POLTD/0506/0685

OFFENCE THEFT/FALSE ACCOUNTING

| Name: | Josephine | Hamilton | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----|
| Rank: | Postmaster | | Identification Code: | 1 | |
| Office: | South Warnborough SPOB | | Branch Code | 092 904 | |
| Age: | GRO | Date of | Birth: | GRO | |
| Service: | 2 years 5 months | Date Se Commo | | 21/10/2003 | |
| Personnel Printout: At Appendix: C | | | | | |
| Nat Ins No: | | GRO |] | | |
| Home Address: | | GRO | | | |
| Contract for § | Services | 09/03/06 on th | ne authority of E | laine Ridge, Ar | ea |

| Suspended: | Intervention Manager |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| To be prosecuted by: | Royal Mail Group (including Post Office Ltd) |
| Designated Prosecution Authority: | Tony Utting, National Investigation Manager |
| Discipline Manager: | Nigel Allen, Contracts & Service Manager |

Nigel Allen

This case relates to a £36,644.89 audit deficit identified at South Warnborough Sub Post Office Branch (SPOB) on Thursday 09 March 2006.

South Warnborough SPOB is in a semi-rural location and the Post Office forms part of a general stores and coffee shop. It is a non-residential office and the Postmistress is Mrs Josephine Hamilton. It is believed that some of the shop staff also work in the Post Office although at this stage I have not been able to confirm this.

Ms Rebecca Portch, Retail Cash Management Support contacted South Warnborough SPOB on Monday 06 March 2006 as the office was showing high levels of cash holdings. Ms Portch asked Mrs Hamilton to return at least £25,000 on Wednesday 08 March 2006.

Mr Geoff Hall, Investigation Team Manager informed me that on Monday 06 March 2006, Mrs Hamilton allegedly told Mrs Kam Matharu, National Federation of Sub Postmasters (NFSP) that there were some problems at the Post Office. Mrs Matharu informed Mr Colin Woodbridge, Rural Support Manager of this, who in turn notified the Area Office and Mr

Adrian Skinner, Area Performance Manager who requested an audit and passed on the information to Mr Hall.

I have spoken to Mr Woodbridge, who confirmed that Mrs Matharu telephoned him to advise that there may be a problem at South Warnborough SPOB but that she did not specify what sort of problem.

Mrs Hamilton went sick on Tuesday 07 March 2006, allegedly being signed off from work for four weeks by her doctor.

In view of the concerns over Post Office funds I attended South Warnborough SPOB on Thursday 09 March 2006. Also in attendance that day was Mr Alan Stuart, Branch Auditor and Ms Elaine Ridge, Area Intervention Manager. Neither Mrs Hamilton nor any of her staff were present within the Post Office secure area during the completion of the audit.

Ms Ridge had obtained the Post Office keys from Mrs Hamilton at her private residence. At 08.45, Ms Ridge, Mr Stuart and myself gained access to the secure area. I was present when Mr Stuart opened the safe and took out the cash. It was clear that the cash on hand was significantly less than the figure of £37,360.06 showing on the Horizon system.

Mr Stuart completed a full audit of the cash and stock and identified a deficit of £36,583.12, which is broken down as follows:

| Cash | -£35,426.58 | | only £1,933.48 physically on hand. |
|--------|-------------|------|------------------------------------|
| Stock | -£ 1,159.36 | | |
| Bureau | +£ | 2.82 | |

Mr Stuart also identified an additional £61.77 shortage on Horizon, which couldn't be accounted for, and thus the figure posted to late accounts was £36,644.89, this being the loss to Post Office Ltd.

Whilst at South Warnborough SPOB I obtained some Horizon printouts and accounting documentation, including Cash Account Finals and Branch Trading Statements.

At 10.50 hrs, together with Ms Ridge, I attended Mrs Hamilton's private residence, GRO I introduced myself to Mrs Hamilton and her mother, showing both of them my identity card. I was present when Ms Ridge precautionary suspended Mrs Hamilton's contract for services.

I explained to Mrs Hamilton that the audit had identified a deficit in the accounts of at least £30,000, although the figure had yet to be finalised. I explained that I would like to conduct a voluntary interview with her and explained her legal rights and Post Office Friend rule. I stated that I was aware that she had been signed off work by her doctor and gave her my details asking her to contact me to agree a time and venue to conduct the interview.

