/1 161/19	179/19 182/8
116/7 120/24	73/25 77/19 85/13	109/18 109/20 109/23		afternoon [5] 84/14
5	87/7 96/2 96/6 96/14	110/6 110/15 116/25	180/4 184/7	95/5 106/17 145/24
5 June [1] 129/12	96/21 96/25 97/8 97/13 98/15 98/17			187/12
500 [3] 58/2 59/7	98/21 98/23 99/3 99/7	accountants [4] 110/3 110/13 114/25	31/20 92/4 183/1 added [6] 16/11	afterwards [1] 58/18 again [18] 15/6 23/20
59/12 52 [2] 23/19 31/16	100/2 100/17 100/21	115/10	25/13 27/22 29/16	28/16 33/20 34/11
55 [1] 148/7	100/25 101/22 102/2	accounting [10]	92/8 136/11	57/9 61/3 85/1 101/16
	103/5 103/7 103/8	46/21 47/2 48/11	addition [1] 152/4	128/20 130/16 142/18
6	104/21 104/21 108/1 110/16 110/21 112/14	49/10 51/11 55/6 110/7 161/17 161/19	additional [3] 78/18 163/21 174/25	143/24 151/12 152/22 161/16 164/1 181/5
6 February [1] 154/9	112/25 114/6 114/14	172/21	address [5] 6/7 7/21	against [19] 2/4 2/7
6 July [1] 117/9 6's [1] 80/12	115/17 117/14 120/10		46/15 76/14 126/9	28/9 28/19 28/23 60/7
612,000 [2] 175/23	120/25 122/21 124/2	111/14 130/6 133/10	addressed [3] 74/5	60/14 60/25 64/24
185/24	124/7 125/2 125/9	138/25 151/11 151/21	118/11 175/10	86/24 93/11 93/12
66 [1] 141/25	125/11 128/17 129/3 133/21 133/24 134/1	172/24 173/3 173/9 175/7 175/16 176/8	addressee [1] 118/14 addressing [1]	109/1 147/15 161/14 162/8 163/12 179/9
6c [1] 79/11 6th [1] 117/12	134/2 134/12 134/23	176/24	152/12	179/12
	136/6 137/5 138/4	accredited [3] 74/12	adds [1] 127/11	agenda [2] 153/20
7	138/24 139/8 139/9	74/14 77/17	adequate [1] 32/8	174/25
7 March [2] 151/24	139/11 139/21 140/10		adjourned [1] 187/25	agents [3] 66/22
151/25	140/12 143/11 143/12 143/23 144/3 144/18	13/2 167/24 accurate [4] 54/2	Adjournment [1] 106/15	67/21 68/4 aggressive [5] 103/5
76 [1] 146/13 77 [1] 146/18	146/22 147/4 148/21	105/9 114/20 158/5	admin [1] 82/21	103/15 104/1 181/16
	149/2 152/13 156/14	accurately [2] 41/8	administration [3]	181/21
8	157/8 158/10 163/17	149/24	39/14 121/17 148/2	ago [6] 7/4 32/13
8 July [2] 119/7	163/21 169/11 170/3	accuse [1] 104/24	administrative [1]	57/19 68/16 93/3
134/17 85 [1] 180/22	170/16 171/12 174/21 175/19 175/20 177/7	48/11 49/10 57/19	94/5 administrator [1]	134/3 agree [28] 9/18 19/19
8b [1] 69/8	177/16 180/11 180/19		146/15	19/24 20/3 26/10
	183/4 183/8 183/16	accusing [1] 105/12	admit [2] 57/6 133/1	26/14 26/21 27/5
9 9 Mars 101 07/40 00/0	184/12 184/24 185/12		admitted [3] 57/22	27/14 27/16 27/19
9 May [2] 37/18 38/8 9,000/9,500 [1] 95/17	185/25 186/4	60/19	58/4 65/14	27/23 28/5 28/8 32/22
9,500 [1] 95/17	above [7] 5/10 22/25 23/4 23/13 25/10	acknowledgement [1] 86/17	adopted [1] 85/14 adoption [1] 78/10	33/4 79/19 82/22 88/4 88/5 102/4 102/7
9.45 [3] 1/2 187/22	25/25 51/12	acknowledges [1]	advantages [1] 92/16	102/13 116/20 120/20
187/25	abruptly [1] 177/23	79/16	advertised [1] 166/3	125/2 125/6 127/15
90 per cent [1]	absolute [1] 159/17		advice [6] 2/17 74/10	agreed [28] 19/15
180/22 91 [5] 107/10 107/15	absolutely [8] 18/13 26/17 32/22 34/3	56/25 57/1 91/6 137/14 180/3	109/9 109/9 145/10 145/13	28/1 29/5 29/8 33/3 33/17 34/14 102/10
166/12 166/13 166/14		act [1] 109/22	advise [1] 122/4	116/17 117/2 144/10
A	182/22		affect [5] 11/13 75/16	144/12 152/3 152/4
AB [4] 163/19 164/1	accept [10] 17/11	acting [4] 30/4	126/20 137/14 155/6	152/7 152/10 155/19
AB [4] 163/19 164/1 164/2 164/22	19/14 27/11 28/20 29/1 49/12 60/22	116/19 116/24 117/14	affected [5] 87/10 147/19 147/21 153/11	161/24 162/5 163/22 164/5 164/16 164/18
abandonment [1]	101/4 105/17 167/23	action [9] 51/22 80/17 86/24 93/5 93/9		164/25 165/24 166/2
81/7	accepted [3] 18/17	123/8 123/15 148/22	affecting [5] 43/10	171/13 174/7
ability [4] 47/10 75/7	95/25 147/9	154/1	44/16 97/2 97/12	agreement [13] 50/8
91/16 138/24 able [19] 7/6 9/4 11/2	accepting [3] 21/1	actions [3] 94/19	101/2	72/6 73/11 75/19
43/5 44/15 77/6 81/10	34/9 34/23 access [7] 23/7	123/13 154/16 actively [4] 54/6	affiliation [1] 113/3 afford [1] 147/6	79/10 80/2 80/15 80/20 81/15 90/16
92/24 93/13 93/14	115/11 124/6 138/16	67/13 68/12 79/12	afoot [1] 28/22	90/23 91/12 164/17
97/1 116/19 147/6	138/25 150/15 150/20		afraid [1] 124/14	agreements [3]
159/19 160/21 175/6	accorded [1] 75/1	79/17 79/19	after [34] 2/11 27/9	43/24 50/18 66/6
178/21 179/1 180/8 about [133] 1/14 3/7	account [17] 5/7 23/7		41/20 47/8 48/12	ahead [2] 89/17
3/12 4/10 4/13 5/9	48/15 50/5 151/8	85/17 actual [4] 29/22 57/4	48/20 48/22 49/6 49/13 58/6 58/11	185/18 aid [1] 80/5
	101124 112/14 112/13	auluai [4] 28/22 31/4		aid [1] 80/5
				(19) 159 - aid

(49) 459 - aid

Α	already [6] 5/18	announced [1] 182/5	anvbody [3] 66/3	70/20 71/5 72/18
	90/17 120/25 123/24	annual [2] 78/20	75/11 147/5	85/13 88/18 115/18
aimed [1] 156/23	144/22 145/9	133/10	anybody's [1] 18/12	120/15 120/16 141/8
airport [5] 166/22 167/10 167/19 168/3	also [27] 5/16 5/20	annum [3] 78/3 78/19		142/9 142/20 142/22
171/18	32/19 55/5 56/3 59/2	78/21	158/22 171/3	150/5 162/2
Alan [38] 86/3 96/18	70/22 70/24 72/20	anomalies [2] 120/5	anything [37] 12/12	approached [7]
111/20 111/25 112/10	76/5 85/7 90/15 93/19		14/5 14/6 14/24 17/5	68/22 70/17 70/23
112/12 112/17 113/9	96/12 97/2 98/22	another [10] 21/12	17/14 17/15 18/12	71/5 71/6 72/11 86/6
113/19 114/3 116/16	102/13 103/4 110/11	21/13 46/11 65/7 69/24 82/22 101/1	26/5 26/17 28/3 31/5	appropriate [2] 1/19
118/19 121/13 124/23	125/5 130/24 131/13 137/12 142/3 143/17	180/19 183/12 186/22	31/20 32/23 34/2 34/19 46/9 88/11	2/23
125/5 126/7 126/25	178/5 178/14	answer [16] 1/20	90/18 90/24 96/2	appropriateness [1] 147/1
127/21 129/6 129/15	alter [1] 14/23	1/24 2/2 2/10 2/10	98/17 98/21 113/15	approval [1] 185/4
129/24 129/25 131/20	although [2] 68/15	26/22 76/1 82/17	138/5 138/24 147/4	April [1] 85/13
132/9 132/16 133/23	140/14	83/11 125/21 134/6	149/20 150/24 151/15	
139/8 139/11 140/12 141/1 148/23 150/3	always [10] 46/20	134/9 136/21 151/11	151/18 161/4 170/4	Arbuthnot [13]
158/3 163/19 178/2	49/9 57/22 104/1	175/6 177/8	175/18 177/18 180/12	112/19 114/4 114/12
183/16 183/22 184/18	109/3 131/10 131/11	answered [4] 124/11	184/24	116/13 117/10 121/14
alerted [1] 101/1	133/23 151/3 154/13	145/9 174/21 176/11	anyway [1] 177/1	124/18 124/19 127/2
aligned [3] 66/10	Alwen [6] 118/22	answering [3] 1/14	anywhere [1] 132/2	135/6 140/12 141/2
67/5 69/18	118/25 119/2 128/18	2/13 106/7	AOB [2] 153/25	170/8
all [66] 1/20 2/23	133/21 134/11	answers [2] 1/15 48/3	154/17	Arbuthnot's [1] 112/17
2/25 5/20 12/14 17/6	am [17] 1/2 15/18 17/16 23/18 27/5 32/3		apart [2] 74/2 84/23 Apologies [3] 48/6	are [119] 2/8 5/11 6/2
17/15 18/11 18/22	37/3 37/5 72/9 92/20	37/11 37/16 151/5	49/7 166/15	6/14 7/16 8/1 8/19
19/6 23/21 24/5 24/5	94/16 124/1 129/21	151/14 153/10 153/13		9/10 9/13 9/24 12/7
26/19 28/14 30/7	131/23 133/17 176/14		187/15	16/8 16/10 16/12
30/22 31/23 32/12	187/25	159/9 159/21 163/11	apparent [1] 161/22	16/12 17/11 20/24
32/14 32/23 34/4 34/20 35/17 36/15	amalgamated [1]	165/17 172/2 188/6	appeal [8] 59/15	21/1 22/20 23/10 24/8
36/18 36/24 43/24	184/22	any [111] 1/25 2/6	59/15 60/4 143/23	25/5 25/7 25/13 25/14
77/14 80/18 90/6	amend [1] 29/20	2/8 2/8 2/11 15/16	144/2 145/7 145/14	25/24 26/13 27/11
93/25 94/4 97/24 98/6	amending [1] 31/16	23/10 23/24 34/17	159/3	29/14 34/7 34/9 34/23
100/7 105/5 105/21	amendment [8]	34/18 36/13 39/24	appear [5] 17/7 23/10	
106/13 112/14 118/6	22/25 23/1 23/5 23/13	48/14 48/21 49/1 49/5 49/14 49/14 49/16		38/24 47/2 47/15
125/22 133/15 134/6	23/15 23/17 23/21 23/25		appeared [7] 38/19 102/10 131/25 132/13	47/20 48/14 49/21 55/9 56/8 57/5 57/6
134/9 137/11 146/3	amendments [3]	53/21 54/8 54/18	132/15 150/18 150/22	
153/13 161/9 163/8	22/18 24/5 24/8	54/22 56/17 57/1 58/6		60/20 61/6 67/4 69/12
168/15 172/6 175/16	ammunition [1]	58/12 60/4 60/11 63/7		69/21 71/4 72/8 73/18
176/23 178/3 179/3	181/9	63/7 71/4 75/12 76/14		73/20 75/4 75/16 77/6
179/23 179/24 180/20 181/14 184/16 185/13	among [1] 187/1	77/14 81/7 82/2 82/3	72/18 73/10 86/17	78/25 79/8 79/12
186/5 187/5 187/15	amongst [3] 57/4	82/17 83/9 83/10	163/11 168/1	79/14 80/8 80/9 82/24
187/20	186/24 187/8	88/24 89/3 89/13	appendix [1] 122/1	83/4 83/5 83/22 86/15
allegation [1] 138/14	amount [3] 52/24	89/14 94/24 94/24	applicant [6] 156/23	86/25 89/7 92/16
allegations [2]	78/4 120/19	97/19 99/13 100/24	156/24 160/20 160/21	93/20 94/12 98/3
145/11 145/16	amounts [4] 52/24 133/8 174/18 175/21	103/24 104/2 105/24 109/3 109/9 109/15	163/9 163/22 applicants [1] 164/12	102/5 102/8 103/20 103/21 104/7 104/13
alleged [3] 58/6	analysis [5] 5/23	113/25 115/1 116/9	applicants [1] 164/12 applicants' [1] 169/5	105/21 105/22 106/19
85/14 85/21	6/17 135/12 135/13	118/3 120/8 120/10	application [7] 166/4	107/21 107/23 108/8
alliance [2] 118/15	135/14	122/4 122/23 123/18	182/1 182/4 182/5	109/15 118/15 118/20
123/1 Allianaa' [1] 85/25	Andy [21] 40/7 45/11	123/22 124/10 125/8	182/10 183/4 183/8	122/4 123/19 124/24
Alliance' [1] 85/25 allow [1] 99/14	45/17 46/8 56/21	127/18 127/19 128/8	applications [1]	127/1 127/14 129/16
allowed [5] 51/21	70/20 76/16 83/1	130/21 133/15 133/18	181/24	136/25 138/20 138/21
55/15 59/10 59/20	83/13 87/23 97/5	134/10 134/18 134/22		143/16 151/7 151/25
152/6	98/10 98/13 101/6	135/21 135/22 138/10		152/24 157/24 158/9
allowing [3] 68/11	101/20 142/5 142/6	140/8 142/9 144/25	applies [1] 58/17	166/5 168/18 169/23
90/23 169/25	142/13 143/8 143/10 143/25	145/2 146/25 147/18 148/12 150/19 152/5	apply [2] 51/25 58/11	171/24 172/16 174/2 179/23 180/4 181/1
almost [7] 46/8 78/25	Angela [10] 142/4		appointed [1] 146/14 appointing [1] 159/9	185/1 185/5 185/6
86/23 103/16 108/25	142/15 147/8 168/17	157/5 157/7 157/9	appointment [3] 40/1	area [10] 43/15 43/21
112/2 124/25	168/23 168/24 168/25			44/5 44/16 44/19
along [1] 72/10	169/1 170/19 178/5	169/5 170/25 172/5	appreciate [2]	55/16 72/16 87/19
alongside [2] 55/12 103/18	anger [1] 183/11	173/17 176/7 180/15	143/21 167/24	94/9 99/19
100/10	annotated [1] 9/4	183/19 184/15 186/24	approach [15] 68/23	aren't [2] 10/18
L				(50) aimed - aren't

(50) aimed - aren't

Α	aspect [1] 182/3	attitude [1] 122/20	132/19 132/20 133/3	141/1 148/23 150/3
aren't [1] 186/20	aspects [3] 38/18	attributable [1] 43/7	133/6 133/24 134/3	184/18
argue [1] 66/24	98/4 111/15	attributed [1] 29/8	134/13 135/19 144/13	battle [1] 165/21
arise [3] 2/18 2/20	assertion [6] 46/23	audit [13] 24/16	148/5 148/11 153/7	BDO [9] 110/16
97/19	49/4 49/7 49/8 49/12	102/19 102/23 102/24		110/22 110/22 110/24
arising [2] 89/15	49/17	104/19 104/20 104/25		110/25 184/10 184/14
127/5	assist [12] 20/5 35/7	105/1 105/3 105/5 105/5 105/18 105/19	184/8	184/21 184/22
arose [2] 130/23	48/3 55/3 56/12 56/15 56/15 62/11 70/16	Auditors [3] 102/11	backdoor [1] 139/5 background [6]	be [216] bear [3] 38/4 88/1
169/8	83/23 147/7 159/10	103/6 103/8	45/19 89/6 109/17	152/19
around [15] 9/1 56/25 59/12 67/11	assistance [4] 56/6	augmented [1]	115/18 142/12 159/10	
80/2 94/8 95/16 96/19	56/9 60/9 124/13	136/10	backward [1] 125/11	bearing [5] 65/2
100/22 118/23 129/1	assistance' [1] 5/8	August [2] 138/23	bad [1] 135/18	69/16 129/20 130/19
141/7 158/25 167/21	assistant [19] 3/17	141/7	badly [2] 58/15	179/23
186/14	39/4 40/12 45/8 45/13		168/13	beast [1] 102/5
ARQ [2] 6/17 13/4	45/14 50/24 57/14 57/15 61/18 62/24	149/19 149/23 150/6 150/18 150/24 151/1	Baines [1] 13/17 Baker [5] 67/25 68/8	became [9] 72/5 84/23 110/5 110/8
ARQs [6] 7/24 24/14	65/9 70/3 83/12 83/13			111/6 111/24 112/1
24/16 24/23 25/1	83/15 83/24 94/3 98/8			140/23 141/15
29/16	assistants [1] 60/15	161/4 167/17 172/8	balance [10] 25/21	because [84] 8/16
ARQs' [1] 5/17	assisting [2] 2/22	173/6 174/14	41/11 41/13 47/18	11/25 20/2 27/24
arrange [2] 7/8 175/11	145/5	Aujard's [3] 154/3	52/23 55/13 59/8	30/20 32/7 34/1 34/2
arrangement [6]	assists [1] 176/24	156/6 165/15	105/2 105/6 105/7	34/16 34/25 35/6
72/22 78/22 80/22	associated [3] 14/20	author [1] 79/21	balances [2] 42/8	36/16 48/22 48/25
85/6 91/2 178/25	152/5 152/11	authority [5] 83/25	47/17	54/5 54/12 61/21 62/3
arrangements [2]	association [7] 65/23 77/5 77/7 77/11 82/16		balancing [15] 40/25 41/4 41/6 41/12 41/15	63/19 64/1 65/5 65/15 66/5 66/13 71/6 72/16
73/8 75/4	103/3 118/17	available [2] 46/13	41/18 42/3 42/17	73/21 77/18 82/18
arrive [2] 105/4	assume [3] 55/2	171/9	42/20 43/2 46/6 63/6	83/11 83/21 86/25
157/14	74/23 163/15	avenues [1] 80/18	64/6 65/4 65/5	88/6 91/17 92/25 93/6
arrives [1] 166/16 as [248]	assumed [1] 128/5	avoid [1] 161/18	ball [1] 135/18	93/7 93/8 95/18 96/3
as [240] ascertain [1] 173/4	assuming [2] 61/4	award [1] 179/12	bank [5] 8/6 25/22	96/10 97/25 100/13
aside [2] 27/2 144/2	74/23	aware [49] 40/21	138/2 172/23 186/19	100/23 108/13 108/19
ask [43] 2/9 3/6 4/8	assumption [3]	45/25 46/9 46/10	bank.' [1] 17/2	111/7 111/12 124/5
4/13 28/16 36/11	54/24 128/9 162/2	48/14 53/25 54/15 58/25 61/14 61/17	banking [8] 6/2 7/6 7/16 8/4 14/3 16/24	124/9 127/21 128/10 131/21 133/17 135/18
37/14 37/23 43/22	assure [1] 36/9 at [341]	62/13 62/15 62/16	25/8 31/25	136/19 137/9 137/17
48/2 53/16 75/22	at page 8 [2] 136/24	64/11 64/16 71/7 72/8		138/4 140/13 143/17
88/10 88/11 89/2 99/2 103/7 107/3 107/9	153/25	72/9 72/15 84/18	108/19 108/21 108/25	
107/16 107/21 108/1	ATMs [1] 138/1	84/24 91/5 94/12 96/9	109/2 186/21	160/24 161/15 162/19
112/25 115/15 118/16	attach [2] 6/17 18/20	96/10 96/10 96/12	bargain [4] 75/17	162/20 165/13 168/9
119/15 128/17 129/25	attached [10] 5/9	97/24 101/18 111/17	130/21 161/8 161/15	168/10 169/3 169/22
132/4 136/6 143/11	10/9 13/18 18/17 19/5		bargained [1] 161/17	170/14 170/21 176/9
144/14 144/15 151/6	20/17 21/3 33/10 76/24 79/6	124/14 127/25 128/2 128/7 131/23 132/1	bargaining [10] 73/16 75/10 92/2 92/2	180/12 180/24 181/8 182/12 182/14 182/22
151/11 174/22 175/25	attaching [1] 22/10	139/13 139/15 145/10		183/5 184/21
177/16 180/19 183/15	attachment [8] 12/20	145/15 145/18 145/19		become [1] 84/24
185/3 185/7 185/15	12/22 17/23 19/16		barristers [3] 179/20	becoming [3] 18/25
asked [31] 11/10 16/5 24/17 26/6 48/15	21/8 21/11 22/5 22/11		179/25 180/9	108/18 187/9
50/5 55/3 56/20 83/8	attempt [6] 32/16	awareness [3] 64/3	based [10] 20/22	been [136] 6/8 8/7
88/24 91/25 94/25	85/19 113/5 149/11	64/5 87/17	46/23 49/5 60/20 67/3	
102/9 115/17 128/7	149/13 162/3	away [4] 91/15 91/16		
128/25 132/23 144/17	attempted [1] 155/21	133/25 148/10	125/17 161/7 basic [2] 50/11	22/16 22/18 25/22 25/23 26/12 28/9
151/14 153/10 163/8	attempting [2] 150/19 171/4	В	126/17	28/17 28/25 29/2 30/4
172/2 174/3 174/9	attend [2] 45/22 63/8	back [48] 7/8 8/23	basically [2] 62/18	30/5 30/15 30/23
175/6 175/9 177/6	attendance [3]	10/11 12/8 16/1 24/11	143/18	32/18 34/20 39/17
185/24 186/4 186/9 186/22	154/10 163/5 172/13	30/13 31/22 39/15	basis [14] 46/8 49/11	40/15 41/15 44/23
asking [9] 6/7 7/19	attended [3] 141/22	48/7 53/11 56/13	49/23 60/23 66/16	44/25 47/13 49/11
25/15 38/16 56/14	142/1 142/3	56/18 57/17 57/17	88/7 89/16 98/25	50/19 50/25 51/8
125/22 133/8 173/9	attendees [1] 153/20	61/3 61/9 64/16 74/3 87/3 87/4 90/17 90/24	99/22 110/1 165/22 177/12 179/21 179/22	53/24 54/4 55/1 56/11
177/17	attending [2] 107/1 118/21	110/12 113/5 119/14	Bates [9] 86/3 86/5	56/17 57/4 59/12 60/13 61/17 62/1 62/3
asks [1] 175/4	attention [1] 87/6	120/21 129/12 131/3	96/18 118/19 140/12	62/5 62/13 62/15
				(51) aron't - boon

(51) aren't... - been

В	108/16 113/16 141/3	39/5 54/13 67/2 69/2	Bracknell [1] 139/9	bullying [1] 103/5
been [88] 62/16	behaviour [2] 103/7	69/4 69/5 69/5 69/6	branch [26] 5/21	bumped [1] 166/21
62/17 62/18 64/9	109/5	69/12 69/13 69/15	6/13 41/7 42/25 43/14	
64/12 64/15 67/9	behind [3] 95/6 108/6		44/9 44/19 44/24	bundles [1] 148/2
67/12 70/20 73/13	146/23	76/23 77/7 78/5 82/2	49/21 53/1 59/9 59/11	
73/23 74/14 74/16	being [69] 5/11 12/22		85/11 85/12 86/4 90/3	
80/19 85/16 85/17		121/3 121/14 121/21	94/14 103/12 103/19	business [12] 66/17
86/1 86/2 86/13 86/16	22/21 26/5 29/8 40/22 42/12 44/13 45/18		103/20 103/25 104/18 104/24 105/14 110/15	
86/18 94/8 94/9 94/18	50/4 50/23 53/14 54/9	beyond [2] 131/24 174/12	138/25	77/22 91/22 93/9 105/4 108/17 111/9
96/1 98/22 99/8 101/1	54/17 55/3 56/4 57/8	bias [1] 182/23	branches [11] 39/13	111/13 112/6
101/7 102/3 102/12	59/14 63/25 64/4	big [2] 25/4 169/25	41/3 42/1 42/16 43/11	businesses [4] 66/21
103/14 105/15 108/7	69/18 74/20 76/1	bill [1] 183/24	44/15 93/21 94/1	80/3 98/9 102/5
108/8 108/23 108/25	77/20 80/21 82/15	Billy [1] 70/21	95/14 103/11 137/15	but [155] 4/12 5/2
109/3 109/11 114/6	84/19 87/15 92/18	Binder [1] 184/22	brand [2] 134/8	5/23 8/24 14/3 14/6
115/9 117/20 123/24	93/6 93/13 96/22	Binder Hamlyn [1]	151/19	15/13 15/17 17/21
124/10 125/22 125/25	96/25 98/20 100/18	184/22	breach [2] 80/20	18/5 18/24 20/1 22/4
129/18 129/19 129/20	100/24 115/18 115/25		145/17	25/3 26/3 26/16 26/25
129/23 130/3 130/4	117/10 123/23 123/24		breached [1] 145/12	28/3 28/14 29/2 29/20
130/24 131/13 131/19 132/7 132/8 132/18	125/16 128/2 130/22	30/18 30/21 81/3	break [14] 36/16	30/11 30/15 30/24
133/23 134/4 138/14	131/17 132/11 134/10	bits [1] 11/16	36/21 36/24 37/4 84/4	31/4 32/3 32/11 33/18
139/18 141/12 143/15	138/4 146/14 149/5	black [1] 33/25	84/8 84/12 85/4 87/19	35/10 36/9 41/20
144/15 144/22 160/19	153/11 154/5 155/8	blame [5] 17/13	106/9 145/24 146/6	42/11 44/12 46/5 49/1
161/15 166/3 168/12	160/21 160/22 161/22		156/14 176/20	49/19 51/12 54/22
168/22 169/4 170/18	162/25 165/21 169/11		Brian [2] 6/23 13/16	56/17 57/5 57/15
170/22 171/1 171/17	169/20 170/4 170/5	blocked [1] 151/15	Brian's [1] 6/16	58/18 58/19 60/22
175/7 175/9 178/4	172/18 176/11 183/4	blue [1] 177/24	brief [2] 8/2 45/15	61/3 61/10 61/25 63/7
178/7 178/21 179/1	184/13	board [3] 110/5	briefing [3] 119/4	64/13 68/10 69/5 69/5
180/2 180/23 182/12	belief [6] 13/6 38/13	132/16 166/8	144/4 156/25	70/10 70/19 71/7
182/16 183/8 186/18	54/14 103/19 107/22	bodies [4] 71/2	briefings [1] 99/20	72/15 72/25 73/23
BEER [6] 1/11 3/2	109/1	105/14 124/13 154/4	briefly [1] 40/8	78/7 79/13 80/10 80/22 81/21 83/5 84/5
28/12 36/21 183/17	believe [30] 8/18 10/22 31/12 69/23	body [5] 91/3 91/7 91/10 91/23 92/21	bring [17] 71/9 81/23 101/21 111/3 115/6	85/18 89/16 90/8 91/5
188/4	75/18 77/10 86/2 92/8		118/8 120/23 124/16	91/11 92/6 93/4 93/19
before [68] 1/12 1/20	108/20 117/1 119/10	Bogerd [6] 142/5	126/5 128/18 129/4	96/17 98/13 99/4
4/8 18/16 19/3 36/24	126/8 126/9 128/24	142/15 168/24 168/25		101/9 101/17 105/10
38/19 41/15 46/6 47/7	130/13 130/17 131/4	169/1 178/5	151/22 155/11 166/11	105/15 108/1 109/13
47/12 50/1 50/22	133/23 135/5 135/10	Bogerd's [1] 147/9	bringing [3] 64/8	111/20 111/25 112/3
51/21 57/8 60/16	135/25 138/8 139/7	bold [1] 7/13	64/17 87/6	112/21 113/4 114/21
60/21 85/4 86/9 87/19	141/21 145/13 147/21		broad [2] 149/8	115/4 115/13 115/21
88/10 88/24 94/13 95/11 105/4 107/8	152/17 160/25 171/15		173/16	118/12 118/15 119/5
108/1 108/4 108/10	173/10	Bonn [3] 166/22	broadly [3] 49/20	119/10 119/12 123/3
111/24 111/24 112/12	believed [3] 81/13	167/19 168/3	116/4 117/23	124/2 124/4 126/9
112/12 114/6 115/3	81/17 108/19	bonus [1] 159/17	broke [2] 186/10	126/19 128/10 128/16
118/10 119/1 119/2	Belinda [8] 146/14	bonuses [1] 133/9	186/12	129/13 131/5 131/7
119/6 119/9 119/11	146/20 147/1 147/4	book [3] 83/23 87/20	broken [1] 174/10	132/1 133/8 133/16
122/11 127/24 128/15	147/25 148/1 151/4	97/22	brought [7] 60/8	134/2 134/6 134/24
128/22 130/1 130/21	164/19	Boris [1] 183/25	60/14 64/4 64/13	138/2 138/3 139/8
134/16 134/25 135/1	belongs [1] 164/21	both [11] 16/14 26/22		143/18 143/22 144/12
135/4 135/5 135/12	below [4] 7/1 8/16	47/8 47/9 70/5 70/7	BT [1] 70/24	144/15 147/10 147/22
135/22 142/7 142/16	51/10 107/18	85/22 87/10 91/18	bubble [2] 101/11	149/1 151/4 151/13
146/13 156/6 156/14	beneath [1] 10/4	124/23 162/2	180/13	152/8 155/9 161/1
158/18 167/7 176/14	benefit [3] 160/20	bottom [20] 4/24	bubbled [2] 101/9	161/5 161/13 161/18
179/4 180/19 182/11	169/24 179/6 best [7] 14/13 38/13	5/15 10/13 24/2 67/16 78/8 129/5 129/14		162/25 163/22 165/3 165/11 165/19 166/11
183/23 184/4 187/12	44/21 60/12 107/22	138/18 141/11 144/18	budget [3] 78/19	167/23 167/25 168/4
beg [2] 89/14 136/5	125/21 168/20		bugs [6] 35/18 131/5	169/18 170/13 170/24
began [1] 110/2	better [13] 44/2	166/18 169/3 170/21	131/6 131/7 131/7	172/9 172/24 173/10
beginning [5] 10/21	47/10 51/24 55/25	171/23 174/24 175/5	137/4	173/12 174/2 174/17
16/8 25/5 129/1 151/5	56/5 66/17 73/2 73/15		build [2] 45/7 134/8	176/20 177/17 180/14
behalf [13] 37/14	73/18 73/20 93/1	box [2] 67/20 67/25	building [1] 165/12	181/5 182/24 184/23
55/21 75/13 75/15	93/11 109/12	boxes [1] 23/11	bullet [8] 10/9 10/13	185/15
75/17 75/23 82/14	between [30] 3/24	brackets [3] 27/22	77/25 78/17 79/9	buy [1] 111/10
91/3 92/17 95/9	27/20 29/19 33/21	31/3 31/8	80/10 168/16 168/23	

			I	
С	154/7 154/7 154/14	131/1 135/11 136/3	68/1 113/9 113/14	183/3 183/8
<u> </u>	154/14 155/11 156/13	136/8 136/9 136/11	159/6 159/7	
called [15] 43/20				claimants [9] 156/25
44/7 47/4 49/21 49/22	156/15 156/15 159/23	147/16 147/22 148/3	challenge [3] 91/16	165/20 166/3 167/13
	159/25 163/3 163/5	148/16 148/16 152/19		178/21 179/8 180/3
57/12 59/8 67/21		152/20 153/11 155/8		
82/25 92/5 131/7	163/25 165/2 165/4		challenged [3] 5/11	180/24 181/18
	166/18 168/8 168/17	155/13 155/21 157/5	115/12 115/15	claimants' [1] 179/3
141/18 146/20 161/25	171/19 173/22 174/24	157/17 157/23 157/24	challenges [1] 71/23	clarificatory [2]
184/9				
calling [1] 187/5	175/25 179/12 181/4	158/9 158/14 158/16	challenging [1] 88/2	16/16 25/15
	183/7 183/10	158/24 159/18 169/5	Chambers [2] 165/10	clarify [3] 38/17
calls [2] 112/24	can't [17] 11/25	169/21	178/16	111/17 174/7
153/23				
came [14] 18/19 19/4	18/11 19/6 19/7 22/5	Casework [3] 3/17	chance [2] 168/20	clarity [2] 14/25
	26/9 26/9 30/14	3/19 3/23	177/14	17/21
63/25 67/6 70/13	119/10 131/5 133/18	cash [2] 39/14 94/4	change [9] 25/21	Clarke [2] 145/10
124/1 128/22 150/23				
151/1 151/20 154/13	138/1 155/10 157/8	casting [1] 161/25	50/8 81/9 150/5 150/7	145/13
	166/5 167/21 174/15	Castleton [2] 179/10	151/2 151/3 151/19	classes [1] 50/7
159/25 174/22 180/17	cancelled [1] 176/10	184/16	166/6	Clause [2] 152/3
Camelot [1] 172/23				
campaign [4] 86/7	cannot [3] 18/21 76/7	Castleton's [2]	changed [2] 49/19	152/4
	86/14	184/12 184/17	59/16	Clause 4.10.1 [1]
87/18 113/12 124/8	capable [1] 145/4		changes [10] 3/8 4/3	152/4
can [181] 1/3 1/5 1/5		categories [2] 66/2		
1/6 3/14 3/14 4/14	capacity [1] 180/24	66/4	4/4 19/11 19/11 22/20	
	card [1] 186/13	CATHERINE [3]	23/10 36/5 50/10 85/5	
4/17 4/18 4/19 4/23		106/22 106/25 188/14		claw [2] 90/17 90/24
4/23 6/1 6/3 6/11 6/21	care [1] 133/6			
7/11 7/17 7/22 9/7 9/8	carried [3] 102/16	caught [4] 57/6	52/4	clear [15] 2/5 8/25
	105/10 169/4	141/12 162/25 165/21	charge [1] 161/18	14/1 38/25 94/7
9/9 9/16 9/17 10/19	corrigo [1] 61/10			
10/21 13/12 14/7 15/5	carries [1] 64/19	cause [5] 53/5 111/2	chartered [2] 109/18	123/10 130/16 131/13
	carry [3] 89/18	131/10 163/22 164/12	110/3	135/4 138/9 140/5
15/19 15/22 18/14	140/14 146/10	caused [9] 28/20	chat [1] 168/4	140/15 140/16 151/16
18/18 19/3 19/8 20/6			abaak [0] 4/5 405/7	
21/3 21/6 21/18 21/20	carrying [3] 104/16	33/2 42/21 43/3 85/15		179/11
	119/25 125/19	113/6 121/7 130/5	116/19 130/22 132/24	cleared [1] 164/13
21/22 21/23 22/1 22/2	2222 [72] 2/0 2/22	132/25	170/25 176/20 184/5	clearer [1] 8/12
22/4 22/6 22/10 22/19				
22/22 22/23 22/25	4/1 19/12 24/6 29/7	causes [1] 15/4	185/6	clearly [5] 14/7 99/2
	35/2 36/6 55/1 56/14	causing [2] 44/25	checked [1] 56/18	143/15 164/11 182/19
23/2 23/3 23/5 23/9	56/16 57/24 59/24	126/18	checking [3] 116/22	Clerical [1] 94/3
23/13 23/21 24/11				
26/15 26/25 27/8 27/9	62/18 63/14 74/1	caution [1] 144/22	117/2 120/18	clerk [5] 41/10 45/20
	87/23 91/4 91/19 98/9	caveat [1] 116/18	Chesterfield [1]	47/11 47/16 56/1
27/17 27/18 27/23			173/2	
28/3 30/22 31/20	100/20 111/18 111/24			clerk's [1] 52/23
32/12 32/15 33/5	120/1 120/7 120/11	ceased [1] 48/19	Chief [1] 110/6	clerks [6] 39/12
	120/14 120/16 123/21	cent [3] 120/18 133/1		47/11 47/15 51/7
33/23 35/2 35/9 36/4				
36/8 36/8 36/9 37/6	124/11 130/20 130/20		choice [7] 17/10	82/24 102/17
	131/14 132/1 144/18	centrally [1] 56/9	27/10 31/4 31/7 31/13	close [2] 151/17
37/10 37/23 38/7 44/3	144/21 145/4 147/7	centres [1] 39/14	31/14 31/17	151/17
47/16 47/22 48/2 56/1	148/1 154/24 156/9			
62/11 62/22 62/22		CEO [3] 74/17 132/16		closed [1] 15/12
67/17 68/6 69/19	156/19 156/20 156/21	132/23	Chris [21] 148/10	closely [2] 45/21
	157/7 157/9 157/12	certain [7] 54/19	149/19 149/23 150/6	124/25
71/10 71/19 75/13				
75/13 75/14 75/22	158/1 158/5 158/10	80/23 102/23 115/4	150/18 150/24 151/1	closing [1] 105/7
76/19 76/25 79/7 81/2	158/11 158/23 159/11	131/9 133/17 170/10	151/10 151/14 151/20	Co[1] 108/9
	150/22 160/5 160/6	certainly [7] 20/25	152/23 153/12 153/13	
81/6 81/23 84/14 85/8				
86/16 88/18 88/22	160/18 160/24 161/12		154/2 156/6 161/3	55/25
	161/15 163/6 163/10	100/16 139/8 183/5	165/15 167/17 172/8	code [7] 10/2 10/14
91/25 94/17 95/5	163/12 163/24 165/7	Certification [2]	173/6 174/14	29/12 29/21 30/11
101/23 101/24 106/17				
106/18 107/16 107/21	165/17 173/17 179/10		chronology [1] 140/7	
	181/6 184/12 184/16	cessation [1] 78/10	circumstances [7]	codes [2] 8/11 9/16
109/8 111/17 112/5	184/17	cetera [1] 84/2	60/11 68/7 131/12	coding [1] 121/4
112/16 113/19 115/6				
115/15 118/7 118/10	cases [62] 5/7 5/10	CFA [1] 173/9	158/7 158/20 161/9	coercive [1] 109/5
	7/25 47/2 47/4 48/14	Chair [10] 101/13	162/15	cohort [2] 68/24 76/3
119/15 120/22 120/23	48/20 48/21 49/3	161/25 162/9 163/8	civil [1] 184/12	coincidental [1]
126/5 131/2 132/19				
133/2 133/13 134/15	49/15 49/17 55/4	163/18 174/2 174/9	Clacton [1] 166/9	166/21
	55/21 57/4 57/7 57/10	175/4 175/6 175/9	Clacton-on-Sea [1]	collaborate [1] 70/10
138/13 141/5 141/24	57/13 57/19 57/23	Chair's [1] 163/17	166/9	collapse [1] 86/22
142/25 143/6 143/7	51/10 01/20			
	EDIC EDIAD COLAZ		claim [9] 17/12 27/12	
	58/6 58/10 58/17	chaired [2] 146/20		
143/12 144/5 146/2	58/6 58/10 58/17 60/10 62/17 63/7	159/2	31/9 91/10 123/12	75/9 92/1 92/2 92/6
143/12 144/5 146/2 146/8 146/9 146/11	60/10 62/17 63/7	159/2	31/9 91/10 123/12	
143/12 144/5 146/2	60/10 62/17 63/7 63/12 115/19 116/7	159/2 chairing [1] 147/14	31/9 91/10 123/12 156/7 157/1 177/13	92/12 92/16 92/22
143/12 144/5 146/2 146/8 146/9 146/11 148/17 148/20 150/7	60/10 62/17 63/7 63/12 115/19 116/7 116/10 117/20 117/21	159/2 chairing [1] 147/14 chairman [9] 18/15	31/9 91/10 123/12 156/7 157/1 177/13 181/3	92/12 92/16 92/22 102/2 102/6
143/12 144/5 146/2 146/8 146/9 146/11	60/10 62/17 63/7 63/12 115/19 116/7 116/10 117/20 117/21	159/2 chairing [1] 147/14 chairman [9] 18/15	31/9 91/10 123/12 156/7 157/1 177/13 181/3	92/12 92/16 92/22 102/2 102/6
143/12 144/5 146/2 146/8 146/9 146/11 148/17 148/20 150/7	60/10 62/17 63/7 63/12 115/19 116/7 116/10 117/20 117/21	159/2 chairing [1] 147/14	31/9 91/10 123/12 156/7 157/1 177/13	92/12 92/16 92/22
143/12 144/5 146/2 146/8 146/9 146/11 148/17 148/20 150/7	60/10 62/17 63/7 63/12 115/19 116/7 116/10 117/20 117/21	159/2 chairing [1] 147/14 chairman [9] 18/15	31/9 91/10 123/12 156/7 157/1 177/13 181/3	92/12 92/16 92/22 102/2 102/6

