1	Friday, 13 October 2013	1		That witness statement will be published on the
2	(10.00 am)	2		Inquiry's website in due course.
3	MR BLAKE: Good morning, sir, can you see and hear	3		Mr Inwood, you worked for the Post Office
4	me?	4		for a period of over 30 years.
5	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, thank you.	5	A.	That's right.
6	MR BLAKE: This morning we're going to hear from	6	Q.	I think you started as a postal officer, serving
7	Mr Inwood.	7		customers in a Crown Post Office branch?
8	PAUL INWOOD (sworn)	8	A.	That's correct, yes.
9	Questioned by MR BLAKE	9		You were Assistant Branch Manager and then
10	MR BLAKE: Thank you. Can you give your full name,	10		Manager. You became Area Manager?
11	please?	11	A.	Mm-hm.
12	A. Paul Inwood.	12	Q.	Between 2002 and 2004 you were the Contracts
13	Q. Thank you very much Mr Inwood. In front of you,	13		Manager for the eastern side of South East
14	you should have a witness statement?	14		England; is that correct?
15	A. Yes.	15	A.	That is correct, yeah.
16	Q. Is that witness statement dated on the final	16	Q.	Then you held number of different roles, Rural
17	page 15 May 2023?	17		Development Manager, Contracts Development
18	A. It is, yes.	18		Manager, and the most significant for today's
19	Q. Is that signature on your page?	19		purpose is, 2011 to 2018, you were Contracts and
20	A. It is.	20		Policy Development Manager?
21	Q. Is that statement true to the best of your	21	A.	I believe those are the dates, yeah.
22	knowledge and belief?	22	Q.	Thank you. Can you briefly describe that final
23	A. It is.	23		role for us?
24	Q. Thank you very much. That witness statement has	24	A.	Well, it there were two parts to at. The
25	the Unique Reference Number of WITN05780100.	25		first part was to develop policies that would
	1			2
1	support people within the company in terms of	1		hierarchy did that role sit?
2	managing day-to-day issues that would happen in	2	A.	It was a senior managers role. But it was
3	a postmaster's life-cycle, for example	3		the people I were reporting into, you know, were
4	insolvency, death in service. And those	4		probably two to three steps removed from the
5	policies were developed either upon demand from	5		ExCo.
6	internal clients or because I noticed that there	6	Q.	Can you give us examples of who it was that you
7	was a sort of gap in the current approaches,	7		were reporting into during that period?
8	possibly caused by statute or other gaps in the	8	A.	Latterly it was Nick Beal, who managed the
9	way that we are managing the relationship with	9		Agents Development Team that looked after
10	postmasters.	10		postmasters' remuneration and the contract and
11	The second part was to develop either	11		policy developments. You know, Craig Tuthill,
12	variations to the existing contracts, and	12		similar, but he was similar level, but he was
13	I refer to, like, the traditional contracts,	13		looking after the Contracts team. That is the
14	which were the subpostmaster contract and	14		Contracts Advisers that would deal with the
15	variants of that, or to develop new contracts	15		day-to-day postmaster issues.
16	for postmasters, normally in response to, like,	16	Q.	Did you have any cause to liaise with those
17	a set piece business transformation programme,	17		higher up in the Executive, for example?
18	such as Network Change or Network	18	A.	No.
19	Transformation.	19	Q.	I want to start by asking you about what we know
20	Q. Thank you very much.	20		as Legacy Horizon in the early years of Horizon.
		21		Can we, please, turn to POL00006666, please.
21	Could I ask, you're a little bit quiet.	21		
21 22		22		This is a document that I'm going to come back
				This is a document that I'm going to come back to a number of different times today. So, just
22	Could you possibly come slightly closer to the	22		

A. I can't see it on the screen. 1 2 Q. It should hopefully come up on your screen. 3 This may be the second time it's happened: it 4 may not be turned on. 5 Ah, somebody is running over to assist. 6 Can you see it on your screen now? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. It's a document we'll return to a number of 9 times this morning. Can you assist us with the 10 circumstances in which you came to be talking to a solicitor at Womble Bond Dickinson? 11 A. I think this is what was referred to as the 12 13 witness proofing statement that was taken in, I think, January 2018, in advance of the Group 14 Litigation Order. 15 16 Q. It looks as though you were sitting down with 17 somebody called Victoria Brooks, who was a managing associate at Womble Bond Dickinson? 18 19 That's correct, yeah. Α. 20 Q. What was the purpose of the meeting? 21 A. I think the purpose of the meeting was for them 22 to ask me a whole bunch of questions about my 23 experiences in the company and to see the extent 24 to which that would be helpful with POL's 25 position in the Group Litigation. 1 A. Mm. 2 Then she says, "Yeah," and you say: Q. 3 "You know. 4 She says: 5 "Did you find it to the extent that you've 6 used it -- how did it compare to the manual 7 system?" 8 You say: 9 "You know, it's er, it was less reliance on 10 mental processing -- because you know you push 11 a button and the system works out the balance 12 due to the customer." 13 Sorry, could we just stay on page 64. I'm 14 going to start, actually, slightly higher up, 15 about halfway. Could we zoom out slightly. 16 It's halfway down there, I'm going to start 17 slightly higher up: 18 "My understanding is that advent of 19 automation at least in the directly managed 20 estate improved that situation ... 21

"Because all right if you put garbage in you get garbage out." She says, "Yeah". Then you say: "But a calculator is less inclined to make 7

22

23

24

25

Q. Were you involved in the Group Litigation 1 2 outside of this? A. No, no. 3 4 Q. 2018 is also the year, I think, that you left the Post Office? 5 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. Is there any connection between the Group 8 Litigation --9 A. No. 10 Q. -- matters and your departure? 11 Α. No. Can we please turn to page 64. Are you 12 Q. 13 able to assist us with why you left the Post 14 Office? 15 A. Contract came to an end. 16 Q. I'm going to take you through a page and a half 17 of the transcript between you and the solicitor. 18 I'm going to start about halfway down and it's 19 where -- "PI" is you and "VB" is Victoria Brooks 20 of Womble Bond Dickinson. You say there: 21 "My understanding is the advent of 22 automation at least in the directly managed 23 estate improved that situation." 24 That was a situation about the difficulty 25 adding certain figures up, et cetera? 1 mental mistakes than a human being." 2 A. Mm. Q. 3 She says: 4 "Yeah, that's true. 5 "PI -- And effectively Horizon is 6 a calculator." 7 A. Mm. 8 Q. Just pausing there, "calculator" is 9 a description we've heard before and it's a description we'll hear again today. Where did 10 11 that description come from? 12 A. I think when automation was introduced into the 13 Crown Post Office estate, there was evidence 14 that the shortages were reduced because of that. 15 So my understanding was that the advent of 16 automation would result in fewer discrepancies. 17 Q. But a calculator can be very simple --A. Yeah, it's --18 Q. -- it can be used by children. 19 20 A. It's a simplification of something that's more 21 complicated, yeah. 22 Q. Where do you think that term came from, was that

a term your colleagues used or --

Yeah, it's one that I'd heard used internally.

23

24

1 "Did you ever work in branch [something] 1 transactions using Horizon. So it wasn't 2 2 Horizon was installed -- I've forgotten the perfect but I'd found it fairly intuitive to 3 timeline." 3 A. 4 4 Mm Q. So you would place entries onto the system --5 Q. You say: 5 6 "I did because what I used to do when I was 6 -- but you wouldn't ever balance the system? 7 an area manager was I used to go out at 7 A. You may do a cash declaration at the end of the 8 Christmas and because BMs [I think that 'PMs', day but not check all of the stock, so that you 8 9 must be] were too busy to talk, branch manager, 9 know that there hadn't been a major problem 10 and to be visible I would go and work on the 10 caused by me during the day. counter alongside a colleague and I still do Q. So the comment that it was a brilliant system is 11 11 12 that actually but in a slightly different way --12 based on occasional use --13 so I had used Horizon and ... it was a brilliant 13 Yes. Α. 14 Q. -- and occasional use that doesn't involve the system." 14 A. Yeah, "BMs" is an abbreviation of branch Wednesday balance? 15 15 16 manager, yeah, not -- it's not PM. 16 A. Yeah, and, obviously, it wasn't made with the 17 Branch manager, thank you. You described 17 benefit of hindsight. Q. She says, "Yeah". 18 Horizon there as a "brilliant system". Can you 18 19 assist us with how it was that in 2018 you still 19 You say, "You know". 20 considered Horizon to be a brilliant system? 20 She says: 21 21 A. Well, I'd used it personally and I'd not "Did you find it to the extent that you've 22 encountered any problems using it. That said, 22 used it -- how did it compare to the manual 23 I'd never completed a balance at the end of the 23 system?" 24 week around that time. As I said, we would go 24 You say: 25 out in times of Christmas pressure and execute 25 "You know ... it was less reliance on mental 1 processing -- because you know you push a button 1 individual stock units and there would be a cash 2 and the system works out the balance due to the 2 account produced which is an amalgam of all of 3 customer." 3 the stock accounts for all of the stock units 4 She says: 4 but that was an automated environment 5 "Yeah, that's true." 5 pre-Horizon. 6 If we go over the page: 6 Q. Yes, and your reference there to saving time 7 7 "And from the customer -- but it also because the balancing procedures were much 8 reminds you what you have to take from them or 8 quicker, that wasn't because you had actually 9 give to them in terms of the products. 9 used Horizon and carried out that out yourself, 10 "VB -- Yep. 10 that was --No, that was anecdotal. 11 "PI -- So in my view ... it was a real 11 Anecdotal? 12 watershed 12 Q. "VB -- Yeah. Yeah. 13 13 14 "PI -- In the way we interfaced with the 14 Why did you think it was much quicker? 15 15 Because I think when automation was introduced 16 "VB -- Ok. 16 into the Crown and the Agents Estate, the 17 "PI -- And we saved time because at the end 17 narrative was that there were time savings in 18 of weekly balancing procedures were much quicker the production of the weekly cash account. You 18 19 ... so if you ... had you know a postmaster ... 19 know, when I was doing manual accounts, you 20 I think it's quite intuitive." 20 know, you could easily be there an hour and 21 So, again, that comment has to be taken in 21 a half to two hours on a Wednesday evening and 22 the context that you hadn't actually completed 22 I think in the Crown estate efficiency savings 23 a weekly balancing procedure? 23 were made when automation was introduced. So it 24 Earlier on when I was a branch manager, then 24 would be reasonable to think that it was quicker 25 25 when automation was introduced into the agency yeah, there would be weekly balancing of

11

1 estate.

- 2 Q. You described it as the "narrative". Where was
- 3 that coming from?
- 4 A. There were business efficiency teams within POL
- 5 and, you know, you would hear about savings that
- 6 had been made in the Crown Post Office estate
- 7 and, anecdotally, from postmasters that it was
- 8 faster to produce not just the balance but the
- 9 weekly cash account in an automated environment.
- 10 Q. So you've described there the weekly balancing
- 11 being quicker and that it's quite intuitive.
- 12 This Inquiry has heard quite a lot of evidence
- 13 to the contrary: long periods of time on, for
- 14 example, the helplines; unhelpful helplines;
- 15 difficulty with actually using the system,
- 16 balancing it -- putting aside bugs, errors or
- 17 defects, just pressing wrong keys and things
- 18 like that. Were you not familiar with those
- 19 kind of complaints in 2018?
- 20 A. Well, I didn't receive complaints directly but,
- 21 where there were discrepancies in accounts, then
- clearly it could take longer to bottom out those
- 23 discrepancies or sometimes the discrepancies
- 24 wouldn't be resolved at that point. And,
- 25 therefore, the postmaster would have to roll
  - 13
- 1 know why would our EPOS be inaccurate and no one 2 else's is ..."
- Pausing there, how would you know thatnobody else's EPOS system was inaccurate?
- 5 A. Just speaking from person experience. I'd not
- 6 received or couldn't recall any evidence that
- 7 there was a problem with the EPOSS.
- 8 Q. You're referring there to nobody else's being
- 9 inaccurate; are you referring to other companies
- 10 that use an EPOS system?
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. Did you consider, for example, whether other
- 13 companies prosecuted on the basis of data
- 14 produced by the EPOS system?
- 15 A. Not at that point but I'd had meetings with
- 16 other franchisors and didn't seem to be any
- 17 evidence that they'd had these problems with
- their EPOS systems because we would often talk
- 19 about their approaches to contract breach.
- 20 Q. Who do you have in mind?21 A. McDonald's was one example.
- 22 Q. Do McDonald's prosecute on the basis of data
- 23 provided by their EPOS system?
- 24 A. Do they?
- 25 **Q.** Yes.

- 1 over into another balancing period and accept
- 2 those discrepancies at that point and hope that
- 3 an error notice or a transaction correction
- 4 would come back.
- 5 Q. So where you've said the balancing procedures
- 6 were quicker, in fact, what you mean, really, is
- 7 that pressing the button to calculate the total
- 8 is quicker?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. But there could be a whole host of problems with
- 11 that process?
- 12 A. If everything went okay, it would be quicker.
- 13 If things didn't go okay and there was
- 14 a discrepancy, then, clearly, that would take
- some remediation to get to the bottom of that
- and, therefore, it would not have been quicker.
- 17  $\,$  Q. Did you think about that at this time when you
- 18 were answering these questions? Was that
- 19 something on your mind --
- 20 A. Err, no. Not reacting to the questions that
- 21 were put to me, no?
- 22 Q. You then said:
- 23 "I've never seen in the evidence that it was
- 24 inaccurate. It's er it's a calculator plus and
- 25 it does a whole lot more than that obviously you
  - 14
  - A. Well, if there are problems internally, I would
- 2 imagine they would but I've not seen any
- 3 evidence of that.

1

- 4 Q. I mean, looking back at this account here, do
- 5 you think that you gave enough thought there to
- 6 the implications of relying on the EPOS system
- 7 and its comparison with a company like
- 8 McDonald's?
- 9 A. Not in the moment.
- 10 **Q.** How about now?
- 11 A. Well, over the last two or three years, I've
- read a lot that's now in the public domain
- regarding the integrity of the Horizon system
- 14 and, at various points, I've tried to think back
- 15 of specific examples where I'd seen evidence
- 16 that it was unreliable.
- 17 Q. Yes. One task for this Inquiry is really to
- understand why, in 2018, people from the Post
- 19 Office in quite senior roles, like yourself,
- 20 considered that Horizon was a calculator and
- that why would your system be inaccurate if
- 22 nobody else's is. Where does that mindset come
- from? What was it within the company that was
- 24 telling you that?
- 25 A. I think there was a narrative internally that

people would prove that to you?

spoke to the integrity of the system and the

19

1

2		narrative was that the system was robust.	2	A.	Well, there was never any attempt to prove
3	Q.	Can you tell us where that narrative was coming	3		a negative, that, you know, the system was
4		from?	4		completely foolproof and there were no examples.
5	A.	Fairly senior levels in the company but it was	5		There were, from time to time, examples where
6		discussed broadly at my level when I had	6		data was lost to do with power outages or the
7		meetings with contracts team. There was never	7		equipment crashing, that there would have had to
8		any suggestion that discrepancies at audit had	8		be some attempt at remediation of the
9		been caused by the system.	9		postmaster's accounts.
10	Q.	Are you able to assist us, in particular, with	10	Q.	Did you not receive complaints over the years
11		anybody, in particular, who you had those kinds	11		from subpostmasters that there were more
12		of conversations with, who reassured you?	12		significant problems with Horizon?
13	A.	It's quite difficult to think back for specific	13	A.	When over the two or three period when,
14		individuals. I'm talking about, you know,	14		you know, information entered the public domain
15		a large community where there was almost like	15		regarding flaws in the system and when
16		a corporate groupthink, that the system was	16		I completed your questionnaire, I thought back
17		robust but not foolproof. Sometimes, the system	17		long and hard for specific examples where it had
18		would crash. That was happening back when I was	18		been put to me directly that a discrepancy had
19		a Contracts Manager 2002 to 2004; data could be	19		been caused by the system and I couldn't think
20		lost, in the event of that happening.	20		of any specific examples at those points in
21		So it clearly wasn't completely foolproof	21		time.
22		but nor was there any trend of cases that I'd	22	Q.	Can you think of examples now?
23		seen where the EPOS system had caused phantom	23	A.	I can because I've seen one that was disclosed
24		discrepancies in people's accounts.	24		to me quite late this week, where there was
25	Q.	Where you say "trend", I mean, how was it that	25		an email exchange around one specific case where
1		the postmaster had claimed that the discrepancy	1		there is no major issue with it really."
2		was caused by Horizon, and I've obviously read	2		She says:
3		that there were hundreds of other claims that	3		"It's interesting to speak somebody about
4		emerged during the course of the Group	4		that who has got experience of both.
5		Litigation and beyond that.	5		"PI I don't think anyone would want to go
6	Q.	Yes, but in terms of your personal knowledge,	6		back to a manual.
7		you're saying there was one occasion when there	7		Then she says:
8		was a complaint made about the system?	8		"That's what we should ask them shouldn't
9	Α.	I can think of one but that's only because I saw	9		we? We should ask subpostmasters if they want
10	_	an email exchange in the bundle.	10		to go back to that."
11	Q.	Which one was that?	11		So in this discussion in 2018 you hadn't
12	Α.	I can't remember the name of the post office.	12		recalled that one occasion when a complaint
13	Q.	Okay, it may be that we come to it, I'm just	13	Α.	No.
14		going to read on a few more lines, she says:	14	Q.	had been made about the system?
15		"Can I ask you what you think the biggest	15	Α.	No, I had not.
16		weakness in Horizon is from your experience?"	16	Q.	Were you in any way playing things down in this
17		You say:	17		conversation with the lawyer?
18		"I think probably there are too many screens	18	Α.	No, I was just responding to their questions.
19		to go through to get to what you want to it do.	19	Ų.	Can we also bring up your witness statement, so
20		Sometimes it was awkward to remember where	20		WITN05780100, please. We've talked a little bit
21		things are and in order to get to where you want	21		about using the system and some difficulties
22		to go you have to remember which screen you have	22		that some subpostmasters may have had using the
23		to go through. You know the printer was	23		system. Can we look at paragraph 23, please,
24 25		probably too slow and noisy but we are improving	24 25		and that's page 4.
20		it and it has changed a lot recently I think	25		At paragraph 23, you say you do not feel

1		that any improvements could be made to the	1		of this page. He says at the very bottom:
2		training given to subpostmasters. Do you still	2		"Most, like me, have received no system
3		think that's correct?	3		training from the Post Office throughout their
4	Α.	Based on my experience during the period of time	4		careers. In 24 years, excepting various sales
5		I was in the company, I wouldn't change that.	5		training initiatives and a laptop based product
6	Q.	Could we look at POL00093184. This is a letter	6		knowledge evening, my total system training
7		to you from somebody called Laurence Green; is	7		amounted to one day for Horizon plus two
8		that somebody you remember?	8		assisted balances when Horizon went live. When
9	A.	I haven't but I've got a vague recollection of	9		I took on my first office in 1980 I learned from
10		this when I read it this week.	10		a fellow postmaster and paid for his help."
11	Q.	Can you remember who he was at all?	11		Pausing there, were you aware, therefore, of
12	Α.	I think he was either a he was probably	12		historic complaints of training concerns even
13		a postmaster.	13		pre-Horizon?
14	Q.	He is there writing to you following an NFSP	14	Α.	I think, from time to time, postmasters or
15	Œ.	Eastbourne branch meeting.	15	Λ.	I would identify a capability issue with
16	A.	Mm.	16		a postmaster, as opposed to an integrity issue
17	Q.	Does that assist you at all? Might he have been	17		and, from time to time, I would ask either the
	Q.				
18		a representative of some sort or	18		training team or, perhaps, one of the field team
19	Α.	He may well have been.	19		just to give them a bit of extra support, you
20	Q.	This was 2004 so you were Contracts Manager for	20	_	know.
21		the eastern side of South East England at the	21	Q.	He says there:
22		time?	22		"Two newly appointed postmasters were in
23	Α.	Yeah, that was, I think, coming to the end of my	23		attendance and they advised that they had been
24	_	period of time as the Contracts Manager, yeah.	24		made aware of and had been trained in, the zero
25	Q.	Thank you, if we could scroll down to the bottom 21	25		balancing system, on appointment, and followed 22
1		this system."	1		untrained."
2		Can you tell us what was the "zero balancing	2		So that's 15 members from your region
3		system"?	3		complaining about difficulties, a lack of
4	A.	No, I've got I don't know what he's referring	4		training. Do you recognise those complaints at
5		to. Perhaps it's that you have to balance the	5		all?
6		accounts and accept discrepancies identified by	6	A.	I think that part to the South East was managed
7		the system. That's a guess.	7		by a different Contracts Manager. I don't know
8	Q.	He says:	8		what he's referring to here because, clearly,
9		"I advised that you had stressed that there	9		the postmasters had been trained to balance
10		is only one policy universally applied and that	10		their accounts on Horizon and I'm not sure what
11		no one postmaster could be allowed to be	11		the change is here. I've got no recollection of
12		an exception."	12		that.
13		It says:	13	Q.	He says:
14		"15 members reported that they had not been	14		"It may be that our branch is unique in this
15		trained in, nor were they aware of the	15		matter and that the policy has been successfully
16		requirement for zero balancing. All reported	16		rolled out to all our colleagues. It seems more
17		that if a discrepancy occurs during a holiday it	17		likely from experience that this policy is as
18		is not adjusted by the locum but is dealt with	18		yet far from universal in its application and is
19		on the postmaster's return. Also they operate	19		hampered by poor communication and lack of
20		the 'old system' of showing any discrepancy in	20		training.
21		the final cash account and then making it good.	21		"From our correspondence I had assumed that
22		"They were dismayed that they could be	22		I was the only one at fault and out of step.
		They were dismayed that they could be			I was the only one at lault and out of stop.
23		disciplined and threatened with loss of contract	23		I now wonder how many others are in the same

25

25

me, were unaware and in which they are

23

Do you remember that correspondence at all? 24

- A. 1 No.
- 2 Q. No? Do you know why he might have felt that he
- 3 was the only one at fault? That's certainly
- 4 a phrase or a phrase similar to one we've heard
- 5 before in this Inquiry.
- 6 A. Well, I've heard it said that a lot of
- 7 postmasters, when they reported problems with
- 8 the Horizon system to our helpline they were
- 9 told "Well, you're the only person that's got
- 10 a problem with it". So what he's saying there
- is consistent with what many other people have 11
- 12 said.
- 13 Yes, and did that stick in your memory at all? Q.
- A. It doesn't stick in my memory, no, not this 14
- specific case or any others similar to that. 15
- 16 Do you agree that in 2004 you had received Q.
- 17 complaints -- that's from relatively early on in
- 18 the life of Horizon -- about a lack of training?
- 19 Α. It was either sporadic complaints that someone
- 20 felt that they needed more training to cope with
- 21 the system or because I'd identified a problem
- 22 that was to do with capability where I'd
- 23 identified the need for more support.
- 24 We'll come to the policies in due course but, Q.
- 25 when it came to formulating various policies,
- 1 could be any aspect of the way they operated the
- 2 business.
- 3 Q. So we have this letter from 2004. Are you aware
- 4 of it being followed up and those people being
- 5 trained?
- 6 A. I've got no recollection of that, no.
- 7 Can we, please, look at POL00114930, please.
- 8 We're now moving forward to 2009. Can we go
- 9 over to the second page. It's a chain of emails
- 10 in 2009. Can we look at the bottom half of that
- 11 page, please. I'm going to start here with
- 12 an email from Jessica Madron. Do you remember
- 13 who she was? She was in Legal Services --
- 14 A. She was a principal lawyer in Post Office Legal
- 15
- Q. Can you assist us with the recipients of this 16
- 17 email, how senior they were, what kind of roles
- 18
- Tracy Marshall was my line manager at the time. 19
- 20 I think the people that were cc'd intended to be
- 21 more junior in the hierarchy of the
- 22 organisation.
- 23 Q. Thank you. I'll briefly read some of this
- 24 email. Do you recall having received this?
- 25 Well, I -- only this week, you know, I don't Α.

27

- 1 did you have in your mind at all difficulties
- 2 that subpostmasters may have with training?
- 3 A. Well, the company had a policy that was already
  - in place to deal with what were capability
- 5 issues with postmasters and there was a process
- 6 that sat alongside that. So they could be
- 7 offered either, upon their request or upon the
- request of others that worked for POL, 8
- 9 additional training and support, and that would
- 10 be delivered by the training team, perhaps, or
- 11 their Area Manager.
- But did any lack of training or issues with 12 Q.
- 13 training feature in any way in your thinking
- 14 when you were drafting various policies?
- 15 What years later? A.
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. Err ... no, I don't think it did. I think that
- 18 the people that had a close relationship with
- 19 postmasters like Area Managers, Field Advisers,
- 20 Contracts teams, were quite adept at identifying
- 21 where there were capability issues and providing
- 22 additional support. So that was just something
- 23 that happened, really, across a whole range of
- 24
- issues in a postmaster's life-cycle. It wasn't
- 25 necessarily connected to their accounting, it
- 1 have any recollection, back to 2009, of this
  - case, no.

2

5

- 3 It's entitled "letter from BERR" -- that's now
- 4 the Department for Business -- "re challenge to
  - Horizon integrity". It says there:
- 6 "A reporter has written to her MP referring
- 7 to conversations she has had with
- 8 a [subpostmaster] to the effect that the Horizon
- 9 system is faulty and shows deficits where there
- 10 are none and that POL [Post Office] just
- 11
- reclaims these deficits from [subpostmasters]. 12
- There is also reference to a website for
- 13 [subpostmasters] who have been 'victims' of [the
- 14 Post Office's] approach."
- 15 Why would you be contacted in this regard?
- A. Because my job title included the word "agent" 16 17 or "postmaster", I would often be sent or copied
- in on emails that related to agent postmasters. 18
- When you say because your job title included 19 Q. 20 that, what do you mean by that?
- 21 Well, if something was not to do with the Crown
- 22 Post Office and it was to do with a postmaster,
- 23 I would often find myself being copied in on
- 24 something.
- 25 Q. Because you were --

- Either for information or because I was being 1 Α.
- 2 asked to say or do something specifically in
- 3 response to the email.
- 4 Q. So, in this particular email, you were in the
- 5 "to" list rather than the "cc" list?
- 6 A. That's correct, yeah.
- 7 Q. So does that signify to you that somebody
- 8 thought you were the appropriate person,
- 9 alongside Tracy Marshall, to address this issue?
- 10 A. Correct, yeah.
- Q. Can we look at the first page and I'm going to 11
- take you through an email, the response from 12
- 13 you. Thank you, so you say:
- 14 "Dear Jessica ...
  - "... I have some experience of this type of
- 16 complaint from my time as a Contracts Manager."
- 17 So just pausing there, we spoke about half 18 an hour ago, or so, about whether you had
- 19 received complaints and you couldn't recall any
- 20 during your conversation in 2018. You then
- 21 recalled one, having seen the documents in the
- 22 bundle.

- 23 A. Yeah. 24 Q. This certainly suggests that you did have more
- 25 than just one complaint from a subpostmaster?
- 1 loss?

8

- 2 A. Well, I don't -- the postmaster's ability to
- 3 interrogate the system was limited. So, for
- 4 example, they could look at event logs to see
- 5 who had had access to the system, they could
- 6 look at transaction logs to see the detail of
- 7 the transactions processed through the system,
  - and it would be possible to perform some type of
- 9 reconciliation between physical documents and
- 10 what the system was producing.
- But I think that their ability to 11
- 12 interrogate the system was limited.
- 13 Q. Looking back at this now, do you think that that
- 14 was too high a hurdle for a subpostmaster to
- 15 overcome, to substantiate that there had been --
- 16 that they had to provide evidence?
- 17 A. Yeah, I think looking back on it now, I don't
- think many postmasters would have the capability 18
- or the resources or the time to perform, you 19
- 20 know, a long audit of their own work in the
- 21 system in order to detect why discrepancies had
- 22 happened.
- 23 Q. Even if they could compare certain physical
- 24 documents with what is on screen, if a bug,
- 25 error or defect affected the data that was on

31

- From 2002 to 2004.
- 2 Q. Yes.
- Yeah. 3 A.
- 4 Q. That was the context of my questioning earlier
- as well, about the early days of Horizon and 5
- 6 I think you could only recall one, having seen
- 7 documents, but it's clear here that in 2009 you
- 8 could recall that you had received a fair few
- 9 more?
- 10 A. I can't say how many but more than one.
- 11 You go on there to say:
- 12 "From time to time, either existing agents
- 13 or those suspended/terminated due to accounting
- 14 irregularities/unpaid debts, will say that it is
- 15 'the Horizon system that has caused the loss'.
- 16 On each occasion I had asked a [subpostmaster]
- 17 to substantiate the allegation, they had been
- 18
- unable to provide any evidence to support it." 19 Now, "from time to time" suggests certainly
- 20 more than one, probably a fair few, given that
- 21 it's from time to time.
- 22 A. Over a three-year period, yeah.
- 23 Q. "Unable to provide any evidence": how was it
- 24 that a subpostmaster would be able to provide
- 25 evidence that the Horizon system has caused the

  - the screen, do you think that they could
- 2 actually identify that bug, error or defect?
- 3 A. No, absolutely not, and nor would they be able
- 4 to know that it was possible to access the
- 5 system at the back end without their knowledge.
- 6 Q. That, I think, you've said in your statement was
- 7 something you only found out relatively late in
- 8 the day?

1

- A. I'd heard of this around the time that the Group 9
- Litigation order was imminent. 10
- 11 Q. You say:
- 12 "In many respects, Horizon is
- 13 a sophisticated calculator, and operates on the
- 14 principle of GIGO -- garbage in, garbage out.
- 15 It is no more likely that, with 100% accurate
- 16 input, Horizon produces inaccurate outputs than
- 17 a calculator would, which is extremely
- 18 unlikely."
- 19 I said we'll come back to this description
- 20 of it being a calculator. Looking back, that
- 21 can't be right, can it?
- 22 A. I think that is an oversimplification of what
- 23 Horizon is and I did go on to say that you
- 24 couldn't say absolutely that the system was
- 25 flawless.

Q. You say:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 **A**.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"In some respects though, there are items of data transferred between other terminals in-store, and from Horizon to Home Office -- it is always possible that in these data streams electronic data could go astray, either because of human error or an IT failure, and that could cause transaction corrections to be produced, either in favour or against an agent."

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. You then say:

"It is not possible to say, absolutely, that the system could not cause a loss or gain, and some time back when Horizon was introduced, [the Post Office] wrote off a considerable number of losses that appeared in agents' account on migration from manual accounts -- after some investigation it was not possible to show where the losses had occurred."

So, quite frankly, you made very clear that there were cases where the Post Office couldn't show where the losses had occurred?

**A.** Yeah, I think there was an issue around the physical migration from manual accounts to Horizon, where a POL employee attended the

written-off -- perhaps the proliferation of these complaints is the outcome of that, or [the Post Office] becoming more hawkish in the way it manages debt/integrity issues."

I'd like to look at the culture of the Post

Office. Can you assist us with that, what seems

to be described as some sort of culture shift?

I think I'm referring back to a period of time where every postmaster would have a direct relationship with an Area Manager and all the branches were account managed. And I think, for many postmasters then, the relationship was a lot closer and they were receiving a lot more direct face-to-face support.

And when I started off as an Area Manager in the '90s, I think you often used to see or hear about cases where a postmaster had requested a shortage to be written off. And I think there was possibly more leniency in that period of time and I think the change happened because of business efficiency, far fewer postmasters had that direct face-to-face relationship with postmasters and they were more reliant on the helpline

35

25 Q. Can you assist us with time periods?

postmaster's premises, did the physical count of the cash and stock to make sure that the starting point on Horizon was accurate. I think quite a lot of discrepancies were uncovered at

that point, shortages or surpluses.

Q. So this would have been during the rollout ofHorizon?

8 A. It was, yeah. I've had direct experience of
9 visiting one branch where I think there was
10 a discrepancy, not huge, that had just happened
11 as part of the weekly balance and, anecdotally,
12 I think a number of other people had found that
13 to be the case.

14 **Q.** You say:

"[Post Office's] approach is consistent in 15 16 that when a [subpostmaster] challenges 17 a [transaction correction], they have 18 an opportunity to produce evidence to support 19 their claim, and that is considered by the 20 contracts team, and consideration can be given 21 to writing off all or part of the loss. It is 22 a fact that these days, far fewer losses are 23 written off, as some years back there was 24 a culture of weak management where some losses 25 that were inappropriate for write-off, were

1 Yeah, well, you know, the time when I think all 2 postmasters had that direct account managed 3 relationship was when I started as an Area 4 Manager, which was probably in the early '90s, 5 and then there were subsequent reorganisations 6 of the business that meant that there were fewer 7 people out in the field supporting postmasters 8 and that just -- process seemed to continue for 9 a long time and various business 10 rationalisations. And, as I said, they became 11 more reliant on their relationship with the 12 helpline and they would see POL people in the 13 field on far fewer occasions and, normally, when 14 there was a problem.

15 Q. You describe it here a "culture of weak16 management".

17 **A.** Yeah.

18 Q. That's a term that you used in 2009.

19 **A.** Mm.

20 Q. Did the Post Office, as at 2009, see the former21 approach as a culture of weak management?

A. I'm not sure that the Post Office did. That was
 just my opinion of how things were at the time,
 you know. There were just decisions made in
 terms of writing things off that perhaps the

.

- losses weren't properly evidenced why that had
   happened. It was more -- it was probably a more
- 3 sympathetic culture.
- 4 Q. Why, in 2009, would you have considered
- 5 a sympathetic culture to be a culture of weak
- 6 management?
- 7 A. Because, you know, there were approaches,
- 8 processes, policies to follow, and it may have
- 9 been that those were bent out of shape a little
- bit in the terms of providing outcomes for
- 11 postmasters, with the best intentions: to help
- 12 them.
- 13 Q. You described how, previously, the management
- 14 was more regional, more local --
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. -- and that they would have more of
- 17 a relationship with the subpostmasters and that
- 18 became more central.
- 19 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 20 Q. What I'd like to understand is how it is that
- 21 a change from somebody who knows a subpostmaster
- 22 to somebody who doesn't know a subpostmaster is
- 23 interpreted as the former being effectively weak
- 24 and the latter being strong?
- 25 A. I think there were examples of what I've

37

I think, when Horizon was introduced.

Before postmasters were asked to repay shortages and, you know, I'll go further than that and say that there should have been a process where, if a discrepancy had arised (sic) either at audit or some other way, the possibility that that discrepancy had been generated by the system and, therefore, was a phantom discrepancy should have been ruled out prior to the discrepancy being recovered.

Q. I'll just read one more sentence it says:

"I think our line must be that [the Post Office] is always prepared to consider representations that are based on proper documentary evidence, and not simply an obtuse 'the system did it'."

I think, following the evidence you've just given, your reflection on that is that that was actually too high a hurdle for subpostmasters to overcome?

39

- 21 A. lagree.
- 22  $\,$  Q. Do you know if you were ultimately involved in
- 23 the response to this complaint from the
- 24 journalist and the Member of Parliament?
- 25 A. I was never involved in drafting responses to

- 1 described there as "weak" behaviours, ie not
- 2 sticking to agreed policies and processes. And
- 3 I think when it moved to a less -- a more
- 4 central relationship, you know, the operators of
- 5 helplines stuck rigidly to policies and
- 6 processes.
- 7 Q. You say they stuck rigidly. Was that at the
- 8 request of those who were in charge of the
- 9 policies and procedures?
- 10 A. I think it was just an outcome of
- an organisational change, where people who were,
- 12 like, Tier 1 helpline operators had less. They
- 13 didn't have management discretion. You know,
- they were helpline operators, trying to do their
- 15 best, sticking to scripts and processes, whereas
- 16 Area Managers back in the '90s, I think, had
- more leeway than management discretion, control
- 18 of their own budgets, to do certain things.
- 19 Q. Looking at this now, knowing what you know, do
- you still see the earlier approach to be
- 21 a culture of "weak management"?
- 22 A. Well, if you applied that approach and cut and
- paste it on to the Horizon era, no, because
- there should be more analysis of why shortages
- have happened than there was, from 2001,

38

- 1 any of those.
- 2 Q. Do you recall any follow-up after your email?
- 3 **A.** No
- 4 Q. So this is 2009. We've looked at your comments
- 5 in 2018 to the lawyer, in relation to the Group
- 6 Litigation. They're very similar in response to
- 7 the issues with Horizon, lack of knowledge of
- 8 issues with Horizon. Was there nothing in that
- 9 10-year period, or almost 10-year period, 2009
- to 2018, that made you rethink your position?
- 11 A. I think in the background you had the Justice
- 12 for Subpostmasters campaign. I wasn't directly
- involved in answering anything that came from
- 14 that campaign and I'd tend to think that the
- 15 people around me in the company were quite
- 16 sceptical and dismissive about the things that
- 17 were being said, and I can't recall any trend of
- anything happening, really, that would cause me
- 19 to think that there were significant problems in
- 20 the system.
- 21 Q. We know the Computer Weekly article, for
- example, was published on 11 May 2009, so very
- 23 soon after that email exchange?
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. Did that not make you rethink the experiences,

- 1 for example, when you were being Contract
- 2 Manager and had received those complaints about
- 3 training?
- 4 A. No, because I didn't read it or have any
- 5 knowledge of it. The only thing that made me
- 6 rethink about how cases were managed is the
- 7 information that emerged from the Group
- 8 Litigation onwards. And I would think back and
- 9 try and think of specific examples of what
- 10 I would have done differently, or had I seen any
- evidence that the Horizon system was flawed and, 11
- 12 at all of those points, I wasn't able to
- 13 identify anything that I could have -- specific
- 14 examples, historically.
- You had that email exchange in 2009, very 15 Q.
- 16 shortly before Computer Weekly, about a letter
- 17 from a Member of Parliament having been informed
- 18 by a reporter.
- 19 Α. Mm.
- 20 Q. You then have the Computer Weekly article. Is
- 21 that not something you saw at the time, was
- 22 brought to your attention that people talked
- 23 about?
- 24 A. No. No. The first time I heard of the Computer
- 25 Weekly article was reading Nick Wallis' account
- 1 sorry, 2008/2009, and Kevin Gilliland was the
- 2 head of that team and I know that he had
- 3 concerns about some specific cases where
- 4 a postmaster had been suspended, and I recall
- 5 that there was a change to the approach
- 6 regarding either prosecutions or suspensions
- 7 around that time, based on a meeting that he'd 8
  - had with Legal Services and Paula Vennells, who
- 9 was Network Director at the time.
- 10 And then I think there was some
- 11 authorisation process at a reasonably high level
- 12 before a suspension could happen, you know.
- 13 Q. Thank you very much.
- 14 Was there a concern that you noticed within 15 the Post Office about shining a light on this is
- 16 issues in that 2009 period?
- 17 A. No, no.
- Q. I want to return to your interview with Womble 18
- 19 Bond Dickinson, so that's POL00006666 and it's
- 20 page 46 that I'd like to look at. It's the
- 21 bottom half of page 46. It seems there that
- 22 you're going through with the solicitor
- 23 a document, maybe a pleading or a request of
- 24 some sort, from the claimants; do you recall
- 25 that?

- 1 of developments online in the last two to three
- 2 years.

- 3 Q. Did you notice any change in activity within the
  - Post Office, within the various hierarchies,
- with those who you communicated with, in 5
- 6 response to trying to get to the bottom of any
- 7 problems that were identified?
- 8 Well, I know that there was concern within the
- 9 company about the number of postmasters that
- 10 were being suspended as a result of bad audits,
- 11 and there were changes put in place to make sure
- 12 that these suspensions were authorised at quite
- 13 a high level in the company. But that didn't
- 14 tell me that there were inherent problems with
- 15 the Horizon system. It just told me that there
- 16 was a general concern about the number of
- 17 suspensions that were happening, because we were
- 18 finding it problematic to keep services going in
- 19 some communities and that was always a strong
- 20 imperative for the company.
- 21 Q. Who, in particular, do you recall being
- 22 concerned about the number of suspensions?
- 23 A. Well, at the time I was working in the Agency
- 24 Development Team -- I'm trying to approximate
- 25 the years -- I think it was around 2017/18 --
- 1 Which paragraph?
- 2 If we look at VB, she says "64.9". I'll read
  - that to you. She says:
- 4 "64.9 to communicate or alternatively not to
- 5 conceal the extent to which other subpostmasters
- 6 were experiencing issues relating to Horizon and
- 7 the generation of discrepancies and alleged 8 shortfalls. So what they want to have here
- 9 I think is information sharing about postmaster
- 10 A has got a problem and that should be told to
- 11 postmaster B or possibly to all the other
- 12
- postmasters."
- 13 A. Mm.

3

- 14 Q. So there seems to be a request that the Post
- 15 Office should be communicating with postmasters
- 16 about problems with other postmasters --
- 17 A. A request from whom?
- 18 Q. Well, exactly. That was my question. Do you
- 19 recall, it seems to be some sort of document of
- 20 requests, perhaps from the claimants in the
  - Group Litigation?
- 22 A. No.

21

- 23 Q. Your answer to that proposal was as follows.
- 24 You said:
- 25 "Well first of all there is the issue of

7

8

1 confidentiality and data protection concerning 2 other people. Secondly commercially it would 3 make no sense to do that because that 4 information could then be used by others as 5 a smokescreen to defraud the company. The other 6 point is where we have seen examples of good 7 practice or bad practice then we would publicise 8 and do publicise that because we do not want 9 agents to suffer financial harm so to suggest 10 that could be an obligation on us I think commercially it makes no sense at all." 11

So you raise there issues of confidentiality, data protection, you say it would be commercially bad, you say it could encourage fraud. There seem to be a quick list of reasons not to provide information to subpostmasters about bugs, errors or defects within the system. How is it that you gave that answer?

- 20 A. I think it just seems to make sense, really --
- 21 Q. Can you see the problems with that answer?
- 22 A. -- at the time. In the context of?
- 23 Q. The lack of information sharing with
- 24 subpostmasters about other subpostmasters having
- 25 discrepancies and alleged shortfalls?

- 1 years I've read that a lot of people have said 2 that they were told that they were the only one 3 experiencing problems with their Horizon system 4 and, clearly, they weren't. So yeah, it's hard 5 to reconcile what I now know with what I said 6 back then
- 7 Q. The company, of course, was prosecuting 8 people --
- 9 A. Yeah.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 10 Q. -- and people were losing their livelihoods.
- 11 You were involved in, for example, the debt
- 12 recovery policies.
- 13 Α. Yeah.
- 14 Q. People were affected who were saying that they 15 had discrepancies or alleged shortfalls caused
- 16 by bugs, errors or defects?
- 17 A. Yeah
- Q. I mean, revisiting that position from 2018, not 18 so long ago, do you see the problem with that 19
- 20 approach?
- A. I think, looking at it now, I think it was 21
- 22 incumbent on the company to be completely open
- 23 and honest about problems with the system at the
- 24 point that they were aware of those problems.
- Where was that mindset of confidentiality, data 25 Q.

- A. Mm, I think that you wouldn't talk to one 1
  - postmaster about experiences other postmasters
- had had. That doesn't seem to make any sense 3
- 4 for the business to do that
- 5 Q. Why wouldn't it make sense for the business?
- 6 Well, it may not be helpful, in terms of dealing
  - with the complaint that a postmaster had put to
- 9 Q. Because it wouldn't help the Post Office?
- 10 It wouldn't get to the bottom of the dispute,
- 11 would it? If a postmaster A says they had
- a problem with their accounts, it wouldn't be 12
- 13 helpful to them or us to publicise other
- 14 postmasters that had also had problems with
- 15 their accounts. They've still got a discrepancy
- 16 in their accounts, haven't they?
- 17 Was that your view, the view of your department,
- 18 the view of the company as a whole?
- 19 A. It was just response that was put to me at that
- 20 particular point in time by Victoria, really,
- 21 and it was just a practical objection to sharing
- 22 information regarding other postmasters,
- 23 I think.
- 24 **Q.** Do you now recognise the problems with that?
- 25 A. Like I -- you know, in the last two or three

- 1 protection, commercial implications? Where was
- 2 that coming from?
- 3 A. That was just my own opinion at that particular
- 4 point in time, in reaction to a question that
- 5 was being put to me by Victoria, really.
- 6 Q. Having received, for example, from time to time,
- 7 during your time a contract manager, complaints
- 8 from subpostmasters, having been involved in
- 9 that 2009 correspondence from the journalist,
- 10 the complaint to the Member of Parliament, why
- 11 do you think it is that you didn't recognise the
- 12 importance of information sharing and put up,
- 13
  - quite quickly, those barriers?
- 14 I think it's because, over a long period of
- 15 time, you know, I would deal with -- you know,
- 16 there was something like 13,000/14,000
- 17 postmasters and, as a Contracts Manager, you
- 18 would deal with a certain number of those, maybe
- 19 1,000, in my part of the South East. And you
- 20 would always look at the scale of complaints
- 21 compared to the total network size and it didn't
- 22 appear to be huge.

23 It was difficult for me, just looking after 24 1,000 agents, to see that perhaps the problem 25

was much bigger than I thought it was.

