			99770	
3.5	B	8	8E II	
Ex	int	hid	ulbu	

Peak Incident Management System

Call Reference	PC0204310	Call Logger	_Customer Call EDSC					
Release	Targeted At HNG-X 03.20	Top Ref	PC0206867					
Call Type	HNG-X Data Centre Raised Incidents/Defects	Priority	B Progress Stopped					
Contact	EDSC	Call Status	Closed Programme approved - No fix required					
Target Date	16/09/2010	Effort (Man Days)	0					
Summary	Duplicate JSN detected							
All References	Type	Value						
	Product Baseline	BAL_SRV_OS	R_ROUTING_0320_V239					
	Product Baseline		R_0320_V238 & R_ROUTING_0320_V238					
	Product Baseline	BAL_SRV_OS	R_0320_D239					
	TRIOLE for Service	2858551						
	Release PEAK	PC0206867						
	Product Baseline	BAL_SRV_OS	R_0320_V239					
	SSCKEL	KEL Mithyanth	<u>aJ1937S</u>					
	DevIntRel-Director	Live Supp.Test						
	Product Baseline	BAL_SRV_OS	R_ROUTING_0320_D239					
Impact Statement	User	Date	Date					
	Unknown	02-Nov-2010 1	6:22:59					
	have a different root cause and requ	iire investigation. Suc	uton will mean that any future occurrences will ch incidents are currently getting masked by the to the integrity of the audit trail used for litigating					

## Progress Narrative

```
Date:13-Sep-2010 18:56:56 User: Customer Call
CALL PC0204310 opened
```

Details entered are:-

Summary:As per Catherine Obeng Reopen: OSR A0063 : [20560] : [112136-1-PP-0809-9] - Exception raised while p

Call Type:J Call Priority:B

Target Release:HNG-X 01.00

Routed to:EDSC - \_Unassigned\_

## Date: 13-Sep-2010 18:56:56 User: Customer Call\_

INCIDENT MANAGEMENT

Date/Time Raised: Sep 13 2010 6:51PM

Priority: B

Contact Name: Raideane
Contact Phone: GRO
Originator: XXXXXXQTFS01

Originator's reference: 2858551

Product Serial No:

Product Site: HNG SMC

Observe critical alert @ 8/9/2010 10:07 on GRO

Critical alert in text:

OSR A0063 : [20560] : [112136-1-PP-0809-9] - Exception raised while processing message event - Serious system error:[ Duplicate JSN detected - failed to insert journal record ] Caught Exception inclass com.fujitsu.poa.bal.osr.event.RequestEvent:Thread def

Incident History:

2010-09-13 18:51:10 [ Jayendrappa, Sunil Kumar]