С	complaint [4] 62/25	conduct [4] 28/20	content [4] 38/12	124/20 128/24 143/4
colourful [1] 182/16	136/3 136/7 144/6	59/23 104/4 104/16	134/23 134/24 134/25	143/5 146/17 157/7
come [31] 12/8 18/2	complaints [5]	conducted [1] 105/2	contents [1] 183/23	163/13 163/14 165/9
42/11 50/1 54/15	114/13 122/18 123/7	conducting [3] 104/7	contested [1] 181/24	167/11 176/6
54/20 54/22 56/7	125/15 141/18	104/14 145/20	context [11] 4/14 9/1	corrections [1]
56/25 57/1 72/14	complete [3] 121/17	conference [1] 71/25	30/8 32/12 33/18	139/10
72/19 80/23 91/6	155/10 171/10	confidence [2]	34/25 35/3 71/19 97/1	corrective [1] 48/1
101/13 112/5 112/21	completed [3] 120/1	176/20 177/5	127/9 160/20	correctly [5] 35/18 59/23 62/14 62/15
114/16 118/7 119/14	144/19 182/11 completely [2] 97/17	confident [1] 119/12 confidential [1]	continue [4] 109/7 136/2 136/13 153/14	161/16
120/22 134/15 140/17	132/13	80/14	continues [1] 108/14	corresponded [1]
144/13 148/5 165/2	completes [1] 36/20	confidentiality [1]	continuing [2] 85/22	155/2
167/2 170/2 171/19	completion [1]	80/15	138/21	correspondence [4]
171/21 180/9 comes [4] 32/2	148/16	confirm [1] 41/6	contract [5] 66/18	57/2 74/8 74/19
118/10 129/12 145/3	complex [1] 126/22	confirmed [5] 40/20	73/9 87/2 131/11	154/22
comfortably [1] 91/8	complexity [1] 174/3	41/24 152/6 173/6	136/18	cost [2] 120/19 124/4
coming [5] 48/7	complicated [1]	174/5	contracts [1] 67/2	costs [6] 179/9
62/23 97/9 100/13	173/7	confirming [2]	contractual [3] 66/16	179/12 179/16 181/24
153/7	compromise [2] 81/14 144/15	138/22 185/17	75/5 80/18	183/1 184/1
commenced [2] 28/9		conflict [1] 138/20 conflicts [1] 80/17	contrary [1] 26/21 control [2] 146/24	coughs [1] 179/15
28/18	compromised [3] 81/13 82/10 144/11	conflicts [1] 80/17 confusing [1] 14/12	172/23	could [77] 8/17 18/9 20/25 33/2 34/8 34/22
comment [5] 14/4	compromising [1]	confusion [2] 28/11	controlling [1] 109/5	38/4 46/17 48/2 52/17
23/11 28/25 34/12	82/11	126/15	convenient [1]	56/15 67/19 70/7 71/9
169/15	Compton [1] 186/7	connection [3] 27/20	129/13	74/4 78/16 80/5 81/1
comments [4] 13/19 16/9 20/18 33/11	computer [13] 11/11	27/23 27/24	conventional [2]	81/18 85/1 88/4 91/9
commercial [1]	22/7 86/16 113/7	consensual [1]	22/9 22/14	94/23 96/5 96/23 97/2
79/20	121/9 121/16 121/19	160/16	conversation [6]	101/2 101/3 102/12
commercially [1]	128/10 130/5 131/8	consequence [1]	167/4 167/8 171/17	104/3 106/24 107/3
80/14	131/14 133/2 149/21	81/9	174/12 176/1 176/2	107/9 111/1 111/2
commissioned [1]	concept [1] 116/1 concepts [1] 121/10	consequences [1] 103/22	convicted [1] 161/6 conviction [9] 144/20	111/4 112/2 117/13 118/8 119/16 121/24
122/14	concern [15] 11/19	consider [18] 2/12	144/23 144/25 145/8	121/25 123/4 123/8
commissioning [1]	11/22 11/24 45/2	2/22 52/8 66/8 69/15	159/21 161/1 161/3	124/16 126/5 128/18
122/15	62/25 85/13 99/18	71/23 75/6 89/13	161/5 161/7	129/4 129/4 132/20
committee [4] 135/8 144/16 146/20 164/10	99/23 125/25 131/19	104/14 114/8 122/3	convictions [3] 61/15	134/16 136/23 136/24
committees [1]	134/5 152/12 163/17	157/14 158/8 158/23	145/21 156/15	138/18 139/17 141/20
94/13	163/18 163/21	161/25 163/8 163/23	convince [1] 124/10	144/16 148/6 149/12
common [4] 44/3	concerned [13]	169/20	cope [1] 181/15	151/6 160/2 160/3
71/3 71/4 113/4	14/16 17/17 17/20	consideration [1]	copied [1] 126/10	161/4 164/14 165/22
communicate [2]	54/11 59/7 84/22 88/7 92/1 100/2 115/10	considered [4] 43/19	copy [12] 15/23 19/17 20/12 21/16	166/11 171/1 171/23 172/11 172/13 173/17
70/9 125/8	133/23 170/18 185/12		38/3 38/5 71/15 76/22	173/21 176/3 179/23
communication [13]	concerning [2]	considering [2]	119/18 126/9 143/5	182/15 182/23 187/7
39/4 39/18 40/4 42/5	169/17 184/1	20/20 123/15	156/21	couldn't [11] 43/5
46/13 48/10 65/20 65/23 68/8 69/25	concerns [23] 46/12	consistent [2] 26/7	Core [1] 185/6	52/21 59/12 83/12
89/22 103/2 126/22	65/8 69/25 70/8 82/3	75/2	corner [1] 4/24	88/4 97/11 124/4
company [2] 85/20	83/10 85/22 87/6 87/9		correct [66] 3/21 4/2	134/2 158/21 170/20
91/17	87/10 100/24 101/22	92/7	4/7 24/10 25/16 25/17	176/19
comparatives [1]	122/5 122/25 123/18	constitution [2] 85/5 113/3	32/1 32/24 37/21	Council [6] 67/10
182/17	133/21 134/12 138/14 146/25 147/19 149/1	consultations [1]	38/21 38/22 39/6 39/11 39/16 39/20	68/14 71/11 82/20 83/5 101/23
compared [1] 133/8	153/6 171/24	128/8	40/3 40/18 40/19	counsel [4] 150/1
compensate [2]	concluded [1] 72/7	consulted [1] 128/3	40/24 41/16 42/2 46/4	
109/11 143/16 compensation [2]	concludes [1] 106/3	contact [6] 3/24 44/8		counselling [1] 109/8
143/12 143/19	conclusion [4] 81/2	86/4 109/9 113/25	61/16 65/12 70/12	counter [13] 39/12
competent [1]	137/8 137/10 183/13	125/1	71/14 82/11 82/12	41/10 45/20 47/11
158/20	conclusions [3]	contacted [3] 62/17	83/18 86/7 87/6 89/24	
complainant [1]	122/7 136/25 137/7	63/21 63/22	89/25 92/9 93/22	51/7 52/22 56/1 63/7 82/24 102/17
116/9	conditional [2] 178/25 179/21	contacting [1] 128/11	93/23 94/3 94/10 95/1 95/15 95/24 96/7	countersigned [1]
complainants [3]	conditions [6] 66/25	contained [1] 85/7	107/12 109/19 109/21	
114/23 115/24 141/20	75/16 79/6 80/23	contemporaneous	112/11 114/5 116/21	couple [5] 56/24 84/6
complaining [1] 65/4	92/14 92/19	[1] 167/23	117/22 118/24 119/23	
	l		1	

(54) colourful - couple

C	cuts [1] 7/10	de [1] 182/9	defendant [1] 32/9	50/16 181/18 182/25
course [8] 18/23	CWU [75] 39/8 46/20	deadlines [1] 51/20	defendant's [1] 29/7	desire [3] 35/14
23/19 89/10 119/14	46/25 49/8 49/17	deal [26] 5/13 14/14	define [1] 79/13	35/17 186/24
123/7 128/16 160/11	50/18 53/3 53/13	29/19 44/3 44/9 44/21	defined [1] 80/14	desperate [1] 182/15
168/5	53/16 54/7 57/4 57/7	49/16 49/19 49/22	definitely [4] 119/5	despite [1] 98/18
court [16] 20/25 32/8	57/13 58/20 59/3 60/6	55/21 57/12 63/9	158/2 168/25 173/1	destroy [5] 152/23
34/8 34/22 35/15 90/8	60/9 63/17 65/9 65/14	73/18 73/20 81/21	definition [2] 121/15	153/12 153/15 154/25
108/10 111/11 123/5	67/6 67/12 67/21 68/2	87/24 93/24 97/6 98/1	127/15	155/6
145/12 145/17 146/22	71/21 71/24 72/25	98/9 98/24 100/23	degree [1] 80/10	destroyed [3] 153/1
159/3 179/11 179/11	73/10 74/22 75/3 75/6	126/14 133/9 148/6	degrees [1] 47/22	154/2 154/19
182/4	75/12 76/10 78/9 81/2	153/8	delay [2] 163/21	destruction [1]
courts [1] 145/1	81/10 81/12 81/17	dealing [20] 3/16	164/12	153/16
cover [3] 8/10 32/23	81/22 82/19 85/22	14/13 42/11 43/9	delete [9] 15/19 17/4	detail [1] 74/5
109/15	86/4 86/6 86/18 87/9	43/23 49/18 57/10	18/10 26/23 27/6 31/1	
coverage [1] 98/18	87/12 87/21 88/1	57/23 58/19 62/17	35/6 36/1 36/2	90/15 158/6
covered [1] 126/8	89/22 89/24 91/19	62/18 63/5 97/19	deleted [28] 12/14 17/5 17/15 17/22	details [5] 56/14
covering [5] 5/23	92/5 92/17 93/19 93/20 93/25 94/14	97/23 101/7 105/16 115/2 142/15 142/17		96/11 129/18 132/7 142/14
32/16 34/13 34/19	96/2 96/23 98/12	165/12	18/10 18/12 20/2 25/10 25/25 26/10	
155/3	98/13 98/19 100/13	dealings [1] 103/24	26/14 26/16 26/17	determine [2] 75/5 162/14
credible [2] 168/19	100/21 100/25 101/10		26/25 27/24 28/3 28/5	
169/14	101/14 101/21 101/23		28/8 30/5 30/9 30/24	detrimental [2] 79/12
credit [1] 186/13	102/12 102/24 103/2	42/23 44/2 44/10 45/5		91/18
credited [1] 175/21	104/15 104/19 105/10		33/19 35/24 35/25	development [1]
Crichton [8] 141/1	CWU's [2] 65/8 93/19	57/18 59/18 59/24	36/10	155/18
141/3 141/4 142/4	CWU00000076 [2]	59/25 60/1 63/15	deleting [9] 19/20	diagonally [1] 95/6
149/16 150/6 150/8	71/9 85/3	63/19 65/5 74/7 82/24		Diane [1] 13/17
151/1	·	83/4 83/5 100/18	32/10 33/25 35/4	Dickinson [3] 143/7
Crichton's [1] 141/8	D	182/11	35/21	165/10 184/14
criminal [28] 11/10	daily [3] 46/8 88/7	Dear [1] 118/12	deletion [7] 27/9	did [82] 11/7 11/15
32/16 52/12 60/7	124/25	debate [1] 163/1	27/20 28/17 30/5	12/25 13/22 14/10
60/10 96/4 96/16 97/13 111/15 126/2	damage [5] 15/4	debts [1] 186/13	33/21 33/22 36/7	18/10 26/23 27/6
131/25 133/15 133/22	90/18 90/25 109/4	decade [3] 60/15	deliberately [1]	28/16 31/1 31/17
134/12 143/23 144/1	123/3	60/16 60/21	180/25	34/21 36/1 45/9 45/15
144/19 144/23 144/25	damages [5] 109/11	decide [3] 29/4	demarcation [1] 99/4	
145/1 145/14 156/15	113/0133/7150/21	158/13 162/9	den [7] 142/5 142/15	67/4 70/10 71/15 82/2
159/18 159/21 160/25	151/18	decided [2] 163/12	147/9 168/24 168/25	82/17 83/9 84/24
161/2 161/5 161/7	damaging [9] 13/21	183/22	169/1 178/5	101/21 109/22 109/25
criteria [1] 77/19	13/23 14/18 20/20	decides [1] 72/1	denial [1] 26/22	111/19 113/17 114/10
critical [1] 124/9	33/15 33/17 33/20	deciding [1] 165/7	denied [4] 19/19 27/3	
criticise [3] 91/12	34/1 35/6	decision [20] 28/24	58/3 59/13	
92/21 165/19	data [15] 6/3 6/17 7/17 12/15 13/4 15/10	28/25 29/2 68/11	department [7] 45/24	1 1
criticism [2] 93/1	60/23 84/20 121/5	68/13 73/4 113/16 113/17 157/5 157/8	56/20 56/21 56/22	134/18 134/22 135/2
93/14	126/8 128/6 137/9	157/15 157/18 157/22	97/5 132/13 132/14	135/15 135/16 136/19 137/20 138/23 139/22
cross [1] 138/4	138/25 138/25 180/17	157/23 158/21 160/5	depending [1] 56/16	139/25 140/17 146/22
Crowe [7] 146/14	date [11] 23/2 48/20	160/12 162/11 163/9	depth [3] 116/7	146/25 148/12 150/24
146/20 147/4 147/25	48/22 67/18 107/4	163/17	135/13 170/24	152/16 152/17 157/19
148/1 151/4 164/19	119/10 129/2 129/12	deck [1] 143/5	Deputy [5] 39/18	160/15 165/14 165/19
Crowe's [1] 147/1	133/19 153/20 154/8	declared [1] 105/8	40/1 61/19 83/16	166/6 167/4 168/8
Crown [15] 39/13	dated [7] 37/18 38/8	decline [2] 1/24	89/23	169/1 169/3 169/11
41/2 41/10 45/20	85/2 116/15 117/9	160/13	derail [2] 182/1 183/2	1 1
55/11 55/11 66/13	145/11 160/1	declined [2] 17/2	Derby [1] 110/3	172/3 172/9 175/18
94/4 95/14 95/23 96/1	dates [1] 141/5	25/22	derived [1] 78/5	176/7 178/2 180/9
97/20 101/2 101/22 105/1	Dawkins [1] 13/17	deduction [1] 87/3	describe [6] 69/9	183/3 183/5 183/19
CRR [2] 156/8 157/16	day [23] 13/13 15/14	deemed [1] 80/20	101/19 111/15 121/10	
culminate [1] 87/1	48/23 49/23 49/23	deep [2] 119/25	167/5 167/7	didn't [40] 8/22 11/1
current [4] 78/6	70/20 76/16 82/23	120/10	described [4] 21/3	11/13 18/9 19/25
87/11 117/19 117/25	82/23 98/25 98/25	defects [5] 35/16	78/9 101/13 114/18	25/11 26/1 30/25 31/7
currently [1] 86/4	103/13 111/12 119/4	35/19 35/20 125/15	describing [1]	32/25 34/17 35/25
curveball [1] 162/20	142/3 142/21 143/4	137/3	156/16	36/2 49/16 55/7 57/23
customer [1] 91/21	143/13 150/13 167/18			58/11 64/25 66/5 66/5
cut [1] 169/9	167/25 168/2 187/25 days [1] 6/12	145/5 145/6 181/2	29/14	68/20 82/18 93/6 96/2
		defend [2] 56/6 93/11	uesignea [4] 4//25	96/3 98/17 98/21
				(55) courso - didn't

(55) course - didn't

D	disclosed [5] 130/25	disrupted [1] 93/5	144/10 153/16 153/21	down [48] 4/25 5/14
didn't [13] 101/11	145/13 171/8 180/15	dissatisfaction [2]	154/8 156/14 156/25	6/21 6/22 7/22 9/9
101/12 120/16 122/11	184/14	68/16 68/18	158/19 160/4 168/16	13/13 15/25 16/1 19/8
126/2 133/16 137/9	discloses [1] 27/14	dissatisfied [1]	171/19 175/25 180/15	20/9 22/13 23/14
137/17 147/4 155/6	disclosure [6] 32/8	100/14	documentary [1]	23/20 24/25 25/5 33/6
157/18 170/23 170/24	147/7 147/15 147/20	distilled [2] 135/12	175/14	48/3 61/3 61/9 67/19
difference [10] 22/10	161/9 181/24	135/14	documentation [8]	67/24 79/7 103/18
77/22 78/5 121/3	discovered [5] 110/4			104/24 112/5 117/13
121/14 121/21 131/10	129/20 129/24 130/3 180/12	distinction [3] 77/6 121/1 127/18	149/15 149/18 150/20 155/1 170/25	118/7 119/16 120/22 126/6 129/13 129/16
135/21 170/19 170/21	discrepancies [10]	distinctly [1] 67/4	documents [18]	134/15 148/20 150/20
differences [11]	46/22 47/3 48/12	distress [1] 164/12	56/20 56/23 115/11	151/17 151/25 156/16
125/22 130/5 130/8	49/10 50/5 52/4 55/6	dive [2] 119/25	115/21 148/2 150/15	156/17 160/3 163/25
149/17 150/9 162/4	63/5 63/6 102/18	120/10	152/19 152/23 152/24	165/2 168/16 171/19
169/6 169/8 169/17 172/20 172/22	discrepancy [4]	do [130] 1/15 2/9	153/1 153/2 153/10	172/14 174/10 182/18
different [15] 65/17	51/11 52/8 52/10	2/17 2/25 3/9 3/11	153/13 153/15 155/4	downloaded [2] 6/3
66/19 67/5 97/18 98/4	59/13	5/23 8/9 8/9 11/3	155/6 155/8 181/1	7/17
102/5 102/8 104/21	discuss [13] 44/20	12/25 15/11 15/16	does [12] 30/9 49/24	DR [4] 106/22 187/6
104/23 127/2 140/15	45/3 126/2 129/21	18/2 18/16 19/14	53/16 53/22 60/9 75/1	
140/17 152/7 164/25	129/25 131/18 133/12	19/18 19/19 19/24	79/13 90/18 107/6	Dr Linnell [2] 187/6
166/7	139/22 140/4 155/12	24/2 24/12 26/6 26/21		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
difficult [2] 160/24	155/21 161/11 169/1	27/5 27/14 27/19 28/5		draft [10] 9/7 12/8 13/19 20/1 20/17 21/5
177/25	discussed [20] 44/23 46/5 70/14 76/22	28/8 28/10 28/20 29/20 30/18 32/3	17/7 33/22 91/8 107/10 151/12	33/10 77/3 122/11
difficulties [5] 41/4	116/2 121/11 124/22	32/13 35/9 36/13 38/1	doing [10] 1/16 26/3	140/4
41/17 42/17 44/6 45/1	130/2 132/10 133/13	42/25 44/16 47/11	29/2 56/1 59/15 88/7	drafted [2] 138/11
difficulty [2] 89/11	139/12 152/2 152/18	47/16 47/21 50/2	104/25 117/4 155/7	149/7
91/14	154/5 154/6 160/5	50/16 55/13 56/6	185/5	drafting [3] 134/24
diligently [1] 51/25	170/20 171/15 172/18	60/22 60/23 61/17	domain [2] 97/10	138/9 144/16
diminished [1] 95/17	183/23	62/22 64/7 65/1 65/13		drafts [2] 130/2
dire [1] 133/25 direct [10] 33/20	discussing [5] 102/1	66/12 67/7 68/4 68/6	don't [73] 10/3 12/12	134/19
39/24 40/5 40/5 54/7	102/3 134/19 163/6	69/7 69/15 69/20	15/15 17/3 17/8 27/16	
64/24 75/15 94/9	172/19	70/14 71/1 73/11	28/24 31/12 31/18	drastic [2] 8/19 8/22
128/11 138/10	discussion [21] 71/7	74/13 75/6 75/13	31/18 32/11 33/4	drawn [1] 67/18
direction [7] 1/14	78/9 118/3 120/10	75/14 75/22 76/9	35/10 38/23 48/21	draws [1] 33/20
1/22 68/18 91/16 93/8	121/21 127/18 134/10 134/22 135/22 135/25		49/1 49/14 49/16	Drive [2] 5/13 5/13
149/20 150/23	148/21 149/4 155/13	87/16 87/25 100/17	53/21 53/21 54/2 54/11 54/22 62/20	driving [1] 113/11 drop [2] 61/3 111/10
directly [27] 14/9	155/15 155/25 156/12	103/15 103/15 104/14		dropped [1] 61/9
20/21 21/8 35/13 43/7	160/11 164/7 165/18	105/5 108/1 113/23	66/8 69/19 69/23	drowning [1] 182/15
45/19 50/20 51/6	166/1 171/11	115/5 115/20 116/4	70/15 71/6 74/16	dubious [1] 161/20
53/13 53/23 54/8	discussions [17]	116/7 117/12 120/8	75/19 76/13 76/15	due [7] 53/15 53/24
65/21 66/14 66/20	46/1 68/10 71/1 72/24	120/20 121/20 122/8	83/6 84/25 88/6 96/5	54/4 54/9 86/22 93/7
66/21 66/25 70/7 75/14 75/16 75/24	73/3 73/14 73/19	125/20 127/15 127/17		119/14
76/2 76/7 77/23 79/3	92/18 140/8 140/11	132/9 133/20 134/10	99/14 103/23 105/24	during [13] 24/18
85/16 86/6 97/20	140/22 140/25 141/4	137/6 137/24 138/1	113/13 113/22 119/2	40/11 40/22 41/25
Director [1] 110/23	141/6 165/6 165/23	140/10 140/18 141/14		45/15 64/7 85/18
dirty [1] 112/2	178/6	142/9 143/22 147/10	133/17 135/25 137/10	
disagree [2] 160/15	disgraceful [2]	147/18 154/5 155/14	138/2 138/7 138/10	145/2 155/13 160/10
168/22	182/22 182/25	155/23 156/3 156/4 164/7 166/5 166/7	138/17 139/16 140/3 140/5 151/18 152/17	duties [3] 142/23 145/12 145/17
disagreed [1] 78/13	disingenuous [1] 147/16	167/20 168/6 168/9	152/23 165/2 165/19	duty [3] 63/8 82/13
disappeared [2]	dismiss [1] 62/19	170/4 172/15 174/12	168/13 171/6 171/15	145/5
19/25 150/17	dismissal [3] 52/2	175/12 176/14 179/7	173/10 173/13 176/22	
disappointed [4]	53/17 100/3	180/20 184/7 186/20	done [12] 12/14	<u>E</u>
137/8 137/15 137/17	dismissed [5] 56/4	187/18	17/16 19/2 46/2 71/16	each [7] 23/13 23/20
140/1 disappointment [1]	57/8 58/23 59/15 99/8	doctor [1] 187/9	87/16 108/13 142/11	29/12 41/10 45/3
120/4	displayed [2] 21/15	document [32] 21/12		47/16 116/7
disciplinary [3] 51/22	21/24	21/13 22/10 22/15	180/2	earlier [22] 30/4
60/2 86/24	aispute [6] 80/8	22/18 76/25 79/2 79/5		47/17 55/5 58/1 61/21
discipline [1] 63/7	80/19 93/3 164/13	88/17 88/23 89/6	double [3] 61/2	62/9 63/5 95/25
disclose [2] 32/25	167/24 170/15	89/12 89/15 105/23	116/19 176/20	100/11 101/5 104/11 111/19 112/3 127/4
80/13	disputes [2] 114/22 159/15	118/7 120/22 124/3 134/15 144/5 144/7	doubt [4] 81/6 99/16 130/10 131/24	128/17 128/23 154/17
			100/10 101/24	0,0,
				(56) didn't - carlier

(56) didn't... - earlier

E	13/16	117/5 136/16	105/3	15/6 91/19
earlier [5] 155/6	emails [6] 5/25 6/8	engagements [1]	event [7] 18/25 74/20	
156/14 165/4 171/25	17/18 56/17 57/2	72/3	178/15 179/2 179/7	124/23 150/11
177/7	129/11	engaging [2] 162/12	179/13 179/19	except [5] 80/18
early [3] 109/24	embarrassed [4]	163/1	events [4] 3/16	123/6 140/3 147/4
109/25 148/3	20/25 34/8 34/22	Engineering [1]	109/13 109/15 160/22	156/3
earth [2] 170/2	35/15	65/18	events.' [1] 8/19	exceptional [1]
182/21	embarrassing [1]	enormous [1] 120/19		158/19
easy [2] 169/7	35/7	enough [3] 61/5 170/1 178/8	ever [4] 19/2 101/21 111/13 176/11	exchange [1] 74/8
177/25	embrace [1] 149/16 emerging [2] 81/22	enquiries [2] 135/20	every [11] 50/7 50/7	exchanges [2] 15/16 19/9
echelons [1] 184/23	95/15	138/21	95/7 109/7 124/2	excluded [1] 75/4
education [1] 50/15	employed [32] 39/3	enquiry [1] 25/21	126/20 151/5 151/13	exclusivity [1] 75/1
educational [1] 50/7	39/7 39/9 39/13 39/17	ensue [1] 51/22	152/22 154/6 159/22	excuse [1] 70/25
effect [9] 8/5 14/18	40/12 41/10 45/20	ensure [8] 20/24 34/7		executive [22] 43/16
15/3 17/1 25/20 81/4 89/6 92/20 181/8	50/20 51/2 51/6 53/14		187/18	45/18 67/10 68/13
effective [6] 46/20	53/23 54/8 65/21 66/3	103/21 129/17 132/6	everyone [3] 97/25	71/11 82/6 82/20
49/9 50/13 76/6 81/11	66/14 66/20 66/21	ensured [1] 100/4	131/7 187/21	82/25 82/25 83/2 83/5
81/18	67/1 75/14 77/24	ensuring [1] 50/19	everything [3] 96/19	83/6 83/14 83/25
effectively [13] 24/13	89/22 94/1 97/20	enter [4] 72/1 73/11	98/1 133/13	87/22 87/23 88/6
24/25 56/10 63/2 75/7	97/25 98/1 98/7 102/2		evidence [84] 1/13	97/21 98/5 99/21
82/15 92/24 93/14	102/4 110/1 110/22	entering [1] 91/12	1/23 2/16 3/6 3/12	101/21 101/23
112/22 113/11 114/23	employee [4] 42/22 89/21 94/14 147/5	entirety [1] 19/9 entitle [1] 90/16	3/15 4/12 4/14 4/20 11/11 12/10 14/18	Executive/UKGI [1] 82/6
135/11 148/15	employee's [1] 43/3	entry [1] 121/5	19/10 31/24 32/17	Executives [1] 70/23
effort [1] 153/1	employees [18]	environment [1]	36/15 36/19 36/20	exercise [1] 147/8
efforts [1] 67/8	40/13 43/11 53/18	150/15	38/15 38/18 40/9	exhausted [1] 80/19
either [18] 35/11	60/15 60/25 61/6	envisage [1] 116/22	40/21 42/13 43/13	exhibited [2] 117/6
47/18 47/19 52/23	61/15 64/24 66/9	equal [2] 70/4 70/6	46/2 47/21 48/9 50/21	143/3
63/24 99/7 99/10 115/2 125/7 125/24	66/15 69/16 84/19	Ergo [1] 157/9	57/25 58/24 67/9	exhibiting [2] 24/14
128/20 134/11 134/12	90/3 96/1 99/6 101/22		84/18 92/8 94/7 94/13	24/22
139/23 160/13 176/15	102/6 102/11	121/2 121/4 121/5	94/17 100/11 102/1	exhibits [1] 24/20
176/17 179/1	employer [12] 50/15	121/12 121/22 121/22		exist [1] 69/15
elected [10] 39/21	66/3 69/4 69/5 70/5	137/14 139/5 143/20	107/1 107/24 115/25	existed [1] 69/15
45/11 45/12 45/17		error' [2] 121/4 121/7		existing [1] 148/25
45/18 47/12 89/23	91/13 91/17 92/21	errors [19] 35/16 35/19 117/19 118/4	122/6 123/5 123/6 123/14 123/20 127/4	expand [2] 38/17 134/5
97/17 98/3 98/5	employers [5] 69/13 69/21 84/1 92/13	118/5 121/15 125/14	128/6 128/23 130/7	expect [6] 45/2 55/7
Election [1] 85/18	92/18	125/17 126/4 126/13	130/21 131/21 131/24	
electronic [1] 128/6	employment [4]	126/17 127/16 130/4	132/2 133/14 137/1	172/22
elide [1] 91/25	57/24 92/14 92/19	130/22 130/24 131/2		expectation [3] 62/8
else [12] 2/1 23/7	94/6	131/4 131/8 139/19	138/5 138/20 139/20	73/10 73/12
24/7 31/20 73/17 122/22 132/2 136/21	enable [3] 18/19 50/3	escalated [2] 55/8	143/24 145/17 158/3	expected [7] 44/23
140/25 147/6 147/23	180/17	63/12	158/22 162/25 165/24	55/5 62/1 62/2 62/4
171/3	enabled [1] 22/20	especially [1] 75/3	171/6 175/13 175/14	62/6 135/13
email [51] 5/7 6/22	encourage [2] 157/1	essence [1] 87/25	176/16 178/4 180/1	expensive [1] 120/4
6/24 7/1 7/3 7/11 9/15	165/17	essential [3] 179/17	183/17 184/9 184/12	experience [23]
10/9 12/20 12/22	encouragement [3] 80/15 108/22 167/12	179/23 181/1	187/12	46/24 47/7 48/10 49/2 49/6 49/13 49/16
15/16 17/23 18/18	end [14] 12/8 15/14	essentially [6] 53/3 63/1 78/14 80/21	evidential [1] 123/17 ex [1] 86/1	51/23 52/13 52/25
18/20 19/5 19/15 20/8	19/9 21/18 38/1 38/7	86/12 93/24	ex-postmasters [1]	57/10 57/21 58/12
20/10 21/7 21/17	41/12 52/22 102/1	establish [1] 92/15	86/1	58/13 58/21 60/12
21/19 21/20 21/23		established [3] 25/14		90/6 103/12 103/17
21/25 22/3 22/5 22/9	172/4 178/2	40/15 68/2	14/1 20/11 20/11	103/23 115/1 127/21
22/11 33/5 33/6 34/13 34/13 56/13 62/10	ended [4] 115/18	establishing [1] 53/5	21/25 52/19 63/17	178/10
119/16 119/24 124/17	115/25 177/23 178/13		132/18 140/3 181/11	experiencing [1]
126/6 126/8 126/11	ends [1] 55/23	140/23	examination [1]	41/4
127/20 129/3 129/5	engage [3] 50/13	et [1] 84/1	185/3	expert [5] 117/15
129/10 129/15 129/24	92/12 186/25	etc [1] 169/23	examining [2] 38/20	145/12 145/17 159/6
131/20 132/4 167/2	engaged [5] 66/21	even [9] 15/8 52/9	38/25	184/13
167/16 168/1	79/12 85/20 110/18 186/23	63/6 96/23 97/12 100/20 133/18 144/21	example [7] 66/24	expertise [1] 14/17 explain [20] 7/25
emailed [1] 12/17	engagement [5]	168/22	151/5 152/17 158/9	10/7 18/11 18/18 19/6
emailing [3] 5/6 6/12	85/19 110/2 117/1	evening [2] 47/18	examples [3] 8/8	25/1 26/9 50/9 51/17

(57) earlier... - explain

E	13/22 17/3 19/20	fee/success [1]	177/22	81/3 88/13
explain [11] 52/17	20/19 25/23 26/13	178/25	finishing [1] 140/14	form [3] 2/4 82/22
52/21 77/6 78/4	29/22 29/24 30/6	feedback [1] 178/7	firm [8] 110/1 110/3	180/4
142/11 150/7 151/6	30/10 30/12 30/21	feel [7] 9/4 11/1 18/9	110/13 114/25 184/9	formal [2] 45/23 46/2
157/1 165/22 167/13	30/22 30/24 33/14	73/15 73/24 168/21	184/19 184/21 184/24	
168/12	63/8 126/23	170/23	firms [2] 108/11	format [3] 22/9 22/14
explained [10] 6/15	failure' [1] 18/6	feeling [3] 143/14 183/7 187/1	178/12 first [55] 8/25 22/21	25/1 formats [1] 47/13
8/21 47/17 63/4 83/11	failure.' [1] 8/7 failures [21] 9/2 9/13		23/5 23/14 23/16	formatting [1] 23/10
149/23 149/25 160/19	9/24 10/4 17/11 17/19		24/12 38/19 67/8	formed [2] 82/7
173/12 173/16	21/1 25/24 26/13	fees [1] 179/21	67/20 74/7 75/22 77/2	113/21
explaining [2] 6/2	27/11 34/9 34/23	fell [3] 51/15 51/16	79/9 84/24 85/8 88/19	
7/16 explanation [13] 8/2	34/25 35/4 35/4 35/23	66/2	90/5 96/18 107/11	100/12 113/20 132/11
26/24 27/6 27/15	126/14 127/1 127/12	felt [5] 56/4 131/15	107/14 109/22 110/18	
27/21 30/11 32/8	127/13 127/16	137/23 149/7 161/9	111/17 111/20 112/18	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
32/15 150/17 165/15	failures' [2] 8/19	Fenny [1] 186/7	112/22 112/23 113/19	
168/12 172/5 173/11	14/25	few [10] 84/4 112/25		forth [2] 62/22 93/12
explanations [2] 36/6	fair [33] 4/8 42/14 42/19 50/19 51/9	120/2 135/11 136/10		fortunate [1] 178/8
169/8	42/19 50/19 51/9 51/13 52/6 55/1 58/18	146/10 159/24 172/10 185/14 185/18	127/4 127/8 127/10 141/15 142/6 142/8	forward [14] 4/23 10/20 82/13 114/9
explore [2] 3/14		field [3] 29/13 105/16		
49/11	70/4 72/22 72/23	139/19	153/8 160/2 162/19	140/13 141/11 165/20
expose [1] 87/18	80/24 81/15 81/16	figure [2] 59/12	164/20 164/20 164/21	174/22 177/12 180/8
exposure [1] 133/6	86/19 87/7 87/25	185/23	167/4 169/5 178/3	180/24
expressed [2] 122/9 139/20	88/23 98/12 98/16	figures [5] 110/8	185/5 186/5 187/5	forwarded [1] 15/24
extensive [2] 54/12	100/1 100/6 100/14	131/14 172/6 174/9	firsthand [2] 44/4	forwarding [2] 6/24
140/11	111/22 124/6 128/9	176/16	49/1	22/2
extensively [1]	156/2 169/15	file [4] 21/3 167/4	firstly [8] 13/22 19/15	
152/18	fairly [4] 31/23 54/19	167/5 171/17	22/19 42/11 74/6	170/22
extent [7] 38/24	94/23 159/17 faith [1] 68/20	filenote [1] 167/7 files [5] 5/9 154/2	117/14 137/16 174/12 fit [4] 8/17 73/5 156/9	
104/2 124/12 127/25	fall [2] 52/1 77/21	154/18 159/19 159/22		found [12] 44/6 50/4
128/2 160/18 180/20	falling [2] 43/19	filtered [1] 54/25	five [7] 6/6 45/24	103/25 111/12 125/14
external [1] 114/24	122/21	final [19] 12/2 29/2	61/7 62/13 135/11	125/17 130/24 132/18
extra [3] 6/1 7/15 126/18	falls [1] 74/2	35/11 57/3 58/3 68/11		137/12 137/13 137/19
extract [2] 7/1 13/4	false [4] 126/18	80/10 80/12 86/21	Flat [1] 182/21	137/19
extracted [2] 109/10	161/8 161/17 161/19	102/9 122/12 130/9	flight [1] 166/24	four [3] 135/11
123/23	familiar [1] 124/1	157/4 157/14 157/18		135/15 179/4
extracts [1] 7/3	families [1] 109/4		flows [1] 147/7	fourth [2] 156/17
extremely [2] 115/8	famous [1] 184/4 famously [1] 186/7	183/15 finalised [1] 31/6	fluctuate [1] 61/3	168/16 framework [2] 43/25
167/3	far [9] 54/10 99/13	finalising [1] 35/10	fluctuates [1] 61/10 focus [3] 116/10	72/6
eyes [1] 47/20	126/21 129/20 129/24		150/21 182/3	frankly [2] 127/22
F	133/13 137/19 171/16		follow [1] 53/22	165/13
face [6] 86/9 161/10	182/15	finances [1] 186/18	followed [8] 60/6	Fraser [4] 90/10
161/11 167/12 168/12	fault [7] 33/2 53/6	financial [8] 8/5 17/1	74/9 164/5 164/16	90/10 181/19 182/10
168/12	58/15 63/3 64/1 64/2	25/20 41/8 133/25	164/18 165/1 174/16	Fraser J [1] 182/10
faced [1] 123/19	131/12	161/5 161/11 179/13	181/10	fraud [2] 110/2 110/4
faces [1] 98/2	faults [1] 32/17	find [14] 16/20 94/17	following [13] 5/17	free [1] 186/20
facility [2] 2/17 42/18	favour [1] 161/13	103/15 103/16 103/23 116/8 119/10 126/4	41/4 74/11 81/1 100/10 127/19 137/13	freedom [2] 79/17 123/25
facing [2] 44/21	feasible [2] 130/10 130/17	133/18 150/9 150/16		Freeths [3] 108/10
103/18	February [4] 3/6 3/12		160/10 175/19 187/25	
fact [14] 11/15 27/5	4/20 154/9	finding [2] 135/10	follows [1] 77/1	Frequently [1]
30/15 54/10 59/17 64/4 75/8 105/8	fed [1] 101/16	169/6	foot [1] 21/24	144/17
137/18 138/23 146/22	Federation [20]	findings [2] 140/10	force [1] 180/4	friend [3] 59/10
171/12 181/7 183/4	65/15 65/25 66/7	156/19	forcibly [1] 87/2	59/20 186/12
facts [3] 107/21	68/17 68/20 70/1	fine [11] 2/20 36/24	forensic [8] 109/20	friend/witness [1]
111/23 127/14	70/13 72/3 72/12 73/7			
failed [1] 172/5	73/24 74/11 77/17	106/13 145/25 177/3		front [3] 37/17 107/4
failings [1] 87/18	79/2 81/7 85/6 90/12 95/10 186/23 187/2	181/12 185/19 finish [1] 185/14	131/22 forget [2] 110/25	154/9 frontline [1] 76/17
failure [22] 9/12 9/21	fee [4] 117/2 178/25	finished [5] 45/8	142/14	frustration [2]
10/8 10/15 13/20	179/21 179/21	136/2 136/7 165/12	forgive [4] 48/7 53/18	
			l	(58) explain - frustration