- Q. So you didn't have visibility of the figuresaround the country?
- 3 A. No, there was no sort of data sharing of what
- 4 was going on around the country. I think, at
- 5 one point, it became apparent that the number of
- 6 suspensions and terminations had, sort of,
- 7 increased, compared to a time when there were
- 8 manual accounts, pre-Horizon. And the narrative
- 9 in the company was that was because the Horizon
- 10 system provided us more insight into what was
- 11 going on in branch and, therefore, audit
- 12 activity could be targeted with more
- 13 intelligence and, therefore, you would expect
- 14 the Post Office to uncover more discrepancies.
- 15 Q. The identification of the issue with a large
- 16 number of suspensions, et cetera, is that the
- 17 time period that you had previously told us
- 18 about and the discussion, I think you mentioned
- 19 a number of names that were involved in that, or
- 20 is this a different period?
- 21 A. It was during the period of time when I was
- 22 working for Craig Tuthill and John Breeden was
- 23 in charge, and Lin Norbury in charge of the
- 24 Contracts Advisers. And there was some
- sharing-off information on a, sort of, bimonthly
- 1 Group Litigation regarding the flaws in the
- 2 software and the bugs.
- 3 Q. When you were drafting the policies -- we'll
- 4 look now at the debt policy, debt recovery
- 5 policy --
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. -- the ability or potential for there to be
- 8 bugs, errors or defects in the system, was that
- 9 ever part of the conversation?
- 10 A. No. You know, I'll be very clear that the debt
- 11 recovery policy was quite simple. You know,
- debts are there to be recovered by the company.
- And it was quite unusual for me to be asked to
- 14 get involved in something like that, that was
- 15 managed elsewhere in Finance and between the
- 16 Contracts team.
- 17 And I think the reason I was asked to do it,
- it was more to do with the process and there
- 19 were some -- it was a bit clunky, there were
- 20 problems between the Contracts team and Finance.
- 21 It wasn't working well. It wasn't anything to
- 22 do with the real policy of recovering debt, it
- 23 was just process mapping, really.
- 24 Q. Can you summarise for us the problem?
- 25  $\,$  **A**. I don't recall what the specific disjoins in the

- 1 basis about the number of suspensions.
- 2 So that was maybe the period of time,
- 3 probably '14/'15/'16, sometime around then, and
  - yeah, the -- there was concern in the company
- 5 about the number of suspensions, and that was
- 6 roughly around the same time where there had
- been a sort of policy change, a top-down policy
- 8 change, regarding who could authorise
- 9 suspensions on the basis of a bad audit, or for
- 10 any other reason.
- 11 Q. Where did you see that drive coming from?
- 12 A. At the top.
- 13 Q. What do you mean by "top", sorry?
- 14 A. At ExCo level.
- 15 Q. The Executive?
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. The views that we've seen in those emails about
- 18 Horizon acting like a calculator, et cetera. Is
- 19 it fair to say that you held those views when
- 20 you drafted the various policies that we're
- going to see, in particular debt recovery
- 22 policy?
- 23 A. Yeah, that's fair. You know, my view about the
- 24 system didn't change until the emergence of, you
- 25 know, a large amount of information from the

5

- 1 process or what the tensions were between
- 2 Finance and the Contracts team. I wasn't told.
- 3 It was Craig Tuthill, I think, that instructed
- 4 me to refresh the process. It wasn't policy.
- 5 It was process mapping. And I had numerous
- 6 conversations with people in that part of the
- 7 world, just to agree a better process, a more
- 8 efficient process.
- 9 Q. I'm going to bring that document onto the
- 10 screen. It's POL00113670. This is the 2013
- 11 version of the policy.
- 12 **A**. Year
- 13 Q. It's called "Operators' In Service Debt", and we
- see at the bottom of this page you are listed
- there as "Assurance". If we could scroll down,
- 16 sorry. There, that's your name there. Why does
- 17 your name appear there?
- 18 A. Because I'm the owner of policy and, therefore,
- 19 didn't get implemented unless I was content with
- 20 it
- 21  $\,$  Q. I think you said in your witness statement that
- you drafted this policy or were responsible for
- drafting it. Did you actually input some of the
- 24 text or?
- 25 A. I think a lot of the screwdriver work was

4		probably done by one of my teem and therefore	1		defines "Operator" there is little hit lower
1		probably done by one of my team and, therefore,	1		defines "Operator" there, a little bit lower
2		there were just iterations between me and Ravi,	2		down on the page. An operator is:
3		in terms of developing the approach and the	3		"Any individual, company or partnership
4		drafting work. I think he did a lot of the	4		(including subpostmasters and franchisees)
5		process mapping but that's about the extent that	5 6		responsible for the operation of any Post Office
6	^	my memory will allow.			branch." Yeah.
7	Q.	Would you have been the most senior member of	7	Α.	
8		the Post Office to have reviewed this before it was finalised?	8	Q.	So this policy, to summarise, it sets out the
9	۸		9 10		processes for the Post Office to follow to
10 11	Α.	No, there were people in that circulate if	11	٨	recover debt incurred by Postmasters.
12		you scroll up, yeah, there were people in that circulation list that	12	A. Q.	
13	Q.		13		amongst other people postmasters.
14	Q.	They're listed as stakeholders. Would they have reviewed it before it was finalised?	13	Α.	Yeah, "operator", at that time, was just using
	٨	Yeah, they would have been asked to comment on	15		an umbrella term for agents. Now, it's postmasters on different contract types.
15 16	Α.	•		^	
	^	the process mapping.  Thank you. If we look at page 3, it gets out.	16 17	Q.	Thank you. Could we go over the page to page 4,
17	Q.	Thank you. If we look at page 3, it sets out			please. 3.2 says:
18	۸	the purpose of the policy.	18		"This policy is designed to provide clear
19	Α.	Yeah.	19		and consistent guidelines and processes for [the
20	Q.	It says:	20		Post Office] to recover transactional and
21 22		"The purpose of this policy is to clearly	21		non-transactional debt incurred whilst in
		set out the processes Post Office Limited will	22		service by Operators of all Post Office branches
23 24		follow to recover debt incurred in service by	23		whether they are still in service or have
		Operators of all Post Office branches."	24		subsequently resigned."
25		If we scroll down to the glossary, it 53	25		Then we have "Background". It's the 54
		00			
			,		
1		background section that I'm particularly	1		much. It's the "Contractual position", if you
2		interested in. Is it possible, if we could keep	2		could scroll down slightly, thank you.
3		this on screen and I'm just going to bring up	3		It seems as though they're largely the same,
4		alongside it a slightly later version of the			these two. On the left-hand side, we have:
5			4		
6		same policy. So if we could keep that, perhaps,	5		"From a purely contractual perspective, the
		on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and	5 6		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible
7		on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.	5 6 7		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"
7 8		on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same	5 6 7 8		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come
7 8 9		on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version?	5 6 7 8 9		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.
7 8 9 10	Α.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version?  I'm just looking for	5 6 7 8 9 10		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1
7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version?  I'm just looking for  The earlier version is called "Operators' In	5 6 7 8 9 10		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on
7 8 9 10 11 12		on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version?  I'm just looking for  The earlier version is called "Operators' In Service Debt" and this one is called	5 6 7 8 9 10 11		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on the right-hand side, "making good without
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version? I'm just looking for The earlier version is called "Operators' In Service Debt" and this one is called "Postmasters' In Service Debt"?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on the right-hand side, "making good without delay". So on the left-hand side we have the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version? I'm just looking for The earlier version is called "Operators' In Service Debt" and this one is called "Postmasters' In Service Debt"? Yeah, I think it's a later iteration of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on the right-hand side, "making good without delay". So on the left-hand side we have the word "making good" in the bullet points; in the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version? I'm just looking for The earlier version is called "Operators' In Service Debt" and this one is called "Postmasters' In Service Debt"? Yeah, I think it's a later iteration of Thank you. That also has your authorisation in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on the right-hand side, "making good without delay". So on the left-hand side we have the word "making good" in the bullet points; in the right-hand side, we don't have them in the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version? I'm just looking for The earlier version is called "Operators' In Service Debt" and this one is called "Postmasters' In Service Debt"? Yeah, I think it's a later iteration of Thank you. That also has your authorisation in 2017.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on the right-hand side, "making good without delay". So on the left-hand side we have the word "making good" in the bullet points; in the right-hand side, we don't have them in the bullet points, but they are in 3.1, but there is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version? I'm just looking for The earlier version is called "Operators' In Service Debt" and this one is called "Postmasters' In Service Debt"? Yeah, I think it's a later iteration of Thank you. That also has your authorisation in 2017. Yeah.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on the right-hand side, "making good without delay". So on the left-hand side we have the word "making good" in the bullet points; in the right-hand side, we don't have them in the bullet points, but they are in 3.1, but there is a difference and it seems to be in the time
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version? I'm just looking for The earlier version is called "Operators' In Service Debt" and this one is called "Postmasters' In Service Debt"? Yeah, I think it's a later iteration of Thank you. That also has your authorisation in 2017. Yeah. So it looks as though in 2013 you authorised	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on the right-hand side, "making good without delay". So on the left-hand side we have the word "making good" in the bullet points; in the right-hand side, we don't have them in the bullet points, but they are in 3.1, but there is a difference and it seems to be in the time period in which they had to be made good.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version? I'm just looking for The earlier version is called "Operators' In Service Debt" and this one is called "Postmasters' In Service Debt"? Yeah, I think it's a later iteration of Thank you. That also has your authorisation in 2017. Yeah. So it looks as though in 2013 you authorised a policy, you subsequently authorised updates,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on the right-hand side, "making good without delay". So on the left-hand side we have the word "making good" in the bullet points; in the right-hand side, we don't have them in the bullet points, but they are in 3.1, but there is a difference and it seems to be in the time period in which they had to be made good.  Do you see that difference?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version? I'm just looking for The earlier version is called "Operators' In Service Debt" and this one is called "Postmasters' In Service Debt"? Yeah, I think it's a later iteration of Thank you. That also has your authorisation in 2017. Yeah. So it looks as though in 2013 you authorised a policy, you subsequently authorised updates, and you authorised this one in 2017.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on the right-hand side, "making good without delay". So on the left-hand side we have the word "making good" in the bullet points; in the right-hand side, we don't have them in the bullet points, but they are in 3.1, but there is a difference and it seems to be in the time period in which they had to be made good.  Do you see that difference?  Yeah, in the first document, it says "without
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version? I'm just looking for The earlier version is called "Operators' In Service Debt" and this one is called "Postmasters' In Service Debt"? Yeah, I think it's a later iteration of Thank you. That also has your authorisation in 2017. Yeah. So it looks as though in 2013 you authorised a policy, you subsequently authorised updates, and you authorised this one in 2017. Yeah.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Α.	Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on the right-hand side, "making good without delay". So on the left-hand side we have the word "making good" in the bullet points; in the right-hand side, we don't have them in the bullet points, but they are in 3.1, but there is a difference and it seems to be in the time period in which they had to be made good.  Do you see that difference?  Yeah, in the first document, it says "without delay". In the second document it doesn't say
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version? I'm just looking for The earlier version is called "Operators' In Service Debt" and this one is called "Postmasters' In Service Debt"? Yeah, I think it's a later iteration of Thank you. That also has your authorisation in 2017. Yeah. So it looks as though in 2013 you authorised a policy, you subsequently authorised updates, and you authorised this one in 2017. Yeah. If we could go to page 3 on the right-hand side,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on the right-hand side, "making good without delay". So on the left-hand side we have the word "making good" in the bullet points; in the right-hand side, we don't have them in the bullet points, but they are in 3.1, but there is a difference and it seems to be in the time period in which they had to be made good.  Do you see that difference?  Yeah, in the first document, it says "without delay". In the second document it doesn't say that.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version? I'm just looking for The earlier version is called "Operators' In Service Debt" and this one is called "Postmasters' In Service Debt"? Yeah, I think it's a later iteration of Thank you. That also has your authorisation in 2017. Yeah. So it looks as though in 2013 you authorised a policy, you subsequently authorised updates, and you authorised this one in 2017. Yeah. If we could go to page 3 on the right-hand side, we should be able to see the bottom half of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q.	Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on the right-hand side, "making good without delay". So on the left-hand side we have the word "making good" in the bullet points; in the right-hand side, we don't have them in the bullet points, but they are in 3.1, but there is a difference and it seems to be in the time period in which they had to be made good.  Do you see that difference?  Yeah, in the first document, it says "without delay". In the second document it doesn't say that.  I think it's the later document says "without
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	on the left-hand side, if that's possible, and if we could bring up POL00088312.  This is a 2017 version. Is that the same policy but just a later version? I'm just looking for The earlier version is called "Operators' In Service Debt" and this one is called "Postmasters' In Service Debt"? Yeah, I think it's a later iteration of Thank you. That also has your authorisation in 2017. Yeah. So it looks as though in 2013 you authorised a policy, you subsequently authorised updates, and you authorised this one in 2017. Yeah. If we could go to page 3 on the right-hand side,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Operator of a Post Office branch is responsible for"  Then it has three points. I'm going to come to each of those.  I think the only real difference between 4.1 and 3.1 is the reference to "without delay", on the right-hand side, "making good without delay". So on the left-hand side we have the word "making good" in the bullet points; in the right-hand side, we don't have them in the bullet points, but they are in 3.1, but there is a difference and it seems to be in the time period in which they had to be made good.  Do you see that difference?  Yeah, in the first document, it says "without delay". In the second document it doesn't say that.  I think it's the later document says "without delay", the earlier one doesn't.

- Do you recall a change in the time period, for 1
- 2 example, that things needed to be made good, or?
- 3 A. No, because that would have been managed between
- 4 finance and the contract team, in terms of the
- 5 amount of time that was allowed to make good
- 6 losses.
- 7 Q. Both of those say, "From a purely contractual
- 8 perspective".
- 9 A. Mm.
- 10 Q. Can you assist us, were all subpostmasters on
- 11 the same contract? Were there different
- 12 contracts?
- 13 A. No, you had what I referred to as the
- 14 "traditional" contracts. So that would be
- 15 subpostmaster, modified subpostmaster, community
- 16 subpostmaster. Then you had the contracts that
- 17 emerged as part of the Network Transformation
- 18 Programme and they were referred to as
- 19 operators. So that was an entirely different
- 20 type of contract and there were -- sorry, and
- 21 there were lots of variants of those contract
- 22
- 23 Q. Were these three bullet points intended to
- capture all of those different versions of the 24
- 25 contract?

- 1 I think you've really repeated it today, that
- 2 subpostmasters could write to their Manager,
- 3 Contracts Adviser or even to the Post Office.
- 4 A. Mm.
- 5 Q. Might that be why there's a reference there to
- 6 the contractual perspective, because the reality
- 7 was that you could go outside of your contract
- 8 and make an approach to somebody?
- 9 A. No, I just don't think that was in my mind at
- 10 all at that point. As I said, the whole issue
- 11 of dialling down losses or writing them off,
- 12 that was a long time ago, you know, in any
- 13 volume. I didn't see, in 2017/18, that
- 14 happening but I wouldn't because I wasn't
- 15 managing the Contracts Advisers or Area
- 16 Managers. It was something, if it was
- 17 happening, it was discrete to me.
- Q. To assist us with time periods, do you mean in 18
- 19 the early days, Legacy Horizon, so
- 20 2000/2001/2002, et cetera, there was still
- 21 that --
- 22 A. No, I mean in the era of manual accounts where
- 23 postmasters were account managed, had a closer
- 24 relationship with Area Managers in the field.
- 25 You don't believe that happened during the Q. 59

- Yeah, the latter -- I think the original policy 1
- 2 wasn't developed in the life-cycle of Network
- 3 Transformation. So the latter policy would have
  - been designed to take into account the Network
- 5 Transformation contracts.
- 6 Q. So am I right to understand that some
- 7 subpostmasters would have signed a contract
- 8 pre-Network Transformation and be operating
- 9 under whatever contract it is they signed
- 10 there --

4

- A. Correct. 11
- 12 -- others post, but both would be held to this
- 13 policy?
- 14 Yeah, the policy spanned all postmasters, yeah.
- 15 Can you assist us with why the reference there
- 16 is "from a purely contractual perspective, the
- 17 operator is responsible for"? What do you think
- is meant there by "purely contractual 18
- 19 perspective"? It seems to imply that there's,
- 20 for example, some discretion over and above what
- 21 the contract says?
- 22 A. No, I think I was just making it clear that it
- 23 was a contractual obligation, not that I thought
- 24 it was something happening beyond the contract.
- 25 Q. I mean, you've said in your statement, and

- 1 lifetime of Horizon?
- 2 If it did, I wouldn't have any knowledge of it
- 3 because, you know, I was in a more senior role
- 4 and I wasn't managing postmasters directly,
- 5 except for the time when I was a Contracts
- 6 Adviser -- Manager in 2002 to 2004. And, even
- 7 at that point, my recollection is that it was
- 8 extremely rare to receive these type of requests
- 9 from postmasters.
- 10 Q. Looking at those bullet points, the first one 11
- is:
- 12 "Making good any loss of Post Office cash
- 13 and stock without delay."
- 14 In fact, so the "without delay" there
- 15 appears on the left side.
- 16 Mm.
- A.
- 17 Q. There's no real difference there. But the first
- 18
- "Any loss of Post Office cash and stock." 19
- 20 The second is:
- 21 "Any losses incurred whilst operating under
- 22 their respective contractual agreements that
- 23 come to light following termination of the
- 24 agreement."
- 25 A. Mm.

O. The third is: 1

> "All losses incurred through their own negligence, carelessness or error and also for losses caused by their Post Office assistants."

5 Α.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

18

19

20

21

**Q.** So the first bullet point we have there is "any loss", so the postmaster is responsible for any loss, and the third one is "all losses save for", for example, it seems, if it wasn't caused by their own negligence, carelessness or error. Can you explain to us why the first of those reads as though any losses must be paid back,

13 but the third reads as though there might be 14 some reasons to excuse a subpostmaster? A. I think that there was a difference between the 15 16 Network Transformation contracts and the 17 traditional contracts in terms of what the

postmaster's obligations were, and I think there was a probably, in the Network Transformation contracts, I think it was the obligations were probably heavier, from memory -- I can't

22 remember specifically how -- than what was 23 placed upon the postmasters who had traditional

24 contracts. I think there was some tightening of 25 the drafting.

61

1 respect of the circumstances in which you can, 2 in fact, recover debt from subpostmasters? 3 A. If you relied purely on the policy document and

4 weren't cognisant of which type of contract the 5 postmaster was on and the circumstances in which

6 the debt had arisen, yeah, there would be. 7 Q. Separately, was there any thinking at this time,

> I think you've already given this answer, but to bugs, errors and defects, and how that might fit

into --10

Α. Nο 11

8

9

-- the situation? 12 Q.

13 Α. No.

14 Q. Just before the break, I'll just take you to the 15 community subpostmaster contract that's 16 POL00000246, and it's page 71. So, I mean, we 17 have various different iterations of this

18 policy.

19 A. Yeah.

20 Q. Perhaps if we go over -- sorry, yes. That's 21 fine. On page 71, you have there -- this is

22 under section 8 -- "Responsibility for Post

23 Office's stock and cash" and at paragraph 12 we

24 have a heading "Losses".

25 A. Mm.

Q. So, in fact, it may have been that the first 1

2 bullet point was intended to capture those who

3 had signed the post-Network Transformation

contract and the third bullet point was intended

5 to capture those who had signed the original

6 subpostmaster's contract?

7 It's quite possible but I can't remember 8 specifically what my thinking was at the time.

9 Q. Can you see there potential cause for confusion 10 amongst those who were operating this policy as

11 to whether they were to take action in respect

of all losses or just those that weren't caused 12

13 by their own negligence -- that were caused by

14 their own negligence, carelessness or error?

15 A. Well, I think typically the people managing the

16 whole issue of recovery of losses were either

17 Finance or the Contracts Advisers, and that was

18 their sort bread and butter business, really,

19 part of it, it was recovering debts. And they

20 would always be mindful of what type of contract

21 the postmaster was on when doing that, or should

22 have been.

23 Q. Looking at this document, though, the policy 24 document about recovery of debt, can you see

25 that there could be cause for confusion in

It says there:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

"The subpostmaster is responsible for all losses caused through his own negligence, carelessness or error, and also for losses of all kinds caused by his Assistants. Deficiencies due to such losses must be made good without delay."

So does that assist you, that that looks very much like that third bullet point but not

10 at all like the first bullet point?

11 A. Yeah, I think, looking at that, it's -- that

12 policy spans the sort of more modern Network

13 Transformation contracts and the traditional 14 contracts

15 Q. Well, it doesn't span both, does it? This is 16 pre-Network Transformation?

17 A. It is, yeah.

Q. It has there a provision that refers to 18 negligence, carelessness or error --19

20 A. It does, yes.

21 -- and is quite differently worded to that first

22 bullet point in the policy, isn't it? 23 A. Yeah, that's what I'm trying to say. I think in

24 the Network Transformation contracts, I think

25 the obligations were more onerous upon the

1	operator than they were in the traditional
2	contracts and, therefore, the first and third
3	bullet points in the policy document attempts to
4	deal with that.

5 Q. Mr Justice Fraser in the Bates litigation, in 6 one of the judgments, he refers to a case where 7 a subpostmistress received a letter saying that 8 they were contractually obliged to make good any 9 losses that occurred during their term in 10 office, and he remarks that that overstated the 11 position because, as you can see in 12, it's not 12 in fact any losses.

Do you think that some of those problems come down to the policy that we've just looked at and the ambiguity between those three bullet points.

17 A. Yeah, it's possible if someone looked back at
18 the policy document and wasn't mindful of which
19 contract the postmaster was on, when they sent
20 a letter, then there is scope for confusion,
21 yeah, I agree.

22 MR BLAKE: Thank you.

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

23 Sir, that might be an appropriate time to 24 take our mid-morning break.

25 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Yeah, sure.

35

if there had been a potential breach, material breach of contract.

And then a charge letter would be sent to the postmaster, setting out the charge, which could be something like false accounting or misuse of Post Office funds, and then they would be -- they would have the option of making a written representation or have a personal hearing, often attended with a member of the National Federation of SubPostmasters, and they would have the opportunity to present any exculpatory evidence for those charges.

And then, based on that and any further investigation that was necessary, because of the outcome of that hearing, then I and other Contracts Managers would draw up a balance sheet of the evidence and determine whether there had been a material breach of contract based on the balance of probability. And once that decision had been made and then, if there had been, then, an evaluation was made as to what would be the most appropriate outcome for POL and the postmaster.

Q. Can you assist us with whether there was some
 sort of disclosure process to subpostmasters
 67

MR BLAKE: If we could come back at 11.35.

2 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, that's fine. Thank you.

3 MR BLAKE: Thank you very much.

4 (11.19 am)

5

10

11

12

13

(A short break)

6 (11.35 am)

7 MR BLAKE: Sir, Mr Inwood, I'm going to move on to a different topic and that's the resolution of

9 disputes.

You explain in your witness statement that, as Contracts Manager and as Appeals Manager, you were involved in disputes regarding alleged shortfalls and the procedures that they

14 involved.

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. Can you assist us, how would evidence be17 gathered in respect of that?

18 A. So, for example, if an audit had occurred and
19 there was a discrepancy, significant
20 discrepancy, in the accounts, then the auditors
21 would complete a report about what they had
22 found and then, after the precautionary
23 suspension of the postmaster, if that was

24 necessary, that would be sent to me and,

25 therefore, I would evaluate that report to see

66

1 during that procedure?

A. The postmaster should be provided with a copy of
 the evidence that the Contracts Manager had

4 received from the auditors or the Security team.

5 The Security team were not always involved. It

6 would depend on the circumstances. So they

7 should receive a copy of the inculpatory

8 evidence.

Q. We've heard quite a lot about something called
 ARQ data or the audit data obtainable from

11 Fujitsu itself. Is that something you recall

12 being provided to postmasters?

13 A. I was not aware of that during the period of

14 time I was a Contracts Manager or after that.

15 Q. What kind of period are we talking about, whenyou would sit on those hearings?

17 **A.** As a Contracts Manager, it was 2002/03 to the end of, I think, 2004. There was a period of

19 time when I was an Appeals Manager but it's

20 difficult for me to approximate the years during

21 which that was the case.

22 Q. But it would have been after your time --

A. It would have been after 2005 because, to bean Appeals Manager, you had to be at a certain

25 level in the company, senior manager level in

1 1 the company. paragraph 19, it says this, in relation to those 2 2 Q. So we'll start with the first level, Contract criminal investigations. It says: 3 3 Manager. You've said that a representative of "A friend may only attend and listen to 4 the National Federation of SubPostmasters could 4 questions and answers. He must not interrupt in 5 attend or, I think, you also said in your 5 any way, either by word or signal; if he does 6 statement that a friend could attend? 6 interrupt he will be required to leave at once 7 A. Or a friend, yes. 7 and the interview will proceed without him. 8 8 Q. I just want to take you back to the Whatever is said at the interview is to be 9 subpostmaster contract. So that is POL00000246. treated as in strictest confidence. The friend 9 10 It's page 93 -- actually, if we could look at 92 10 may take notes of the interview but he must keep and 93. Page 92, section 14, seems to govern 11 the notes in the strictest confidence. The only 11 12 the appeals procedure, so I think that's the 12 communication the friend is entitled to make on 13 second stage, isn't it? 13 behalf of the person who has been questioned 14 will be in the form of a written 'in strictest 14 A. Yeah Q. If we look at page 93, I don't think this is the 15 15 confidence' statement which may be submitted by 16 first stage, is it? This is a different type of 16 the latter, in support of any official appeal 17 investigation for a criminal offence, or do you 17 which the person questioned may desire to make 18 understand this to have governed that first 18 in connection with the methods followed at the 19 19 enquiry. No other communication about the stage? 20 No, I agree with what you just said, yeah. 20 interview is allowed (unless made by permission Α. 21 21 Q. I just want to use an analogy though and, if we of the Post Office) as it might constitute 22 22 turn to page 95, there is reference to friends a breach of the Official Secrets Acts. The 23 at investigation interviews? 23 questioned officer may, however, if he so 24 Α. 24 desires, communicate the friend's statement to Mm. 25 Q. Then if we turn to page 96 at the top there, 25 the National Federation of SubPostmasters in 1 strictest confidence." Yeah. 2 Q. -- were you allowed to say something? As I say, this doesn't apply to those 2 3 hearings but was a similar regime in place for 3 A. I don't think there was any differentiation at 4 those hearings, in respect of what the friend or 4 the time between what type of friend you were or 5 assistant could or could not do? 5 whether you was an NFSP officer. It just 6 A. I think that the custom and practice, when I was 6 happened to be the case that was people were 7 7 a Contracts Manager, was that the friend who may represented by officers of the NFSP because they 8 also be an officer of the National Federation of 8 had some considerable experience and training in 9 SubPostmasters could attend the -- what they 9 dealing with these issues. 10 10 Q. Were lawyers allowed to attend? referred to as the reasons to urge hearing, and it was the case that the NFSP officer would Α. 11 11 No. 12 speak on behalf of the postmaster. 12 Q. Do you know the reason for that at all? Well, because -- well, I guess if a friend was 13 And I think that was because a lot of 13 14 postmasters found that to be quite a difficult 14 also a lawyer that wouldn't preclude them from 15 meeting and were not able to properly articulate 15 attending but if they were there in a capacity 16 their defence or mitigation to the charges, and 16 as a lawyer and acting on behalf of their 17 I think that was custom and practice through the 17 client, that would not be allowed because it was 18 period of time I was a Contracts Manager. 18 a private matter between the company and the 19 Q. If you weren't an officer of the National postmaster. 19 Federation of SubPostmasters, were you allowed 20 20 Were you assisted at that stage 1 decision by 21 21 lawyers in the Post Office? 22 22 A. I believe it was custom and practice to make A. No, not as a Contracts Manager, no. No, if 23 some representation. 23 there was any criminal investigation into the

24

25

24 Q.

25

example --

So if you were attending as a friend, for

71

case, that was dealt with entirely separately in

- 1 Manager would take in the civil case.
- 2 Q. Thank you. Can we look at POL00088982, please.
- 3 If we look over the page, this is a document
- 4 drafted by you.
- 5 A. Mm.

18

19

20

- 6 Q. Your name is at the bottom of that second page.
- 7 Then if we turn back to the first page there's
- 8 handwritten "In Confidence and Draft". Am
- 9 I right to say you drafted this or this is
- 10 a draft that you drafted?
- 11 A. I drafted this policy, yes.
- 12 Q. I'm not sure if we have the final version of
- this, and you can tell me if you recall that
- 14 anything was significantly or substantively
- 15 different from the version that we're looking
- 16 at. "Background", it says:

"From time to time contracts advisers and appeals managers will be required to give consideration to what would be an appropriate outcome where an agent is found to be culpable of a serious breach of contract. Typically but

- of a serious breach of contract. Typically bu not exclusively these breaches will be in the
- 23 form of false accounting and/or misuse of Post
- 24 Office funds."
- What kind of period was this in operation,
  - 73
- 1 beyond any reasonable doubt. The threshold was
- 2 lower in a civil case.
- 3 Q. Do you recognise any difficulty, looking at that
- 4 now, that test. First of all "guilt"; this
- 5 isn't a criminal matter?
- 6 A. No, it was loose terminology. I think it was
- 7 better to say culpability for the charges, yeah.
- 8 Q. "Balance of all possibilities", it sounds a bit
- 9 like a conflation between "balance of
- 10 probabilities", which is a civil test, and
- 11 "beyond reasonable doubt" or --
- 12 A. That was not what I had in mind when I was
- 13 I drafted it. It was perhaps loose terminology.
- 14 Q. Do you think that was the test applied by people
- 15 who were using this policy?
- 16 A. If they had followed the policy, yeah.
- 17 Q. When these kinds of policies were being drafted,
- 18 was there any consideration of what impact bugs,
- 19 errors or defects in Horizon may have?
- 20 A. No, the reason that the instructions were given
- 21 to me to develop this policy is because the
- 22 company was concerned that there weren't a broad

75

- 23 enough array of options to apply, where it had
- been proven that there had been a material
- 25 breach of contract, and that ties in with the

- 1 do you recall?
- 2 A. I think the policy was drafted some time around
- 3 20 -- let me think -- 2014 onwards.
- 4 Q. Was there a policy before that?
- 5 A. There was an approach, yeah. I think there were
- 6 historic policies that existed prior to that,
- 7 obviously.
- 8 Q. To what extent did you consider yourself to be
- 9 qualified to be drafting this policy?
- 10 A. Because it was a policy that supported people
- 11 within POL dealing with material breaches of
- 12 contract or alleged material breaches of
- 13 contract and it was done with iterations between
- 14 myself and Legal Services.
- 15 Q. Who in Legal Services do you recall liaising --
- 16 A. I think the principal person would have been
- 17 Jessica Madron.
- 18 Q. We see there under "Guidance notes", it says:
- 19 "In cases where guilt has been proven on the
- 20 basis of 'balance of all probabilities' ..."
- 21 Do you recall, was that the test that you
- 22 applied: guilt on the basis of balance of all
- 23 probabilities?

5

- 24~ **A.** Yes, whether the charges were proven on the test
- of balance of all possibilities, as opposed to

74

- 1 belief that there were, you know, perhaps too
- 2 many suspensions and, you know, outcomes were
- 3 problematic for the company in terms of
- 4 maintaining continuity of service.
  - So the policy prescribed additional outcomes
- 6 that could have been applied by Contracts
- 7 Advisers, for example, a suspended termination,
- 8 where it was believed that termination was not
- 9 appropriate in the circumstances. So it was
- 10 just driven by providing a broader array of
- 11 options for Contracts Advisers.
- 12 Q. So, if we scroll down, we can see there are
- aggravating factors and mitigating factors that
- 14 might assist in making a decision as to how to
- deal with a contract breach and, as you say,
- this policy was implemented because there was
- 17 a concern that too many people were having their
- 18 contracts terminated or suspended?
- 19 A. No, no, no, I think it was -- the main driver
- 20 was that the Contracts Advisers needed to have
- a broader array of options in terms of the
- 22 outcome of the case, that was the main driver
- 23 for the policy.
- 24 Q. Because, prior to that, the only option would be
- 25 to suspend or terminate?

- Yeah, it would be binary. Yeah, well, 1 Α.
- 2 a precautionary suspension would be a precursor
- 3 to this process and then, once this process had
- 4 started, then the options were that either the
- 5 postmaster would be reinstated or that the
- 6 contract would be summarily terminated.
- 7 Q. They needed, aggravating, mitigating factors,
- 8 more options; was that not driven by the fact
- 9 that they were experiencing a high level of
- 10 terminations?
- A. I think there was a background concern in the 11
- company that there were too many suspensions, 12
- 13 too many cases where we were losing Post Office
- 14 services in some communities and not being able
- 15 to maintain those after the fact of termination.
- 16 Q. You say this was 2013, did you say, or --
- 17 A. I think it was around 2014, perhaps.
- 18 Q. So a fair amount of time after, for example,
- 19 that Computer Weekly article that we've talked
- 20 about or the correspondence from the journalist
- 21 to the Member of Parliament about complaints
- 22 about --
- 23 A. Yeah, I don't believe there was a nexus between
- 24 the two
- 25 Q. No, and do you think there should have been
- 1 What exactly did that involve?
- 2 A. Well, the purpose of the appeal was to rehear
- 3 the case, so looking at any inculpatory and
- 4 exculpatory evidence and then examining both
- 5 and, if necessary, conducting a further
- 6 investigation into the facts internally, prior
- 7 to reaching a conclusion.
- 8 Q. In terms of, say, audit data, do you think you
- 9 were capable of properly understanding audit
- 10 data and obtaining the right information from,
- 11 for example, Fujitsu, if required?
- 12 A. I think the audit report would be taken at face
- 13 value by any Appeals Manager.
- 14 Q. Was that because the Appeals Manager didn't have
- 15 the right skillset to analyse those kinds of
- 16 things?
- 17 A. I don't think they would have been able to
- analyse the data but, at that point in time, it 18
- wouldn't have entered my mind or any Appeals 19
- 20 Manager, I don't believe, to test whether the
- 21 discrepancy had been caused by failures in the
- 22 system.
- 23 Q. If a subpostmaster had said that losses were
- 24 arising because of a software error, what would
- 25 you be able to do as part of your investigation

- 1 a nexus between the two, in that bugs, errors or
- 2 defects in Horizon might have been something to
- 3 consider during this --
- 4 A. Yeah, with hindsight, I think that, as part of
- 5 the whole process around suspension, dealing
- 6 with alleged material breaches of contract, as
- 7 I said earlier, I think there should have been
- 8 a process in place where the possibility of
  - a discrepancy being caused by software errors,
- 10 bugs, should have been ruled out as a possible
- 11 cause prior to contractual action being taken.
- 12 Q. I'm going -- sorry.

- 13 I can see why it would be necessary to issue
- 14 a precautionary suspension upon the advent of
- 15 a significant discrepancy in a postmaster's
- 16 accounts. But, in that period of suspension,
- 17 I think there should have been more diligence by
- the company in flushing out any possible IT 18
- 19 causes
- 20 Q. Moving to appeals, you said you were an Appeals
- 21 Manager?
  - 22 A. I was, yes.
  - 23 In your statement, you referred to conducting
- 24 your own investigation as part of that process?
- 25 **A**.

78

- 1 to get to the bottom of that?
- Well, that would be raised internally and I'd 2
- 3 have to investigate how to determine whether
- 4 that was the case or not. I can't remember
- 5 a case when I was an Appeals Manager where that
- 6 was the -- was raised with me internally, but
- 7 the number of appeals you would hear were very
- 8 small. You know, if you heard one a year, you
- 9 know, that would be normal. There was quite
- 10 a large panel of appeals managers. So it was
- 11 difficult to get a sort of holistic view about
- 12 whether that was being raised a lot at the
- 13 appeals stage.
- 14 You've said that at the first stage you weren't
- 15 assisted by lawyers. At the appeals stage, was
- 16 there legal involvement at all?
- 17 A. No no

23

- 18 Q. If I could go back to the contract, so that's
- 19 POL00000246, page 92, where it addresses the
- 20 appeals procedure.
- 21 If we could look at the bottom of page 92,
- 22 please, there's a reference there to appeals and
- then it says, "Approaches to persons outside the 24 Post Office", paragraph 6. It says:
- 25 "Until the subpostmaster has exercised his

- 1 final right of appeal, he should not ask persons 2 outside the Post Office to take up the case on 3 his behalf although this does not prevent 4 a subpostmaster from obtaining such advice and 5 support from the NFSP or any other outside 6 person as may help him to present his case 7 effectively. The subpostmaster should not 8 detain Post Office papers or allow them out of 9 his custody for the purpose of such consultation 10 without the permission of the Retail Network
- 12 Can you assist us with the purpose of that 13 provision?
- A. I think the view internally was that, at that 14 stage, it was purely a private contractual 15 16 dispute between us and the postmaster and that 17 there was a process internally to manage that. 18 Once that process had exhausted, of course, it 19 would have been open for the postmaster to take 20 whatever course of action they thought was
- 21 appropriate, if they felt that the outcome of
- 22 the case was unsafe or unfair.

Manager."

11

- 23 Q. Who is it preventing a subpostmaster from making 24 contact with?
- 25 Α. Well, anyone, anyone outside of the company,
- 1 Q. In terms of your personal experience and 2 personal knowledge, you're only aware of one?
- 3 A. I'm only -- I can only recall one that would
- 4 have happened in, like, a three-year period but
- 5 I can't remember how many terminations that
- 6 I would have been involved in over that period.
- 7 That's when you were the Contracts Manager.
- What about when you were --8
- 9 A. Contracts Manager, yeah.
- -- sitting on the appeals? Did you ever 10 Q. 11 overturn an appeal?
- 12 A.
- 13 Q. I'm going to move on to --
- 14 A. I think you meant uphold an appeal?
- Q. Yes. Sorry. 15
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Thank you. I'm going to move on to what happens
- when you've been terminated, when your contract 18
- has been terminated. Can we look at 19
- 20 POL000075610, please. This is a 2009 policy
- where you are the author? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You're named as the author. Do you recall
- 24 writing this or being responsible for it?
- 25 Yeah, I've got some recollection of, you know, Α.

1 really.

- 2 Q. We discussed earlier the problems involved with
- 3 the inability for subpostmasters to find out
- 4 about similar problems that other subpostmasters
- 5 were experiencing.
- 6 A. Yeah.

10

- 7 Q. Do you see this provision as causing any issues 8 in that regard?
- 9 A. Well, it may or would make them think that, you
  - know, they were having to deal with this in
- 11 a sort of silo, almost, and that they weren't
- able to openly discuss the circumstances of the 12
- 13 case with other people or share information with
- 14 other people.
- 15 Q. Do you recall any cases that you were involved
- 16 in that were overturned on appeal?
- 17 A. I think when I was a Contracts Manager there was 18 one case that was heard by Lin Norbury, who was
- 19 an Appeals Manager at the time, that was
- 20 overturned.
- 21 Q. So that's one case in a two/three-year period?
- 22 In a two or three-year period. I think there
- 23 was some information internally that said,
- 24 I think, around 10 per cent of appeals were
- 25 upheld, you know, that --

- 1 looking at that document now, yeah. Yeah.
- 2 Q. Do you think you wrote it?
- 3 Yeah, I would have authored that approach, yeah, 4 yeah. It's got my name on it, yeah.
- 5 Q. If we look at the bottom of the page it explains 6 what the change is. It says:
- 7 "For agents who have had their contracts 8 summarily terminated by Post Office Limited, or
- 9 who, in our opinion, have resigned to avoid
- 10 termination, it is important that we are open 11
- with them in communicating the possible outcome 12
- of that decision in respect of what type of Post
- 13 Office operating model, if any, we determine is
- 14 appropriate in the locality."
- 15 Α.
- Q. "One of these outcomes may be the deployment of 16 a different operating model, eg Post Office 17 essentials, to that currently used." 18
- 19 It says on that final paragraph on the 20 screen:
- 21 "It is important to note here that 22 subpostmasters do not have any right of 23 assignment of their Post Office business, so any 24 enquiries in that respect should be managed 25 using the normal reactive lines", et cetera.

1 Can you assist us with what this all means? 2 This is in the context of, like, a set piece 3 business transformation programme where the 4 business had developed a different type of Post 5 Office operating model. I think the document 6 refers to Post Office essentials, which was 7 a forerunner of the local Post Office model that 8 was implemented as part of the Network 9 Transformation Programme.

> So the purpose of the document was to say that, in the event of termination or resignation to avoid termination, we need to be open with the outgoing postmaster that it may not be the case that a traditional contract -- a Post Office with a traditional contract, is deployed in that location.

Clearly, an outgoing postmaster would be perhaps advertising their retail business for sale with the concession of a Post Office within it. So it was necessary for them to understand what type of post office, so that they could inform any potential buyer.

- 23 Q. So might it be that a subpostmaster's contract 24 is terminated?
- 25 A. Mm.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

85

- 1 post office is less sellable than it perhaps was 2 before their termination.
- 3 A. Yeah, that's quite possible, yeah.
- 4 Q. Thank you. I'm going to look at a few other 5 policies. I'll take them quite quickly. They 6 address issues such as suspension and contract 7 breach, for example.

Can we look at POL00005933. This is a 2012 policy, "Precautionary Suspension Policy". I think you've mentioned before precautionary

10 11 suspension?

12 Α. Mm

8

9

- 13 Q. Can you briefly summarise for us what 14 precautionary suspension was?
- Well, that would normally be where there had 15 Α. been a bad audit with a significant discrepancy, 16 17 and there would need to be a process following

that. So a precautionary suspension may be the 18

- most appropriate thing to do at the time. 19
- 20 Q. We see there you're the owner of that particular 21 policy?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Can we turn to page 3, please. It's 3.3. It 24
- 25 "[The Post Office] may consider it to be in 87

- Q. They're then left with a post office and they 1
- 2 then need to find another subpostmaster, sell
- 3 it, et cetera, and this document is telling them
  - or is outlining the position that actually they
- might be left with something --5
- 6 Something different to what was there, yeah.
- 7 Q. Typically something less than what was there?
- I mean, Post Office essentials sounds perhaps 8
- 9 smaller, or --
- 10 A. I think the remuneration aspect was different in
- 11 Post Office essentials because it was on a fully
- variable basis so, on a traditional contract, 12
- 13 the subpostmaster would receive a fixed payment
- 14 plus a variable payment. On the more modern
- 15 operating models, it was on a fully variable
- 16 basis. It's quite an important distinction if
- 17 you're thinking about buying a business that has
- 18 a post office in it.
- 19 Q. So potentially less profitable?
- 20 A. Potentially, yeah.
- 21 If we are thinking about implications for
- 22 subpostmasters once their contract has been
- 23 terminated, are we to read into this document
- 24 that not only would they lose their contract but
- 25 they might suffer financial harm because their

- 1 its interests to spend the Operator of a Post
- 2 Office branch if it deems there is a risk to its
- 3 brand and reputation, cash or stock or the
- 4 interests of our customers."
  - 3.13, over the page to page 4, says:
- 6 "During the period of any suspension [the 7 Post Office] will cease all payments to the
  - suspended Operator."
- 9 So am I to understand that a subpostmaster 10 may be suspended on a precautionary basis --
- 11 A. Mm

5

8

- 12 Q. -- and the result of that is that the Post
- 13 Office will stop paying the subpostmaster?
- 14 A. That's correct. What would happen in that case
- 15 is that we would seek to appoint a temporary
- postmaster to operate from the premises. He 16
- 17 would negotiate some payment with the suspended
- agent for consideration for using the premises, 18
- 19 and then the remuneration would go to the
- 20 temporary postmaster.
- 21 Q. Thank you. Another policy, POL00086116. This
- 22 is the "Guiding principles for suspension". So
- 23 that's substantive suspension, is it, rather
- 24 than precautionary suspension?
- 25 I think there was only precautionary suspension,

1 iteration of the policy and I think it was at 1 yes. 2 Thank you. Were you involved in the drafting of 2 Q. the request of Angela van den Bogerd, she was 3 3 the sponsor of this work. So it was just this document? 4 A. What was the date of the --4 something that I worked up with Legal Services, 5 Q. I don't think this document is dated. If we can 5 really, that was deployed into the contracts 6 zoom out, please? 6 community. 7 THE WITNESS: Okay, is it possible to have 7 Q. Thank you. At page 2 it sets out at the very 8 a five-minute comfort break? 8 top the purpose; does that assist you in its 9 MR BLAKE: Yes, absolutely. 9 purpose? 10 THE WITNESS: Okay, thanks. 10 A. Yes. MR BLAKE: Sir, can we break until 12.15? We have 11 Q. This policy and the previous policies that we've 11 plenty of time today. seen today, am I right in saying that there was 12 12 13 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 13 nothing in those policies about how to deal with (12.07 pm) 14 situations where there are bugs, errors or 14 (A short break) 15 defects in the system? 15 16 (12.15 pm) 16 A. No. 17 MR BLAKE: Thank you, sir. 17 Q. Thank you. I'm going to move on to a different 18 Mr Inwood, one final policy before I move on 18 topic and that's involvement in criminal and 19 to two very small discrete issues. It is 19 civil proceedings. I think you said in your 20 POL00088475. This is a 2014 policy with you 20 statement that it was rare to receive contact named as the owner and it's entitled "Contract 21 21 from lawyers and that you played no part in 22 22 Breach". Can you just briefly assist us with criminal prosecutions and don't have 23 how this policy fits in with the various 23 recollection of civil cases. 24 Criminal cases. 24 policies that we've already seen. Α. 25 A. I think this was probably the most recent 25 Of criminal cases. Now, I can show you a number 1 of different documents but perhaps I'll just ask 1 an issue of something that was known as British 2 you the open question as to whether you've 2 Excursionary Document and they were being issued 3 reconsidered that position since you've seen 3 inappropriately to members of the public. So 4 documents? 4 I think the court just needed to know what the 5 5 A. I can recall one particular case, I think, when correct procedure was, in terms of validating 6 I was a Contracts Manager, 2003/2004. I think 6 a person's identification. 7 it was some contact from Jarnail Singh, who was 7 Q. Was the Post Office a party to that case? 8 involved in the criminal prosecutions team. But 8 A. I can't remember if they were the prosecuting 9 it was only that. It was just to inform me of 9 authority, no. 10 progress and to find out progress with the 10 Q. Because you've described yourself as an expert, did you know the difference between an expert 11 contractual case. There may be others that 11 12 I wasn't able to recall when I completed the 12 witness and somebody who isn't an expert 13 witness statement, of course. 13 witness? 14 **Q**. Did you ever give evidence in criminal 14 A. Well, someone who isn't an expert witness 15 proceedings? 15 wouldn't know a great deal about the subject and A. Only when I was a branch manager in the Crown 16 I did know a great deal about the subject. So 16 17 Office estate and a case of a POL employee, and 17 that's why I described myself in that way. 18 I think I was called to give evidence in one Q. Were you ever told by anybody in the Post Office 18 19 other case as an expert witness. I think it was 19 Legal team, for example, about the duties to 20 a postmaster, something that I wasn't directly 20 a court that an expert witness owes? 21 21 A. No, I don't think there was any discussion.