INIT: AHD05439: Copied from Incident 2835511

```
2010-09-13 18:51:39 [ Jayendrappa, Sunil Kumar]
zneun en rmg : Open Notification
2010-09-13 18:51:39 [ Jayendrappa, Sunil Kumar]
zneut_en_rmg : Transfer Notification
2010-09-13 18:52:44 [ Jayendrappa, Sunil Kumar]
FLD: FIELD='summary' OLD='OSR A0063: [20560]: [112136-1-PP-0809-9] - Exception raised while processing message event - Serious system error: Duplicate JSN detected - failed to insert journal record 'NEW='As per Catherine Obeng Reopen: OSR A0063: [20560]:
[112136-1-PP-0809-9] - Exception raised while processing message event - Serious system error:[ Duplicate JSN detected - failed to
insert iournal record '
2010-09-13 18:53:30 [ Jayendrappa, Sunil Kumar]
LOG : Node name /Server name : GRO
Service Details:
OSR A0063 : [20560] : [112136-1-PP-0809-9] - Exception raised while processing message event - Serious system error:[ Duplicate JSN
detected - failed to insert journal record | Caught Exception inclass com.fujitsu.poa.bal.osr.event.RequestEvent:Thread def
Date : 8/9/2010
Time of accourrence: 10:07
KEL: MithyanthaJ1937S
2010-09-13 18:53:58 [ Jayendrappa, Sunil Kumar]
LOG : Old Peak Provider Ref: PC0204088
Jonnalagadda, Naresh 08/09/2010 17:03 00:00:41 Field Update
FIELD='zCloseCategory' OLD='' NEW='RMGA.O Operational.OP02 Central Systems Software failure'FIELD='zinclocode' OLD='' NEW='Admin'
Jonnalagadda, Naresh 08/09/2010 17:03 Transfer Notification - RMGA
Transfer Notification
Jonnalagadda, Naresh 08/09/2010 17:03 Notify End User Closed EN RMG
Closure Notification
Jonnalagadda, Naresh 08/09/2010 17:03 Close
KEL: MithyanthaJ1937S - issue resloved hence closing this call
POWebService, 01 08/09/2010 17:01 Notify End User Resolve EN RMG
Resolution Notification
POWebService, 01 08/09/2010 17:01 00:00:00 Resolved
Status changed from 'Open' to 'Resolved'
POWebService, 01 08/09/2010 17:01 00:00:00 Delay Service Type
The Service Type(s) on a ticket were delayed
OTI 08/09/2010 17:01 00:00:00 OTI Resolved
Provider Ref: PC0204088
Resolution Details: Update by Catherine Obeng:Category 67 -- Final -- Solicited Known Error:This is a known problem where a request
times out in the meantime, a retry with the same request Id success. As per above mentioned KEL, because the 1st message was
rejected as a duplicate, there are no implications on the integrity of the data and therefore no issues of concern. Please close
this call as KEL.
OTI 08/09/2010 10:20 00:00:00 OTI Provider Status Update
Update by John Charlton:Call routed to Team:EDSC Member:Catherine Obeng
2010-09-13 18:55:16 [ Jayendrappa, Sunil Kumar]
TR : Please investigate.
2010-09-13 18:55:48 [ Jayendrappa, Sunil Kumar]
zneut_en_rmg : Transfer Notification
Date:14-Sep-2010 08:10:50 User:Lorraine Guiblin
Reference Added: SSCKEL MithyanthaJ1937S
Date:14-Sep-2010 08:12:06 User:Lorraine Guiblin
Product HNG-X Platforms -- BAL Server (BAL) (version unspecified) added.
Date:14-Sep-2010 08:12:28 User:Lorraine Guiblin
The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Catherine Obeng
Progress was delivered to Consumer
Date:14-Sep-2010 10:29:47 User:Catherine Obeng
[Start of Response]
Below is the output from the BRSS when I run the query described in the KEL:
SQL> select journal header
 from ops$brdb.brdb_rx_message_journal
3 where FAD HASH = 1\overline{3}
4 and BRANCH ACCOUNTING CODE = 112136
5 and JOURNAL SEQ NUMBER = 2454507
6;
```

#### JOURNAL HEADER

POST: LabelEventService

Authorization-Signature:

eiHqVopuXXYwvsqft25FDzer5pdajrpbky+kmKjvVKMfXrEkq5PeFqqoBMZHFO4z/SDDISFYmOhdp1IwCqsF11PkiPLuunvojTUupzKLGYXix1AiFUti/AJ7bAUiZ6q0I31 YIyB2VAioYc/Rqu9Sd99Pw9Y1wwcpaOjkpKXq6vA=

Content-Length: 864

Retry: true

ReqMessageID: 112136-1-PP-0809-10

Content-Encoding: gzip

JSN: 2454507

In discussion with Andy Thomas this morning, I will gather evidence from the OSR/BAL logs before forward to 4GDC.

[End of Response]

Response code to call type J as Category 40 -- Pending -- Incident Under Investigation

Response was delivered to Consumer

### Date:14-Sep-2010 12:34:30 User:Catherine Obeng

Evidence **Added -** 01 mes - File will be Obfuscated and made available to download soon.

### Date:14-Sep-2010 12:35:08 User:Catherine Obeng

Evidence **Added -** 01 poc - File will be Obfuscated and made available to download soon.

### Date:14-Sep-2010 12:36:56 User:Catherine Obeng

Evidence Obfuscated File (CTRMSG) Added - Node01 Mes Log .

### Date:14-Sep-2010 12:37:39 User:Catherine Obeng

Evidence Obfuscated File (CTRPOC) Added - Node01 POC Logs .

### Date:14-Sep-2010 14:36:51 User:Catherine Obeng

[Start of Response]

Currently awaiting evidence gathering from the ACE Blade, platform Id is **GRO** 2 in order to determine message queues, authorisation messages etc.