(58) explain... - frustration

F	50/1 50/19 51/3 51/5	26/11 45/19 51/17	good [13] 1/3 3/4 3/5	guilty [7] 58/22
FUJ00122204 [1] 9/9	51/6 51/14 51/15	51/20 64/6 67/9 71/24		103/17 103/21 133/16
FUJ00122204 [1] 9/9	55/14 55/17 63/18	74/17 76/9 87/15 88/3		171/12 171/13 171/15
13/12 20/8 33/6	Gareth [13] 3/8 4/4	88/23 94/13 94/17	108/4 143/2 145/23	Н
FUJ00122211 [1]	6/24 19/12 19/17	96/13 96/25 99/10	goods [1] 171/4	
21/14	20/21 21/7 128/13	130/22 134/3 142/4	got [27] 6/18 12/23	had [182] 5/2 6/8 7/10 7/24 9/7 11/10
FUJ00122217 [1]	139/14 139/15 144/3	143/4 150/15 165/24	21/20 25/3 30/20	13/3 15/12 19/16
18/14	145/11 145/16	185/24	31/19 37/18 38/3 45/4 45/5 62/11 64/21	19/21 19/25 20/2 21/8
FUJ00152582 [1]	Garr [1] 182/9 gate [1] 166/24	gives [1] 8/8 giving [10] 2/16	73/21 74/2 90/5 93/18	26/11 28/9 28/17
6/11	gathers [1] 96/19	14/17 103/11 122/6	101/25 108/20 112/2	28/24 30/18 35/15
Fujitsu [10] 3/25 5/7	TONO 101 1/12 1/12	133/6 133/24 134/13	134/6 150/10 152/20	39/24 40/5 40/15
6/24 7/20 14/17 19/16 128/2 128/5 128/11	1/22 1/23 3/6 3/12	135/19 143/8 187/11	152/20 163/3 166/7	41/15 41/17 41/22
139/9	3/15 4/20 19/10 21/13	GLO [2] 178/21	170/24 171/7	42/6 43/1 43/25 44/25
Fujitsu's [2] 127/25	32/8 40/9 40/20 43/13		Government [6] 82/5	45/2 49/13 49/18
138/24	58/24 116/13 142/13	go [76] 4/23 6/11	87/17 132/11 183/16	50/15 52/20 54/15
fulfilled [1] 180/21	174/15 176/16 183/17	6/21 7/21 10/11 10/20		54/25 56/11 57/25
full [10] 37/15 105/5	general [24] 3/15	16/1 16/7 24/11 25/3	grades [1] 57/5	62/1 62/16 62/17 65/2 66/18 68/2 68/16 71/1
106/24 109/11 110/24	11/9 39/18 40/1 48/23 49/4 49/7 49/8 58/9	35/12 50/17 53/11 53/12 55/17 63/11	Graham [6] 1/7 1/10 7/2 8/18 24/6 188/2	72/11 72/24 73/20
129/18 132/7 133/5	49/4 49/7 49/8 58/9 58/16 61/19 68/19	69/8 69/10 73/16	grant [3] 72/6 79/6	74/14 79/2 80/3 81/13
135/13 138/17	70/18 70/21 71/1	73/22 74/18 75/15	92/7	82/13 87/13 88/3
function [1] 102/16	83/16 83/23 85/18	76/7 78/16 86/14	grants [1] 78/19	88/16 93/2 95/12 99/6
functions [4] 49/1	89/23 106/1 134/24	88/19 89/16 97/5	grateful [4] 7/7 89/19	103/11 103/24 104/24
82/21 104/8 104/17 fundamental [1]	150/1 178/7 183/7	97/20 99/15 101/12	94/12 106/8	112/12 112/23 112/23
77/22	generality [1] 96/17	101/14 107/8 111/15	great [2] 133/9	113/24 113/25 113/25
funded [4] 78/25 79/3	generally [17] 13/3	112/6 114/2 117/13	159/14	114/6 115/1 115/1
113/23 178/17	13/5 57/7 58/19 58/20	119/16 121/25 129/5	greater [5] 10/14	115/9 116/8 116/9
funder [4] 123/22	58/21 70/17 71/7	129/16 132/19 133/4	29/21 30/20 32/5	118/25 119/3 120/9 120/12 123/1 123/3
178/18 178/20 179/18	94/19 103/23 113/1 125/16 134/23 140/11	134/16 138/17 140/13 146/12 154/7 154/9		123/24 124/12 125/12
funders [3] 178/14	144/12 169/18 171/12	154/14 156/9 156/16	gross [1] 78/20 ground [2] 2/10 71/3	125/19 125/22 125/24
178/19 179/1	generated [6] 8/4	157/10 157/17 159/11	group [63] 40/10	126/4 127/21 128/10
funding [21] 72/22	9/11 9/20 16/24 25/8	159/23 160/2 160/3	40/15 45/16 73/19	128/13 128/14 129/23
78/2 78/14 78/18 78/22 79/7 80/22	30/10	161/10 161/21 162/22		130/3 130/3 130/5
80/23 85/6 90/15	generic [1] 8/11	163/5 166/18 167/15	141/14 141/17 146/16	130/7 130/19 131/5
90/22 96/3 113/24	generous [1] 178/23	168/15 170/11 171/20		131/13 131/14 132/12
123/20 123/21 152/9	gentle [1] 5/4	171/23 172/14 173/2	148/12 148/15 148/24	
177/8 177/11 178/20	genuine [1] 162/3	173/22 174/24 177/3	149/5 149/12 149/21	133/25 134/4 137/13
181/19 186/9	genuinely [2] 16/20 31/21	180/24 181/21 185/18	149/25 150/13 151/13 152/2 152/8 152/15	137/17 137/22 137/23 138/14 140/4 141/11
funds [2] 90/17 90/24	George [1] 70/19	goes [11] 78/4 78/7 79/5 79/15 80/8 85/24		142/6 142/16 143/19
Furey [16] 40/7 45/11	Germany [1] 166/22	86/11 126/25 126/25	156/1 157/4 157/9	144/8 144/9 145/12
45/17 70/21 76/16	get [31] 20/24 32/1	130/9 137/4	157/14 157/22 158/8	145/16 146/19 150/1
83/1 83/13 87/23	32/24 34/7 34/16	going [46] 4/10 8/23	158/13 158/16 158/22	150/3 150/9 150/12
93/18 93/18 94/12 94/23 97/5 98/13	34/16 36/22 44/1	29/18 30/13 31/19	159/2 160/6 160/13	150/18 152/13 155/4
101/6 101/20	44/20 50/8 61/21	44/7 62/19 62/20	161/23 161/24 162/7	155/22 156/3 156/10
Furey's [4] 56/21	73/15 73/24 101/16	68/19 68/22 68/23	162/10 162/13 163/23	158/17 158/25 159/17
93/24 94/9 98/11	111/19 113/4 123/21	81/14 89/1 91/17	164/2 164/10 164/22	159/21 160/7 160/13 160/18 161/12 161/17
further [18] 3/14 5/14	123/25 125/21 132/24		165/3 165/6 165/14	162/15 163/11 164/3
8/13 29/23 67/24	133/2 141/10 141/20 157/19 168/17 170/20	109/15 109/17 112/18 112/21 115/22 115/22		164/13 164/18 166/3
67/25 84/4 88/9 88/17	172/8 177/11 179/25	115/23 117/3 125/4	180/5 180/5 181/18	166/20 167/13 168/4
89/13 94/24 106/7	180/9 186/19	128/16 144/15 148/5	183/3 183/8	169/6 169/22 170/13
	getting [4] 14/11	151/21 153/8 158/13	Group's [2] 150/2	170/18 170/18 170/18
173/19 176/7 184/7	123/6 168/21 169/3	158/18 159/20 161/18		170/19 170/22 171/6
fuzzy [1] 143/17	GIJ01 [1] 24/20	162/13 164/11 166/8	groups [5] 66/19	171/8 172/25 173/4
G	GIJ02 [1] 24/20	168/15 170/14 173/8	69/20 98/3 102/6	173/25 175/7 175/9
gain [2] 43/6 47/19	GIJ03 [1] 24/20	174/22 177/16 180/11	102/8	176/2 176/15 177/22
gains [22] 42/7 42/9	gist [1] 44/13	184/8 185/13	guard [1] 162/25	177/23 178/3 178/4 178/6 178/7 178/10
42/24 43/17 44/7 47/5	give [6] 36/19 37/14 93/2 107/1 109/7	gone [11] 1/18 15/3 56/18 59/4 116/8	guess [1] 167/22	178/20 178/23 179/3
47/9 47/13 47/20	135/8	141/11 151/9 172/1	guessing [1] 8/25 guidance [1] 108/22	181/8 182/16 183/14
47/23 47/25 49/24	given [25] 19/22	172/7 172/25 176/4	guidance [1] 100/22 guilt [1] 57/22	183/18 186/18
	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		J	
				(59) EU 100122204 - bad

(59) FUJ00122204 - had

Н	170/2 172/1 175/13	hearings [1] 59/5	97/5 116/16 142/9	35/9 36/8 42/7 42/12
	176/18 179/11 180/2	heat [1] 65/19	145/12 145/17 149/20	43/9 44/21 45/5 45/6
hadn't [5] 86/6	180/25 185/8	held [10] 3/20 11/14	150/1 151/11 158/3	45/6 48/24 48/25
130/24 171/7 178/20	have [264]	46/14 47/15 56/9 70/4	159/7 161/25 162/10	50/12 50/13 50/14
178/23	haven't [9] 17/4 30/1	128/5 165/9 173/3	173/9 181/19 182/17	51/14 54/3 57/12
half [4] 76/19 164/20	31/19 32/20 56/18	182/12	183/24 185/22	59/18 63/15 63/17
164/20 169/9	56/25 129/21 137/19	help [7] 8/17 26/19	historic [2] 117/20	80/2 81/22 93/16
halls [1] 186/7	158/14	31/21 56/12 91/1	118/1	96/12 96/22 97/10
Hamilton [7] 111/8	having [13] 42/17	108/6 109/3	historically [1] 64/21	101/13 104/4 104/16
112/7 112/9 112/14	44/4 50/14 70/6 73/13	helped [1] 52/18	HMRC [2] 110/8	113/16 113/17 113/20
170/8 170/10 170/16	91/5 92/20 103/14	helpful [1] 14/6	131/23	113/23 115/15 116/22
Hamilton's [5]	110/4 133/25 156/7	helping [2] 56/6	HMRC/Inland [1]	125/18 130/22 138/10
108/19 111/18 111/24 124/1 130/20	179/2 183/8	186/17	131/23	140/12 140/17 142/22
	Hayes [1] 70/22	Hence [1] 13/6	HODGE [8] 37/12	150/22 153/10 157/1
Hamlyn [1] 184/22	Hayward [2] 184/15	Henderson [15]	37/13 92/1 92/15	158/12 163/23 169/8
hampered [1] 75/6	184/21	112/19 114/4 115/2	93/17 100/12 105/22	173/17 177/25 186/10
hand [6] 4/18 4/24 23/9 23/11 45/9 127/1	hazy [1] 138/3	124/17 125/7 125/25	188/8	186/20
handed [1] 96/11	he [66] 1/7 7/3 7/10	138/7 139/23 141/2	hold [1] 120/1	Howe [1] 108/9
	8/8 9/2 9/7 9/9 9/14	150/12 175/18 175/21	home [2] 130/12	however [8] 20/7
handing [2] 45/11 182/18	9/23 11/1 11/1 11/1	176/5 176/15 178/15	171/2	86/3 86/14 86/15
Handling [1] 94/4	11/15 11/17 11/23	her [31] 108/18 109/1		144/24 157/4 158/8
handover [2] 45/23	11/25 12/6 12/13 14/1	111/11 111/13 130/20	honest [1] 143/14	182/23
46/3	14/25 15/4 18/9 18/9	134/7 142/16 142/16	Honestly [1] 19/6	Hudgells [1] 108/11
hands [2] 112/2	20/22 35/23 45/12	142/17 142/18 142/19		huge [3] 11/22 11/24
113/18	45/19 45/21 45/25	142/20 142/22 147/10		183/1
hanging [1] 186/14	46/6 46/10 88/24	150/10 150/12 150/18		hundred [1] 52/9
happen [4] 43/16	90/10 90/14 90/21	167/10 167/11 167/12		hundreds [2] 95/12
43/18 113/17 135/23	94/13 113/11 113/12	167/19 169/8 169/9	hopefully [1] 160/1	95/13
happened [9] 29/13	114/12 116/15 127/7	170/11 170/13 170/24		hurdle [1] 164/13
113/18 151/7 167/13	127/21 129/16 143/14	171/2 171/3 171/4	13/5 15/9 29/7 31/22	hurdles [1] 180/5
173/4 175/14 176/12	148/11 149/25 150/24		32/17 33/2 34/4 34/17	
182/8 183/14	151/10 153/1 153/12	here [21] 14/7 17/7	34/18 35/15 35/19	
happening [1]	159/17 159/18 159/22			l accept [1] 29/1
151/15	165/15 165/19 165/19		41/5 41/15 41/19	l agree [1] 20/3
happens [4] 12/6	172/3 172/8 172/9	68/6 69/10 72/13	41/20 42/10 45/16	l also [3] 5/16 98/22
72/25 140/6 153/19	173/8 174/3 182/11	95/11 120/25 130/16	46/15 47/3 47/7 47/8	137/12
happenstance [1]	183/18 183/22 185/3	153/7 155/12 171/24	48/12 48/16 52/16	I always [1] 154/13
178/13	185/25	172/18 173/12	52/23 53/15 53/24	I am [8] 15/18 17/16
happy [4] 26/18	he'd [1] 45/23	heroes [1] 108/8	54/5 54/10 56/25 58/7	27/5 72/9 92/20 94/16
120/6 120/14 187/17	he's [4] 9/4 10/1	hidden [1] 187/8	59/3 60/16 60/21	131/23 133/17
hard [3] 8/24 38/3	36/16 151/17	hierarchy [5] 83/16	60/25 64/1 64/7 82/4	l apologise [1]
108/6	head [8] 34/3 34/20	83/17 113/3 113/9	84/20 85/8 85/9 85/15	187/15
Harrison [2] 170/7	99/17 110/7 110/12	113/14	85/21 86/13 86/18 87/7 87/18 88/2 95/14	I applied [1] 110/4
170/10	155/3 172/19 176/19	high [5] 90/8 108/10		143/21 167/24
has [70] 1/24 6/6 8/7	headed [1] 147/8 headhunted [1]	146/21 180/5 184/23	96/21 96/24 98/15 99/9 100/4 100/18	l ask [12] 4/8 37/14
15/9 17/1 17/2 22/25	110/14	highlighted [2] 11/17 176/2	100/25 101/2 101/22	37/23 107/3 107/9
25/20 25/22 25/23	heading [4] 71/20	highly [1] 90/15	113/7 115/12 119/25	107/16 107/21 115/15
26/12 29/12 29/13	74/6 76/20 85/9	HILARY [3] 106/22	120/5 121/9 121/19	144/14 175/25 180/19
30/16 40/5 46/20 49/9	headquarters [1]	106/25 188/14	120/3 121/9 121/19	183/15
50/25 51/8 53/13 54/7	54/25	him [23] 1/25 7/20	125/9 125/17 127/12	l asked [4] 102/9
76/14 77/18 78/12	heads [1] 99/5	14/5 14/9 14/24 24/14		115/17 177/6 185/24
79/11 85/16 85/17	hear [10] 1/3 1/5 4/14			l assume [1] 74/23
86/1 86/12 90/15 91/7	37/6 84/14 89/1 95/5	31/21 45/15 46/6	152/12 183/1 183/20	l assumed [1] 128/5
92/5 92/7 94/13 97/22	106/17 106/19 146/8	112/13 112/14 112/16		l attended [1] 141/22
101/6 103/8 104/15	heard [14] 103/1	112/24 142/7 142/8	hove [1] 166/25	l be [1] 187/5
105/17 108/7 108/21	103/4 103/4 103/8	149/25 159/7 165/19	hover [5] 22/24 23/4	I became [1] 111/6
108/25 108/25 109/2	105/17 112/12 122/8	185/24	23/20 25/10 25/25	l beg [1] 136/5
109/3 123/12 123/14	123/14 125/9 125/10	hindrance [3] 76/3	hovered [2] 23/13	I began [1] 110/2
126/8 129/18 129/20	128/13 137/5 175/13	76/5 76/6	23/18	I believe [15] 8/18
	184/12	hindsight [1] 147/18	hovering [1] 23/16	75/18 117/1 119/10
138/16 145/5 151/7	hearing [6] 50/20	his [26] 10/7 14/5	how [62] 6/2 6/3 7/16	126/8 126/9 128/24
151/8 151/15 160/16	60/2 60/4 97/13	14/12 14/23 35/21	7/17 8/16 15/1 15/5	130/13 133/23 135/5
164/19 164/23 168/1	184/14 187/25	45/19 86/6 87/3 94/3	19/4 27/17 27/19 28/4	135/10 141/21 145/13

(60) hadn't - I believe

I	142/14	149/18 171/16	120/16 121/12 121/13	17/5 17/14 17/21
I believe [2] 147/21		I recap [1] 3/14	122/10 126/15 129/1	18/11 30/14 30/23
160/25		I refer' [1] 10/24	129/2 129/11 129/12	41/3 62/4 62/6 62/11
I brought [1] 175/20		I referenced [1]	135/7 135/25 136/10	71/16 93/16 97/21
I can [30] 1/6 9/17	l give [1] 93/2	72/23	139/7 139/11 141/18	108/12 116/24 125/6
10/19 14/7 15/5 21/22	l go [1] 107/8	I referred [1] 62/9	142/8 143/2 143/18	172/19 172/22
22/1 22/23 23/3 26/15	I got [1] 112/2	l remember [3] 57/23	143/21 143/24 153/24	
26/25 27/8 27/17	I had [8] 5/2 88/3	167/10 174/14	158/2 159/5 160/16	
27/23 28/3 30/22		I remind [2] 1/19 2/5 I represent [1] 90/2	161/2 162/19 166/20 168/16 174/21 182/9	I'd [16] 7/7 34/19 40/8 45/2 46/11 65/7
31/20 32/12 33/23	I have [17] 2/19	l right [1] 176/14	182/13 182/22 183/11	81/21 108/4 108/15
35/9 36/4 36/8 36/9		I said [13] 17/21 26/3	183/25 185/4 185/5	117/2 119/2 124/15
94/17 101/24 106/18	58/13 73/23 84/4 95/9		185/8	132/4 138/6 171/21
133/13 146/9 165/4 183/10	108/8 124/22 127/8	98/21 101/5 104/11	I thought [5] 116/24	187/18
I can't [10] 18/11	130/10 131/22 133/23	128/15 168/8 170/17	135/19 140/14 171/1	I'II [8] 1/13 5/4 7/8
26/9 30/14 119/10	143/14 168/9 185/1	171/10 171/21	182/24	28/16 90/8 128/1
131/5 133/18 138/1		I saw [2] 118/11	I understand [12] 3/1	142/19 144/13
155/10 167/21 174/15	I highlighted [1]	167/19	44/12 64/25 73/8	l'm [73] 4/13 8/24
I certainly [1] 183/5		I say [10] 14/7 30/13 58/20 69/7 72/9 72/16	77/10 93/4 96/5 97/7	14/4 14/4 18/4 18/21 26/5 27/16 28/13
I challenged [1]	I just [18] 8/21 14/11 14/12 15/11 17/15	97/3 117/12 127/11	99/3 107/12 108/2 179/17	28/15 29/18 31/5
115/12	17/20 18/21 19/6	97/3 117/12 127/11 173/22	l use [1] 127/23	31/18 32/2 32/12
I could [5] 48/2 88/4		I set [2] 110/9 110/16		32/22 32/23 33/25
176/3 182/15 182/23	103/6 111/17 112/25		I want [13] 3/6 20/5	35/11 36/8 47/4 54/10
l couldn't [3] 43/5 88/4 176/19	138/4 147/9 172/10	I should [3] 118/16	34/16 53/16 126/14	58/13 58/15 62/13
I dealt [1] 57/18	184/20	129/25 140/19	140/6 143/11 146/10	62/14 63/25 68/15
I decided [1] 183/22		I shouldn't [1] 29/1	159/24 166/10 171/20	71/7 72/9 74/23 88/5
I definitely [1] 119/5	I know [7] 15/17 27/4		176/12 182/3	89/19 90/8 94/12 95/6
I did [7] 66/11 82/2		l sit [1] 82/20	I wanted [3] 34/25	96/12 97/7 98/19
111/19 168/8 169/13		I slightly [1] 108/18 I speak [1] 95/8	115/21 132/17 I was [58] 3/19 10/3	101/16 101/18 104/21 106/8 107/25 109/16
170/23 178/2		I spoke [1] 187/1	13/25 14/6 14/24	112/21 124/14 124/14
I didn't [12] 30/25	I looked [1] 115/3	I start [2] 108/4 111/1		127/22 128/7 134/5
34/17 35/25 36/2	I made [3] 43/12 58/1		26/19 31/20 31/25	138/3 139/15 140/19
49/16 82/18 98/21 122/11 133/16 137/9	64/20	I suggest [2] 8/1	32/3 35/18 41/2 46/9	143/17 144/15 147/5
147/4 170/23	I make [1] 54/7	32/15	47/11 47/12 51/2	148/5 151/21 153/8
I do [13] 3/11 19/18	I may [4] 89/14 144/2	I suspect [1] 77/15	55/20 57/16 68/11	161/16 168/15 170/10
28/10 35/9 103/15				170/14 176/22 177/16
103/15 108/1 117/12	I mean [13] 26/2 31/12 31/19 72/23	83/9 121/20 I then [3] 21/12 91/25	96/10 96/10 96/11	177/17 182/23 182/24 184/19 185/12 185/14
120/20 127/17 168/9	87/13 89/4 96/9 97/7	110/8	110/21 110/23 112/3	187/17
184/7 187/18	97/15 152/17 155/14	I therefore [1] 131/15		l've [50] 12/14 13/18
I don't [45] 10/3	159/17 165/9	I think [107] 1/19	125/4 125/20 126/10	13/24 18/4 19/3 20/17
12/12 17/8 27/16 28/24 31/12 31/18	I met [3] 112/17	3/19 4/8 7/24 11/16	128/16 131/23 132/1	25/3 26/2 26/15 26/25
33/4 35/10 38/23	113/12 184/18	13/20 13/25 15/19	136/6 137/8 137/15	28/2 28/25 29/1 31/2
48/21 49/1 49/16	I move [1] 128/15	18/16 20/18 22/14	139/4 140/1 140/24	31/2 31/3 31/19 33/10
69/19 69/23 70/15	I must [1] 18/4	22/19 23/15 28/24	146/19 159/7 166/24	33/18 35/10 36/2 38/3
71/6 74/16 76/13	I need [1] 89/12	29/5 31/3 31/24 33/13 34/15 36/22 41/14	174/21 175/17 175/20 182/6 183/24	45/4 45/5 48/23 49/11 54/20 58/23 59/24
76/15 83/6 84/25 88/6	I now [1] 24/19 I only [1] 103/10	41/24 42/6 42/13	I wasn't [10] 14/7	62/9 62/18 62/23
98/16 98/21 103/23	I outline [1] 42/6	43/12 44/12 49/12	15/11 58/19 72/15	76/15 83/11 88/9
103/24 113/13 113/22	l overcut [1] 136/6	50/22 51/5 52/3 54/23		89/10 90/5 91/6 93/18
119/2 119/5 126/12 135/25 137/10 138/2	I paid [1] 186/21		145/22 147/10 184/23	97/15 98/22 99/15
138/7 138/17 140/3	I please [1] 119/15		I will [4] 2/12 2/22	101/25 103/11 103/24
152/17 165/2 165/19	I prepared [1] 117/1	67/11 70/17 70/18	109/14 185/6	110/11 120/25 139/8
171/6 171/15 173/10	I probably [1] 171/7	70/19 70/21 72/23	I won't [1] 148/5	173/9 173/15
176/22	l put [3] 4/13 15/12 128/16	75/11 76/15 80/2 84/3 84/5 88/20 94/8 95/10		lan [13] 112/19 114/3 115/2 124/17 125/5
I expected [1] 135/13	I really [10] 14/23	95/16 96/12 96/18	I work [2] 55/11	138/7 141/1 150/11
I explained [2] 47/17	15/13 19/7 26/9 27/18	97/15 100/14 101/25	55/12	173/1 175/8 175/18
63/4	31/18 32/3 32/11 36/8	102/3 102/12 105/25	I worked [3] 57/15	175/21 176/4
I first [1] 127/8 I for [1] 129/20	184/17	106/10 110/22 112/9	57/16 57/17	lan's [1] 121/14
I forget [2] 110/25	I recall [7] 1/7 1/17	114/2 114/13 116/6	I would [22] 10/10	idea [13] 14/10 34/19
	115/17 130/3 134/6	118/22 119/3 120/13	12/21 12/21 12/24	43/23 43/24 55/24

