


REF 5

**Thomas Penny**

**From:** dave.posnett GRO  
**Sent:** 14 August 2009 13:45  
**To:** john.longman GRO  
**Cc:** Thomas Penny  
**Subject:** Fw: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA - CRM/258932/JSX/PT  
**Attachments:** 258932M8.doc; 258932L13.doc



**John,**  
A good letter - I like it.  
Any response will probably have to await my return from leave, unless urgent, in which case liaise with Security/Jason/Tash.

**Penny,**  
For info.

**Regards,**

**Dave Posnett**  
**Fraud Risk Manager**

: Security Suite (G14), Leatherhead DO, Station Rd, Leatherhead, KT22 7AE  
 : GRO (Mobex: GRO)  
 : dave.posnett GRO  
 : GRO Post-line: GRO Fax: GRO



----- Forwarded by Dave Posnett/e/POSTOFFICE on 14/08/2009 13:39 -----

**John Longman**  
14/08/2009 12:19  
**To:** Dave Posnett/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE  
**cc:**  
**Subject:** Fw: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA - CRM/258932/JSX/PT






Dave

FYI

Regards,

Jon Longman

Security Advisor,  
Post Office Ltd, National Security Team

 OFFICE ADDRESS: Post Office Investigation Dept, Watford MLO, Ascot Road, Watford, WD18 8AA  
 LAND LINE PHONE:  
 MOBILE NO:   MOBEX:   
 john.longman:



**Confidential Information:** *This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient (s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact me by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.*  
?? Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

----- Forwarded by John Longman/e/POSTOFFICE on 14/08/2009 12:18 -----

**Phil Taylor**

Sent by: Marilyn Benjamin

To: Post Office Security@POSTOFFICE

cc: John Longman/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE

14/08/2009 11:35

Subject: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA - CRM/258932/JSX/PT  
GUILDFORD CROWN COURT  
TRIAL - 30TH NOVEMBER 2009  
CASE NO: POLTD/0708/0249

Please see the attached advice in the above case together with letter to Defence.

Phil Taylor  
Legal Executive  
Criminal Law Division

# Memo

14<sup>th</sup> August 2009  
Post Office Security  
c.c. Jon Longman

**REGINA v SEEMA MISRA**  
**GUILDFORD CROWN COURT**  
**TRIAL - 30<sup>th</sup> NOVEMBER 2009**  
**CASE NO: POLTD/0708/0249**

Jon,

Here is a letter which I have written to the Defence and copied to Counsel for your information.

Phil Taylor  
Legal Executive  
Criminal Law Division

phil.taylor@**GRO**

Ref: CRM/258932/JSX/PT



The Real Network

Legal Services  
3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
6a Eccleston Street  
Victoria  
LONDON SW1W 9LT

Tel: **GRO**  
Fax: **GRO**  
Postline: **GRO**  
[www.royalmail.com](http://www.royalmail.com)

14<sup>th</sup> August 2009

The Castle Partnership  
Solicitors  
20a High Street  
WOKING  
GU21 6BW

Legal Services  
3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
6a Eccleston Street  
Victoria  
LONDON SW1W 9LT

Tel:   
Fax:   
[www.royalmail.com](http://www.royalmail.com)

Our Ref: CRM/258932/JSX/PT  
Your Ref: DTC/CC

Dear Sirs

**REGINA v SEEMA MISRA**  
**GUILDFORD CROWN COURT**  
**TRIAL - 30<sup>TH</sup> NOVEMBER 2009**

I understand from Prosecuting Counsel that on the last occasion Defence Counsel asked for Horizon data for the period during which your Client was Subpostmistress at West Byfleet Sub Post Office. As you may be aware the Horizon system is a product of Fujitsu Limited and the Post Office has purchased this system from Fujitsu in the same way that any other company would purchase goods or services for its business. Other than that Fujitsu is not in any way an associated company of the Post Office.

The request has been put to Fujitsu and a reply has been received by the person who liaises with this company.

The data will take some 6-8 weeks to produce. Additionally your Client made 107 calls to the Horizon Helpdesk during her period of tenure which equates to roughly 2-3 calls per month. In order to provide the data Fujitsu will wish to know exactly what is required and for exactly what period. Please could you also advise as to why you consider the data relevant. You will already have the Notice of Additional Evidence from Andrew Dunks of Fujitsu dealing with the calls to the Helpdesk.

The retrieval of data by Fujitsu is not a free service. It is very expensive and depends upon the amount of data which has to be retrieved which is why you are requested to be very precise. At that stage a firm quotation can be obtained and Counsel will be asked to give further advice as to disclosure and payment for this service. The Post Office will not underwrite the cost if Counsel considers the data irrelevant. You will of course be aware that the same system operates throughout the country and was not particular to your Client's Sub Post Office.

I have set out the matter above quite clearly because in the past many thousands of pounds have been spent on obtaining this type of data subsequent to which a late plea of Guilty is tendered which means that the exercise has been a complete waste of time and money.

In view of the timescale please could you let me have your reply at your earliest convenience.

Yours faithfully

Cont...

Phil Taylor  
Legal Executive  
Criminal Law Division

phil.taylor@**GRO**