[End of Response]

Response code to call type J as Category 40 -- Pending -- Incident Under Investigation

Response was delivered to Consumer

### Date:14-Sep-2010 17:02:58 User:Catherine Obeng

Evidence **Added -** ACE Blade Log Files

### Date:14-Sep-2010 17:04:26 User:Catherine Obeng

[Start of Response]

Requested evidence is now attached. OFP please route onto to 4LS/GDC.

[End of Response]

Response code to call type J as Category 40 -- Pending -- Incident Under Investigation

Response was delivered to Consumer

# Date:14-Sep-2010 17:04:33 User:Catherine Obeng

The Call record has been transferred to the team: OFP

Progress was delivered to Consumer

### Date:15-Sep-2010 08:11:21 User:Lionel Higman

The Call record has been transferred to the team: xCtr GDC

User:Lionel Higman Confirmed that this Incident may be passed to the external company with the attached evidence.

Progress was delivered to Consumer

### Date:15-Sep-2010 08:19:17 User:Ravindra Kumar

The Call record has been transferred to the team: xOSR GDC

The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Jeevan Mithyantha

Progress was delivered to Consumer

### Date:16-Sep-2010 12:24:02 User:Jeevan Mithyantha

This peak has been raised due to "Duplicate JSN" issue occured in BAL/OSR while processing "LabelEventService" request.

From the DB record, its been clear that JSN "2454507" was inserted due to request id "12136-1-PP-0809-10".

From the counter message logs, we could see that "12136-1-PP-0809-9" and "12136-1-PP-0809-10" both had same JSN, since "12136-1-PP-0809-9" was timed out.

I think this is the issue with late arrival of the request in BAL/OSR (not sure thogh).

Andy has suggested to look into ACE blade logs, to check whether the OSR instances for which these requests had gone to were listening/working normally.

To investigate further on this, I would like to have OSR message.log & osr.log extract for request ids "12136-1-PP-0809-9" & "12136-1-PP-0809-10".

FUJ00225940 Date:16-Sep-2010 12:27:56 User:Jeevan Mithyantha The Call record has been transferred to the team: EDSC User:Jeevan Mithyantha Confirmed that this Incident may be passed to the external company with the attached evidence. Progress was delivered to Consumer Date:16-Sep-2010 12:28:40 User:Lorraine Guiblin The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Catherine Obeng Progress was delivered to Consumer Date:20-Sep-2010 09:52:38 User:Catherine Obeng Evidence **Added -** 112136-10 mesad.txt - File will be Obfuscated and made available to download soon. Date:20-Sep-2010 09:53:44 User:Catherine Obeng Evidence Added - 12136-1-PP-0809-10 Date:20-Sep-2010 09:59:25 User:Catherine Obeng Evidence Obfuscated File (BALMSG) Added - 12136-1-PP-0809-10 . Date:20-Sep-2010 10:07:17 User:Catherine Obeng [Start of Response] BAL osr/message logs for MesgRqstID 12136-1-PP-0809-10 are now attached. Returning call to 4LS. [End of Response] Response code to call type J as Category 40 -- Pending -- Incident Under Investigation Response was delivered to Consumer Date:20-Sep-2010 10:08:29 User:Catherine Obeng The Call record has been transferred to the team: xOSR GDC User:Catherine Obeng Confirmed that this Incident may be passed to the external company with the attached evidence. Progress was delivered to Consumer Date:21-Sep-2010 08:46:39 User:Jeevan Mithyantha I have requested OSR logs (both osr.log & OSR message log) for request ids "12136-1-PP-0809-9" & "12136-1-PP-0809-10". Attached logs contains the extract for request ID "12136-1-PP-0809-10", but missed the logs for "12136-1-PP-0809-9". Please extract the same for request id "12136-1-PP-0809-9". Date:21-Sep-2010 08:46:54 User:Jeevan Mithyantha Action placed on Team:xOSR GDC, User:Jeevan Mithyantha Date:21-Sep-2010 08:47:11 User:Jeevan Mithyantha The Call record has been transferred to the team: EDSC User:Jeevan Mithyantha Confirmed that this Incident may be passed to the external company with the attached evidence. Progress was delivered to Consumer Date:21-Sep-2010 09:01:12 User:Lorraine Guiblin The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Catherine Obeng Progress was delivered to Consumer Date:21-Sep-2010 10:39:05 User:Catherine Obeng The call summary has been changed from: As per Catherine Obeng Reopen: OSR A0063 : [20560] : [112136-1-PP-0809-9] - Exception raised while p The call summary is now:-Branch 112136 Duplicate JSN 112136-1-PP-0809-9 Exception raised Date:21-Sep-2010 15:52:37 User:Catherine Obeng Evidence Added - 112136 9 MesLogbk - File will be Obfuscated and made available to download soon. Date:21-Sep-2010 15:54:23 User:Catherine Obeng Evidence Added - RequestMesId 112136-1-PP-0809 Date:21-Sep-2010 15:55:04 User:Catherine Obeng