I explained to Mrs Hamilton that I wasn't able to discuss anything relating to the audit deficit until the interview. Mrs Hamilton made no significant statement at that time. I was at the private residence for ten minutes, leaving with Ms Ridge at 11.00 hrs. Mrs Hamilton's mother was present the whole time.

No searches were conducted in respect of this investigation.

Later that day, I sent Mrs Hamilton a letter explaining that I wished to conduct an interview with her and re-iterating her legal rights and Post Office Friend rule.

Having analysed the Horizon printouts and accounting documentation I was unable to find any evidence of theft or that the cash figures had been deliberately inflated.

A Horizon Event Log Balancing was obtained for the period 26 January 2006 – 09 march 2006. This generally showed one cash declaration being done each day and didn't show any occasions whereby a genuine cash figure would be declared followed by an inflated figure.

POL00430943 POL00430943

M035_POL_012_Security Report_PT

A number of envelopes containing weekly accounting documentation were also obtained. Up until around January 2005 it appeared that each day's cash holding was manually entered on a sheet of paper. However, for some reason this appeared to cease after that time, as I have not found any of these sheets post January 2005.

A number of Cash Account Finals and Branch Trading Statements were obtained. From these it can be seen that between CAP 36 (w/e 01/12/04) and CAP 01 (w/e 30/03/05) the cash on hand remained fairly constant, generally fluctuating between £15,000 and £18,000. Between CAP 02 (w/e 06/04/05) and CAP 14 (w/e 29/06/05) it fluctuates between £18,000 and £20,000. From CAP 16 (w/e 13/07/05) to BTP 10 (period ending 08/02/06) it gradually rises from £22,000 to £35,000.

Within the accounting documentation I found some Alliance & Leicester On-Line Horizon deposit printouts. These deposits are input onto Horizon by means of a swipe card as opposed to a manual deposit slip. I printed off an extract of these transactions from the Business Objects Management Information Database, covering the period 01 February 2006 to 06 March 2006. The deposits were generally made on a daily basis and for amounts in multiples of fifty pounds.

In my experience it was unusual to see regular deposits, all round figures, although I now believe that these represent genuine deposits, possibly the shop takings. Having obtained a further extract from Business Objects I could see that these deposits stopped on 06 March 2006 and resumed under a different stock unit (SW) on 16 March 2006, continuing on pretty much a daily basis.

Obviously, Mrs Hamilton hasn't worked in the Post Office since 07 March 2006. I intend to speak to the shop staff at a later stage, and will hopefully be able to determine what these deposits relate to.

Together with Mr Colin Price, Investigation Manager I attended Tanner & Taylor Solicitors office, 149 Victoria Road, Aldershot at 10.45 hrs on 05 May 2006. We introduced ourselves to Ms Taylor and gave her disclosure of what I intended putting to Mrs Hamilton. Prior to the commencement of the interview, Ms Taylor gave me a copy of a prepared statement, signed by Mrs Hamilton.

The prepared statement stated that Mrs Hamilton began working at South Warnborough SPOB on 24 December 2001, becoming postmistress in October 2003.

POL00430943 POL00430943

M035_POL_012_Security Report_PT

The prepared statement seems to intimate that she didn't receive adequate training at the time and that the manuals were old and out of date. It also suggests that she didn't receive any training in respect of other matters.

It also refers to an alleged £1,500 error, which doubled to £3,000 when attempts were made to correct it and another error of £750. No dates are supplied in respect of these alleged errors. It also suggests that 'The Post Office systems are shambolic' and details alleged problems encountered. It states that all staff use the same Horizon user name, again citing lack of training as the reason for this. Finally, it states 'I can say that I have never stolen any money or acted dishonestly'.

At 12.13 hrs I commenced a tape-recorded interview with Mrs Josephine Hamilton. Also present was Ms Taylor and Mr Price. The interview was conducted under caution and in accordance with the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 Codes of Practice.

The interview comprised of two tapes, reference 042769 and 042770. I have prepared summaries of these tapes, copies of which are associated with this report.