22 **Q**.

23

24 **A**.

25

When you say an expert witness, in what way were

91

That can provide an insight into branch

accounting procedures. It was to do with

you an expert witness?

92

team that had asked me to appear. It was an odd

I think it was the Post Office Investigation

one because it just wasn't a case I'd been

directly involved in.

22

23

24

- Do you recall who in the Post Office 1 Q. 2 Investigation team?
- 3 A. No, it was a-- that was a long time ago when
- 4 I was a branch manager, so I think it was
- 5 probably some time in the 1990s.
- 6 Q. Thank you. I'll take you to a few documents
- 7 very quickly. Can we look at POL00086582,
- 8 please. This is a discussion with somebody
- 9 called Victoria Brooks, who was an associate at
- 10 Bond Pearce, about a case that involved a branch
- called Newcastleton branch. Halfway down the 11
- 12 page, she says there:
- 13 "You have seen my emails with Roderic and 14 Paul Inwood regarding the interview process,
- 15 where this comes from and whether it is
- 16 necessary. Paul has said that these points 17 would be picked up as part of a review that is
- 18 imminent, so for now I have proceeded on the
- 19 basis that [the Post Office] wants to allow the
- 20 opportunity for an interview to take place."
- 21 Can you assist us, does this assist you with 22 the types of occasion when you would come into
- 24 A. Well, I was working with Legal Services very 25 closely all of the time, so it wasn't something
- 1 a temporary postmaster. And I think there was
- 2 concerns about the fact that she felt that she
- 3 was entitled to such a payment.

contact with lawyers?

- 4 Q. Would you be consulted by the Legal team about 5
- contractual matters involving subpostmasters?
- 6 Α. From time to time, yeah, in the context of
- 7 a civil case, yeah.
- 8 Q. Do you recall Mandy Talbot?
- 9 A. I'm familiar with the name and I think perhaps
- she was involved in criminal prosecutions side. 10
- 11 I'm not entirely sure.
- 12 Q. If we look at the email, it has, on the next
- 13 page "Mandy Talbot, Dispute Resolution, Company
- 14 Secretary's Office". Does that assist you?
- 15 A. Err --

23

- 16 Q. Do you recall, for example, how senior she was,
- 17 or how senior you understood her to be?
- A. I think she was probably around the level of 18
- principal lawyer, around the same level as 19
- 20 Jessica Madron.
- Q. What did you understand by the same principal 21
- 22 lawyer?
- 23 A. Well, not a paralegal, you know, someone who had
- 24 direct reports, you know, solicitor working for
- 25 them, or some -- you know, job titles tend to be

- 1 that was odd for me to have emails from Legal
- 2 Services about individual cases.
- 3 Q. Can we, please, also have a look at POL00072146,
- 4 the second page here. You're copied into
- an email from Mandy Talbot and it's about the 5
- 6 Marine Drive Post Office, that's a Post Office
- 7 that was previously run by Lee Castleton, and
- 8 she says about halfway down the page:
- q "Given the problems we had with Castleton
- 10 I would have thought that [the Post Office]
- 11 would be happy if a prospective permanent
- postmaster had come along? Can the BDM or the 12
- 13 Contracts Manager for the relevant part of the
- 14 country advise whether or not she ever applied 15 for the position at Marine Drive or at another
- 16 branch and if so what the response was."
- 17 Does this assist you in recalling what if
- 18 any involvement you had with the legal case
- 19 against Lee Castleton or what followed that
- 20
- 21 A. No, I think it was -- this was an issue around
- 22 a temporary postmaster and there was some, when
- 23 I read this earlier there was some issue around
- 24 whether they would receive a termination
- 25 payment, which clearly wasn't appropriate for
- 1 determined by whether you had direct reports or
- 2
- 3 Q. Direct reports to who, sorry?
- 4 A. Into them.
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 So she may have had a solicitor working for her
- 7 or a paralegal.
- 8 Q. In your understanding of that Legal team,
- 9 looking at this or recalling from this
- 10 discussion about the Lee Castleton case, can you
- 11 give us your understanding of whether she was
- 12 a case worker or something more significant?
- 13 I can't recall, sorry.
- 14 Q. Can we look at POL00041427, please, page 2.
- 15 An email from yourself to Jessica Madron and
  - Rodric Williams and it says, if we could scroll
- 17 down:

16

25

- 18 "We'll need your help with this case please 19 as there is an indication that it may be heading
- 20 towards litigation."
- 21 Does that assist you at all in your
- 22 involvement in litigation against subpostmasters
- 23 and the role that you had?
- 24 I think it would be very infrequent if at all.
  - As I said to you earlier, the whole management

- 1 of the civil aspect of a dispute was sort of in
- 2 a silo from any criminal prosecution. I don't
- 3 believe anyone would come to me for advice about
- 4 how to manage a criminal prosecution but would
- 5 come to me for advice about how to manage the
- 6 civil aspect of the case.
- 7 Q. The Inquiry has heard evidence suggesting that
- 8 actions taken by Contracts Managers, for
- 9 example, in some way, formed part of
- 10 a prosecution case against a subpostmaster.
- 11 Were you aware of the actions that you were
- 12 taking as Contracts Manager?
- 13 A. No, it was the opposite to that. As I said
- 14 earlier, I don't think there was any nexus
- 15 between the two. I was trained to treat the
- 16 civil aspect of a case entirely separately from
- 17 the criminal aspect of the case. That's because
- 18 the burden of proof in the two is completely
- 19 different. And, as I said earlier, it was very
- 20 rare for me to -- in the context of the whole
- 21 number of cases that would cross my desk, would
- be to hear about the criminal aspect of a case,
- 23 as opposed to the civil aspect.
- 24 Q. Were you aware that information that you
- gathered, for example, might subsequently be
- 1 Sight.
- 2 Q. Perhaps I can show you POL00022167. If we go to
- 3 the final page here, page 4 has your name there,
- 4 2014?
- 5 A. Yeah, it does, yeah.
- 6 Q. If we look at the first page it explains what it
- 7 is. "Second Sight Mediation Briefing Report".
- 8 Do you remember writing this report?
- 9 **A.** No.
- 10 Q. It may be difficult to say why you don't
- 11 remember it but it wasn't so long ago, 2014?
- 12 A. Well, it's nearly 10 years ago.
- 13 Q. Is there a reason why issues relating to Second
- 14 Sight you I can't recall, certainly haven't
- 15 detailed in your witness statement?
- 16 A. It was something I was aware of and it's clearly
- 17 something I've helped draft a response to, but
- 18 it's not something that really stuck in the
- 19 mind, really.
- 20 Q. Perhaps if we could look at POL00021853. It's
- 21 an email of 27 August 2014. You're listed there
- 22 as a copy recipient.
- 23 **A.** Mm.
- 24 Q. If we could scroll down a little bit, thank you
- very much. It's an email chain commenting on

99

- 1 used in a criminal prosecution?
- 2 A. I'm not -- I can't think of any examples where
- 3 it was because, in the context of a civil aspect
  - of the case, I can't recall being asked to
- 5 provide any evidence by someone --
- 6 Q. Would you have known if information that you
- 7 gathered as part of your processes was
- 8 subsequently used in a --
- 9 A. Not necessarily, you know, because once the case
- 10 had been put to bed, you know, the paperwork
- 11 would be retained for six or seven years in the
- 12 ex-postmaster's papers and I guess it would have
- 13 been possible for someone looking at the
- 14 criminal prosecution to call those papers in and
- 15 look at them but I wouldn't have been alerted to
- 16 that fact, had it happened.
- 17 Q. The final topic I have is Second Sight. You say
- in your statement that you had no involvement
- 19 with Second Sight.
- 20 A. Yeah
- 21 Q. You've subsequently seen some documentation.
- 22 Does that assist you at all?
- 23 A. I've seen documentation where I was copied in to
- either an email or a document that was being
- 25 developed to inform the response to Second

9

- 1 the Second Sight Part Two report. Why might you
- 2 have been included in that email distribution
- 3 list
- 4 A. Why would I have been?
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. Because someone believed I needed to see it. If
- 7 they were asking for a specific comment, I think
- 8 that would be different.
- 9 **Q.** Do you know why you in your particular role at
- 10 that particular time may have been included in
- 11 the distribution list. Looking at the names
- there, for example, what is it that you might
- have added or why you might have needed to see
- 14 that?
- 15 A. I think it was -- as I said earlier, it was
- quite common for me to be copied in to email
- 17 chains for anything pertaining to postmasters,
- as a sort of comfort blanket, you know, in case
- 19 I could read it and think of something where it
- added value to the conversation in the email.
- Q. Do you recall reading the Second Sight Part Tworeport?
- 23 **A.** No.
- 24 Q. There are other emails, two other emails, I can
- 25 give the references, I won't bring them up on

- 1 screen: POL00021883 and POL00022240. Again,
- 2 you're part of a small distribution list --
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. -- that is addressing the Second Sight Part Two
- 5 report?
- 6 **A.** Mm.
- 7 Q. Is it -- it's not something that you recall
- 8 discussing with anybody at the time?
- 9 A. Not really, no. Not at all.
- 10 Q. I mean, looking at the names, were they people
- 11 who you had regular conversations with?
- 12 A. The whole Second Sight thing, I think it was
- intended to be managed in a silo by Legal
- 14 Services. Obviously, I had been copied in to
- email chains but it's something that I could add
- 16 very little value to. So I didn't take a huge
- 17 interest in what was going on.
- 18 Q. Who in particular? You say Legal Services.
- 19 A. I think the General Counsel, Susan Crichton, who
- 20 I think was instructed to appoint Second Sight.
- 21 Generally, some fairly senior lawyers were
- 22 involved in that.
- 23 Q. The Second Sight report contained some aspects
- that were critical about the Horizon system.
- 25 A. It did.

- 1 leaves the company quite rapidly, clearly there
- 2 is a reason for that.
- 3 Q. Were there any discussions you had in that
- 4 regard?
- 5 A. No, that wouldn't have been at my level. I'm
- 6 referring to anecdotes within the organisation
- 7 that I overheard.
- 8  $\,$  **Q.** You've said that there was unhappiness about
- 9 that Second Sight report?
- 10 **A.** Mm
- 11 Q. Was that from her or directed towards her?
- 12 A. I think it was the latter.
- 13 Q. Who would be directing it towards her?
- 14 A. Probably people at ExCo level.
- 15 Q. Can you assist us with that?
- 16 A. I think it may have been Paula Vennells.
- 17 Q. Is that through any particular knowledge, any
- 18 particular discussions with anybody? How is it
- 19 that you reached that conclusion?
- 20 A. Overhearing anecdotes and conversations
- 21 internally, some time after the fact.
- 22 **Q.** Can you give us an approximate time for those?
- 23 A. Well, from -- it's difficult to approximate
- 24 when
- 25 **Q.** We know you left in 2018, so presumably it was 103

1 Q. This is 2014. We started today with that 2018

2 interview with the solicitors where, you'll

- 3 recall, Horizon's a calculator, no problems,
- 4 et cetera, et cetera?
- 5 **A.** Mm.
- 6 Q. Is it slightly strange that it didn't feature
- 7 more prominently in discussions with you and
- 8 those individuals?
- 9 A. I think there was a narrative that was beginning
- 10 to develop in the company that was quite
- 11 dismissive and unhappy with some of the findings
- 12 that the Second Sight had arrived at and it's
- 13 not really what they wanted.
- 14 Q. Who, in particular?
- 15 A. I think that, around that point, the General
- 16 Counsel left the company quite quickly, Susan
- 17 Crichton.
- 18 Q. Other than what you've read in the media
- 19 subsequently, is there anything that you knew at
- 20 that time or know now as to the reasons for her
- 21 departure?
- 22 A. I think internally there was a -- sometime
- 23 after, there was a feeling that she felt that
- she was being blamed for the developments with
- 25 Second Sight. I mean, when General Counsel 102

1 in between 2014 and 2018?

2 A. Correct.

14

- 3 MR BLAKE: Thank you.
- 4 Sir, I don't have any further questions.
- 5 I know Mr Stein has a small number of questions.
- 6 Do you, sir, have any questions before Mr Stein?
- 7 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Can you hear me, Mr Blake?
- 8 MR BLAKE: I can, yes.
- 9 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, because there's a glitch on
- 10 the IT on my side of this. I can't mute or
- 11 unmute myself. Anyway, I will remain on unmute.
- 12 I haven't got any questions, no, thank you.
- 13 MR BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Mr Stein?

## Questioned by MR STEIN

- 15 MR STEIN: Mr Inwood, my name is Sam Stein
- 16 I represent a large number of subpostmasters and17 subpostmistresses.
- Just that last point, you've been discussing
- 19 with Mr Blake about Second Sight and the Second
- 20 Sight report. Your answer to him a few minutes
- ago was that, in agreement with him, was that
- the Second Sight report raised concerns, and
- your answer to him was "It did".
- Now, help us understand a little bit more about your evidence about this.

- 1 **A.** Mm.
- 2 Q. You were aware of the contents of the Second
- 3 Sight report when it came out?
- 4 A. I don't believe that I ever read it.
- 5 Q. So is this in the same way that you've discussed
- 6 with Mr Blake the fact that there was discussion
- 7 within the company about such things?
- 8 A. There was corridor talk --
- 9 Q. Corridor talk?
- 10 A. -- around the fact that the company were not too
- 11 happy with the way it was going.
- 12 Q. Well, the Second Sight report did set out that
- 13 there had been defects and difficulties within
- the Horizon system, that those had been noted,
- and that there were problems, to a certain
- 16 extent, based upon the information they had at
- 17 the time. So the Second Sight report seems to
- 18 have been discussed amongst people in the
- 19 corridors, as you say, with knowledge that it
- 20 was showing some defects in the system; is that
- 21 fair?
- 22 A. I believe so, yeah.
- 23 Q. Right. The reason why I ask you that is that at
- 24 paragraph 98 of your statement -- and, sir, the
- 25 statement is WITN05780100, paragraph 98, page 17 105
- 1 the Horizon system.
- 2 Q. Well, let's read onwards.
- 3 "That aside I was not aware of any concerns
- 4 regarding the robustness of the Horizon system.
- 5 I had used the system myself on occasions, over
- 6 a long period of time, and it had appeared to
- 7 work well. I had not seen any evidence that it
  - was not working well. I had heard of a campaign
- 9 by the Justice for Subpostmasters group, however
- 10 we were assured internally that the system was
- 11 sound."
- 12 **A.** Mm

- 13 Q. Well, you had had concerns brought to your
- 14 attention in corridor discussions --
- 15 A. Well, they weren't brought --
- 16 Q. -- relayed in relation to and in discussion in
- 17 relation to --
- 18 A. Yeah, they weren't --
- 19 Q. -- the second Sight report?
- 20 A. Yeah, but I'm referring to anecdotes internally
- 21 regarding some disquiet about Second Sight,
- 22 generally. I'd not uncovered any specific
- 23 examples that demonstrated to me that there were

107

- 24 flaws in the system.
- 25 Q. Well, let's just nail this down. Why did you

- 1 of 28 -- that you talk about, during the final
- 2 year of your service:
- 3 "... I had heard that it was possible for
  - Fujitsu engineers to gain 'backdoor' access into
- 5 the system without the knowledge of
- 6 subpostmasters."
- 7 **A.** Mm.

4

- 8 Q. You then go on to say:
- 9 "That did give me some cause for concern as
- 10 I had previously heard a rebuttal to this
- 11 internally."
- 12 **A.** Mm.
- 13 Q. Next sentence:
- 14 "That aside I was not aware of any concerns
- 15 regarding the robustness of the Horizon system."
- Now, your last year of service, unless I've
- 17 got this wrong, was 2018; is that right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. So the sentence there that says, "That
- 20 aside I was not aware of any concerns regarding
- 21 the robustness of the Horizon system", is not
- 22 true, is it?
- 23 A. Not from postmasters. When I completed that
- 24 statement I was thinking in terms of specific
- 25 examples from postmasters, regarding flaws in 106
- 1 say at paragraph 98 that you were not aware of
- 2 any concerns regarding the robustness of the
- 3 Horizon system?
- 4 A. Yeah, well --
- 5 Q. When, in fact, you knew, going back in time to
- 6 the Second Sight report, in corridor
- 7 discussions, that it was raising concerns?
- 8 A. I think people were being dismissive about the
- 9 findings of Second Sight and narrative
- 10 internally was that, you know, these are
- 11 forensic accountants not lawyers. How could
- they have concerns with regards to the fairness
- of the contracts? You know, I'm talking -- in
- 14 the statement I'm talking about the fact that
- 15 I wasn't aware that there were specific examples
- bought to my attention directly regarding the
- 17 integrity of the system.
- 18 **Q.** Well, Mr Inwood, what it says, "I was not aware
- 19 of any concerns" --
- 20 A. Yeah, I understand of that.
- 21 Q. -- when concerns are being raised.
- 22 A. Well, let me elaborate --
- 23 Q. Well, why didn't you actually get it right in
- 24 your statement and actually say "I was aware of
- 25 problems in the Second Sight Report but, in

- fact, I was told by X or Y to ignore it"? 1
- 2 A. Well, I've made it clear to you now.
- 3 Q. You've also mentioned in your evidence about --
- 4 you said something about the Tier 1 helpline --
- 5 A. Mm
- 6 Q. -- and you described it in this way, that -- you
- 7 were discussing with Mr Blake the fact that the
- 8 helpline didn't seem to have much by way of
- 9 operational discretion and you spoke about
- 10 sticking to scripts.
- 11 Α. Mm.
- Okay. Now, help us, please, understand, how 12 Q.
- 13 many tiers operated in the helpline?
- A. I don't know. I wasn't directly involved in 14
- that area of the business. 15
- 16 Well, we know there's Tier 1, that seems to Q.
- 17 suppose there's more than one tier?
- 18 Yeah, but you asked me how many and I'm not Α.
- 19 aware of that.
- 20 Q. Well, all right, what did the other tiers, other
- 21 than Tier 1, do?
- 22 A. I don't know. I wasn't directly involved in
- 23 that part of the business. Refer -- Tier 1
- 24 would refer issues that they could not deal
- 25 with --

- 1 that particular sentence is being written down 2 perhaps as it's said conversationally, then your
- 3 answer:
- 4 "I agree entirely and if we did do that it
- 5 would be entirely inconsistent with our values
- 6 as an organisation and I do not believe that any
- 7 individual or individuals would do that in this
- 8 organisation."
- 9 A. Mm.
- 10 Q. Okay. So help us understand what you're saying
- 11 here. Are you saying there that you felt that
- the organisational values were not to keep back 12
- 13 or hold back information about the operation of
- 14 the Horizon system errors, bugs and problems?
- A. I think it -- generally the values of the 15
- 16 organisation would be to be open, transparent
- 17 and honest at that point in time.
- What point in time are you talking about? 18
- At the point in time that I made that statement, 19
- 20 which is 2018 --
- What the year before? Completely dishonest and 21
- 22 wouldn't trust them an inch?
- 23 A. No, at that point in time and before that.
- 24 So you're saying, generally, that's your view of
- 25 the system?

Q. To? 1

- 2 A. -- up the chain of command to Tier 2, and
- beyond. If there was a beyond. 3
- Q. Right. So you didn't have any information 4
- about, what, maybe Tier 2, 3, 4, 5? 5
- 6 A. That wasn't a sphere of the business that I had
- 7 any involvement or oversight with, no. And it
- 8 would change from time to time in business
- 9 reorganisation, so no.
- 10 Q. Okay. Can we have on the screen, please, POL --
- 11 this a document we looked at earlier --
- 12 POL00006666, page 46, please. Roughly the
- 13 middle of the page, where we see a "VB: 64.8"
- 14 right. So if you could highlight, please --
- 15 thank you very much.
- 16 You see where it's just been kindly
- 17 highlighted at "VB: 64.8", now this is the
- discussion that you had. It's saying this: 18
- 19 "... is Post Office should communicate or
- 20 alternatively not conceal known problems, bugs
- 21 or errors in or generated by Horizon that might
- 22 have financial and other resulting implications
- 23 for claimants?"
- 24 A. Mm.
- 25 Q. Okay, right. Now, with all due acceptance that

- Of the organisation.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Now, the bottom of the page, please, "64.10",
- 5 I think it is. Right, here we go. Yes. So
- 6 again, highlight, please, "VB: 64.10". Thank
- 7 you very much. This is a reference:
- 8 "... not to conceal from claimants Post
- 9 Office's ability to alter remotely data or
- 10 transactions upon which the calculation of the 11 branch accounts and any discrepancy or alleged
- 12 shortfall is depended. I am not sure whether
- 13 you would comment on that or are not sure about
- 14 that?"

15

- Then your answer:
- 16 "I am aware why this has been put in there
- 17 but I cannot comment on whether we do have the
- 18 ability to do that or not. I suspect it goes
- 19 back to a rogue individual in Fujitsu saying
- 20 that they could [not] manipulate and
- 21 individual's agents' accounts I do not know
- 22 whether this is true or not."
- 23 Okay?
- 24
- 25 So we've got two parts of the same page, one you

- 1 saying you think that the ethos of the Post 2 Office is good, and that, no doubt, bugs and 3 errors, if found, would be discussed and, 4 secondly, regarding altering the system, you 5 didn't know about any ability to do that, other 6 than some bloke or some person in Fujitsu? 7 A. At that point in time. 8 Q. At that point in time, okay? 9 A. Yeah. 10 Q. Then your statement, just as a reminder, you say this, the paragraph we've looked at: 11 12 13
- "During the final year of my service, I had heard that it was possible for Fujitsu engineers 14 to gain 'backdoor' access into the system 15 without the knowledge of subpostmasters. That 16 did give me some cause for concern as I had 17 previously heard a rebuttal to that internally."
- 18 A. Mm.
- 19 Q. Okay, let's add two things together. This 20 possibility that you talk about in your 21 statement for Fujitsu engineers to gain backdoor 22 access to the system without the knowledge of 23 subpostmasters, where did you get that idea 24 from, that you talk about in paragraph 98?
- 25 Α. It's something that I heard after the witness
- 1 Mismatch issue notes", as you'll see in the 2 middle. 3 MR BLAKE: Mr Stein, sorry to interrupt but is this
- 4 a document that was in the Rule 10 request? 5 MR STEIN: No, it arises out of the answers that the 6 witness has given.
- 7 MR BLAKE: If Mr Inwood hasn't seen it, I think he 8 should have an opportunity to see the entire 9 document. It may be we can do all of that 10 before lunch but I do ask.
- 11 Sir -- I mean, perhaps we can --
- SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, I'm -- this is all very 12 13 interesting but the truth is that we now know 14 absolutely that it was possible for Fujitsu to 15 access the system remotely and I'm not quite 16 sure that exploring this with the witness for 17 whom this must have been peripheral in the 18 extreme during the course of his work, is going 19 to get me very far, Mr Stein.
- 20 MR STEIN: Can I try and shorten it then and put it 21 in two different ways other than the document? 22 Okay?
- 23 Can we take the document down.
- 24 Mr Inwood, in 2010, the document I was about 25 to show you, if you had been aware of such

- 1 proofing statement. So in the first quarter of
- 2 2018, I think --
- 3 Q. Right.
- 4 A. -- after I made the statement.
- 5 Q. Right. You go on to say:
- 6 "That did give me some cause for concern as 7 I had previously heard a rebuttal for this 8 internally."
- 9 Who did you hear the rebuttal from?
- 10 A. It was a rumour internally that a senior manager 11 had rebuked someone else for suggesting that it
- was possible for Fujitsu engineers to access the 12
- 13 system remotely. 14 Q. Forgive me for interrupting, Mr Inwood, the
- 15 senior manager being --
- 16 Alwen Lyons, the company secretary.
- 17 Q. Say it again?
- 18 Alwen Lyons, the company secretary.
- 19 Q. Right. Okay. Now, I'm going to take you to 20 another document, please, which is FUJ00081584.
- 21 I don't believe you've had this before but it
- 22 relates to the evidence you've given on these
- 23 two matters. Can we just go through who we've
- 24 got here. This document is a 2010 document,
- 25 okay, and it relates to "Receipts/Payments
- 1 issues, was discussing what's called a mismatch
- 2 bug. It's a bug that affected branches. It
- 3 infiltrated a branch to the extent that you
- 4 couldn't tell that there was something gone
- 5 wrong but, in fact, there was a shortfall, okay?
- 6 So completely submarine-like for subpostmasters.
- 7 Were you, in your terms of employment, made
- 8 aware of any such bug that could have
- 9 a devastating effect upon Post Office branches.
- 10 A. No.
- Q. No. Secondly, the document goes on at the very 11 12
- end to talk about the fact that the Fujitsu can
- 13 alter branch accounts --
- 14 A. Mm
- 15 Q. -- through a back door. This is in 2010. Were 16 you aware of that?
- 17 **A.** No, not in 2010.
- 18 Q. Do you think you should have been told about such issues? 19
- 20 A. I think anyone that was managing contractual
- 21 issues with a postmaster should have been aware
- 22 of that, yeah, because it introduces the
- 23 possibility that the evidence that they're using
- 24 to determine a contract is unsafe.
- 25 Q. The fact that a bug, a mismatch bug, that

1		operates in the way I've described in 2010	1	MF	R BLAKE: Thank you very much, sir.
2		rather undermines what you said about there	2	(12	2.50 pm)
3		being a good ethos of openness about bugs and	3		(The Short Adjournment)
4		errors within the Post Office, doesn't it?	4	(1.	50 pm)
5	A.	Well, yeah, the flaws in software bugs, backdoor	5	MS	S PRICE: Good afternoon, sir, can you see and hear
6		access to the system, should not be a secret in	6		us?
7		the company because it as I've just said, it	7	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: I can, thank you.
8		introduces the possibility that contracts were	8	MS	S PRICE: May we please call Mr Pegler.
9		being determined or worse, based on the possibly	9	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: In a moment, I just wanted to put
10		unsafe evidence. It's difficult to see how	10		on record the fact that, due to my concern that
11		anyone could arrive at any other conclusion than	11		my IT system was breaking down just before
12		that.	12		lunch, I omitted to thank Mr Inwood for his
13	MR	STEIN: Sir, no further questions.	13		witness statement and for his oral evidence.
14	SIR	NYN WILLIAMS: Thank you.	14		I would like to put on record my thanks to
15	MR	<b>BLAKE:</b> Thank you very much, sir, there are no	15		him for providing the statement and oral
16		further questions.	16		evidence.
17	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Right. So how are we looking, in	17		Now you can call the witness, Ms Price.
18		respect of this afternoon, Mr Blake?	18	MS	S PRICE: Thank you, sir.
19	MR	BLAKE: We're absolutely fine. This afternoon's	19		THOMAS ABRAHAM PEGLER (sworn)
20		witness will not be particularly long, perhaps	20		Questioned by MS PRICE
21		an hour, so perhaps if we could come back in one	21	MS	S PRICE: Could you confirm your full name, please,
22		hour's time to 1.50.	22		Mr Pegler.
23	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, that's fine.	23	A.	Yeah, it's Thomas Abraham Pegler.
24		Hopefully, I'll be able to solve the mystery	24		You should have in front of you a hard copy
25		of why I can't mute myself any more. Thank you.	25		witness statement in your name, dated 12 May
		117			118
1		2023; do you have that?	1		right?
2	A.	Yes.	2	A.	That's correct.
3	Q.	If you turn to page 20 of that statement,	3	Q.	Initially you joined as a postman?
4		please?	4	A.	Yes.
5	A.	Yes.	5	Q.	You became a counter clerk in 1986
6	Q.	Do you have a copy with a visible signature?	6	A.	Yes.
7	A.	I do and it's my signature.	7	Q.	and a Supply Chain Manager in 1986.
8	Q.	Are the contents of that statement true to the	8		Apologies, I think we must have the wrong date
9		best of your knowledge and belief?	9		there. Forgive me.
10	A.	Yes.	10		You moved across to Counters as a counter
11	Q.	For the purposes of the transcript, the URN is	11		clerk in 1986?
12		WITN08980100. Thank you for coming to the	12	A.	That's correct, yes.
13		Inquiry to assist it with its work and for	13	Q.	You were subsequently promoted to assistant and
14		providing the witness statement that you have.	14		then branch manager
15		As you know, I will be asking questions on	15	A.	Yes.
16		behalf of the Inquiry.	16	Q.	and an ECCO+ Implementation Manager. Could
17		Today I'm going to be asking you about	17		you please explain what ECCO+ was?
18		issues which arise in Phase 4 of the Inquiry,	18	A.	Yeah, ECCO+ was a forerunner to the Horizon
19		focusing on the policy, procedure and practice	19		system, employed solely in Crown Offices. It
20		of the Post Office, in relation to the action	20		wasn't networked, it was a standalone, but every
21		taken by the Post Office against Post Office	21		counter position that a terminal and I think
22		employees, following discovery of apparent	22		there was a back office processor and, if
23		shortfalls in branch accounts.	23		I remember correctly, it was operated via

25

24

25

You were with the Post Office for 31 years,

119

I think, after you joined in 1984; is that

a 3.5-inch floppy disks, which went to Product

and Branch Accounting weekly in Chesterfield,

- 1 which contained all of the branch's accounts.
- 2 Q. What was your role in relation to this system?
- 3 A. It was basically there as a support to branch
- 4 managers and their staff when ECCO was
- 5 implemented, which basically ran -- two weeks
- 6 before the office, I would take delivery, run
- 7 diagnostics, be in branch while they performed
- 8 their final written manual cash account, having
- 9 already installed all the positions, and then
- 10 I'd be there for a week until they completed
- 11 their first electronic balance, and there as
- 12 a support and trainer, and then move on to the
- 13 next branch.
- 14 Q. What were the differences between the ECCO+
- 15 system deployed in Crown Offices before Horizon
- 16 was introduced? What was the difference between
- 17 the ECCO+ system and the Horizon system?
- 18 A. The main difference, I think, were better
- 19 hardware with touchscreens, which we didn't
- 20 have, so a difference in the keyboard,
- 21 a difference in the hardware, the fact that it
- 22 was all networked as well, and -- down the line,
- 23 meant there was no need for floppy disks.
- 24 Q. Can you recall when Horizon was rolled out in
- 25 Crown Offices?

- 1 sales.
- 2 Q. The Crown Network covered Crown Office branches,
- 3 otherwise known as directly managed branches; is
- 4 that right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. Those who worked in Crown Office branches were
- 7 employed under contracts of employment by the
- 8 Post Office, weren't they?
- 9 A. They were, yes.
- 10 Q. In contrast to subpostmasters, who were not
- 11 employed by the Post Office but were agents and
- 12 operated branches pursuant to a contract for
- 13 services?
- 14 A. Correct, yes.
- 15 Q. Were you ever involved in the operation of
- 16 branches outside of the Crown Network?
- 17 A. Not really. When the business decision was to
- 18 look at the Crown Office and how profitable they
- 19 were, one of the options was franchising, so
- 20 I did become involved in supporting the
- 21 franchises as they occurred, which often meant
- 22 being on site when an office was closing or
- 23 converting to a franchise and then being there
- as a bit of a support for the franchise office
- 25 as it started running.

123

- 1 A. It must have been front ended. There was
- 2 probably, I think there was a Thames Valley
- 3 project of sorts, so it could well have been the
- 4 late '90s, early 2000s.
- 5 Q. You were seconded, I think, to the head office
- 6 project team in 1997; is that right?
- 7 A. That's correct, yes.
- 8  $\,$  **Q.** What was the focus of your work when you were
- 9 part of this team?
- 10 A. The focus of that was mainly an organisation
- 11 review, looking at all aspects of the business
- 12 from territorial, regional and Head Office and
- back office support as well. So I was primarily
- 14 involved as a support to the very senior
- 15 managers on that team and a librarian collating
- 16 all documentation about how the business was
- 17 run
- 18 Q. You were a Head Office based Crown Service and
- 19 Efficiency Manager from around 1998; is that
- 20 right?
- 21 A. That's correct, yes.
- 22 Q. You held roles which focused solely on the
- 23 operation of the Crown Network from this point
- 24 until you left the Post Office in 2015?
- 25 A. Yes, all aspects of operational work other than

122

- 1 This could have been an independent
- 2 franchise or a company franchise, for example
- 3 WHSmiths, but as time went on, there was more
- 4 bespoke support for how franchise offices were
- 5 brought in.
- 6 Q. Turning, please, to Post Office losses and gains
- 7 policy, you say in your statement to the Inquiry
- 8 that Crown net losses had always been an area of
- 9 concern over the years when you worked for the
- 10 Post Office; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes, yes. It was seen as a manageable line on
- 12 a P&L
- 13 Q. Could we have on screen, please, POL00083982.
- 14 This is a document entitled "Losses in the Crown
- 15 Network". It appears from its contents to date
- to late 2007 or early 2008; does that sound
- 17 about right?
- 18 A. It sounds about right, yes.
- 19 **Q.** You say in your statement that you remember
- 20 assisting your manager pulling some of the data
- 21 together for this document?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. Under "Background", this document explains as
- 24 follows:
- 25 "There has been considerable concern in the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Crown Network due to the recent trend in counter losses and Postshop shrinkage, together totalling 2.5m for all Crown Offices."

Can you just explain for us what Postshop shrinkage was?

- Α. Yeah, sure. When the business wanted to get into the retail area, there were very few Postshops, which were front of house, a retail area not behind screens, and shrinkage was 10 effectively stock going missing, either coming in or going out from the suppliers; stock going 11 12 out the front door from loss and theft; 13 accounting errors; basically any -- anything 14 where there's deemed to be a loss in the retail 15 stock side of the Postshop.
- Q. There is a table below setting out net losses, 16 17 reported for offices remaining in the Crown 18 Network and there is a number given for that, 19 373 offices.
- 20 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21 **Q.** We can see the counter loss stood at 22 1.393 million in 2003, stayed at similar levels 23 in 2004 and 2005, and then went up by 24 53 per cent to 2.048 million in 2006, and up 25 25 per cent on that in 2007, with a figure of 125

"In 1999", it says this:

"In 1999 a loss policy was developed to advise on the controls required at the Post Office Counter, following the introduction of 'multi-user' tills in the Crown Office Network. Guidance was provided to improve security of stock, cash and equipment and user log on IDs.

"Branch Manager responsibilities were also defined and clear instructions given to improve awareness of loss performance at branch and individual level. The need for balance and supervisory surprise (Snap) stock checks were reiterated as well as capturing loss and gains data in order to deploy the escalation process agreed at the time, ie '3 in 3 losses over £20', '6 in 6 losses over £20' and '9 in 9 losses over £20' resulting in interviews with staff.

"However, despite the introduction of a national policy document it was consistently deployed due to a number of factors."

A number of reasons are then set out for that and the conclusion is set out over the page, please, about a third of the way down the page, and it says:

"Due to the recent poor loss performance and 127

1 1.740 million. Pausing there, was there any 2 discussion at this time of the possible reasons 3 for the losses in the Crown Office Network?

A. From what I remember, the biggest impact and focus on the figures was that the business wanted to move to a retail base and a financial specialist base, and I'm not sure when we partnered with the Bank of Ireland but a lot of managers were coming in externally and wouldn't necessarily know the operational processes in order to manage the counter.

Now, simplistically and from my point of view, when I went to training (a) we were told don't think of cash as cash, it's just an item of stock; and (b) always take the money first. And simplistically, my belief, and the belief of people in the team, was that the basics of conducting a transaction, taking the money or the payment was being missed off.

That's a very simplistic view to take, I know, but the culture of the business was trying to turn to get more profit and get back to profitability from financial product sales.

Q. This document assists with the policy which was in place from 1999, so about halfway down there,

trend over the recent years, it has been decided that action should be taken to address future losses in the Crown Office Network."

You refer in your statement at paragraph 18 to the silo way of working in the '80s and '90s. Can you just explain what you meant by that?

Yeah. I mean, early on when I joined, departments were very, sort of, insular and they kept to themselves and there didn't seem to be much collaboration around at the time, and I think I said in my statement, we got better at that. Obviously, when I went to Head Office in the '90s, the view at head office differed around the Retail Line, and when I say the Retail Line, I mean directly managed branches like Crown, sub offices and franchises.

My view is that we were there to support the frontline. So branch managers and subpostmasters had a big enough job, if you factor in the customer care elements. So we were very much as a support. And the business developed that further. By the time, I'd left they had sort of pipeline initiatives, where we were looking at if we did this at Head Office, how would it impact the Retail Line, and looking

- at timing of events and things like that, which would never have happened in the '80s or '90s.
- Q. Was this silo way of working something which the
   review happening at this time, in 2008, was
   trying to address?
- 6 A. When you say the review, was it the --

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 7 Q. So this document here is discussing actions 8 which should be taken, and we'll come on to the 9 policy document dated 2008, which appears to 10 result from this review and this consideration given in this document. I'm asking, in relation 11 12 to the silo way of working, whether that was 13 something that this review, and then the new 14 policy in September 2008, was intended to 15 address?
  - A. I think it was addressed before then, probably in pre-reviews, like sales and service reviews, SCS reviews, probably from the late '90s onwards, but I think this review was really instigated around the actual loss performance. But, at the same time, trying to make the management of losses and gains seen as a potential training, could be a training issue, not just focused purely on the punitive side of things.

129

which introduces the policy and sets out its purpose. It reads as follows:

"The impact of losses in the Crown Office Estate is having a serious effect on our ability to deliver the three2eleven plan to bring us back into profitability by 2011. This Policy has been redesigned to provide clear and consistent guidance to the Crown Office Managers and their Assistants as to their responsibilities for the recording, maintenance and monitoring of losses and gains. The Policy will also provide Crown Office Managers with a tool to be able to effectively manage losses and gains and to take appropriate measures to reduce losses. It also reiterates the security controls required to protect both the business assets as well as the individuals themselves. Finally, for the first time the Policy details a commitment to provide training and support to all Crown Office Managers in how to deploy the Policy and deliver on their responsibilities."

Going over the page, please, Section 3 covers the "Annual Certificate of Compliance". Could you explain briefly, please, what this was?

1 **Q.** A new mandatory losses and gains policy in the

2 Crown Office Network was introduced in September

2008. Could we have that on screen, please.
It's POL00084075. You address this document at

5 paragraph 4 of your witness statement to the

6 Inquiry and you say you recall reviewing this

7 document at the time.

8 **A.** Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

9 Q. Can you just explain what you mean by reviewing?

10 A. Yeah, there was a document in place and I can't

11 remember, it would have been a similar title,

12 because when I first went to Head Office, there

13 was a document that covered the management of

14 losses and gains and, periodically, I was asked

in my position to review that document as other

16 impacts, for example, shared tills, Horizon

17 coming in would impact this.

So the 2008 review, I think was more structured, in that we had more input from other stakeholders around the business, and I particularly remember working closely with Finance because it was all around getting ownership for branch managers on their P&L and score cards.

25 **Q.** Could we go, please, to page 3 of this document, 130

- A. Yeah, it was a certificate that branch managers
- 2 signed off yearly to say that all of the
- 3 compliance elements of running a Post Office --
- 4 and that could be security, the management of
- 5 visitors to a branch, fire extinguishers, the
- 6 evacuation policy, contingency planning -- was
- 7 all signed off. So branch managers had a duty
- 8 to sign that off annually, staggered throughout
- 9 the year for the branches, and then it was
- 10 posted centrally.
- 11 Q. Then about halfway down this page, Section 4, we
- 12 have "Supervisory Surprise Checks & Misbalance
- 13 Checks". What was the difference between
- 14 supervisory surprise checks and misbalance
- 15 checks?
- 16 A. Branch managers, from when I remembered, always
- 17 had a duty to perform these checks. Not sure
- 18 that they went on as declared in the policy, as
- on a regular basis as they I did prior to any
- 20 automation, but it was basically an element of
- 21 surprise to say, "Ah, Geoff, I'm going to check
- your counter stock today", not necessarily after
- 23 balance, but it could be on any day of the week
- 24 which involved a branch manager, effectively,
- 25 just doing a surprise random check, but the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

23

24

25

A. Very vaguely. I think for Crowns it meant

moving to a branch trading calendar, which could

135

be a four or five-week period but what we did,

branch manager would record that and then the 1 prior to the check and previous week's full 2 Area Manager or above, when they visited the Balance Snapshot or Branch Trading Statement, branch, would ask to see their record of these 3 daily prints and all vouchers on hand; checks. 4 "Obtain the following printouts from the On the other hand, a misbalance check, 5 Horizon system I think when I was involved in this £30 was the 6 "office snapshot, if multi-user stock is in set criteria. So what we said, a second pair of 7 operation 8 "stock unit snapshot for each stock, if eyes, be it the assistant or the manager or 9 another person in the branch, should physically individual stock balancing is used 10 check the cash and stock before it is moved on 10 "suspense account summary." or used again. Because, sometimes, a second 11 Then: 11 12 pair of eyes could help find things. 12 "Reconcile stock to the snapshot printout; 13 Q. 13 "Reconcile daily prints and vouchers on hand Going over the page, please, page 5., that is in 14 this document, about halfway down the page, we 14 to the snapshot print; 15 have, in bold and underlined: 15 "Reconcile non-value items (MVLs, bus 16 "The followed steps are carried out when 16 passes, etc): 17 undertaking a financial audit at a Crown Office 17 "Inform colleague(s) of the result of the 18 and are recommended for the Crown Managers to 18 check." 19 adopt when performing a stock check." 19 Then there is some further explanation in 20 The bullet points there of steps to be taken 20 the paragraph below: 21 21 include: "The basis of control is that there is 22 "Confirm the location of all cash and stock 22 an awareness of the levels of discrepancies in 23 and ascertain if the stock is an individual or 23 conjunction with any actions necessary to implement improvements, protect our people, 24 multi-user stock: 24 25 "Obtain cash declaration print for the night 25 where it highlighted poor performance, including 133 134 bringing disciplinary procedures to bear where 1 we took it in the Crown Network that we would applicable." 2 still prepare a balance weekly, which would be Then finally on this page: 3 a balance period, so, effectively, where this "The Crown Office Manager has responsible 4 happened on a Wednesday night we'd still do for ensuring the approved systems for 5 that, but the main accounts would be posted controlling losses and gains are adopted and 6 monthly, whereas I think in other parts of the implemented." 7 Network, for example sub offices, possibly franchises or modified scale payment offices, Over the page, please, Section 5, towards 8 the bottom of the page. This addresses "Branch 9 they effectively did their balances once 10 Trading" and explains: 10 a month. But in Crowns we decided we'd still do 11 "Branch Trading should be undertaken in 11 a weekly balance, which would effectively 12 accordance with the latest Branch Trading 12 declare fully the cash and stock on hand. 13 booklets, which include details of balancing 13 Q. Going over the page, please, the checks which 14 stock units, production of the Branch Trading 14 should be conducted before posting losses or 15 Statement, production of reports and despatch of 15 gains are set out. Scrolling a bit further 16 16 down, we can see that transaction corrections documents. 17 17 "Following the process below prior to Branch are addressed. 18 Trading will help to ensure that only true 18 Over the page again, please, to Section 6. 19 losses and gains are posted to Profit and Loss 19 This deals with "Counter Loss & Gains Management Reporting". In summary, this section sets out 20 at Branch Trading." 20 21 Do you recall the introduction of branch 21 the different steps to be taken for different 22 trading? 22 levels of losses, doesn't it?

23

24

25

A. Yes.

Q. So the first level of loss being £5 to £249.99,

then losses over £250, and then a bit further

down the page to the bottom, losses over £2,000. Going, please, to page 11 of this document, this addressed the loss escalation process and trigger points. Could you please explain what the loss escalation process was? A. Yes, this had been around for many years and anything to do with losses and gains was subject to national discussion with the CWU Union and the CMA Union for managers. Effectively, in the early days, and I think I said in my statement, it was part of the personnel rules and regulations of anyone working in the Post Office, and it had developed over the years, so that if a trigger point was triggered, that individual or the people using that multi-user stock would enter in stage 1 and, basically, it would have been a watching brief for the manager to keep an eye on performance of the loss record, because they could actually end up balancing fine after that and then they would go back on to start from scratch, or if further losses were encountered, that it could be triggered up to stage 2 and 3 above. So I think the first stage there is quoting three losses of £30 or more in a reference

Plan agreed and notes taken and signed. Notice given to colleagues that they will switch to individual balancing by stage 3 if improvement is not forthcoming and switch is not appropriate at this stage 2."

Then, finally in this section, stage 3, further 3 losses of £30 or more, over the period of three months following the stage 2 interview:

"3rd Informal Interview [is the action with]
Crown Office Manager -- colleagues moved on to individual stocks. Action Plan agreed and notes taken and signed."

What was the reason for moving someone on to individual stocks?

A. I think the key thing is if you have an individual stock as we operated earlier on, that was your cash and stock, it was your own responsibility. There would be no other people using that stock. So, in agreement, what we decided was that you couldn't take formal action where a multi-user stock was being used. Unless, for example, if you knew that somebody was serving and a major transaction came in for, say, £2,000 premium bonds and you forgot to take the money, you knew that that individual had

period, and sitting behind this for the branch
 manager, I think was a sheet, an Excel-based
 spreadsheet, where they could keep track on all
 of this.

Q. We see here that this table loss escalation process sets out the various stages and we start with the multi-user stocks, and stage 1, as you've just referred to, the performance which triggers action, and the action for stage 1 is:

"Informal interview [with a] Crown Office Manager -- with colleagues, identified as having access to the stocks that have incurred losses, to raise awareness of their performance and to reiterate the Loss Escalation Process. Action Plans should be agreed and notes taken and signed. Consideration given to a switch to individual balancing if appropriate at this stage."