Evidence Deleted - RequestMesId 112136-1-PP-0809-9

Evidence Added - OSRLogs for RequestMesId 112136-1-PP-0809-9

Date:21-Sep-2010 15:55:50 User:Catherine Obeng

Date:21-Sep-2010 16:01:01 User:Catherine Obeng

Evidence Obfuscated File (BALMSG) Added - RequestMesId 112136-1-PP-0809-9 .

Date:21-Sep-2010 16:05:14 User:Catherine Obeng

[Start of Response]

More evidence has been gathered and attached here. Returning call to \$LS

[End of Response]

Response code to call type J as Category 40 -- Pending -- Incident Under Investigation

Response was delivered to Consumer

Date:21-Sep-2010 16:06:19 User:Catherine Obeng

The Call record has been transferred to the team: xOSR GDC

User:Catherine Obeng Confirmed that this Incident may be passed to the external company with the attached evidence.

Progress was delivered to Consumer

Date:22-Sep-2010 09:32:12 User:Venu Anamalla

The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Jeevan Mithyantha

Progress was delivered to Consumer

Date:22-Sep-2010 14:22:18 User:Jeevan Mithyantha

Analysis

\_\_\_\_

From the OSR logs, I found that retried "LabelEventService" request with request id "112136-1-PP-0809-10" executed before the original request with request id "112136-1-PP-0809-9".

Again this has happened due to some network glitch. And hence the arrival of the messages in BAL/OSR got delayed.

Probable solution

In order to get rid of these kind of errors/exceptions due to delayed arrivals, we could modify the code in BAL/OSR side.

In MessageJournalDAO, if SQLException occurs (i.e. if a JSN already exists in BRDB, then system will check the journal xml contents only if its a retried request.

Instead, we could check the journal xml contents irrespective of retry or original request. If the contents are same, then we could avoid throwing exception from OSR side and could be ending up in a warning message.

Anyhow, from counter side this won't affect anything, since original request would have timed out and retried one will be successful.

Date:22-Sep-2010 14:24:51 User:Jeevan Mithyantha

Routing to Venu for review.

Date:22-Sep-2010 14:25:00 User:Jeevan Mithyantha

The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Venu Anamalla

Progress was delivered to Consumer

Date:23-Sep-2010 08:49:35 User:Venu Anamalla

Ilooks like the root cause could be similar to peak PC0203208 (OSR paused for some time).

But, this peak could be used to address how to avoid Duplicate JSN issue, when it occurs in similar manner to the one mentioned in this peak.

Anyhow, we are addressing the OSR imbalance/pause problem in peak PC0203208.

Please go ahead with the Fix Impact.

Date:23-Sep-2010 08:50:07 User:Venu Anamalla

The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Jeevan Mithyantha

Progress was delivered to Consumer

Date:23-Sep-2010 09:19:22 User:Jeevan Mithyantha

DEVELOPMENT IMPACT OF FIX:

SPECIFY THE HNG-X PLATFORMS IMPACTED:

Is Platforms details updated? - YES

TECHNICAL SUMMARY:

Technical details explained above. This fix would affect 1 java file.