(61) I believe... - idea

idea [8] 63/5 94/4 98/7 inevitable [1] 78/10 insubordination [1] interving [2] 119/25 124/23 133/15 64/5 50/18 72/12 infer [1] 143/7 insufficient [1] insufficient [1] <t< th=""><th>I</th><th>includes [3] 77/16</th><th>98/7 110/11</th><th>136/20</th><th>63/18 94/18</th></t<>	I	includes [3] 77/16	98/7 110/11	136/20	63/18 94/18
114302 10337 10337 10347 10447 10447 10447 10447 10447 10447 10447 10447 10447 10447 10447 10447 10447 10447 <t< td=""><td>idea [9] 62/5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	idea [9] 62/5				
1967 19676 44/15 96/5 50/18 72/12 inforence [1] 68/6 insufficient [1] intimating [1] 123/13 1973 9 inclusion [2] 11/21 inclusion [2] 11/21 inform [2] 16/2 insufficient [1] insufficient	1000 1000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	including [7] 9/20			
1739 90/19 12/25 12/36 Instruct [1] 153/10 Instruct [1] 100/35 1/2011 1/201					intimating [1] 123/13
identical [2] 1/21 176/17 17					
176/17 12/17 11/2					
Internation [4] 29/1 Income [4] 29/1 Internation [4] 29/1 <thinternation 1<="" 29="" [4]="" th=""> <thinternation [4]<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thinternation></thinternation>					
01/20 01/20 1/39 78/11 79/1 78/11 79/1 91/9 91/12 97/9 42/20 43/2 43/2 43/2 43/2 43/2 43/2 43/2 43/2	identifies [4] 29/13				
Identity 16 10/2 174/10 28/21 29/23 30/16 179/7 1/9/19 110/21 11/10 117/18 72/13 22/0 3/22 4/25 30/1 30/19 30/13 3/1 integrity [G] 15/9 110/25 13/25 145/20 15/4 25/21 30/5 iet [G] 12/19 13/13 10/9/5 30/25 14/25 30/16 30/1 110/25 13/26 24/48/2 110/25 13/25 14/52 16/2 15/21 30/5 iet [G] 12/19 13/13 110/25 13/26 24/48/2 110/25 13/26 24/48/2 110/25 13/26 24/48/2 13/2 12/17 21 12/17 12/17 12/17 113/22 12/22 13/22 138/16 14/2 12/17 13/16 2/17 113/22 13/22/21 13/22 138/16 111/16 11/16 111/16 11/16 14/2 12/17 13/16 14/15 111/16 12/17 111/16 11/16 111/16 111/16 111/16 111/16 11/16 111/16 11/16 111					
42.0 a 32 435 632 incompetent [1] 30/19 30/21 33/1 insurers [1] 178/15 119/25 138/25 135/25 ie [5] 12/19 13/13 incomplete [2] 60/24 56/24 58/12 49/14 intergrity [5] 158/14 176/25 188/25 148/20 ie [6] 12/19 13/13 incomplete [2] 60/24 56/24 58/12 49/14 31/22 62/24 88/2 166/10 166/62 ie [6] 12/19 13/13 increase [1] 60/24 increase [1] 60/24 138/16 118/14 171/21 74/5 118/25 188/25 148/20 image [1] 21/17 20/3 increase [1] 60/24 increase [1] 60/24 124/5 128/6 158/10 188/16 187/16 18/01/118/14 image [1] 21/16 increasing [2] 98/20 166/16 18/11 136/18 186/16 186/16 186/16 imperention [1] 168/10 12/16 118/12 177/12 177/19 18/07 188/24 186/24 186/24 186/24 imperention [2] 11/16 increasing [2] 98/20 18/17 114/16 175/8 18/12/1 18/16 111/17 18/16 111/17 18/12 18/12/1 18/14 18/14 18/14 18/14 18/14 18/14 18/14 18/14 18/14 18/14 18/14 18/14 1					
1/2/13 109/5 3/22 24/125 49/14 Integrity [5] 15/9 16/25 168/63 170/11 15/4 25/21 30/5 16/25 168/24 40/13 3/72 82/4 480/13 172/2 82/4 80/13 16/25 168/63 170/11 13/6 25/21 30/5 16/25 168/24 40/13 13/72 82/4 480/1 13/72 82/4 480/1 177/2 174/5 174/10 30/5 increase [1] 60/24 113/22 123/25 1intendel [2] 157/13 introduce [1] 79/20 14/2 12/17 increase [1] 60/24 125/21 13/23 intends [1] 170/11 introduce [1] 79/20 14/2 121/7 13/8/16 158/17 171/8 172/8 intends [1] 170/11 introduce [1] 79/20 14/2 11/13 122/21 13/23 13/8/16 intreads [1] 41/21 introduce [1] 79/20 11/13 introduce [2] 60/16 158/17 171/18 17/28 intreads [1] 41/21 intrusion [1] 13/26 intrusion [1] 13/26 11/14 199/20 11/16 intreads [1] 41/2 intreads [1] 41/27					
bit 21 bit 22 bit 22<					
126/23 126/23<					
ie the i3] 12/19 13/13 30/5 if [211] increase [1] 60/24 if [211] increase [1] 60/24 introduce [1] 79/20 introduce [2] 80/70 introduce [2		126/23			
30/5 12/17					175/16 180/11 184/18
if [211] increase [1] 00/24 124/5 124/6 124/9 158/16 increase [1] 14/2 ignorance [2] 01/7 22/5 14/2 125/2 138/21 45/2 intends [1] 170/1 introduced [2] 00/76 image [1] 21/76 111/13 125/2 138/21 45/2 125/2 138/21 45/2 145/7 158/6 158/10 intention [8] 26/4 117/24 117/20 image [1] 21/76 111/13 126/2 138/21 77/18 126/21 27/17 18/27 117/18 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
ignorance [2] 10/1 10/14/2 10/14/2 10/14/2 image [2] 21/17 22/3 11/13 12/3/2 13/22 14/3/2 11/14/3 11/14/2 11/14/14 11/14/2 11/14/14 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
14/2 14/3 113/3 14/3 14/3 113/3 14/3 <	ignorance [2] 10/5				
Image [1] 21/11/2 11/1/3 11	14/2				
imagaing [1] 2775 incriminate [3] 1/15 177/12 177/19 180/7 32/2 36/9 55/18 intrusion [1] 164/10 imagaing [1] 176/22 incrimination [2] 2/10 2/2 2/10 intrusion [1] 142/2 intrusion [1] 142/2 imperative [1] 124/8 incrimination [2] 98/15 intrusion [2] 98/15 intrusion [1] 177/12 interact [1] 45/7 intrusion [1] 177/12 121/8 121/18 indefinitely [1] 112/16 114/24 116/6 interest [2] 48/23 53/4 110/6 173/18 implementation [2] 12/18 2/13 indefinitely [1] ink [3] 11/5 110/5 131/23 independence [6] implication [2] 80/10 81/8 81/14 independence [6] incerste [1] 137/22 130/15 53/4 110/6 130/12 129/22 30/20 130/15 29/17 91/15 116/23 input [2] 14/24 12/73 interest [1] 38/16 130/16 103/11 103/12 17/13 9/18 9/22 11/92 15/9 116/25 inquiries [1] 158/17 interest [2] 139/1 131/17 147/27 17/2 103/19 103/20 103/25 17/13 9/18 9/22 11/92 15/13 9/16 3/11 inquiries [1] 158/17 interest [2] 139/1 132/14 110/11 13/5/15 3/15 9/15/11 17/14 12/17 11/17 116/20 37/23 18/16 3/11 111/11 111/11 111/11 111/11	image [2] 21/17 22/3				
infing[inf] [1] 170/22 2/2 2/10 1/2<	imaged [1] 21/16				
Immediately [1] 211 incrimination [2] 214 ingredients [1] interact [1] investigate [3] 47/24 implement [1] 98/20 179/18 interaction [2] 171/18 182/11 171/18 182/11 171/18 182/11 171/18 182/11 171/18 182/11 171/18 182/11 171/18 182/11 171/18 182/11 171/18 182/11 171/18 182/11 171/18 182/11 171/18 182/11 171/18 182/11 171/18 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Impart [1] [1] [27] [1] <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
implement [1] incurious [2] 96/20 initial [3] 110/1					
136/18 96/20 112/16 114/24 116/2 Interactions [1] 52/12 53/1102/17 implementation [2] 106/18 112/14 117/18 140/22 141/18 Interest [2] 48/23 176/7 implication [1] 12/18 12/14 indemify [1] 17/8 14/6 176/7 176/7 implication [2] 18/20 110/5 131/23 184/2 183/19 110/5 110/5 131/23 implication [2] 18/17 independence [6] 110/5 131/23 184/2 127/7 177 130/9 14/14 14/20 52/15 129/22 179/13 independence [6] 100/18 18 81/14 108/19 108/19 65/10 66/9 66/23 68/3 102/16 103/11 103/12 129/22 179/13 independent[5] 100/18 10/22 14/2 14/8 144/9 69/17 77/1 75/7 75/25 103/19 103/20 103/25 104/18 10/24 105/13 115/9 116/25 115/9 116/25 115/9 116/25 115/9 116/25 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17 104/18 109/17				183/16	
implementation [2] indeed [3] 98/20 117/18 140/22 147/18 183/19 investigating [4] 121/8 121/8 1106/18 121/8 1106/18 121/8 53/4 53/4 53/4 53/4 10/6 176/7 121/8 121/8 121/8 110/5 111/18 144/6 interest [2] 48/2 110/6					
121/8 121/8 100/16 112/14 1144/6 110/15 100/14					
Intermetered [3] 182/13 Intand [3] 110/5 interested [2] 180/9 14/14 47/2 128/21 Implication [1] indemnify [1] 179/8 110/5 110/5 110/5 110/5 128/23 Implications [2] 129/22 179/13 80/10 81/8 110/14 129/22 130/15 129/22 131/10 120/12 103/15 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
41/16 4/1/ 60/22 indemnify [1] 179/8 110/5 131/23 180/9 14/14 14/20 52/15 181/20 implications [2] indemnify [1] 179/8 110/5 131/23 180/9 14/14 14/20 52/15 129/22 179/13 independence [6] independence [1] independence [2] 108/19 interference [1] 13/17 29/22 179/13 90/13 90/22 114/25 independent [5] inquiries [1] 158/17 interference [1] 13/17/11 103/12 179/2 90/13 90/22 114/25 indicate [2] 67/13 inquiries [1] 158/17 interference [1] 13/17/118 124/15 128/4 179/2 116/20 indicate [2] 67/13 37/12 38/16 38/19 114/17 119/71 19/9 17/518 128/4 170/12 indicate [2] 162/23 83/8 94/25 103/8 127/24 128/15 128/25 13/01 174/17 170/12 individual [23] 41/11 116/7 107/14 107/14 135/24 35/91 35/14 14/13 14/19 132/24 impossion [1] 168/7 individual [23] 41/11 116/14 119/22 122/2 135/2 13/91 investigators [2] 14/19 132/24 53/15 59/7 59/3 individual [21] 41/11 16/16 175/13 13/17 13/14 13/17 13/14 11/19 116/75 individual [21] 59/15	implemented [3]				
indication [1] independence [6] innocence [2] 103/12 181/20 independence [6] innocence [2] 103/17 interests [11] 39/8 53/1 59/9 59/1	41/16 47/7 60/22				
18/1/20 80/10 81/8 81/14 108/19 65/10 66/9 66/23 68/3 102/16 103/11 103/12 129/22 179/13 independent [5] 82/11 91/13 90/13 90/22 114/25 103/19 103/22 103/25 103/19 103/20 103/25 103/19 103/20 103/25 103/19 103/20 103/25 103/19 103/22 103/19 103/22 103/25 103/19 103/20 103/25 103/19 103/20 103/25 103/12 103/12 103/12 103/12 103/12 103/12 103/22 103/25 103/19 103/20 103/25 103/12 103/26 <t< td=""><td>implication [1]</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	implication [1]				
Implications [2] 82/11 91/15 116/23 input [2] 144/8 144/9 69/17 71/4 75/7 75/25 103/19 103/20 103/25 29/22 30/20 independent [5] 90/13 90/22 114/25 independent [5] 101/15<					
129/22 1/19/153 independent [5] INQ00001124 [1] 76/2 77/12 104/18 104/24 105/13 1mplies [3] 10/15 90/13 90/22 114/25 independent[5] Inquiries [1] 158/17 interfered [1] 137/22 117/18 124/15 128/4 179/2 115/9 116/25 independent[1] 115/9 116/25 inquiries [1] 158/17 interfered [1] 137/22 137/13 9/18 9/22 11/25 135/14 142/14 105/13 179/2 116/20 indicate [2] 67/13 37/21 38/16 38/19 114/17 119/9 155/13 136/18 169/4 169/17 7/13 9/18 9/22 11/12 147/11 116/20 37/21 38/16 38/19 119/11 121/24 122/1 156/18 169/4 169/17 14/15 130/4 168/20 indicated [2] 162/23 38/8 9/25 103/8 127/24 128/15 128/22 135/14 14/17 119/9 175/16 175/19 106/7 107/1 107/24 individual [23] 41/11 116/14 119/22 122/2 135/23 135/9 135/14 14/13 14/19 102/15 103/6 116/7 individual [23] 41/17 106/7 107/1 107/24 135/24 163/23 139/1 100/213 102/15 103/6 102/13 102/15 103/6 102/13 102/15 103/6 102/13 102/15 103/6 102/13 102/15 103/6 102/13 102/15 103/6 102/13 102/15 103/6 102/13 102/15 103/6 102/13 102/15 103/6 102/13 102/15 103/6 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
29/22 30/20 90/13 90/22 114/25 4/16 intervered [1] 15//2 117/91 12/24 1/15 12/04 importance [2] 15/8 115/9 116/25 induiries [1] 15//1 induiries [1] 15//1 induiries [1] 15//1 136/2 145/20 147/9 7/9/2 115/9 116/25 indicate [2] 67/13 3/13 20/4 36/20 37/14 intervered [1] 13/1/2 136/2 145/20 147/9 7/13 9/18 9/22 11/12 14/17 116/20 3/13 20/4 36/20 37/14 interverence [1] 129/19 135/10 135/24 147/11 147/11 37/2 138/16 38/19 114/17 119/7 119/9 175/16 175/19 175/16 175/19 3/15 13 00/4 168/20 indicated [2] 162/23 3/18 94/25 103/8 127/24 128/15 128/22 53/10 174/17 123/24 individual [23] 41/11 116/4 119/22 122/2 135/2 136/23 139/1 investigators [6] 123/24 imporsents [1] 168/7 138/16 156/8 158/4 140/13 139/17 140/9 102/13 102/15 103/6 51/19 59/2 59/7 59/13 167/6 175/13 instert [1] 138/25 instert [1] 138/25 interveta[1] 80/2 involve [1] 41/10 individuals [14] 41/3 insofar [8] 45/23 insterd [1] 80/2 interveta[1] 80/2 involve [1] 41/10 involve [1] 41/10 involve [1] 73/23 108/1				76/2 77/12	104/18 104/24 105/13
importance [2] 15/8 179/2 15/9 116/25 independently [1] 116/20 induffes [1] 15/8/17 interference [1] indujtres [1] 15/8/17 128/19 135/10 135/24 136/2 145/20 147/9 important [12] 4/12 17/13 9/18 9/22 11/12 15/14 19/1 20/24 34/7 34/15 130/4 168/20 indicate [2] 67/13 147/11 37/21 38/16 38/19 40/21 50/22 56/23 114/17 119/7 119/9 14/17 1107/24 136/2 145/20 147/9 136/21 4129/1 imposed [1] 148/13 impossible [2] 109/2 123/24 individual [23] 41/11 individual [23] 41/11 116/14 119/22 122/2 123/12 123/12 123/12 135/22 136/23 139/1 135/2 136/23 135/9 investigator [2] investigator [2] involve [1] 4/10 internally [1] 69/6 internalional [1] 80/2 intervest [1] 12/14 intervest [1] 12/14 intervest [1] 12/14 industrial [8] 43/24 involvement [1] 58/7 involvement [1] 12/1 industrial [8] 43/24 involvement [1] 58/7 involvement [1] 18/15 interviewed [3] 55/15 involvement [10] 3/8 67/10 83/20 88/3 involvement [10] 3/8 67/10 83/20 88/3 involvement [10] 3/8 67/10 83/20 18/15 involvement [10] 3/8 67/10 83/20 18/15 <td></td> <td>90/13 90/22 114/25</td> <td>4/18</td> <td>interfered [1] 137/22</td> <td>117/18 124/15 128/4</td>		90/13 90/22 114/25	4/18	interfered [1] 137/22	117/18 124/15 128/4
179/2 independently [1] indufy [32]					129/19 135/10 135/24
important [12] 4/12 7/13 9/18 9/22 11/12 15/14 19/1 20/24 34/7 34/15 130/4 168/20 147/11 116/20 indicate [2] 67/13 147/11 37/3 20/4 36/20 37/14 14/17 114/17 119/7 119/9 156/18 169/4 169/1 / 175/16 175/19 15/14 19/1 20/24 34/7 34/15 130/4 168/20 impossible [2] 109/2 123/24 indicated [2] 162/23 170/12 37/3 20/4 36/20 37/14 14/17 119/7 119/7 119/7 interrim [25] 114/16 14/17 119/7 119/7 interrim [25] 114/16 119/11 121/24 122/1 123/24 individual [23] 41/11 imposed [1] 148/13 imposements [1] 51/15 49/17 50/13 individual [23] 41/11 52/22 53/8 56/1 56/16 52/22 53/8 56/1 56/16 55/15 161/6 individual [23] 41/11 167/6 175/13 individual [23] 41/11 167/6 175/13 investigators [6] 138/16 156/8 158/4 167/5 incidence [1] 59/2 incidents [2] 97/4 137/2 individuals [14] 41/3 105/2 105/6 105/12 insisted [1] 182/10 individuals [14] 41/3 105/2 105/6 105/12 insisted [1] 182/10 insisted [1] 182/10 individuals [14] 41/3 105/2 105/6 105/12 insisted [1] 182/10 individuals [14] 41/3 105/8 105/15 181/2 interpretation [2] 157/20 158/12 interpreting [1] 111/6 111/19 111/25 137/2 included [7] 8/16 11/10 21/8 29/14 39/12 152/15 181/23 105/15 181/2 30/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 industry [3] 69/23 institute [1] 159/7 instructing [1] interviewed [3] 55/15 interviewed [3] 55/15 111/20 127/25 134/18					
7/3 9/18 9/22 11/12 15/14 19/1 20/24 34/7 34/15 130/4 168/20 imposed [1] 148/13 imposed [1] 148/13 imposed [2] 109/2 123/24 indicate [2] 16/73 17/12 37/21 38/16 38/16 indicate [3] 16/73 investigations [2] 139/12 12/24 128/12 123/24 170/12 106/7 107/1 107/24 119/11 121/24 122/1 investigators [6] 127/24 128/15 128/22 investigators [6] 14/13 14/19 123/24 170/12 106/7 107/1 107/24 135/3 135/9 135/14 14/13 14/19 123/24 116/14 119/22 122/2 135/3 135/9 135/14 14/13 14/19 123/24 116/7 116/7 139/13 139/17 140/9 102/13 102/15 103/6 121/19 51/16 54/15 57/7 138/16 156/8 158/4 140/13 140/21 141/19 102/13 102/15 103/6 167/5 166/6 177 86/3 105/2 105/6 105/12 18s/16 156/8 158/4 140/13 140/21 141/19 102/13 102/15 103/6 167/5 105/2 105/6 105/12 158/16 105/12 118istof 1] 182/2 1101/10 1105/2 105/6 105/12 108/14 108/20 167/5 111/1 21/24 12/14 41/3 105/8 105/15 181/2 105/8 105/15 181/2 108/14 108/20 157/20 158/12 108/22 100/14 167/5 111/10 21/8 29/14 19/51 181/2 105/8 105/15 181/2 105/10 10/12 158/16 60/21 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
15/14 19/1 20/24 34/7 indicated [2] 162/23 83/8 94/25 103/8 127/24 128/15 128/22 53/10 174/17 34/15 130/4 168/20 individual [23] 41/11 16/7 107/1 107/24 134/17 134/19 134/25 investigator [2] imposed [1] 148/13 individual [23] 41/11 116/14 119/22 122/2 135/3 135/9 135/14 14/13 14/19 123/24 47/15 47/16 49/2 122/3 122/8 123/12 135/2 136/23 139/1 investigators [6] 139/17 140/9 52/22 53/8 56/1 56/16 138/16 156/8 158/4 140/13 140/21 141/19 103/9 150/11 158/21 51/19 58/1 58/2 59/7 59/13 167/6 175/13 inguiry's [1] 109/16 internal [4] 4/24 60/2 investigators [6] 167/5 incidence [1] 59/2 163/17 86/3 inguiry's [1] 109/16 insert [1] 138/25 internal [4] 80/2 internetion [1] 80/2 167/5 incidents [2] 97/4 155/13 161/17 insistaf [1] 26/5 interry [1] 12/14 interpretion [2] 73/23 108/18 108/20 167/5 incidents [2] 97/4 59/6 63/21 64/22 68/7 58/9 58/16 60/21 instore [3] 45/23 157/20 158/12 108/24 108/25 110/18 102/13 172/22 incident [1] 89/14 instore [1] 100/20 instore [1] 100/20 intervemer [1] 156/11					
34/15 130/4 168/20 170/12 106/7 107/1 107/24 134/17 134/19 134/25 Investigator [2] imposed [1] 148/13 individual [23] 41/11 116/14 119/22 122/2 135/3 135/9 135/14 14/13 14/19 123/24 49/15 49/17 50/13 122/3 122/8 123/12 135/2 136/23 139/1 102/13 102/15 103/6 impression [1] 168/7 49/15 49/17 50/13 123/14 137/1 137/4 139/13 139/17 140/9 102/13 102/15 103/6 51/19 52/22 53/8 56/1 56/16 138/16 156/8 158/4 140/13 140/21 141/19 103/9 150/11 158/21 incidence [1] 147/21 105/2 105/6 105/12 inset [1] 138/25 internal [4] 4/24 60/2 invete [1] 4/10 incidence [1] 59/2 incidents [2] 97/4 155/13 161/17 insiste [1] 182/10 inset [1] 182/10 internally [1] 69/6 interpretation [2] 73/23 108/18 108/20 incidents [2] 97/4 137/2 54/16 53/15 98/3 53/16 70/7 76/7 85/4 110/10 intervet [1] 156/11 111/6 111/19 111/25 112/1 138/9 140/8 include [7] 8/16 11/10 21/8 29/14 83/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 instigation [2] 150/10 150/12 161/16 111/20 127/25 134/18 interviewed [3] 55/15 176/7 180/25 184/15 150/10 150/12 161/16 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
imposed [1] 146/13 impossible [2] 109/2 123/24 individual [23] 41/11 47/15 47/16 49/2 49/15 49/17 50/13 improvements [1] 51/19 inability [1] 47/21 inability [1] 47/21 incidence [1] 59/2 incidence [1] 89/14 incerve [1] 80/2 incident [2] 97/4 137/2 include [7] 8/16 11/10 21/8 29/14 39/12 152/15 181/23 individual [23] 41/11 150/10 150/12 insistel [1] 102/13 122/2 insistel [1] 122/14 instance [1] 100/20 instigation [2] instigation [2] instructing [1] instructing [1] interve [3] 55/15 14/13 14/19 investigators [6] investigators [6] investigators [6] investigators [6] investigators [6] interve [7] 43/20 44/8 47/5 53/7 58/3 59/9 59/22 interviewed [3] 55/15 14/13 14/19 investigators [6] investigators [6] investigators [6] investigators [6] investigators [6] investigators [6] inverve [7] 43/20 44/8 47/5 53/7 58/3 59/9 59/22 interviewed [3] 55/15 14/13 14/19 investigators [6] investigators [6] investigators [6] investigators [6] investigators [6] inverve [7] 43/20 44/8 47/5 53/7 58/3 involvement [10] 3/8 67/10 83/20 88/3 interviewed [3] 55/15					
impossible [2] 109/2 47/15 47/16 49/2 122/3 122/8 123/12 135/22 136/23 139/1 investigators [6] 123/24 49/15 49/17 50/13 122/3 122/8 123/12 135/22 136/23 139/1 102/13 102/15 103/6 improvements [1] 51/19 52/22 53/8 56/1 56/16 138/16 156/8 158/4 140/13 140/21 141/19 103/9 150/11 158/21 inability [1] 47/21 59/16 63/17 86/3 105/2 105/6 105/12 insett [1] 138/25 internal [4] 4/24 60/2 involve [1] 4/10 incidence [1] 59/2 105/2 105/6 105/12 insist [1] 26/5 insist [1] 26/5 interpret [1] 12/14 45/25 57/7 58/6 58/21 incidents [2] 97/4 53/16 54/15 57/19 53/6 63/21 64/22 68/7 63/16 70/7 76/7 85/4 interpret [1] 12/14 62/5 68/10 71/7 73/13 137/2 59/6 63/21 64/22 68/7 63/16 70/7 76/7 85/4 interpreting [1] 111/6 111/19 111/25 137/2 105/8 105/15 181/2 instigation [2] 105/8 105/15 181/2 instigation [2] 110/20 11/0 21/8 29/14 39/12 152/15 181/23 83/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 105/10 150/12 instigation [2] 111/20 127/25 134/18 39/12 152/15 181/23 industry [3] 69/23 instructing [1] 159/7 59/9 59/22 111/20 1					
123/24 49/15 49/17 50/13 123/14 137/1 137/4 139/13 139/17 140/9 102/13 102/15 103/6 improvements [1] 52/22 53/8 56/1 56/16 138/16 156/8 158/4 140/13 140/21 141/19 103/9 150/11 158/21 51/19 51/19 59/16 63/17 86/3 167/6 175/13 167/6 175/13 140/13 140/21 141/19 103/9 150/11 158/21 inability [1] 47/21 105/2 105/6 105/12 163/17 86/3 167/6 175/13 1109/16 1101/7 105/2 105/6 105/12 1105/2 105/6 105/12 1105/2 105/6 105/12 1101/17 1011 158/21 10111 158/21 10111 158/21 1011 158/21 10111 158/21 10111 158/21 10111 158/21 10111 158/21 10111 158/21 10111 158/21 10111 158/21 10111 158/21 10111 158/21 10111 158/					
improvements [1] 52/22 53/8 56/1 56/16 138/16 156/8 158/4 140/13 140/21 141/19 103/9 150/11 158/21 51/19 inability [1] 47/21 58/1 58/2 59/7 59/13 167/6 175/13 internal [4] 4/24 60/2 internal [4] 4/24 60/2 invited [1] 124/24 inaccurate [2] 167/3 105/2 105/6 105/12 insert [1] 138/25 internally [1] 69/6 international [1] 80/2 62/5 68/10 71/7 73/13 incidence [1] 59/2 individuals [14] 41/3 individuals [14] 41/3 insiste [1] 182/10 insiste [1] 182/10 interpret [1] 12/14 62/5 68/10 71/7 73/13 incidents [2] 97/4 59/6 63/21 64/22 68/7 58/9 58/16 60/21 insolvency [2] 110/9 157/20 158/12 108/24 108/25 110/18 102/13 172/22 include [4] 9/5 11/2 105/8 105/15 181/2 instiggation [2] 110/10 intervents [1] 18/15 1140/22 140/25 175/15 include [7] 8/16 11/10 21/8 29/14 83/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 instiggation [2] instiggation [2] interviewe [3] 55/15 67/10 83/20 88/3 39/12 152/15 181/23 83/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 institute [1] 159/7 institute [1] 159/7 interviewed [3] 55/15 111/20 127/25 134/18 11/20 127/25 184/15 176/7 180/25 184/15 176/7 180/25 184/15 111/20 127/25 134					
51/19 58/1 58/2 59/7 59/13 167/6 17/5/13 Internal [4] 4/24 60/2 Invited [1] 124/24 inability [1] 47/21 59/16 63/17 86/3 Inquiry's [1] 109/16 83/17 145/20 involve [1] 4/10 inability [1] 47/21 105/2 105/6 105/12 insert [1] 138/25 insist [1] 26/5 83/17 145/20 involve [1] 4/10 incidence [1] 59/2 105/13 161/17 individual's [1] 59/20 insisted [1] 182/10 internal [4] 4/24 60/2 involve [1] 4/10 incidence [1] 59/2 individual's [1] 59/20 insisted [1] 182/10 internati [4] 4/24 60/2 involve [1] 4/24 incidence [1] 59/2 individual's [1] 59/20 insisted [1] 182/10 interpret [1] 12/14 45/25 57/7 58/6 58/21 62/5 68/10 71/7 73/13 73/23 108/18 108/20 108/24 108/25 110/18 108/24 108/25 110/18 108/24 108/25 110/18 108/24 108/25 110/18 108/24 108/25 110/18 111/6 111/19 111/25 108/24 108/25 110/18 112/1 138/9 140/8 110/10 110/10 110/10 140/22 140/25 175/15 140/22 140/25 175/15 140/					103/9 150/11 158/21
inability [1] 47/21 inaccurate [2] 167/3 167/5 59/16 63/17 86/3 105/2 105/6 105/12 155/13 161/17 inquiry's [1] 109/16 insert [1] 138/25 insistel [1] 26/5 83/17 145/20 internally [1] 69/6 internally [1] 69/6 internally [1] 69/6 internally [1] 80/2 involve [1] 4/10 involved [25] 19/1 167/5 inch [1] 124/2 incidence [1] 59/2 incidents [2] 97/4 137/2 individuals [14] 41/3 51/16 54/15 57/19 insistel [1] 182/10 individuals [14] 41/3 51/16 54/15 57/19 insistel [1] 182/10 insistel [1] 182/10 interpret [1] 12/14 interpretation [2] 62/5 68/10 71/7 73/13 73/23 108/18 108/20 137/2 102/13 172/22 included [7] 8/16 11/10 21/8 29/14 39/12 152/15 181/23 105/8 105/15 181/2 industrial [8] 43/24 83/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 industry [3] 69/23 instigation [2] 150/10 150/12 101/10 interviewe [7] 43/20 44/8 47/5 53/7 58/3 involve [1] 4/10 internally [1] 69/6 internally [1] 69/6 internally [1] 69/6 interpretation [2] 111/0 21/8 29/14 39/12 152/15 181/23 s3/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 industry [3] 69/23 institute [1] 159/7 instructing [1] interviewed [3] 55/15 interviewed [3] 55/15					
inaccurate [2] 167/3 167/5 105/2 105/6 105/12 155/13 161/17 insert [1] 136/25 insist [1] 26/5 internally [1] 69/6 international [1] 80/2 involved [25] 19/1 45/25 57/7 58/6 58/21 inch [1] 124/2 incidence [1] 59/2 incident [1] 43/10 incidents [2] 97/4 137/2 individuals [14] 41/3 51/16 54/15 57/19 insisted [1] 182/10 individuals [14] 41/3 51/16 54/15 57/19 insisted [1] 182/10 individuals [14] 41/3 51/16 54/15 57/19 insisted [1] 182/10 individuals [14] 41/3 51/16 54/15 57/19 insisted [1] 182/10 insisted [1] 182/10 international [1] 80/2 interpret [1] 12/14 62/5 68/10 71/7 73/13 73/23 108/18 108/20 include [4] 9/5 11/2 102/13 172/22 59/6 63/21 64/22 68/7 91/24 93/15 98/3 63/16 70/7 76/7 85/4 105/8 105/15 181/2 instolvency [2] 110/9 110/10 interrupt [1] 156/11 111/6 111/19 111/25 161/16 included [7] 8/16 11/10 21/8 29/14 39/12 152/15 181/23 83/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 industry [3] 69/23 instigation [2] 105/10 150/12 interview [7] 43/20 44/8 47/5 53/7 58/3 involvement [10] 3/8 67/10 83/20 88/3 67/10 83/20 88/3 111/20 127/25 134/18 111/20 127/25 134/18 111/20 127/25 134/18					
167/5 inclose [1] 124/2 individual's [1] 59/2 individual's [1] 59/2 insiste [1] 182/10 international [1] 80/2 45/25 57/7 58/6 58/21 incidence [1] 59/2 individuals [14] 41/3 insiste [1] 182/10 international [1] 80/2 45/25 57/7 58/6 58/21 incidence [1] 59/2 individuals [14] 41/3 51/16 54/15 57/19 58/9 58/16 60/21 international [1] 12/14 62/5 68/10 71/7 73/13 incidents [2] 97/4 59/6 63/21 64/22 68/7 58/9 58/16 60/21 58/9 58/16 60/21 157/20 158/12 108/24 108/25 110/18 include [4] 9/5 11/2 59/6 63/21 64/22 68/7 63/16 70/7 76/7 85/4 interpreting [1] 111/6 111/10 11/25 included [7] 8/16 105/8 105/15 181/2 instance [1] 100/20 intervenes [1] 18/15 140/22 140/25 175/15 included [7] 8/16 11/10 21/8 29/14 82/23 82/23 83/5 150/10 150/12 instigation [2] interview [7] 43/20 44/8 47/5 53/7 58/3 67/10 83/20 88/3 39/12 152/15 181/23 industry [3] 69/23 instructing [1] interviewed [3] 55/15 176/7 180/25 184/15	inaccurate [2] 167/3				
incidence [1] 59/2 individuals [14] 41/3 insofar [8] 45/23 interpretation [2] 73/23 108/18 108/20 incidents [1] 43/10 51/16 54/15 57/19 58/9 58/16 60/21 157/20 158/12 108/24 108/25 110/18 incidents [2] 97/4 91/24 93/15 98/3 63/16 70/7 76/7 85/4 interpreting [1] 111/6 111/19 111/25 include [4] 9/5 11/2 105/8 105/15 181/2 instance [1] 100/20 intervenes [1] 18/15 140/22 140/25 175/15 included [7] 8/16 11/10 21/8 29/14 82/23 82/23 83/5 instigation [2] institute [1] 159/7 108/24 108/25 110/18 39/12 152/15 181/23 industry [3] 69/23 Institute [1] 159/7 interviewed [3] 55/15 111/20 127/25 134/18					
Incidence [1] 59/2 incident [1] 43/10 incidents [2] 97/4 137/2 51/16 54/15 57/19 59/6 63/21 64/22 68/7 91/24 93/15 98/3 58/9 58/16 60/21 63/16 70/7 76/7 85/4 91/24 93/15 98/3 157/20 158/12 103/16 70/7 76/7 85/4 insolvency [2] 110/9 108/24 108/25 110/18 111/6 111/19 111/25 161/16 include [4] 9/5 11/2 102/13 172/22 included [7] 8/16 11/10 21/8 29/14 39/12 152/15 181/23 51/16 54/15 57/19 59/6 63/21 64/22 68/7 105/8 105/15 181/2 58/9 58/16 60/21 63/16 70/7 76/7 85/4 insolvency [2] 110/9 157/20 158/12 interview [1] 161/16 108/24 108/25 110/18 111/6 111/19 111/25 112/1 138/9 140/8 include [4] 9/5 11/2 102/13 172/22 included [7] 8/16 11/10 21/8 29/14 39/12 152/15 181/23 51/16 54/15 181/2 industrial [8] 43/24 82/23 82/23 83/5 83/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 industry [3] 69/23 58/9 58/16 60/21 63/16 70/7 76/7 85/4 instigation [2] 150/10 150/12 Institute [1] 159/7 instructing [1] 157/20 158/12 161/16 108/24 108/25 110/18 111/6 111/19 111/25 112/1 138/9 140/8 140/22 140/25 175/15 184/23	inch [1] 124/2				
Incident [1] 43/10 59/6 63/21 64/22 68/7 63/16 70/7 76/7 85/4 interpreting [1] 111/6 111/19 111/25 137/2 91/24 93/15 98/3 105/8 105/15 181/2 insolvency [2] 110/9 161/16 112/1 138/9 140/8 102/13 172/22 101/8 105/15 181/2 instance [1] 100/20 intervenes [1] 18/15 140/22 140/25 175/15 102/13 172/22 indulgence [1] 89/14 instance [1] 100/20 instigation [2] interview [7] 43/20 184/23 11/10 21/8 29/14 83/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 Institute [1] 159/7 150/10 150/12 67/10 83/20 88/3 111/20 127/25 134/18 39/12 152/15 181/23 industry [3] 69/23 69/23 Instructing [1] 176/7 180/25 184/15	incidence [1] 59/2				
Incidents [2] 97/4 137/2 91/24 93/15 98/3 insolvency [2] 110/9 161/16 112/1 138/9 140/8 include [4] 9/5 11/2 102/13 172/22 91/24 93/15 98/3 insolvency [2] 110/9 161/16 140/22 140/25 175/15 included [7] 8/16 11/10 21/8 29/14 83/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 institute [1] 150/12 institute [1] 159/7 institute [1] 159/7 111/20 127/25 134/18 39/12 152/15 181/23 industry [3] 69/23 69/23 instructing [1] instructing [1] interviewed [3] 55/15 176/7 180/25 184/15	incident [1] 43/10				
137/2 105/8 105/15 181/2 110/10 interrupt [1] 156/11 140/22 140/25 175/15 102/13 172/22 indulgence [1] 89/14 instance [1] 100/20 instervenes [1] 18/15 184/23 included [7] 8/16 11/10 21/8 29/14 82/23 82/23 83/5 instigation [2] institute [1] 159/12 150/10 150/12 167/10 83/20 88/3 39/12 152/15 181/23 83/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 Institute [1] 159/7 instructing [1] interviewed [3] 55/15 11/20 127/25 134/18					
include [4] 9/3 11/2 indulgence [1] 89/14 instance [1] 100/20 intervenes [1] 18/15 184/23 102/13 172/22 industrial [8] 43/24 instigation [2] interview [7] 43/20 involvement [10] 3/8 11/10 21/8 29/14 82/23 82/23 83/5 150/10 150/12 150/10 150/12 67/10 83/20 88/3 39/12 152/15 181/23 83/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 Institute [1] 159/7 instructing [1] 111/20 127/25 134/18					140/22 140/25 175/15
included [7] 8/16 11/10 21/8 29/14 39/12 152/15 181/23 industrial [8] 43/24 instigation [2] 150/10 150/10 150/12 150/10 150/12 150/10 59/9 150/10 150/12 instructing [1] 11/20 11/20 127/25 11/20 130/25 11/20 127/25 11/20 127/25 11/20 127/25 11/20 127/25 11/20 127/25 11/20 127/25 11/20 127/25 11/20 127/25 11/20 127/25 12/20 130/25 12/20 130/25 12/20 14/20 12/20 12/25 12/20 12/25 12/20 12/25 12/20 12/25 12/20 12/25 12/20 12/25 13/20 12/25 13/20 12/25				intervenes [1] 18/15	
11/10 21/8 29/14 82/23 82/23 83/5 150/10 150/12 44/8 47/5 53/7 58/3 67/10 83/20 88/3 39/12 152/15 181/23 83/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 Institute [1] 159/7 59/9 59/22 111/20 127/25 134/18 industry [3] 69/23 69/23 Instructing [1] interviewed [3] 55/15 176/7 180/25 184/15					
39/12 152/15 181/23 83/25 93/3 93/5 93/9 Institute [1] 159/7 59/9 59/22 111/20 127/25 134/18 industry [3] 69/23 instructing [1] interviewed [3] 55/15 176/7 180/25 184/15					
		maustry [3] 09/23	instructing [1]	interviewea [3] 55/15	1/0// 100/20 104/15

(62) idea... - involvement

	111/25 117/5 117/0	loromiah [2] 100/17	17/15 17/20 18/16	132/17 139/9 159/7
<u> </u>	111/25 117/5 117/9 118/12 118/16 120/13	Jeremiah [2] 108/17 186/16	18/21 19/6 20/2 20/14	
involves [2] 144/19	121/3 121/24 124/23	JFSA [33] 86/3 86/15	21/6 21/23 22/2 22/7	10/3 12/1 12/12 14/4
160/25	126/11 126/13 129/13	113/1 113/2 113/10	22/13 23/20 23/24	14/14 14/24 15/13
involving [1] 97/2	131/7 131/21 132/10	113/16 113/21 113/23		15/17 17/8 17/15 18/2
Ireland [2] 138/3	135/20 136/23 140/7	114/12 116/25 122/24		18/22 19/25 21/5 27/4
172/23	141/19 147/16 148/18	123/1 123/5 123/17	31/7 31/12 31/18	27/17 28/2 28/14
Iron [2] 154/23 155/4				
is [406]	151/3 153/4 153/5	123/19 124/12 133/5	31/22 31/25 32/3 32/11 32/13 32/13	28/16 29/3 30/13
isn't [10] 12/2 16/16	153/5 154/16 156/9	136/17 141/23 144/8		30/16 31/3 31/18
24/6 33/24 54/5 64/1	156/13 157/10 157/17	146/22 148/10 149/7	32/19 32/20 32/23	31/18 31/22 32/11
83/21 87/12 95/15	158/13 160/2 166/20	155/12 161/13 162/8	33/6 33/9 33/18 34/19	37/13 43/9 44/15 45/4
170/25		162/12 165/5 165/18	38/4 41/6 41/24 42/11	50/2 54/3 65/13 71/6
isolated [1] 43/10	167/19 168/16 170/10	180/14 186/5 186/23	46/23 48/3 50/16	73/12 74/13 74/16
issue [34] 2/18 2/20	171/21 174/25 176/2	186/25	50/24 54/23 55/22	76/9 76/15 77/10
3/7 3/12 3/14 7/21	176/4 178/17 179/10	Jo [18] 107/11	59/1 63/6 64/16 67/19	79/25 88/6 90/1 96/2
19/11 27/2 31/23 42/3	184/8 184/19 185/15	108/19 108/20 111/8	73/9 73/15 74/13 82/8	98/17 98/21 99/13
42/12 42/12 43/8	185/17	111/12 111/17 112/7	82/8 83/11 85/4 87/4	99/14 101/16 113/22
43/10 44/9 51/12	italics [2] 7/2 7/14	124/1 130/19 130/22	88/13 90/5 95/6 99/1	113/23 115/21 120/17
54/17 74/5 74/7 81/21	item [2] 173/25	170/7 170/8 170/12	99/2 99/15 100/10	125/10 132/9 134/5
82/7 83/10 83/19	174/25	170/16 170/17 170/23		134/17 138/2 140/4
85/23 88/3 88/7 98/19	item 5 [1] 173/25	171/6 171/8	103/6 111/17 112/25	146/22 147/4 155/14
127/5 138/21 148/23	items [1] 151/8	Jo's [4] 111/10	117/3 122/11 123/10	168/6 168/8 170/14
154/2 154/22 159/24	its [26] 48/24 53/2	131/14 132/1 135/7	131/2 133/6 135/3	172/25 173/13 174/17
172/11	53/9 53/18 53/18	job [17] 32/3 47/11	136/14 137/16 138/4	184/24 186/5 187/18
issued [3] 85/13	54/25 62/18 69/2 69/4		138/9 138/18 144/2	knowing [2] 10/5
139/24 158/19	75/6 77/11 81/14	48/25 51/25 55/19	147/9 147/12 148/20	14/2
issues [51] 34/3	82/11 85/6 96/15 97/8	56/2 70/20 76/16	150/4 150/25 153/8	knowledge [18]
34/17 34/18 38/20	104/15 111/7 126/16	82/18 93/12 103/13	153/17 154/7 156/14	38/13 43/1 44/4 45/7
38/23 38/24 40/22	127/9 140/10 142/12	115/5 140/14 147/10	163/6 168/3 172/10	45/15 48/20 48/21
44/10 46/5 46/6 49/19	152/8 153/15 156/19	jobs [6] 53/14 53/24	176/18 179/6 180/7	57/1 60/12 66/16
49/22 55/6 63/9 63/15	180/21	54/4 54/9 54/17	182/3 184/5 184/20	78/23 107/22 128/11
65/22 70/10 74/6	Itself [7] 34/12 / //4	169/23	185/12 186/2 187/18	130/19 138/10 147/18
76/20 82/14 82/23	94/2 97/11 124/16	Johnson [1] 183/25	justice [10] 90/9	159/14 159/18
82/23 83/4 84/1 92/13	127/24 142/10	join [1] 166/4	90/10 103/16 108/7	knowledge/recollecti
92/18 94/4 96/24 97/9		joined [5] 66/6 68/8	118/14 126/1 126/3	on [1] 66/16
97/11 97/19 97/23	_	110/4 165/15 166/4	144/1 181/19 183/13	known [9] 2/3 6/23
97/24 98/6 98/24	<u>J</u>	joining [2] 98/13	justification [1] 32/9	61/18 72/6 96/6 110/8
	JA [1] 170/7	186/5		136/25 144/3 178/17
99/18 99/23 100/3	James [11] 112/17	Joint [1] 110/9	<u>K</u>	
101/21 111/23 122/4	112/18 114/12 121/13	journalists [1] 82/6	Kay [9] 106/19	<u>L</u>
	124/19 127/2 135/6	judge [2] 159/3	106/22 106/25 124/24	lack [1] 85/18
141/9 152/12 152/14 152/24 159/11 160/18	135/8 140/12 141/2	182/16	129/8 130/13 170/11	ladder [2] 99/15
	170/8	judgment [7] 90/7	170/12 188/14	100/5
161/11	Jane [1] 177/24	90/7 90/9 90/11	Kay's [2] 168/19	laid [1] 83/22
issues' [2] 152/5	Janet [2] 124/17	181/20 182/18 182/23		land [1] 186/12
162/5	124/18	Julian [2] 141/22	Kearns [13] 37/8	language [1] 182/17
issuing [2] 139/1	January [10] 124/17	142/1	37/11 37/13 37/16	large [4] 52/13 90/2
183/24	125/3 125/24 148/8	July [14] 72/7 114/2	48/2 84/17 89/17	98/23 102/5
it [474]	148/18 151/24 153/21	114/17 116/16 117/9	89/21 95/2 95/6 99/2	large-scale [1] 102/5
it's [101] 1/19 4/8	153/22 174/23 174/23		106/6 188/6	largely [2] 49/23
4/12 4/17 4/20 5/3 5/5	Jenkins [25] 4/5 6/25		keep [3] 15/25 64/18	100/17
8/22 8/23 8/23 15/2	7/19 9/3 15/24 17/18	128/21 134/17 145/11		last [36] 1/13 3/16
21/16 22/19 26/15	17/25 18/9 19/12	July 2012 [1] 122/14	keeping [3] 42/15	3/22 4/3 4/9 4/12 4/15
27/4 27/25 30/7 30/20	19/21 20/21 21/7	July 2013 [1] 128/21	74/1 122/13	9/5 10/21 19/10 19/14
31/3 31/12 32/13	26/11 26/18 28/6	jump [1] 174/22	Keith [1] 13/16	19/19 19/24 20/7
32/20 34/1 34/15 35/6	28/12 29/4 35/20	June [11] 1/1 71/11	kept [1] 123/24	20/12 21/11 23/17
35/6 38/3 44/13 47/13	128/13 139/14 139/15		key [2] 70/9 86/3	25/15 26/22 27/3 32/4
49/5 49/19 50/24 51/5	144/3 145/12 145/16	118/23 128/20 129/1	kind [4] 15/15 104/7	32/19 40/9 40/20
56/3 57/18 58/17	155/20	129/12 160/2 163/4	150/14 182/11	41/14 43/12 43/13
60/19 61/3 64/2 67/17	Jenkins' [12] 3/8	just [122] 4/19 8/21	kindly [1] 22/25	50/22 56/24 72/9
69/23 78/24 81/21	7/22 8/15 16/25 19/17	8/22 9/1 10/5 10/11	Kineton [1] 186/8	95/11 102/10 129/6
91/18 91/22 94/9		13/24 13/25 14/1 14/2		132/4 184/4 184/5
	20/16 24/13 24/21			
97/23 97/25 100/14	20/16 24/13 24/21		knew [9] 20/1 28/9	
97/23 97/25 100/14 100/15 103/24 107/14	27/6 28/21 28/25	14/4 14/11 14/12	knew [9] 20/1 28/9	lastly [1] 33/5
	27/6 28/21 28/25		knew [9] 20/1 28/9 28/19 64/6 64/13 65/2	lastly [1] 33/5
	27/6 28/21 28/25	14/4 14/11 14/12	knew [9] 20/1 28/9	lastly [1] 33/5

(63) involves - late

L	154/3 177/24	74/14 98/14	167/15 172/10 172/13	164/17 164/17 165/1
late [2] 109/25	letters [4] 57/2	listed [1] 77/20	173/3 173/21 178/3	MacLeod [1] 177/24
181/23	123/12 183/17 183/22		179/10 181/4	made [49] 3/8 4/4
later [6] 6/12 40/16	level [29] 42/4 42/14	168/22	looked [11] 7/4 9/15	22/18 22/25 23/1 23/2
72/5 111/25 148/5	43/8 43/14 43/15	listing [1] 179/25	21/11 21/15 21/23	23/5 23/15 23/18
151/21	43/15 44/11 44/14	literally [2] 185/9	22/4 44/13 115/3	23/21 24/5 24/6 24/8
latter [1] 165/9	44/19 44/24 44/24	185/14	148/3 149/21 171/25	28/25 29/1 30/17
latterly [1] 110/16	54/16 55/7 55/8 55/23		looking [12] 13/25	30/19 40/21 43/12 54/15 56/11 58/1
law [2] 1/23 11/10	61/24 62/3 62/7 62/24 64/5 64/10 64/11	90/20 108/11 123/22	23/4 85/3 97/8 114/22 116/10 118/4 120/17	62/16 64/20 67/8
lawyer [6] 1/25	64/17 82/19 96/8	124/4 150/19 176/13	126/3 129/15 134/19	80/22 94/7 97/15
124/10 150/8 150/19	99/19 99/19 99/19	177/6 177/7 177/9	170/12	97/16 111/17 128/9
177/15 182/24	115/22	177/17 178/1 178/11	looks [10] 15/5 27/17	137/21 138/14 139/10
lawyers [4] 2/8 145/6	levels [2] 42/24 50/9		27/19 28/4 31/2 33/23	
178/10 178/12	liability [1] 131/12	178/22 179/5 179/8	36/8 36/9 62/19 62/19	
lay [1] 53/6	lies [2] 20/5 98/23	179/18 180/5 180/17	loop [1] 126/18	167/18 167/25 169/16
layers [2] 101/12	life [1] 22/15	181/9 181/16 181/18	Lord [5] 90/10 114/4	170/5 179/9 182/10
132/16	light [4] 65/19 108/7	181/22 181/25 182/2	116/13 117/10 124/18	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
lead [5] 14/5 98/8	138/22 145/3	184/1	Lord Arbuthnot [4]	Mail [11] 65/22 84/1
168/6 168/17 170/5 leadership [2] 40/4	like [41] 4/21 6/10	little [6] 6/22 13/25	114/4 116/13 117/10	91/20 93/3 93/8 154/3
83/20	10/10 12/21 14/6	30/16 67/24 81/3	124/18	154/22 154/23 155/3
learn [2] 134/7	14/22 22/7 40/8 46/11	130/10	Lord Justice [1]	155/4 155/5
140/17	52/13 62/19 62/19	live [4] 36/17 40/16	90/10	main [13] 8/3 9/10
least [10] 84/21 86/5	62/25 63/7 65/7 66/22	40/22 139/10	lose [2] 179/11	16/12 25/6 25/7 25/10
86/23 94/17 100/16	69/22 73/4 81/21	Liverpool [2] 57/17	179/15	25/13 129/17 132/6
117/24 167/12 168/21	82/21 84/4 91/22	57/18	losing [3] 53/14 54/8	134/7 159/13 159/13
172/16 180/22	92/16 93/10 98/24	LLP [1] 110/25	54/16	172/21
leave [3] 5/22 31/8	108/2 108/4 108/12 108/15 113/15 124/15	local [32] 41/3 41/25 42/20 42/25 43/9	loss [8] 43/6 47/19 53/8 59/7 59/11 161/5	maintain [1] 15/8
165/5	126/17 132/4 143/16	43/14 43/21 44/4 44/8		93/4
led [8] 73/9 113/12	159/9 165/4 172/22	44/11 44/14 44/15	losses [30] 17/13	majority [1] 158/23
130/17 131/4 135/8	182/21 185/3 186/19	44/18 49/20 54/14	27/13 29/8 31/10 42/7	make [33] 2/5 9/5
140/11 141/4 164/7	187/18	54/16 55/7 55/16	42/8 42/23 43/17 44/7	
Lee [4] 179/10	likelihood [1] 71/25	55/20 55/23 57/16	47/5 47/9 47/12 47/20	
184/12 184/15 184/17	likely [5] 79/6 102/10		47/23 47/25 49/24	54/24 58/9 58/16
left [15] 4/18 27/25	102/13 158/7 162/4	63/25 99/16 99/19	50/1 51/3 51/4 51/6	59/22 75/21 91/24
29/25 30/11 32/6 49/2 88/1 110/8 127/21	likes [1] 93/4	100/2 103/13 108/21	51/14 51/15 52/13	93/13 93/15 97/15
130/8 138/20 151/1	limit [2] 149/20	111/7	55/14 55/17 63/18	108/2 122/18 132/17
155/16 155/17 165/11	150/21	locally [1] 63/20	87/3 93/12 113/6	134/8 135/21 148/3
left-hand [1] 4/18	limitations [1] 153/16		133/7	151/18 152/14 156/25
legal [20] 2/3 2/17	limited [14] 39/9	London [1] 110/13	lost [3] 53/23 54/4	157/8 158/16 158/21
2/21 60/6 60/9 74/9	71/21 76/24 78/2 82/5		169/23	159/15 186/17
75/2 84/6 88/10 96/3	85/14 87/1 133/10	72/21 92/5 98/14	lot [11] 45/22 45/25	making [10] 19/11
96/14 96/15 106/4	139/19 149/1 153/14	103/24 135/19 168/13		44/12 48/4 49/5 49/8
132/13 142/13 159/10	161/9 172/21 181/23	long-term [1] 72/21	125/5 137/5 138/17	76/17 87/2 90/21
177/25 181/14 182/20	Limited's [2] 86/12 146/23	longer [3] 72/16 77/16 82/7	144/9 152/20 155/8	113/16 113/17
183/1	line [23] 5/5 13/21	longstanding [1]	lots [1] 186/13 lowest [1] 44/3	malicious [1] 109/5 man [1] 182/15
lend [1] 87/17	13/23 15/9 16/4 19/25		Lowther [6] 8/14	man [1] 182/15 manage [2] 147/7
length [1] 51/8	20/19 26/2 26/8 26/15		13/16 15/24 17/24	186/20
lengths [1] 152/7	29/6 31/2 33/14 33/16		21/7 22/2	managed [2] 142/23
lengthy [1] 126/11	33/19 33/20 33/21	15/22 16/21 22/13	LTB [3] 85/10 85/11	180/14
less [3] 50/7 78/18	33/22 59/23 98/14	24/11 25/4 33/5 33/6	85/12	management [6]
169/21	107/11 140/15 140/17			80/8 94/20 121/8
let [5] 21/5 64/16	lines [1] 187/19	85/1 98/19 109/15	111/11 182/8	121/18 132/14 132/15
88/18 99/2 131/18	linked [3] 10/8 10/13		lunchtime [1] 167/21	Manager [3] 3/17
let's [10] 22/11 27/2 42/12 54/17 63/16	173/17	117/5 117/24 119/15	Lyons [6] 118/22	3/19 3/23
114/2 117/5 129/3	Linnell [11] 106/20	121/24 124/15 127/24		managerial [1] 63/13
153/3 167/15	106/22 106/25 129/8	136/23 136/24 138/18	133/21 134/11	managers [6] 43/1
letter [12] 85/11	176/18 185/2 186/2	140/6 141/24 142/25	Μ	65/23 65/24 70/24
85/12 117/1 117/5	187/6 187/10 187/15			102/24 103/3
117/7 118/11 118/18	188/14	149/12 151/21 151/25	NOSA [7] 170/20 MOSA [7] 160/5	many [17] 54/3 57/12
121/13 127/2 127/3	Linnell's [1] 118/12	153/3 153/17 153/25	M054 [7] 160/5 161/23 163/6 164/6	63/17 95/21 95/21
	list [4] 32/10 74/11	156/13 159/24 163/3	101/20 100/0 104/0	108/8 108/23 108/23
				(64) late many