We then have stage 2, a further three losses of £30 or more, over the period of three months following the stage 1 interview, and the action at that point is:

"2nd informal interview [with a] Crown
Office Manager -- to review performance and
agree the level of support required. Action
138

caused that mistake.

So it was seen very much that on the punitive side of things, obviously dealing with the training first, if we had to take formal action, it could only be taken where that individual had sole responsibility for cash and stock.

8 Q. We then in the table have individual stocks and
9 stage 1 of that, when someone is on individual
10 stocks:

"3 losses of £30 or moreover a period of 3 months."

The action is:

"Informal interview ... to raise awareness of loss performance, agree the level of support required and to reiterate the Loss Escalation Process. Action plan with notes signed."

Then we see number of stages that follow. Stage 2, further losses. There's another informal interview.

Stage 3, we have formal interview, and a number of steps taken out there, including notification that all future losses of £5 or more will be taken into account. Again, action plan with notes signed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

Stage 4, at this point you have a further four losses of £5 or more, over the period of six months, following the stage 3 interview. Here the action is formal interview with the Crown Office manager to review performance and agree the level of support required and to reiterate the loss escalation process, reiterate the possible consequences of reaching L&G escalation stage 5.

Stage 5, further three losses of £5 or more over the period of six months following the stage 4 interview. Formal interview is the action, with appropriate management level from outside of immediate Crown Office, to review performance and consider disciplinary action under the Conduct Code.

You addressed the loss escalation process at paragraph 16 of your statement to the Inquiry. Could we have that on screen, please, it is WITN08980100 and page 15, please, of that document. Paragraph 16 there:

"The loss escalation process in the Crown Network was designed to raise awareness, share best practice and monitor losses. It could also lead to the conduct code being triggered on

141

1 question, requirement for the Crown Office 2 employees to make good losses, I'm asking 3 whether there was any reference in that policy 4 document that we looked at to any requirement 5 for them to do so?

- 6 A. No requirement, no.
- 7 We'll come on to your statement that deals with 8 what options were open to Crown Office employees 9 in a moment.
- 10 A. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Was there any obligation on Crown Office 11 12 employees to use their own funds to cover any 13 losses identified -- and again, using the word 14 "obligation"?
- A. No obligation, no. 15
- Q. Was that something which was ever considered as 16 17 part of the strategy for dealing with Crown
- 18 Office losses?
- A. As far as I can remember, no. 19
- 20 Q. Why not?
- A. Basically, they're our employees and I think it 21 22 would be difficult, from a HR perspective, to 23 enforce that, unless there was a major rewrite 24 of the contract manual but I don't recall it 25 ever being a consideration.

143

individuals if loss performance fell outside of agreed levels."

You also refer at paragraph 20 of your statement to moving away from focusing on the punitive side, and you have mentioned that again today, and, instead, focusing on the why. So the impact of losses on the business.

From the policy document we have just looked at and these parts of your statement, it appears 10 that the focus, when it came to Crown losses was 11 on raising awareness about best practice, establishing what support an employee might need 12 13 and, ultimately, considering disciplinary action 14 under the Code of Conduct. Is that a fair 15 assessment?

- 16 A. Yes, very much a fair assessment of the Crown 17 Network.
- 18 **Q**. There was no mention in the September 2008 19 losses and gains policy that we've just looked 20 at to any requirement for Crown Office employees 21 to make good any losses that were discovered, is 22
- 23 A. No. The -- when I joined, it was 50p, plus or 24 minus. It went to £2 and I think it was £5 --
- 25 Q. If I can just stop you there, in terms of my

If we could go to page 18, please, within this statement. That top paragraph there, I think this is what you were referring to just a moment ago, and so just to read that out:

"Within the Crown Network when I started you had tolerance built into your balance, eg if you were plus/minus 50p out on balancing you could put in or take out the cash up to that value, this became plus/minus £2 then plus/minus £5 over time. If Crown staff declared losses then the Losses & Gains Policy would be applied by the Branch manager."

13 Is what you're saying here this: that if 14 there was a minor amount by which the till was 15 out, they could put in up to that minor amount 16 rather than declaring --

- 17 Α. Yes
- 18 Q. -- which would trigger the policy and the steps 19 we've looked at?
- 20 A. Certainly, you wouldn't expect to see any 21 declared -- depending on the time, you wouldn't 22 expect to see any declared losses, for example, 23 of less than £2 in the Crown Network.
- 24 Q. Going back a page in the statement, please, 25 towards the bottom, at paragraph 21 you deal

with your understanding of the position in relation to shortfalls experienced by subpostmasters. You say: "My understanding was that Subpostmasters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

had to make good any shortfalls within their accounts in line with their contract with the Post Office. This would mean Subpostmasters putting in their own cash so that the total balance agreed with the derived figure (eg paper based pre-Horizon or what was held in Horizon when their branch was automated)."

You've touched on this in your answer already, but why was this different approach adopted for subpostmasters when compared with Crown Office employees?

15 16 A. Historically, there'd always been a difference, 17 going back long before I joined the business, 18 and it was the differentiation between 19 subpostmasters are there -- it's their own 20 business, they're agents of the Post Office, 21 whereas the staff are directly managed by Post 22 Office Limited.

23 Q. Did anyone raise any concern ever, as far as 24 you're aware, about the difference in approach 25 between the two groups? 145

> vitally important not only from a security aspect, but is a requirement under our licensing requirements for the FSA (Financial Services Authority).

"Crown Office Managers must make regular checks as to the users listed on Horizon and ensure people have the correct level of access (minimum access requirement as per Information Security Policy)."

What was the reasoning behind these mandated actions?

A. It was best practice, good housekeeping. A good 12 example would be a reserve member of staff who floated around, say, five or six offices and they could potentially be attached to stock units in those five or six offices. So that element it's all about good housekeeping. We probably got input from the Security team or the Horizon team on these bullet points, as well, for the policy.

21 Q. Turning, please, to page 19 of this document, 22 section 8.9. About halfway down, that's it, 23 "Suspicions", and the first bullet point here 24

> "If the Crown Office Manager, deputy or any 147

1 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q. Could we have, please, the September 2008 Crown Office losses and gains policy back on screen, please. That's POL00084075, and page 16 of that document, please. Towards the bottom of the page we have the start of section 8 entitled "Security Compliance". Over the page, please, to section 8.2 "Horizon". This reads as follows:

"Crown Office Managers must ensure the following actions are undertaken:

"Need to ensure that only colleagues who are working at their offices are logged onto the Horizon system. Anyone who leaves the office must be removed from the system.

"All colleagues must have their own user ID and must only be attached to the stock units they are operating at the time -- it is not acceptable to have all users attached to a stock

"Where possible, users not operating a stock unit, should be placed into default.

"Passwords are sacrosanct, and for each individual's protection. Under no circumstances should passwords be known or shared, this is 146

1 colleague has any suspicion about someone, they 2 have a duty to report it. This can be 3 difficult, especially if you're not sure.

4 Please contact the NBSC, in complete confidence 5 on [a number]. Your information will be passed 6 onto the Investigation Team who will assess the 7 information. Your details will remain 8 confidential."

The last bullet point there:

"Any loss can be reported if there are suspicious circumstances, irrespective of the amount. Again, the Investigation Team will assess the information and a decision will be made on whether any further action is taken."

15 What would you have considered suspicious 16 circumstances to be?

17 A. It could have been a variety of things involving 18 cash or stock, volumes of transactions. One that I did come across when we did certain 19 20 transactions was a huge increase one day, which 21 alerted the branch manager to say "Something's 22 not right here, who do I get in touch with?" So that would fall under that category. 23

24 Q. Would a shortfall or loss on its own have been enough to amount to suspicious circumstances for 25

1		you?
2	A.	Potentially, yes. I think the issue for me is,
3		at the time, I was not aware of issues with
4		Horizon. If we were, that potentially would
5		fall under that category.
6	Q.	We have another version of the September 2008
7		the policy document which you have commented on
8		at paragraph 6.4 of your statement to the
9		Inquiry. Could we have that document on screen,
10		please. It is POL00084076. You say in your
11		statement that this was a version for use as
12		an operational document for branch and Area
13		Managers. What was the reason for having
14		another version for branch and Area Managers?
15	A.	From what I remember, this brought out the key
16		operational points without appendices. So it
17		was, basically, a quick guide. The contents
18		should be exactly the same as the overall
19		policy, without all of the various discussion
20		points. So it was really just identified as
21		a quick guide for branch managers.
22		And this, I think, was rolled out at
23		national workshops. So we had input from branch
24		managers and Area Managers when we put this
25		document together and we also rolled it out to 149

1 "After branch trading was introduced a full 2 branch account statement was only required 12 3 times a year." 4 Is that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. But you say that: 7 "Individual stock unit balancing was still 8

taking place weekly in Crowns so there well up balance periods within a trading period." Is that right?

10

A. Yes, that's right. 11

You've said usually four to five balance periods 12 13 in a trading period?

14 A. Yes

9

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. In terms of what a Crown Office branch should do, if there was an unexplained discrepancy when they were trying to balance, you address this at paragraph 12VII of your statement. Can we have that on screen, please, page 12 of that statement, please. Scrolling a little further down, please, it's that last paragraph there:

"For colleagues working on the counter in a branch performing a balance (physical check of their cash and stock), only one option really existed and that was to confirm figures and roll

151

1 the Network, as well. So I think it came from 2 a branch manager or a team saying, "We need 3 something more snappier" because there's quite 4 a lot in there, there's a lot of material.

5 Could we go to section 1 of this document, 6 please, page 3?

7 Section 1 here is a little different to 8 section 1 in the other version we've been 9 looking at. In particular, it includes the 10 actual figure for Crown Office losses in 2007 to 11 2008 of 2.2 million. Do you know why this figure was not included in the other version? 12

13 No. No. So this document is the quick guide.

Q. Moving, please, to the balancing process for 14 Crown Office branches, you say in your statement 15 16 to the Inquiry that Crown Office branches used 17 to balance their stock units weekly on 18 a Wednesday evening. The office would then

19 amalgamate and run the office plans from

20 Thursday, sending all supporting documentation 21 for that week in a pouch to P&BA in

22 Chesterfield. Just to be clear, what does P&BA 23 stand for?

24 That would be Product and Branch Accounting. A.

25 Q. You say:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

150

over into the next balance period or trading period. If there was a discrepancy between physical cash and stock they could recheck themselves, ask a colleague or manager to recheck -- this would of course be time dependent and may find the error -- before confirming and rolling over. The branch manager may find errors next day, eg transfers between Stock Units not balancing, which rectify the original error. Depending on the value/volume of the loss or gain would decide what route through the Losses & Gains procedure the branch manager would take".

14 What were the repercussions of rolling over 15 when a reason for a discrepancy had not been 16 found?

17 Α. If the reasons hadn't been found, then the loss or the gain would be declared and that would go 18 against the users who were using that stock that 19 20 week, or the individual, if it was an individual 21 stock, and that loss would be posted against 22 those individuals or that person, and that would 23 become subject for the losses and gains 24

25 Q. Was there any way for a Crown Office branch to

4

- 1 challenge an apparent discrepancy where it was 2 unexplained?
- 3 A. I believe so, yes. I mean, I had a team of 4 people who faced up with branch managers and 5 regional managers. When this process was bedded 6 in, as I say, it was delivered via a workshop
- 7 and there were various spreadsheets to capture 8 loss record. All of my team were ex-branch
- 9 managers and I think, informally, they would be
- 10 on the end of a phone or a visit to a branch if there were unexpected losses. 11 12 They were my eyes and ears. I got out quite
  - a bit myself but I do not recall any issues rising to me about unexplained losses or gains due to the Horizon system in the Crown Network.
- 16 Q. You've explained in your statement that, 17 although Crown Office branches could be audited
- 18 by the audit team or field support team as they
- 19 later were, financial audits of Crown Office 20
- were few and far between under normal operation.
- 21 Was the reason for that linked to the process in
- 22 place whereby branch managers were obliged to 23 conduct certain checks themselves?
- 24 Yes, I think from a risk perspective, the audit A.
- 25 team saw the Crown Network as being low risk, 153
- 1 Q. You addressed this at paragraphs 6V and 6VI of 2 your statement. This rewritten version of the
- 3 policy was dated 24 April 2013. We need not 4 display it now but, for the record, the
- 5 reference for that document is POL00088124.
- 6 What did you understand the reason for this
- 7 change to be?

15

16

17

13

14

15

- 8 A. At the time, my understanding, my assumption, 9 was that, having been involved in organisation 10 design team in the late '90s, early 2000s, that 11 Network or the Retail Line were deployers of 12 policy, and the actual policies should sit
- 13 elsewhere at Head Office. That was my 14 understanding then.
  - Maybe now I've got a different view, in that
  - in 2013, it seemed that the business was looking at bringing in management of policies centrally.
- This version of the policy removed the loss 18 19 escalation process. What was the reasoning 20 behind that?
- 21 A. It was branch managers taking ownership of 22 running their own offices. It was a bit nanny 23 state, if you look at the previous policies, and 24 we wanted to get to an endgame that branch 25 managers take full ownership of managing their

- 1 purely because of the supervisory element within
- 2 each branch and the fact that they had Area
- 3 Managers that visited. So they were seen as low
  - risk, which meant that they didn't get the level
- 5 of audit that potentially Crowns had when
- 6 I joined the business.
- 7 Q. Was there any other reason why this approach was
- 8 taken to auditing Crown Office branches that
- 9 you're aware of?
- 10 A. It became more procedural in terms of
- 11 compliance. The FSAs would go out. I had
- a team of regional support advisers who would 12
- 13 administer, for example, the annual certificate
- 14 of compliance, and checks like that, but the
- 15 actual financial and stock control element of
- 16 an audit were few and far between in the Crown
- 17 Network.
- **Q.** In 2013 there was a change, wasn't there, 18
- 19 whereby the Security team headed by John Scott
- 20 took ownership of the losses and gains policy.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 This led to the rewriting of the mandatory
- 23 losses and gains policy for the Crown Office
- 24 Network by the Security team, didn't it?
- 25 A. It did, yes.

154

- 1 branch, their people, their P&L, and a certain
- 2 amount of -- not leeway but management of that
- 3 should be given back to branch managers and that
- 4 was the Security team we were working with at
- 5 the time.

8

- 6 Q. Was it any part of the reasoning that there
- 7 were, by this point, investigations taking place
  - into the integrity of the Horizon system,
- 9 following allegations that the system had been
- 10 causing apparent discrepancies?
- 11 A. That, at the time, I was not aware of at all.
- 12 Q. At paragraph 22 of your statement, you say that
- 13 the term "bugs, errors and defects" was not in
- 14 your vocabulary when you worked for the Post
- 15 Office and that the business line was that the
- 16 Horizon system was robust. Who was this
- 17 business line coming from?
- A. Towards the end of my work in the Post Office, 18
- 19 so probably 2010 to 2015, I know that there were
- 20 various programmes. It was in the media. We
- 21 were told via our Internal Communications Team,
- 22 if you were approached by journalists or
- 23 subpostmasters, members of staff, branch
- 24 managers, that you were to explain that Horizon
- was robust, there had been reviews and it was 25

1		fine and there was a contact number that we	1		have that come back up, it's POL00084076. If we
2		should put people onto. So my understanding is	2		go to Section 1 where the amount of loss was
3		that came from the top, that came from the	3		actually included, which is on page 3, that
4		board.	4		figure there of 2.2 million, how widely
5	Q.	You may not have used the terminology bugs,	5		circulated had that been?
6		errors and defects but you do recall, don't you,	6	A.	It was certainly a figure known by the business
7		there being blue screen issues arising with	7		at Head Office. Obviously, it was a major
8		Horizon?	8		factor on the General Manager for Crowns' score
9	Α.	Yes, yes.	9		card. I'm not sure it would have been widely
10	Q.	That's something you address at paragraph 23 of	10		known within the Crown Network, which was the
11	Œ.	your statement. You also recall there being	11		purpose of sharing best practice and letting
12		Horizon software releases planned and delivered	12		individual managers and staff know that the
13		to rectify transaction issues; is that right?	13		business was losing its money. So, certainly
	۸				
14 15		That's right.	14		within the Crown Network and above, at Head
15	W5	PRICE: Sir, those are the questions that have	15		Office, it was a figure that was known, and with
16		for Mr Pegler.	16	_	finance as well.
17	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Are there questions from anyone	17	Q.	When it was circulated to the Crown Network did
18		else?	18		it cause a bit of a buzz, if you like?
19		<b>PRICE</b> : There are questions from Ms Page, sir.	19	A.	I think it was a shock to people because
20	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Right, all right. Yes, Ms Page.	20		obviously we've very good branches out there
21		Questioned by MS PAGE	21		that were incurring very small, if no losses
22	MS	PAGE: Thank you, sir.	22		whatsoever, and there were branches were they
23		Mr Pegler I'd like to ask you, if I may,	23		were haemorrhaging losses. So it was all about
24		about the quick guide we were looking at, the	24		getting individual ownership on this figure and
25		losses and gains quick guide. Perhaps we could 157	25		letting know the Network where we were, and what 158
1		plans were in place to try and address this.	1		this, and was told that he should never show it
2	Q.	One thing that it reveals is that, just like sub	2		to anyone, and it was something that he should
3		post offices, some Crown Offices were struggling	3		destroy because it was that incendiary is
4		to manage as what they must have seen as	4		that the sort of thing that you've ever heard
5		unexplained losses?	5		of?
6	A.	Potentially. Knowing what I know now, that is	6	A.	No.
7		a potential, yes.	7	MS	SPAGE: Well, thank you. Those are my questions.
8	Q.	So the figure there, if subpostmasters had come	8	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you, Ms Page.
9		to know of it, was potentially quite an	9		Is that it, Ms Price?
10		incendiary thing for them to find out about;	10	MS	PRICE: Yes, sir, it is. We're back on Tuesday
11		would you see that?	11		at 10.00 for John Breeden.
12	A.	I agree, yes.	12	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Well, before we formally
13	Q.	Do you have any knowledge of that document	13		close, Mr Pegler, thank you very much for
14		having been, as it were, sort of the leaked from	14		providing a witness statement and for answering
15		Crown Offices to subpostmasters? Is that	15		questions orally this afternoon. I'm grateful
16		something that's ever come to your attention?	16		to you.
17	A.	It's never come to my attention, but I would	17		So we'll resume again at 10.00 on Tuesday
18		assume that it did get into the Crown Network.	18		morning.
19		I can't recall instances of it happening, but	19	MS	S PRICE: Thank you, sir.
20		anything that did get into the Crown Network	20		48 pm)
21		ultimately, you could bet your bottom dollar,	21	\ <del>-</del> -	(The hearing adjourned until the following
22		I guess, that it would get into the rest of the	22		Tuesday, 17 October 2023)
23		network.	23		140044y, 11 October 2020)
23 24	Q.	Did you ever hear of anyone I put this	24		
2 <del>4</del> 25	٠.	because Mr Lee Castleton received a copy of	25		
		159	25		160

## INDEX

PAUL INWOOD (sworn)	1
Questioned by MR BLAKE	1
Questioned by MR STEIN	104
THOMAS ABRAHAM PEGLER (sworn)	118
Questioned by MS PRICE	118
Questioned by MS PAGE	157

160/17 21/20 25/16 27/3 30/1 138/20 139/7 140/11 47/23 49/18 50/1 50/5 **100 [1]** 32/15 60/6 68/18 91/6 30 years [1] 2/4 50/17 50/23 53/5 MR BLAKE: [18] 1/3 **11 [1]** 137/2 **31 years [1]** 119/24 125/23 62/24 66/21 68/9 1/6 1/10 65/22 66/1 **11 May [1]** 40/22 **2005 [2]** 68/23 **373 [1]** 125/19 68/15 70/19 77/20 66/3 66/7 89/9 89/11 11.19 [1] 66/4 125/23 3rd [1] 139/9 77/21 77/22 80/11 89/17 104/3 104/8 **11.35 [2]** 66/1 66/6 **2006 [1]** 125/24 82/4 83/8 86/17 86/21 104/13 115/3 115/7 **2007 [3]** 124/16 90/13 92/15 92/16 **12 [4]** 63/23 65/11 117/15 117/19 118/1 **4.1 [1]** 56/10 151/2 151/19 92/19 93/10 94/2 94/5 125/25 150/10 MR STEIN: [4] **46 [3]** 43/20 43/21 12 May [1] 118/25 2008 [10] 124/16 94/8 95/2 95/4 96/10 104/15 115/5 115/20 110/12 **12.07 [1]** 89/14 129/4 129/9 129/14 97/3 97/5 97/22 117/13 **12.15 [2]** 89/11 89/16 130/3 130/18 142/18 101/24 103/8 104/19 MS PAGE: [2] 146/2 149/6 150/11 104/25 104/25 105/7 **12.50 [1]** 118/2 157/22 160/7 **50p [2]** 142/23 144/7 **12VII [1]** 151/18 **2008/2009 [1]** 43/1 106/1 107/21 108/8 **MS PRICE: [8]** 118/5 53 per cent [1] **2009 [15]** 27/8 27/10 108/14 109/3 109/4 13 October 2013 [1] 118/8 118/18 118/21 125/24 28/1 30/7 36/18 36/20 109/9 110/5 111/13 157/15 157/19 160/10 13,000/14,000 [1] 37/4 40/4 40/9 40/22 111/18 112/13 113/5 6 160/19 41/15 43/1 43/16 48/9 113/20 113/24 115/24 48/16 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS: 6.4 [1]** 149/8 **14 [1]** 69/11 83/20 116/12 116/18 117/2 **[15]** 1/5 65/25 66/2 **64 [2]** 6/12 7/13 **14,000 [1]** 48/16 **2010 [6]** 114/24 117/3 119/17 122/16 104/7 104/9 115/12 **64.10 [2]** 112/4 112/6 **15 [2]** 23/14 141/20 115/24 116/15 116/17 124/17 124/18 126/25 117/14 117/17 117/23 **64.8 [2]** 110/13 117/1 156/19 127/23 132/11 133/14 **15 May [1]** 1/17 118/7 118/9 157/17 110/17 142/11 145/24 147/17 15 members [1] 24/2 **2011 [2]** 2/19 131/6 157/20 160/8 160/12 **64.9 [2]** 44/2 44/4 **16 [3]** 141/18 141/21 **2012 [1]** 87/8 147/22 148/1 153/14 THE WITNESS: [3] **6V [1]** 155/1 146/4 **2013 [7]** 1/1 52/10 157/24 158/23 159/10 89/7 89/10 89/13 **6VI[1]** 155/1 55/18 77/16 154/18 above [4] 58/20 **17 [1]** 105/25 155/3 155/16 133/2 137/23 158/14 17 October 2023 [1] 160/22 **2014 [8]** 74/3 77/17 ABRAHAM [3] **'14 [1]** 50/3 **71 [2]** 63/16 63/21 **18 [4]** 42/25 59/13 89/20 99/4 99/11 118/19 118/23 161/9 **'14/'15/'16 [1]** 50/3 128/4 144/1 99/21 102/1 104/1 absolutely [6] 32/3 8 **'15 [1]** 50/3 **19 [2]** 70/1 147/21 32/24 33/12 89/9 **2015 [2]** 122/24 **'16 [1]** 50/3 **8.2 [1]** 146/8 **1980 [1]** 22/9 156/19 115/14 117/19 **'3 [1]** 127/15 **8.9 [1]** 147/22 **1984 [1]** 119/25 **2017 [3]** 55/8 55/16 accept [2] 14/1 23/6 **'6 [1]** 127/16 **1986 [3]** 120/5 120/7 55/20 acceptable [1] **'80s [2]** 128/5 129/2 **2017/18 [2]** 42/25 120/11 146/19 92 [4] 69/10 69/11 **'9 [1]** 127/16 1990s [1] 93/5 59/13 acceptance [1] '90s [9] 35/16 36/4 80/19 80/21 **2018 [17]** 2/19 5/14 110/25 **1997 [1]** 122/6 93 [3] 69/10 69/11 38/16 122/4 128/5 **1998 [1]** 122/19 6/4 9/19 13/19 16/18 access [11] 31/5 128/13 129/2 129/18 69/15 **1999 [3]** 126/25 20/11 29/20 40/5 32/4 106/4 113/14 **95 [1]** 69/22 155/10 40/10 47/18 102/1 127/1 127/2 113/22 114/12 115/15 'backdoor' [2] 106/4 **96 [1]** 69/25 103/25 104/1 106/17 117/6 138/12 147/7 113/14 **98 [4]** 105/24 105/25 111/20 114/2 147/8 'balance [1] 74/20 108/1 113/24 **2,000 [2]** 137/1 **2023 [3]** 1/17 119/1 accordance [1] **'in [1]** 70/14 139/24 Α 135/12 160/22 'multi [1] 127/5 2.048 million [1] **21 [1]** 144/25 account [15] 12/2 abbreviation [1] 9/15 'multi-user' [1] 127/5 125/24 **22 [1]** 156/12 12/18 13/9 16/4 23/21 **ability [7]** 31/2 31/11 'old [1] 23/20 **2.2 million [2]** 150/11 23 [3] 20/23 20/25 33/16 35/11 36/2 51/7 112/9 112/18 'PMs' [1] 9/8 158/4 41/25 58/4 59/23 157/10 113/5 131/4 'the [2] 30/15 39/16 **2.48 [1]** 160/20 121/8 134/10 140/24 **24 April [1]** 155/3 able [14] 6/13 17/10 'victims' [1] 28/13 **2.5m [1]** 125/3 **24 years [1]** 22/4 151/2 30/24 32/3 41/12 **20 [3]** 74/3 119/3 **249.99 [1]** 136/24 accountants [1] 55/23 71/15 77/14 142/3 108/11 25 per cent [1] 03 [1] 68/17 79/17 79/25 82/12 **20' [3]** 127/15 127/16 125/25 accounting [8] 26/25 91/12 117/24 131/13 127/17 **250 [1]** 136/25 30/13 67/5 73/23 about [111] 4/19 5/22 2000/2001/2002 [1] 91/25 120/25 125/13 27 August 2014 [1] **1,000 [2]** 48/19 48/24 6/18 6/24 7/15 13/5 59/20 99/21 150/24 1.393 million [1] 14/17 15/19 16/10 **2000s [2]** 122/4 17/14 19/8 20/3 20/14 accounts [23] 12/3 **28 [1]** 106/1 125/22 155/10 2nd [1] 138/23 12/19 13/21 17/24 **1.50 [2]** 117/22 118/4 20/21 24/3 25/18 **2001 [1]** 38/25 18/9 23/6 24/10 33/17 **1.740 [1]** 126/1 29/17 29/18 30/5 **2002 [5]** 2/12 17/19 33/24 46/12 46/15 **10 [1]** 115/4 35/17 40/16 41/2 41/6 **3.1 [2]** 56/11 56/16 30/1 59/20 60/6 46/16 49/8 59/22 10 per cent [1] 82/24 41/16 41/23 42/9 **2002/03 [1]** 68/17 **3.13 [1]** 88/5 66/20 78/16 112/11 10 years [1] 99/12 42/16 42/22 43/3 **2003 [1]** 125/22 **3.2 [1]** 54/17 112/21 116/13 119/23 10-year [2] 40/9 40/9 43/15 44/9 44/16 **2003/2004 [1]** 91/6 **3.3 [1]** 87/23 121/1 136/5 145/6 **10.00 [3]** 1/2 160/11 45/17 45/24 46/2 **2004 [10]** 2/12 17/19 **30 [5]** 133/6 137/25 accurate [2] 32/15

57/10 57/24 58/14 144/15 148/12 148/25 159/13 Α advised [2] 22/23 23/9 59/10 61/2 61/8 62/12 156/2 158/2 anybody [4] 17/11 accurate... [1] 34/3 **Adviser [2]** 59/3 60/6 64/2 64/5 64/10 72/12 amounted [1] 22/7 92/18 101/8 103/18 across [3] 26/23 advisers [10] 4/14 74/20 74/22 74/25 analogy [1] 69/21 anyone [12] 20/5 120/10 148/19 26/19 49/24 59/15 75/4 75/8 80/16 85/1 analyse [2] 79/15 81/25 81/25 97/3 acting [2] 50/18 62/17 73/17 76/7 88/7 93/25 96/21 79/18 116/20 117/11 137/12 72/16 76/11 76/20 154/12 96/24 98/22 101/9 analysis [1] 38/24 145/23 146/14 157/17 action [23] 62/11 affected [3] 31/25 109/20 110/25 115/9 159/24 160/2 anecdotal [2] 12/11 72/25 78/11 81/20 47/14 116/2 115/12 121/1 121/9 12/12 anything [10] 40/13 119/20 128/2 138/9 after [20] 4/9 4/13 40/18 41/13 51/21 121/22 122/11 122/16 anecdotally [2] 13/7 138/9 138/14 138/21 33/17 40/2 40/23 122/25 125/3 130/22 34/11 73/14 100/17 102/19 138/25 139/9 139/11 48/23 66/22 68/14 131/20 132/2 132/7 125/13 137/7 159/20 anecdotes [3] 103/6 139/20 140/5 140/13 68/22 68/23 77/15 133/22 134/3 138/3 103/20 107/20 **Anyway [1]** 104/11 140/17 140/24 141/4 140/23 146/16 146/19 Angela [1] 90/2 77/18 102/23 103/21 **Apologies [1]** 120/8 141/13 141/15 142/13 113/25 114/4 119/25 147/17 149/19 150/20 annual [2] 131/23 apparent [4] 49/5 148/14 132/22 137/20 151/1 153/8 156/11 157/20 154/13 119/22 153/1 156/10 actions [6] 97/8 appeal [6] 70/16 79/2 afternoon [3] 117/18 158/23 annually [1] 132/8 97/11 129/7 134/23 118/5 160/15 allegation [1] 30/17 another [9] 14/1 86/2 81/1 82/16 83/11 146/11 147/11 afternoon's [1] allegations [1] 156/9 88/21 94/15 114/20 83/14 activity [2] 42/3 appeals [20] 66/11 alleged [7] 44/7 133/9 140/19 149/6 117/19 49/12 45/25 47/15 66/12 again [12] 8/10 11/21 149/14 68/19 68/24 69/12 Acts [1] 70/22 101/1 112/6 114/17 74/12 78/6 112/11 answer [9] 44/23 73/18 78/20 78/20 actual [4] 129/20 allow [3] 53/6 81/8 133/11 136/18 140/24 45/19 45/21 63/8 79/13 79/14 79/19 150/10 154/15 155/12 142/5 143/13 148/12 93/19 104/20 104/23 111/3 80/5 80/7 80/10 80/13 actually [14] 7/14 160/17 112/15 145/12 80/15 80/20 80/22 allowed [7] 23/11 9/12 11/22 12/8 13/15 against [7] 33/9 57/5 70/20 71/20 72/2 82/19 82/24 83/10 answering [3] 14/18 32/2 39/19 52/23 94/19 96/22 97/10 72/10 72/17 40/13 160/14 appear [3] 48/22 69/10 86/4 108/23 119/21 152/19 152/21 almost [3] 17/15 40/9 answers [2] 70/4 52/17 92/23 108/24 137/19 158/3 agency [2] 12/25 82/11 115/5 appeared [2] 33/16 add [2] 101/15 any [116] 4/16 6/7 107/6 42/23 along [1] 94/12 113/19 9/22 15/6 15/16 16/2 agent [5] 28/16 28/18 alongside [4] 9/11 appears [4] 60/15 added [2] 100/13 33/9 73/20 88/18 26/6 29/9 55/4 17/8 17/22 18/2 18/20 124/15 129/9 142/9 100/20 agents [9] 4/9 12/16 20/16 21/1 23/20 appendices [1] already [5] 26/3 63/8 adding [1] 6/25 89/24 121/9 145/13 30/12 45/9 48/24 25/15 26/12 26/13 149/16 additional [3] 26/9 54/14 84/7 123/11 also [21] 6/4 11/7 27/1 28/1 29/19 30/18 applicable [1] 135/2 26/22 76/5 20/19 23/19 28/12 30/23 40/1 40/2 40/17 application [1] 24/18 145/20 address [9] 29/9 87/6 46/14 55/15 61/3 64/4 41/4 41/10 42/3 42/6 agents' [2] 33/16 applied [7] 23/10 128/2 129/5 129/15 69/5 71/8 72/14 94/3 46/3 50/10 54/3 54/5 38/22 74/22 75/14 112/21 130/4 151/17 157/10 59/12 60/2 60/12 aggravating [2] 109/3 127/8 131/12 76/6 94/14 144/11 159/1 131/15 141/24 142/3 60/19 60/21 61/6 61/7 apply [2] 71/2 75/23 76/13 77/7 addressed [5] 61/12 63/7 65/8 65/12 appoint [2] 88/15 ago [8] 29/18 47/19 149/25 157/11 129/16 136/17 137/3 59/12 93/3 99/11 alter [2] 112/9 116/13 67/11 67/13 70/5 101/20 141/17 155/1 99/12 104/21 144/4 70/16 72/3 72/23 appointed [1] 22/22 altering [1] 113/4 addresses [2] 80/19 72/25 75/1 75/3 75/18 appointment [1] agree [10] 25/16 alternatively [2] 44/4 135/9 22/25 39/21 52/7 65/21 78/18 79/3 79/13 110/20 addressing [1] 101/4 79/19 81/5 82/7 82/15 approach [14] 28/14 69/20 111/4 138/25 although [2] 81/3 adept [1] 26/20 140/15 141/6 159/12 84/13 84/22 84/23 153/17 34/15 36/21 38/20 adjourned [1] 160/21 agreed [7] 38/2 always [10] 33/5 85/22 88/6 92/21 38/22 43/5 47/20 53/3 Adjournment [1] 127/15 138/15 139/1 39/13 42/19 48/20 94/18 97/2 97/14 98/2 59/8 74/5 84/3 145/13 118/3 139/11 142/2 145/9 98/5 103/3 103/17 62/20 68/5 124/8 145/24 154/7 adjusted [1] 23/18 agreement [3] 60/24 126/15 132/16 145/16 103/17 104/4 104/6 approached [1] administer [1] 104/21 139/19 **Alwen [2]** 114/16 104/12 106/14 106/20 156/22 154/13 107/3 107/7 107/22 114/18 approaches [4] 3/7 agreements [1] adopt [1] 133/19 am [9] 1/2 58/6 66/4 108/2 108/19 110/4 60/22 15/19 37/7 80/23 adopted [2] 135/6 110/7 111/6 112/11 **Ah [2]** 5/5 132/21 66/6 73/8 88/9 90/12 appropriate [13] 29/8 145/14 alerted [2] 98/15 112/12 112/16 113/5 116/8 117/11 65/23 67/22 73/19 advance [1] 5/14 117/25 125/13 126/1 148/21 amalgam [1] 12/2 76/9 81/21 84/14 advent [4] 6/21 7/18 all [73] 7/21 10/8 amalgamate [1] 132/19 132/23 134/23 87/19 94/25 131/14 8/15 78/14 12/2 12/3 21/11 21/17 142/20 142/21 143/3 138/17 139/4 141/13 150/19 advertising [1] 85/18 23/16 24/5 24/16 ambiguity [1] 65/15 143/4 143/11 143/12 approved [1] 135/5 advice [3] 81/4 97/3 144/20 144/22 145/5 24/25 25/13 26/1 amongst [3] 54/12 approximate [4] 97/5 34/21 35/10 36/1 62/10 105/18 145/23 147/25 148/1 42/24 68/20 103/22 advise [2] 94/14 41/12 44/11 44/25 148/10 148/14 152/25 **amount [9]** 50/25 103/23 127/3 45/11 53/24 54/22 57/5 77/18 144/14 153/13 154/7 156/6 **April [1]** 155/3

66/11 66/11 67/21 35/25 57/10 58/15 68/17 70/9 70/21 71/2 59/18 64/8 66/16 are [55] 2/21 3/9 6/12 71/10 71/24 72/16 67/24 76/14 81/12 15/9 16/1 17/10 19/18 72/22 74/25 76/14 85/1 89/22 90/8 93/21 19/21 19/24 23/25 76/15 78/4 78/6 78/10 93/21 94/17 95/14 24/23 27/3 28/10 33/2 78/24 79/25 81/6 82/7 96/21 98/22 103/15 34/22 39/14 51/12 83/23 85/8 87/6 89/21 119/13 52/14 54/23 56/16 91/2 91/19 92/1 92/10 assistant [4] 2/9 71/5 68/15 76/12 83/21 93/17 95/19 96/19 120/13 133/8 84/10 86/21 86/23 96/25 97/12 97/13 assistants [3] 61/4 90/14 100/24 108/10 97/19 97/23 98/7 64/5 131/9 108/21 111/11 111/18 99/22 100/15 100/18 assisted [3] 22/8 112/13 117/15 117/17 102/20 105/19 106/9 72/20 80/15 119/8 127/21 133/16 111/2 111/6 113/10 assisting [1] 124/20 133/18 135/6 135/19 113/16 114/6 115/1 assists [1] 126/24 136/15 136/17 145/19 117/7 119/15 120/3 associate [2] 5/18 145/21 146/11 146/12 120/10 121/3 121/11 93/9 146/13 146/18 146/23 121/22 122/13 122/14 assume [1] 159/18 148/10 157/15 157/17 123/3 123/21 123/24 assumed [1] 24/21 157/19 160/7 123/25 124/3 124/11 assumption [1] area [19] 2/10 9/7 124/23 126/14 127/13 155/8 26/11 26/19 35/10 127/13 128/21 129/22 Assurance [1] 52/15 35/15 36/3 38/16 130/15 131/2 131/9 **assured [1]** 107/10 59/15 59/24 109/15 131/17 131/17 132/18 astray [1] 33/6 124/8 125/7 125/9 132/18 132/19 138/7 at [209] 133/2 149/12 149/14 138/11 139/16 143/16 attached [3] 146/17 149/24 154/2 143/19 143/19 145/23 146/19 147/15 arise [1] 119/18 145/23 146/8 147/6 attempt [2] 18/2 18/8 arised [1] 39/5 147/8 147/19 149/11 attempts [1] 65/3 arisen [1] 63/6 149/18 149/20 150/1 attend [5] 69/5 69/6 arises [1] 115/5 70/3 71/9 72/10 153/6 153/18 153/25 arising [2] 79/24 154/3 158/16 159/4 attendance [1] 22/23 157/7 159/4 159/14 attended [2] 33/25 around [29] 9/24 ascertain [1] 133/23 67/9 18/25 32/9 33/23 aside [4] 13/16 attending [2] 71/24 40/15 42/25 43/7 49/2 106/14 106/20 107/3 72/15 49/4 50/3 50/6 74/2 ask [13] 3/21 5/22 attention [5] 41/22 77/17 78/5 82/24 107/14 108/16 159/16 117/5 19/15 20/8 20/9 22/17 94/21 94/23 95/18 81/1 91/1 105/23 159/17 95/19 102/15 105/10 audit [16] 17/8 31/20 115/10 133/3 152/4 122/19 128/10 128/14 39/6 49/11 50/9 66/18 73/16 77/11 124/23 157/23 129/20 130/20 130/22 68/10 79/8 79/9 79/12 bad [5] 42/10 45/7 asked [10] 29/2 137/6 147/14 30/16 39/2 51/13 87/16 133/17 153/18 **ARQ [1]** 68/10 153/24 154/5 154/16 51/17 53/15 92/23 array [3] 75/23 76/10 98/4 109/18 130/14 audited [1] 153/17 76/21 auditing [1] 154/8 asking [6] 4/19 100/7 arrive [1] 117/11 119/15 119/17 129/11 auditors [2] 66/20 arrived [1] 102/12 143/2 68/4 article [4] 40/21 audits [2] 42/10 aspect [10] 27/1 41/20 41/25 77/19 86/10 97/1 97/6 97/16 153/19 articulate [1] 71/15 97/17 97/22 97/23 August [1] 99/21 as [145] 2/6 3/18 98/3 147/2 author [2] 83/21 4/20 5/12 5/16 9/18 aspects [3] 101/23 83/23 9/24 13/2 21/24 22/16 122/11 122/25 authored [1] 84/3 24/17 24/24 29/16 assess [2] 148/6 authorisation [2] 30/5 34/11 34/23 35/7 148/13 43/11 55/15 35/15 36/3 36/10 assessment [2] authorise [1] 50/8 36/20 36/21 37/23 142/15 142/16 authorised [4] 42/12 38/1 42/10 44/23 45/4 assets [1] 131/17 55/18 55/19 55/20 46/18 48/17 52/15 assignment [1] **authority** [2] 92/9 53/13 55/18 55/24 84/23 147/4 56/3 57/13 57/17 assist [29] 4/24 5/5 automated [3] 12/4 57/18 59/10 61/12 5/9 6/13 9/19 17/10 13/9 145/11 61/13 62/10 65/11 21/17 27/16 35/6 automation [8] 6/22

7/19 8/12 8/16 12/15 12/23 12/25 132/20 avoid [2] 84/9 85/12 aware [28] 22/11 22/24 23/15 27/3 47/24 68/13 83/2 97/11 97/24 99/16 105/2 106/14 106/20 107/3 108/1 108/15 108/18 108/24 109/19 basics [1] 126/17 112/16 115/25 116/8 116/16 116/21 145/24 149/3 154/9 156/11 awareness [6] 127/10 134/22 138/13 134/21 140/14 141/23 142/11 Bates [1] 65/5 away [1] 142/4 awkward [1] 19/20 В BA [2] 150/21 150/22 back [44] 4/22 14/4 16/4 16/14 17/13 17/18 18/16 20/6 20/10 28/1 31/13 31/17 32/5 32/19 32/20 33/14 34/23 35/8 38/16 41/8 47/6 61/12 65/17 66/1 69/8 73/7 80/18 108/5 111/12 111/13 112/19 116/15 117/21 120/22 122/13 126/22 131/6 137/21 144/24 145/17 146/3 156/3 158/1 160/10 backdoor [2] 113/21 background [6] 40/11 54/25 55/1 45/14 50/9 87/16 balance [30] 7/11 160/3 9/23 10/6 10/15 11/2 13/8 23/5 24/9 34/11 67/16 67/19 74/22 74/25 75/8 75/9 121/11 127/11 132/23 134/2 136/2 136/3 136/11 144/6 145/9 150/17 151/9 151/12 151/17 151/23 152/1 balances [2] 22/8 136/9 **balancing [20]** 11/18 11/23 11/25 12/7 13/10 13/16 14/1 14/5 22/25 23/2 23/16 134/9 135/13 137/20 138/17 139/3 144/7 150/14 151/7 152/9 **Bank [1]** 126/8 barriers [1] 48/13

base [2] 126/6 126/7 based [12] 10/12 21/4 22/5 39/14 43/7 67/13 67/18 105/16 117/9 122/18 138/2 145/10 **basically [7]** 121/3 121/5 125/13 132/20 137/16 143/21 149/17 basis [12] 15/13 15/22 50/1 50/9 74/20 74/22 86/12 86/16 88/10 93/19 132/19 **BDM [1]** 94/12 be [197] Beal [1] 4/8 bear [1] 135/1 became [7] 2/10 36/10 37/18 49/5 120/5 144/9 154/10 because [69] 3/6 7/10 7/21 8/14 9/6 9/8 11/1 11/17 12/7 12/8 12/15 15/18 18/23 19/9 24/8 25/21 28/16 28/19 28/25 29/1 33/6 35/20 37/7 38/23 41/4 42/17 45/3 45/8 46/9 48/14 49/9 52/18 57/3 59/6 59/14 60/3 65/11 67/14 68/23 71/13 72/7 72/13 72/17 74/10 75/21 76/16 76/24 79/14 79/24 86/11 86/25 92/10 92/24 97/17 98/3 98/9 100/6 104/9 116/22 117/7 130/12 130/22 133/11 137/19 150/3 154/1 158/19 159/25 become [2] 123/20 152/23 **becoming [1]** 35/3 bed [1] 98/10 bedded [1] 153/5 been [99] 10/9 13/6 14/16 17/9 18/18 18/19 20/14 21/17 21/19 22/23 22/24 23/14 24/9 24/15 28/13 30/17 31/15 34/6 37/9 39/4 39/7 39/9 41/17 43/4 48/8 50/7 53/7 53/15 57/3 58/4 62/1 62/22 67/1 67/18 67/20 67/20 68/22 68/23 70/13 74/16 74/19 75/24 75/24 76/6 77/25 78/2 78/7 78/10 78/17