LIST OF KNOWN DIMENSIONS DESIGN PARTS AFFECTED BY THE CHANGE:

None

ARE ANY OF THESE DESIGN PARTS AFFECTED BY APPROVED CPs/PEAKS in HNGX Release 2:

None

RELEASE 2 IMPACT: None DEPENDENCIES: None DOES THE FIX REQUIRE ANY MANUAL DEPLOYMENT BASELINES: DEV EFFORT IN MANDAYS: 0.5 day including development and testing IMPACT ON USER: There isn't any direct impact on the user. IMPACT ON OPERATIONS: Benefit of fix that may not visible to end user. - With this fix, we could avoid throwing Duplicate JSN exception, in case if the JSN was inserted by similar request i.e. with same journal message contents. We have observed many scenarios in LIVE, where the retried request executed before the original request in BAL/OSR, due to delay in arrival of the message in BAL side. So, during this time to avoid Duplicate JSN issue, this fix would be important. HAVE RELEVANT KELS BEEN CREATED OR UPDATED? Yes. IMPACT ON HORIZON TO HNGX BRANCH MIGRATIONS None IMPACT ON TEST: What independent test coverage/scenarios does development recommend? - We could recreate the scenario mentioned in this peak, by starting OSR in DEBUG mode. - Do a settlement transaction with any product in the basket, say 1st class stamp. - Put a breakpoint in OSR, where the settlement request thread will suspend for some time. Settlement will time out in counter side and retry request will be sent to OSR. - Execute the retry request normally in OSR. Counter will get response and settlement will be completed normally. - Now, execute the original settlement request in OSR. Will get SQLException for duplicate JSN entry, but with the ew fix, it should log a warning message and will stop executing in filter stage itself. What CIT test coverage does development recommend? - In CCIT, this particular scenario can't be tested, since we can't reproduce the late arrival of the request in OSR side. RISKS (of releasing and of not releasing proposed fix): Fix is of low risk. Even though there won't be any direct impact on the end user, RISK of not releasing this fix would be medium. LIST OF LIKELY DELIVERABLES: MessageJournalDAO.java Date:23-Sep-2010 09:20:03 User:Jeevan Mithyantha Routing to Venu for review. Date:23-Sep-2010 09:20:11 User:Jeevan Mithyantha The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Venu Anamalla Progress was delivered to Consumer Date:23-Sep-2010 09:49:04 User:Venu Anamalla [Start of Response] Fix Impact looks ok. [End of Response] Response code to call type J as Category 41 -- Pending -- Product Error Diagnosed Response was delivered to Consumer Date:23-Sep-2010 11:30:14 User:Jeevan Mithyantha The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Jeevan Mithyantha Progress was delivered to Consumer Date:24-Sep-2010 13:09:13 User:Jeevan Mithyantha HNGX CODE FIX FIX DESCRIPTION Described Above. PROPOSED BRANCH COUNTER JAVA FILES CHANGED None.

FUJ00225940 COUNTER PDL FILES CHANGED List changed files indicting if each file was added, updated or deleted. If no files changed, state None. COUNTER REFDATA FILES CHANGED None. SHARED CODE FILES CHANGED None. BAL JAVA CODE FILES CHANGED 1)MessageJournalFilter.java 2)MessageJournalDAO.java SOL FILES CHANGED None. OTHER FILES CHANGED APPROPRIATE CODE COMMENTS Yes. DEPENDENCIES None. RELATED PROBLEMS

None.

UNIT TESTING EVIDENCE

Screen shot of successful execution test cases has been attached.

Also, did manual testing as described above in the fix impact. Attached log extracts.

REGRESSION TEST CLASS MessageJournalFilterTest.java

BACKWARDS COMPATIBILITY N/A - Framework change.

DEVELOPMENT DOCUMENTATION

None.

REQUIREMENTS DOCUMENTATION

None.

HELP None.

Date:24-Sep-2010 13:12:17 User:Jeevan Mithyantha

As part of regression test, we had alread a test class named MessageJournalFilterTest. To the same, I have included a new test case named "testDuplicateJSN\_PC0204310" to test the scenario in this peak.

Date:24-Sep-2010 13:12:45 User:Jeevan Mithyantha

**Evidence Added -** Code changes - Patch files

Date:24-Sep-2010 13:12:59 User:Jeevan Mithyantha

Evidence Added - Test Evidence

Date:24-Sep-2010 13:13:18 User:Jeevan Mithyantha

Evidence **Added -** <u>Test Evidence - Log extract</u>

Date:24-Sep-2010 13:13:42 User:Jeevan Mithyantha

Routing to Venu for review.

Date:24-Sep-2010 13:13:51 User:Jeevan Mithyantha

The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Venu Anamalla

Progress was delivered to Consumer

Date:04-Oct-2010 12:09:33 User:Venu Anamalla

Code fix template looks plausible

Code patches and test details are OK.