(64) late ... - many

D.A.	106/17 108/20 112/14	moot [4] ///10	72/1 76/23	147/24 147/25 148/1
M	118/11 126/17 131/13		memory [10] 18/23	148/5 148/8 148/17
many [9] 113/20	131/18 137/21 141/10		19/4 57/18 57/19	151/4 151/10 153/17
130/11 130/18 134/3	146/8 150/22 151/16	112/16 112/18 112/21		154/12 154/13 165/3
137/15 137/15 170/22 173/2 173/6	175/20 187/12	112/23 114/2 114/6	138/3 143/21 177/5	173/23 174/13 185/14
March [15] 5/6 7/3	me.' [1] 12/18	114/7 114/8 115/1	mention [2] 30/5	185/18
7/10 19/15 20/10	mean [25] 26/2 30/7	115/3 115/13 115/16	109/14	misbalance [3] 43/6
21/25 23/6 94/11 97/3	30/9 30/18 31/12	116/2 116/15 116/18	mentioned [10] 6/8	51/21 55/13
97/4 98/10 116/15	31/19 41/6 66/12	117/9 118/21 119/5	13/20 20/18 33/13	miscarriage [3]
145/13 151/24 151/25	72/23 85/23 87/13	119/9 119/14 120/8	93/17 110/22 123/17	108/7 126/3 144/1
March 2002 [3] 94/11	89/4 96/9 97/7 97/15 102/15 102/19 140/18	120/9 120/12 120/13	125/25 171/14 186/2	miscarriages [1] 126/1
97/4 98/10	150/7 152/17 155/14	124/24 128/17 128/20 128/25 129/17 132/6	merely [1] 141/17	misinterpretation [1]
margins [1] 150/21	159/17 160/23 165/9		merge [2] 73/1 82/22	161/8
Marine [1] 5/13	179/7	138/22 142/8 148/12	merger [3] 70/14	misremembering [1]
mark [6] 16/15 67/25	meaning [1] 78/10	151/5 151/13 151/23	74/20 74/22	161/1
100/12 100/16 100/25 101/6	meaningful [1] 81/7	154/6 154/10 166/17	merging [3] 71/4	misrepresentation
marked [3] 15/23	means [6] 75/3 77/20	166/20 166/21 167/10		[1] 171/10
15/24 19/17	146/3 157/9 168/6	167/18 167/20 167/25	mess [1] 186/18	missing [1] 17/3
marked-up [1] 15/23	170/8	169/1 170/4 170/16	met [14] 112/17	mistake [5] 30/3 30/7
material [6] 3/13 20/4	meant [5] 9/2 14/1		113/12 113/19 118/25	32/20 107/14 107/15
20/6 28/6 28/21 80/20	14/25 80/16 158/23	175/11 175/19	119/2 119/5 129/21	mistresses [2] 90/2
Matrix [1] 165/10	measure [2] 47/10 47/14	meetings [15] 44/18 99/20 113/18 125/4	142/6 142/7 142/16 153/23 184/18 186/11	186/16
matter [11] 2/1 5/4			186/25	[1] 58/14
5/16 52/6 100/15	mechanism [2] 79/10 114/22	159/24 165/3 165/9	methods [2] 103/15	Mm [1] 67/23
108/10 150/25 161/22	mechanisms [1]	172/10 183/19 186/5	103/16	model [2] 78/6
162/9 175/10 185/25	181/15	186/6 186/11	Michael [1] 138/15	141/20
matters [12] 39/25	media [2] 96/22	member [15] 40/10	mid [1] 5/1	moment [5] 7/4 20/6
40/6 44/2 46/1 49/14 93/25 94/24 101/13	98/18	45/16 45/17 52/17	mid-way [1] 5/1	21/15 27/2 184/5
109/16 110/18 175/5	mediate [8] 156/9	53/3 56/13 59/3 63/24		momentum [1] 96/19
175/14	159/20 159/25 160/14	68/14 70/11 71/12	182/8	money [21] 41/7
Matthews [1] 13/17	161/4 163/10 164/3	75/12 82/9 87/2 87/5	might [20] 1/15 2/10	59/17 109/10 113/5
may [51] 1/7 1/12	164/23	members [82] 39/9 39/21 46/13 46/21	27/25 29/6 50/14 51/12 52/3 53/22 97/1	131/13 133/2 133/6 133/24 133/25 134/3
5/24 9/5 9/11 9/19	mediated [2] 160/22 164/14	46/24 47/4 48/10	97/11 101/16 105/15	133/24 133/25 134/3
9/20 10/2 11/4 14/2	modiation [75] 85/10		115/12 126/21 131/16	
14/8 16/23 17/12 20/4	86/22 114/9 114/10	50/20 53/14 53/18	135/18 145/3 145/23	169/21 172/1 172/7
20/20 25/8 28/11	114/11 114/15 114/18			172/25 173/5
30/10 31/9 37/18 38/8 70/16 76/5 84/5 88/17	140/7 140/23 141/9	55/3 55/22 56/3 57/13		monies [1] 185/22
89/14 89/15 90/1 90/1	141/14 141/17 141/19		million [5] 78/15	monitor [1] 110/9
90/6 91/11 106/4	142/21 144/7 146/11	62/1 63/17 65/14	78/19 78/21 174/18	monitored [2] 42/13
107/5 114/20 123/15	147/16 147/19 147/22		184/1	54/6
125/25 126/7 126/13	148/16 150/6 152/7	69/5 69/6 69/12 69/13		monitoring [4] 64/9
126/15 129/6 131/19	155/22 155/24 156/2 156/10 156/11 156/21	70/8 70/25 75/21 79/1 86/23 87/12 91/18	15/12 27/19 27/21	64/12 64/15 110/9 month [3] 55/22
144/2 144/14 144/21	157/6 157/10 157/16	92/17 92/24 93/6	34/14 65/2 69/17	71/25 153/24
145/6 145/9 157/5	157/17 157/24 157/24		89/16 129/20 130/19	months [3] 1/18 6/6
157/23 158/8 161/1	158/2 158/9 158/14	95/17 95/19 95/21	152/20 179/23	175/8
184/5	158/18 158/24 159/12	96/15 97/2 97/9 97/12	mindful [1] 109/3	more [50] 4/25 9/1
maybe [6] 8/3 10/4 14/12 15/17 58/13	159/16 160/7 160/8	97/14 97/19 98/6	mindset [1] 133/16	13/15 13/18 15/14
95/17	160/12 160/16 160/20		Mine [1] 83/23	20/16 20/23 22/9
me [53] 2/5 2/9 2/11	160/22 161/13 161/13		Minister [2] 85/16	22/14 30/8 30/16
2/22 5/5 8/25 14/1	161/14 161/23 162/3	102/23 102/23 102/24		30/18 30/21 32/12
18/24 21/5 23/8 23/14	162/14 162/16 162/21 162/24 163/12 164/4	103/2 103/18 104/2 104/4 104/6 104/7	ministers [2] 183/18 183/20	33/8 33/18 34/6 34/15 34/25 35/3 44/16
26/23 27/4 27/12	164/24 165/8 169/20	104/13 104/15 104/18		45/25 50/7 51/22 52/8
30/17 31/4 31/15 34/2	170/11 176/9 177/22	104/19 104/19 105/10		54/2 55/13 61/11
45/11 45/21 45/24	178/3 178/6 178/8	105/12 105/19	minute [9] 36/21	63/11 68/24 74/5
48/7 48/13 51/4 53/19	180/10 180/11 180/13		36/24 82/8 87/4 88/14	
59/15 62/23 64/16 67/9 70/25 81/3 88/13	180/14 180/15 180/20	membership [3] 78/6		91/22 100/5 101/25
91/8 91/22 95/5 96/9	180/22 181/7	93/25 94/15	174/14	105/25 117/25 126/22
99/2 99/3 101/17	mediations [1]	memorable [1] 18/25		134/23 152/20 152/25
	168/17	Memorandum [2]	31/16 89/7 147/21	169/20 169/21 169/24
L	I	1	1	

(65) many... - more

Μ	106/23 118/10 125/7	186/16	101/17 103/13 103/17	6/15 21/14 34/21 35/6
more [4] 171/1	125/7 125/24 125/25	Mrs Hamilton [1]	103/23 105/21 107/20	
182/16 185/15 187/3	126/12 139/23 139/23	112/14	108/12 108/17 110/16	
morning [14] 1/3	155/20 176/15 176/15		111/7 111/20 112/2	105/24 107/10 126/12
1/20 2/16 3/4 3/5	177/4 181/5 181/19	186/16	112/23 113/24 114/20	
20/11 23/6 23/15	182/9 183/17 185/2	Ms [23] 15/24 37/12	114/21 116/3 118/2	168/10 169/24 177/8
36/16 37/6 95/25	185/8 185/9 185/20	37/13 88/20 89/2 92/1	123/8 123/11 125/14	needed [3] 166/16
105/5 167/21 182/19	185/21 186/4 186/10	92/15 93/17 95/3 95/4		177/11 186/18
most [5] 7/25 61/13	186/22 187/19 188/4	100/8 100/12 105/22	135/4 135/6 136/19	needn't [1] 177/3
76/25 126/16 158/7	188/10 188/17 188/19	111/24 112/9 118/12	137/12 138/3 140/20	needs [10] 1/8 13/15
mostly [3] 47/25	Mr Baker [1] 68/8	168/1 176/18 185/2	142/8 142/17 143/13	13/18 20/16 27/24
51/23 86/1	Mr Bates [1] 86/5	186/2 187/15 188/8	143/16 143/24 144/13	31/5 33/8 109/9
mother [1] 171/5	MR BEER [6] 1/11	188/12	144/18 145/9 148/13	170/12 174/7
motivating [1] 31/11	3/2 28/12 36/21	Ms Hamilton [1]	158/1 158/18 166/24	negotiate [2] 66/24
motivations [1]	183/17 188/4	112/9	170/3 170/17 171/16	180/15
122/15	Mr de Garr [1] 182/9	Ms Hamilton's [1]	174/17 174/17 175/15	
motive [6] 27/14	Mr Furey [4] 93/18	111/24	176/4 176/12 176/19	negotiating [1] 70/6
32/18 32/25 33/24	93/18 94/12 94/23	Ms Hodge [6] 37/13	177/5 177/7 180/25	negotiation [1] 73/22
34/2 35/14	Mr Furey's [2] 93/24	92/1 92/15 93/17	181/17 183/10 184/4	negotiations [2]
MoU [6] 76/21 77/3	94/9	100/12 105/22	186/12	45/22 72/20
78/1 78/10 78/20 81/6	Mr Henderson [4]	Ms Linnell [4] 176/18		neither [1] 21/14
mount [2] 131/15	125/7 125/25 139/23	185/2 186/2 187/15	108/17 110/21	Neneh [6] 7/8 8/13
133/15	176/15	Ms Linnell's [1]	N	13/16 17/24 21/6 22/2
Mountain [2] 154/23	Mr Jenkins [14] 7/19	118/12		network [9] 40/18
155/4	9/3 15/24 17/18 17/25		name [7] 21/13 37/13 37/13 37/15 90/1 106/24	43/13 54/12 113/8
mouth [1] 100/1	18/9 19/21 26/11	15/24	110/24 154/3	121/9 126/22 132/15
move [12] 18/7 18/14	26/18 28/6 28/12 29/4		namely [2] 3/7	142/23 169/18
36/17 46/11 65/7 74/4	35/20 155/20	168/1	145/16	never [9] 27/19 91/6
126/5 127/24 128/15	Mr Jenkins' [10] 7/22 8/15 16/25 20/16	89/2 95/3 100/8	names [1] 131/5	101/9 109/1 109/2 130/12 172/9 173/15
166/10 168/18 183/12	24/13 24/21 27/6	much [14] 1/4 1/7	narrow [4] 148/9	174/16
moved [1] 162/7	28/21 28/25 32/10	36/14 37/7 67/16	149/11 149/13 150/20	
movement [2] 90/7	Mr Justice [2] 90/9	68/20 76/22 103/4	narrower [1] 150/3	46/11 73/8 80/22
91/2	181/19	112/3 130/15 139/18	narrowest [1] 150/21	109/12 138/22 145/2
MP [6] 114/13 119/17	Mr Kearns [9] 37/13	187/10 187/11 187/21	narrowing [1] 152/13	
123/7 124/19 135/7	48/2 84/17 89/17	much-discussed [1]	national [46] 40/17	Newsagents [3] 72/4
135/8	90/21 05/2 05/6 00/2	76/22	42/4 42/14 43/8 43/15	72/13 73/7
MPs [13] 82/6 114/24	106/6	muddled [3] 143/13	44/14 45/17 45/22	next [12] 5/14 13/13
116/11 117/21 125/16	Mr Nippi Singh [1]	143/22 170/18	54/13 54/25 55/8	18/7 29/18 36/17 37/8
136/3 136/8 136/9	67/22	must [7] 2/11 2/22	61/24 62/2 62/7 62/24	
136/16 136/18 136/19	Mr Pinder [1] 7/19	18/4 79/17 92/3 124/3	64/5 64/9 64/11 64/17	140/6 140/10 174/22
136/20 136/21	Mr Singh [1] 68/8	172/24	65/15 65/25 66/6	NFSP [34] 71/21
MPs' [1] 122/17	Mr Stein [6] 88/18	my [129] 4/8 5/25	67/10 68/13 68/17	71/25 72/7 72/11
Mr [102] 1/5 1/11 1/12 2/25 3/2 3/4 5/21	89/1 95/7 185/2 185/8	10/5 11/12 14/2 26/4	68/20 70/1 71/10 72/3	72/19 73/11 74/10
6/13 7/19 7/19 7/22	185/9	26/16 26/25 28/2	72/12 73/6 77/16	74/14 74/22 75/1
8/15 9/3 15/24 16/25	MR STEVENS [9]	31/24 32/1 32/3 34/3	82/19 82/19 83/1 83/2	76/20 76/24 77/4
17/18 17/25 18/9	106/23 118/10 177/4	34/20 35/17 36/9	83/4 83/22 85/5 90/12	
19/14 19/21 20/16	181/5 186/4 186/10	37/13 42/6 43/12	93/19 95/10 96/8	80/13 81/13 82/10
24/13 24/21 26/11	186/22 187/19 188/17	43/12 44/13 45/24	99/21 186/23 187/2	85/22 86/14 90/16
26/18 26/21 27/3 27/6	Mr Thomas [5] 28/14	46/9 48/22 48/23	nationally [1] 98/5	90/17 90/21 90/23
28/1 28/6 28/12 28/12	28/15 28/18 28/19	48/25 49/17 51/23	natural [1] 103/16	90/24 90/24 100/12
28/14 28/15 28/18	28/23	52/13 52/25 54/14	nature [5] 16/14	100/14 100/19 100/23
28/19 28/21 28/23	Mr Thomas' [3] 5/21	56/22 57/1 58/1 58/15		113/25 124/13 186/25
28/25 29/4 30/9 32/10	6/13 28/1	58/20 58/21 60/12	78/22	NFSP' [1] 78/20
35/2 35/20 36/11	Mr Ward [12] 1/5	62/23 64/1 64/13	nearly [1] 124/2	NFSP00001463 [1]
36/15 36/20 36/21	1/12 2/25 3/4 19/14		NEC [5] 71/13 80/21 82/9 85/2 87/5	67/15
37/13 48/2 67/22 68/8	26/21 27/3 30/9 35/2	67/10 68/14 68/19 69/7 70/19 72/10	necessarily [8] 66/10	night [2] 55/13 105/8
68/8 84/17 86/5 88/18	36/11 36/15 36/20 Mr Warmington [5]	72/16 73/13 75/18	69/17 69/21 69/22	nil [11] 5/25 6/3 6/14 7/5 7/17 10/5 14/3
89/1 89/17 89/20	125/7 125/24 126/12	76/3 76/15 76/16	75/3 81/9 184/19	16/6 19/21 26/11 33/2
89/21 90/9 93/18	139/23 176/15	80/25 82/7 82/18 83/6		nine [1] 68/15
93/18 93/24 94/9	Mr Warmington's [1]	83/7 87/19 89/4 90/1	necessary [2] 173/7	Nippi [1] 67/22
94/12 94/23 95/2 95/6	185/21	92/10 92/23 95/16	181/15	no [144] 12/4 15/2
95/7 99/2 106/6	Mrs [2] 112/14	96/13 96/22 98/9	need [21] 5/22 5/23	15/11 15/17 17/1 17/5
	····· ← L-1 ···-· · ·			
				(66) more no

(66) more... - no

Ν	33/14 34/10 34/23	135/15 154/16 161/1	October [6] 67/14	171/21 179/24 180/11
no [138] 17/14	86/24 91/2	161/16	67/19 68/2 74/9	180/14 182/3 184/7
18/11 18/21 19/7 23/8	normally [1] 180/12	number 28 [1]	173/21 173/22	ones [9] 5/18 105/11
25/3 25/20 27/16	not [223]	154/16	oddly [1] 29/25	105/15 118/1 136/3
28/24 30/7 30/25	note [10] 154/2 167/4		odds [1] 109/2	136/8 152/21 169/23 176/17
31/12 31/12 32/22	167/5 167/15 170/5 171/1 171/17 175/25	numbered [1] 10/7 numbers [3] 6/18	off [11] 120/13 128/16 134/4 162/25	online [3] 6/2 7/6
33/4 34/2 34/3 35/17	176/2 184/6	65/3 99/7	166/9 166/9 168/4	7/16
35/25 36/14 42/6	noted [5] 163/18	numerical [1] 107/15	168/9 176/4 176/19	only [21] 36/11 62/15
42/18 42/18 45/23	164/4 164/15 164/24		180/7	67/9 70/22 81/10
48/13 48/14 48/17	174/3	0	offer [6] 60/6 60/9	81/17 100/23 103/10
49/13 51/14 53/13	notepaper [1] 118/12	oath [1] 4/21	75/21 81/11 81/18	111/25 120/12 135/20
53/20 54/7 57/25 58/8	notes [4] 133/18	objected [5] 148/10	96/15	144/25 145/13 146/21
58/13 61/21 62/4 62/12 62/23 63/16	143/16 151/23 171/16	152/22 162/23 164/9	offering [2] 14/4	151/9 153/23 157/17
63/22 64/3 64/3 64/5	nothing [3] 122/22	182/20	73/18	158/13 158/19 166/2
64/5 65/5 65/12 68/10	133/8 147/22	objection [3] 2/13	offers [1] 96/14	183/10
69/19 69/19 69/23	noticing [1] 121/3	2/14 89/10	office [238]	onwards [1] 112/3
69/23 72/16 73/13	notified [1] 80/21	objectives [1] 69/10	Office's [6] 39/15	open [8] 22/11 22/11
74/17 76/11 77/16	notify [1] 145/6	obligation [1] 104/15	90/18 90/25 115/11	73/3 74/1 122/24
78/11 78/18 81/6 82/7	November [3] 38/19	obligations [2] 75/3 80/18	122/15 181/25	150/14 169/20 185/4
83/11 83/21 84/25	151/10 174/14	observes [1] 79/21	officer [6] 77/16	opening [1] 105/4
88/3 88/9 88/12 88/12	now [111] 2/16 3/13	obsession [1] 146/24	77/18 83/1 83/2 88/6 93/20	operate [3] 43/14
89/10 89/18 89/18	4/8 4/10 5/6 6/6 6/6 6/18 9/7 10/19 15/5	obstruct [1] 150/19	93/20 officers [2] 87/22	80/3 130/8 operated [3] 103/9
91/5 98/16 98/18	20/4 20/14 21/11	obtain [1] 180/8	99/21	128/10 142/12
99/16 109/12 111/19	21/18 22/11 23/13	obtained [3] 41/25	offices [6] 39/15 90/3	
113/3 113/9 113/24	24/19 27/8 27/17	45/15 48/20	95/23 97/20 105/1	operating [4] 11/13
116/3 118/6 119/20	27/19 30/14 36/17	obvious [2] 91/11	126/20	12/1 34/14 85/15
120/12 123/3 123/6	36/21 39/2 45/8 46/15		officially [1] 113/13	operation [4] 113/7
123/7 123/19 123/20	48/18 49/22 50/1	obviously [7] 11/20	officials [2] 54/13	130/4 132/14 139/19
124/5 126/2 127/21 128/10 128/12 128/14	50/12 50/17 50/24	15/12 26/2 31/15 87/5	83/22	operational [1] 40/16
133/2 133/14 134/14	53/2 53/11 54/23 57/3		often [2] 94/20 166/6	operator [2] 22/24
135/25 137/1 138/1	57/9 58/24 59/1 60/19	occasion [25] 1/13	Oh [1] 12/5	23/25
139/15 142/8 142/17	61/13 64/6 65/22 67/6			opined [1] 137/11
143/1 145/18 145/22	67/12 68/16 69/1	4/12 4/15 4/21 19/10	4/15 4/16 4/22 8/12	opinion [4] 122/17
153/1 153/5 154/11	70/13 71/19 73/10	19/14 19/19 19/24 20/8 20/12 21/11 22/4	15/21 18/7 20/13	123/9 123/10 123/11
156/3 156/4 156/10	74/4 76/19 77/2 79/5	25/15 26/22 27/3	20/22 20/0 00/21	opportunities [1]
157/16 159/13 160/16	1 X1/71 X7/X X5/16	34/11 40/9 40/20	176/22 181/12	71/24 opportunity [5] 51/17
164/19 167/21 169/13	85/24 86/21 86/23	41/14 50/22	152/25	88/24 108/5 161/10
170/6 171/15 173/9	87/4 89/5 90/10 92/2	occasionally [1] 70/9		176/9
173/15 175/17 176/9	92/11 93/17 100/20	occasions [8] 51/21	13/10	opposed [1] 77/14
177/14 178/23 179/21	104/3 106/0 107/8	57/12 94/17 98/22	on [260]	opposite [3] 61/25
179/21 179/24 182/16	109/20 112/12 117/5	172/9 173/6 186/8	on'[1] 160/21	137/21 181/8
182/23 184/17 185/8 185/12 187/2 187/17	120/6 120/24 124/15	186/19	once [8] 4/25 70/23	opposition [2]
187/17	124/18 124/24 127/1	occur [2] 6/4 138/23	73/22 109/1 151/1	132/24 161/3
nobody [3] 23/7	127/3 127/15 129/23	occur.' [1] 7/18	153/24 166/4 177/22	option [1] 123/16
131/21 136/21	130/10 136/23 138/15		one [62] 5/18 5/24	options [5] 71/24
nodded [1] 38/9	140/6 141/24 146/11	53/8 63/10 97/5	10/21 15/6 20/11 23/5	
Noel [5] 3/9 3/23 4/5		125/23 126/4 150/10	23/16 23/25 24/2 25/5	
19/13 33/1	152/11 152/21 153/19		29/20 31/5 32/4 32/15	
nominate [1] 108/15	167/3 168/23 170/7 170/14 172/4 172/15	occurrence [1] 13/21 occurrence' [3]	34/11 43/12 44/16 49/5 52/3 55/22 57/23	oral [2] 116/14 139/20
nominated [2] 115/9	170/14 172/4 172/15		57/25 58/2 65/1 65/2	order [5] 7/21 52/4
147/10	177/16 183/16 185/2	occurrences [4] 9/24		
none [7] 114/1 115/3	186/9	25/24 26/13 55/20	78/11 87/2 88/13	ordinarily [1] 52/5
131/25 134/14 134/21	number [28] 1/18	occurrences' [3]	98/18 102/9 103/10	organisation [11]
138/12 166/16	13/19 20/17 33/10	21/2 34/10 34/24	108/15 109/13 111/12	
noon [1] 84/11	41/21 42/16 42/16	occurrences.' [1]	113/11 120/12 121/7	81/10 81/18 86/1
nor [1] 158/25	46/9 47/14 51/10	9/13	123/19 124/1 124/3	91/24 92/25 93/16
normal [15] 9/13 9/24 13/20 13/23	51/20 56/23 60/13	occurring [2] 129/19	126/20 129/21 136/14	
17/11 20/19 21/2	60/24 65/17 73/2 73/3		137/14 151/10 152/17	organisations [3]
25/24 26/13 27/11	90/2 90/7 97/16 98/22		158/11 161/2 162/19	70/4 72/14 98/15
	102/21 107/7 117/21	162/20	164/5 164/16 171/20	organised [1] 109/13
۱ <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>			1	(67) no - organisod