В been... [50] 79/17 79/21 81/19 83/6 83/18 83/19 86/22 87/16 92/24 98/10 98/13 98/15 100/2 100/4 100/10 101/14 103/5 103/16 104/18 105/13 105/14 105/18 110/16 112/16 115/17 115/25 116/18 116/21 122/1 122/3 124/1 124/8 124/25 128/1 130/11 131/7 137/6 137/17 145/16 148/17 148/24 150/8 152/15 152/17 155/9 156/9 156/25 158/5 158/9 159/14 before [26] 8/9 25/5 39/2 41/16 43/12 53/8 53/14 63/14 74/4 87/2 87/10 89/18 104/6 111/21 111/23 114/21 115/10 118/11 121/6 121/15 129/16 133/10 136/14 145/17 152/6 160/12 beginning [1] 102/9 behalf [5] 70/13 71/12 72/16 81/3 119/16 behaviours [1] 38/1 behind [4] 125/9 138/1 147/10 155/20 being [43] 8/1 13/11 15/8 27/4 27/4 28/23 29/1 32/20 37/23 37/24 39/10 40/17 41/1 42/10 42/21 48/5 68/12 75/17 77/14 78/9 78/11 80/12 83/24 92/2 98/4 98/24 102/24 108/8 108/21 111/1 114/15 117/3 117/9 123/22 123/23 126/19 136/24 139/21 141/25 143/25 153/25 157/7 157/11 belief [5] 1/22 76/1 119/9 126/16 126/16 believe [11] 2/21 59/25 71/22 77/23 79/20 97/3 105/4 105/22 111/6 114/21 153/3 believed [2] 76/8 100/6 below [4] 8/25 125/16 134/20 135/17 benefit [1] 10/17 bent [1] 37/9 **BERR [1]** 28/3

bespoke [1] 124/4 best [8] 1/21 37/11 38/15 119/9 141/24 142/11 147/12 158/11 bet [1] 159/21 better [4] 52/7 75/7 121/18 128/11 between [32] 2/12 6/7 6/17 31/9 33/3 51/15 51/20 52/1 53/2 56/10 57/3 61/15 65/15 72/4 72/18 74/13 75/9 77/23 78/1 81/16 92/11 97/15 104/1 121/14 121/16 132/13 145/18 145/25 152/2 152/8 153/20 154/16 beyond [6] 19/5 58/24 75/1 75/11 110/3 110/3 big [1] 128/19 bigger [1] 48/25 biggest [2] 19/15 126/4 **bimonthly [1]** 49/25 **binary [1]** 77/1 bit [15] 3/21 20/20 22/19 37/10 51/19 54/1 75/8 99/24 104/24 123/24 136/15 breach [10] 15/19 136/25 153/13 155/22 158/18 **BLAKE [7]** 1/9 104/7 104/19 105/6 109/7 117/18 161/5 blamed [1] 102/24 blanket [1] 100/18 **bloke [1]** 113/6 blue [1] 157/7 **BMs [2]** 9/8 9/15 **board [1]** 157/4 **Bogerd [1]** 90/2 **bold [1]** 133/15 **Bond [5]** 5/11 5/18 6/20 43/19 93/10 bonds [1] 139/24 **booklets** [1] 135/13 both [6] 20/4 57/7 58/12 64/15 79/4 131/16 bottom [20] 13/22 14/15 21/25 22/1 27/10 42/6 43/21 46/10 52/14 55/23 73/6 80/1 80/21 84/5 112/4 135/9 137/1 144/25 146/5 159/21 **bought [1]** 108/16 branch [78] 2/7 2/9 9/1 9/9 9/15 9/17 11/24 21/15 24/14

24/24 34/9 49/11 54/6

93/4 93/10 93/11 94/16 112/11 116/3 116/13 119/23 120/14 116/25 116/25 120/25 121/3 121/7 121/13 127/8 127/10 128/18 130/23 132/1 132/5 132/7 132/16 132/24 133/1 133/3 133/9 134/2 135/9 135/11 135/12 135/14 built [1] 144/6 135/17 135/20 135/21 bullet [16] 56/14 135/24 138/1 144/12 145/11 148/21 149/12 149/14 149/21 149/23 150/2 150/24 151/1 151/2 151/15 151/23 152/7 152/12 152/25 153/4 153/8 153/10 153/22 154/2 155/21 155/24 156/1 156/3 156/23 branch's [1] 121/1 branches [18] 35/11 53/24 54/22 116/2 116/9 123/2 123/3 123/6 123/12 123/16 128/15 132/9 150/15 150/16 153/17 154/8 158/20 158/22 brand [1] 88/3 67/1 67/2 67/18 70/22 73/21 75/25 76/15 87/7 89/22 breaches [4] 73/22 74/11 74/12 78/6 bread [1] 62/18 break [6] 63/14 65/24 66/5 89/8 89/11 89/15 breaking [1] 118/11 **Breeden [2]** 49/22 160/11 brief [1] 137/17 **Briefing [1]** 99/7 briefly [5] 2/22 27/23 87/13 89/22 131/24 **brilliant [4]** 9/13 9/18 9/20 10/11 bring [6] 20/19 52/9 55/3 55/7 100/25 131/5 bringing [2] 135/1 155/17 British [1] 92/1 broad [1] 75/22 broader [2] 76/10 76/21 broadly [1] 17/6 **Brooks [3]** 5/17 6/19 93/9 brought [5] 41/22 107/13 107/15 124/5 149/15 56/6 88/2 91/16 91/24 budgets [1] 38/18

bug [7] 31/24 32/2 116/2 116/2 116/8 bugs [17] 13/16 45/17 47/16 51/2 51/8 63/9 75/18 78/1 78/10 90/14 110/20 111/14 113/2 117/3 117/5 156/13 157/5 56/16 57/23 60/10 61/6 62/2 62/4 64/9 64/10 64/22 65/3 65/15 133/20 147/19 147/23 148/9 bunch [1] 5/22 **bundle [2]** 19/10 29/22 burden [1] 97/18 **bus [1]** 134/15 business [37] 3/17 13/4 27/2 28/4 35/21 36/6 36/9 46/4 46/5 62/18 84/23 85/3 85/4 85/18 86/17 109/15 109/23 110/6 110/8 122/11 122/16 123/17 125/6 126/5 126/21 128/21 130/20 131/16 142/7 145/17 145/20 154/6 155/16 156/15 156/17 158/6 158/13 **busy [1]** 9/9 but [93] 3/23 4/2 4/12 4/12 7/25 8/17 9/12 10/2 10/6 10/8 11/7 12/4 13/8 13/20 14/10 15/15 16/2 17/5 17/17 17/22 19/6 19/9 19/24 21/9 23/18 25/24 26/12 30/7 30/10 31/11 42/13 53/5 55/9 56/16 56/16 58/12 59/14 60/17 61/13 62/7 63/8 64/9 68/19 68/22 70/10 71/3 72/15 73/21 78/16 79/18 80/6 83/4 86/24 91/1 91/8 97/4 98/15 99/11 99/17 101/15 107/20 108/25 109/18 112/17 114/21 115/3 115/10 115/13 116/5 120/20 123/11 124/3 126/8 126/21 129/19 129/21 132/20 132/23 132/25 135/25 136/5 136/10 143/24 145/13 147/2 151/6 153/13 154/14 155/4 156/2 157/6 159/17 159/19 butter [1] 62/18 button [3] 7/11 11/1

14/7 buyer [1] 85/22 buying [1] 86/17 buzz [1] 158/18

**calculate [1]** 14/7 calculation [1] 112/10 **calculator** [11] 7/25 8/6 8/8 8/17 14/24 16/20 32/13 32/17 32/20 50/18 102/3 calendar [1] 135/24 call [3] 98/14 118/8 118/17 called [10] 5/17 21/7 52/13 55/11 55/12 68/9 91/18 93/9 93/11 116/1 came [11] 5/10 6/15 8/22 25/25 40/13 105/3 139/23 142/10 150/1 157/3 157/3 campaign [3] 40/12 40/14 107/8 can [93] 1/3 1/5 1/10 2/22 3/25 4/6 4/21 4/24 5/6 5/9 6/12 8/17 8/19 9/18 17/3 18/22 18/23 19/9 19/15 20/19 20/23 21/11 23/2 27/7 27/8 27/10 27/16 29/11 32/21 34/20 35/6 35/25 45/21 51/24 57/10 58/15 61/11 62/9 62/24 63/1 65/11 66/16 67/24 73/2 73/13 76/12 78/13 81/12 83/3 83/19 85/1 87/8 87/13 87/23 89/5 89/11 89/22 90/25 91/5 91/24 93/7 93/21 94/3 94/12 96/10 96/14 99/2 100/24 103/15 103/22 104/7 104/8 110/10 114/23 115/9 115/11 115/20 115/23 116/12 118/5 118/7 118/17 121/24 125/4 125/21 128/6 130/9 136/16 142/25 143/19 148/2 148/10 151/18 can't [18] 5/1 19/12 30/10 32/21 40/17 61/21 62/7 80/4 83/5 92/8 96/13 98/2 98/4 99/14 104/10 117/25 130/10 159/19 cannot [1] 112/17 capability [5] 22/15

25/22 26/4 26/21

97/23 98/3 C cc [1] 29/5 commercially [3] **concern [13]** 42/8 cc'd [1] 27/20 claim [1] 34/19 45/2 45/11 45/14 42/16 43/14 50/4 capability... [1] 31/18 cease [1] 88/7 claimants [4] 43/24 commitment [1] 76/17 77/11 106/9 capable [1] 79/9 cent [3] 82/24 125/24 44/20 110/23 112/8 131/19 113/16 114/6 118/10 capacity [1] 72/15 125/25 claimed [1] 19/1 common [1] 100/16 124/9 124/25 145/23 capture [4] 57/24 central [2] 37/18 38/4 claims [1] 19/3 concerned [2] 42/22 communicate [3] 62/2 62/5 153/7 44/4 70/24 110/19 clear [9] 30/7 33/20 75/22 centrally [2] 132/10 capturing [1] 127/13 155/17 51/10 54/18 58/22 communicated [1] concerning [1] 45/1 card [1] 158/9 certain [9] 6/25 31/23 109/2 127/9 131/7 42/5 concerns [13] 22/12 cards [1] 130/24 38/18 48/18 68/24 43/3 95/2 104/22 150/22 communicating [2] care [1] 128/20 105/15 148/19 153/23 clearly [10] 13/22 44/15 84/11 106/14 106/20 107/3 careers [1] 22/4 14/14 17/21 24/8 47/4 156/1 107/13 108/2 108/7 communication [3] carelessness [5] certainly [7] 25/3 53/21 85/17 94/25 24/19 70/12 70/19 108/12 108/19 108/21 61/3 61/10 62/14 64/4 29/24 30/19 99/14 99/16 103/1 Communications [1] **concession** [1] 85/19 64/19 144/20 158/6 158/13 clerk [2] 120/5 156/21 conclusion [4] 79/7 carried [2] 12/9 **certificate** [3] 131/23 103/19 117/11 127/22 120/11 communities [2] 133/16 132/1 154/13 client [1] 72/17 42/19 77/14 **conduct [4]** 141/16 case [44] 18/25 clients [1] 3/6 141/25 142/14 153/23 cetera [8] 6/25 49/16 community [4] 17/15 25/15 28/2 34/13 65/6 50/18 59/20 84/25 close [2] 26/18 57/15 63/15 90/6 conducted [1] 68/21 71/11 72/6 86/3 102/4 102/4 160/13 companies [2] 15/9 136/14 72/24 73/1 75/2 76/22 chain [4] 27/9 99/25 closely [2] 93/25 15/13 conducting [3] 78/23 79/3 80/4 80/5 81/2 110/2 120/7 130/21 company [37] 3/1 79/5 126/18 81/6 81/22 82/13 closer [4] 3/22 3/24 chains [2] 100/17 5/23 16/7 16/23 17/5 confidence [5] 70/9 82/18 82/21 85/14 101/15 35/13 59/23 21/5 26/3 40/15 42/9 70/11 71/1 73/8 148/4 88/14 91/5 91/11 42/13 42/20 45/5 closing [1] 123/22 **confidence' [1]** 70/15 **challenge** [2] 28/4 91/17 91/19 92/7 46/18 47/7 47/22 49/9 confidential [1] 153/1 **clunky [1]** 51/19 92/24 93/10 94/18 50/4 51/12 54/3 68/25 148/8 **challenges** [1] 34/16 **CMA [1]** 137/9 94/20 95/7 96/10 69/1 72/18 75/22 76/3 confidentiality [3] **change [16]** 3/18 code [3] 141/16 96/12 96/18 97/6 21/5 24/11 35/20 141/25 142/14 77/12 78/18 81/25 45/1 45/13 47/25 97/10 97/16 97/17 37/21 38/11 42/3 43/5 95/13 102/10 102/16 cognisant [1] 63/4 **confirm [3]** 118/21 97/22 98/4 98/9 133/22 151/25 103/1 105/7 105/10 50/7 50/8 50/24 57/1 collaboration [1] 100/18 84/6 110/8 154/18 128/10 114/16 114/18 117/7 **confirming [1]** 152/7 cases [13] 17/22 155/7 collating [1] 122/15 124/2 conflation [1] 75/9 33/21 35/17 41/6 43/3 changed [1] 19/25 colleague [4] 9/11 **compare** [3] 7/6 confusion [3] 62/9 74/19 77/13 82/15 changes [1] 42/11 134/17 148/1 152/4 10/22 31/23 62/25 65/20 90/23 90/24 90/25 charge [5] 38/8 49/23 colleagues [9] 8/23 compared [3] 48/21 conjunction [1] 94/2 97/21 49/23 67/3 67/4 24/16 24/24 138/11 49/7 145/14 134/23 cash [25] 10/7 12/1 139/2 139/10 146/12 connected [1] 26/25 charges [4] 67/12 comparison [1] 16/7 12/18 13/9 23/21 34/2 71/16 74/24 75/7 146/16 151/22 complaining [1] 24/3 connection [2] 6/7 60/12 60/19 63/23 **check [9]** 10/8 come [25] 3/22 4/22 **complaint** [7] 19/8 70/18 88/3 121/8 126/14 132/21 132/25 133/5 5/2 8/11 14/4 16/22 20/12 29/16 29/25 consequences [1] 126/14 127/7 133/10 133/10 133/19 134/1 19/13 25/24 32/19 39/23 46/7 48/10 141/8 133/22 133/25 136/12 134/18 151/23 56/8 60/23 65/14 66/1 complaints [13] consider [6] 15/12 139/17 140/6 144/8 39/13 74/8 78/3 87/25 checks [11] 127/12 93/22 94/12 97/3 97/5 13/19 13/20 18/10 145/8 148/18 151/24 132/12 132/13 132/14 117/21 129/8 143/7 22/12 24/4 25/17 141/15 152/3 132/15 132/17 133/4 148/19 158/1 159/8 25/19 29/19 35/2 41/2 considerable [3] Castleton [5] 94/7 136/13 147/6 153/23 159/16 159/17 48/7 48/20 77/21 33/15 72/8 124/25 94/9 94/19 96/10 154/14 comes [1] 93/15 complete [2] 66/21 consideration [7] 159/25 Chesterfield [2] comfort [2] 89/8 148/4 34/20 73/19 75/18 category [2] 148/23 88/18 129/10 138/16 120/25 150/22 100/18 completed [6] 9/23 149/5 children [1] 8/19 coming [10] 13/3 11/22 18/16 91/12 143/25 cause [11] 4/16 33/8 17/3 21/23 48/2 50/11 106/23 121/10 considered [6] 9/20 **Christmas [2]** 9/8 33/13 40/18 62/9 119/12 125/10 126/9 completely [6] 17/21 9/25 16/20 34/19 37/4 62/25 78/11 106/9 **circulate** [1] 53/10 130/17 156/17 18/4 47/22 97/18 143/16 148/15 113/16 114/6 158/18 considering [1] circulated [2] 158/5 command [1] 110/2 111/21 116/6 caused [18] 3/8 158/17 comment [6] 10/11 142/13 compliance [5] 10/10 17/9 17/23 11/21 53/15 100/7 circulation [1] 53/12 131/23 132/3 146/7 **consistent [4]** 25/11 18/19 19/2 30/15 112/13 112/17 154/11 154/14 34/15 54/19 131/8 circumstances [10] 30/25 47/15 61/4 61/9 5/10 63/1 63/5 68/6 commented [1] complicated [1] 8/21 consistently [1] 62/12 62/13 64/3 64/5 76/9 82/12 146/24 149/7 Computer [5] 40/21 127/19 78/9 79/21 140/1 148/11 148/16 148/25 commenting [1] 41/16 41/20 41/24 constitute [1] 70/21 causes [1] 78/19 civil [11] 73/1 75/2 99/25 consultation [1] 81/9 causing [2] 82/7 75/10 90/19 90/23 comments [1] 40/4 conceal [3] 44/5 **consulted** [1] 95/4 156/10 95/7 97/1 97/6 97/16 commercial [1] 48/1 110/20 112/8 contact [6] 81/24

137/22 138/3 140/5 151/15 152/25 153/15 debt [16] 35/4 47/11 C controlling [1] 135/6 controls [2] 127/3 141/19 141/24 144/1 153/17 153/19 153/25 contact... [5] 90/20 131/16 144/7 144/15 146/2 154/8 154/16 154/23 91/7 93/23 148/4 conversation [4] 147/15 148/17 149/9 158/10 158/14 158/17 157/1 20/17 29/20 51/9 150/5 152/3 153/17 159/3 159/15 159/18 contacted [1] 28/15 100/20 157/25 159/21 159/20 **contained [2]** 101/23 Crowns [4] 135/23 conversationally [1] couldn't [7] 15/6 121/1 18/19 29/19 32/24 136/10 151/8 154/5 111/2 content [1] 52/19 33/21 116/4 139/20 Crowns' [1] 158/8 conversations [5] contents [4] 105/2 17/12 28/7 52/6 Counsel [3] 101/19 culpability [1] 75/7 119/8 124/15 149/17 101/11 103/20 102/16 102/25 **culpable [1]** 73/20 context [7] 11/22 converting [1] count [1] 34/1 culture [10] 34/24 30/4 45/22 85/2 95/6 123/23 counter [11] 9/11 35/5 35/7 36/15 36/21 97/20 98/3 120/5 120/10 120/21 37/3 37/5 37/5 38/21 cope [1] 25/20 contingency [1] copied [6] 28/17 125/1 125/21 126/11 126/21 132/6 28/23 94/4 98/23 127/4 132/22 136/19 **current** [1] 3/7 continue [1] 36/8 100/16 101/14 151/22 **currently [1]** 84/18 **continuity** [1] 76/4 copy [6] 68/2 68/7 Counters [1] 120/10 custody [1] 81/9 contract [49] 3/14 99/22 118/24 119/6 country [3] 49/2 49/4 **custom [3]** 71/6 4/10 6/15 15/19 23/23 71/17 71/22 159/25 94/14 41/1 48/7 54/15 57/4 course [9] 2/2 19/4 corporate [1] 17/16 **customer [5]** 7/12 57/11 57/20 57/21 correct [22] 2/8 2/14 25/24 47/7 81/18 11/3 11/7 11/15 57/25 58/7 58/9 58/21 2/15 5/19 6/6 21/3 81/20 91/13 115/18 128/20 58/24 59/7 62/4 62/6 29/6 29/10 33/10 152/5 customers [2] 2/7 62/20 63/4 63/15 58/11 66/15 87/22 court [2] 92/4 92/20 88/4 65/19 67/2 67/18 69/2 88/14 92/5 104/2 **cover [1]** 143/12 cut [1] 38/22 69/9 73/21 74/12 106/18 120/2 120/12 CWU [1] 137/8 covered [2] 123/2 74/13 75/25 76/15 122/7 122/21 123/14 130/13 cycle [3] 3/3 26/24 77/6 78/6 80/18 83/18 147/7 covers [1] 131/23 58/2 85/14 85/15 85/23 correction [2] 14/3 Craig [3] 4/11 49/22 86/12 86/22 86/24 34/17 52/3 87/6 89/21 116/24 daily [2] 134/3 crash [1] 17/18 corrections [2] 33/8 123/12 143/24 145/6 134/13 136/16 **crashing** [1] 18/7 contracts [63] 2/12 data [20] 15/13 15/22 Deficiencies [1] 64/6 **Crichton [2]** 101/19 correctly [1] 120/23 2/17 2/19 3/12 3/13 17/19 18/6 31/25 33/3 deficits [2] 28/9 correspondence [4] 102/17 3/15 4/13 4/14 17/7 33/5 33/6 45/1 45/13 24/21 24/25 48/9 criminal [17] 69/17 17/19 21/20 21/24 47/25 49/3 68/10 70/2 72/23 75/5 90/18 77/20 24/7 26/20 29/16 68/10 79/8 79/10 corridor [4] 105/8 90/22 90/24 90/25 34/20 48/17 49/24 79/18 112/9 124/20 91/8 91/14 95/10 97/2 105/9 107/14 108/6 51/16 51/20 52/2 97/4 97/17 97/22 98/1 127/14 **corridors [1]** 105/19 57/12 57/14 57/16 date [3] 89/4 120/8 **could [98]** 3/21 3/22 98/14 58/5 59/3 59/15 60/5 124/15 7/13 7/15 12/20 13/22 criteria [1] 133/7 61/16 61/17 61/20 dated [5] 1/16 89/5 14/10 17/19 21/1 21/6 critical [1] 101/24 61/24 62/17 64/13 21/25 23/11 23/22 118/25 129/9 155/3 cross [1] 97/21 64/14 64/24 65/2 dates [1] 2/21 26/6 27/1 30/6 30/8 Crown [75] 2/7 8/13 66/11 67/16 68/3 day [11] 3/2 3/2 4/15 31/4 31/5 31/23 32/1 12/16 12/22 13/6 68/14 68/17 71/7 4/15 10/8 10/10 22/7 33/6 33/7 33/13 41/13 28/21 91/16 120/19 71/18 72/22 72/25 32/8 132/23 148/20 43/12 45/4 45/10 121/15 121/25 122/18 73/17 76/6 76/11 152/8 45/14 49/12 50/8 122/23 123/2 123/2 76/18 76/20 82/17 days [4] 30/5 34/22 52/15 54/16 55/2 55/5 123/6 123/16 123/18 83/7 83/9 84/7 90/5 59/19 137/10 55/7 55/22 55/24 56/2 124/8 124/14 125/1 91/6 94/13 97/8 97/12 deal [12] 4/14 26/4 59/2 59/7 62/25 66/1 125/3 125/17 126/3 108/13 117/8 123/7 48/15 48/18 65/4 67/5 69/4 69/6 69/10 127/5 128/3 128/16 contractual [13] 56/1 76/15 82/10 90/13 71/5 71/5 71/9 76/6 130/2 131/3 131/8 56/5 57/7 58/16 58/18 92/15 92/16 109/24 80/18 80/21 85/21 131/12 131/20 133/17 58/23 59/6 60/22 144/25 96/16 99/20 99/24 133/18 135/4 136/1 78/11 81/15 91/11 138/10 138/23 139/10 dealing [6] 46/6 72/9 100/19 101/15 108/11 95/5 116/20 74/11 78/5 140/3 109/24 110/14 112/20 141/5 141/14 141/22 contractually [1] 142/10 142/16 142/20 143/17 116/8 117/11 117/21 65/8 deals [2] 136/19 118/21 120/16 122/3 143/1 143/8 143/11 contrary [1] 13/13 143/7 143/17 144/5 144/10 124/1 124/13 129/23 contrast [1] 123/10 dealt [2] 23/18 72/24 130/3 130/25 131/24 144/23 145/15 146/2 control [3] 38/17 **Dear [1]** 29/14 132/4 132/23 133/12 146/10 147/5 147/25 134/21 154/15 150/10 150/15 150/16 death [1] 3/4 135/24 137/4 137/19

(47) contact... - deploy

50/21 51/4 51/4 51/10

55/13 62/24 63/2 63/6

debts [3] 30/14 51/12

51/22 52/13 53/23

54/10 54/21 55/12

debt/integrity [1]

decide [1] 152/11

decided [3] 128/1

**decision [6]** 67/19

72/20 76/14 84/12

decisions [1] 36/24

declaration [2] 10/7

declare [1] 136/12

declared [5] 132/18

144/10 144/21 144/22

declaring [1] 144/16

deemed [1] 125/14

default [1] 146/22

defects [12] 13/17

75/19 78/2 90/15

defence [1] 71/16

defined [1] 127/9

defines [1] 54/1

defraud [1] 45/5

60/14 64/7

131/21

107/23

46/17

128/8

102/21

131/20

den [1] 90/2

delay [7] 56/11 56/13

56/21 56/24 60/13

deliver [2] 131/5

153/6 157/12

delivered [3] 26/10

**delivery [1]** 121/6

demonstrated [1]

department [2] 28/4

departments [1]

depend [1] 68/6

depending [2]

144/21 152/10

deploy [2] 127/14

departure [2] 6/10

depended [1] 112/12

dependent [1] 152/6

demand [1] 3/5

defect [2] 31/25 32/2

45/17 47/16 51/8 63/9

105/13 105/20 156/13

deems [1] 88/2

136/10 139/20

123/17 148/13

133/25

152/18

157/6

28/11

35/4

62/19

105/12 106/9 107/25 D discovered [1] 48/11 50/13 51/17 109/20 111/4 113/16 142/21 51/18 51/22 56/19 deployed [4] 85/15 113/23 114/6 114/9 discovery [1] 119/22 57/1 58/17 59/18 90/5 121/15 127/20 123/20 128/24 132/19 discrepancies [16] 65/13 69/17 71/5 deployers [1] 155/11 135/25 136/9 145/23 8/16 13/21 13/23 72/12 74/1 74/15 deployment [1] 13/23 14/2 17/8 17/24 148/19 148/19 154/25 74/21 75/3 75/14 84/16 155/6 158/17 159/18 23/6 31/21 34/4 44/7 77/25 79/8 79/25 82/7 deputy [1] 147/25 159/20 159/24 45/25 47/15 49/14 82/15 83/23 84/2 derived [1] 145/9 didn't [23] 13/20 134/22 156/10 84/22 87/19 91/25 describe [2] 2/22 14/13 15/16 38/13 93/1 95/8 95/16 99/8 discrepancy [22] 36/15 41/4 42/13 48/11 14/14 18/18 19/1 100/9 100/21 104/6 described [10] 9/17 48/21 49/1 50/24 109/21 111/4 111/6 23/17 23/20 34/10 13/2 13/10 35/7 37/13 52/19 59/13 79/14 39/5 39/7 39/9 39/10 111/7 112/17 112/18 38/1 92/10 92/17 101/16 102/6 108/23 46/15 66/19 66/20 112/21 113/5 115/9 109/6 117/1 109/8 110/4 113/5 78/9 78/15 79/21 115/10 116/18 119/1 description [4] 8/9 121/19 128/9 154/4 87/16 112/11 151/16 119/6 119/7 135/21 8/10 8/11 32/19 152/2 152/15 153/1 136/4 136/10 137/7 154/24 design [1] 155/10 differed [1] 128/13 discrete [2] 59/17 143/5 148/22 150/11 designed [3] 54/18 difference [13] 56/10 89/19 151/16 153/13 157/6 58/4 141/23 56/17 56/19 60/17 159/13 discretion [4] 38/13 desire [1] 70/17 61/15 92/11 121/16 38/17 58/20 109/9 document [63] 4/22 desires [1] 70/24 121/18 121/20 121/21 discuss [1] 82/12 4/24 5/8 43/23 44/19 desk [1] 97/21 132/13 145/16 145/24 discussed [5] 17/6 52/9 56/20 56/21 despatch [1] 135/15 differences [1] 82/2 105/5 105/18 56/23 62/23 62/24 despite [1] 127/18 121/14 113/3 63/3 65/3 65/18 73/3 destroy [1] 160/3 different [27] 2/16 discussing [5] 101/8 84/1 85/5 85/10 86/3 detail [1] 31/6 104/18 109/7 116/1 86/23 89/3 89/5 92/2 4/23 9/12 24/7 49/20 detailed [1] 99/15 54/15 57/11 57/19 129/7 98/24 110/11 114/20 details [3] 131/18 57/24 63/17 66/8 discussion [11] 114/24 114/24 115/4 135/13 148/7 69/16 73/15 84/17 20/11 49/18 92/21 115/9 115/21 115/23 detain [1] 81/8 85/4 86/6 86/10 90/17 115/24 116/11 124/14 52/22 73/4 73/9 73/10 93/8 96/10 105/6 detect [1] 31/21 91/1 97/19 100/8 107/16 110/18 126/2 124/21 124/23 126/24 determine [4] 67/17 115/21 136/21 136/21 137/8 149/19 127/19 129/7 129/9 80/3 84/13 116/24 145/13 150/7 155/15 discussions [5] 129/11 130/4 130/7 **determined** [2] 96/1 102/7 103/3 103/18 differentiation [2] 130/10 130/13 130/15 117/9 72/3 145/18 107/14 108/7 130/25 133/14 137/2 devastating [1] 116/9 differently [2] 41/10 dishonest [1] 111/21 141/21 142/8 143/4 develop [5] 2/25 3/11 disjoins [1] 51/25 64/21 146/5 147/21 149/7 3/15 75/21 102/10 149/9 149/12 149/25 difficult [10] 17/13 disks [2] 120/24 developed [7] 3/5 150/5 150/13 155/5 48/23 68/20 71/14 121/23 58/2 85/4 98/25 127/2 80/11 99/10 103/23 dismayed [1] 23/22 159/13 128/22 137/13 117/10 143/22 148/3 dismissive [3] 40/16 documentary [1] developing [1] 53/3 difficulties [4] 20/21 102/11 108/8 39/15 Development [5] 24/3 26/1 105/13 display [1] 155/4 documentation [4] 2/17 2/17 2/20 4/9 dispute [4] 46/10 difficulty [3] 6/24 98/21 98/23 122/16 42/24 150/20 13/15 75/3 81/16 95/13 97/1 developments [3] diligence [1] 78/17 documents [8] 29/21 disputes [2] 66/9 4/11 42/1 102/24 direct [8] 34/8 35/9 66/12 30/7 31/9 31/24 91/1 diagnostics [1] 121/7 disquiet [1] 107/21 35/14 35/22 36/2 91/4 93/6 135/16 dialling [1] 59/11 95/24 96/1 96/3 distinction [1] 86/16 does [19] 14/25 Dickinson [4] 5/11 distribution [3] 100/2 16/22 21/17 29/7 directed [1] 103/11 5/18 6/20 43/19 directing [1] 103/13 100/11 101/2 52/16 64/8 64/15 did [62] 4/1 4/16 7/5 do [99] 8/22 9/6 9/11 64/20 70/5 81/3 90/8 directly [14] 6/22 7/6 8/10 9/1 9/6 10/21 10/7 15/20 15/22 93/21 94/17 95/14 7/19 13/20 18/18 10/22 12/14 14/17 40/12 60/4 91/20 15/24 16/4 18/6 19/19 96/21 98/22 99/5 15/12 18/10 25/13 92/25 108/16 109/14 20/25 21/2 24/4 24/25 124/16 150/22 26/1 26/12 26/17 109/22 123/3 128/15 25/2 25/16 25/22 doesn't [10] 10/14 29/24 32/23 34/1 145/21 27/12 27/24 28/20 25/14 37/22 46/3 36/20 36/22 39/16 28/21 28/22 29/2 56/21 56/24 64/15 **Director** [1] 43/9 40/25 42/3 50/11 31/13 32/1 38/14 71/2 117/4 136/22 disciplinary [3] 135/1 52/23 53/4 60/2 74/8 38/18 38/19 39/22 doing [3] 12/19 62/21 141/15 142/13 77/16 79/1 83/10 disciplined [1] 23/23 40/2 42/21 43/24 132/25 91/14 92/11 92/16 44/18 45/3 45/8 45/8 **disclosed [1]** 18/23 **dollar [1]** 159/21 95/21 101/25 104/23 disclosure [1] 67/25 46/4 46/24 47/19 domain [2] 16/12

(48) deployed - earlier

18/14

157/6

74/13

125/12

113/2

don't [30] 20/5 23/4

31/17 51/25 56/15

77/23 79/17 79/20

97/14 99/10 104/4

24/7 26/17 27/25 31/2

59/9 59/25 69/15 72/3

89/5 90/22 92/21 97/2

105/4 109/14 109/22

done [3] 41/10 53/1

doubt [3] 75/1 75/11

down [30] 5/16 6/18

7/16 20/16 21/25 50/7

52/15 53/25 54/2 56/2

59/11 65/14 76/12

99/24 107/25 111/1

115/23 118/11 121/22

126/25 127/23 132/11

133/14 136/16 137/1

draft [3] 73/8 73/10

drafted [9] 50/20

73/11 74/2 75/13

drafting [8] 26/14

61/25 74/9 89/2

draw [1] 67/16

driver [2] 76/19

due [12] 2/2 7/12

39/25 51/3 52/23 53/4

drive [3] 50/11 94/6

driven [2] 76/10 77/8

11/2 25/24 30/13 64/6

127/20 127/25 153/15

**during [19]** 4/7 10/10

19/4 21/4 23/17 29/20

34/6 48/7 49/21 59/25

65/9 68/1 68/13 68/20

duty [3] 132/7 132/17

each [5] 30/16 56/9

134/8 146/23 154/2

56/24 78/7 82/2 94/23

100/15 110/11 139/16

earlier [14] 11/24

30/4 38/20 55/11

96/25 97/14 97/19

78/3 88/6 106/1

113/12 115/18

148/2

duties [1] 92/19

110/25 118/10 125/1

147/22 151/21

99/17

75/17

94/15

76/22

93/11 94/8 96/17

door [2] 116/15

114/21 126/14 143/24

132/5 Ε employed [3] 120/19 escalation [11] 92/19 95/16 97/9 123/7 123/11 127/14 137/3 137/5 97/25 100/12 124/2 extra [1] 22/19 early [10] 4/20 25/17 extreme [1] 115/18 **employee [3]** 33/25 138/5 138/14 140/16 130/16 136/7 139/22 30/5 36/4 59/19 122/4 91/17 142/12 141/7 141/9 141/17 144/22 147/13 154/13 extremely [2] 32/17 124/16 128/7 137/10 employees [7] 141/22 155/19 examples [15] 4/6 60/8 155/10 119/22 142/20 143/2 **especially [1]** 148/3 16/15 18/4 18/5 18/17 **eye [1]** 137/18 ears [1] 153/12 143/8 143/12 143/21 essentials [4] 84/18 18/20 18/22 37/25 eyes [3] 133/8 easily [1] 12/20 133/12 153/12 145/15 85/6 86/8 86/11 41/9 41/14 45/6 98/2 East [4] 2/13 21/21 establishing [1] employment [2] 106/25 107/23 108/15 24/6 48/19 116/7 123/7 142/12 **Excel [1]** 138/2 **Eastbourne [1]** 21/15 face [5] 35/14 35/14 encountered [2] 9/22 estate [9] 6/23 7/20 Excel-based [1] **eastern [2]** 2/13 35/22 35/22 79/12 8/13 12/16 12/22 13/1 137/22 138/2 21/21 faced [1] 153/4 **encourage [1]** 45/15 13/6 91/17 131/4 except [1] 60/5 **ECCO [6]** 120/16 fact [23] 14/6 34/22 et [8] 6/25 49/16 end [11] 6/15 9/23 **excepting [1]** 22/4 120/17 120/18 121/4 60/14 62/1 63/2 65/12 10/7 11/17 21/23 32/5 50/18 59/20 84/25 **exception [1]** 23/12 121/14 121/17 77/8 77/15 95/2 98/16 68/18 116/12 137/19 86/3 102/4 102/4 **exchange [4]** 18/25 effect [3] 28/8 116/9 103/21 105/6 105/10 153/10 156/18 19/10 40/23 41/15 et cetera [7] 6/25 131/4 108/5 108/14 109/1 ended [1] 122/1 49/16 50/18 84/25 exclusively [1] 73/22 effectively [10] 8/5 ExCo [3] 4/5 50/14 109/7 116/5 116/12 endgame [1] 155/24 86/3 102/4 102/4 37/23 81/7 125/10 116/25 118/10 121/21 enforce [1] 143/23 etc [1] 134/16 103/14 131/13 132/24 136/3 154/2 ethos [2] 113/1 117/3 exculpatory [2] engineers [4] 106/4 136/9 136/11 137/9 factor [2] 128/20 113/13 113/21 114/12 **evacuation** [1] 132/6 67/12 79/4 efficiency [4] 12/22 158/8 England [2] 2/14 evaluate [1] 66/25 **Excursionary** [1] 13/4 35/21 122/19 factors [4] 76/13 21/21 evaluation [1] 67/21 92/2 efficient [1] 52/8 even [4] 22/12 31/23 excuse [1] 61/14 76/13 77/7 127/20 enough [4] 16/5 **eg [4]** 84/17 144/6 facts [1] 79/6 59/3 60/6 75/23 128/19 148/25 **execute** [1] 9/25 145/9 152/8 failure [1] 33/7 **enquiries** [1] 84/24 evening [3] 12/21 **Executive [2]** 4/17 eg if [1] 144/6 failures [1] 79/21 **enquiry [1]** 70/19 22/6 150/18 50/15 eg paper [1] 145/9 fair [8] 30/8 30/20 ensure [4] 135/18 event [3] 17/20 31/4 exercised [1] 80/25 eg Post [1] 84/17 50/19 50/23 77/18 146/10 146/12 147/7 85/11 **exhausted** [1] 81/18 eg transfers [1] 105/21 142/14 142/16 ensuring [1] 135/5 events [1] 129/1 **existed [2]** 74/6 152/8 enter [1] 137/16 fairly [3] 10/2 17/5 ever [15] 9/1 10/6 151/25 either [17] 3/5 3/11 entered [2] 18/14 51/9 83/10 91/14 101/21 **existing** [2] 3/12 21/12 22/17 25/19 fairness [1] 108/12 79/19 92/18 94/14 105/4 30/12 26/7 29/1 30/12 33/6 fall [2] 148/23 149/5 123/15 143/16 143/25 expect [3] 49/13 entire [1] 115/8 33/9 39/6 43/6 62/16 false [2] 67/5 73/23 145/23 159/16 159/24 144/20 144/22 entirely [6] 57/19 70/5 77/4 98/24 familiar [2] 13/18 72/24 95/11 97/16 160/4 **experience** [9] 15/5 125/10 every [2] 35/9 120/20 95/9 19/16 20/4 21/4 24/17 111/4 111/5 **elaborate** [1] 108/22 far [9] 24/18 34/22 29/15 34/8 72/8 83/1 entitled [6] 28/3 **everything [1]** 14/12 electronic [2] 33/6 35/21 36/13 115/19 70/12 89/21 95/3 evidence [33] 8/13 experienced [1] 121/11 143/19 145/23 153/20 124/14 146/6 13/12 14/23 15/6 145/2 element [4] 132/20 154/16 entries [1] 10/4 15/17 16/3 16/15 experiences [3] 5/23 147/17 154/1 154/15 30/18 30/23 30/25 40/25 46/2 faster [1] 13/8 environment [2] 12/4 **elements [2]** 128/20 fault [2] 24/22 25/3 13/9 31/16 34/18 39/15 experiencing [4] 132/3 faulty [1] 28/9 **EPOS [8]** 15/1 15/4 39/17 41/11 66/16 44/6 47/3 77/9 82/5 else [2] 114/11 67/12 67/17 68/3 68/8 expert [8] 91/19 favour [1] 33/9 15/10 15/14 15/18 157/18 feature [2] 26/13 15/23 16/6 17/23 79/4 91/14 91/18 97/7 91/22 91/23 92/10 else's [4] 15/2 15/4 102/6 **EPOSS [1]** 15/7 98/5 104/25 107/7 92/11 92/12 92/14 15/8 16/22 **Federation [5]** 67/10 **equipment** [2] 18/7 109/3 114/22 116/23 92/20 elsewhere [2] 51/15 117/10 118/13 118/16 explain [9] 61/11 69/4 70/25 71/8 71/20 127/7 155/13 er [2] 7/9 14/24 feel [1] 20/25 evidenced [1] 37/1 66/10 120/17 125/4 email [21] 18/25 era [2] 38/23 59/22 ex [2] 98/12 153/8 128/6 130/9 131/24 feeling [1] 102/23 19/10 27/12 27/17 Err [3] 14/20 26/17 137/4 156/24 fell [1] 142/1 ex-branch [1] 153/8 27/24 29/3 29/4 29/12 fellow [1] 22/10 95/15 ex-postmaster's [1] explained [1] 153/16 40/2 40/23 41/15 94/5 felt [6] 25/2 25/20 error [12] 14/3 31/25 98/12 **explains [4]** 84/5 95/12 96/15 98/24 81/21 95/2 102/23 32/2 33/7 61/3 61/10 exactly [3] 44/18 99/6 124/23 135/10 99/21 99/25 100/2 62/14 64/4 64/19 79/1 149/18 111/11 explanation [1] 100/16 100/20 101/15 few [9] 19/14 30/8 79/24 152/6 152/10 **examining** [1] 79/4 134/19 emails [7] 27/9 28/18 30/20 87/4 93/6 errors [17] 13/16 **example [31]** 3/3 **exploring [1]** 115/16 50/17 93/13 94/1 104/20 125/7 153/20 45/17 47/16 51/8 63/9 4/17 13/14 15/12 extent [8] 5/23 7/5 100/24 100/24 75/19 78/1 78/9 90/14 15/21 31/4 40/22 41/1 10/21 44/5 53/5 74/8 154/16 emerged [3] 19/4 fewer [5] 8/16 34/22 110/21 111/14 113/3 47/11 48/6 57/2 58/20 105/16 116/3 41/7 57/17 35/21 36/6 36/13 117/4 125/13 152/8 61/9 66/18 71/25 76/7 **externally [1]** 126/9 **emergence** [1] 50/24 field [6] 22/18 26/19 156/13 157/6 77/18 79/11 87/7 extinguishers [1]

129/24 frontline [1] 128/18 **Gilliland** [1] 43/1 guess [4] 23/7 72/13 focusing [3] 119/19 **FSA [1]** 147/3 give [13] 1/10 4/6 98/12 159/22 field... [4] 36/7 36/13 FSAs [1] 154/11 142/4 142/6 11/9 22/19 73/18 guidance [3] 74/18 59/24 153/18 follow [5] 37/8 40/2 FUJ00081584 [1] 91/14 91/18 96/11 127/6 131/8 figure [9] 125/25 53/23 54/9 140/18 114/20 100/25 103/22 106/9 guide [5] 149/17 145/9 150/10 150/12 follow-up [1] 40/2 Fujitsu [10] 68/11 113/16 114/6 149/21 150/13 157/24 158/4 158/6 158/15 79/11 106/4 112/19 given [15] 21/2 30/20 followed [6] 22/25 157/25 158/24 159/8 27/4 70/18 75/16 113/6 113/13 113/21 34/20 39/18 63/8 guidelines [1] 54/19 figures [4] 6/25 49/1 **Guiding [1]** 88/22 94/19 133/16 114/12 115/14 116/12 75/20 94/9 114/22 126/5 151/25 following [15] 21/14 115/6 125/18 127/9 full [5] 1/10 118/21 guilt [3] 74/19 74/22 final [12] 1/16 2/22 39/17 60/23 87/17 134/1 151/1 155/25 129/11 138/16 139/2 75/4 23/21 73/12 81/1 119/22 127/4 134/4 fully [3] 86/11 86/15 156/3 84/19 89/18 98/17 Н 135/17 138/21 139/8 136/12 glitch [1] 104/9 99/3 106/1 113/12 had [152] 9/13 11/19 141/3 141/11 146/11 funds [3] 67/6 73/24 glossary [1] 53/25 121/8 12/8 13/6 15/15 15/17 156/9 160/21 143/12 go [34] 8/25 9/7 9/10 finalised [2] 53/9 follows [4] 44/23 17/6 17/8 17/11 17/23 further [18] 39/3 9/24 11/6 14/13 19/19 53/14 18/7 18/17 18/18 19/1 124/24 131/2 146/9 67/13 79/5 104/4 19/22 19/23 20/5 finally [3] 131/18 20/14 20/15 20/22 foolproof [3] 17/17 117/13 117/16 128/22 20/10 27/8 30/11 135/3 139/6 22/23 22/24 23/9 17/21 18/4 134/19 136/15 136/25 32/23 33/6 39/3 54/16 finance [7] 51/15 23/14 24/9 24/21 137/21 138/19 139/7 55/22 59/7 63/20 forensic [1] 108/11 51/20 52/2 57/4 62/17 25/16 26/3 26/18 28/7 forerunner [2] 85/7 140/19 141/1 141/10 80/18 88/19 99/2 130/22 158/16 29/18 30/8 30/16 120/18 148/14 151/20 106/8 112/5 114/5 financial [9] 45/9 30/17 31/5 31/5 31/15 Forgive [2] 114/14 future [2] 128/2 114/23 130/25 137/20 86/25 110/22 126/6 31/16 31/21 33/19 140/23 144/1 150/5 152/18 120/9 126/23 133/17 147/3 forgot [1] 139/24 154/11 158/2 33/22 34/8 34/10 153/19 154/15 G 34/12 35/17 35/21 goes [2] 112/18 forgotten [1] 9/2 find [10] 7/5 10/21 gain [6] 33/13 106/4 36/2 37/1 38/12 38/16 form [2] 70/14 73/23 116/11 28/23 82/3 86/2 91/10 39/5 39/7 40/11 41/2 113/14 113/21 152/11 **formal [5]** 139/20 going [39] 1/6 4/22 133/12 152/6 152/8 41/10 41/15 43/2 43/4 152/18 140/4 140/21 141/4 6/16 6/18 7/14 7/16 159/10 43/8 46/3 46/3 46/7 gains [21] 124/6 19/14 27/11 29/11 141/12 finding [1] 42/18 127/13 129/22 130/1 46/11 46/14 46/14 formally [1] 160/12 42/18 43/22 49/4 findings [2] 102/11 formed [1] 97/9 130/14 131/11 131/14 49/11 50/21 52/9 55/3 47/15 49/6 49/17 50/6 108/9 135/6 135/19 136/15 52/5 56/18 57/13 former [2] 36/20 56/8 66/7 78/12 83/13 fine [7] 63/21 66/2 136/19 137/7 142/19 83/17 87/4 90/17 57/16 59/23 61/23 37/23 104/9 117/19 117/23 144/11 146/3 152/12 101/17 105/11 108/5 62/3 62/5 63/6 66/18 formulating [1] 25/25 137/20 157/1 152/23 153/14 154/20 66/21 67/1 67/17 114/19 115/18 119/17 forthcoming [1] fire [1] 132/5 154/23 157/25 67/20 67/20 68/3 125/10 125/11 125/11 139/4 first [30] 2/25 22/9 gap [1] 3/7 68/24 72/8 75/12 131/22 132/21 133/13 forward [1] 27/8 29/11 41/24 44/25 75/16 75/23 75/24 gaps [1] 3/8 136/13 137/2 144/24 found [9] 10/2 32/7 56/20 60/10 60/17 garbage [4] 7/21 77/3 79/21 79/23 145/17 34/12 66/22 71/14 61/6 61/11 62/1 64/10 7/22 32/14 32/14 81/18 84/7 85/4 87/15 73/20 113/3 152/16 gone [1] 116/4 64/21 65/2 69/2 69/16 92/23 94/9 94/12 152/17 gathered [3] 66/17 good [21] 1/3 23/21 69/18 73/7 75/4 80/14 94/18 95/23 96/1 96/6 four [3] 135/25 141/2 97/25 98/7 45/6 56/12 56/14 99/6 114/1 121/11 gave [2] 16/5 45/18 96/23 98/10 98/16 151/12 56/18 57/2 57/5 60/12 126/15 130/12 131/18 general [5] 42/16 98/18 101/11 101/14 franchise [5] 123/23 64/7 65/8 113/2 117/3 136/24 137/24 140/4 101/19 102/15 102/25 102/12 103/3 105/13 123/24 124/2 124/2 118/5 142/21 143/2 147/23 158/8 105/14 105/16 106/3 145/5 147/12 147/12 124/4 fit [1] 63/9 generally [4] 101/21 106/10 107/5 107/6 147/17 158/20 franchisees [1] 54/4 fits [1] 89/23 107/7 107/8 107/13 107/22 111/15 111/24 franchises [3] got [18] 20/4 21/9 five [5] 89/8 135/25 **generated** [2] 39/8 23/4 24/11 25/9 27/6 107/13 110/6 110/18 123/21 128/16 136/8 147/14 147/16 151/12 110/21 113/12 113/16 114/7 franchising [1] 44/10 46/15 83/25 five-week [1] 135/25 114/11 114/21 115/25 123/19 generation [1] 44/7 84/4 104/12 106/17 fixed [1] 86/13 Geoff [1] 132/21 112/25 114/24 128/11 124/8 128/19 128/23 franchisors [1] 15/16 flawed [1] 41/11 get [22] 7/22 14/15 130/19 132/7 132/17 147/18 153/12 155/15 frankly [1] 33/20 flawless [1] 32/25 19/19 19/21 42/6 137/6 137/13 139/25 Fraser [1] 65/5 govern [1] 69/11 flaws [5] 18/15 51/1 140/4 140/6 144/6 46/10 51/14 52/19 governed [1] 69/18 fraud [1] 45/15 106/25 107/24 117/5 145/5 149/23 152/15 80/1 80/11 108/23 Friday [1] 1/1 grateful [1] 160/15 floated [1] 147/14 113/23 115/19 125/6 153/3 154/2 154/5 friend [10] 69/6 69/7 great [2] 92/15 92/16 floppy [2] 120/24 126/22 126/22 148/22 154/11 156/9 156/25 70/3 70/9 70/12 71/4 Green [1] 21/7 121/23 154/4 155/24 159/18 158/5 159/8 71/7 71/24 72/4 72/13 group [11] 5/14 5/25 flushing [1] 78/18 6/1 6/7 19/4 32/9 40/5 hadn't [4] 10/9 11/22 159/20 159/22 friend's [1] 70/24 focus [4] 122/8 getting [2] 130/22 20/11 152/17 41/7 44/21 51/1 107/9 friends [1] 69/22 122/10 126/5 142/10 front [5] 1/13 118/24 haemorrhaging [1] 158/24 groups [1] 145/25 focused [2] 122/22 **GIGO [1]** 32/14 **groupthink** [1] 17/16 158/23 122/1 125/8 125/12