Date: 04-Oct-2010 12:09:45 User: Venu Anamalla

The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Jeevan Mithyantha

Progress was delivered to Consumer

#### Date: 08-Oct-2010 10:23:01 User: Jeevan Mithyantha

[Start of Response]

[End of Response]

Response code to call type J as Category 46 -- Pending -- Product Error Fixed

Response was delivered to Consumer

### Date:19-Oct-2010 15:11:52 User:Martin Tonge

A new Business Impact has been added:

This Peak will reduce the support overhead because it will reduce the number of alerts associated with duplicate JSN's. It will also mean that any future occurrences will have occurred for a different reason and would require investigation. Such incidents are currently getting masked by the occurrences associated with this Peak.

There is no immediate benefit to the customer other than support engineers no longer need to worry about these types of alert and can focus on other alerts.

Currently this type of error generates a relatively high frequency of Alerts on a daily basis, masking other types of error.

### Date: 20-Oct-2010 15:52:02 User: \_Customer Call\_

Last Occurrence Node <u>Summary</u> Severity Count

20/10/2010 15:47:25 **GRO** OSR A0063 : [20559] : [318518-3-10-2014-26] - [ Duplicate JSN detected - failed to insert journal record ] Caught Exception inclass com.fujitsu.poa.bal.osr.event.RequestEvent:Thread generic\_brdb\_queue pool-12-thread-12 Service URI: GenericBRDBModification Critical 1

### Date:22-Oct-2010 12:20:11 User:Prashanth Pamidimukkala

Product HNG-X Platforms -- BAL Server (BAL) (version unspecified) added.

### Date:22-Oct-2010 12:20:32 User:Prashanth Pamidimukkala

The call Target Release has been moved to Proposed For -- HNG-X 03.20

#### Date: 25-Oct-2010 12:27:05 User: Prashanth Pamidimukkala

Action has been removed from the call

### Date:25-Oct-2010 12:28:00 User:Prashanth Pamidimukkala

Action placed on Team:RelMngmntForum

### Date: 27-Oct-2010 14:11:41 User: John Boston

The call Target Release has been moved to Targeted At -- HNG-X 03.20

### Date: 27-Oct-2010 14:11:53 User: John Boston

RMF 26/10/10 Agreed - Targeted for 03.20.

### Date: 27-Oct-2010 14:11:56 User: John Boston

Action has been removed from the call

### Date:02-Nov-2010 16:17:18 User:Steve Parker

The call summary has been changed from:-

Branch 112136 Duplicate JSN 112136-1-PP-0809-9 Exception raised

The call summary is now:-

Duplicate JSN detected

### Date:02-Nov-2010 16:22:59 User:Steve Parker

The Business Impact has been updated:

Support overhead currently 30 incidents in 5 days. Resoluton will mean that any future occurrences will have a different root cause and require investigation. Such incidents are currently getting masked by the volume associated with this Peak with consequential risk to the integrity of the audit trail used for litigation support.

### Date: 02-Nov-2010 16:26:34 User: Steve Parker

03.20 is not due until early next year. Given an average of 30 calls / week this will result in approx 300 support incidents before resolution representing 100 MD effort at 3rd line.

### Date:15-Nov-2010 15:07:17 User:Jeevan Mithyantha

Applied patches to  $CTR03\_20\_00\_RELEASE$  and smoke tested successfully.

Following file has been committed to CTR03\_20\_00\_RELEASE branch.

- 1) MessageJournalFilter.java -- 1.28.14.1
- 2) MessageJournalDAO.java -- 1.7.48.1
- 3) BranchAccessFilters/junittest/MessageJournalFilterTest.java -- 1.15.164.1