(67) no... - organised

original [7] 11/16 outside [3] 52/14 page 13 72/12 72/15 72/16 72/15 72/15 72/15 72/15 72/15 72/15 72/15 72/15 72/15 72/15 72/15 72/15 72/15 72/15 72/15 72/15 <th72 15<="" th=""> 72/15 72/15<th>0</th><th>outset [1] 26/3</th><th>181/4 185/23</th><th>127/10 128/19 129/6</th><th>8/4 16/24 25/8 79/8</th></th72>	0	outset [1] 26/3	181/4 185/23	127/10 128/19 129/6	8/4 16/24 25/8 79/8
2179 2170 2170 14271 14		outside [3] 52/14			
3/36 13/36 13/36 13/37					
originally [3] 256 194/10 page 11 [3] 20/21 155/12 175/1175/2183/24 other [38] 34/12 45/3 177/14 177/22 183/2 187/3 187/3 187/3 other [38] 34/12 45/3 132/13 43/3 133/13 133/13 187/3 187/3 187/3 72/15 72/15 72/24 73/14 23/18 23/16 23/20 page 16 [1] 133/13 133/13 155/12 187/3 137/3 187/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 137/3 <td></td> <td></td> <td>• • •</td> <td></td> <td></td>			• • •		
Derig Test Size Derig Test Size Size Derig Test Size Size Size Size Size Size Size Size					
offer [38] 34/12 19/20 23/16 age 15 [1] 138/12 155/12 Participating [1] 72/15 72/24 73/14 24/2 29/18 23/16 22/0 page 16 [1] 15/3 paragraph 18 [1] 155/6 participating [3] 73/15 72/24 73/14 24/2 29/18 44/6 45/9 page 16 [1] 15/3 paragraph 11 [1] 15/6 participating [3] 73/15 72/24 73/14 24/2 29/18 44/6 45/9 page 16 [1] 15/3 paragraph 11 [1] 15/6/1 41/12 participating [3] 72/16 72/17 72/17 73/14 page 16 [1] 16/5 paragraph 12 [1] participating [3] 15/6/1 41/12 participating [3] 15/9/1 41/12 15/8/1 41/14 page 26 [1] 14/12 paragraph 12 [1] 15/8/1 41/12 participating [3] 15/9/1 41/12 12/8/16 15/8/1 41/12 paragraph 12 [1] 15/8/1 41/12 participating [3] 15/8/1 41/12 15/9/1 41/12 12/12 paragraph 15 [1] 15/14 paragraph 15 [1] 15/14 participating [3] 15/14 participating [3] 15/14 participating [3] 15/14 participating [3] 15/14 15/14 15/14 15/14 15/14 15/1					
bb/20 / 11/2 / 2017 23/16 22/4 73/14 23/16 23/26 23/20 page 16 [1] 153/4 participate [1] 165/6 73/19 73/21 80/18 25/18 4/26 45/9 page 16 [1] 153/4 participate [1] 165/6 participate [1] 165/6 73/19 73/21 80/18 45/11 46/6 45/9 50/25 page 16 [1] 16/5 page 16 [1] 16/5 participate [1] 165/6 participate [1] 165/6 94/24 108/11 108/15 61/2 61/2 70/25 70/25 page 161 [1] 6/5 page 18 [1] 14/25 participate [1] 16/5 participate [1] 77/16 12/22 126/24 1399 148/12 162/1 173/23 paregraph 119 [1] paregraph 119 [1] participate [1] 16/5					
72017 72021 00178 24/2 2018 4/46 4/59 page 15 [1] 143/4 153/8 pagergaph 11 [1] 87/2 86/20 94/24 56/17 86/20 94/24 56/17 86/20 96/23 page 16 [1] 15/3 pagergaph 11 [1] pagergaph 11 [1] pagergaph 11 [1] pagergaph 11 [1] pagergaph 11 [2] pagergaph 12 [2] partics [3] 162/2 partics [3] 163/2 partics [3] 163/2 partics [3] 162/2					
1/3/19/12/00/12 45/11/46/6/46/9 50/25 page 16 [1] 15/5 page 30 [1] 5/5 page 30 [1] 14/1/5 paragraph 15 [4]					
54/2 108/10 54/1 56/12					
122/22 12/27 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
128/21 127/10 12/11 <					
1396 148/12 170/5 153/1 154/1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I</td></td<>					I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
1357 1537 1527 122/21					
160/25 171/22 170/25 171/22 170/25 171/22 170/25 171/26<	153/11 154/4 158/25				
160/2 18/17.47 174/18 174/19 18/213 page 22 [1] 121/25 paragraph 15 [4] parties [3] 18/2 186/6 185/25 180/13 overcut [1] 120/6 page 22 [2] 121/25 paragraph 15 [4] parties [3] 18/2 122/12 100/27 overcut [1] 130/6 page 22 [2] 155/1 page 22 [2] 155/1 parties [1] 18/2 162/4 163/8 122/18 126/15 136/13 overcut [1] 14/1/4 page 22 [2] 155/1 page 22 [2] 15/2 17/18 17/12 17/					
163/0 163/2 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
Differ jg Sign 23 Overcome [1] 180/6 page 27 [1] 152/12 102/2 paragraph 157 [1] paratres [1] 17/12 168/11 overtiwe [2] 14/17 14/46 14/17 14/17 paragraph 15 [1] paragraph 15 [1] paratres [1] 17/12 163/12 overtim [1] 112/6 11/11 page 42 [1] 12/14 46/16 46/19 50/17 paratres [1] 17/14 163/12 54/15 54/16 56/12 33/13 39 137/12 138/8 page 61 [1] 12/16 11/14 11/14 11/14 11/14 11/14 11/14 11/14 11/14 11/14 11/14 11/14 11/14					
1/21/2 100/25 100/25 100/25 11/21/2 11/21/2 11/21/2 11/21/2 11/21/2 100/16 1					
121/12 121/17 oversæv [1] 141/12 141/12 121/12 107/18 93/5 93/7 121/12 121/12 oversæv [2] 40/16 172/2 page 3 [6] 61/12 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 107/18 108/17 108/17 100/17 108/17 11		overcut [1] 136/6			partly [4] 5/1 48/25
otherwise [3] 181/1 Oversee [2] 40/16 17/122 paragraph 2 [2] 7/73 parametric [3] 73/18 182/12 186/7 overtum [1] 144/25 page 3 [6] 12/10 12/710 108/17 1102 1112/5 0um [16] 12/21 overtum [2] 142/13 paragraph 3 [5] paragraph 3 [5] paragraph 47 [1] 12/8 partners [1] 73/4 168/11 0um [16] 16/25 41/11 page 3 [1] 181/4 46/16 46/19 50/17 53/12 57/3 partners [1] 73/4 26/17 37/8 46/17 47/4 97/13 101/2 110/16 page 4 [1] 107/16 paragraph 47 [1] 12/6/4 13/9/13/12 11/18 54/16 54/16 54/16 54/16 13/9/13 13/21 14/17 paragraph 5 [1] partners [1] 5/2 15/2 15/2 15/2 15/2 15/2 11/14 11/14 11/14 11/14 13/9 14/17 14/12 14/12 14/12 14/12 14/12 14/12 14/12 14/12 16/13 16					
Page 3 [6] 6/12 1022 Page 3 [6] 6/12 1022 Page 3 [6] 7/2 1022 Page 3 [7] 7/2 1022 Page 3 [7] 7/2 1022 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>paragraph 2 [2] 77/3</td> <td></td>				paragraph 2 [2] 77/3	
ougn [13] 30/3 6/4/9 overview [2] 142/13 174/24 paragraph 33 [5] partners [1] 73/4 168/11 our [6] 16/25 41/11 page 31 [1] 181/4 46/16 46/19 50/17 paragraph 33 [5] partners [1] 73/4 paragraph 33 [5] paragraph 47 [1] paragraph 47 [1] paragraph 47 [1] paragraph 47 [1] paragraph 52] partners [1] 73/4 paragraph 52]					I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
1060/11 36/17 1/23 1/4/6 1/1					
Duil [37] Dial [37] <thdial [37]<="" th=""> Dial [37] <thdial [37]<="" th=""> Dial [37] <thdial [37]<="" th=""> <thdial [37]<="" th=""> <thdia< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thdia<></thdial></thdial></thdial></thdial>					
15/8 20/20 2/11 8/74 47/6 53/9 9/1/1 97/12 118/9 128/19 132/20 paragraph 47 [1] parts [3] 117/18 66/17 37/8 45/17 47/4 97/13 101/2 110/16 page 40 [1] 4/23 120/24 120/24 139/9 144/11 54/15 54/16 54/18 133/9 137/12 138/8 152/8 155/7 174/17 page 40 [1] 4/23 111/1 111/4 parts [3] 117/18 139/9 144/11 56/2 56/2 56/20 0wned [1] 79/23 owners [1] 77/14 page 6 [2] 172/13 111/4 114/17 party [2] 158/2 party [2] 160/13 passing [2] 148/16 171/18 160/13 passing [2] 148/16 171/18 171/18 160/13 passing [2] 148/16 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 page 6 [2] 172/13 173/25 paragraph 65 [1] passing [2] 148/16 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 page 7 [2] 46/18 79/9 paragraph 76 [1] 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/18 171/17 1					
48/4 53/13 54/8 54/14 97/13 101/2 110/16 page 40 [1] 4/23 120/24 13/9 13/41/1 54/15 54/16 54/18 13/9 13/12 13/8 13/9 13/12 13/8 page 41 [1] 107/16 page 5 [2] 144/17 111/4 111/4 111/4 111/4 paragraph 5 [2] party [2] 15/2 party [2] 15					parts [3] 117/18
54/15 54/16 54/18 173/9 13/12 138/8 page 41 [1] 10/16 paragraph 5[2] 111/4 111/4 paragraph 5[2] 160/13 160/13 160/13 160/13 160/13 160/13 160/13 160/13 160/13 160/13 160/13 160/13 160					
54/20 54/21 55/2 55/3 152/8 155/17/4/17 page 5 [2] 144/17 154/14 paragraph 55 [1] 56/3 56/4 56/6 56/20 owners [1] 77/14 page 6 [2] 172/13 148/7 paragraph 55 [1] 160/13 75/20 75/23 81/8 momes [1] 77/14 page 6 [2] 172/13 148/7 paragraph 55 [1] passed [2] 6/6 99/20 81/20 86/15 86/23 momes [1] 77/14 page 7 [2] 46/18 page 7 [2] 46/18 paragraph 65 [1] passed [2] 134/1 99/20 103/18 104/6 p1/18 7/2 8/13 10/12 10/14 page 8 [2] 158/1 163/5 page 8 [2] 158/1 80/12 paragraph 65 [1] massed [2] 13/23 141/25 99/20 103/18 104/6 70/21 6/7 18/7 21/24 page 8 [2] 158/1 141/25 paragraph 65 [1] atki/a 13/12 13/17 37/11 134/9 138/17 10/20 16/7 18/7 21/24 page 8 [2] 178/8 178/9 paragraph 75 [1] 92/3 133/12 13/21 13/21 12/21 13/21 13/21 134/9 138/17 atki/a 12/54 37/24 pane [2] 79/8 17/8 paragraph 9 [1] 133/12 13/21 13/21 13/24 13/20 131/14 132/24 11/251 23/1 52/24 107/9 107/16 11/4 17/31 17/31 317/5/20 13/14 116/17 166/21 16/16/21 16/16/21 16/2/1 16/16/21 16/2/6 16/16/21 16/					
36/3 36/4 56/6 36/20 ownef [1] 79/23 page 6 [2] 172/13 148/7 page 32 page 6 [2] 172/13 page 7 [2] 46/18 page 8 [2] 158/1 page 8 [2] 10/1 page 7 [2] 46/18/2 page 7 [2] 46/18/	54/20 54/21 55/2 55/3				
3012 101/102 0/1/102 0					
13/20 86/15 86/11 86/15 86/15 86/11 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
10/120 80/17 93/6 P 185/23 Paragraph 6's [1] past [3] 103/24 134/7 93/11 97/19 97/22 93/6 99/11 99/18 195/23 Page [82] 158/1 163/5 page 8 [2] 158/1 163/5 paragraph 6's [1] patt [4] 13/17 37/11 99/20 103/18 104/6 10/20 16/7 18/7 21/24 163/5 paragraph 66 [1] 145/20 Paula [19] 118/22 115/13 117/13 120/13 10/20 16/7 18/7 21/24 pagination [1] 4/25 paragraph 66 [1] 97/11 118/25 119/3 128/17 104/9 104/11 108/20 10/20 16/7 18/7 21/24 79/1 90/17 90/24 paragraph 7 [1] 92/3 129/11 133/12 133/21 132/17 115/13 117/13 120/13 18/25 85/8 70/19 78/16 81/1 109/11 117/2 133/3 paragraph 7 [1] 92/3 129/21 13/20 132/23 133/12 133/21 136/17 10urs [3] 98/24 121/15 85/24 107/9 107/16 86/21 146/13 166/17 166/21 133/11 166/17 166/28 169/2 169/13 169/15 116/21 25/18 36/23 11/4 81/25 143/13 138/18 71/15 10/8 16/3 16/8 paragraph 9 [1] 167/8 167/16 168/8 169/2 169/13 169/15 10/14 160/21 160/19 120/24 121/25 143/1 130/14 122/5 143/16 133/11 166/17 166/21 133/12 132/17 13/14 136/11 166/17 166/21 136/24 138/13 138/18					
93/11 97/19 97/22 98/6 99/11 99/18 99/20 103/18 104/6 10/20 10/11 108/20 13/15 65 15 6/12 6/21 page 8 /2 /2 158/1 80/12 145/20 99/20 103/18 104/6 10/20 10/11 108/20 13/15 (31 17/13 120/13 134/9 138/21 168/18 7/18 /13 10/12 10/14 13/12 21/2 pages [1] 37/23 pagination [1] 4/25 paid [10] 41/7 48/23 144/125 paragraph 66 [1] Paul [4] 13/17 37/11 18/25 119/3 128/17 paragraph 7 [1] 92/3 13/19 138/21 168/18 7/21 8/13 10/12 10/14 23/12 437/24 79/1 90/17 90/24 pages [1] 90/17 90/24 paragraph 76 [1] 138/22 118/25 133/21 133/21 132/21 133/21 132/21 132/21 133/21 133/21 133/21 133/21 133/21 133/21 133/21 133/12 133/21 133/21 133/21 133/21 133/12 133/21 133/21 133/21 133/21 133/12 133/21 133/21 133/21 133/12 133/21 133/21 166/13 169/8 166/13 118/25 119/3 169/16 ourselves [1] 91/19 out [39] 5/22 9/14 16/21 25/18 36/23 17/5 10/7 81/28 81/1 120/24 121/25 124/2 173/10 173/13 175/20 173/10 173/13 175/20 paragraph 9 [1] 9aragraph 9 [1] 167/8 167/16 168/8 169/2 169/13 169/15 11/1 64/19 64/24 75/11 83/22 89/15 90/11 20/24 132/12 120/24 129/17 20/14 132/20 126/6 128/19 129/5 143/6 144/17 146/12 173/10 173/13 175/20 113/1 113/1 184/6 9aragraph 9 [1] 107/10 166/12 184/6 9aragraph 9 [1] 107/10 166/12 184/6 9aragraph 9 [1] 107/10 166/12 184/25 74/13 82/8 144/15 104/16 105/10 105/15 146/13 168/2 143/12 143/14 143/12 14/16 111/4 113/1 127/3 129/23 131/2 116/8 119/25 120/3 122/1 12/14 122/24			185/23		
98/6 99/11 99/18 9/15 6/3 6/3 6/12 6/21 163/5 paragraph 66 [1] Paul [4] 13/17 3/11 99/20 103/18 104/6 10/20 16/7 18/7 21/24 pagination [1] 4/25 pagination [1] 4/25 paragraph 66 [1] Paul [4] 13/17 3/11 134/9 104/11 108/20 23/24 24/1 24/2 24/3 pagination [1] 4/25 paragraph 7 [1] 92/3 129/2 131/20 132/21 134/9 138/21 168/18 24/11 25/4 37/24 79/1 90/17 90/24 paragraph 7 [1] 92/3 129/2 131/20 132/23 121/16 76/19 78/16 81/1 77/3 8 186/21 146/13 133/11 166/17 166/21 0urselves [1] 91/19 85/24 107/9 107/16 paragraph 58 [1] 167/8 167/16 168/8 169/2 169/13 169/15 11/2 41/2 57/6 58/7 120/24 121/25 124/2 173/10 173/13 175/20 113/1 84/3 88/15 170/14 16/21 25/18 36/23 129/7 129/14 132/20 paragraph [58] 6/1 107/10 166/12 paragraph [1] pause [5] 85/17 11/16 4/19 64/24 120/24 121/25 124/2 173/10 173/13 175/20 113/1 84/6 169/2 169/13 169/16 104/16 105/10 105/15 14/125 142/25 143/1 24/12 25/5 30/15 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 20/14 127/3 129/23 131/2 120/21 121/25 13/21 136/24 138/13 18/18 7/15 10/					
99/20 103/18 104/6 10/20 16/7 18/7 21/24 pagination [1] 4/25 paragraph 6c [1] Paula [19] 118/22 104/9 104/11 108/20 23/24 24/1 24/2 24/3 pagination [1] 4/25 paragraph 7c [1] 92/3 Paragraph 9c [1] Paragraph 9c [1] 92/3 Paragraph 9c [1] 92/3 Paragraph 9c [1] 92/3<					
104/11 108/20 115/13 117/13 120/13 24/11 25/4 37/24 23/24 24/1 24/2 24/3 24/11 25/4 37/24 paid [10] 41/7 48/23 79/1 90/17 90/24 79/11 118/25 119/3 128/17 134/9 138/21 168/18 179/3 183/1 185/17 ours [3] 98/24 121/15 121/16 24/11 25/4 37/24 79/1 90/17 90/24 79/11 79/11 118/25 119/3 128/17 121/16 76/19 78/16 81/1 109/11 117/2 133/3 146/13 133/12 133/21 134/6 133/12 133/21 134/6 121/16 81/24 81/25 85/8 85/24 107/9 107/16 173/8 186/21 panel [2] 178/8 178/9 paragraph 76 [1] 134/11 166/17 166/21 91/19 91/19 111/3 115/7 118/9 172/14 172/15 173/8 paragraph 9 [1] 134/11 166/21 168/8 16/21 25/18 36/23 126/6 128/19 129/5 129/5 173/10 173/13 175/20 113/1 88/13 88/15 170/14 16/21 29/7 129/14 132/20 126/4 128/19 129/5 136/24 138/13 138/18 7/15 10/8 16/3 16/8 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 184/6 90/9 93/9 102/16 141/25 142/25 143/1 24/12 25/5 30/15 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 127/3 129/23 131/2 104/16 105/10 105/15 143/6 153/4 6/16 46/19 50/17 53/12 57/3 69/8 69/9 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 127/3 129/23 131/2 104/16 105/10 105/15 153/5 153/21 15	99/20 103/18 104/6		13 L-1		I I
115/13 117/13 120/13 24/11 25/4 37/24 79/1 79/1 90/17 90/24 paragraph 7[1] 92/3 129/2 131/20 132/23 179/3 183/1 185/17 76/19 78/16 81/1 109/11 117/2 133/3 133/12 134/11 166/12 136/14 146/13 146/13 146/13 146/13 146/13 146/13 146/13 138/15 110/11 133/12 133/12 133/12 134/13 168/15 146/13 146/13 146/13 146/13 146/13 146/13 146/13					
134/9 138/21 138/21 138/14 16/18 67/17 69/8 74/4 109/11 117/2 133/3 133/12					
ours [3] 98/24 121/15 121/16 70/19/16/10/81/17 70/19/16/10/81/17 70/19/16/10/81/17 70/19/16/17/16/2/17 121/16 81/24 81/25 85/8 85/24 107/9 107/16 panel [2] 178/8 178/9 paragraph 8b [1] 169/17/16/2/16 ourselves [1] 91/19 11/3 115/7 118/9 85/24 107/9 107/16 panel [2] 178/8 178/9 paragraph 8b [1] 169/13 169/15 out [39] 5/22 9/14 120/24 121/25 124/2 120/24 121/25 124/2 173/10 173/13 175/20 113/1 88/13 88/15 170/14 14/7 18/72 57/6 58/7 126/6 128/19 129/5 129/7 129/14 132/20 paragraph [58] 6/1 107/10 166/12 pause [5] 85/17 61/1 64/19 64/24 136/24 138/13 138/18 7/15 10/8 16/3 16/8 paragraph [58] 6/1 107/10 166/12 pausing [9] 46/23 75/11 83/22 89/15 141/25 142/25 143/1 24/12 25/5 30/15 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 127/3 129/23 131/2 104/16 105/10 105/15 141/25 142/25 143/1 24/12 25/5 30/15 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 150/4 156/23 122/1 124/12 125/4 143/6 144/17 146/12 30/18 32/4 32/19 34/5 11/9 13/1 18/8 20/14 150/4 156/23 122/1 124/12 125/4 126/4 128/21 146/13 148/6 153/4 46/16 46/19 50/17 29/19 107/15 147/11 108/15 108/12			109/11 117/2 133/3		
121/16 61/24 61/23 63/6 panel [2] 19/8 17/8 (78/9) paragraph 8b [1] 167/8 167/16 168/8 ourselves [1] 91/19 65/24 107/9 107/16 paper [7] 96/11 69/8 169/2 169/13 169/15 out [39] 5/22 9/14 11/3 115/7 118/9 paper [7] 96/11 69/8 169/2 169/13 169/15 16/24 121/25 124/2 120/24 121/25 124/2 173/10 173/13 175/20 113/1 88/13 88/15 170/14 16/24 138/13 138/18 126/6 128/19 129/5 129/7 129/14 132/20 173/10 173/13 175/20 113/1 88/13 88/15 170/14 18/6 129/7 129/14 132/20 136/24 138/13 138/18 7/15 10/8 16/3 16/8 paragraph 9 [1] pause [5] 85/17 90/9 93/9 102/16 136/24 138/13 138/18 7/15 10/8 16/3 16/8 paragraph 9 [12] pausing [9] 46/23 141/25 142/25 143/1 24/12 25/5 30/15 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 127/3 129/23 131/2 104/16 105/10 105/15 144/17 146/12 30/18 32/4 32/19 34/5 11/9 13/1 18/8 20/14 150/4 156/23 122/1 124/12 125/4 146/13 148/6 153/4 46/16 46/19 50/17 29/19 107/15 147/11 paragraph 9 [1] 168/3 168/2 125/19 126/4 128/22 154/15 155/11 156/15 79/11 79/15 80/12 paragraph 9 8 [1] 136/5			173/8 186/21	146/13	134/11 166/17 166/21
ourselves [1] 91/19 out [39] 5/22 9/14 16/21 25/18 36/23 41/7 48/12 57/6 58/7 61/1 64/19 64/24 75/11 83/22 89/15 90/9 93/9 102/16 141/25 142/25 143/1 168/2 162/19 129/5 129/7 129/14 132/20 136/24 138/13 138/18 7/15 10/8 16/3 16/8 90/9 93/9 102/16 141/25 142/25 143/1 104/16 105/10 105/15 146/13 148/6 153/4 122/1 124/12 125/4 125/19 126/4 128/22 149/1 11/3 115/7 118/9 129/7 129/14 132/20 paragraph [58] 6/1 7/15 10/8 16/3 16/8 90/9 93/9 102/16 143/6 144/17 146/12 168/1 105/15 146/13 148/6 153/4 146/13 148/6 153/4 122/1 124/12 125/4 153/5 153/21 153/25 13/2 153/21 153/25 13/2 153/21 153/25 149/4 150/3 150/9 154/13 169/4 169/6 170/24 177/24 181/18 outlined [1] 54/20 65/24 109/13 169/15 paragraph 9 [1] 172/14 172/15 173/8 paragraph 9 [1] 173/10 173/13 173/23 111/4 113/1 178/9 107/15 147/11 paragraph 9 [1] 173/10 166/12 paragraph 9 [1] 107/10 166/12 paragraph 9 [1] 107/10 166/12 paragraph 9 [1] 107/10 166/12 paragraph 9 [1] 107/15 147/11 paragraph 9 [1] 108/5 108/12 136/18 143/19 167/14 paying [1] 133/7 payment [1] 79/4 pays [1] 179/15 peers [1] 82/6 pen [1] 127/23					
out [39] 5/22 9/14 111/3 111/3 113/3 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
16/21 25/18 36/23 41/7 48/12 57/6 58/7 61/1 64/19 64/24 75/11 83/22 89/15 90/9 93/9 102/16 104/16 105/10 105/15 116/8 119/25 120/3 122/1 124/12 125/4 125/19 126/4 128/22 149/4 150/3 150/9 154/13 169/4 169/6 170/24 177/24 181/18 outlined [1] 54/20 126/6 128/19 129/5 129/7 129/14 132/20 136/24 138/13 138/18 7/15 10/8 16/3 16/8 24/12 25/5 30/15 30/18 32/4 32/19 34/5 124/12 25/5 30/15 30/18 32/4 32/19 34/5 paragraph 91 [2] 107/10 166/12 paragraphs [12] 5/22 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 107/10 166/12 paragraphs [12] 5/22 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 11/9 13/1 18/8 20/14 29/19 107/15 147/11 paragraphs 91 [1] 107/15 184/6 pausing [9] 46/23 74/13 82/8 114/15 127/3 129/23 131/2 150/4 156/23 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 150/4 156/23 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 153/5 153/21 153/21 153/5 153/21 153/25 154/15 155/11 156/15 154/15 155/11 156/15 158/1 160/2 160/3 160/3 161/21 162/6 163/5 166/12 166/19 107/10 107/18 111/4 113/1 18/9 173/25 174/24 174/25 111/4 113/1 118/9 paragraph 91 [2] 107/15 paragraphs 91 [1] 108/5 108/12 136/18 143/19 167/14 paying [1] 133/7 payment [1] 79/4 pays [1] 179/15 peers [1] 82/6 pen [1] 127/23					
41/7 48/12 57/6 58/7 129/7 129/14 132/20 paragraph [58] 6/1 107/10 166/12 pausing [9] 46/23 61/1 64/19 64/24 136/24 138/13 138/18 7/15 10/8 16/3 16/8 24/12 25/5 30/15 107/10 166/12 paragraphs [12] 5/22 74/13 82/8 114/15 90/9 93/9 102/16 141/25 142/25 143/1 24/12 25/5 30/15 11/9 13/1 18/8 20/14 129/7 129/14 132/20 74/13 82/8 114/15 104/16 105/10 105/15 141/25 142/25 143/1 24/12 25/5 30/15 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 129/7 3 129/23 131/2 122/1 124/12 125/4 146/13 148/6 153/4 46/16 46/19 50/17 53/12 57/3 69/8 69/9 9/9 107/15 147/11 paragraphs 91 [1] 107/15 150/4 156/23 129/4 150/3 150/9 154/15 155/11 156/15 79/11 79/15 80/12 paradragraphs 51 [1] 107/15 87/3 92/13 92/19 108/5 108/12 136/18 143/15 160/12 160/3 160/3 161/21 162/6 90/11 92/3 92/11 142/6 142/13 143/8 143/19 167/14 143/19 167/14 0utline [1] 42/6 171/22 172/13 173/23 111/4 113/1 118/9 143/25 Parsons' [1] 143/10 pays [1] 179/15 0utlined [1] 54/20 173/25 174/24 174/25 118/20 119/24 120/24 120/24 part [23] 4/11 7/13 part [23] 4/11 7/13	16/21 25/18 36/23				
61/1 64/19 64/24 136/24 138/13 138/18 7/15 10/8 16/3 16/8 paragraphs [12] 5/22 74/13 82/8 114/15 75/11 83/22 89/15 136/24 138/13 138/18 7/15 10/8 16/3 16/8 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 74/13 82/8 114/15 90/9 93/9 102/16 141/25 142/25 143/1 143/6 144/17 146/12 30/18 32/4 32/19 34/5 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 127/3 129/23 131/2 104/16 105/10 105/15 146/13 148/6 153/4 46/16 46/19 50/17 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 150/4 156/23 122/1 124/12 125/4 153/5 153/21 153/25 53/12 57/3 69/8 69/9 11/9 13/1 18/8 20/14 150/4 156/23 125/19 126/4 128/22 154/15 155/11 156/15 79/11 79/15 80/12 paragraphs 91 [1] 108/5 108/12 136/18 143/6 161/21 162/6 158/1 160/2 160/3 160/3 161/21 162/6 90/11 92/3 92/11 107/10 107/18 111/4 170/24 177/24 181/18 163/5 166/12 166/19 107/10 107/18 111/4 143/25 parsons' [1] 143/10 pays [1] 179/15 0utlined [1] 54/20 173/25 174/24 174/25 118/20 119/24 120/24 Parsons' [1] 143/10 part [23] 4/11 7/13 pays [1] 127/23					
75/11 83/22 89/15 141/25 142/25 143/1 24/12 25/5 30/15 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 127/3 129/23 131/2 90/9 93/9 102/16 141/25 142/25 143/1 24/12 25/5 30/15 9/5 10/4 10/21 11/8 127/3 129/23 131/2 104/16 105/10 105/15 143/6 144/17 146/12 30/18 32/4 32/19 34/5 11/9 13/1 18/8 20/14 150/4 156/23 116/8 119/25 120/3 146/13 148/6 153/4 46/16 46/19 50/17 29/19 107/15 147/11 par agraphs 91 [1] 107/15 57/3 92/13 92/19 125/19 126/4 128/22 154/8 154/9 154/14 154/8 154/9 154/14 74/18 77/3 79/8 79/9 107/15 87/3 92/13 92/19 108/5 108/12 136/18 141/25 160/2 160/3 160/3 161/21 162/6 90/11 92/3 92/11 90/11 92/3 92/11 142/6 142/13 143/8 143/19 167/14 0utline [1] 42/6 163/5 166/12 166/19 107/10 107/18 111/4 143/25 Parsons' [1] 143/10 pays [1] 179/15 0utlined [1] 54/20 173/25 174/24 174/25 118/20 119/24 120/24 Parsons' [1] 143/10 part [23] 4/11 7/13 part [23] 4/11 7/13					
104/16 105/10 105/15 145/6 144/17 146/12 30/18 32/4 32/19 34/5 11/9 13/1 18/8 20/14 150/4 156/23 116/8 119/25 120/3 146/13 148/6 153/4 46/16 46/19 50/17 29/19 107/15 147/11 pay [10] 75/15 87/3 122/1 124/12 125/4 153/5 153/21 153/25 53/12 57/3 69/8 69/9 paragraphs 91 [1] 87/3 92/13 92/19 125/19 126/4 128/22 154/13 169/4 169/6 158/1 160/2 160/3 81/25 86/11 86/21 pardon [1] 136/5 143/19 167/14 160/3 161/21 162/6 90/11 92/3 92/11 107/10 107/18 111/4 143/25 paying [1] 133/7 0utline [1] 42/6 171/22 172/13 173/23 111/4 113/1 118/9 111/4 113/1 118/9 part [23] 4/11 7/13 pays [1] 127/23					
116/8 119/25 120/3 146/13 146/15					
122/1 124/12 125/4 133/3 133/21 133/23 53/12 57/3 69/8 69/9 paragraphs 91 [1] 87/3 92/13 92/19 125/19 126/4 128/22 154/8 154/9 154/14 74/18 77/3 79/8 79/9 107/15 108/5 108/12 136/18 149/4 150/3 150/9 154/15 155/11 156/15 79/11 79/15 80/12 paragraphs 91 [1] 108/5 108/12 136/18 154/13 169/4 169/6 158/1 160/2 160/3 81/25 86/11 86/21 paraons [5] 142/5 160/3 161/21 162/6 90/11 92/3 92/11 142/6 142/13 143/8 paying [1] 133/7 0utline [1] 42/6 163/5 166/12 166/19 107/10 107/18 111/4 143/25 parsons' [1] 143/10 0utlined [1] 54/20 173/25 174/24 174/25 118/20 119/24 120/24 part [23] 4/11 7/13 pert [1] 127/23					
125/19 126/4 128/22 154/6 154/9 154/14 74/18 77/3 79/8 79/9 107/15 108/5 108/12 136/18 149/4 150/3 150/9 154/15 155/11 156/15 79/11 79/15 80/12 pardon [1] 136/5 143/19 167/14 154/13 169/4 169/6 158/1 160/2 160/3 160/3 161/21 162/6 81/25 86/11 86/21 parsons [5] 142/5 143/19 167/14 160/3 161/21 162/6 90/11 92/3 92/11 142/6 142/13 143/8 143/25 payment [1] 79/4 outline [1] 42/6 163/5 166/12 166/19 107/10 107/18 111/4 143/25 Parsons' [1] 143/10 pays [1] 179/15 0utlined [1] 54/20 173/25 174/24 174/25 118/20 119/24 120/24 Parsons' [1] 143/10 part [23] 4/11 7/13 part [23] 4/11 7/13					
149/4 150/3 150/9 158/1 160/2 160/3 81/25 86/11 86/21 Parsons [5] 142/5 paying [1] 133/7 154/13 169/4 169/6 160/3 161/21 162/6 90/11 92/3 92/11 142/6 142/13 143/8 142/6 142/13 143/8 143/25 outline [1] 42/6 171/22 172/13 173/23 111/4 113/1 118/9 111/4 113/1 118/9 Parsons [1] 143/10 paying [1] 133/7 outlined [1] 54/20 173/25 174/24 174/25 118/20 119/24 120/24 Parsons' [1] 143/10 payers [1] 82/6	125/19 126/4 128/22				
154/13 169/4 169/6 160/3 161/21 162/6 90/11 92/3 92/11 142/6 142/13 143/8 payment [1] 79/4 170/24 177/24 181/18 163/5 166/12 166/19 107/10 107/18 111/4 143/25 payment [1] 79/4 outline [1] 42/6 171/22 172/13 173/23 111/4 113/1 118/9 Parsons' [1] 143/10 payment [1] 82/6 173/25 174/24 174/25 118/20 119/24 120/24 120/24 part [23] 4/11 7/13 pant [1] 127/23					
170/24 177/24 181/18 163/5 166/12 166/19 107/10 107/18 111/4 143/25 outline [1] 42/6 171/22 172/13 173/23 107/10 107/18 111/4 143/25 outlined [1] 54/20 173/25 174/24 174/25 118/20 119/24 120/24 Parsons' [1] 143/10					
outline [1] 42/0 171/22 172/13 173/23 111/4 113/1 118/9 Parsons' [1] 143/10 peers [1] 82/6 outlined [1] 54/20 173/25 174/24 174/25 118/20 119/24 120/24 Parsons' [1] 143/10 peers [1] 127/23		163/5 166/12 166/19			
pen [1] 12//23					peers [1] 82/6
		173/25 174/24 174/25	118/20 119/24 120/24	part [23] 4/11 7/13	pen [1] 127/23

(68) original - pen

P	56/5 66/17 73/2 93/1	151/25 152/22 153/4	POL00098418 [1]	practice [4] 45/3
Penny [3] 5/24 6/13	93/11 127/8 132/9	153/17 153/25 154/7	129/4	58/25 110/17 155/12
7/8	152/5 167/11	154/9 154/14 155/11	POL00099063 [2]	practitioners [1]
	phrased [1] 58/15	156/13 158/1 160/1	121/25 136/24	110/10
people [46] 41/22	phrases [1] 182/19	160/3 163/3 163/5	POL00101367 [1]	prayed [1] 80/5
44/4 47/23 47/24	physical [1] 112/23	163/25 166/11 166/19		pre [2] 52/23 155/9
51/15 51/23 55/12				
57/5 57/7 58/22 61/6	pick [3] 5/2 23/24	171/22 171/23 172/11		pre-2012 [1] 155/9
62/23 66/17 68/24	116/6	172/13 173/23 174/23		preceded [1] 17/18
91/8 91/13 94/1 98/14	picking [2] 5/1	174/24 177/6	policy [4] 51/9	preceding [1] 69/9
	100/10	pleased [1] 14/19	153/16 179/14 179/15	
99/7 99/17 100/5	piece [1] 96/11	plight [1] 132/25	political [1] 85/17	precisely [2] 115/21
101/16 102/16 103/14	pieces [1] 179/4	plus [1] 184/1	poor [7] 17/10 27/10	142/14
103/20 104/25 115/4				
123/1 130/25 131/22	pierce [1] 178/6	pm [6] 84/13 106/14	31/4 31/7 31/12 31/14	
132/17 134/4 141/12	PIN [1] 25/21	106/16 146/5 146/7	31/17	44/10 59/22 78/25
143/17 143/19 147/14	Pinder [3] 6/24 7/19	187/24	portion [1] 179/20	105/1 105/3
	13/16	point [39] 2/12 3/24	posed [2] 105/25	prefer [2] 185/14
150/13 166/8 166/25	place [24] 5/3 41/13	44/12 44/13 46/1 52/2		185/16
168/21 178/7 179/3	42/9 43/17 44/3 49/19	63/10 64/13 64/20	position [18] 3/20	preference [1] 72/2
183/3 183/5 186/25				
187/1	49/24 50/23 51/3 51/8	64/21 72/9 72/15	14/20 45/18 52/19	preferred [2] 73/17
people's [1] 18/23	63/4 71/8 71/19 99/13	77/25 78/17 79/9	59/16 60/1 79/2 86/12	113/12
	116/15 130/12 130/18	80/10 96/18 97/15	86/15 88/5 88/22	preliminary [2]
per [7] 29/10 78/3	141/6 143/2 172/24	109/25 112/9 116/22	89/23 92/9 93/17	136/25 137/7
78/19 78/21 120/18	179/4 179/14 180/18	127/22 142/21 149/5	162/13 168/19 177/19	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
133/1 180/22	181/14		179/3	117/1 149/16 149/19
per annum [3] 78/3		154/1 154/17 154/18		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
78/19 78/21	planned [1] 182/1	156/5 156/12 160/4	positive [1] 140/21	178/24 179/20
perception [1] 41/21	play [2] 8/9 59/23	162/12 164/9 164/19	possession [3] 3/13	preparing [3] 96/13
	plea [6] 130/21 161/8	168/16 168/24 169/14	20/4 73/5	119/21 149/15
perfect [2] 133/2	161/15 161/17 171/12	176/21 183/10 184/23		present [2] 132/1
156/16	171/13	points [8] 76/25	possible [10] 54/1	171/3
perfectly [1] 130/8				
perform [1] 39/21	pleas [1] 171/16	90/21 112/25 126/16	56/15 70/14 72/21	presentation [3]
performance [6]	please [138] 1/8 4/17	144/14 146/11 160/10		143/3 143/9 143/10
	4/23 4/24 5/14 6/12	171/20	133/3 139/4 143/11	presentations [1]
42/21 43/4 51/12	6/21 7/1 7/22 7/22	POL [3] 74/6 74/8	possibly [5] 52/9	142/4
51/19 52/6 55/19	8/13 9/3 9/7 9/8 9/9	92/7	59/12 109/24 141/2	presented [1] 183/17
perhaps [7] 8/12	10/11 10/20 13/12		183/15	
78/11 89/7 95/12		POL's [2] 74/10		presenting [1]
99/13 101/14 104/15	13/14 15/22 15/25	85/18	post [227]	143/18
period [9] 5/23 24/18	16/1 16/2 16/7 18/7	POL00022120 [2]	post-Horizon [1]	preserved [1] 153/12
	19/4 20/7 20/9 21/6	144/5 156/13	52/23	press [1] 94/21
58/11 72/11 73/14	21/18 23/25 25/4 33/5		postal [12] 40/6	pressed [1] 130/12
84/21 85/18 97/3	35/3 37/10 37/14	154/7	82/25 83/2 83/13	pressing [1] 120/6
174/20				
periods [1] 170/22	37/18 37/23 46/11	POL00026640 [1]	83/24 83/25 87/22	pressure [2] 135/6
person [12] 2/21	46/16 46/19 46/23	153/18	87/23 97/21 98/7	135/7
	48/2 48/3 48/8 53/11	POL00026641 [1]	101/21 102/6	presumably [8]
	65/7 67/15 67/17	148/18	posted [1] 172/20	56/18 71/17 74/21
27/5 108/15 113/11	67/19 67/24 69/8	POL00026656 [1]	postmaster [1] 27/25	80/16 119/19 155/5
139/14 147/2 153/24	69/24 70/16 71/9	151/23		163/19 170/8
159/20 180/16			postmaster's [2]	
personal [13] 46/24	71/19 74/4 74/18 77/2		17/12 27/12	pretending [1] 24/8
47/6 48/9 49/6 49/13	77/6 77/25 78/16 79/7	163/3	postmasters [9]	pretty [4] 18/24
	80/11 81/1 81/22	POL00026673 [1]	67/18 67/21 68/4 75/4	
49/16 57/9 58/12	81/23 81/25 84/4 85/1	160/1	81/11 85/15 85/22	prevented [1] 171/4
122/17 123/3 123/9	85/8 85/24 106/24	POL00026685 [1]	86/1 93/20	previous [11] 1/22
130/19 183/10				
personally [3] 48/13	107/3 107/9 107/14	172/11	postmistress [1]	5/25 10/11 31/24
97/7 108/9	107/16 108/3 109/17	POL00040475 [1]	111/8	45/24 56/22 149/6
persuade [1] 8/11	111/3 115/7 117/13	173/22	potential [3] 75/21	152/14 155/2 159/3
	118/8 119/15 119/16	POL00043633 [1]	79/21 178/10	164/24
phase [2] 38/21	120/23 121/24 121/25		potentially [10] 13/21	previously [14] 9/8
73/16	124/16 126/5 126/6	POL00047895 [2]	13/23 20/19 33/14	13/20 13/25 18/8
Phase 2 [1] 38/21				I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Phases [2] 38/16	128/18 129/4 129/5	15/22 21/13	33/17 33/19 34/1 35/7	20/18 33/13 39/3
38/25	132/21 134/16 136/23		72/24 172/17	58/24 151/24 160/19
	138/13 138/18 141/25	119/15	pounds [3] 52/9	162/21 164/5 164/16
Phases 5 [2] 38/16	142/25 143/1 143/6	POL00097402 [1]	52/10 174/18	164/18
38/25	144/5 144/17 146/11	124/16	power [5] 144/24	primarily [1] 181/17
phrase [13] 44/2	146/13 148/6 148/17			
47/10 51/25 55/25		POL00098315 [1]	145/1 159/1 165/14	primary [2] 39/7
	148/20 151/6 151/22	126/5	179/12	134/5

(69) Penny - primary

Р	165/25 166/2 177/17	28/17 28/19 28/22	180/21	quickly [2] 63/10
	179/1 183/2 184/18	33/1 53/18 54/18	purposes [2] 75/10	87/1
Prime [2] 85/16 183/25	processed [2] 6/2	131/15 144/19 144/23	92/6	quiet [1] 122/18
principle [2] 2/3	7/16	145/4 171/7 171/9	pursue [1] 178/22	quite [13] 98/22
86/13	processes [3] 92/12	181/2	pursuing [2] 32/18	102/5 118/16 124/25
printout [1] 67/12	99/13 122/6	prosecutions [34]	124/8	125/5 126/11 137/21
prior [8] 41/18 45/18	processing [2] 121/6 159/16	15/9 60/14 60/24 61/14 62/13 62/14	push [1] 122/24	138/4 143/13 143/22 159/7 166/14 180/5
58/18 58/23 59/2	produce [8] 16/5	64/4 64/8 64/11 64/14	put [36] 1/25 2/6 2/8 2/12 4/13 7/6 7/19	quoted [1] 94/20
114/17 115/1 139/1	24/17 24/19 47/19	64/17 64/19 64/23	15/12 22/21 26/2 26/5	<u> </u>
priorities [1] 70/10	128/7 156/19 157/12	96/1 96/3 96/6 96/11	26/15 27/2 31/2 31/3	R
priority [1] 179/24 prison [1] 161/18	173/8	96/16 96/21 96/25	31/21 52/3 59/11	rack [1] 19/3
private [3] 66/17	produced [18] 6/15	97/13 100/3 126/2	88/17 99/25 114/9	raise [1] 87/16
66/18 66/21	11/11 12/9 21/1 24/19	130/11 130/18 131/16		raised [14] 40/22
privately [1] 66/20	29/17 34/9 34/22 35/18 107/2 116/13	131/17 131/19 133/11 133/15 133/22 134/1		62/7 85/16 96/8 101/3 114/23 116/11 117/20
privilege [3] 2/4 2/7	119/7 135/11 135/12	133/15 133/22 134/1	138/5 141/11 144/21 177/12 179/3 179/14	145/11 148/23 153/6
178/6	145/16 159/16 178/4	prospect [1] 177/7	180/4 180/8 181/14	163/17 171/21 171/24
privileged [2] 177/18	184/13	protect [2] 77/12	putting [3] 26/8	raises [1] 160/18
180/13 proactively [1] 64/15	produces [1] 15/10	79/17	131/3 178/9	raising [5] 62/25 82/3
probably [6] 8/2	producing [2] 135/14		Q	83/10 96/18 101/8
36/22 120/3 131/16	148/2	77/23 77/23		random [1] 23/25
152/25 171/7	profession [2] 110/7	protection [1] 126/8	qualified [2] 109/18 127/8	range [1] 5/7 rather [11] 4/19
problem [10] 12/1	110/13 profit [2] 174/19	protest [1] 93/11 prove [3] 56/1 134/2	queried [2] 164/2	29/25 32/15 35/1 35/4
43/2 45/4 45/5 54/21	175/22	180/2	164/22	58/22 78/12 81/12
62/11 91/11 95/7	profitable [1] 134/8	proved [1] 143/20	queries [2] 24/16	98/14 119/3 165/10
113/4 137/20	programme [1] 50/16		136/22	ratified [1] 80/6
problems [26] 41/14 41/22 42/3 42/20	programming [1]	127/14 143/25	question [42] 1/25	re [3] 1/10 185/3
44/21 50/14 53/15	131/8	provide [14] 50/3	2/2 2/6 2/12 2/13	188/2
53/24 54/4 54/9 55/24	progress [2] 147/22	78/2 78/14 78/18 96/3	16/14 16/15 25/16	re-examination [1] 185/3
64/6 65/4 85/14 86/16	175/4	104/4 104/11 122/21 147/2 158/5 162/10	28/16 48/7 58/14 65/1 82/17 83/8 91/25	re-sworn [2] 1/10
86/18 96/21 101/1	prohibition [1] 79/22 project [3] 41/5	172/5 173/19 177/18	96/14 96/22 102/9	188/2
120/20 123/2 123/19	42/10 146/21	provided [10] 9/8	118/16 125/22 128/16	
125/15 137/2 137/12	projects [1] 70/11	37/20 46/20 49/9	132/4 132/10 135/4	137/10 162/5 178/8
137/14 137/24 procedure [25] 42/9	promote [1] 39/8	56/23 81/5 156/21		reach/go [1] 101/12
42/24 43/18 43/20	prompted [2] 98/18	173/13 175/9 181/9		reached [3] 62/2 81/2
44/7 47/5 47/9 47/13	129/24	provides [1] 29/23	172/2 173/19 174/21	122/7
49/23 49/24 50/1	proper [2] 133/6 157/20	providing [4] 7/5 36/5 91/20 108/22	175/7 175/15 176/11 180/19 183/15 184/5	reaching [2] 75/11 81/14
50/21 51/3 51/5 51/6	properly [5] 11/13	proving [1] 164/13	184/8 186/4 186/22	reacting [1] 140/20
51/14 51/15 51/16			Questioned [12] 1/11	
52/1 52/18 55/14	proportion [1]	prudent [1] 71/23	37/12 89/20 95/4	read [15] 5/4 12/19
55/24 63/18 65/6 99/24	178/24	public [9] 1/24 84/23	106/23 185/20 188/4	20/14 25/6 29/10
Procedure' [1] 50/19	proposal [9] 78/14	91/21 97/9 97/10	188/8 188/10 188/12	32/19 33/9 71/17
procedures [3] 42/20	89/4 114/9 114/10	100/15 133/5 176/25	188/17 188/19 questions [51] 1/1/	78/12 80/16 85/24 86/11 90/9 131/10
50/10 80/9	114/11 114/19 116/4 116/6 134/10	179/6 publication [1] 140/9	questions [51] 1/14 1/20 2/8 2/9 3/7 4/8	187/19
proceed [3] 89/5	propose [1] 165/2	publicly [1] 79/23	4/13 5/4 25/3 26/22	reading [6] 4/10
161/24 163/23	proposed [3] 4/3	published [9] 107/25	36/11 36/13 37/14	15/25 62/14 62/15
proceeding [2] 89/11 179/4	76/23 79/24	122/11 133/9 134/17	38/17 75/22 84/5 84/6	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
proceedings [2]	proposing [1] 17/19	134/25 135/1 135/23	88/9 88/11 88/19	reads [8] 15/1 46/19
32/16 60/8	prosecute [1] 62/20	135/24 176/24	88/25 89/3 89/5 89/13	
process [31] 42/6	prosecuted [16]	publishing [1]	89/15 89/17 90/5 94/25 95/9 96/13	76/21 78/17 86/21
42/18 43/17 47/24	53/14 53/24 54/4 54/9 54/19 56/4 58/5 59/4	122/10 pull [1] 139/16	94/25 95/9 96/13 100/11 101/25 102/9	real [1] 111/20 reality [2] 45/22
52/14 54/20 55/18	62/1 63/21 63/25	purpose [20] 4/5	105/21 105/25 102/3	132/24
59/14 59/18 59/19	84/20 99/8 130/25	11/7 77/11 89/13	106/8 108/1 115/17	really [24] 14/23
63/11 73/22 74/20 85/19 99/23 120/12	131/22 159/21	114/7 114/7 114/8	134/9 144/13 144/17	15/13 17/10 18/25
138/10 152/9 158/16	prosecuting [3] 59/1	129/17 132/6 134/7	174/1 174/3 177/16	19/7 26/9 27/10 27/18
159/15 160/16 164/5	110/6 110/7	135/2 135/14 149/4	183/15 185/1 185/3	30/14 31/18 32/2 32/3
164/16 164/18 164/25	prosecution [18] 4/5	149/25 150/2 159/9	185/7 185/8 185/24	32/11 32/13 36/8 48/21 54/23 74/2
	19/2 19/13 28/6 28/9	159/13 159/13 179/13	YUICK [2] 57/0 94/18	40/21 04/20 14/2