Н	160/2		103/18 108/11 109/12	
half [6] 6/16 12/21	he'd [1] 43/7	118/15	109/18 117/10 117/17	107/7 107/8 113/12
27/10 29/17 43/21	he's [3] 23/4 24/8	hindsight [2] 10/17	122/16 123/18 124/4	113/16 114/7 153/3
55/23	25/10	78/4	128/25 131/20 158/4	I have [4] 29/15
halfway [9] 6/18 7/15	head [11] 43/2 122/5	his [10] 22/10 64/3	however [3] 70/23	93/18 98/17 100/4
7/16 93/11 94/8	122/12 122/18 128/12		107/9 127/18	I haven't [2] 21/9
126/25 132/11 133/14	128/13 128/24 130/12		HR [1] 143/22	104/12
147/22	155/13 158/7 158/14	118/13	huge [4] 34/10 48/22 101/16 148/20	I heard [2] 41/24 113/25
hampered [1] 24/19	headed [1] 154/19 heading [2] 63/24	historic [2] 22/12 74/6	human [2] 8/1 33/7	I joined [3] 128/7
hand [11] 55/6 55/22	96/19		hundreds [1] 19/3	145/17 154/6
55/25 56/4 56/12	hear [11] 1/3 1/6 8/10		hurdle [2] 31/14	l just [4] 59/9 69/8
56/13 56/15 133/5	13/5 35/16 80/7 97/22		39/19	69/21 118/9
134/3 134/13 136/12	104/7 114/9 118/5	hold [1] 111/13		I know [6] 42/8 43/2
handwritten [1] 73/8	159/24	holiday [1] 23/17	<u>l</u>	104/5 126/21 156/19
<b>happen [3]</b> 3/2 43/12 88/14	heard [19] 8/9 8/24	holistic [1] 80/11	I advised [1] 23/9	159/6
happened [13] 5/3	13/12 25/4 25/6 32/9	Home [1] 33/4	I agree [5] 39/21	I learned [1] 22/9
26/23 31/22 34/10	41/24 68/9 80/8 82/18	honest [2] 47/23	65/21 69/20 111/4	I made [1] 114/4
35/20 37/2 38/25	97/7 106/3 106/10	111/17	159/12	I may [1] 157/23
59/25 72/6 83/4 98/16	107/8 113/13 113/17	hope [1] 14/2	l am [2] 112/12	I mean [13] 16/4
129/2 136/4	113/25 114/7 160/4	hopefully [2] 5/2	112/16	17/25 47/18 58/25
happening [9] 17/18	hearing [4] 67/9	117/24	l and [1] 67/15	59/22 63/16 86/8
17/20 40/18 42/17	67/15 71/10 160/21	Horizon [77] 4/20	l ask [2] 3/21 105/23	101/10 102/25 115/11
58/24 59/14 59/17	hearings [3] 68/16	4/20 8/5 9/2 9/13 9/18	71/22 105/22 153/3	128/7 128/15 153/3
129/4 159/19	71/3 71/4	9/20 10/1 12/5 12/9 16/13 16/20 18/12	I can [12] 18/23 19/9	I move [1] 89/18 I needed [1] 100/6
happens [1] 83/17	heavier [1] 61/21 held [6] 2/16 27/18	19/2 19/16 22/7 22/8	78/13 83/3 90/25 91/5	I neticed [1] 100/0
happy [2] 94/11	50/19 58/12 122/22	22/13 24/10 25/8	99/2 100/24 104/8	I now [2] 24/23 47/5
105/11	145/10	25/18 28/5 28/8 30/5		I omitted [1] 118/12
hard [3] 18/17 47/4	help [10] 22/10 37/11	30/15 30/25 32/12	I can't [17] 5/1 19/12	I overheard [1] 103/7
118/24	46/9 81/6 96/18	32/16 32/23 33/4	30/10 40/17 61/21	I particularly [1]
hardware [2] 121/19 121/21	104/24 109/12 111/10	33/14 33/25 34/3 34/7	62/7 80/4 83/5 92/8	130/21
harm [2] 45/9 86/25	133/12 135/18	38/23 39/1 40/7 40/8	96/13 98/2 98/4 99/14	I put [1] 159/24
has [32] 1/24 11/21	helped [1] 99/17	41/11 42/15 44/6 47/3		I read [2] 21/10 94/23
13/12 19/25 20/4	helpful [3] 5/24 46/6	49/8 49/9 50/18 59/19		I recall [1] 43/4
24/15 28/6 28/7 30/15	46/13	60/1 75/19 78/2	I cannot [1] 112/17	I refer [1] 3/13
30/25 44/10 55/15	neipiine [8] 25/8	101/24 105/14 106/15	I completed [3] 18/16	
56/8 64/18 70/13	35/24 36/12 38/12	106/21 107/1 107/4	91/12 106/23	I remember [3]
74/19 80/25 83/19	38/14 109/4 109/8 109/13	108/3 110/21 111/14 120/18 121/15 121/17	<b>I could [4]</b> 41/13   80/18 100/19 101/15	120/23 126/4 149/15
86/17 86/22 93/16	holplings [3] 13/1/		I couldn't [1] 18/19	I represent [1] 104/16
95/12 97/7 99/3 104/5	13/14 38/5	145/10 145/10 146/8	I described [1] 92/17	I right [3] 58/6 73/9
112/16 115/6 124/25	her [7] 28/6 95/17		I did [6] 9/6 32/23	90/12
128/1 131/7 135/4	96/6 102/20 103/11	149/4 153/15 156/8	92/16 123/20 132/19	I said [12] 9/24 32/19
148/1	103/11 103/13	156/16 156/24 157/8	148/19	36/10 47/5 59/10 78/7
hasn't [1] 115/7 have [167]	here [20] 16/4 24/8	157/12	I didn't [4] 13/20 41/4	96/25 97/13 97/19
haven't [4] 21/9	24/11 27/11 30/7	Horizon's [1] 102/3	59/13 101/16	100/15 128/11 137/10
46/16 99/14 104/12	36/15 44/8 84/21 94/4		I do [5] 111/6 112/21	I saw [1] 19/9
having [15] 27/24	99/3 111/11 112/5	hour [3] 12/20 29/18	115/10 119/7 153/13	I say [3] 71/2 128/14
29/21 30/6 41/17	114/24 129/7 138/5	117/21	I don't [18] 20/5 23/4	153/6
45/24 48/6 48/8 76/17	141/4 144/13 147/23	hour's [1] 117/22	24/7 26/17 27/25 31/2	
82/10 121/8 131/4	148/22 150/7	hours [1] 12/21	31/17 51/25 77/23 79/17 79/20 89/5 97/2	I seen [1] 41/10
138/11 149/13 155/9	hierarchies [1] 42/4	house [1] 125/8	104/4 105/4 109/14	
159/14	hierarchy [2] 4/1 27/21	housekeeping [2] 147/12 147/17	114/21 143/24	36/3 144/5 I still [1] 9/11
hawkish [1] 35/3	high [5] 31/14 39/19	how [39] 7/6 9/19	I drafted [2] 73/11	I suspect [1] 112/18
he [28] 4/12 4/12	42/13 43/11 77/9	10/22 15/3 16/10	75/13	I think [156] 2/6 5/12
21/11 21/12 21/12	higher [3] 4/17 7/14	17/25 24/23 27/17	I first [1] 130/12	5/14 5/21 6/4 8/12 9/8
21/14 21/17 21/19	7/17	30/10 30/23 36/23	I get [1] 148/22	11/20 12/15 12/22
22/1 22/21 23/8 24/13	highlight [2] 110/14	37/13 37/20 41/6	I guess [3] 72/13	16/25 19/18 19/25
25/2 25/2 43/2 53/4 65/6 65/10 70/4 70/5	112/6	45/18 61/22 63/9	98/12 159/22	21/12 21/23 22/14
70/6 70/10 70/23 81/1	highlighted [2]	66/16 76/14 80/3 83/5		24/6 26/17 27/20 30/6
88/16 115/7 160/1	110/17 134/25	89/23 90/13 95/16	20/15 24/21 30/16	31/11 31/17 32/6
	him [6] 70/7 81/6	95/17 97/4 97/5	52/5 75/12 101/14	32/22 33/23 34/3 34/9

98/25 134/17 108/24 109/1 115/24 identifying [1] 26/20 **imply [1]** 58/19 importance [1] 48/12 informal [5] 138/10 122/13 130/14 133/6 **IDs [1]** 127/7 I think... [129] 34/12 ie [2] 38/1 127/15 149/3 156/11 **important [4]** 84/10 138/23 139/9 140/14 35/11 35/16 35/18 I wasn't [10] 40/12 ie '3 [1] 127/15 84/21 86/16 147/1 140/20 35/20 36/1 37/25 38/3 41/12 52/2 59/14 60/4 ie not [1] 38/1 **improve [2]** 127/6 informally [1] 153/9 38/10 38/16 39/1 91/12 91/20 108/15 if [127] 7/21 8/25 127/9 information [23] 39/12 39/17 40/11 109/14 109/22 11/6 11/19 14/12 18/14 29/1 41/7 44/9 **improved [2]** 6/23 42/25 43/10 44/9 14/13 16/1 16/21 20/9 7/20 I went [2] 126/13 45/4 45/16 45/23 45/10 45/20 46/1 128/12 21/25 23/17 28/21 46/22 48/12 49/25 improvement [1] 46/23 47/21 47/21 31/23 31/24 38/22 50/25 79/10 82/13 I were [1] 4/3 139/3 48/14 49/4 49/18 I will [2] 104/11 39/5 39/22 44/2 46/11 improvements [2] 82/23 97/24 98/6 51/17 52/3 52/21 105/16 110/4 111/13 52/15 53/10 53/17 21/1 134/24 119/15 52/25 53/4 55/14 I won't [1] 100/25 53/25 55/2 55/5 55/6 **improving [1]** 19/24 147/8 148/5 148/7 56/10 56/23 58/1 55/7 55/22 55/24 56/1 148/13 I worked [1] 90/4 inability [1] 82/3 58/22 59/1 61/15 I would [16] 9/10 59/16 60/2 61/9 63/3 inaccurate [6] 14/24 informed [1] 41/17 61/18 61/20 61/24 infrequent [1] 96/24 16/1 22/15 22/17 63/20 65/17 66/1 15/1 15/4 15/9 16/21 62/15 63/8 64/11 28/17 28/23 41/8 66/18 66/23 67/1 32/16 inherent [1] 42/14 64/23 64/24 68/18 41/10 48/15 66/25 67/20 69/10 69/15 **Initially [1]** 120/3 inappropriate [1] 69/5 69/12 71/6 71/13 83/6 84/3 94/10 69/21 69/25 70/5 initiatives [2] 22/5 34/25 71/17 74/2 74/5 74/16 118/14 121/6 159/17 70/23 71/19 71/24 128/23 inappropriately [1] 75/6 76/19 77/11 72/13 72/15 72/22 input [5] 32/16 52/23 I wouldn't [4] 21/5 92/3 77/17 78/4 78/7 78/17 59/14 60/2 98/15 73/3 73/7 73/12 73/13 incendiary [2] 159/10 130/19 147/18 149/23 79/12 81/14 82/17 I'd [22] 8/24 9/21 75/16 76/12 79/5 160/3 **Inquiry [12]** 13/12 82/22 82/24 83/14 9/21 9/23 10/2 15/5 79/11 79/23 80/8 inch [2] 111/22 16/17 25/5 97/7 85/5 86/10 87/10 15/15 16/15 17/22 80/18 80/21 81/21 120/24 119/13 119/16 119/18 88/25 89/25 90/1 25/21 25/22 32/9 35/5 84/5 84/13 86/16 124/7 130/6 141/18 inclined [1] 7/25 90/19 91/5 91/6 91/18 37/20 40/14 43/20 86/21 88/2 89/5 92/8 149/9 150/16 include [2] 133/21 91/19 92/4 92/22 93/4 80/2 92/24 107/22 94/11 94/16 94/17 135/13 Inquiry's [1] 2/2 94/21 95/1 95/9 95/18 121/10 128/22 157/23 95/12 96/16 96/24 included [6] 28/16 insight [2] 49/10 96/24 100/7 100/15 I'II [10] 27/23 39/3 98/6 99/2 99/6 99/20 28/19 100/2 100/10 91/24 101/12 101/19 101/20 39/11 44/2 51/10 99/24 100/6 110/3 150/12 158/3 insolvency [1] 3/4 102/9 102/15 102/22 63/14 87/5 91/1 93/6 110/14 111/4 113/3 includes [1] 150/9 **installed** [2] 9/2 103/12 103/16 108/8 117/24 115/7 115/25 117/21 **including [3]** 54/4 121/9 111/15 112/5 114/2 I'm [46] 4/22 6/16 119/3 120/22 128/19 134/25 140/22 instances [1] 159/19 115/7 116/20 119/25 6/18 7/13 7/16 17/14 128/24 133/23 134/6 inconsistent [1] instead [1] 142/6 120/8 120/21 121/18 19/13 24/10 27/11 134/8 137/14 137/21 instigated [1] 129/20 111/5 122/2 122/5 128/11 29/11 35/8 36/22 138/17 139/3 139/15 increase [1] 148/20 **instructed** [2] 52/3 129/16 129/19 130/18 42/24 52/9 52/18 55/1 139/22 140/4 142/1 101/20 increased [1] 49/7 133/6 135/23 136/6 142/25 144/1 144/6 55/3 55/10 56/8 64/23 inculpatory [2] 68/7 instructions [2] 137/10 137/24 138/2 66/7 73/12 78/12 83/3 144/10 144/13 147/25 79/3 75/20 127/9 139/15 142/24 143/21 83/13 83/17 87/4 148/3 148/10 149/4 incumbent [1] 47/22 insular [1] 128/8 144/2 149/2 149/22 90/17 95/9 95/11 98/2 151/16 152/2 152/17 incurred [6] 53/23 integrity [7] 16/13 150/1 153/9 153/24 103/5 107/20 108/13 152/20 153/10 155/23 54/10 54/21 60/21 17/1 22/16 28/5 35/4 158/19 156/22 157/23 158/1 108/14 109/18 114/19 61/2 138/12 108/17 156/8 I thought [3] 18/16 115/12 115/15 119/17 158/18 158/21 159/8 incurring [1] 158/21 intelligence [1] 49/13 48/25 58/23 126/7 129/11 132/21 intended [6] 27/20 ignore [1] 109/1 independent [1] I to [1] 88/9 57/23 62/2 62/4 143/2 158/9 160/15 imagine [1] 16/2 124/1 I took [1] 22/9 I've [23] 9/2 14/23 immediate [1] 141/14 indication [1] 96/19 101/13 129/14 I understand [1] imminent [2] 32/10 16/2 16/11 16/14 individual [23] 12/1 intentions [1] 37/11 108/20 18/23 19/2 21/9 23/4 93/18 54/3 94/2 111/7 interest [1] 101/17 I used [2] 9/6 9/7 112/19 127/11 133/23 interested [1] 55/2 24/11 25/6 27/6 34/8 impact [6] 75/18 I want [2] 4/19 43/18 37/25 47/1 83/25 126/4 128/25 130/17 134/9 137/15 138/17 interesting [2] 20/3 I was [46] 9/6 11/24 98/23 99/17 106/16 131/3 142/7 139/3 139/11 139/14 115/13 12/19 17/18 20/18 109/2 117/1 117/7 139/16 139/25 140/6 impacts [1] 130/16 interests [2] 88/1 21/5 24/22 29/1 39/25 155/15 imperative [1] 42/20 140/8 140/9 151/7 88/4 42/23 49/21 51/17 152/20 152/20 158/12 interfaced [1] 11/14 **ID** [1] 146/16 implement [1] 52/19 58/22 60/3 60/5 134/24 idea [1] 113/23 158/24 internal [2] 3/6 68/13 68/14 68/19 identification [2] Implementation [1] 156/21 individual's [2] 71/6 71/18 75/12 49/15 92/6 120/16 112/21 146/24 internally [18] 8/24 78/22 80/5 82/17 91/6 identified [7] 23/6 individuals [6] 17/14 16/1 16/25 79/6 80/2 implemented [5] 91/16 91/18 93/4 25/21 25/23 42/7 52/19 76/16 85/8 102/8 111/7 131/17 80/6 81/14 81/17 93/24 97/15 98/23 138/11 143/13 149/20 121/5 135/7 142/1 152/22 82/23 102/22 103/21 99/16 106/14 106/20 106/11 107/10 107/20 identify [3] 22/15 implications [4] 16/6 infiltrated [1] 116/3 106/24 107/3 108/18 32/2 41/13 48/1 86/21 110/22 inform [4] 85/22 91/9 108/10 113/17 114/8

(52) I think... - internally

Ī	Inwood [15] 1/7 1/8	itself [1] 68/11	kinds [4] 17/11 64/5	Laurence [1] 21/7
internally [1]	1/12 1/13 2/3 66/7	J	75/17 79/15	lawyer [7] 20/17
114/10	89/18 93/14 104/15	January [41 5/14	knew [4] 102/19	27/14 40/5 72/14
interpreted [1] 37/23	108/18 114/14 115/7	January [1] 5/14 January 2018 [1]	108/5 139/22 139/25	72/16 95/19 95/22
interrogate [2] 31/3	115/24 118/12 161/3	5/14	<b>know [89]</b> 4/3 4/11 4/19 7/3 7/9 7/10 10/9	lawyers [7] 72/10 72/21 80/15 90/21
31/12	Ireland [1] 126/8 irregularities [1]	Jarnail [1] 91/7	10/19 10/25 11/1	93/23 101/21 108/11
interrupt [3] 70/4	30/14	Jessica [5] 27/12	11/19 12/19 12/20	lead [1] 141/25
70/6 115/3	irregularities/unpaid	29/14 74/17 95/20	13/5 15/1 15/3 17/14	leaked [1] 159/14
interrupting [1]	[ <b>1</b> ] 30/14	96/15	18/3 18/14 19/23	learned [1] 22/9
114/14	irrespective [1]	job [4] 28/16 28/19	22/20 23/4 24/7 25/2	least [2] 6/22 7/19
interview [20] 43/18 70/7 70/8 70/10 70/20	140/11	95/25 128/19	27/25 31/20 32/4 36/1	
00/44 00/00 400/0	IS 12431	John [3] 49/22	36/24 37/7 37/22 38/4	
93/14 93/20 102/2 138/10 138/21 138/23	isn't [5] 64/22 69/13	154/19 160/11	38/13 38/19 39/3	146/14
139/8 139/9 140/14	10/0 02/12 02/11	joined [6] 119/25	39/22 40/21 42/8 43/2	
140/20 140/21 141/3	issue [16] 20/1 22/15	120/3 128/7 142/23	43/12 46/25 47/5	<b>Lee [4]</b> 94/7 94/19
141/4 141/12 141/12	22/16 29/9 33/23	145/17 154/6	48/15 48/15 50/23	96/10 159/25
interviews [2] 69/23	44/25 49/15 59/10	journalist [3] 39/24	50/25 51/10 51/11	leeway [2] 38/17
127/17	62/16 78/13 92/1	48/9 77/20	59/12 60/3 72/12 76/1	156/2
into [29] 4/3 4/7 8/12	94/21 94/23 115/1	journalists [1] 156/22	76/2 80/8 80/9 82/10 82/25 83/25 92/4	left [13] 6/4 6/13 55/6 55/25 56/4 56/13
12/16 12/25 14/1	129/23 149/2	judgments [1] 65/6	92/11 92/15 92/16	60/15 86/1 86/5
49/10 58/4 63/10	issued [1] 92/2 issues [26] 3/2 4/15	junior [1] 27/21	95/23 95/24 95/25	102/16 103/25 122/24
72/23 79/6 86/23 90/5	26/5 26/12 26/21	just [73] 4/23 7/13	98/9 98/10 100/9	128/22
91/24 93/22 94/4 96/4	26/24 35/4 40/7 40/8	8/8 13/8 13/17 15/5	100/18 102/20 103/25	
106/4 113/14 125/7	43/16 44/6 45/12 72/9	19/13 20/18 22/19	104/5 108/10 108/13	55/25 56/4 56/13
131/6 140/24 144/6 146/22 152/1 156/8	82/7 87/6 89/19 99/13	26/22 28/10 29/17	109/14 109/16 109/22	
159/18 159/20 159/22	109/24 116/1 116/19	29/25 34/10 36/8	112/21 113/5 115/13	59/19
introduced [9] 8/12	116/21 119/18 149/3	36/23 36/24 38/10	119/15 126/10 126/21	
12/15 12/23 12/25	153/13 157/7 157/13	39/11 39/17 42/15	150/11 156/19 158/12	
33/14 39/1 121/16	it [424]	45/20 46/19 46/21	158/25 159/6 159/9	74/15 80/16 90/4
130/2 151/1	it' [1] 39/16	48/3 48/23 51/23 52/7		92/19 93/24 94/1
introduces [3]	it's [68] 5/3 5/8 6/18	53/2 54/13 55/3 55/9 55/10 58/22 59/9	159/6	94/18 95/4 96/8
116/22 117/8 131/1	7/9 7/16 8/9 8/18 8/20 8/24 9/16 11/20 13/11	62/12 63/14 63/14	knowledge [15] 1/22 19/6 22/6 32/5 40/7	101/13 101/18 leniency [1] 35/19
introduction [3]	14/24 14/24 17/13	65/14 69/8 69/20	41/5 60/2 83/2 103/17	
127/4 127/18 135/21	20/3 23/5 27/9 28/3	69/21 72/5 76/10	105/19 106/5 113/15	10/25 38/3 38/12 86/7
intuitive [3] 10/2	30/7 30/21 43/19	89/22 90/3 91/1 91/9	113/22 119/9 159/13	
11/20 13/11	43/20 47/4 48/14	92/4 92/24 104/18	known [8] 92/1 98/6	let [2] 74/3 108/22
investigate [1] 80/3	52/10 52/13 54/14	107/25 110/16 113/10		let's [3] 107/2 107/25
investigation [12] 33/18 67/14 69/17	54/25 55/14 56/1	114/23 117/7 118/9	158/6 158/10 158/15	113/19
69/23 72/23 78/24	56/23 62/7 63/16	118/11 125/4 126/14	knows [1] 37/21	letter [7] 21/6 27/3
79/6 79/25 92/22 93/2	64/11 65/11 65/17	128/6 129/24 130/9	L	28/3 41/16 65/7 65/20
148/6 148/12	68/19 69/10 84/4	132/25 138/8 142/8		67/3
investigations [2]	86/16 87/23 89/21	142/19 142/25 144/3 144/4 149/20 150/22	lack [6] 24/3 24/19 25/18 26/12 40/7	letting [2] 158/11
70/2 156/7	94/5 99/12 99/16 99/18 99/20 99/25	159/2	45/23	158/25 level [21] 4/12 17/6
involve [2] 10/14	101/7 101/15 102/12	Justice [3] 40/11	laptop [1] 22/5	42/13 43/11 50/14
79/1	103/23 110/16 110/18	65/5 107/9	large [5] 17/15 49/15	68/25 68/25 69/2 77/9
involved [29] 6/1	111/2 113/25 116/2	Justice for [1] 107/9	50/25 80/10 104/16	95/18 95/19 103/5
39/22 39/25 40/13	117/10 118/23 119/7		largely [1] 56/3	103/14 127/11 136/24
47/11 48/8 49/19 51/14 66/12 66/14	126/14 130/4 145/19	K	last [7] 16/11 42/1	138/25 140/15 141/6
68/5 82/2 82/15 83/6	147/17 151/21 158/1	keep [7] 42/18 55/2	46/25 104/18 106/16	141/13 147/7 154/4
89/2 91/8 91/21 92/25	159/17	55/5 70/10 111/12	148/9 151/21	levels [5] 17/5
03/10 05/10 101/22	Item [1] 126/14	137/18 138/3	late [6] 18/24 32/7	125/22 134/22 136/22
109/14 109/22 122/14	items [2] 33/2 134/15	kept [1] 128/9	122/4 124/16 129/18	142/2
123/15 123/20 132/24	iteration [2] 55/14	<b>Kevin [1]</b> 43/1 <b>key [2]</b> 139/15	155/10	liaise [1] 4/16
133/6 155/9	90/1	149/15	later [6] 26/15 55/4 55/9 55/14 56/23	liaising [1] 74/15
involvement [6]	iterations [3] 53/2 63/17 74/13	keyboard [1] 121/20	153/19	librarian [1] 122/15 licensing [1] 147/2
80/16 90/18 94/18	its [10] 16/7 24/18	keys [1] 13/17	latest [1] 135/12	life [4] 3/3 25/18
96/22 98/18 110/7	88/1 88/2 90/8 119/13		latter [5] 37/24 58/1	26/24 58/2
involving [2] 95/5	124/15 131/1 148/24	68/15 73/25	58/3 70/16 103/12	life-cycle [3] 3/3
148/17	158/13	kindly [1] 110/16	Latterly [1] 4/8	26/24 58/2

lifetime [1] 60/1 light [2] 43/15 60/23 like [32] 3/13 3/16 13/18 16/7 16/19 17/15 22/2 23/24 26/19 35/5 37/20 38/12 43/20 46/25 48/16 50/18 51/14 64/9 64/10 67/5 75/9 83/4 85/2 116/6 118/14 128/16 129/1 129/17 154/14 157/23 158/18 159/2 likely [2] 24/17 32/15 limited [5] 31/3 31/12 53/22 84/8 145/22 Lin [2] 49/23 82/18 line [11] 27/19 39/12 121/22 124/11 128/14 128/15 128/25 145/6 155/11 156/15 156/17 lines [2] 19/14 84/25 linked [1] 153/21 list [7] 29/5 29/5 45/15 53/12 100/3 100/11 101/2 listed [4] 52/14 53/13 99/21 147/6 listen [1] 70/3 **litigation [13]** 5/15 5/25 6/1 6/8 19/5 32/10 40/6 41/8 44/21 51/1 65/5 96/20 96/22 little [9] 3/21 20/20 37/9 54/1 99/24 101/16 104/24 150/7 151/20 live [1] 22/8 **livelihoods [1]** 47/10 local [2] 37/14 85/7 locality [1] 84/14 location [2] 85/16 133/22 locum [1] 23/18 log [1] 127/7 logged [1] 146/13 logs [2] 31/4 31/6 long [12] 13/13 18/17 31/20 36/9 47/19 48/14 59/12 93/3 99/11 107/6 117/20 145/17 longer [1] 13/22 look [31] 20/23 21/6 27/7 27/10 29/11 31/4 31/6 35/5 43/20 44/2 48/20 51/4 53/17 69/10 69/15 73/2 73/3 80/21 83/19 84/5 87/4 87/8 93/7 94/3 95/12 96/14 98/15 99/6 99/20 123/18 155/23

looked [10] 4/9 40/4 65/14 65/17 110/11 113/11 142/8 142/19 143/4 144/19 looking [27] 4/13 16/4 31/13 31/17 32/20 38/19 47/21 48/23 55/10 60/10 62/23 64/11 73/15 75/3 79/3 84/1 96/9 98/13 100/11 101/10 117/17 122/11 128/24 128/25 150/9 155/16 157/24 looks [3] 5/16 55/18 64/8 loose [2] 75/6 75/13 lose [1] 86/24 losing [3] 47/10 77/13 158/13 loss [38] 23/23 31/1 33/13 34/21 60/12 60/19 61/7 61/8 125/12 125/14 125/21 127/2 127/10 127/13 127/25 129/20 135/19 maintain [1] 77/15 136/19 136/24 137/3 137/5 137/18 138/5 138/14 140/15 140/16 141/7 141/17 141/22 142/1 148/10 148/24 152/11 152/17 152/21 153/8 155/18 158/2 loss' [1] 30/15 losses [79] 33/16 33/19 33/22 34/22 34/24 37/1 57/6 59/11 60/21 61/2 61/4 61/8 61/12 62/12 62/16 63/24 64/3 64/4 64/6 65/9 65/12 79/23 124/6 124/8 124/14 125/2 125/16 126/3 127/15 127/16 127/16 manage [6] 81/17 128/3 129/22 130/1 130/14 131/3 131/11 131/13 131/15 135/6 135/19 136/14 136/22 136/25 137/1 137/7 137/22 137/25 138/12 138/19 139/7 140/11 140/19 140/23 141/2 141/10 141/24 142/7 142/10 142/19 142/21 143/2 143/13 143/18 144/10 144/11 144/22 146/3 150/10 152/12 152/23 153/11 153/14 154/20 154/23 157/25 158/21 158/23 159/5 lost [2] 17/20 18/6 lot [17] 13/12 14/25 16/12 19/25 25/6 34/4 35/13 35/13 47/1

80/12 126/8 150/4 150/4 lots [1] 57/21 low [2] 153/25 154/3 lower [2] 54/1 75/2 lunch [2] 115/10 118/12 **Lyons [2]** 114/16 114/18 M made [22] 10/16 12/23 13/6 19/8 20/14 21/1 22/24 33/20 36/24 40/10 41/5 56/18 57/2 64/6 67/20 67/21 70/20 109/2 111/19 114/4 116/7 148/14 Madron [4] 27/12 74/17 95/20 96/15 main [4] 76/19 76/22 121/18 136/5 mainly [1] 122/10 maintaining [1] 76/4 maintenance [1] 131/10 major [5] 10/9 20/1 139/23 143/23 158/7 make [20] 7/25 34/2 40/25 42/11 45/3 45/20 46/3 46/5 57/5 59/8 65/8 70/12 70/17 71/22 82/9 129/21 142/21 143/2 145/5 147/5 makes [1] 45/11 making [8] 23/21 56/12 56/14 58/22 60/12 67/7 76/14 81/23 97/4 97/5 126/11 131/13 159/4 manageable [1] 124/11 managed [15] 4/8 6/22 7/19 24/6 35/11 36/2 41/6 51/15 57/3 59/23 84/24 101/13 123/3 128/15 145/21 management [16] 34/24 36/16 36/21 37/6 37/13 38/13 38/17 38/21 96/25 129/22 130/13 132/4 136/19 141/13 155/17 156/2 manager [82] 2/9 2/10 2/10 2/13 2/17 2/18 2/20 9/7 9/9 9/16 9/17 11/24 17/19

52/25 53/4 68/9 71/13 21/20 21/24 24/7

26/11 27/19 29/16 35/10 35/15 36/4 41/2 72/18 75/5 48/7 48/17 59/2 60/6 66/11 66/11 68/3 68/14 68/17 68/19 68/24 68/25 69/3 71/7 71/18 72/22 73/1 78/21 79/13 79/14 79/20 80/5 81/11 82/17 82/19 83/7 83/9 91/6 91/16 93/4 94/13 97/12 114/10 114/15 120/7 120/14 120/16 122/19 124/20 127/8 132/24 133/1 133/2 133/8 135/4 137/17 138/2 138/11 138/24 139/10 141/5 144/12 147/25 148/21 150/2 152/4 152/7 152/13 158/8 managers [39] 4/2 26/19 38/16 59/16 59/24 67/16 73/18 80/10 97/8 121/4 122/15 126/9 128/18 130/23 131/8 131/12 131/20 132/1 132/7 132/16 133/18 137/9 146/10 147/5 149/13 149/14 149/21 149/24 149/24 153/4 153/5 153/9 153/22 154/3 155/21 155/25 156/3 156/24 158/12 manages [1] 35/4 managing [8] 3/2 3/9 5/18 59/15 60/4 62/15 mean [19] 14/6 16/4 116/20 155/25 mandated [1] 147/10 **mandatory [2]** 130/1 154/22 **Mandy [3]** 94/5 95/8 95/13 manipulate [1] 112/20 manual [10] 7/6 10/22 12/19 20/6 33/17 33/24 49/8 59/22 121/8 143/24 many [15] 19/18 24/23 25/11 30/10 31/18 32/12 35/12 76/2 76/17 77/12 77/13 83/5 109/13 109/18 137/6 mapping [4] 51/23 52/5 53/5 53/16 Marine [2] 94/6 94/15 **Marshall [2]** 27/19 29/9 material [7] 67/1 67/18 74/11 74/12

75/24 78/6 150/4 matter [3] 24/15 matters [3] 6/10 95/5 114/23 may [40] 1/17 5/3 5/4 10/7 19/13 20/22 21/19 24/14 26/2 37/8 40/22 46/6 62/1 70/3 70/10 70/15 70/17 70/23 71/7 75/19 81/6 82/9 84/16 85/13 87/18 87/25 88/10 91/11 96/6 96/19 99/10 100/10 103/16 115/9 118/8 118/25 152/6 152/8 157/5 157/23 maybe [5] 43/23 48/18 50/2 110/5 155/15 McDonald's [3] 15/21 15/22 16/8 me [46] 1/4 5/22 10/10 14/21 18/18 18/24 22/2 23/25 24/24 40/15 40/18 41/5 42/14 42/15 46/19 48/5 48/23 51/13 52/4 53/2 59/17 66/24 68/20 73/13 74/3 75/21 80/6 91/9 92/23 94/1 97/3 97/5 97/20 100/16 104/7 106/9 107/23 108/22 109/18 113/16 114/6 114/14 115/19 120/9 149/2 153/14 17/25 28/20 47/18 50/13 58/25 59/18 59/22 63/16 86/8 101/10 102/25 115/11 128/7 128/15 130/9 145/7 153/3 means [1] 85/1 meant [8] 36/6 58/18 83/14 121/23 123/21 128/6 135/23 154/4 measures [1] 131/14 media [2] 102/18 156/20 Mediation [1] 99/7 meeting [5] 5/20 5/21 21/15 43/7 71/15 meetings [2] 15/15 17/7 member [7] 39/24 41/17 48/10 53/7 67/9 77/21 147/13 members [4] 23/14 24/2 92/3 156/23 memory [4] 25/13 25/14 53/6 61/21

М mental [3] 7/10 8/1 10/25 mention [1] 142/18 mentioned [4] 49/18 87/10 109/3 142/5 methods [1] 70/18 microphone [1] 3/23 mid [1] 65/24 mid-morning [1] 65/24 middle [2] 110/13 115/2 might [18] 21/17 25/2 59/5 61/13 63/9 65/23 70/21 76/14 78/2 85/23 86/5 86/25 97/25 100/1 100/12 100/13 110/21 142/12 migration [2] 33/17 33/24 million [5] 125/22 125/24 126/1 150/11 mind [7] 14/19 15/20 26/1 59/9 75/12 79/19 99/19 mindful [2] 62/20 65/18 mindset [2] 16/22 47/25 minimum [1] 147/8 minor [2] 144/14 144/15 minus [4] 142/24 144/7 144/9 144/9 minute [1] 89/8 minutes [1] 104/20 misbalance [3] 132/12 132/14 133/5 mismatch [3] 115/1 116/1 116/25 missed [1] 126/19 missing [1] 125/10 mistake [1] 140/1 mistakes [1] 8/1 misuse [2] 67/6 73/23 mitigating [2] 76/13 77/7 mitigation [1] 71/16 mm [38] 2/11 7/1 8/2 8/7 9/4 21/16 36/19 41/19 44/13 46/1 57/9 59/4 60/16 60/25 61/5 63/25 69/24 73/5 78/25 85/25 87/12 88/11 99/23 101/6 102/5 103/10 105/1 106/7 106/12 107/12 109/5 109/11 110/24 111/9 112/24 112/24

113/18 116/14

**Mm-hm [2]** 2/11 61/5 model [4] 84/13 84/17 85/5 85/7 models [1] 86/15 modern [2] 64/12 86/14 modified [2] 57/15 136/8 moment [4] 16/9 118/9 143/9 144/3 money [4] 126/15 126/18 139/25 158/13 monitor [1] 141/24 monitoring [1] 131/11 month [1] 136/10 **monthly [1]** 136/6 months [5] 138/20 139/8 140/12 141/3 141/11 more [56] 8/20 14/25 18/11 19/14 24/16 25/20 25/23 27/21 29/24 30/9 30/10 30/20 32/15 35/3 35/13 35/19 35/23 36/11 37/2 37/2 37/14 37/14 37/16 37/18 38/3 38/17 38/24 39/11 49/10 49/12 49/14 51/18 52/7 60/3 64/12 64/25 77/8 78/17 86/14 96/12 102/7 104/24 109/17 117/25 124/3 126/22 130/18 130/19 137/25 138/20 139/7 140/24 141/2 141/10 150/3 154/10 moreover [1] 140/11 morning [5] 1/3 1/6 5/9 65/24 160/18 most [6] 2/18 22/2 53/7 67/22 87/19 89/25 move [7] 66/7 83/13 83/17 89/18 90/17 121/12 126/6 moved [4] 38/3 120/10 133/10 139/10 moving [6] 27/8 78/20 135/24 139/13 142/4 150/14 **MP [1]** 28/6 Mr [32] 1/7 1/9 1/13 2/3 65/5 66/7 89/18 104/5 104/6 104/7 104/13 104/14 104/15 104/19 105/6 108/18 109/7 114/14 115/3 115/7 115/19 115/24 117/18 118/8 118/12 118/22 157/16 157/23 159/25 160/13 161/5

161/7 MR BLAKE [6] 1/9 104/7 105/6 109/7 117/18 161/5 Mr Inwood [10] 1/7 1/13 2/3 66/7 89/18 108/18 114/14 115/7 115/24 118/12 Mr Justice Fraser [1] 65/5 Mr Pegler [5] 118/8 118/22 157/16 157/23 89/21 160/13 Mr Stein [7] 104/5 104/6 104/13 104/14 115/3 115/19 161/7 Ms [9] 118/17 118/20 157/19 157/20 157/21 160/8 160/9 161/11 161/13 Ms Page [5] 157/19 157/20 157/21 160/8 161/13 Ms Price [4] 118/17 118/20 160/9 161/11 much [22] 1/13 1/24 3/20 11/18 12/7 12/14 67/14 78/13 79/5 43/13 48/25 56/1 64/9 66/3 99/25 109/8 110/15 112/7 117/15 118/1 128/10 128/21 140/2 142/16 160/13 multi [5] 133/24 134/6 137/15 138/7 139/21 multi-user [4] 133/24 134/6 137/15 138/7 must [15] 9/9 39/12 61/12 64/6 70/4 70/10 61/10 62/13 62/14 115/17 120/8 122/1 146/10 146/15 146/16 negotiate [1] 88/17 146/17 147/5 159/4 mute [2] 104/10 117/25 **MVLs [1]** 134/15 my [56] 5/22 6/21 7/18 8/15 11/11 17/6 21/4 21/23 22/6 22/9 24/24 25/14 27/19 28/16 29/16 30/4 36/23 44/18 48/3 48/19 50/23 53/1 53/6 59/9 60/7 62/8 79/19 84/4 93/13 97/21 103/5 104/10 104/15 108/16 113/12 118/10 118/11 118/14 119/7 126/12 126/16 128/11 networked [2] 128/17 130/15 137/10 120/20 121/22 142/25 145/4 153/8 153/12 155/8 155/8 155/13 156/18 157/2 159/17 160/7 myself [7] 28/23

107/5 117/25 153/13 mystery [1] 117/24 nail [1] 107/25 name [11] 1/10 19/12 95/9 99/3 104/15 118/21 118/25 named [2] 83/23 names [3] 49/19 100/11 101/10 nanny [1] 155/22 narrative [8] 12/17 13/2 16/25 17/2 17/3 49/8 102/9 108/9 national [8] 67/10 69/4 70/25 71/8 71/19 127/19 137/8 149/23 **NBSC [1]** 148/4 nearly [1] 99/12 necessarily [4] 26/25 98/9 126/10 132/22 necessary [7] 66/24 85/20 93/16 134/23 need [11] 25/23 85/12 86/2 87/17 96/18 121/23 127/11 142/12 146/12 150/2 155/3 needed [7] 25/20 57/2 76/20 77/7 92/4 100/6 100/13 negative [1] 18/3 negligence [6] 61/3 64/3 64/19 **net [2]** 124/8 125/16 network [45] 3/18 3/18 43/9 48/21 57/17 58/2 58/4 58/8 61/16 61/19 62/3 64/12 64/16 64/24 81/10 85/8 122/23 123/2 123/16 124/15 125/1 125/18 126/3 127/5 128/3 130/2 136/1 136/7 141/23 142/17 144/5 144/23 150/1 153/15 153/25 154/17 nor [3] 17/22 23/15 154/24 155/11 158/10 32/3 158/14 158/17 158/25 Norbury [2] 49/23 159/18 159/20 159/23 82/18 never [8] 9/23 14/23 17/7 18/2 39/25 129/2 159/17 160/1 new [3] 3/15 129/13 130/1

74/14 92/17 104/11

Newcastleton [1] 93/11 newly [1] 22/22 next [5] 95/12 106/13 121/13 152/1 152/8 nexus [3] 77/23 78/1 97/14 52/16 52/17 73/6 84/4 **NFSP [5]** 21/14 71/11 72/5 72/7 81/5 Nick [2] 4/8 41/25 night [2] 133/25 136/4 **no [105]** 4/18 6/3 6/3 6/9 6/11 6/12 12/11 14/20 14/21 15/1 18/4 20/1 20/13 20/15 20/18 22/2 23/4 23/11 24/11 25/1 25/2 25/14 26/17 27/6 27/6 28/2 32/3 32/15 38/23 40/3 41/4 41/24 41/24 43/17 43/17 44/22 45/3 45/11 49/3 49/3 51/10 53/10 57/3 57/13 58/22 59/9 59/22 60/17 63/11 63/13 69/20 70/19 72/11 72/22 72/22 72/22 75/6 75/20 76/19 76/19 76/19 77/25 80/17 80/17 83/12 83/16 90/16 90/21 92/9 92/21 93/3 94/21 97/13 98/18 99/9 100/23 101/9 102/3 103/5 104/12 110/7 110/9 111/23 113/2 115/5 116/10 116/11 116/17 117/13 117/15 121/23 139/18 142/18 142/23 143/6 143/6 143/15 143/15 143/19 146/1 146/24 150/13 150/13 158/21 160/6 **nobody [3]** 15/4 15/8 16/22 **noisy [1]** 19/24 non [2] 54/21 134/15 non-transactional [1] 54/21 non-value [1] 134/15 **none [1]** 28/10 normal [3] 80/9 84/25 153/20 **normally [3]** 3/16 36/13 87/15 not [127] 3/23 5/4 9/16 9/16 9/21 10/8 13/8 13/18 14/16 (55) mental - not