From the summaries it can be seen that initially Ms Taylor read out the prepared statement. Subsequently, Mrs Hamilton gave no comment responses to all questions put to her by Mr Price and myself. It can also be seen that Mrs Hamilton wasn't prepared to advise me who worked in the Post Office.

Ms Taylor advised me that Mrs Hamilton was prepared to grant access to her bank account details. A number of Bank Disclosure Authority forms were given to Mrs Hamilton after the interview concluded.

During the course of the interview I showed Mrs Hamilton the following items:

Branch Trading Statement's Period's 10 (13/01/06 - 08/02/06) & 11(08/02/06 - 09/03/06)

Cash Account Final's CAP's 36 (w/e 01/12/04), 10 (w/e 01/06/05), 24 (w/e 07/09/05) &

28 (w/e 05/10/05).

Business Objects extract detailing Alliance & Leicester On-Line cash deposits.

The interview was concluded at 13.32 hours.

Following the interview, I asked Mrs Hamilton a number of questions for the sole purpose of completing NPA 01 and CS033 (Antecedents) forms. Mrs Hamilton stated that she co-owned her house with her husband and parents. She stated that it was worth approx £420,000 and they were paying off a mortgage of £230,000.

As stated above, Mrs Hamilton is married and lives in the same house as her husband and parents. She has two sons aged 24 and 22, neither of whom live at home. Mrs Hamilton advised me that she rents the shop/Post Office property, however her solicitor advised her not to answer any questions relating to the finances of it. Mrs Hamilton did advise me that together with her husband, they contribute £600 a month towards the mortgage on their house and the Post Office remuneration is in the region of £500 a month.

I am unable to state what would appear to be the period of offending, mainly due to the fact that Mrs Hamilton responded no comment to my questions.

The evidence so far is simply the fact that the audit identified the money as missing. Concerns only came to light following a request to return excess cash and instead of doing so Mrs Hamilton was signed off as sick. Additionally, Mrs Hamilton has only supplied a prepared statement as some form of explanation, yet refused to answer any questions.

I do not envisage any problems regarding obtaining statements in respect of this case and at this stage I have no reason to express any concerns over the reliability of any potential witness.

I requested Horizon data in respect of this case covering the period 09 February 2006 - 08 March 2006. This wasn't received until after I had interviewed Mrs Hamilton. I have now had chance to view the data but have not identified any further evidence at this stage. All entries are against the user name JHA001.

In view of the fact that the prepared statement suggested that numerous problems had occurred at South Warnborough SPOB I requested and subsequently received details of calls made to both the Network Business Support Centre (NBSC) and the Horizon Support Helpdesk (HSH). From the NBSC Log I could see that numerous calls were made requesting advice on a number of subjects. It also details a number of losses reported, details as follows:

| 03/12/03 | £2,082.00 |
|----------|-----------|
| 30/12/03 | £2,000.00 |
| 02/01/04 | £4,188.53 |
| 03/02/04 | £3,191.00 |
| 24/02/05 | £ 750.00 |
| 05/01/06 | £1,000.00 |

I explained to Ms Taylor that the Post Office would be looking to recover the funds owing from Mrs Hamilton and that any payments would be accepted without prejudice. To date, no monies have been repaid in respect of this case and thus the loss to Post Office Ltd remains at £36,644.89.

POL00430943 POL00430943

M035_POL_012_Security Report_PT

I am waiting for the completed Bank Authority Disclosure forms to be returned to me. Other than that and the fact that the entire loss to Post Office Itd of £36,644.89 is still outstanding there are no further accounting aspects to deal with. I have advised Mr Mick Matthews, Accredited Financial Investigator of this case.

During the course of this investigation the following failings were identified:

- 1) It was identified that this office had been holding approx £25,000 more that its ONCH target but excessive cash holdings appear to have gone unnoticed for some time.
- In Mrs Hamilton's prepared statement it states that all staff used the same Horizon user name.
- The prepared statement suggests that Mrs Hamilton didn't comply with all the training aids sent to her.

The case papers have been forwarded to the Criminal Law Team for advice on the sufficiency of the evidence as to whether criminal charges are brought against Mrs Hamilton.

Please feel free to contact me should you require any further information or clarification on any of the points raised in this report.

Graham Brander Investigation Manager

GRO

17 May 2006