(70) Prime - really

R	116/1 116/3 118/4	137/4 146/14 150/5	53/17 60/13 98/6	repeatedly [1] 187/15
really [6] 127/23	120/8 125/13 125/14	refer' [1] 10/24	110/19	repeating [1] 101/17
151/11 155/7 164/9	127/6 133/20 142/9	reference [25] 72/5	relation [10] 3/25 5/7	rephrase [3] 128/1
168/5 184/17	143/13 143/24 148/13		5/17 19/1 88/22 92/22	131/18 142/19
reappearing [1]	170/15 170/17	107/7 109/16 148/10	93/25 94/14 172/7	replace [2] 15/20
106/7	recommend [7]	148/22 148/25 149/6	186/15	148/25
reason [20] 9/20	117/21 155/24 160/6	149/7 149/11 149/14	relations [1] 43/25	replaced [2] 150/6
10/22 13/24 17/3	160/12 161/23 162/14	149/19 149/24 151/22		150/18
19/20 19/21 26/10	165/7	152/1 152/3 152/6	45/12 54/13 69/25	replicate [1] 121/5
27/4 29/5 30/10 30/24	recommendation [6]		74/6 74/10 76/20 81/8	replied [1] 153/13
32/10 33/21 63/8 66/5	156/20 157/13 158/15		125/3	reply [1] 7/23
74/16 75/8 93/7	159/5 164/3 164/23	referenced [2] 72/23	released [6] 89/12	report [47] 71/10
150/16 170/20	recommendations	79/8	106/5 119/11 135/4	71/15 71/20 81/4
reasonable [2]	[1] 164/11	references [1] 87/8	135/5 139/13	82/10 85/2 85/3 85/20
131/24 177/14	recommended [9]	referred [18] 50/21	releasing [1] 135/3	87/5 87/15 114/16
reasonably [3] 80/9	155/22 156/1 157/16	52/11 52/25 59/1 62/9		114/18 119/7 119/9
145/3 162/4	160/8 161/12 162/15	62/9 73/9 127/4 136/9		119/11 121/24 122/1
reasoned [1] 162/10	162/22 164/4 164/24	136/12 138/15 148/7	38/24 90/9 99/5 99/17	
reasons [13] 8/3 9/10	recommending [1] 163/12	151/9 158/2 158/3	101/9 154/4 155/9	128/22 134/17 134/19 134/25 135/3 135/9
16/12 25/7 25/14		176/18 184/9 185/25	158/17 172/17	
25/18 47/22 76/9	reconcile [1] 162/3	referring [14] 47/2 47/4 100/11 117/7	reliability [1] 13/2	135/22 136/23 137/22 138/11 139/1 139/13
122/6 149/17 165/22	reconciling [1] 172/23		reliable [1] 15/10	138/11 139/1 139/13 139/17 139/21 139/22
165/25 170/21	reconstitute [1] 77/4	120/13 124/24 126/16 129/10 131/6 137/2	reliance [1] 84/20 reliant [1] 53/4	139/24 140/10 140/13
reassure [1] 137/20	reconstitute [1] 7774	149/9 168/24 173/23	relied [1] 131/14	140/21 148/11 148/12
recall [39] 1/7 1/12	76/21	173/25	rely [1] 2/7	155/23 156/8 160/7
1/17 5/24 8/24 35/10	record [23] 24/16	refers [6] 74/23	relying [2] 42/19 63/2	175/1 175/2 184/13
50/23 67/7 68/6 70/14	47/23 48/4 53/13	117/17 129/15 166/20		reported [5] 41/15
84/24 84/25 101/23	53/21 54/8 54/11	168/3 181/19	remains [4] 49/20	41/17 61/22 85/10
101/24 112/16 113/19	54/22 56/9 56/17	reflected [2] 149/24	49/23 79/23 123/11	175/8
115/17 115/20 116/4	62/12 63/16 63/19	151/4	remediation [1]	reporting [2] 41/22
119/5 121/23 122/8	63/23 64/3 64/18	refused [2] 92/7	141/12	96/22
130/3 133/13 134/6	64/18 64/23 100/15	109/2	remedies [1] 143/11	represent [16] 50/14
134/10 137/24 138/1	107/7 168/4 168/9	refusing [1] 76/10	remember [16] 1/15	65/10 66/5 68/3 69/20
140/3 141/5 143/12	176/25	regard [2] 139/18	11/1 18/22 30/14	69/20 70/7 75/7 75/24
143/22 149/18 154/5 164/7 170/4 171/16	recorded [2] 41/8	151/16	32/14 57/23 131/3	76/2 79/18 90/2 91/13
174/12 185/24	174/13	regarding [5] 7/5	131/5 138/4 141/15	91/18 92/24 93/15
recalls [1] 116/15	recording [3] 42/4	82/3 138/15 186/9	167/10 167/20 171/6	representation [14]
recap [1] 3/14	147/21 147/24	186/22	174/14 174/15 177/17	43/22 46/12 46/21
receipt [1] 74/9	records [6] 10/24	Regardless [1]	remind [6] 1/19 2/5	49/9 60/7 65/8 70/11
receive [3] 71/15	42/15 53/17 53/22	160/12	4/9 104/15 118/11	76/6 76/17 81/11
144/22 174/2	56/10 60/19	regards [2] 56/24	175/20	81/18 96/4 112/25
received [6] 41/7	recover [1] 113/6	94/19	reminder [1] 129/16	178/1
50/3 72/19 76/22 78/7	recovery [1] 126/23	regional [7] 43/15	remit [6] 77/21 82/7	representative [10]
82/9	recruit [4] 67/6 67/8	43/22 44/20 44/24	83/11 122/2 122/3	47/12 63/14 71/2
receiving [2] 129/18	68/13 68/24	49/21 54/14 99/19	152/15	76/12 88/20 91/3 91/7
132/7	recruiting [1] 67/14	regret [1] 168/14	remote [2] 36/16	91/10 91/23 92/21
recent [5] 7/1 61/13	recruitment [2] 76/3	regular [3] 44/18	138/16	representatives [15]
91/19 109/13 109/15	76/5	55/20 99/22	remotely [2] 2/16	
recognise [1] 76/10	rectify [1] 55/24	regularly [7] 41/17	139/1	62/16 63/22 78/9 84/7
recognised [11]	rectifying [1] 55/18	44/19 55/14 112/15 130/2 139/10 172/2	removal [1] 74/11	88/10 99/18 99/22 100/2 106/4 127/19
33/16 70/5 75/9 75/16	recur [1] 151/12		remove [3] 28/24 34/2 114/21	134/22 140/9
75/24 76/1 84/6 88/10	recusal [4] 181/25 182/4 182/5 183/4	regulate [2] 69/2 69/12	removed [8] 12/7	represented [7] 57/5
106/4 113/14 179/1	recuse [1] 182/16	reinforce [2] 154/1	12/7 26/5 27/1 28/21	59/3 75/4 82/15 101/6
recognising [1]	red [2] 22/21 186/12	154/18	31/6 36/6 74/14	103/14 185/2
132/25	redacted [1] 124/2	rejected [1] 157/8	remunerate [1] 67/3	representing [7]
recognition [4] 66/3	redress [3] 141/12	relate [4] 5/10 5/21	rep [18] 43/21 43/21	46/24 48/10 56/13
66/6 75/19 92/5	141/21 183/13	40/25 60/21	43/22 44/5 44/5 44/8	59/5 65/24 66/1 68/21
recollect [1] 127/5	reduced [1] 171/17	related [3] 69/24	49/18 50/13 55/16	represents [2] 48/24
recollected [1] 167/8	refer [14] 6/1 6/9	81/21 85/4	55/17 55/21 57/16	81/6
recollection [20]	92/2 92/17 97/3	relates [2] 5/25 71/20		reps [26] 43/9 44/14
62/23 66/16 95/16 114/20 114/21 115/15	107/11 111/9 118/21	relating [8] 16/5	63/25 101/19 103/13	44/18 44/19 44/20
114/20114/21110/10	128/20 133/11 133/17	24/17 30/12 48/18	repeat [1] 31/19	45/2 45/6 49/20 49/21

(71) really... - reps

R	restrict [1] 148/14	60/17 60/19 61/15	7/10 11/1 13/24 17/21	
reps [17] 49/21	restricted [3] 92/25	62/6 62/21 65/11	18/9 19/24 26/3 27/4	111/5 111/22 112/2
	93/13 121/16	66/10 69/3 69/6 70/11	28/2 28/11 29/11	112/6 113/2 113/9
49/22 50/9 54/12	result [3] 126/19	84/21 87/12 93/18	29/16 31/2 31/8 31/19	114/3 114/10 115/7
54/14 54/14 54/16	156/18 182/14	93/24 94/2 94/18	31/24 33/18 35/10	117/12 118/22 120/25
54/20 54/21 55/2 56/4				
62/4 99/11 99/16	resulting [1] 126/22	100/7 102/14 105/22	35/23 36/2 41/14	121/2 124/6 126/19
104/9 104/12 104/13	resume [3] 36/25	106/13 107/5 108/6	41/20 47/6 50/24	126/25 127/11 128/9
reputation [2] 90/19	106/11 146/1	112/8 118/16 126/9	54/16 54/23 55/5	130/9 131/2 133/4
	resworn [1] 1/8	156/10 160/13 166/14	58/25 59/17 61/21	136/8 136/13 137/16
90/25	Retail [3] 72/3 72/12	167/18 176/14 176/25	61/25 61/25 63/25	137/18 139/16 139/25
request [6] 5/8 56/9	73/7	181/11 184/8	69/17 70/9 76/15	140/16 140/18 140/19
56/11 88/16 109/2	retain [3] 53/17 53/22		84/17 85/9 87/19	142/1 142/2 143/21
173/16				
requested [2] 128/24	153/1	23/9 23/11	90/10 91/6 96/2 96/4	146/2 146/18 147/24
134/13	retention [1] 152/19	rightly [2] 126/19	98/17 98/21 101/5	148/8 152/16 152/22
	retiring [2] 155/13	142/1	104/11 115/20 115/25	152/24 153/3 153/9
requests [3] 5/10	155/14	rights [1] 70/6	125/13 128/15 133/20	155/10 155/24 157/10
12/7 123/25	return [2] 74/19	rise [1] 61/14	136/6 137/18 137/23	167/2 168/8 169/11
require [2] 5/16	89/14	risk [2] 2/1 79/20	139/11 140/3 143/12	169/13 170/23 171/8
177/18				
required [5] 83/19	returning [1] 36/19	risk' [1] 80/6	143/14 143/22 143/25	172/1 172/4 172/15
123/5 131/25 152/10	revealed [2] 14/21	Robinson [1] 182/9	150/24 155/2 156/9	173/13 173/22 176/19
152/19	146/21	robust [1] 86/15	159/19 159/22 164/15	179/6 179/17 181/13
	revealing [2] 35/15	role [18] 39/7 39/21	165/4 168/8 169/19	183/10
requiring [1] 158/11	151/16	40/10 45/8 45/13	170/3 170/4 170/16	saying [27] 9/23 10/1
research [3] 56/20	reveals [1] 34/13	45/14 46/7 46/10 49/2		
56/21 137/13				
researching [1]	Revenue [2] 110/5	56/22 61/18 62/24	171/11 171/21 172/8	35/20 48/5 51/18 56/8
177/3	131/23	83/15 96/23 157/21	sales [1] 127/22	58/16 62/10 63/1 64/2
resign [2] 57/8 58/22	Revenue's [1] 110/6	158/13 164/2 164/22	salient [1] 76/25	64/25 82/10 86/15
	revenues [1] 78/5	roles [2] 39/2 69/1	Sam [1] 90/1	96/10 111/9 116/9
resigned [1] 58/4	reverse [1] 144/25	roll [1] 170/19	same [20] 9/15 10/17	137/19 138/19 154/25
resigning [1] 64/22	review [22] 26/19	rolled [5] 48/12 58/7	20/11 20/12 21/25	171/6 181/22 184/4
resolution [4] 80/19				
158/11 159/15 162/5	29/1 79/10 88/22	61/1 158/24 170/22	49/20 52/19 61/5	187/15
resolve [2] 114/13	88/24 89/2 89/5	rolling [1] 135/18	63/20 68/1 69/21	says [45] 8/14 9/3 9/9
114/22	115/11 116/7 120/2	rollout [2] 40/17 64/7	69/22 121/5 129/3	12/13 14/8 15/4 24/15
	120/6 120/10 122/13	Ron [14] 112/19	142/18 156/13 168/2	26/16 32/4 61/5 79/11
resolved [1] 55/6	122/16 138/13 144/6	114/3 115/2 121/14	182/18 184/19 184/21	80/12 86/8 92/11
resolving [1] 159/11	148/11 154/15 156/19	124/22 125/5 126/7	sample [1] 136/11	117/14 117/17 119/24
RespCd [1] 29/21				
respect [4] 2/6 93/7	156/21 157/9 159/19	138/6 139/7 139/12	satisfaction [2]	122/2 122/2 124/21
108/12 183/20	reviewed [5] 154/12	141/1 150/11 173/1	100/17 160/19	126/14 127/7 129/7
respond [1] 181/15	154/13 155/8 156/7	175/18	satisfied [4] 115/13	129/16 132/21 144/20
	159/22	Ronald [1] 119/17	116/17 125/18 125/20	148/22 149/22 151/10
responded [1] 81/22	reviewing [5] 26/4	room [5] 59/21	satisfy [1] 115/20	152/1 153/25 154/20
responding [2]	26/6 26/7 27/16 31/4	155/16 155/17 165/5	save [1] 38/23	155/17 156/17 157/3
126/11 149/23				
response [10] 8/16	reviews [11] 115/19	165/11	saw [6] 103/10	157/25 158/4 160/9
9/15 10/14 29/12	116/1 116/2 120/1	roughly [2] 113/20	118/11 121/17 122/12	
29/21 30/11 32/4 83/7	120/7 120/11 120/14	167/20	167/19 181/21	163/7 163/19 168/15
	120/16 130/7 130/23	round [3] 27/22	say [127] 5/15 11/12	170/7
140/2 174/2	135/15	117/3 137/13	11/25 14/7 14/24	scale [3] 45/2 102/5
responses [2] 24/22	revised [1] 152/2	rounding [1] 180/7	17/19 18/10 30/13	126/21
115/14		Royal [11] 65/22 84/1		
responsibilities [6]	revisions [1] 9/4			
39/3 48/18 83/7 83/22	revisit [2] 38/23 40/8	91/20 93/3 93/8 154/3		95/15
97/18 97/18	reworked [1] 152/3	154/22 154/22 155/3	39/17 39/24 42/12	Scarborough [1]
	rewritten [1] 47/14	155/4 155/5	42/14 42/19 43/5 49/4	166/9
responsibility [19]	right [73] 1/20 1/24	Rudkin [1] 138/15	50/17 51/9 52/19	scenes [2] 108/6
39/8 39/25 40/5 40/12	2/23 3/18 4/24 6/9	rule [5] 2/14 83/23	53/12 54/2 56/3 57/3	146/24
41/11 45/9 45/12	12/5 18/1 20/24 22/16		58/10 58/17 58/20	scheduled [1] 71/12
48/22 72/17 87/20				
87/21 87/22 94/9	23/7 23/9 23/11 24/14		61/4 61/5 61/10 61/13	
97/22 98/10 98/11	24/25 33/25 34/7	166/5	62/11 62/18 63/16	114/15 140/7 140/23
98/23 99/4 101/18	34/16 34/16 36/18	run [4] 5/4 50/6	66/8 66/11 69/1 69/7	141/9 141/14 141/18
	36/24 38/10 39/5	159/16 181/18	69/10 70/2 72/9 72/16	141/19 142/21 144/7
responsible [8] 61/6	39/10 39/15 39/19	running [1] 102/4	72/19 73/17 75/12	144/18 144/21 145/2
65/19 65/20 65/24	39/22 40/2 40/13	runs [1] 37/23	75/14 75/23 80/8	146/11 147/17 147/20
66/1 82/20 98/3 148/1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
rest [3] 16/13 25/14	40/23 41/1 41/9 41/24	S	81/25 87/25 88/4	151/17 156/17 159/16
97/20	46/3 49/4 49/15 58/3		90/14 92/3 97/3 98/12	
	58/10 58/17 60/2	said [81] 4/9 4/15	98/16 99/12 100/14	166/5 176/10 177/22
				(72) rons – schomo

(72) reps... - scheme

S	146/15 147/13	30/19 36/3 55/10	share [1] 105/24	showing [2] 52/16
	section [11] 67/22	68/19 70/22 73/13	shared [4] 87/9	56/10
scheme [6] 178/3 180/10 180/12 180/21	68/1 68/3 68/12 68/23		130/13 130/14 136/14	
180/23 181/7	75/20 87/13 99/5	177/14	Shareholder [1] 82/5	27/21 46/15 46/17
schools [1] 50/6	99/17 152/9 153/6	sensitive [1] 80/14	sharing [2] 45/4	47/3 48/15 60/13
scope [3] 72/13	Section 8 [1] 152/9	sent [11] 6/14 17/24	163/17	67/12 84/20 107/10
117/13 148/23	sections [1] 97/16	18/18 19/5 19/15 51/4	she [21] 8/13 111/9	shows [2] 79/2 177/5
screen [5] 21/21	Security [1] 3/18 see [84] 1/3 1/5 4/14	118/18 118/19 168/1 183/18 183/23	111/12 129/12 141/10 147/4 147/12 147/13	sic [2] 28/6 165/11 side [6] 4/18 23/10
46/17 107/10 146/12	4/18 6/22 7/1 7/11	sentence [8] 15/20	150/17 166/24 168/19	
166/16	7/22 9/16 10/19 10/21	36/5 117/14 117/17	169/3 169/7 169/14	183/1
screens [1] 36/23 scroll [22] 4/19 4/25	12/6 14/7 15/5 15/15	127/10 130/9 145/8	169/19 169/25 170/11	Sight [52] 85/20
5/14 6/22 7/22 9/3 9/9	16/8 16/24 17/3 18/8	164/21	170/12 170/20 170/23	1
13/13 13/14 15/25	21/3 21/6 21/20 21/23		170/24	116/5 116/18 116/19
16/1 20/9 21/6 22/13	22/2 22/4 22/19 22/22		she'd [3] 169/9	117/10 117/17 117/23
23/20 23/24 33/6	22/23 22/25 23/2 23/5 23/9 23/13 23/21	21/12 55/9 66/22 68/3 69/20 82/25 105/14	she's [3] 8/14 8/15	120/9 121/11 122/13 124/15 127/19 128/3
67/19 79/7 81/3	26/15 26/25 27/8	132/13 146/20	8/16	129/19 130/23 134/20
148/20 163/25	27/17 28/3 33/23 35/9		SHEILA [3] 106/22	134/23 135/2 135/9
scrolling [1] 24/25 Sea [1] 166/9	36/4 36/8 37/6 38/1	September [7] 119/4	106/25 188/14	136/1 136/16 136/20
search [1] 94/18		141/22 166/22 167/17		137/10 142/3 155/22
second [83] 16/3	71/10 74/4 76/19 81/2	167/19 172/12 173/24		155/23 155/23 156/7
16/4 16/7 22/24 23/24	84/14 85/8 89/7 89/12		Shoosmiths [1]	156/8 156/9 156/18
24/12 25/4 25/4 31/5	93/16 95/5 106/17 107/18 117/3 117/13	183/17 serious [3] 51/22	123/13 shop [1] 111/10	157/7 157/10 157/12 158/6 158/14 158/20
34/5 37/20 46/16	120/6 120/21 122/11	63/12 73/25	short [5] 37/4 84/4	160/7 161/12 162/15
74/18 76/19 77/25	129/3 137/1 143/6	seriousness [1]	84/12 106/15 146/6	164/3 164/11 164/23
78/16 85/20 86/11 112/20 114/17 115/8	146/8 148/18 148/21	51/24	shortcomings [1]	173/18 173/18 173/25
116/4 116/17 116/19	149/22 151/18 154/10		85/21	174/6 175/1 175/11
116/22 117/10 117/17	163/4 163/25 167/4	93/5	shortfall [4] 52/16	Sight's [4] 116/23
117/17 117/19 117/23	172/12 173/17 174/23		52/20 53/5 64/1	135/23 136/12 174/7
118/1 119/24 120/9	175/5 182/15 182/23 seeing [2] 22/16	96/15 147/6 serving [1] 86/25	shortfalls [5] 46/15 48/15 102/18 111/13	sign [1] 114/12 signature [6] 38/1
	167/7	session [3] 141/22	130/6	38/5 38/7 107/8
124/15 127/19 128/3	oook [1] 38/17	142/10 142/11	shorthand [4] 2/3	107/19 107/20
129/19 130/23 134/20 134/23 135/2 135/9	seeking [3] 92/15	set [14] 25/18 63/9	138/16 185/13 185/17	
135/23 136/1 136/12	120/5 181/6	68/23 77/19 86/1	shorthand-writer [1]	significance [1] 77/9
136/16 136/20 137/10	seem [2] 63/1 120/6	110/9 110/14 110/16	185/17	significant [6] 4/11
142/3 150/17 153/21	seemed [2] 147/13 169/4	149/4 149/15 149/18 150/3 152/9 166/5	shortly [6] 42/11 85/4 107/25 112/21 117/9	13/7 13/9 44/25 50/19 52/24
155/22 155/23 155/23	seems [3] 17/10	sets [4] 9/14 122/1	167/3	silos [1] 132/12
156/7 156/8 156/8	27/10 72/19	149/15 151/9	should [44] 2/14 2/18	
156/18 157/7 157/10	seen [15] 5/18 17/17	setting [3] 32/21	2/20 2/21 8/1 35/12	77/12 123/1 176/17
157/12 158/6 158/14 158/20 160/7 161/12	22/3 32/20 58/23	68/12 93/8	36/6 37/17 61/17	similarity [1] 180/3
162/15 164/3 164/11	119/3 119/18 119/21	settle [4] 122/17	61/18 62/5 64/14 65/1	
164/21 164/23 166/13	123/12 137/23 138/6	167/12 168/10 168/13		simple [1] 151/12
166/14 173/18 173/18	138/16 140/20 167/3 173/15	settlement [1] 147/15	104/3 107/4 107/18 109/9 118/16 129/25	simply [8] 84/18 98/15 113/4 126/3
173/25 174/6 174/7	select [3] 31/17	seven [1] 61/9	130/12 130/18 137/11	
175/1 175/11	94/13 135/15	several [10] 43/10	140/13 140/19 152/8	165/19
Secondly [1] 149/3	selected [1] 135/10	52/9 52/10 92/13	156/9 157/9 157/10	since [12] 1/18 3/20
secrecy [1] 146/24 secretarial [1] 147/2	self [5] 2/4 2/7 102/2	110/7 116/6 172/9	157/17 158/24 159/11	18/16 39/17 39/25
secretariat [1] 147/6	102/4 110/1	175/8 178/2 178/12	160/6 161/10 161/23	40/6 48/24 49/2 76/16
Secretaries [1] 83/24	self-employed [2]	severe [1] 179/12		
secretary [29] 39/4	102/2 102/4 self-incrimination [2]	severely [1] 95/17	171/8 172/19 183/22 187/5	Singh [2] 67/22 68/8 single [3] 3/7 3/24
39/18 40/1 40/12 45/9	2/4 2/7	92/23	shouldn't [2] 29/1	52/13
45/13 45/14 50/24	send [2] 7/7 17/24	shall [2] 38/16	138/5	sir [77] 1/3 3/1 18/21
57/14 57/15 61/19 61/20 62/24 65/9 70/3	sending [1] 130/1	145/25	show [8] 20/6 51/4	36/13 36/15 37/6 84/3
70/18 70/21 83/12	senior [10] 39/18	sham [1] 181/7	60/23 113/18 130/10	84/14 84/16 88/9
83/13 83/15 83/16	40/1 40/4 61/19 83/15		130/17 131/25 177/12	
83/24 89/24 93/19	83/20 89/23 99/17	166/7	showed [5] 20/7	89/4 89/10 89/16
94/3 98/8 113/15	100/5 147/12 sense [7] 30/17	shape-shifting [1] 109/6	20/12 52/23 59/7 165/4	96/18 100/9 105/24 106/10 106/17 111/20
		100/0		
				(72) sohomo sir

(73) scheme... - sir

S	snippet [1] 103/10	104/18 110/24 114/7	105/10 105/12 175/12	66/8 69/1 69/7 70/2
	so [225]	117/12 128/1 129/25	stage [36] 56/8 59/6	81/24 83/7 84/17 88/4
sir [55] 111/25	Society [2] 70/23	134/5 136/4 138/3	59/16 60/1 65/14 86/5	88/5 92/3 96/13 107/2
112/10 112/12 112/17				
113/9 113/19 114/3	182/21	140/19 142/19 143/1	87/9 87/10 110/20	107/19 107/21 108/2
118/15 121/13 126/7	software [3] 126/18	153/5 156/4 160/3	110/25 111/25 116/10	111/3 113/2 115/6
	127/22 131/8	166/18 170/13 170/23	116/24 118/3 120/14	116/13 116/14 117/6
126/25 129/6 129/15	sole [2] 83/25 97/22	176/4 181/5 185/11	123/4 123/8 123/16	118/8 118/20 120/23
129/24 129/25 131/20				
132/9 133/23 139/8	solely [2] 121/16	sort [20] 8/7 8/24	124/12 125/7 125/18	128/18 132/20 132/21
139/11 141/1 145/23	149/21	10/8 10/15 25/23	125/24 129/23 130/6	139/16 141/25 143/3
	solicitors [4] 178/24	26/12 29/22 30/21	130/16 131/16 133/5	146/12 148/7 149/9
146/2 146/8 151/5	179/19 179/25 180/8	43/14 45/4 45/6 48/25	137/12 139/12 139/15	
151/14 153/10 153/13				
158/3 159/2 159/5	some [63] 3/7 8/7 8/8		144/4 145/2 145/10	155/11 166/11 167/2
159/6 159/9 159/21	8/12 10/8 10/15 20/23	135/9 167/11 168/11	145/19 148/3 164/17	171/22 172/16 176/16
	25/23 26/12 28/11	179/17 183/13	stages [2] 137/16	181/4 185/21 185/22
163/11 163/19 165/17	29/22 30/20 34/6 35/3	sought [3] 124/12	168/23	187/9 187/11 187/19
172/2 176/19 178/2	38/17 38/17 40/8 41/3			
183/16 183/22 184/4			stake [1] 169/21	statement.' [1] 6/16
184/7 185/1 185/8	51/4 56/8 56/10 60/13	Sounds [1] 6/10	stand [2] 38/15	statements [6] 11/11
	74/5 77/2 79/6 87/11	South [1] 111/10	107/24	13/1 43/12 54/7 76/15
185/10 185/18 185/21	91/11 93/3 95/9 96/12	Southampton [2]	standard [2] 45/3	186/19
186/3 187/4 187/7	100/11 108/1 111/15	110/15 110/23	131/24	states [2] 77/25
187/13 187/14 187/23				
Sir Alan [27] 96/18	111/23 120/3 123/16	Sparrow [1] 146/21	standards [1] 80/3	79/10
111/20 111/25 112/10	127/21 128/5 129/11	speak [6] 2/21 5/8	standing [2] 81/10	statistics [2] 60/13
	135/5 135/7 135/9	55/19 95/8 108/16	153/20	60/20
112/12 112/17 113/9	136/10 141/2 141/7	183/3	stands [1] 168/20	status [2] 70/4 70/7
113/19 114/3 121/13				
126/7 126/25 129/6	141/11 142/13 143/16		start [17] 5/3 9/8 20/6	
129/15 129/24 129/25	147/14 153/17 153/19	10/17 13/2 57/9	73/24 108/4 109/17	stay [1] 165/18
	155/4 158/8 161/8	special [2] 71/25	109/22 111/1 120/12	steadfast [1] 109/1
131/20 132/9 133/23	161/8 166/6 167/11	108/15	135/18 143/1 143/2	steal [1] 59/17
139/8 139/11 141/1				
158/3 163/19 178/2	168/11 177/16 178/6	specific [15] 8/10	151/13 154/8 159/25	stealing [1] 58/2
183/16 183/22	183/11 183/13 184/23	48/14 51/20 52/15	172/11 184/8	Stein [11] 88/18 89/1
	somebody [10] 14/19	74/16 83/8 91/5 94/11	started [5] 67/6	89/20 90/1 95/7 185/2
Sir Anthony [11]	24/7 35/12 43/16	98/4 98/23 116/10	99/16 130/23 141/21	185/8 185/9 185/20
151/5 151/14 153/10				
153/13 159/2 159/5	43/18 56/12 63/20	120/11 134/24 173/19		188/10 188/19
159/6 159/9 159/21	141/10 147/13 147/14	174/15	starting [4] 113/1	stenographer [2]
	somehow [2] 99/10	specifically [1] 43/6	120/20 123/13 153/18	
165/17 172/2	99/12	specified [1] 24/18	state [4] 78/7 79/15	step [2] 64/16 87/4
Sir Wyn [1] 186/3				
sirs [1] 118/12	someone [12] 14/8	specify [1] 181/21	106/24 125/2	stepping [1] 135/20
sit [4] 82/20 83/6	19/2 20/20 44/6 94/23	Spelling [1] 107/14	stated [2] 48/18 59/2	steps [8] 76/14 82/2
	147/10 149/19 150/18	spelt [2] 107/12	statement [139] 3/9	82/17 83/9 154/25
91/8 104/24	159/9 159/14 160/25	107/13	4/4 5/8 5/17 6/1 6/7	154/25 163/8 181/15
sitting [3] 22/7				
103/18 150/13	171/2	spent [1] 31/16		STEVENS [9] 106/23
	someone's [1] 182/8	SPM [2] 109/3 109/9	8/15 8/15 8/25 9/6	118/10 177/4 181/5
situation [2] 50/4	something [24] 7/7	SPMR [1] 170/7	11/4 12/2 12/9 13/15	186/4 186/10 186/22
51/24	7/20 16/21 26/8 44/16		13/18 14/5 14/9 14/12	
situations [1] 155/24				
six [5] 6/6 61/7 62/14	44/25 50/25 54/5 55/8		14/23 15/23 16/3	Sticking [1] 151/21
152/25 153/15	62/6 62/21 64/8 64/14	114/14 114/23 123/5	18/13 18/17 18/19	still [13] 44/1 75/18
	104/3 120/25 126/17	125/4 133/6 187/1	19/1 19/4 19/12 19/17	86/25 92/8 114/18
six-year [1] 153/15	126/21 134/1 138/1	SPMs' [1] 130/6	20/1 20/15 20/16	134/4 139/15 140/5
skills [3] 50/11 50/12				
50/12	143/14 161/6 166/7	spoke [6] 95/11	20/16 20/21 21/1	152/15 161/6 161/10
skip [1] 29/18	170/13 180/2	112/7 112/10 112/13	24/14 24/22 25/6	166/10 168/22
	sometimes [3]	139/11 187/1	25/19 26/4 26/7 26/18	stock [1] 47/17
slide [1] 143/5	165/11 186/6 186/15	spoken [1] 119/3	26/20 27/1 27/7 27/17	
slight [1] 150/4	somewhat [1] 162/20		28/22 29/3 29/6 29/10	
slightly [3] 108/18				
133/14 148/20	somewhere [3] 5/3	spot [8] 115/18 116/1	30/1 31/6 31/17 31/21	
	73/16 177/1	116/2 130/7 130/23	31/24 31/25 32/1 32/6	stood [1] 182/9
slip [1] 166/6	soon [1] 133/3	131/1 135/15 138/13	32/24 33/8 33/9 34/9	stop [4] 145/23 159/1
sloppy [1] 32/16	sorry [45] 10/11	spreadsheets [3]	34/12 34/16 34/23	164/25 182/25
slow [2] 48/3 150/20				
slowed [1] 148/15	11/21 13/13 15/25	12/17 24/19 29/17	35/5 35/11 35/13	stopped [2] 151/15
slowly [2] 16/2	16/19 18/21 28/13	staff [22] 39/13 39/14	35/17 35/21 37/17	183/12
	28/15 32/2 32/13 36/8	41/2 41/17 42/16	37/20 37/24 38/2 38/8	stored [1] 155/4
183/12	49/8 58/13 58/14	45/21 50/3 52/17 53/3		Stoy [2] 184/14
small [5] 52/4 102/4	58/15 61/24 62/9	57/13 59/1 65/3 65/21		184/21
110/3 110/13 117/2				
Smith [1] 110/14	70/17 76/4 88/16 89/1		54/10 58/9 58/16	straight [5] 15/3
	100/8 101/11 104/13	75/14 94/5 94/5	58/20 61/13 64/20	156/10 157/11 157/17

(74) sir... - straight

S straight [1] 167/15	186/16 subpostmistress [1]	support [21] 4/1 17/12 27/12 27/25	43/7 43/23 53/6 63/3 63/4 63/9 64/2 82/4	97/8 104/21 104/21 125/5 143/17 172/25
straightforward [1]	108/21 subscription [2]	29/6 31/9 46/12 50/3 86/6 87/17 108/14	85/15 86/13 86/16 86/19 113/7 115/12	task [1] 32/21 taxation [2] 109/8
165/20	78/11 79/1	108/22 109/7 113/7	120/18 121/6 121/9	110/12
straits [1] 133/25 strange [1] 158/20	subsequent [4]	115/23 122/6 138/6	121/16 121/17 122/5	team [21] 3/18 11/10
strategy [1] 181/16	41/18 42/10 44/5	143/23 144/1 147/2	123/2 124/7 125/9	14/8 20/21 35/12 83/9
stream [1] 78/6	129/11	186/15	125/17 126/13 126/17 127/14 127/15 128/10	102/19 102/23 102/24 104/19 104/20 104/25
strength [2] 99/8	subsequently [3] 57/24 58/5 61/19	supported [1] 108/9 supporting [1] 90/19	133/2 137/1 137/3	105/1 105/18 105/19
100/3	subside [1] 85/23	supposed [3] 141/19	137/14 137/24 139/4	109/12 147/9 151/11
strict [1] 77/19 strikes [1] 91/22	substance [4] 9/14	152/14 152/16	139/10 148/4 149/21	173/9 181/14 182/20
striking [1] 36/3	24/11 116/9 145/15	suppress [1] 85/20	150/14 170/17 170/24	
strong [4] 46/20 49/9	substantial [9] 52/8 52/16 52/20 60/24	sure [13] 8/16 11/18 18/4 59/22 90/8	172/21 183/1 183/21 system' [1] 127/12	tears [1] 111/12 technical [2] 14/17
103/19 161/3	84/21 130/4 133/8	132/17 147/5 148/3	system-wide [1]	40/22
stronger [1] 139/18	159/18 173/11	151/18 152/14 158/17		Telecom [1] 70/23
strongly [2] 108/9 162/22	success [2] 177/14	159/15 185/14	systemic [18] 118/4	telephone [2] 112/24
struck [1] 141/10	178/25	surely [1] 100/24	118/4 121/1 121/12	153/23
structure [7] 63/13	successor [1] 56/22 such [32] 2/11 8/23	surface [1] 101/9 surplus [1] 174/4	121/15 121/22 122/4 126/13 127/1 127/11	tell [6] 2/11 2/22 18/24 55/2 142/22
63/14 82/19 83/21	9/23 25/24 26/13	surprised [1] 129/21	127/13 127/16 137/2	175/18
101/19 113/14 142/13 structures [2] 48/24	32/13 53/8 53/22 55/3		137/12 137/20 137/24	telling [2] 99/3
49/18	87/15 91/7 92/13	24/7	139/5 139/19	125/11
subject [4] 52/15	92/18 93/1 93/25 97/4		systems [2] 121/1	ten [2] 36/21 68/15
144/23 152/4 185/4	99/14 100/3 100/12 102/6 102/11 108/11	141/3 141/4 141/8 142/4 149/16 150/6	121/22	ten years [1] 68/15 tends [2] 60/23 67/13
submission [1] 58/2	120/6 124/9 124/13	150/8 151/1	Т	tension [2] 69/2
subparagraph [1] 80/12	142/11 159/11 170/17	suspect [1] 77/15	table [1] 158/25	69/19
subpostmaster [9]	178/20 181/25 182/20		tactic [2] 182/25	tensions [2] 69/12
52/20 68/12 87/13	184/23	52/12 134/2	183/12 tactics [3] 103/5	69/15
94/14 113/8 121/8	suddenly [1] 166/8 suffered [3] 123/2	suspense [13] 151/7 151/21 172/14 172/15		term [1] 72/21 terminated [1] 74/20
142/24 156/24 170/9	143/19 160/25	172/17 172/18 172/20		terminating [1] 87/1
subpostmaster's [4] 31/9 35/8 131/11	sufficient [11] 7/25	174/1 174/10 175/7	19/8 23/14 34/15	terms [37] 1/21 3/15
172/25	32/7 43/1 125/14	175/16 176/8 185/22	35/12 36/16 47/24	9/14 16/14 39/2 63/12
subpostmasters [63]	132/2 137/9 142/22 158/22 177/12 180/1	suspicion [2] 131/21 132/3	54/17 71/19 80/17 82/2 82/13 82/17 83/9	66/25 75/15 83/16 83/17 92/13 92/19
60/14 65/8 65/10	180/4	suspicious [1] 115/8	84/8 91/15 91/15 93/2	
65/13 65/16 65/25 66/1 66/7 66/9 66/13	suggest [4] 8/1 32/15		94/24 120/19 121/20	141/5 147/16 148/9
66/15 67/2 67/3 67/7	72/18 79/5	Swenson's [1]	123/22 126/12 132/2	148/11 148/14 148/21
67/8 67/14 68/17	suggested [11] 13/19 20/17 33/1	107/11	140/15 140/16 157/4 157/18 157/22 162/23	148/25 149/6 149/7 149/11 149/13 149/18
68/21 69/16 70/2 75/8	33/10 99/15 112/7	Swinson [1] 107/13 switch [1] 21/18	163/23 165/2 170/1	149/24 151/22 152/1
77/17 80/17 81/19	112/9 129/2 129/13	swore [1] 4/21	171/4 177/15 178/24	152/2 152/6 152/10
82/14 84/19 85/6 85/25 87/11 87/11	141/15 175/10	sworn [7] 1/10 37/10	179/20 179/24 180/17	152/13 155/19 156/5
90/2 90/12 90/19 91/4	suggesting [7] 19/11		taken [18] 76/14	160/21
92/6 94/1 95/10 97/1	31/5 35/11 98/19 117/23 150/23 184/19	188/6 188/15	86/24 94/19 113/5 123/4 123/8 130/12	territorial [1] 49/22 test [1] 161/24
99/6 100/22 100/24	suggests [4] 80/7	171/2	130/18 130/20 131/13	tested [1] 101/24
102/2 102/4 105/16	81/12 86/5 86/20	system [91] 8/4 8/7	133/7 133/25 134/4	testimony [1] 182/9
108/23 110/19 111/2 111/7 113/20 113/20	suitable [7] 156/20	9/2 9/12 9/20 10/1	150/1 163/9 174/4	text [1] 8/10
118/15 125/16 133/1	157/5 157/10 157/23	10/4 10/8 10/15 11/13		than [28] 10/14 29/21
133/3 133/24 134/13	157/24 158/5 158/9 summarise [1] 183/7	11/25 13/3 13/20 16/24 17/3 17/11	takes [1] 41/13 taking [9] 14/8 20/21	30/20 30/22 32/5 35/4 44/16 45/25 55/14
151/8 153/11 168/10	ourmaricad [1] 77/1	17/13 17/19 19/20	116/15 123/4 137/16	58/22 61/11 77/23
186/10 186/16 186/24 187/2	summarising [2]	21/18 24/7 25/9 25/23		78/12 78/18 91/23
subpostmasters' [7]	77/3 156/19	26/12 27/11 27/13	171/2	109/13 111/19 112/4
75/20 111/2 122/25	summary [7] 9/14 29/18 51/9 51/13	29/22 29/24 30/6 30/9 30/12 30/21 30/22	talk [3] 43/21 62/22 100/21	119/3 131/20 150/3 152/25 165/10 165/24
124/8 136/3 136/7	100/1 100/6 156/2	30/24 31/10 32/17	talked [2] 96/25	171/2 182/16 185/15
172/1 subpostmasters/mist	sums [1] 185/25	34/25 35/3 35/4 35/16	139/8	187/3
resses [2] 90/2	superseded [1]	35/19 35/21 35/23	talking [11] 47/6	thank [86] 1/4 1/7 3/2
	149/6	40/17 42/8 42/21 43/3	68/15 73/6 73/6 73/7	3/3 4/25 20/8 20/9
				(75) straight - thank