FUJ00225940 4) BranchAccessFilters/junittest/beanfactory.xml -- 1.20.8.1 Date:16-Nov-2010 08:09:00 User:Jeevan Mithyantha Action placed on Team:xCtr\_GDC Date:16-Nov-2010 08:09:13 User:Jeevan Mithyantha The Call record has been transferred to the team: xCtr REL GDC Progress was delivered to Consumer Date:22-Nov-2010 10:57:22 User:Kishor GaneshRao Rig: 4LS CCIT Release: BAL03.20.00.00 Baseline: BAL SRV OSR 0320 V238 & BAL SRV OSR ROUTING 0320 V238 This peak has not been verified in 4LS CCIT due to the fact that it is not reproducible. In CCIT, this particular scenario can't be tested, as we can't reproduce the late arrival of the request in OSR side. But note that this has been successfully tested in the GDC\_DEV rig by using the Debug option. Date:22-Nov-2010 10:57:46 User:Kishor GaneshRao Reference Added: Product Baseline BAL SRV OSR 0320 V238 & BAL SRV OSR ROUTING 0320 V238 Date:22-Nov-2010 10:57:48 User:Kishor GaneshRao TOP Reference set to: Product Baseline BAL\_SRV\_OSR\_0320 V238 & BAL\_SRV\_OSR\_ROUTING\_0320\_V238 Date:22-Nov-2010 10:59:21 User:Kishor GaneshRao FIX RELEASE CHECKLIST FIX IMPACT TEMPLATE FULLY COMPLETED? Yes RMF APPROVAL GRANTED? CODE REVIEW TEMPLATE FULLY COMPLETED? Yes CODE REVIEW PASSED? Yes CODE CHECK-IN BRANCH SPECIFIED? ALL CHECKED-IN FILES HAVE PEAK REFERENCE IN CVS COMMENT? Yes FIX PASSED CIT AND REGRESSION TESTING? NO, this fix has not been verified in CIT due to the fact that we are not able to reproduce the same, but note that this has been verified successfully in GDC DEV rig by the developer. KEL UPDATED TO REFLECT PEAK FIX? CIT TEST SCRIPT UPDATED TO REFLECT PEAK? No, due to the fact that the change cannot be tested in CIT. CIT TEST RESULTS PUBLISHED?

### Date: 22-Nov-2010 11:18:12 User: Kishor GaneshRao

The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Kishor GaneshRao Progress was delivered to Consumer

### Date:01-Dec-2010 15:20:03 User:PIT Automated User

Reference Added: Product Baseline BAL SRV OSR 0320 V239

Reference Added: Product Baseline BAL SRV OSR ROUTING 0320 V239

### Date: 02-Dec-2010 15:15:04 User: PIT Automated User

Reference Added: Product Baseline BAL\_SRV\_OSR\_ROUTING\_0320\_D239

Date:02-Dec-2010 15:20:03 User:PIT Automated User

Reference Added: Product Baseline BAL\_SRV\_OSR\_0320\_D239

Date:03-Dec-2010 09:39:46 User:Kishor GaneshRao

[Start of Response]

Moving the Peaks to INT

[End of Response]

Response code to call type J as Category 48

Date:03-Dec-2010 09:42:22 User:Kishor GaneshRao

The Call record has been transferred to the team: Development calls ready for Integration

The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: \_Unassigned\_

Date:06-Dec-2010 14:36:21 User:Tyrone Cozens

Reference Added: Release PEAK PC0206867

Date:30-Dec-2010 11:32:02 User:Sheila Bamber

Tested in LST - Please close

Date:30-Dec-2010 11:32:18 User:Sheila Bamber

The Call record has been transferred to the team: Live Supp. Test

The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Release to Live

Progress was delivered to Consumer

Date:16-Mar-2011 17:20:54 User:Mark Ascott

The Call record has been transferred to the team: RM-x

Progress was delivered to Consumer

Date:22-Nov-2011 13:34:57 User:John Simpkins

[Start of Response]

Identified for closure following review of open un-targeted peaks in October 2011. Closure requested by Saheed Salawu

[End of Response]

Response code to call type J as Category 63

Service Response was delivered to Consumer

Date:22-Nov-2011 13:34:59 User:John Simpkins

CALL PC0204310 closed: Category 63 Type J

П	₹	റ	0	t I	Ca	115	e				Tí	٩n	er	al	_	L	n	kn	$\alpha$	VΠ	1
28		v	v		~u	us	10000				٠,	_11	$\sim$ 1	ш		$\mathbf{\mathcal{L}}$	-		U V		-

Logger Customer Call -- EDSC

Subject Product HNG-X Platforms -- BAL Server (BAL) (version unspecified)

Assignee Customer Call -- EDSC

Last Progress 22-Nov-2011 13:34 -- John Simpkins