84/8 84/13 84/17 136/9 48/3 84/9 Ν number [27] 1/25 2/16 4/23 5/8 33/15 84/23 85/5 85/6 85/7 one [53] 8/24 15/1 opportunity [4] 34/18 not... [118] 14/20 34/12 42/9 42/16 85/15 85/19 85/21 15/21 16/17 18/23 67/11 93/20 115/8 15/5 15/15 16/2 16/9 18/25 19/7 19/9 19/11 opposed [3] 22/16 42/22 48/18 49/5 86/1 86/8 86/11 86/18 17/17 18/10 20/15 49/16 49/19 50/1 50/5 87/1 87/25 88/2 88/7 20/12 22/7 22/18 74/25 97/23 20/25 23/14 23/18 80/7 90/25 97/21 88/13 91/17 92/7 23/10 23/11 24/22 **opposite [1]** 97/13 23/24 24/10 25/14 104/5 104/16 125/18 92/18 92/22 93/1 25/3 25/4 29/21 29/25 option [3] 67/7 76/24 28/21 32/3 33/12 127/20 127/21 140/18 93/19 94/6 94/6 94/10 30/6 30/10 30/20 34/9 151/24 33/13 33/18 34/10 140/22 148/5 157/1 95/14 110/19 113/2 39/11 46/1 47/2 49/5 options [7] 75/23 36/22 38/1 39/15 116/9 117/4 119/20 53/1 55/12 55/20 76/11 76/21 77/4 77/8 numerous [1] 52/5 40/25 41/21 44/4 45/8 119/21 119/21 119/24 56/24 60/10 60/18 123/19 143/8 45/16 46/6 47/18 120/22 121/6 122/5 61/8 65/6 80/8 82/18 or [191] 58/23 64/9 65/11 68/5 **objection [1]** 46/21 82/21 83/2 83/3 84/16 oral [2] 118/13 122/12 122/13 122/18 68/13 70/4 71/5 71/15 **obligation [5]** 45/10 122/24 123/2 123/6 89/18 91/5 91/18 118/15 72/17 72/22 73/12 58/23 143/11 143/14 123/8 123/11 123/18 92/24 109/17 112/25 orally [1] 160/15 73/22 75/12 76/8 77/8 143/15 123/22 123/24 124/6 117/21 123/19 148/18 order [6] 5/15 19/21 77/14 80/4 81/1 81/3 obligations [3] 61/18 124/10 126/3 127/4 148/20 151/24 159/2 31/21 32/10 126/11 81/7 84/22 85/13 61/20 64/25 127/5 128/3 128/12 onerous [1] 64/25 127/14 86/24 94/14 95/11 obliged [2] 65/8 128/13 128/24 130/2 online [1] 42/1 organisation [8] 95/23 96/2 98/2 98/9 only [28] 19/9 23/10 153/22 130/12 131/3 131/8 27/22 103/6 111/6 99/18 101/7 101/9 **Obtain [2]** 133/25 131/12 131/20 132/3 24/22 25/3 25/9 27/25 111/8 111/16 112/1 101/9 102/13 105/10 134/4 133/17 134/6 135/4 30/6 32/7 41/5 47/2 122/10 155/9 106/14 106/20 106/21 obtainable [1] 68/10 137/13 138/10 138/24 56/10 70/3 70/11 organisational [2] 106/23 107/3 107/7 **obtaining [2]** 79/10 139/10 141/5 141/14 76/24 83/2 83/3 83/3 38/11 111/12 107/8 107/22 108/1 81/4 142/20 143/1 143/8 86/24 88/25 91/9 original [3] 58/1 62/5 108/11 108/18 109/18 obtuse [1] 39/15 143/11 143/18 145/7 91/16 135/18 140/5 152/10 109/24 110/20 111/6 **obviously [9]** 10/16 145/15 145/20 145/22 146/12 146/17 147/1 other [46] 3/8 15/9 111/12 112/8 112/12 14/25 19/2 74/7 146/3 146/10 146/14 151/2 151/24 15/12 15/16 19/3 112/13 112/18 112/20 101/14 128/12 140/3 147/5 147/25 150/10 onto [5] 10/4 52/9 25/11 33/3 34/12 39/6 112/21 112/22 115/15 158/7 158/20 150/15 150/16 150/18 146/13 148/6 157/2 44/5 44/11 44/16 45/2 116/17 117/6 117/20 occasion [4] 19/7 45/5 45/24 46/2 46/13 150/19 151/15 152/25 onwards [4] 41/8 123/10 123/17 125/9 20/12 30/16 93/22 153/17 153/19 154/8 74/3 107/2 129/19 46/22 50/10 54/12 126/7 129/24 132/17 **occasional [2]** 10/12 154/23 155/13 156/15 open [7] 47/22 81/19 67/15 70/19 81/5 82/4 132/22 139/4 139/4 10/14 156/18 158/7 158/15 84/10 85/12 91/2 82/13 82/14 87/4 143/20 146/18 146/21 occasions [2] 36/13 Office's [4] 28/14 111/16 143/8 91/19 100/24 100/24 147/1 148/3 148/22 107/5 34/15 63/23 112/9 102/18 109/20 109/20 openly [1] 82/12 149/3 150/12 152/9 occurred [5] 33/19 officer [6] 2/6 70/23 **openness** [1] 117/3 110/22 113/5 115/21 152/15 153/13 155/3 33/22 65/9 66/18 71/8 71/11 71/19 72/5 117/11 122/25 130/15 **operate [2]** 23/19 156/2 156/11 156/13 123/21 130/19 133/5 136/6 officers [1] 72/7 88/16 157/5 158/9 occurs [1] 23/17 offices [17] 120/19 operated [5] 27/1 139/18 150/8 150/12 note [1] 84/21 October [2] 1/1 121/15 121/25 124/4 109/13 120/23 123/12 154/7 noted [1] 105/14 160/22 125/3 125/17 125/19 139/16 others [6] 24/23 notes [9] 70/10 70/11 odd [2] 92/23 94/1 128/16 136/7 136/8 operates [2] 32/13 25/15 26/8 45/4 58/12 74/18 115/1 138/15 off [14] 33/15 34/21 146/13 147/14 147/16 117/1 91/11 139/1 139/11 140/17 34/23 34/25 35/1 155/22 159/3 159/3 otherwise [1] 123/3 **operating** [9] 58/8 140/25 35/15 35/18 36/25 159/15 60/21 62/10 84/13 our [15] 15/1 24/14 nothing [2] 40/8 49/25 59/11 126/19 84/17 85/5 86/15 24/16 24/21 25/8 official [2] 70/16 90/13 132/2 132/7 132/8 70/22 146/18 146/21 39/12 65/24 84/9 88/4 notice [3] 14/3 42/3 offence [1] 69/17 often [6] 15/18 28/17 **operation** [7] 54/5 111/5 131/4 134/24 139/1 offered [1] 26/7 73/25 111/13 122/23 143/21 147/2 156/21 28/23 35/16 67/9 noticed [2] 3/6 43/14 office [154] 2/3 2/7 123/21 123/15 134/7 153/20 out [53] 7/11 7/15 notification [1] 3/25 6/5 6/14 8/13 Oh [1] 56/25 operational [5] 109/9 7/22 9/7 9/25 11/2 140/23 13/6 16/19 19/12 22/3 122/25 126/10 149/12 12/9 12/9 13/22 24/16 **Ok [1]** 11/16 now [35] 5/6 16/10 22/9 27/14 28/10 24/22 32/7 32/14 36/7 okay [18] 14/12 149/16 16/12 18/22 24/23 28/22 33/4 33/15 14/13 19/13 89/7 operator [8] 54/1 37/9 39/9 53/17 53/22 27/8 28/3 30/19 31/13 33/21 35/3 35/6 36/20 89/10 106/19 109/12 54/2 54/13 56/6 58/17 54/8 67/4 78/10 78/18 31/17 38/19 46/24 36/22 39/13 42/4 81/8 82/3 89/6 90/7 110/10 110/25 111/10 65/1 88/1 88/8 47/5 47/21 51/4 54/14 43/15 44/15 46/9 112/2 112/23 113/8 **operator is [1]** 54/2 91/10 105/3 105/12 75/4 84/1 90/25 93/18 49/14 53/8 53/22 113/19 114/19 114/25 operators [6] 38/4 115/5 121/24 125/11 102/20 104/24 106/16 53/24 54/5 54/9 54/20 115/22 116/5 38/12 38/14 53/24 125/12 125/16 127/21 109/2 109/12 110/17 54/22 56/6 59/3 60/12 omitted [1] 118/12 127/22 131/1 133/16 54/22 57/19 110/25 112/4 114/19 60/19 61/4 65/10 67/6 136/15 136/20 138/6 on [182] Operators' [2] 52/13 115/13 118/17 126/12 70/21 72/21 73/24 once [7] 67/19 70/6 140/22 144/4 144/7 55/11 155/4 155/15 159/6 77/13 80/24 81/2 81/8 77/3 81/18 86/22 98/9 opinion [3] 36/23 144/8 144/15 149/15

54/16 54/16 55/22 22/11 29/17 126/1 130/14 0 paragraph 12VII [1] 55/24 63/16 63/21 151/18 paying [1] 88/13 **periods** [5] 13/13 out... [6] 149/22 69/10 69/11 69/15 paragraph 16 [2] payment [7] 86/13 35/25 59/18 151/9 149/25 153/12 154/11 86/14 88/17 94/25 69/22 69/25 73/3 73/6 141/18 141/21 151/12 158/20 159/10 73/7 80/19 80/21 84/5 paragraph 18 [1] 95/3 126/19 136/8 peripheral [1] 115/17 outages [1] 18/6 87/23 88/5 88/5 90/7 128/4 payments [2] 88/7 permanent [1] 94/11 outcome [8] 35/2 93/12 94/4 94/8 95/13 paragraph 19 [1] 114/25 **permission** [2] 70/20 38/10 67/15 67/22 96/14 99/3 99/3 99/6 70/1 **Pearce [1]** 93/10 81/10 73/20 76/22 81/21 105/25 110/12 110/13 paragraph 20 [1] Pegler [8] 118/8 person [10] 15/5 84/11 112/4 112/25 119/3 118/19 118/22 118/23 25/9 29/8 70/13 70/17 142/3 outcomes [4] 37/10 127/23 127/24 130/25 paragraph 21 [1] 157/16 157/23 160/13 74/16 81/6 113/6 76/2 76/5 84/16 131/22 132/11 133/13 161/9 133/9 152/22 144/25 outgoing [2] 85/13 133/13 133/14 135/3 paragraph 22 [1] people [43] 3/1 4/3 person's [1] 92/6 85/17 135/8 135/9 136/13 16/18 18/1 25/11 156/12 personal [4] 19/6 outlining [1] 86/4 136/18 137/1 137/2 paragraph 23 [3] 26/18 27/4 27/20 67/8 83/1 83/2 outputs [1] 32/16 141/20 144/1 144/24 20/23 20/25 157/10 34/12 36/7 36/12 personally [1] 9/21 outside [9] 6/2 59/7 146/4 146/6 146/7 38/11 40/15 41/22 paragraph 4 [1] personnel [1] 137/11 80/23 81/2 81/5 81/25 147/21 150/6 151/19 130/5 45/2 47/1 47/8 47/10 persons [2] 80/23 123/16 141/14 142/1 157/19 157/20 157/21 47/14 52/6 53/10 paragraph 6 [1] 81/1 over [40] 2/4 5/5 11/6 158/3 160/8 161/13 53/11 54/12 62/15 perspective [7] 56/5 80/24 14/1 16/11 18/10 page 11 [1] 137/2 72/6 74/10 75/14 57/8 58/16 58/19 59/6 paragraph 6.4 [1] 18/13 27/9 30/22 page 12 [1] 151/19 149/8 76/17 82/13 82/14 143/22 153/24 48/14 54/16 58/20 page 15 [1] 141/20 paragraph 98 [4] 101/10 103/14 105/18 pertaining [1] 100/17 63/20 73/3 83/6 88/5 page 16 [1] 146/4 105/24 105/25 108/1 108/8 126/17 134/24 **phantom** [2] 17/23 107/5 124/9 127/15 page 17 [1] 105/25 137/15 139/18 147/7 113/24 39/9 127/16 127/16 127/22 153/4 156/1 157/2 page 18 [1] 144/1 paragraphs [1] 155/1 **Phase [1]** 119/18 128/1 131/22 133/13 page 19 [1] 147/21 158/19 paragraphs 6V [1] **Phase 4 [1]** 119/18 135/8 136/13 136/18 page 2 [2] 90/7 96/14 155/1 people's [1] 17/24 **phone [1]** 153/10 136/25 137/1 137/13 page 20 [1] 119/3 paralegal [2] 95/23 per [4] 82/24 125/24 phrase [2] 25/4 25/4 138/20 139/7 141/2 page 3 [7] 53/17 125/25 147/8 96/7 physical [6] 31/9 141/11 144/10 146/7 31/23 33/24 34/1 55/22 55/24 87/23 **Parliament [4]** 39/24 perfect [1] 10/2 152/1 152/7 152/14 130/25 150/6 158/3 41/17 48/10 77/21 perform [3] 31/8 151/23 152/3 overall [1] 149/18 page 4 [4] 20/24 part [28] 2/25 3/11 31/19 132/17 physically [1] 133/9 overcome [2] 31/15 54/16 88/5 99/3 24/6 34/11 34/21 performance [12] PI [6] 6/19 8/5 11/11 39/20 page 46 [3] 43/20 48/19 51/9 52/6 57/17 127/10 127/25 129/20 11/14 11/17 20/5 overheard [1] 103/7 43/21 110/12 62/19 78/4 78/24 134/25 137/18 138/8 picked [1] 93/17 Overhearing [1] 79/25 85/8 90/21 page 5 [1] 133/13 138/13 138/24 140/15 piece [2] 3/17 85/2 103/20 page 64 [2] 6/12 7/13 93/17 94/13 97/9 98/7 141/5 141/15 142/1 pipeline [1] 128/23 oversight [1] 110/7 place [12] 10/4 26/4 100/1 100/21 101/2 page 71 [2] 63/16 performed [1] 121/7 oversimplification [1] 101/4 109/23 122/9 performing [2] 63/21 42/11 71/3 78/8 93/20 32/22 page 92 [3] 69/11 137/11 143/17 156/6 133/19 151/23 126/25 130/10 151/8 overstated [1] 65/10 80/19 80/21 particular [17] 17/10 perhaps [24] 22/18 153/22 156/7 159/1 overturn [1] 83/11 17/11 29/4 42/21 23/5 26/10 35/1 36/25 placed [2] 61/23 page 93 [2] 69/10 **overturned** [2] 82/16 69/15 46/20 48/3 50/21 44/20 48/24 55/5 146/22 82/20 plan [5] 131/5 139/1 page 95 [1] 69/22 87/20 91/5 100/9 63/20 75/13 76/1 owes [1] 92/20 100/10 101/18 102/14 77/17 85/18 86/8 87/1 139/11 140/17 140/25 page 96 [1] 69/25 own [16] 31/20 38/18 103/17 103/18 111/1 paid [2] 22/10 61/12 91/1 95/9 99/2 99/20 planned [1] 157/12 48/3 61/2 61/10 62/13 pair [2] 133/7 133/12 150/9 111/2 115/11 117/20 **planning [1]** 132/6 62/14 64/3 78/24 panel [1] 80/10 particularly [3] 55/1 117/21 157/25 **plans [3]** 138/15 139/17 143/12 145/8 paper [1] 145/9 150/19 159/1 117/20 130/21 period [43] 2/4 4/7 145/19 146/16 148/24 papers [3] 81/8 98/12 partnered [1] 126/8 14/1 18/13 21/4 21/24 played [1] 90/21 155/22 30/22 35/8 35/19 40/9 playing [1] 20/16 98/14 partnership [1] 54/3 owner [3] 52/18 parts [4] 2/24 112/25 40/9 43/16 48/14 **paperwork [1]** 98/10 pleading [1] 43/23 87/20 89/21 paragraph [25] 20/23 49/17 49/20 49/21 136/6 142/9 please [59] 1/11 4/21 ownership [5] 20/25 44/1 63/23 70/1 party [1] 92/7 50/2 56/18 57/1 68/13 4/21 6/12 20/20 20/23 130/23 154/20 155/21 80/24 84/19 105/24 passed [1] 148/5 68/15 68/18 71/18 27/7 27/7 27/11 54/17 155/25 158/24 passes [1] 134/16 105/25 108/1 113/11 73/25 78/16 82/21 73/2 80/22 83/20 passwords [2] P 113/24 128/4 130/5 82/22 83/4 83/6 88/6 87/23 89/6 93/8 94/3 134/20 141/18 141/21 146/23 146/25 107/6 135/25 136/3 96/14 96/18 109/12 page [81] 1/17 1/19 142/3 144/2 144/25 paste [1] 38/23 138/1 138/20 139/7 110/10 110/12 110/14 6/12 6/16 7/13 11/6 149/8 151/18 151/21 140/11 141/2 141/11 112/4 112/6 114/20 **PAUL [5]** 1/8 1/12 20/24 22/1 27/9 27/11 156/12 157/10 93/14 93/16 161/3 151/9 151/13 152/1 118/8 118/21 119/4 29/11 43/20 43/21 Paula [2] 43/8 103/16 120/17 124/6 124/13 paragraph 12 [1] 152/2 52/14 53/17 54/2 63/23 pausing [5] 8/8 15/3 periodically [1] 127/23 130/3 130/25

81/16 81/19 85/13 14/7 P POL00084075 [2] positions [1] 121/9 130/4 146/4 possibilities [2] 85/17 88/16 88/20 **pressure** [1] 9/25 please... [24] 131/22 POL00084076 [2] 74/25 75/8 91/20 94/12 94/22 presumably [1] 131/24 133/13 135/8 149/10 158/1 possibility [5] 39/7 95/1 116/21 103/25 136/13 136/18 137/2 POL00086116 [1] 78/8 113/20 116/23 postmaster's [9] 3/3 prevent [1] 81/3 137/4 141/19 141/20 117/8 18/9 23/19 26/24 31/2 preventing [1] 81/23 88/21 144/1 144/24 146/2 possible [22] 31/8 34/1 61/18 78/15 POL00086582 [1] previous [3] 90/11 146/4 146/5 146/7 32/4 33/5 33/12 33/18 98/12 93/7 134/1 155/23 147/21 148/4 149/10 POL00088124 [1] 55/2 55/6 62/7 65/17 postmasters [39] **previously [6]** 37/13 150/6 150/14 151/19 3/10 3/16 13/7 22/14 78/10 78/18 84/11 49/17 94/7 106/10 155/5 151/20 151/21 POL00088312 [1] 87/3 89/7 98/13 106/3 22/22 24/9 25/7 26/5 113/17 114/7 plenty [1] 89/12 55/7 113/13 114/12 115/14 26/19 28/18 31/18 Price [4] 118/17 plus [7] 14/24 22/7 POL00088475 [1] 126/2 141/8 146/21 35/12 35/21 35/23 118/20 160/9 161/11 86/14 142/23 144/7 possibly [6] 3/8 3/22 36/2 36/7 37/11 39/2 89/20 primarily [1] 122/13 144/9 144/9 POL00088982 [1] 35/19 44/11 117/9 42/9 44/12 44/15 principal [4] 27/14 plus/minus [3] 144/7 136/7 44/16 46/2 46/14 74/16 95/19 95/21 73/2 144/9 144/9 post [100] 2/3 2/7 46/22 48/17 54/11 POL00093184 [1] principle [1] 32/14 **pm [6]** 9/16 89/14 3/25 6/5 6/13 8/13 54/12 54/15 58/14 **principles** [1] 88/22 21/6 89/16 118/2 118/4 59/23 60/4 60/9 61/23 print [2] 133/25 POL00113670 [1] 13/6 16/18 19/12 22/3 160/20 68/12 71/14 100/17 27/14 28/10 28/14 134/14 52/10 point [35] 13/24 14/2 28/22 33/15 33/21 106/23 106/25 POL00114930 [1] printer [1] 19/23 15/15 34/3 34/5 45/6 27/7 34/15 35/3 35/5 36/20 postmasters' [2] printout [1] 134/12 46/20 47/24 48/4 49/5 policies [21] 2/25 3/5 36/22 39/12 42/4 4/10 55/13 printouts [1] 134/4 59/10 60/7 61/6 62/2 25/24 25/25 26/14 43/15 44/14 46/9 **Postshop [3]** 125/2 prints [2] 134/3 62/4 64/9 64/10 64/22 37/8 38/2 38/5 38/9 49/14 53/8 53/22 125/4 125/15 134/13 79/18 102/15 104/18 47/12 50/20 51/3 74/6 53/24 54/5 54/9 54/20 **Postshops [1]** 125/8 prior [8] 39/10 74/6 111/17 111/18 111/19 54/22 56/6 58/12 59/3 potential [6] 51/7 75/17 87/5 89/24 76/24 78/11 79/6 111/23 113/7 113/8 90/11 90/13 155/12 60/12 60/19 61/4 62/3 62/9 67/1 85/22 132/19 134/1 135/17 122/23 126/12 137/14 private [2] 72/18 155/17 155/23 63/22 67/6 70/21 129/23 159/7 138/22 141/1 147/23 policy [88] 2/20 4/11 72/21 73/23 77/13 potentially [8] 86/19 81/15 148/9 156/7 23/10 24/15 24/17 80/24 81/2 81/8 84/8 86/20 147/15 149/2 probabilities [2] points [16] 16/14 26/3 50/7 50/7 50/22 84/12 84/17 84/23 149/4 154/5 159/6 74/23 75/10 18/20 41/12 56/8 85/4 85/6 85/7 85/14 51/4 51/5 51/11 51/22 159/9 probabilities' [1] 56/14 56/16 57/23 52/4 52/11 52/18 85/19 85/21 86/1 86/8 **pouch [1]** 150/21 74/20 60/10 65/3 65/16 52/22 53/18 53/21 86/11 86/18 87/1 power [1] 18/6 probability [1] 67/19 93/16 133/20 137/4 87/25 88/1 88/7 88/12 practical [1] 46/21 54/8 54/18 55/5 55/9 probably [20] 4/4 147/19 149/16 149/20 55/19 58/1 58/3 58/13 92/7 92/18 92/22 93/1 practice [10] 45/7 19/18 19/24 21/12 **POL [9]** 13/4 26/8 58/14 62/10 62/23 93/19 94/6 94/6 94/10 45/7 71/6 71/17 71/22 30/20 36/4 37/2 50/3 28/10 33/25 36/12 63/3 63/18 64/12 110/19 112/8 113/1 53/1 61/19 61/21 119/19 141/24 142/11 67/22 74/11 91/17 64/22 65/3 65/14 116/9 117/4 119/20 147/12 158/11 89/25 93/5 95/18 110/10 119/21 119/21 119/24 pre [7] 12/5 22/13 65/18 73/11 74/2 74/4 103/14 122/2 129/16 POL's [1] 5/24 74/9 74/10 75/15 122/24 123/8 123/11 49/8 58/8 64/16 129/18 147/18 156/19 POL00000246 [3] 75/16 75/21 76/5 124/6 124/10 127/3 129/17 145/10 problem [10] 10/9 63/16 69/9 80/19 76/16 76/23 83/20 15/7 25/10 25/21 132/3 137/12 145/7 pre-Horizon [4] 12/5 POL00005933 [1] 87/9 87/9 87/21 88/21 145/20 145/21 156/14 22/13 49/8 145/10 36/14 44/10 46/12 87/8 89/18 89/20 89/23 156/18 159/3 pre-Network [2] 58/8 47/19 48/24 51/24 POL00006666 [3] 90/1 90/11 119/19 Post Office [2] 2/7 64/16 problematic [2] 4/21 43/19 110/12 124/7 126/24 127/2 8/13 pre-reviews [1] 42/18 76/3 POL000075610 [1] 127/19 129/9 129/14 post-Network [1] 129/17 problems [26] 9/22 83/20 130/1 131/1 131/6 62/3 precautionary [10] 14/10 15/17 16/1 POL00021853 [1] 131/11 131/18 131/21 postal [1] 2/6 66/22 77/2 78/14 87/9 18/12 25/7 40/19 42/7 99/20 132/6 132/18 142/8 posted [4] 132/10 87/10 87/14 87/18 42/14 44/16 45/21 POL00021883 [1] 142/19 143/3 144/11 135/19 136/5 152/21 88/10 88/24 88/25 46/14 46/24 47/3 101/1 144/18 146/3 147/9 preclude [1] 72/14 47/23 47/24 51/20 posting [1] 136/14 POL00022167 [1] 65/13 82/2 82/4 94/9 147/20 149/7 149/19 postman [1] 120/3 precursor [1] 77/2 99/2 premises [3] 34/1 154/20 154/23 155/3 postmaster [40] 4/15 102/3 105/15 108/25 POL00022240 [1] 155/12 155/18 110/20 111/14 11/19 13/25 19/1 88/16 88/18 101/1 procedural [1] poor [3] 24/19 21/13 22/10 22/16 premium [1] 139/24 POL00041427 [1] **prepare [1]** 136/2 23/11 28/17 28/22 127/25 134/25 154/10 96/14 position [12] 5/25 35/9 35/17 43/4 44/9 prepared [1] 39/13 procedure [9] 11/23 POL00072146 [1] 44/11 46/2 46/7 46/11 24/24 40/10 47/18 prescribed [1] 76/5 23/24 68/1 69/12 94/3 56/1 65/11 86/4 91/3 61/7 62/21 63/5 65/19 80/20 92/5 119/19 present [2] 67/11 POL00083982 [1] 94/15 120/21 130/15 66/23 67/4 67/23 68/2 81/6 152/12 152/24 124/13 145/1 71/12 72/19 77/5 pressing [2] 13/17 procedures [7] 11/18

65/7 68/4 159/25 P proposal [1] 44/23 question [4] 44/18 reactive [1] 84/25 prosecute [1] 15/22 48/4 91/2 143/1 read [16] 16/12 19/2 receiving [1] 35/13 procedures... [6] recent [4] 89/25 **prosecuted** [1] 15/13 questioned [11] 1/9 19/14 21/10 27/23 12/7 14/5 38/9 66/13 prosecuting [2] 47/7 70/13 70/17 70/23 39/11 41/4 44/2 47/1 125/1 127/25 128/1 91/25 135/1 92/8 104/14 118/20 157/21 86/23 94/23 100/19 recently [1] 19/25 proceed [1] 70/7 prosecution [5] 97/2 161/5 161/7 161/11 102/18 105/4 107/2 recheck [2] 152/3 proceeded [1] 93/18 97/4 97/10 98/1 98/14 161/13 144/4 152/5 proceedings [2] recipient [1] 99/22 prosecutions [4] questioning [1] 30/4 reading [2] 41/25 90/19 91/15 43/6 90/22 91/8 95/10 questionnaire [1] 100/21 recipients [1] 27/16 process [38] 14/11 reads [5] 61/12 61/13 reclaims [1] 28/11 prospective [1] 18/16 26/5 36/8 39/5 43/11 questions [17] 5/22 131/2 146/8 147/24 recognise [4] 24/4 94/11 51/18 51/23 52/1 52/4 real [4] 11/11 51/22 14/18 14/20 20/18 **protect [2]** 131/16 46/24 48/11 75/3 52/5 52/7 52/8 53/5 56/10 60/17 134/24 70/4 104/4 104/5 recollection [7] 21/9 53/16 67/25 77/3 77/3 104/6 104/12 117/13 24/11 27/6 28/1 60/7 protection [4] 45/1 reality [1] 59/6 78/5 78/8 78/24 81/17 45/13 48/1 146/24 117/16 119/15 157/15 really [21] 14/6 16/17 83/25 90/23 81/18 87/17 93/14 prove [2] 18/1 18/2 recommended [1] 157/17 157/19 160/7 20/1 26/23 40/18 127/14 135/17 137/3 45/20 46/20 48/5 **proven [3]** 74/19 160/15 133/18 137/5 138/6 138/14 74/24 75/24 quick [6] 45/15 51/23 59/1 62/18 82/1 reconcile [4] 47/5 140/17 141/7 141/17 provide [11] 30/18 149/17 149/21 150/13 90/5 99/18 99/19 134/12 134/13 134/15 141/22 150/14 153/5 157/24 157/25 101/9 102/13 123/17 30/23 30/24 31/16 reconciliation [1] 153/21 155/19 129/19 149/20 151/24 31/9 45/16 54/18 91/24 quicker [9] 11/18 processed [1] 31/7 98/5 131/7 131/12 12/8 12/14 12/24 reason [13] 50/10 reconsidered [1] **processes** [9] 37/8 131/19 13/11 14/6 14/8 14/12 51/17 72/12 75/20 91/3 38/2 38/6 38/15 53/22 provided [5] 15/23 14/16 99/13 103/2 105/23 record [7] 118/10 54/9 54/19 98/7 49/10 68/2 68/12 quickly [4] 48/13 139/13 149/13 152/15 118/14 133/1 133/3 126/10 87/5 93/7 102/16 153/21 154/7 155/6 137/19 153/8 155/4 127/6 processing [2] 7/10 providing [6] 26/21 reasonable [3] 12/24 recording [1] 131/10 quiet [1] 3/21 11/1 37/10 76/10 118/15 quite [30] 11/20 75/1 75/11 recover [4] 53/23 processor [1] 120/22 119/14 160/14 13/11 13/12 16/19 reasonably [1] 43/11 54/10 54/20 63/2 produce [2] 13/8 17/13 18/24 26/20 **provision [3]** 64/18 reasoning [3] 147/10 recovered [2] 39/10 34/18 81/13 82/7 33/20 34/4 40/15 155/19 156/6 51/12 produced [3] 12/2 **public [3]** 16/12 42/12 48/13 51/11 reasons [7] 45/16 **recovering [2]** 51/22 15/14 33/8 18/14 92/3 51/13 62/7 64/21 68/9 61/14 71/10 102/20 62/19 produces [1] 32/16 **publicise** [3] 45/7 71/14 80/9 86/16 87/3 126/2 127/21 152/17 recovery [6] 47/12 **producing [1]** 31/10 45/8 46/13 87/5 100/16 102/10 reassured [1] 17/12 50/21 51/4 51/11 product [4] 22/5 102/16 103/1 115/15 62/16 62/24 published [2] 2/1 rebuked [1] 114/11 120/24 126/23 150/24 40/22 150/3 153/12 159/9 rebuttal [4] 106/10 rectify [2] 152/9 production [3] 12/18 quoting [1] 137/24 113/17 114/7 114/9 157/13 pulling [1] 124/20 135/14 135/15 punitive [3] 129/24 recall [40] 15/6 27/24 redesigned [1] 131/7 products [1] 11/9 29/19 30/6 30/8 40/2 140/3 142/5 reduce [1] 131/15 **profit [2]** 126/22 raise [5] 45/12 purely [8] 56/5 57/7 40/17 42/21 43/4 reduced [1] 8/14 135/19 138/13 140/14 141/23 58/16 58/18 63/3 43/24 44/19 51/25 refer [5] 3/13 109/23 profitability [2] 81/15 129/24 154/1 145/23 57/1 68/11 73/13 74/1 109/24 128/4 142/3 126/23 131/6 raised [5] 80/2 80/6 purpose [13] 2/19 74/15 74/21 82/15 reference [12] 1/25 profitable [2] 86/19 80/12 104/22 108/21 5/20 5/21 53/18 53/21 83/3 83/23 91/5 91/12 12/6 28/12 56/11 123/18 79/2 81/9 81/12 85/10 raising [2] 108/7 93/1 95/8 95/16 96/13 58/15 59/5 69/22 programme [4] 3/17 142/11 90/8 90/9 131/2 80/22 112/7 137/25 98/4 99/14 100/21 57/18 85/3 85/9 ran [1] 121/5 158/11 101/7 102/3 121/24 143/3 155/5 programmes [1] random [1] 132/25 purposes [1] 119/11 130/6 135/21 143/24 references [1] 156/20 range [1] 26/23 153/13 157/6 157/11 pursuant [1] 123/12 100/25 progress [2] 91/10 push [2] 7/10 11/1 rapidly [1] 103/1 159/19 referred [6] 5/12 91/10 rare [3] 60/8 90/20 put [18] 7/21 14/21 recalled [2] 20/12 57/13 57/18 71/10 project [2] 122/3 18/18 42/11 46/7 97/20 29/21 78/23 138/8 122/6 rather [4] 29/5 88/23 46/19 48/5 48/12 recalling [2] 94/17 referring [9] 15/8 proliferation [1] 35/1 117/2 144/16 98/10 112/16 115/20 96/9 15/9 23/4 24/8 28/6 prominently [1] 118/9 118/14 144/8 rationalisations [1] **Receipts [1]** 114/25 35/8 103/6 107/20 102/7 144/15 149/24 157/2 36/10 **Receipts/Payments** 144/3 promoted [1] 120/13 **Ravi [1]** 53/2 159/24 **[1]** 114/25 refers [3] 64/18 65/6 **proof [1]** 97/18 re [1] 28/4 putting [2] 13/16 receive [7] 13/20 85/6 **proofing [2]** 5/13 reached [1] 103/19 18/10 60/8 68/7 86/13 reflection [1] 39/18 145/8 114/1 reaching [2] 79/7 90/20 94/24 refresh [1] 52/4 proper [1] 39/14 141/8 received [11] 15/6 regard [3] 28/15 82/8 properly [3] 37/1 qualified [1] 74/9 reacting [1] 14/20 22/2 25/16 27/24 103/4 71/15 79/9 quarter [1] 114/1 reaction [1] 48/4 29/19 30/8 41/2 48/6 regarding [16] 16/13

114/13 115/15 84/24 117/18 R rigidly [2] 38/5 38/7 saved [1] 11/17 rising [1] 153/14 removed [3] 4/4 respective [1] 60/22 **saving [1]** 12/6 regarding... [15] risk [4] 88/2 153/24 146/15 155/18 respects [2] 32/12 savings [3] 12/17 18/15 43/6 46/22 50/8 remuneration [3] 33/2 153/25 154/4 12/22 13/5 51/1 66/12 93/14 saw [3] 19/9 41/21 4/10 86/10 88/19 responding [1] 20/18 robust [4] 17/2 17/17 106/15 106/20 106/25 reorganisation [1] response [10] 3/16 156/16 156/25 153/25 107/4 107/21 108/2 29/3 29/12 39/23 40/6 robustness [4] say [68] 6/20 7/2 7/8 110/9 108/16 113/4 42/6 46/19 94/16 7/24 9/5 10/19 10/24 reorganisations [1] 106/15 106/21 107/4 regards [1] 108/12 36/5 98/25 99/17 108/2 17/25 19/17 20/25 **regime** [1] 71/3 28/19 29/2 29/13 repay [1] 39/2 responses [1] 39/25 **Roderic [1]** 93/13 region [1] 24/2 responsibilities [3] **Rodric [1]** 96/16 30/10 30/11 30/14 repeated [1] 59/1 regional [4] 37/14 127/8 131/10 131/21 32/11 32/23 32/24 repercussions [1] **rogue [1]** 112/19 122/12 153/5 154/12 152/14 responsibility [3] role [7] 2/23 4/1 4/2 33/1 33/11 33/12 regular [3] 101/11 63/22 139/18 140/6 60/3 96/23 100/9 34/14 38/7 39/4 45/13 report [19] 66/21 132/19 147/5 66/25 79/12 99/7 99/8 responsible [8] 121/2 45/14 50/19 56/21 regulations [1] 100/1 100/22 101/5 52/22 54/5 56/6 58/17 roles [4] 2/16 16/19 57/7 64/23 71/2 72/2 137/12 61/7 64/2 83/24 135/4 27/17 122/22 101/23 103/9 104/20 73/9 75/7 76/15 77/16 rehear [1] 79/2 104/22 105/3 105/12 rest [1] 159/22 roll [2] 13/25 151/25 77/16 79/8 85/10 reinstated [1] 77/5 105/17 107/19 108/6 result [5] 8/16 42/10 rolled [4] 24/16 91/22 98/17 99/10 reiterate [4] 138/14 108/25 148/2 121/24 149/22 149/25 101/18 105/19 106/8 88/12 129/10 134/17 140/16 141/7 141/7 108/1 108/24 113/10 reported [5] 23/14 resulting [2] 110/22 rolling [2] 152/7 reiterated [1] 127/13 23/16 25/7 125/17 127/17 152/14 114/5 114/17 124/7 reiterates [1] 131/15 148/10 resume [1] 160/17 rollout [1] 34/6 124/19 128/14 129/6 related [1] 28/18 retail [10] 81/10 130/6 132/2 132/21 reporter [2] 28/6 roughly [2] 50/6 relates [2] 114/22 85/18 125/7 125/8 139/24 145/3 147/14 41/18 110/12 114/25 148/21 149/10 150/15 125/14 126/6 128/14 reporting [3] 4/3 4/7 route [1] 152/11 relating [2] 44/6 150/25 151/6 153/6 128/15 128/25 155/11 136/20 Rule [1] 115/4 99/13 reports [4] 95/24 retained [1] 98/11 Rule 10 [1] 115/4 156/12 relation [8] 40/5 70/1 ruled [2] 39/9 78/10 96/1 96/3 135/15 rethink [3] 40/10 saying [13] 19/7 107/16 107/17 119/20 40/25 41/6 rules [1] 137/11 25/10 47/14 65/7 represent [1] 104/16 121/2 129/11 145/2 representation [2] return [3] 5/8 23/19 rumour [1] 114/10 90/12 110/18 111/10 relationship [10] 3/9 run [4] 94/7 121/6 67/8 71/23 43/18 111/11 111/24 112/19 26/18 35/10 35/12 122/17 150/19 reveals [1] 159/2 113/1 144/13 150/2 representations [1] 35/22 36/3 36/11 says [44] 7/2 7/4 7/23 39/14 review [12] 93/17 running [4] 5/5 37/17 38/4 59/24 representative [2] 122/11 129/4 129/6 123/25 132/3 155/22 8/3 8/25 10/18 10/20 relatively [2] 25/17 129/10 129/13 129/19 **Rural [1]** 2/16 21/18 69/3 11/4 19/14 20/2 20/7 22/1 22/21 23/8 23/13 represented [1] 72/7 130/15 130/18 138/24 **relayed [1]** 107/16 S 141/5 141/14 24/13 28/5 39/11 44/2 reputation [1] 88/3 releases [1] 157/12 sacrosanct [1] 44/3 46/11 53/20 request [8] 26/7 26/8 reviewed [2] 53/8 relevant [1] 94/13 146/23 54/17 56/20 56/23 38/8 43/23 44/14 53/14 reliance [2] 7/9 10/25 said [40] 9/22 9/24 44/17 90/2 115/4 reviewing [2] 130/6 58/21 64/1 70/1 70/2 reliant [2] 35/23 14/5 14/22 25/6 25/12 requested [1] 35/17 130/9 73/16 74/18 80/23 36/11 reviews [4] 129/17 32/6 32/19 36/10 80/24 84/6 84/19 requests [2] 44/20 relied [1] 63/3 40/17 44/24 47/1 47/5 87/24 88/5 93/12 94/8 60/8 129/17 129/18 156/25 relying [1] 16/6 52/21 58/25 59/10 required [9] 70/6 revisiting [1] 47/18 96/16 106/19 108/18 remain [2] 104/11 73/18 79/11 127/3 69/3 69/5 69/20 70/8 127/1 127/24 rewrite [1] 143/23 148/7 78/7 78/20 79/23 131/16 138/25 140/16 rewriting [1] 154/22 scale [2] 48/20 136/8 remaining [1] 125/17 80/14 82/23 90/19 141/6 151/2 rewritten [1] 155/2 sceptical [1] 40/16 remarks [1] 65/10 93/16 96/25 97/13 right [42] 2/5 7/21 requirement [7] scope [1] 65/20 remediation [2] 32/21 55/22 56/12 97/19 100/15 103/8 23/16 142/20 143/1 score [2] 130/24 14/15 18/8 109/4 111/2 117/2 143/4 143/6 147/2 56/15 56/25 58/6 73/9 158/8 remember [21] 19/12 117/7 128/11 133/7 147/8 79/10 79/15 81/1 Scott [1] 154/19 19/20 19/22 21/8 84/22 90/12 105/23 137/10 151/12 **scratch [1]** 137/21 requirements [1] 21/11 24/25 27/12 106/17 108/23 109/20 sale [1] 85/19 147/3 screen [18] 5/1 5/2 61/22 62/7 80/4 83/5 sales [4] 22/4 123/1 reserve [1] 147/13 110/4 110/14 110/25 5/6 19/22 31/24 32/1 92/8 99/8 99/11 126/23 129/17 resignation [1] 85/11 112/5 114/3 114/5 52/10 55/3 84/20 120/23 124/19 126/4 114/19 117/17 120/1 **Sam [1]** 104/15 101/1 110/10 124/13 resigned [2] 54/24 130/11 130/21 143/19 same [13] 24/23 50/6 84/9 122/6 122/20 123/4 130/3 141/19 146/3 149/15 124/10 124/17 124/18 55/5 55/8 55/24 56/3 149/9 151/19 157/7 resolution [2] 66/8 remembered [1] 57/11 95/19 95/21 95/13 148/22 151/4 151/10 screens [2] 19/18 132/16 151/11 157/13 157/14 105/5 112/25 129/21 resolved [1] 13/24 125/9 reminder [1] 113/10 149/18 resources [1] 31/19 screwdriver [1] 157/20 157/20 160/12 reminds [1] 11/8 sat [1] 26/6 respect [7] 62/11 right-hand [3] 55/22 52/25 remotely [3] 112/9 save [1] 61/8 63/1 66/17 71/4 84/12 56/12 56/15 scripts [2] 38/15

110/13 110/16 115/1 S 138/6 56/12 56/13 56/15 slow [1] 19/24 115/8 117/10 118/5 setting [2] 67/4 60/15 95/10 104/10 **small [5]** 80/8 89/19 scripts... [1] 109/10 125/21 133/3 136/16 125/16 125/15 129/24 140/3 101/2 104/5 158/21 scroll [8] 21/25 52/15 138/5 140/18 144/20 seven [1] 98/11 142/5 smaller [1] 86/9 53/11 53/25 56/2 144/22 159/11 seven years [1] Sight [25] 98/17 smokescreen [1] 76/12 96/16 99/24 98/19 99/1 99/7 99/14 45/5 seek [1] 88/15 98/11 **Scrolling [2]** 136/15 seem [5] 15/16 45/15 100/1 100/21 101/4 **shape [1]** 37/9 **Snap [1]** 127/12 151/20 46/3 109/8 128/9 101/12 101/20 101/23 snappier [1] 150/3 **share [2]** 82/13 SCS [1] 129/18 seemed [2] 36/8 141/23 102/12 102/25 103/9 snapshot [5] 134/2 second [35] 3/11 5/3 155/16 104/19 104/20 104/22 134/6 134/8 134/12 **shared [2]** 130/16 27/9 56/21 60/20 seems [13] 24/16 146/25 105/3 105/12 105/17 134/14 69/13 73/6 94/4 98/17 35/6 43/21 44/14 107/19 107/21 108/6 **so [144]** 4/23 8/15 **sharing [7]** 44/9 98/19 98/25 99/7 44/19 45/20 56/3 45/23 46/21 48/12 108/9 108/25 9/13 10/1 10/4 10/8 99/13 100/1 100/21 56/17 58/19 61/9 49/3 49/25 158/11 10/11 11/11 11/19 sign [1] 132/8 101/4 101/12 101/20 69/11 105/17 109/16 **sharing-off** [1] 49/25 signal [1] 70/5 11/21 12/23 13/10 101/23 102/12 102/25 seen [23] 14/23 16/2 she [29] 7/2 7/4 7/23 signature [3] 1/19 14/5 17/21 20/11 103/9 104/19 104/19 16/15 17/23 18/23 8/3 10/18 10/20 11/4 119/6 119/7 20/19 21/20 24/2 104/22 105/2 105/12 29/21 30/6 41/10 45/6 19/14 20/2 20/7 27/13 signed [11] 58/7 58/9 25/10 26/6 26/22 27/3 105/17 107/19 107/21 50/17 89/24 90/12 27/13 27/14 28/7 44/2 62/3 62/5 132/2 132/7 29/4 29/7 29/13 29/17 108/6 108/9 108/25 91/3 93/13 98/21 44/3 90/2 93/12 94/8 138/16 139/1 139/12 29/18 31/3 33/20 34/6 133/7 133/11 98/23 107/7 115/7 94/14 95/2 95/2 95/10 140/17 140/25 40/4 40/22 43/19 44/8 Second Sight [3] 124/11 129/22 140/2 95/16 95/18 96/6 significant [7] 2/18 44/14 45/9 45/12 47/4 98/17 98/19 101/23 154/3 159/4 96/11 102/23 102/24 18/12 40/19 66/19 47/19 49/1 50/2 54/8 seconded [1] 122/5 sell [1] 86/2 sheet [2] 67/16 138/2 78/15 87/16 96/12 55/5 55/18 56/13 **secondly [3]** 45/2 sellable [1] 87/1 shift [1] 35/7 57/14 57/19 58/3 58/6 significantly [1] 113/4 116/11 sending [1] 150/20 59/19 60/14 61/6 61/7 **shining [1]** 43/15 73/14 secret [1] 117/6 senior [13] 4/2 16/19 62/1 63/16 64/8 66/18 **shock [1]** 158/19 signify [1] 29/7 **secretary [2]** 114/16 17/5 27/17 53/7 60/3 68/6 69/2 69/9 69/12 **short [3]** 66/5 89/15 silo [7] 72/25 82/11 114/18 68/25 95/16 95/17 118/3 97/2 101/13 128/5 70/23 71/24 76/5 76/9 Secretary's [1] 95/14 101/21 114/10 114/15 shortage [1] 35/18 129/3 129/12 76/12 77/18 79/3 Secrets [1] 70/22 80/10 80/18 82/21 122/14 shortages [4] 8/14 similar [9] 4/12 4/12 section [16] 55/1 25/4 25/15 40/6 71/3 sense [5] 45/3 45/11 34/5 38/24 39/3 84/23 85/10 85/20 63/22 69/11 131/22 45/20 46/3 46/5 82/4 125/22 130/11 85/21 85/23 86/12 **shorten [1]** 115/20 132/11 135/8 136/18 shortfall [3] 112/12 simple [2] 8/17 51/11 sent [4] 28/17 65/19 86/19 87/18 88/9 136/20 139/6 146/6 66/24 67/3 116/5 148/24 simplification [1] 88/22 90/3 92/3 92/16 146/8 147/22 150/5 sentence [4] 39/11 8/20 93/4 93/18 93/25 shortfalls [7] 44/8 150/7 150/8 158/2 94/16 96/6 99/11 106/13 106/19 111/1 45/25 47/15 66/13 **simplistic** [1] 126/20 section 1 [3] 150/5 119/23 145/2 145/5 101/16 103/25 105/5 separately [3] 63/7 simplistically [2] 150/7 150/8 105/17 105/22 106/19 72/24 97/16 shortly [1] 41/16 126/12 126/16 section 14 [1] 69/11 **should [41]** 1/14 5/2 110/4 110/9 110/14 September [5] **simply [1]** 39/15 **Section 3 [1]** 131/22 20/8 20/9 38/24 39/4 129/14 130/2 142/18 since [1] 91/3 111/10 111/24 112/5 Section 4 [1] 132/11 146/2 149/6 39/9 44/10 44/15 Singh [1] 91/7 112/25 114/1 116/6 **Section 5 [1]** 135/8 September 2008 [3] 55/23 62/21 68/2 68/7 sir [20] 1/3 65/23 117/17 117/21 121/20 **Section 6 [1]** 136/18 129/14 142/18 149/6 77/25 78/7 78/10 66/7 89/11 89/17 122/3 122/13 123/19 section 8 [2] 63/22 serious [2] 73/21 78/17 81/1 81/7 84/24 104/4 104/6 104/13 126/25 128/18 128/20 146/6 110/19 115/8 116/18 105/24 115/11 117/13 129/7 130/18 132/7 131/4 section 8.2 [1] 146/8 133/7 136/3 136/24 service [13] 3/4 116/21 117/6 118/24 117/15 118/1 118/5 section 8.9 [1] 52/13 53/23 54/22 128/2 129/8 133/9 118/18 157/15 157/19 137/13 137/24 139/19 147/22 54/23 55/12 55/13 135/11 136/14 138/15 157/22 160/10 160/19 140/2 142/6 143/5 security [12] 68/4 76/4 106/2 106/16 144/4 145/8 147/16 146/22 146/25 149/18 sit [3] 4/1 68/16 68/5 127/6 131/15 113/12 122/18 129/17 151/15 155/12 156/3 155/12 148/22 149/16 149/20 132/4 146/7 147/1 services [14] 27/13 157/2 160/1 160/2 site [1] 123/22 149/23 150/1 150/13 147/9 147/18 154/19 27/15 42/18 43/8 151/8 153/3 154/3 shouldn't [1] 20/8 **sitting [3]** 5/16 83/10 154/24 156/4 74/14 74/15 77/14 show [6] 33/18 33/22 156/19 157/2 158/13 138/1 **see [45]** 1/3 5/1 5/6 90/4 93/24 94/2 90/25 99/2 115/25 **situation [4]** 6/23 158/23 159/8 160/17 5/23 31/4 31/6 35/16 101/14 101/18 123/13 160/1 6/24 7/20 63/12 software [5] 51/2 36/12 36/20 38/20 147/3 78/9 79/24 117/5 **showing [2]** 23/20 **situations** [1] 90/14 45/21 47/19 48/24 105/20 six [5] 98/11 141/3 157/12 **serving [2]** 2/6 50/11 50/21 52/14 141/11 147/14 147/16 sole [1] 140/6 139/23 **shows [1]** 28/9 55/23 56/19 56/25 set [8] 3/17 53/22 size [1] 48/21 solely [2] 120/19 shrinkage [3] 125/2 59/13 62/9 62/24 85/2 105/12 127/21 125/5 125/9 skillset [1] 79/15 122/22 65/11 66/25 74/18 sic [1] 39/6 **slightly [9]** 3/22 3/23 127/22 133/7 136/15 solicitor [5] 5/11 6/17 76/12 78/13 82/7 7/14 7/15 7/17 9/12 sets [6] 53/17 54/8 side [16] 2/13 21/21 43/22 95/24 96/6 87/20 100/6 100/13 **solicitors [1]** 102/2 90/7 131/1 136/20 55/6 55/22 55/25 56/4 55/4 56/2 102/6