(75) straight... - thank

Т	159/23 163/6 163/14	180/9 180/25 183/14	65/18 65/19 65/22	125/22 126/16 127/13
thank [79] 22/12	163/15 163/19 164/19	185/5 186/14 186/17	65/24 66/19 67/6	137/6 153/19 153/23
22/13 24/1 33/7 36/14	165/9 167/11 172/7	186/21	70/13 71/3 71/3 71/4	171/24 186/11
36/19 37/7 38/7 38/12	175/1 176/4 176/6	themselves [10]	72/14 74/8 74/13	they [155] 8/22 10/18
38/15 39/2 40/8 46/19	176/23 180/16 181/11	14/15 44/6 50/4 51/25		11/4 12/7 12/8 13/9
48/7 58/24 67/16	182/4 185/13 187/20	63/24 104/5 104/14	78/17 79/5 80/8 80/21	23/1 23/2 36/11 38/24
67/20 67/24 69/8	theft [15] 51/13 57/4	104/16 122/21 173/4	81/6 82/8 84/5 85/17	43/5 43/9 43/20 43/21
69/24 71/9 71/9 79/7	57/6 57/10 57/13 57/20 57/22 58/6	then [117] 2/22 5/14 5/17 6/5 6/17 7/3 7/10	86/16 86/18 88/11 92/17 94/24 95/7	44/8 44/9 44/15 44/21 46/14 47/16 49/24
81/3 81/25 84/3 84/10	58/10 58/17 59/13	9/3 9/14 10/20 12/1	95/25 96/20 99/12	51/16 51/17 51/21
84/15 84/16 88/8	103/15 104/25 105/13	12/8 16/1 16/7 16/7	101/13 102/1 103/21	52/17 52/18 52/19
88/12 89/9 95/2 95/6	161/18	16/11 16/24 17/3 18/3		52/21 52/21 53/7
95/8 100/9 105/21 106/6 106/12 106/19	their [79] 29/14 41/11	18/10 18/15 21/3 21/4	114/15 114/21 115/7	54/19 54/21 55/2
107/1 107/7 107/20	43/21 43/21 43/22	21/12 22/24 23/9	118/3 118/13 118/20	55/15 55/17 56/7
108/3 109/14 111/22	44/8 44/9 45/7 47/11	23/25 24/1 24/2 24/2	119/4 121/10 121/20	56/12 56/13 57/6
112/5 118/7 119/16	47/16 47/17 51/25	24/12 24/25 25/13	122/4 123/5 123/7	58/22 59/8 59/17
120/22 120/24 126/6	53/8 53/14 53/23 54/4 54/9 55/13 55/16	25/18 29/18 30/15 30/17 33/12 43/15	123/18 123/19 124/5 124/11 125/25 126/15	60/21 61/2 61/3 61/21 62/5 62/5 62/9 62/10
128/19 134/15 134/15	55/16 55/18 56/1 56/2	47/23 48/25 51/4 53/2		63/10 63/19 65/5
146/4 146/9 146/10	57/22 59/8 59/16	53/9 58/4 58/4 58/4	130/3 130/24 131/2	65/15 66/6 67/4 68/16
146/13 148/20 153/6	63/13 69/13 69/17	58/22 59/8 59/10 61/7	132/15 133/11 133/16	68/20 68/21 69/12
156/16 165/2 171/19	70/8 73/12 73/17 74/1	61/9 63/10 68/17	133/17 134/10 135/5	70/5 70/7 71/5 72/20
171/23 172/14 174/25 177/5 177/21 184/3	75/5 76/2 79/1 79/18	68/22 68/23 70/18	135/7 135/22 135/25	73/5 73/6 73/17 74/1
184/7 184/25 187/4	80/17 82/15 88/11	70/21 73/4 74/2 79/22		75/8 75/22 77/21 78/7
187/10 187/11 187/13	97/12 97/13 100/15	83/12 89/2 89/2 89/6	137/9 137/11 138/1	79/3 80/3 86/2 86/25
187/14 187/21 187/23	103/15 103/16 104/16	89/14 89/16 91/25	140/11 143/14 143/20	96/8 96/25 97/5 97/12
thanks [3] 105/21	108/14 109/4 114/24 115/10 115/13 115/17	93/14 94/12 95/13 96/19 98/10 99/23	143/25 144/14 149/22 150/4 151/2 151/17	99/19 100/4 100/13 100/23 102/8 103/2
108/12 108/16	117/3 125/8 125/11	101/4 101/14 101/20	152/11 152/24 153/20	105/5 105/11 107/23
that [1008]	125/16 125/20 127/13	102/17 103/13 107/18		114/24 115/4 115/8
that I [2] 36/11 121/23	130/23 133/9 135/20	110/8 110/12 110/14	156/23 158/10 158/21	115/20 115/21 115/22
that' [1] 10/22	136/21 138/8 140/2	111/1 111/9 114/2	161/2 161/3 161/6	115/23 116/9 117/4
that's [118] 4/2 4/7	155/2 155/7 160/7	115/25 117/25 119/1	164/13 165/25 167/16	
4/10 8/13 10/5 15/1	161/7 162/3 162/5	119/2 119/6 119/14	170/14 172/16 174/4	125/13 125/14 125/19 125/20 128/6 128/10
16/14 16/16 19/8 19/9	165/12 167/14 168/10 173/19 176/15 179/20	120/20 121/20 124/19 126/20 132/19 136/8	180/2 180/3 180/23	130/24 131/14 135/10
21/24 22/21 23/4	182/20 186/17 186/18	140/6 142/7 145/6	182/19 182/22 183/11	135/12 136/10 137/11
23/17 24/10 24/21 25/17 26/16 28/3	theirs [1] 121/15	149/4 149/7 157/3	185/6 186/24	137/13 137/17 138/5
31/10 32/12 32/22	them [91] 8/21 9/12		there'd [1] 161/15	138/7 138/8 140/3
33/9 33/24 34/11	10/2 11/12 12/7 18/24		there's [22] 5/18 5/20	
35/11 36/15 38/10	23/14 44/22 45/3	166/8 170/7 177/4	10/1 12/1 27/23 30/7	141/7 143/19 144/1
39/6 39/20 40/3 41/12	49/18 50/3 50/9 50/11	177/6 178/12 180/19 185/18	30/21 32/11 67/24	144/4 154/13 154/25
41/16 45/6 46/4 48/25	50/12 51/7 51/18 55/3 56/6 56/6 56/13 58/19		69/19 73/1 80/22 90/22 103/19 105/24	155/2 155/5 157/18 158/17 159/23 161/6
51/2 51/14 54/1 55/23	59/11 59/14 59/21	83/12	113/13 113/14 129/11	161/10 162/23 164/12
55/23 58/15 59/18	62/6 62/19 62/20 66/5		144/14 148/21 175/13	
60/11 61/16 62/12 62/20 62/21 63/15	68/11 68/13 68/21	7/24 7/25 7/25 11/12	176/25	168/21 168/22 169/23
65/12 67/9 67/14	71/6 73/5 73/16 75/13		thereafter [3] 2/14	171/1 171/6 171/18
67/22 68/4 70/12	75/23 77/2 77/20 81/8	20/23 21/4 21/24	175/15 176/13	173/1 173/7 173/12
72/23 74/21 74/23	86/25 96/9 96/10	23/10 23/17 25/5 25/7		173/17 176/16 178/23
80/25 81/16 81/24	96/10 96/12 97/2	25/23 26/12 26/16 27/25 28/11 28/19	17/12 27/12 32/9 58/9	
82/12 84/8 85/2 86/8	99/10 101/8 103/25 104/1 105/12 108/12	29/4 30/16 32/4 32/12		they'd [11] 51/20 125/8 133/14 136/2
86/20 87/6 89/8 89/25	109/4 109/10 109/11	32/22 33/25 34/2 34/3		136/7 140/4 140/14
92/9 92/10 93/23 94/8	113/13 115/3 115/3		178/19 178/21 179/18	
95/15 95/17 96/12 98/16 100/6 105/16	115/12 115/16 115/17	35/18 35/20 35/23	these [40] 6/19 8/10	165/12
107/3 107/20 109/21	116/7 117/3 119/5		11/9 11/16 12/25 13/1	
112/8 112/11 112/21	119/6 121/10 124/25	43/17 45/23 46/23	13/6 16/12 18/2 24/5	they're [17] 10/17
116/21 117/22 118/24	125/10 131/7 132/16 134/1 135/12 135/13	47/22 47/23 49/5 51/3 53/22 55/9 55/20 56/8		23/21 50/4 55/22 62/19 62/20 67/5
119/23 124/20 126/9	137/13 139/25 151/17	57/4 61/7 61/7 61/8	44/1 46/1 55/6 57/6 60/20 68/7 73/18 79/8	
127/6 128/24 143/5	157/1 159/1 167/13	61/8 61/11 61/11	79/13 79/19 81/4	103/21 125/11 131/7
143/15 145/25 148/17 150/22 157/7 157/25	167/14 169/25 171/21	62/21 63/3 63/10	82/14 87/10 95/23	152/25 166/8 166/9
100/22 107/1 107/20	177/1 179/9 179/24	63/12 64/2 65/2 65/17	96/2 96/6 96/20 98/24	176/24
1	<u> </u>			(76) thank - they're

(76) thank ... - they're

Т	185/4 185/5 185/8	threshold [1] 51/10	together [12] 7/7	14/3 14/3 19/21 24/18
	thinking [7] 8/18 8/24		7/20 29/14 31/21	30/10 33/3 67/4 121/6
they've [4] 74/2 108/13 137/18 168/12	15/7 30/15 30/23	through [46] 5/1 5/2	44/20 46/8 50/9 72/14	131/9
thick [1] 124/2	129/3 176/14	26/2 26/8 26/15 31/2	123/21 168/4 178/9	transactions' [1]
thing [9] 9/15 10/17	thinks [3] 73/1	32/20 36/3 42/8 42/23		16/6
15/8 22/22 22/24 23/9	169/25 170/12	44/1 47/24 50/11	told [16] 3/22 34/18	transcript [3] 4/11
24/12 57/3 61/5	third [13] 9/12 10/9	54/20 55/17 59/14	62/3 82/9 87/15	4/17 19/8
things [19] 6/7 15/12	10/13 19/21 23/9 26/10 26/23 27/4 27/6	63/11 70/20 73/22 95/18 99/20 99/24	112/14 114/6 114/8 138/24 139/3 139/4	transfer [1] 72/2 transparent [1] 80/9
22/19 55/9 67/5 82/21	27/15 29/20 32/10	101/12 101/14 101/19		transpired [1] 101/20
104/22 104/23 125/12	34/11	109/8 109/13 120/16	175/21 176/5	treasurer [1] 113/15
130/2 150/16 150/20 152/18 172/22 178/2	thirdly [1] 178/9	123/7 125/16 138/17	tomorrow [1] 187/22	treated [6] 51/11
180/11 180/14 182/21	this [277]	139/4 140/12 144/21	tone [5] 150/5 150/7	51/12 52/5 86/2 93/6
186/19	Thomas [11] 3/9 3/23			168/13
think [156] 1/19 3/19	4/6 6/13 19/13 28/14	162/22 168/15 169/9	too [3] 127/22 173/7	treatment [1] 111/6
4/8 7/24 10/10 11/7	28/15 28/18 28/19			treaty [3] 79/24 80/1 80/6
11/16 12/21 13/20	28/23 33/1 Thomas' [3] 5/21	183/18 186/17 187/16 throughout [2]	71/7 93/4 93/10 141/6	
13/22 13/25 14/10	6/13 28/1	144/13 180/25	147/25	
15/19 18/16 20/18	Thomson [1] 70/19	throw [1] 120/7	top [11] 4/18 16/1	182/25
21/5 22/14 22/19 23/15 28/24 29/5	thorough [4] 114/23	Thursday [4] 1/1	22/2 97/17 101/14	trials [2] 145/14
30/23 31/3 31/24	138/7 157/11 169/7	4/20 105/4 154/8	107/5 111/4 132/17	146/22
32/11 33/13 34/15	those [72] 10/21 11/2			Tribunal [1] 57/25
34/17 36/22 41/14	11/8 15/6 18/10 21/14	time [95] 6/23 9/1 12/19 15/7 23/1 28/8	topic [8] 4/10 5/1 5/2 46/11 46/12 65/7	tribute [1] 108/5
41/24 42/6 42/13	23/10 25/1 25/25 25/25 26/14 29/13	28/17 28/22 30/23	69/24 176/12	tried [4] 97/15 123/24 123/25 165/17
42/25 43/12 44/12	31/8 38/23 42/19	32/13 34/3 40/11	topics [2] 185/10	true [10] 8/20 11/17
44/17 46/5 49/12	43/23 44/10 44/14	41/21 42/5 42/9 43/13		11/18 14/20 38/12
50/22 51/5 52/3 54/23 56/21 58/1 59/3 60/22	44/14 44/24 45/2	44/1 45/16 50/7 50/8	Torquay [1] 5/13	56/3 61/4 107/21
61/17 61/25 61/25	47/25 49/13 49/19	50/23 51/8 55/15	total [1] 97/24	150/16 159/23
62/20 62/21 64/7	49/22 55/21 55/24	56/18 61/14 64/21	touched [2] 92/1	trust [1] 104/1
64/19 65/1 67/11 68/4	62/17 63/9 63/21 66/2			trusted [1] 187/3
69/19 70/17 70/18	66/4 66/4 83/4 83/10 83/12 93/15 94/4	68/2 70/2 70/13 70/18 70/19 71/13 71/17	135/19 183/13	truth [3] 15/14 20/5 107/19
70/19 70/21 72/23	97/11 97/17 97/23	72/11 72/20 73/12	tracked [2] 22/20	try [11] 19/3 48/3
73/5 75/11 76/15 80/2	07/24 00/19 00/21	73/14 81/13 84/21	36/5	68/24 111/19 114/13
82/13 83/19 84/3 84/5 87/16 87/25 88/20	99/22 101/6 101/7	87/12 88/4 95/7 95/11		116/8 126/3 132/23
91/17 93/6 94/8 95/10	101/12 102/16 102/21		42/15 43/8	150/15 183/2 186/17
95/16 96/5 96/12	104/2 104/7 105/15	102/10 103/24 113/23		trying [16] 14/4 14/6
96/18 97/15 98/16	105/21 108/5 120/4	113/25 114/21 117/1	74/14 77/4 77/7 77/7	14/23 15/11 15/13
98/21 100/14 101/25	120/5 121/10 122/9 122/22 131/3 137/25	117/24 119/18 119/21 120/19 121/12 122/8	77/10 77/11 77/15 77/17 77/18 77/19	16/20 26/19 31/20 32/1 32/3 32/23 32/23
102/3 102/12 105/24	140/25 141/5 147/11	122/13 122/14 122/23		49/11 68/12 125/20
105/25 106/10 110/22	148/17 152/24 165/23			141/10
110/25 112/9 113/13 114/2 114/13 116/6	169/22 178/7 179/4	127/4 128/5 128/12	82/16 90/6 91/2 91/7	TTIP [2] 79/24 79/25
118/22 119/2 119/3	185/1	128/13 131/3 137/7	91/9 98/24	Tuesday [1] 125/10
120/2 120/3 120/13	though [2] 89/11	137/25 139/23 141/7	train [1] 50/11	turn [15] 17/24 27/9
120/16 121/12 121/13	185/5	142/6 145/15 145/23	training [14] 50/2	37/23 81/1 107/3
122/10 124/23 126/15	thought [19] 11/16 12/24 14/11 14/12	145/25 146/19 146/25 146/25 152/22 163/1	50/15 104/4 104/6 104/11 121/18 122/5	107/9 107/16 120/3 132/9 138/13 143/6
129/1 129/2 129/11	32/7 51/17 54/21 62/4			154/14 156/15 158/1
129/12 133/20 135/7	62/5 116/24 132/11	167/20 169/5 171/7	142/11 142/20 143/4	176/12
135/16 135/16 135/25	134/1 135/19 137/25	171/9 171/18 183/25	150/13	turned [1] 149/4
136/10 137/6 137/9 137/10 137/17 137/21	139/17 140/14 144/3	times [6] 23/14 35/5	tranche [2] 117/25	turning [2] 94/12
139/7 139/11 141/18	171/1 182/24	47/14 126/15 162/19	118/1	177/6
142/8 143/2 143/18	thousand [1] 52/10	173/2	transact [1] 80/3	two [46] 5/9 8/11 9/5
143/21 143/24 150/25	thousands [2] 95/13 95/22	timing [1] 150/25	transaction [12] 9/11 9/19 16/23 17/1 25/8	10/21 11/8 11/9 13/1 20/14 29/19 34/12
153/24 158/2 159/5	three [16] 6/8 9/10	tirelessly [1] 108/22 title [3] 53/2 93/24	25/20 25/22 26/11	55/9 55/22 66/19
160/16 161/2 162/19	16/10 16/12 22/19	94/3	29/12 31/25 126/19	66/22 67/4 68/7 70/3
166/20 168/9 168/16 168/18 174/21 176/22	24/14 24/19 24/23	titled [1] 144/6	126/23	72/14 86/23 94/17
180/20 182/9 182/13	25/1 25/5 25/7 25/18	today [7] 3/7 44/1	transactions [19]	104/21 104/23 105/14
182/22 183/11 183/25	35/5 36/5 57/19 57/23		5/25 6/2 6/3 6/14 7/6	107/15 117/18 123/19
	three' [1] 16/8	107/2 144/13	7/16 7/17 8/1 8/3 10/6	124/3 126/16 137/2

(77) they've - two

Т	undertake [2] 79/17	52/24 54/25 58/3 61/9	64/20 143/2	vote [5] 108/16
	98/5	63/9 63/12 68/12		161/24 162/1 162/7
two [17] 137/4	undertaking [2]	68/23 71/9 74/3 78/14	V	163/1
	79/18 174/15	78/20 81/3 81/23 86/1	value [10] 9/10 9/19	voted [2] 162/8 162/8
149/15 150/10 151/9	undertakings [1]	91/24 93/15 97/16	10/14 25/7 26/11	vs [1] 126/13
166/25 171/20 171/24	75/5	98/10 99/15 99/20	29/21 29/23 30/20	
172/16 175/1 175/2	undertook [2] 162/9	100/4 100/10 101/9	32/5 78/20	W
185/8 185/9 185/10	173/18	101/11 101/13 101/16	values [3] 29/13	wages [1] 66/25
186/2	unexplained [1]	101/19 107/3 110/9	29/19 30/11	wait [2] 58/22 120/6
two paragraphs [3] 10/21 13/1 147/11	111/13	110/14 110/16 111/3	van [7] 142/5 142/15	waiting [1] 166/24
tying [2] 10/3 150/9	unfair [1] 56/5	114/12 115/3 115/4	147/9 168/24 168/25	waive [2] 177/19
type [7] 27/5 50/2	unfairly [1] 86/2	115/6 115/18 115/25	169/1 178/5	177/20
52/10 57/2 59/5 62/25	unfortunately [2]	118/8 118/10 120/7	vans [1] 65/19	Walker [2] 124/18
172/17	119/10 133/19	120/23 124/16 126/5	varied [1] 155/20	124/18
typed [6] 17/9 17/14	unilaterally [3]	126/8 128/18 129/4	variety [1] 47/22	want [33] 3/6 8/9 8/9
17/22 18/4 18/5 35/2	148/14 155/20 176/10			20/5 30/25 32/25
types [1] 172/16	unincorporated [2]	147/8 148/15 148/17	47/13 98/9 115/17	34/16 35/25 44/2
typical [1] 146/23	113/2 118/17	151/22 155/11 159/25		47/10 51/24 53/16
typing [1] 19/20	union [55] 39/5 39/19			55/25 56/5 59/21
	39/22 40/5 42/5 44/9	174/16 178/13 179/15		66/17 73/1 73/15 74/2
U	46/14 48/11 48/24	182/9	50/9	93/1 93/10 112/25
UK [1] 110/12	49/1 50/2 55/16 55/17	update [4] 85/7	vast [1] 120/19	126/14 133/5 140/6
UKGI [1] 82/6	61/20 63/14 65/18	154/21 175/1 175/4	vehicle [1] 136/18	143/11 146/10 159/24
ultimately [1] 110/5	65/20 67/7 67/11	updated [2] 8/14	Vennells [19] 118/22	166/10 171/20 172/10
Um [1] 77/8	67/13 68/9 68/25 69/2	50/25	118/25 119/3 128/17	176/12 182/3
unable [1] 91/21	69/10 70/1 70/13	upheld [1] 2/15	129/2 131/20 132/23	wanted [27] 8/21 9/1
unacceptable [1]	75/12 76/14 77/7	upon [8] 2/7 2/14	133/12 133/21 134/7	17/21 30/24 33/18
51/19	77/10 77/18 77/18	38/17 46/24 53/4	134/11 166/17 166/22	34/25 35/24 56/5 56/6
unaware [1] 35/18	77/19 77/20 78/23	57/12 63/2 161/25	167/9 167/17 168/1	56/12 100/23 103/6
unclear [1] 61/4	78/24 82/22 82/22	upset [1] 170/18	169/2 169/13 169/16	114/12 114/24 115/21
under [23] 1/23 6/18	83/17 89/22 90/6 91/2		vent [1] 169/24	122/17 132/17 134/3 136/21 140/15 140/16
47/5 59/19 63/18 65/5	91/7 92/5 95/12 96/14		verbatim [1] 48/4	140/20 155/25 165/20
71/21 74/5 76/21 78/1	97/8 97/11 97/16	us [51] 1/3 1/5 3/22	version [3] 21/14 122/12 156/6	177/20 183/5 184/20
80/4 85/9 87/20 97/22	97/17 97/24 97/25	6/14 7/7 7/20 15/4	very [37] 1/4 1/7 1/21	wanting [1] 14/24
148/22 149/16 149/19		20/5 31/23 32/6 37/6		wants [1] 75/12
150/10 150/12 153/25	unionist [1] 91/9	38/4 54/16 54/22 55/2 56/7 56/12 56/14	20/19 33/14 33/16	Ward [18] 1/5 1/7
154/15 161/8 185/3	unions [9] 65/18 66/4 71/2 72/10 72/24	56/15 61/22 62/7	33/17 33/20 34/1	1/10 1/12 2/25 3/4 7/2
underlined [1] 22/21	73/14 74/12 74/15	62/10 62/10 62/17	36/14 37/7 40/8 52/1	8/18 19/14 24/6 26/21
undermining [1]	78/25	63/21 63/22 68/22	67/16 67/16 79/21	27/3 30/9 35/2 36/11
145/4	Unique [1] 107/7	68/23 71/4 71/6 71/23		36/15 36/20 188/2
understand [29] 2/25	Unit [1] 110/9	72/3 72/11 73/6 73/18		Warmington [16]
3/1 3/10 12/25 13/1	united [1] 113/4	74/9 82/9 84/14 86/9	130/15 136/25 138/3	112/19 114/3 115/2
35/9 44/12 51/23	unless [4] 63/21	89/10 91/1 96/8	138/7 169/7 170/18	119/17 125/7 125/24
64/25 72/13 73/8	63/22 63/24 177/19	109/10 119/15 120/2	176/17 179/10 186/12	
77/10 77/13 91/1 93/4	unlikely [2] 52/1	120/3 142/22 144/4	187/10 187/11 187/21	
96/5 96/24 97/7 97/11	168/18	169/24 169/25 178/14	viable [1] 123/16	141/1 150/11 175/18
99/3 107/12 108/2	unnoticed [1] 108/14		Vice [2] 67/25 159/7	176/15
135/2 140/5 142/22	unpaid [1] 108/13	use [8] 127/8 127/23	view [20] 53/8 76/3	Warmington's [1]
150/14 169/7 179/17 183/5	unsafe [3] 131/16	132/5 145/7 152/9	81/20 82/15 92/23	185/21
	131/17 131/19	161/25 181/6 181/7	122/15 122/23 130/13	Warnborough [1]
understandable [2] 168/20 169/15	unseen [1] 108/8	used [18] 13/3 16/15	130/14 140/20 141/8	111/10
understanding [23]	unsuccessful [1]	16/15 22/16 47/9	142/19 142/20 166/25	warned [1] 153/12
43/2 67/1 68/14 68/19	170/0	49/21 51/7 55/11	168/19 169/14 181/8	was [497]
72/1 72/10 75/18	unsung [1] 108/8	55/21 83/1 103/6	181/17 181/17 183/10	
76/23 80/25 92/10	until [13] 36/17 58/3	111/10 121/13 124/4	views [3] 79/18 125/8	
113/24 117/24 118/2	84/9 98/10 103/17	132/9 133/14 136/17	125/11	14/23 15/11 15/13
128/21 136/1 136/12	108/20 109/10 120/1	186/12	village [1] 186/7	20/1 20/2 26/18 31/11
136/13 136/14 136/20	136/2 136/7 165/12	useless [2] 9/6 11/5	visits [2] 41/2 41/25	31/23 35/16 42/13
150/2 157/19 158/18	184/17 187/25	using [5] 90/5 95/14	voiced [1] 163/21	45/11 48/22 48/23
177/8	up [78] 5/1 7/21 8/13	121/9 121/18 124/5	voices [1] 96/20	58/19 62/6 68/10
understood [3] 19/3	9/3 13/14 15/23 15/24		volume [1] 180/23	
40/25 162/21	19/17 21/6 32/16	78/24 153/15	volunteered [1]	
	32/23 34/19 45/7 46/1	usually [3] 52/14	173/2	129/13 145/18 145/22
L	•	•	•	(79) two wasp't

(78) two... - wasn't

W	69/5 69/6 72/11 73/19	48/19 50/4 51/21	114/20 117/7 117/20	35/11 36/1 36/6 47/22
	76/5 87/13 89/4 91/15		122/1 122/10 124/1	47/24 51/17 53/8
wasn't [9] 147/10	92/25 93/14 97/1	70/24 80/18 89/7 92/3	126/12 127/3 130/5	62/12 65/13 68/21
157/16 159/13 159/19 165/24 171/9 173/7	98/17 98/21 99/12	98/23 99/16 101/10	130/24 131/4 131/5	73/12 74/13 77/15
184/17 184/23	101/16 102/14 103/16	103/20 105/22 113/14	131/25 132/12 132/19	94/7 96/23 97/25
Watt [6] 88/20 89/2	104/7 107/11 110/8	127/8 127/11 129/11	134/8 138/14 140/5	123/16 125/22 126/4
95/3 95/4 100/8	111/24 112/3 117/14	132/12 137/3 137/14	141/22 144/7 145/11	130/22 132/9 150/9
188/12	120/2 129/3 135/7	141/20 144/14 144/14	146/21 147/8 147/11	152/16 162/18 168/12
wavered [1] 109/1	136/25 139/7 143/18	150/15 151/7 151/8	152/21 155/3 157/13	169/6
way [34] 5/1 15/7	147/14 152/17 152/24	152/9 155/19 155/21	158/3 160/18 163/4	wide [4] 45/2 79/21
15/12 19/2 26/7 32/2	155/2 156/5 158/1	155/25 157/9 157/17	164/9 164/24 165/22	137/1 137/24
33/23 33/24 36/9	159/8 168/1 168/8	157/19 158/14 159/19		wider [1] 93/21
54/25 63/20 88/18	177/3 178/17 187/5	159/24 161/2 161/12	170/19 170/25 171/4	will [41] 1/21 2/12
89/11 100/17 101/1	187/8	162/13 162/15 166/21		
101/8 103/8 104/14	well-known [1] 110/8	169/21 170/2 171/25	181/17 182/11 182/19	
106/1 109/8 111/11	went [16] 21/12 29/3	172/20 172/24 173/12		78/18 79/19 80/19
113/11 113/13 123/6	59/14 68/22 90/14	174/14 180/17	186/9 186/19	80/23 84/8 85/23
131/20 136/10 140/21	100/4 110/12 120/21	Where's [1] 172/7	while [3] 57/13 79/23	86/23 86/25 89/7
142/11 142/12 149/13	134/12 148/10 154/17		131/22	99/16 107/24 107/25
165/20 178/20 186/17	156/14 159/22 178/12	121/16	whilst [4] 20/23 34/6	109/7 109/14 117/21
187/16	183/18 184/18	wherever [1] 173/3	39/7 89/5	120/2 121/4 122/3
ways [1] 91/11	were [243]	whether [43] 2/14	white [1] 33/25	143/15 151/11 156/19
we [383]	weren't [11] 11/17	2/23 8/22 41/6 42/20	whitewash [2] 115/5	156/21 157/2 157/4
we'd [7] 50/10 64/22	17/19 34/18 42/15	43/2 43/5 43/6 43/9	115/12	157/22 158/1 162/5
72/24 125/22 128/25	42/15 64/11 84/18	47/15 53/6 54/3 71/2	who [75] 2/9 2/21	169/24 184/21 185/6
152/22 162/20	97/12 115/4 138/9	71/573/1573/24	17/24 22/25 31/14	185/18 Williamaan [1]
we'll [15] 12/6 36/21	173/7	82/21 89/2 98/12	36/17 39/9 39/12 40/4	• •
38/4 42/11 77/2	what [197]	98/13 115/23 116/8 122/4 133/16 137/11	41/17 42/16 43/14 48/4 48/11 49/22 50/3	110/14
106/11 109/15 114/16	what's [5] 91/11 91/13 125/13 138/15	138/2 141/20 145/7	50/13 52/20 53/23	Wilson [2] 141/23 142/1
119/14 152/25 153/7	174/13	147/19 148/24 155/9	55/12 57/21 58/3	win [1] 179/21
167/2 167/15 176/20	whatever [5] 30/14	156/1 156/20 159/11	62/16 65/3 65/19	wish [6] 2/6 2/9 2/21
185/15	53/2 59/20 131/12	159/25 160/6 161/6	65/20 65/23 65/25	88/11 107/12 185/7
we're [20] 3/16 5/6	165/14	161/19 161/22 162/14		wishing [2] 99/25
34/21 38/25 54/16	whatsoever [5] 114/1	165/7 171/12 186/23	82/14 86/2 93/15	178/5
54/17 54/19 61/4	115/3 123/3 134/21	which [149] 1/14	93/20 94/23 98/8	withheld [1] 181/1
68/23 68/23 73/18	138/12	1/15 2/9 3/13 5/20	98/15 99/7 101/6	within [18] 6/15
75/23 84/22 89/1	when [72] 1/22 3/15	6/18 9/5 10/24 11/16	102/16 102/17 103/14	29/24 32/17 40/4 42/4
101/10 104/21 129/3 130/16 169/25 174/22	11/00 00/7 21/16	14/18 17/10 18/9	104/7 104/13 104/24	53/6 65/16 66/4 69/1
we've [17] 5/18 17/17	38/20 40/11 41/13	20/25 21/3 21/16 22/9	105/10 105/11 108/5	83/23 87/17 91/1 94/2
21/20 21/23 22/3 22/3	45/8 50/23 55/20 57/5		109/9 123/1 129/15	98/7 105/14 147/20
32/19 33/9 57/1 62/10	57/15 57/15 57/16	29/13 29/19 29/20	130/25 131/18 133/24	180/13 183/7
73/21 88/16 108/23	57/17 58/24 65/9 67/6	34/8 34/22 35/2 37/20		without [11] 99/25
152/20 152/20 163/3	67/8 70/3 70/13 72/20		141/10 143/19 147/14	123/22 124/9 130/21
184/12	84/23 84/24 95/11	47/19 48/14 50/25	150/9 150/18 165/21	133/15 138/5 150/17
website [2] 67/12	95/15 99/12 102/9	51/10 51/12 52/5	169/24 169/24 176/5	171/3 176/10 178/5
107/25	102/15 103/13 104/16	52/16 52/20 53/25	178/7 178/10 178/14	180/22
Wednesday [5] 41/12	109/22 110/2 110/18	54/5 54/7 56/18 58/11		WITN00550100 [1]
47/18 55/12 105/3	112/1113/19119/5	59/5 60/6 60/19 61/6	185/6 187/1	107/8
105/8	119/21 122/13 130/23 131/2 131/9 136/13	65/7 67/4 67/13 67/25	who'd [4] 59/4 123/2	WITN00550101 [1] 117/6
week [2] 41/12 52/22	137/23 139/13 140/16		whoever [2] 73/17	WITN00550103 [1]
weekly [2] 41/8 59/8	140/18 141/5 141/15	69/24 70/24 73/5 73/8		142/25
weight [1] 127/11	140/18 141/3 141/13	73/23 75/5 76/9 77/15		WITN01050200 [1]
well [73] 8/21 11/9	155/21 158/18 158/20	79/12 80/9 80/17	105/6 113/17 144/16	185/23
11/19 13/24 14/22	164/3 164/12 164/15	81/18 82/20 82/20	183/2	WITN06370200 [2]
15/17 17/7 17/12	164/23 165/6 165/15	82/23 83/1 83/19	whom [6] 45/9 60/7	46/17 81/24
17/17 18/4 18/16	166/3 166/16 167/4	84/22 86/25 87/9	87/11 110/19 118/11	witness [68] 1/23 2/3
	167/19 170/19 176/16		118/17	3/9 4/4 5/16 6/7 8/10
27/23 30/5 30/13 31/9	179/6 182/4 183/16	90/21 93/5 94/4 94/7	why [41] 7/25 8/3	8/14 8/15 15/23 18/17
31/15 31/18 32/11 33/24 36/19 36/25	184/9	97/21 97/22 98/7 99/5		18/19 19/12 19/17
45/25 53/23 61/23	where [61] 3/15 3/17	99/14 100/18 101/18	14/10 14/16 16/23	20/1 20/14 24/13
65/22 66/13 68/22	5/10 18/2 18/18 19/8	103/8 107/15 112/18	17/7 19/25 25/7 30/10	25/18 27/7 28/21
	20/5 20/22 22/18 47/2	113/4 114/12 114/16	31/1 31/16 34/21	29/10 29/25 31/16
L	1		I	(79) wasn't - witness

(79) wasn't... - witness

W	148/24 149/5 149/12	50/15 53/7 55/2 56/12	156/25 159/3 159/4	78/23 81/23 82/15
witness [45] 31/25	149/20 149/25 150/2	56/20 59/18 59/23	161/15 162/17 163/2	84/17 84/17 87/6
32/6 34/11 35/5 35/21	150/13 151/13 152/2	66/11 68/5 69/14 70/6		87/17 90/6 91/1 91/15
36/17 37/8 37/10	152/8 152/15 153/7	71/5 72/23 73/6 75/11		91/16 92/3 92/8 94/7
37/17 38/9 42/7 46/16	155/18 155/21 156/1	95/20 95/22 95/24	169/3 169/18 170/10	94/8 95/12 96/23 97/2
59/10 59/20 81/23	157/4 157/8 157/13	96/8 101/12 102/8	172/3 175/3 177/2	99/25 101/1 101/2
84/5 88/9 88/17 88/23	157/20 157/22 158/8	102/21 102/22 104/10		102/1 104/2 104/4
106/4 107/2 111/3	158/13 158/16 158/22 159/2 160/6 160/13	177/14 year [8] 37/18 38/8	180/11 180/16 181/11 182/6 184/11 186/1	104/13 106/24 107/8 107/19 107/22 107/24
115/6 116/13 116/14	161/23 161/24 162/7	72/8 119/4 153/15	187/22 187/23	109/17 111/1 111/9
117/6 118/8 118/20	162/10 162/13 163/22	174/11 174/11 174/19		112/6 112/16 113/2
120/23 132/20 132/21	164/2 164/10 164/22	years [16] 30/13	175/9 183/11	115/6 115/15 115/25
141/24 143/3 146/12	165/3 165/6 165/14	45/24 46/9 50/25	you [835]	116/1 117/6 117/24
153/3 153/4 155/11 159/6 172/16 176/16	166/10 173/1 176/9	56/24 57/18 68/15	you'd [11] 3/20 19/2	118/3 120/23 122/14
182/10 182/12 187/8	184/9 186/16	70/25 71/1 93/3 96/20		122/23 123/10 125/2
187/11 187/19	workplace [1] 69/22	108/23 108/25 134/3	102/3 102/12 108/2	125/13 127/4 128/18
Womble [1] 184/13	worried [1] 133/14	152/25 174/6	120/18 123/21 142/6	128/22 131/18 132/20
Womble's [1] 165/10	worry [1] 134/2	yellow [3] 11/17 18/9	you'll [3] 125/10	133/20 133/21 136/5
won [1] 57/24	worth [4] 14/8 20/20	176/3	168/14 185/24	136/14 139/16 139/20
won't [1] 148/5	121/3 124/5	yes [180] 1/4 1/6 1/9 1/17 2/19 2/19 3/1	you're [35] 2/16 5/6 6/6 7/6 13/16 16/20	139/22 141/8 141/24 142/15 142/18 142/20
wonder [2] 48/2 85/1	would [235] wouldn't [22] 6/23	3/11 5/19 6/19 6/20	22/7 24/13 32/5 44/12	142/15 142/18 142/20
Wood [1] 119/17	14/18 17/16 18/5	9/17 9/22 11/3 11/6	48/5 49/5 49/8 53/25	145/6 145/8 146/12
word [7] 14/22 22/10	32/22 34/19 46/2	12/11 14/23 16/18	64/2 64/25 68/22	148/7 149/9 150/4
22/15 22/18 25/10	58/10 61/21 62/12	16/19 19/18 20/3 21/9	73/15 89/21 89/22	153/3 153/4 155/11
168/9 181/7 wording [2] 143/16	63/19 64/12 64/22	21/10 21/22 21/25	91/21 92/23 92/25	158/5 158/12 159/5
174/16	100/21 104/1 119/18	22/1 22/6 22/8 22/17	93/13 93/14 99/3	162/25 166/11 167/2
words [24] 8/12	120/17 135/21 162/23	22/21 22/23 23/2 23/3		169/1 170/15 171/22
16/15 16/25 17/10	178/23 178/25 180/23	23/11 23/12 24/3 24/4		172/16 177/8 177/13
18/2 18/5 25/13 25/25	write [4] 7/8 56/14	24/10 24/21 24/23 24/24 25/2 26/15	172/12 175/25 185/13	181/4 181/8 182/7 184/8 185/4 186/4
26/10 26/14 27/10	62/10 183/22 writer [2] 185/13	26/25 27/8 27/23 28/3	you've [37] 12/17	186/15 187/8 187/10
27/20 31/4 31/7 31/8	185/17	28/7 28/10 28/19 29/9		yourself [3] 32/21
31/13 31/14 31/17	writing [3] 24/13	30/2 31/2 33/18 33/23		96/23 131/3
99/25 121/12 124/3 132/5 143/21 184/4	24/21 173/19	35/9 36/18 36/22 37/2	39/17 39/24 41/24	
wore [1] 51/25	written [7] 18/12	37/7 37/9 37/25 38/6	50/24 52/24 54/5	Z
work [20] 13/15	107/2 128/25 138/8	38/11 39/23 40/14	54/23 55/5 59/1 60/13	zero [7] 8/1 8/3 9/10
13/18 20/17 33/8	162/10 164/19 174/1			9/19 16/23 25/7 30/10
49/25 55/11 55/11	wrong [7] 86/14 104/25 116/8 120/21	70/17 71/18 76/8	93/17 94/7 95/25 96/4 98/17 102/3 123/17	
55/12 77/14 91/1 98/4	143/15 170/13 180/2	81/20 84/8 84/15	125/10 139/20 165/24	
109/20 109/25 117/3	wrongly [4] 109/10	84/23 86/8 86/8 86/10		
117/14 120/2 125/18	113/5 131/13 133/7	86/20 87/8 87/13	your [178] 2/13 2/14	
136/13 157/2 158/12	wrote [2] 23/14 27/9	87/14 88/15 88/21	3/8 4/11 6/1 6/15 7/3	
worked [14] 45/21 45/23 46/8 57/13	www.cwu.org [1]	89/4 89/8 94/22 95/7	7/9 7/10 7/15 8/14	
57/15 57/16 57/17	67/18	95/16 99/23 100/6	13/6 15/16 16/8 19/4	
65/9 66/16 70/3 108/5	www.cwu.org/postm	101/8 102/20 102/21	20/10 21/21 22/7	
108/21 110/11 124/18	asters [1] 67/18	103/4 104/20 105/19	22/15 23/7 24/7 26/21	
workers [15] 39/4	Wyn [1] 186/3	105/20 106/6 106/10 106/12 106/21 107/6	27/9 27/14 27/21 28/17 28/20 29/5 30/4	
39/19 40/5 42/5 46/14	Y	107/14 107/17 108/3	32/18 32/25 33/6	
48/11 65/20 66/20	yeah [65] 6/10 7/12	110/23 112/2 112/8	34/12 34/14 35/14	
68/8 69/20 70/1 77/14	9/17 9/17 9/22 9/25	112/14 116/12 116/21		
77/24 89/22 102/6	10/3 10/16 10/19	117/8 117/16 118/14	38/13 38/15 38/18	
working [73] 22/15 39/13 39/14 40/10	10/19 10/23 10/25	119/8 119/13 119/23	39/2 39/7 39/24 39/25	
40/15 45/16 65/21	11/3 12/16 12/21	125/4 125/6 125/12	40/10 41/21 41/25	
90/3 91/3 93/20 93/21	12/24 12/24 13/8	126/10 127/6 127/17		
94/1 95/13 95/23	13/11 14/22 15/5 16/17 16/22 17/20	128/24 129/11 129/13		
110/19 110/21 120/21	17/20 18/5 19/18	130/2 139/2 139/24 140/11 140/24 144/9	48/3 48/9 48/18 48/20 50/21 51/18 53/11	
124/25 125/3 139/19	19/23 21/22 22/1 22/6	144/11 145/25 146/3	54/3 57/9 57/21 59/25	
	22/17 23/23 25/12	146/9 148/19 149/11	61/13 61/18 62/8 63/2	
147/3 147/15 148/4	25/17 26/2 27/23	150/8 151/19 153/23	66/8 69/1 70/2 75/13	
148/8 148/12 148/15	41/17 44/18 45/2	154/6 154/13 156/3	75/14 75/17 75/24	
			1	(80) witness zoom