58/25 66/10 69/6 structured [1] 130/19 suggests [2] 29/24 S **sound [2]** 107/11 124/16 70/15 70/24 78/23 **struggling [1]** 159/3 30/19 solve [1] 117/24 stuck [3] 38/5 38/7 sounds [3] 75/8 86/8 90/20 91/13 98/18 **summarily [2]** 77/6 some [57] 14/15 18/8 124/18 99/15 105/24 105/25 99/18 84/8 20/21 20/22 21/18 South [4] 2/13 21/21 106/24 108/14 108/24 sub [3] 128/16 136/7 **summarise [3]** 51/24 27/23 29/15 31/8 33/2 24/6 48/19 111/19 113/10 113/21 159/2 54/8 87/13 33/14 33/17 34/23 114/1 114/4 118/13 subject [4] 92/15 **span [1]** 64/15 **summary [2]** 134/10 34/24 35/7 39/6 42/19 118/15 118/25 119/3 92/16 137/7 152/23 spanned [1] 58/14 136/20 43/3 43/10 43/24 supervisory [4] spans [1] 64/12 119/8 119/14 124/7 **submarine** [1] 116/6 44/19 49/24 51/19 speak [3] 20/3 71/12 124/19 128/4 128/11 127/12 132/12 132/14 submarine-like [1] 52/23 58/6 58/20 130/5 134/2 135/15 116/6 154/1 71/21 61/14 61/24 65/13 137/10 141/18 142/4 **speaking [1]** 15/5 **submitted [1]** 70/15 suppliers [1] 125/11 67/24 71/23 72/8 74/2 **specialist** [1] 126/7 142/9 143/7 144/2 subpostmaster [26] **Supply [1]** 120/7 77/14 82/23 83/25 144/24 149/8 149/11 3/14 28/8 29/25 30/16|support [25] 3/1 **specific [14]** 16/15 88/17 91/7 93/5 94/22 17/13 18/17 18/20 150/15 151/2 151/18 30/24 31/14 34/16 22/19 25/23 26/9 94/23 95/25 97/9 18/25 25/15 41/9 151/20 153/16 155/2 37/21 37/22 57/15 26/22 30/18 34/18 98/21 101/21 101/23 41/13 43/3 51/25 156/12 157/11 160/14 57/15 57/16 61/14 35/14 70/16 81/5 102/11 103/21 105/20 121/3 121/12 122/13 100/7 106/24 107/22 statute [1] 3/8 63/15 64/2 69/9 79/23 106/9 107/21 113/6 108/15 **stay [1]** 7/13 80/25 81/4 81/7 81/23 122/14 123/24 124/4 113/6 113/16 114/6 86/2 86/13 88/9 88/13 128/17 128/21 131/19 specifically [3] 29/2 stayed [1] 125/22 124/20 134/19 159/3 138/25 140/15 141/6 61/22 62/8 **Stein [8]** 104/5 104/6 97/10 somebody [12] 5/5 spend [1] 88/1 104/13 104/14 104/15 subpostmaster's [2] 142/12 153/18 154/12 5/17 20/3 21/7 21/8 **sphere [1]** 110/6 115/3 115/19 161/7 62/6 85/23 supported [1] 74/10 29/7 37/21 37/22 59/8 **spoke [3]** 17/1 29/17 step [1] 24/22 subpostmasters [48] supporting [3] 36/7 92/12 93/8 139/22 steps [6] 4/4 133/16 18/11 20/9 20/22 21/2 123/20 150/20 109/9 someone [11] 25/19 sponsor [1] 90/3 133/20 136/21 140/22 26/2 28/11 28/13 suppose [1] 109/17 65/17 92/14 95/23 sporadic [1] 25/19 37/17 39/19 40/12 sure [15] 24/10 34/2 144/18 98/5 98/13 100/6 36/22 42/11 65/25 44/5 45/17 45/24 spreadsheet [1] stick [2] 25/13 25/14 114/11 139/13 140/9 138/3 sticking [3] 38/2 45/24 48/8 54/4 57/10 73/12 95/11 112/12 148/1 38/15 109/10 58/7 59/2 63/2 67/10 112/13 115/16 125/6 spreadsheets [1] something [42] 8/20 67/25 69/4 70/25 71/9 153/7 still [11] 9/11 9/19 126/7 132/17 148/3 9/1 14/19 26/22 28/21 staff [7] 121/4 127/17 21/2 38/20 46/15 71/20 82/3 82/4 84/22 158/9 28/24 29/2 32/7 41/21 144/10 145/21 147/13 54/23 59/20 136/2 86/22 95/5 96/22 **surpluses** [1] 34/5 48/16 51/14 58/24 156/23 158/12 136/4 136/10 151/7 104/16 106/6 107/9 surprise [5] 127/12 59/16 67/5 68/9 68/11 stage [28] 69/13 stock [47] 10/8 12/1 113/15 113/23 116/6 132/12 132/14 132/21 72/2 78/2 86/5 86/6 69/16 69/19 72/20 12/3 12/3 34/2 60/13 123/10 128/19 145/3 132/25 86/7 90/4 91/20 92/1 80/13 80/14 80/15 60/19 63/23 88/3 145/4 145/7 145/14 **Susan [2]** 101/19 93/25 96/12 99/16 81/15 137/16 137/23 125/10 125/11 125/15 145/19 156/23 159/8 102/16 99/17 99/18 100/19 137/24 138/7 138/9 126/15 127/7 127/12 suspect [1] 112/18 159/15 101/7 101/15 109/4 132/22 133/10 133/19 subpostmistress [1] 138/18 138/19 138/21 **suspend [1]** 76/25 113/25 116/4 129/3 139/3 139/5 139/6 133/22 133/23 133/24 65/7 **suspended [8]** 30/13 129/13 143/16 150/3 139/8 140/9 140/19 134/6 134/8 134/8 subpostmistresses 42/10 43/4 76/7 76/18 157/10 159/16 160/2 140/21 141/1 141/3 134/9 134/12 135/14 88/8 88/10 88/17 **[1]** 104/17 Something's [1] 141/9 141/10 141/12 136/12 137/16 139/16 subsequent [1] 36/5 suspended/terminate 148/21 139/17 139/19 139/21 subsequently [7] stage 3 [1] 141/3 **d[1]** 30/13 **sometime [2]** 50/3 stages [2] 138/6 140/7 146/17 146/19 54/24 55/19 97/25 **suspense [1]** 134/10 102/22 146/21 147/15 148/18 98/8 98/21 102/19 140/18 suspension [16] **sometimes [4]** 13/23 150/17 151/7 151/24 staggered [1] 132/8 120/13 43/12 66/23 77/2 78/5 17/17 19/20 133/11 stakeholders [2] 152/3 152/9 152/19 substantiate [2] 78/14 78/16 87/6 87/9 soon [1] 40/23 87/11 87/14 87/18 53/13 130/20 152/21 154/15 30/17 31/15 sophisticated [1] stand [1] 150/23 stocks [6] 138/7 substantive [1] 88/23 88/6 88/22 88/23 32/13 138/12 139/11 139/14 substantively [1] 88/24 88/25 standalone [1] sorry [11] 7/13 43/1 140/8 140/10 suspensions [11] 120/20 73/14 50/13 52/16 57/20 start [9] 4/19 6/18 stood [1] 125/21 successfully [1] 42/12 42/17 42/22 63/20 78/12 83/15 **stop [2]** 88/13 142/25 24/15 7/14 7/16 27/11 69/2 43/6 49/6 49/16 50/1 96/3 96/13 115/3 137/21 138/6 146/6 such [10] 3/18 64/6 50/5 50/9 76/2 77/12 store [1] 33/4 sort [20] 3/7 21/18 81/4 81/9 87/6 95/3 **started [7]** 2/6 35/15 strange [1] 102/6 **suspicion** [1] 148/1 35/7 43/24 44/19 49/3 36/3 77/4 102/1 strategy [1] 143/17 105/7 115/25 116/8 Suspicions [1] 49/6 49/25 50/7 62/18 streams [1] 33/5 123/25 144/5 147/23 116/19 64/12 67/25 80/11 **starting** [1] 34/3 stressed [1] 23/9 suffer [2] 45/9 86/25 suspicious [3] 82/11 97/1 100/18 suggest [1] 45/9 state [1] 155/23 **strictest [4]** 70/9 148/11 148/15 148/25 128/8 128/23 159/14 switch [3] 138/16 statement [60] 1/14 70/11 70/14 71/1 suggesting [2] 97/7 160/4 1/16 1/21 1/24 2/1 strong [2] 37/24 114/11 139/2 139/4 **sorts [1]** 122/3 5/13 20/19 32/6 52/21 42/19 sworn [4] 1/8 118/19 suggestion [1] 17/8

S sworn... [2] 161/3 161/9 sympathetic [2] 37/3 37/5 system [98] 7/7 7/11 9/14 9/18 9/20 10/4 10/6 10/11 10/23 11/2 13/15 15/4 15/10 15/14 15/23 16/6 16/13 16/21 17/1 17/2 17/9 17/16 17/17 17/23 18/3 18/15 18/19 19/8 20/14 20/21 20/23 22/2 22/6 22/25 23/1 23/3 23/7 25/8 25/21 28/9 30/15 30/25 31/3 31/5 31/7 31/10 31/12 31/21 32/5 32/24 33/13 39/8 39/16 40/20 41/11 42/15 45/18 47/3 47/23 49/10 50/24 51/8 79/22 90/15 101/24 105/14 105/20 106/5 106/15 106/21 107/1 107/4 107/5 107/10 107/24 108/3 108/17 111/14 111/25 113/4 113/14 113/22 114/13 115/15 117/6 118/11 120/19 121/2 121/15 121/17 121/17 134/5 146/14 146/15 153/15 156/8 156/9 156/16 system' [1] 23/20 systems [2] 15/18 135/5

table [3] 125/16 138/5 140/8 take [30] 6/16 11/8 13/22 14/14 29/12 58/4 62/11 63/14 65/24 69/8 70/10 73/1 81/2 81/19 87/5 93/6 93/20 101/16 114/19 115/23 121/6 126/15 126/20 131/14 139/20 139/24 140/4 144/8 152/13 155/25 taken [18] 5/13 11/21 78/11 79/12 97/8 119/21 128/2 129/8 133/20 136/21 138/15 139/1 139/12 140/5 140/22 140/24 148/14 154/8 taking [5] 97/12 126/18 151/8 155/21 156/7

Talbot [3] 94/5 95/8 95/13 talk [9] 9/9 15/18 46/1 105/8 105/9 106/1 113/20 113/24 116/12 talked [3] 20/20 41/22 77/19 talking [6] 5/10 17/14 68/15 108/13 108/14 111/18 targeted [1] 49/12 task [1] 16/17 team [42] 4/9 4/13 17/7 22/18 22/18 26/10 34/20 42/24 43/2 51/16 51/20 52/2 53/1 57/4 68/4 68/5 91/8 92/19 92/23 93/2 95/4 96/8 122/6 122/9 122/15 126/17 147/18 147/19 148/6 148/12 150/2 153/3 153/8 153/18 153/18 153/25 154/12 154/19 154/24 155/10 156/4 156/21 teams [2] 13/4 26/20 tell [7] 3/25 4/24 17/3 23/2 42/14 73/13 116/4 telling [2] 16/24 86/3 temporary [4] 88/15 88/20 94/22 95/1 tend [2] 40/14 95/25 tensions [1] 52/1 term [6] 8/22 8/23 36/18 54/14 65/9 156/13 terminal [1] 120/21 terminals [1] 33/3 terminate [1] 76/25 terminated [8] 30/13 76/18 77/6 83/18 83/19 84/8 85/24 86/23 termination [9] 60/23 76/7 76/8 77/15 84/10 85/11 85/12 87/2 94/24 terminations [3] 49/6 77/10 83/5 terminology [3] 75/6 75/13 157/5 terms [19] 3/1 11/9 19/6 36/25 37/10 46/6 53/3 57/4 61/17 76/3 76/21 79/8 83/1 92/5 106/24 116/7 142/25 151/15 154/10 territorial [1] 122/12 test [6] 74/21 74/24 75/4 75/10 75/14 79/20 text [1] 52/24

Thames [1] 122/2 than [25] 8/1 14/25 29/5 29/25 30/10 30/20 32/16 38/17 38/25 39/3 48/25 61/22 65/1 86/7 87/1 88/24 102/18 109/17 109/21 113/6 115/21 117/11 122/25 144/16 144/23 thank [50] 1/5 1/10 1/13 1/24 2/22 3/20 3/24 9/17 21/25 27/23 29/13 43/13 53/17 54/16 55/15 55/25 56/2 65/22 66/2 66/3 89/2 89/13 89/17 90/7 90/17 93/6 99/24 104/3 104/12 104/13 110/15 112/6 117/14 117/15 117/25 118/1 118/7 118/12 118/18 119/12 143/10 157/22 160/7 160/8 160/13 160/19 thanks [2] 89/10 118/14 that [839] that I [3] 105/4 110/6 111/19 that's [55] 2/5 2/8 5/19 6/6 8/4 8/20 11/5 16/12 19/9 20/8 20/24 21/3 23/7 24/2 25/3 25/9 25/17 28/3 29/6 36/18 43/19 50/23 52/16 53/5 55/6 63/15 63/20 64/23 66/2 66/8 155/14 69/12 80/18 82/21 83/7 87/3 87/22 88/14 there'd [1] 145/16 88/23 90/18 92/17 94/6 97/17 111/24 117/23 120/2 120/12 122/7 122/21 126/20 146/4 147/22 151/11 theft [1] 125/12 their [64] 15/18 15/19 15/23 20/18 22/3 24/10 26/7 26/11 26/25 31/11 31/20 32/5 34/19 36/11 38/14 38/18 46/12 46/15 46/16 47/3 47/10 59/2 60/22 61/2 61/4 61/10 62/13 62/14 62/18 65/9 71/16 72/16 76/17 84/7 84/23 85/18 86/22 86/24 86/25 87/2 121/4 121/8 121/11 130/23 131/9 131/9 131/21 133/3

145/5 145/6 145/8 145/11 145/19 146/13 146/16 150/17 151/24 155/22 155/25 156/1 156/1 them [24] 3/23 5/21 11/8 11/9 20/8 22/19 37/12 46/13 56/15 59/11 72/14 81/8 82/9 84/11 85/20 86/3 87/5 95/25 96/4 98/15 100/25 111/22 143/5 159/10 themselves [4] 128/9 131/17 152/4 153/23 73/2 83/17 87/4 88/21 then [73] 2/9 2/16 7/2 7/24 8/25 11/24 13/21 14/14 14/22 20/7 23/21 29/20 33/11 35/12 36/5 41/20 43/10 45/4 45/7 47/6 50/3 54/25 56/8 57/16 65/20 66/20 66/22 67/3 67/6 67/13 67/15 67/20 67/20 69/25 73/7 77/3 77/4 79/4 80/23 86/1 86/2 88/19 106/8 111/2 112/15 113/10 115/20 120/14 121/9 121/12 123/23 125/23 127/21 129/13 they'd [1] 15/17 129/16 132/9 132/11 133/1 134/11 134/19 135/3 136/25 136/25 137/20 138/19 139/6 140/8 140/18 144/9 144/10 150/18 152/17 there [263] there's [12] 58/19 59/5 60/17 73/7 80/22 104/9 109/16 109/17 125/14 140/19 150/3 150/4 157/10 157/14 159/16 therefore [10] 13/25 14/16 22/11 39/8 49/11 49/13 52/18 53/1 65/2 66/25 these [22] 14/18 15/17 28/11 33/5 34/22 35/2 42/12 56/4 57/23 60/8 72/9 73/22 this [215] 75/17 84/16 93/16 108/10 114/22 132/17 118/23 161/9 133/3 142/9 147/10 147/19 they [120] 15/24 16/2 20/9 22/23 22/23 23/14 23/15 23/19 23/22 23/22 23/24 23/25 25/7 25/8 25/20 26/6 27/1 27/17 27/18

136/9 138/13 143/12

35/13 35/23 36/10 36/12 37/16 38/7 38/12 38/14 44/8 46/11 46/16 47/2 47/2 47/4 47/14 47/24 53/13 53/15 54/23 56/16 56/18 57/18 58/9 62/11 62/19 65/1 65/8 65/19 66/13 66/21 67/6 67/7 67/10 68/6 71/9 72/7 72/15 75/16 77/7 77/9 79/17 81/20 81/21 82/10 82/11 85/21 86/1 86/4 86/24 86/25 87/5 92/2 92/8 94/24 100/7 101/10 102/13 105/16 107/15 107/18 108/12 109/24 112/20 121/7 121/10 123/8 123/9 123/18 123/21 128/8 128/23 132/18 132/19 133/2 136/9 137/19 137/20 138/3 139/2 144/15 146/18 147/15 148/1 151/17 152/3 153/9 153/12 153/18 154/2 154/3 154/4 158/22 159/4 they're [7] 40/6 53/13 56/3 86/1 116/23 143/21 145/20 They've [1] 46/15 thing [7] 41/5 87/19 101/12 139/15 159/2 159/10 160/4 things [17] 13/17 14/13 19/21 20/16 36/23 36/25 38/18 40/16 57/2 79/16 105/7 113/19 129/1 129/25 133/12 140/3 148/17 think [199] thinking [6] 26/13 62/8 63/7 86/17 86/21 106/24 third [7] 61/1 61/8 61/13 62/4 64/9 65/2 127/23 **THOMAS [3]** 118/19 those [51] 2/21 3/4 4/16 13/18 13/22 14/2 17/11 18/20 24/4 27/4 30/13 37/9 38/8 40/1 41/2 41/12 42/5 47/24 48/13 48/18 50/17 50/19 56/9 57/7 57/21 57/24 60/10 61/11 (63) sworn... - those

30/17 31/4 31/5 31/16

31/23 32/1 32/3 34/17

those... [23] 62/2 62/5 62/10 62/12 65/13 65/15 67/12 68/16 70/1 71/2 71/4 77/15 79/15 90/13 98/14 102/8 103/22 105/14 123/6 147/16 152/22 157/15 160/7 though [8] 5/16 33/2 55/18 56/3 61/12 61/13 62/23 69/21 thought [7] 16/5 18/16 29/8 48/25 58/23 81/20 94/10 threatened [1] 23/23 three [17] 4/4 16/11 18/13 30/22 42/1 46/25 56/8 57/23 65/15 82/21 82/22 83/4 137/25 138/19 138/20 139/8 141/10 three years [1] 16/11 three-year [1] 82/22 three2eleven [1] 131/5 threshold [1] 75/1 through [13] 6/16 19/19 19/23 29/12 31/7 43/22 61/2 64/3 71/17 103/17 114/23 116/15 152/12 throughout [2] 22/3 132/8 **Thursday [1]** 150/20 tier [8] 38/12 109/4 109/16 109/17 109/21 109/23 110/2 110/5 tiers [2] 109/13 109/20 ties [1] 75/25 tightening [1] 61/24 till [1] 144/14 tills [2] 127/5 130/16 time [113] 5/3 9/24 11/17 12/6 12/17 13/13 14/17 18/5 18/5 18/21 21/4 21/22 21/24 22/14 22/14 22/17 22/17 27/19 29/16 30/12 30/12 30/19 30/19 30/21 30/21 31/19 32/9 33/14 35/8 35/20 35/25 36/1 36/9 36/23 41/21 41/24 42/23 43/7 43/9 45/22 46/20 48/4 48/6 48/6 48/7 48/15 49/7 49/17 49/21 50/2 50/6 54/13 56/17 57/1 57/5 59/12 59/18 60/5 62/8 63/7

65/23 68/14 68/19

68/22 71/18 72/4 73/17 73/17 74/2 77/18 79/18 82/19 87/19 89/12 93/3 93/5 93/25 95/6 95/6 100/10 101/8 102/20 103/21 103/22 105/17 107/6 108/5 110/8 110/8 111/17 111/18 111/19 111/23 113/7 113/8 117/22 124/3 126/2 127/15 128/10 128/22 129/4 129/21 130/7 131/18 144/10 144/21 146/18 149/3 152/5 155/8 156/5 156/11 timeline [1] 9/3 times [4] 4/23 5/9 9/25 151/3 timing [1] 129/1 title [3] 28/16 28/19 130/11 titles [1] 95/25 today [9] 4/23 8/10 59/1 89/12 90/12 102/1 119/17 132/22 142/6 today's [1] 2/18 together [4] 113/19 124/21 125/2 149/25 told [12] 25/9 42/15 44/10 47/2 49/17 52/2 92/18 109/1 116/18 126/13 156/21 160/1 tolerance [1] 144/6 too [10] 9/9 19/18 19/24 31/14 39/19 76/1 76/17 77/12 77/13 105/10 took [3] 22/9 136/1 154/20 tool [1] 131/13 top [8] 3/23 50/7 50/12 50/13 69/25 90/8 144/2 157/3 topic [3] 66/8 90/18 98/17 total [4] 14/7 22/6 48/21 145/8 totalling [1] 125/3 touch [1] 148/22 touched [1] 145/12 touchscreens [1] 121/19 towards [7] 96/20 103/11 103/13 135/8 144/25 146/5 156/18 track [1] 138/3 Tracy [2] 27/19 29/9 trading [13] 134/2 135/10 135/11 135/12 135/14 135/18 135/20

135/22 135/24 151/1

traditional [9] 3/13 52/3 57/14 61/17 61/23 64/13 65/1 85/14 85/15 86/12 trained [5] 22/24 23/15 24/9 27/5 97/15 trainer [1] 121/12 training [22] 21/2 22/3 22/5 22/6 22/12 22/18 24/4 24/20 25/18 25/20 26/2 26/9 **two hours [1]** 12/21 26/10 26/12 26/13 41/3 72/8 126/13 129/23 129/23 131/19 140/4 transaction [8] 14/3 31/6 33/8 34/17 126/18 136/16 139/23 typically [3] 62/15 157/13 transactional [2] 54/20 54/21 transactions [5] 10/1 31/7 112/10 148/18 148/20 transcript [2] 6/17 119/11 transferred [1] 33/3 transfers [1] 152/8 transformation [14] 3/17 3/19 57/17 58/3 58/5 58/8 61/16 61/19 under [13] 58/9 62/3 64/13 64/16 64/24 85/3 85/9 transparent [1] 111/16 treat [1] 97/15 treated [1] 70/9 trend [5] 17/22 17/25 40/17 125/1 128/1 tried [1] 16/14 trigger [3] 137/4 137/14 144/18 triggered [3] 137/14 137/23 141/25 triggers [1] 138/9 true [7] 1/21 8/4 11/5 106/22 112/22 119/8 135/18 trust [1] 111/22 truth [1] 115/13 try [3] 41/9 115/20 159/1 trying [8] 38/14 42/6 42/24 64/23 126/22 129/5 129/21 151/17 Tuesday [3] 160/10 160/17 160/22 turn [8] 4/21 6/12 69/22 69/25 73/7 87/23 119/3 126/22 turned [1] 5/4 **Turning [2]** 124/6 147/21

151/9 151/13 152/1

two [27] 2/24 4/4 12/21 16/11 18/13 56/4 77/24 78/1 82/21 146/22 151/7 82/22 89/19 97/15 97/18 100/1 100/21 100/24 101/4 112/25 113/19 114/23 115/21 universal [1] 24/18 121/5 145/25 **type [11]** 29/15 31/8 57/20 60/8 62/20 63/4 69/16 72/4 84/12 85/4 unlikely [1] 32/18 85/21 types [3] 54/15 57/22 93/22 73/21 86/7 U ultimately [3] 39/22 142/13 159/21 umbrella [1] 54/14 unable [2] 30/18 30/23 unaware [1] 23/25 uncover [1] 49/14 uncovered [2] 34/4 107/22 60/21 63/22 74/18 123/7 124/23 141/16 142/14 146/24 147/2 148/23 149/5 153/20 underlined [1] 133/15 undermines [1] 117/2 understand [12] 16/18 37/20 58/6 69/18 85/20 88/9 95/21 104/24 108/20 109/12 111/10 155/6 understanding [11] 6/21 7/18 8/15 79/9 96/8 96/11 145/1 145/4 155/8 155/14 157/2 understood [1] 95/17 undertaken [2] 135/11 146/11 undertaking [1] 133/17 unexpected [1] 153/11 unexplained [4] 151/16 153/2 153/14 159/5 unfair [1] 81/22 unhappiness [1] 103/8 unhappy [1] 102/11

Tuthill [3] 4/11 49/22 unhelpful [1] 13/14

**Union [2]** 137/8 137/9 unique [2] 1/25 24/14 22/7 22/22 42/1 46/25 unit [4] 134/8 146/20 units [7] 12/1 12/3 135/14 146/17 147/16 150/17 152/9 universally [1] 23/10 unless [5] 52/19 70/20 106/16 139/22 143/23 unmute [2] 104/11 | 104/11 unpaid [1] 30/14 **unreliable [1]** 16/16 unsafe [3] 81/22 116/24 117/10 until [6] 50/24 80/25 89/11 121/10 122/24 160/21 untrained [1] 24/1 unusual [1] 51/13 up [27] 4/17 5/2 6/25 7/14 7/17 20/19 27/4 40/2 48/12 53/11 55/3 55/7 67/16 81/2 90/4 93/17 100/25 110/2 125/23 125/24 137/19 137/23 144/8 144/15 151/8 153/4 158/1 updates [1] 55/19 upheld [1] 82/25 uphold [1] 83/14 upon [9] 3/5 26/7 26/7 61/23 64/25 78/14 105/16 112/10 116/9 urge [1] 71/10 URN [1] 119/11 us [41] 2/23 3/25 4/6 4/24 4/24 5/9 6/13 9/19 17/3 17/10 23/2 27/16 35/6 35/25 45/10 46/8 46/13 49/10 49/17 51/24 57/10 58/15 59/18 61/11 66/16 67/24 81/12 81/16 85/1 87/13 89/22 93/21 96/11 103/15 103/22 104/24 109/12 111/10 118/6 125/4 131/5 use [7] 10/3 10/12 10/14 15/10 69/21 143/12 149/11 used [22] 7/6 8/19 8/23 8/24 9/6 9/7 9/13 9/21 10/22 12/9 35/16 36/18 45/4 84/18 98/1 98/8 107/5 133/11 (64) those... - used

## U used... [4] 134/9 139/21 150/16 157/5 user [7] 127/7 133/24 134/6 137/15 138/7 139/21 146/16 user' [1] 127/5 users [4] 146/19 146/21 147/6 152/19 using [15] 9/22 10/1 13/15 20/21 20/22 23/24 54/13 75/15 84/25 88/18 116/23 137/15 139/19 143/13 152/19 usually [1] 151/12 vague [1] 21/9 vaguely [1] 135/23 validating [1] 92/5 Valley [1] 122/2 value [6] 79/13 100/20 101/16 134/15 144/8 152/10 value/volume [1] 152/10 values [3] 111/5 111/12 111/15 van [1] 90/2 variable [3] 86/12 86/14 86/15 variants [2] 3/15 57/21 variations [1] 3/12 variety [1] 148/17 various [13] 16/14 22/4 25/25 26/14 36/9 42/4 50/20 63/17 89/23 138/6 149/19 153/7 156/20 **VB [8]** 6/19 11/10 11/13 11/16 44/2 110/13 110/17 112/6

Vennells [2] 43/8

version [14] 52/11

73/12 73/15 149/6

versions [1] 57/24

very [41] 1/13 1/24

40/6 40/22 41/15

43/13 51/10 55/25

3/20 8/17 22/1 33/20

64/9 66/3 80/7 89/19

97/19 99/25 101/16

118/1 122/14 125/7

126/20 128/8 128/21

110/15 112/7 115/12

55/4 55/8 55/9 55/11

149/11 149/14 150/8

150/12 155/2 155/18

103/16

135/23 140/2 142/16 96/18 129/8 143/7 158/20 158/21 160/13 160/17 via [3] 120/23 153/6 we're [6] 1/6 27/8 156/21 50/20 73/15 117/19 Victoria [5] 5/17 6/19 160/10 46/20 48/5 93/9 we've [17] 8/9 20/20 view [13] 11/11 46/17 46/17 46/18 50/23 68/9 77/19 89/24 80/11 81/14 111/24 90/11 112/25 113/11 126/13 126/20 128/13 114/23 142/19 144/19 128/17 155/15 150/8 158/20 views [2] 50/17 50/19 **visibility** [1] 49/1 visible [2] 9/10 119/6 visit [1] 153/10 visited [2] 133/2 154/3 visiting [1] 34/9 visitors [1] 132/5 vitally [1] 147/1 vocabulary [1] 156/14 volume [2] 59/13 152/10 volumes [1] 148/18 vouchers [2] 134/3 134/13 Wallis' [1] 41/25 want [10] 4/19 19/19 19/21 20/5 20/9 43/18 44/8 45/8 69/8 69/21 wanted [5] 102/13 118/9 125/6 126/6 155/24 wants [1] 93/19 was [550] wasn't [28] 10/1 10/16 12/8 17/21 26/24 40/12 41/12 51/21 51/21 52/2 52/4 58/2 59/14 60/4 61/9 65/18 91/12 91/20 92/24 93/25 94/25 99/11 108/15 109/14 109/22 110/6 120/20 154/18 watching [1] 137/17 watershed [1] 11/12 way [23] 3/9 9/12 11/14 20/16 26/13 27/1 35/3 39/6 55/24 70/5 91/22 92/17 97/9 105/5 105/11 109/6 109/8 117/1 127/23 128/5 129/3 129/12 152/25 90/7 93/7 93/24 96/24 ways [1] 115/21 we [169] we'd [2] 136/4 115/19 116/11 117/15 136/10

we'll [10] 5/8 8/10

weak [7] 34/24 36/15 36/21 37/5 37/23 38/1 38/21 weakness [1] 19/16 website [2] 2/2 28/12 12/21 136/4 150/18 week [9] 9/24 18/24 21/10 27/25 121/10 132/23 135/25 150/21 152/20 week's [1] 134/1 weekly [17] 11/18 11/23 11/25 12/18 13/9 13/10 34/11 40/21 41/16 41/20 41/25 77/19 120/25 136/2 136/11 150/17 151/8 weeks [1] 121/5 well [64] 2/24 9/21 21/19 25/6 25/9 26/3 27/25 28/21 30/5 31/2 36/1 38/22 42/8 42/23 what's [1] 116/1 44/18 44/25 46/6 51/21 62/15 64/15 72/13 72/13 77/1 79/2 whatsoever [1] 80/2 81/25 82/9 87/15 158/22 92/14 93/24 95/23 99/12 103/23 105/12 107/2 107/7 107/8 107/13 107/15 107/25 17/6 17/18 18/13 108/4 108/18 108/22 108/23 109/2 109/16 109/20 115/12 117/5 121/22 122/3 122/13 127/13 131/17 147/19 150/1 151/8 158/16 160/7 160/12 went [10] 14/12 22/8 120/24 124/3 125/23 126/13 128/12 130/12 132/18 142/24 were [227] weren't [11] 37/1 47/4 62/12 63/4 71/19 75/22 80/14 82/11 107/15 107/18 123/8 what [122] 4/19 4/24 5/12 5/20 9/6 11/8 14/6 16/23 19/15 25/24 32/19 51/3 69/2 19/19 20/8 23/2 23/4

24/8 24/10 25/10 25/11 26/4 26/15 27/17 28/20 31/10 31/24 32/22 35/6 37/20 37/25 38/19 41/9 44/8 47/5 47/5 25/4 40/4 50/17 65/14 49/3 49/10 50/13 51/25 52/1 57/13 58/17 58/20 61/17 61/22 62/8 62/20 64/23 66/21 67/21 68/15 69/20 71/4 71/9 72/4 73/19 73/25 74/8 75/12 75/18 79/1 79/24 83/8 83/17 84/6 84/12 85/1 85/21 86/6 Wednesday [4] 10/15 86/7 87/13 88/14 89/4 91/22 92/4 94/16 94/17 94/19 95/21 99/6 100/12 101/17 102/13 102/18 108/18 109/20 110/5 111/10 111/18 111/21 117/2 120/17 121/2 121/14 121/16 122/8 125/4 126/4 128/6 130/9 131/24 132/13 133/7 135/25 137/4 139/13 139/19 142/12 143/8 144/3 144/13 145/10 147/10 148/15 149/13 13/20 16/1 16/11 18/2 152/11 152/14 155/6 155/19 158/25 159/4 159/6 whatever [3] 58/9 70/8 81/20 when [95] 8/12 9/6 11/24 12/15 12/19 12/23 12/25 14/17 18/13 18/15 19/7 20/12 21/10 22/8 22/8 25/7 25/25 26/14 28/19 33/14 34/16 35/15 36/1 36/3 36/13 38/3 39/1 41/1 49/7 49/21 50/19 51/3 60/5 62/21 65/19 68/15 68/19 71/6 75/12 75/17 80/5 82/17 83/7 83/8 83/18 83/18 91/5 91/12 91/16 91/22 93/3 93/22 94/22 102/25 103/24 105/3 106/23 108/5 108/21 121/4 121/24 122/8 123/17 123/22 124/9 125/6 126/7 126/13 128/7 128/12 128/14 129/6 130/12 132/16

133/2 133/6 133/16 133/19 140/9 142/10 142/23 144/5 145/11 145/14 148/19 149/24 151/16 152/15 153/5 154/5 156/14 158/17 when I [2] 132/16 142/23 where [72] 3/25 6/19 8/10 8/22 13/2 13/21 14/5 16/15 16/22 17/3 17/15 17/23 17/25 18/5 18/17 18/24 18/25 19/20 19/21 25/22 26/21 28/9 33/18 33/21 33/22 33/25 34/9 34/24 35/9 35/17 38/11 39/5 43/3 45/6 47/25 48/1 50/6 50/11 59/22 65/6 73/20 74/19 75/23 76/8 77/13 78/8 80/5 80/19 83/21 85/3 87/15 90/14 93/15 98/2 98/23 100/19 102/2 110/13 110/16 113/23 125/14 128/23 134/25 135/1 136/3 138/3 139/21 140/5 146/21 153/1 158/2 158/25 149/15 150/22 151/15 whereas [3] 38/15 136/6 145/21 whereby [2] 153/22 154/19 whether [23] 15/12 29/18 54/23 62/11 67/17 67/24 72/5 74/24 79/20 80/3 80/12 91/2 93/15 94/14 94/24 96/1 96/11 112/12 112/17 112/22 129/12 143/3 148/14 which [57] 3/14 5/10 5/24 12/2 19/11 19/22 23/24 23/25 32/17 36/4 44/1 44/5 56/18 63/1 63/4 63/5 65/18 67/4 68/21 70/15 70/17 75/10 85/6 94/25 111/20 112/10 114/20 119/18 120/24 121/1 121/5 121/19 122/22 123/21 125/8 126/24 129/1 129/3 129/8 129/9 131/1 132/24 135/13 135/24 136/2 136/11 136/13 138/8 143/16 144/14 144/18 148/20 149/7

152/9 154/4 158/3

while [1] 121/7

158/10

W 113/15 113/22 149/16 **Y** 137/6 142/16 144/17 23/16 149/19 149/2 151/5 151/11 **zoom [3]** 7/15 55/24 yeah [107] 2/15 2/21 whilst [2] 54/21 WITN05780100 [3] 151/14 153/3 153/24 89/6 60/21 5/19 7/2 7/23 8/4 8/18 1/25 20/20 105/25 154/21 154/25 157/9 who [57] 4/6 4/8 5/17 8/21 8/24 9/15 9/16 WITN08980100 [2] 157/9 157/20 159/7 15/20 17/11 17/12 10/16 10/18 11/5 119/12 141/20 159/12 160/10 20/4 21/11 27/13 11/13 11/25 12/13 yet [1] 24/18 witness [27] 1/14 28/13 31/5 37/21 15/11 21/23 21/24 29/6 29/10 29/23 30/3 you **[575]** 1/16 1/24 2/1 5/13 37/22 38/8 38/11 42/5 you'll [2] 102/2 115/1 20/19 52/21 66/10 42/21 43/8 47/14 50/8 30/22 31/17 33/23 91/13 91/19 91/22 you're [18] 3/21 15/8 61/23 62/2 62/5 62/10 34/8 36/1 36/17 37/15 91/23 92/12 92/13 19/7 25/9 43/22 83/2 70/13 71/7 74/15 40/24 47/4 47/9 47/13 92/14 92/20 99/15 83/23 86/17 87/20 75/15 81/23 82/18 47/17 50/4 50/16 113/25 115/6 115/16 94/4 99/21 101/2 84/7 84/9 91/7 92/12 50/23 51/6 52/12 111/10 111/24 144/13 117/20 118/13 118/17 92/14 93/1 93/9 95/23 53/11 53/15 53/19 118/25 119/14 130/5 145/24 148/3 154/9 96/3 101/11 101/18 54/7 54/13 55/14 160/14 vou've [29] 7/5 10/21 101/19 102/14 103/13 55/17 55/21 56/20 Womble [4] 5/11 5/18 13/10 14/5 32/6 39/17 114/9 114/23 123/6 58/1 58/14 58/14 63/6 6/20 43/18 58/25 59/1 63/8 69/3 123/10 146/12 146/14 63/19 64/11 64/17 won't [1] 100/25 80/14 83/18 87/10 64/23 65/17 65/21 147/13 148/6 148/22 91/2 91/3 92/10 98/21 wonder [1] 24/23 152/19 153/4 154/12 65/25 69/14 69/20 word [4] 28/16 56/14 102/18 103/8 104/18 72/1 74/5 75/7 75/16 156/16 70/5 143/13 105/5 109/3 114/21 77/1 77/1 77/23 78/4 whole [11] 5/22 worded [1] 64/21 114/22 138/8 145/12 14/10 14/25 26/23 82/6 83/9 83/25 84/1 work [12] 9/1 9/10 151/12 153/16 160/4 84/1 84/3 84/3 84/4 46/18 59/10 62/16 31/20 52/25 53/4 90/3 your [104] 1/10 1/19 78/5 96/25 97/20 84/4 84/15 86/6 86/20 1/21 5/2 5/6 6/10 8/23 107/7 115/18 119/13 87/3 87/3 95/6 95/7 101/12 122/8 122/25 156/18 12/6 14/19 16/21 98/20 99/5 99/5 101/3 whom [2] 44/17 worked [6] 2/3 26/8 18/16 19/6 19/16 115/17 105/22 107/18 107/20 90/4 123/6 124/9 20/19 24/2 25/13 26/1 **WHSmiths** [1] 124/3 108/4 108/20 109/18 156/14 26/13 28/19 29/20 why [35] 6/13 12/14 112/3 113/9 116/22 worker [1] 96/12 32/6 39/18 40/2 40/4 15/1 16/18 16/21 25/2 117/5 118/23 120/18 working [15] 42/23 40/10 41/22 43/18 28/15 31/21 37/1 37/4 125/6 128/7 130/10 44/23 46/17 46/17 49/22 51/21 93/24 38/24 46/5 48/10 132/1 95/24 96/6 107/8 48/7 52/16 52/17 52/16 58/15 59/5 year [14] 6/4 30/22 128/5 129/3 129/12 52/21 55/15 58/25 61/11 78/13 92/17 40/9 40/9 80/8 82/21 130/21 137/12 146/13 59/7 66/10 68/22 69/5 99/10 99/13 100/1 82/22 83/4 106/2 151/22 156/4 73/6 78/23 78/24 100/4 100/9 100/13 106/16 111/21 113/12 79/25 83/1 83/18 works [2] 7/11 11/2 105/23 107/25 108/23 132/9 151/3 90/19 96/8 96/11 workshop [1] 153/6 112/16 117/25 142/6 yearly [1] 132/2 workshops [1] 96/18 96/21 98/7 143/20 145/13 150/11 years [18] 2/4 4/20 149/23 98/18 99/3 99/15 154/7 16/11 18/10 22/4 world [1] 52/7 100/9 104/20 104/23 widely [2] 158/4 26/15 34/23 42/2 worse [1] 117/9 104/25 105/24 106/2 42/25 47/1 68/20 158/9 would [174] 106/16 107/13 108/24 will [23] 2/1 30/14 98/11 99/12 119/24 109/3 111/2 111/24 wouldn't [19] 10/6 53/6 53/22 70/6 70/7 124/9 128/1 137/6 112/15 113/10 113/20 13/24 21/5 46/1 46/5 137/13 70/14 73/18 73/22 46/9 46/10 46/12 116/7 118/21 118/25 88/7 88/13 104/11 Yep [1] 11/10 59/14 60/2 72/14 119/9 121/2 122/8 yes [70] 1/5 1/15 1/18 117/20 119/15 131/12 79/19 92/15 98/15 124/7 124/19 124/20 135/18 139/2 140/24 2/8 5/7 10/5 10/13 103/5 111/22 126/9 128/4 130/5 132/22 148/5 148/6 148/7 12/6 14/9 15/25 16/17 144/20 144/21 139/17 139/17 141/18 148/12 148/13 19/6 25/13 26/16 30/2 write [2] 34/25 59/2 142/3 142/9 143/7 37/19 63/20 64/20 Williams [1] 96/16 144/6 145/1 145/12 write-off [1] 34/25 within [21] 3/1 13/4 66/2 69/7 73/11 74/24 148/5 148/7 149/8 writing [6] 21/14 16/23 42/3 42/4 42/8 78/22 83/15 83/22 34/21 36/25 59/11 149/10 150/15 151/18 43/14 45/18 74/11 89/1 89/9 90/10 96/5 83/24 99/8 153/16 155/2 156/12 85/19 103/6 105/7 100/5 104/8 112/5 written [8] 28/6 34/23 156/14 157/11 159/16 105/13 117/4 144/1 117/23 119/2 119/5 35/1 35/18 67/8 70/14 159/21 144/5 145/5 151/9 119/10 120/4 120/6 111/1 121/8 yourself [5] 12/9 120/12 120/15 122/7 154/1 158/10 158/14 16/19 74/8 92/10 written-off [1] 35/1 122/21 122/25 123/5 without [15] 32/5 wrong [4] 13/17 96/15 56/11 56/12 56/20 123/9 123/14 124/11 106/17 116/5 120/8 56/23 60/13 60/14 124/11 124/18 124/22 Ζ wrote [2] 33/15 84/2 64/7 70/7 81/10 106/5 125/20 130/8 136/23 zero [3] 22/24 23/2