1		Wednesday 44 January 2022	4		etatement. In that your signature?
1	/11	Wednesday, 11 January 2023	1 2	Α.	statement. Is that your signature? It is.
2 3		.30 am) STEVENS: Sir, can you see and hear me?	3		Are the contents of that statement true to the best of
4		R WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can.	4	Q.	your knowledge and belief?
		STEVENS: Than you, sir. If I may call Mr Bansal.		۸	•
5		•	5		They are.
6	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly.	6	Q.	Thank you. That statement now stands as evidence in the
7		STEVE BANSAL (affirmed)	7		Inquiry. I will now turn to ask you some further
8		Questions by MR STEVENS	8		questions on it.
9	MK	STEVENS: Good morning, Mr Bansal. As you know, my name	9		Let's start with when you joined Peritas Limited.
10		is Sam Stevens and I ask questions on behalf of the	10		That was in October '97 as a training instructor; is
11		Inquiry. Please could I ask you to state your full	11		that right?
12		name?	12		That's correct.
13		Steven Bansal.	13	Q.	Now, just for background, Peritas Limited was a company
14	Q.	Thank you for giving evidence today to the Inquiry, both	14		subcontracted by ICL Pathway to deliver training to end
15		in the written form which we're about to turn to and	15		users of the Horizon System; is that right?
16		orally today as well. In front of you I see you have	16		I believe so, yes, yes.
17		a bundle of documents, at the front of which should be	17	Q.	You say in your statement that you weren't solely
18		you've witness statement.	18		limited to the Horizon project but delivered training to
19		It is.	19		a number of different projects; is that right?
20	Q.	That is dated 9 August 2022 and runs to seven pages; is	20	A.	That's correct.
21		that right?	21	Q.	And, because of the passage of time, your recollection
22	Α.	That is correct.	22		has faded and you cannot necessarily say which of your
23	Q.	Have you had a chance to read that statement recently?	23		recollections refer to the Horizon project itself and
24	A.	I have.	24		which refer to others.
25	Q.	Could I ask you please to turn to page 7 of that	25	Α.	That is correct.
					0
		1			2
1	Q.	1	1		
1 2	Q.	1 I understand that you delivered on either basis	1 2	A.	you left Peritas Limited? None at all.
2	Q.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't	2		you left Peritas Limited? None at all.
2	Q.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is	2		you left Peritas Limited?  None at all.  I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please.
2 3 4		I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?	2 3 4		you left Peritas Limited? None at all. I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please. The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to
2	Q. <b>A</b> .	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the	2		you left Peritas Limited? None at all. I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please. The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6		I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for	2 3 4 5 6		you left Peritas Limited? None at all. I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please. The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you. In the second sentence it says that you received:
2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway	2 3 4 5 6 7		you left Peritas Limited?  None at all. I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please.  The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received: " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<b>A.</b> Q.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		you left Peritas Limited?  None at all. I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please.  The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received:  " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		you left Peritas Limited? None at all. I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please. The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received: " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.  So the people who would eventually go out to train the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		you left Peritas Limited?  None at all.  I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please.  The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received:  " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and requested feedback directly back from the attendees, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.  So the people who would eventually go out to train the end users, you helped put together documentation for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		you left Peritas Limited? None at all. I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please. The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received: " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and requested feedback directly back from the attendees, as it was important to me that the training had been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.  So the people who would eventually go out to train the end users, you helped put together documentation for training those trainers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		you left Peritas Limited?  None at all.  I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please.  The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received:  " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and requested feedback directly back from the attendees, as it was important to me that the training had been received and understood."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.  So the people who would eventually go out to train the end users, you helped put together documentation for training those trainers?  Correct at the time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		you left Peritas Limited? None at all. I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please. The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received: " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and requested feedback directly back from the attendees, as it was important to me that the training had been received and understood."  Just to clarify, the feedback you're referring to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.  So the people who would eventually go out to train the end users, you helped put together documentation for training those trainers?  Correct at the time.  At the time. So that's '97?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		you left Peritas Limited? None at all. I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please. The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received: " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and requested feedback directly back from the attendees, as it was important to me that the training had been received and understood."  Just to clarify, the feedback you're referring to there, is that personally how you delivered the training
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.  So the people who would eventually go out to train the end users, you helped put together documentation for training those trainers?  Correct at the time.  At the time. So that's '97?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	you left Peritas Limited?  None at all.  I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please.  The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received:  " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and requested feedback directly back from the attendees, as it was important to me that the training had been received and understood."  Just to clarify, the feedback you're referring to there, is that personally how you delivered the training or on the course as it was as a whole?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.  So the people who would eventually go out to train the end users, you helped put together documentation for training those trainers?  Correct at the time.  At the time. So that's '97?  '97.  You say that in the delays to the rollout of the Horizon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	you left Peritas Limited?  None at all.  I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please.  The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received:  " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and requested feedback directly back from the attendees, as it was important to me that the training had been received and understood."  Just to clarify, the feedback you're referring to there, is that personally how you delivered the training or on the course as it was as a whole?  A combination of the two. So, if I recall and it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.  So the people who would eventually go out to train the end users, you helped put together documentation for training those trainers?  Correct at the time.  At the time. So that's '97? '97.  You say that in the delays to the rollout of the Horizon IT System, because of that, you transferred to ICL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	you left Peritas Limited?  None at all.  I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please.  The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received:  " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and requested feedback directly back from the attendees, as it was important to me that the training had been received and understood."  Just to clarify, the feedback you're referring to there, is that personally how you delivered the training or on the course as it was as a whole?  A combination of the two. So, if I recall and it is vague memory there were effectively two forms for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.  So the people who would eventually go out to train the end users, you helped put together documentation for training those trainers?  Correct at the time.  At the time. So that's '97?  '97.  You say that in the delays to the rollout of the Horizon IT System, because of that, you transferred to ICL Pathway to work as a trainee tester; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	you left Peritas Limited?  None at all.  I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please.  The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received:  " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and requested feedback directly back from the attendees, as it was important to me that the training had been received and understood."  Just to clarify, the feedback you're referring to there, is that personally how you delivered the training or on the course as it was as a whole?  A combination of the two. So, if I recall and it is vague memory there were effectively two forms for the attendees to complete. One was on the training itself,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.  So the people who would eventually go out to train the end users, you helped put together documentation for training those trainers?  Correct at the time.  At the time. So that's '97? '97.  You say that in the delays to the rollout of the Horizon IT System, because of that, you transferred to ICL Pathway to work as a trainee tester; is that correct?  That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	you left Peritas Limited?  None at all.  I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please.  The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received:  " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and requested feedback directly back from the attendees, as it was important to me that the training had been received and understood."  Just to clarify, the feedback you're referring to there, is that personally how you delivered the training or on the course as it was as a whole?  A combination of the two. So, if I recall and it is vague memory there were effectively two forms for the attendees to complete. One was on the training itself, the content, duration, you know, was it technically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.  So the people who would eventually go out to train the end users, you helped put together documentation for training those trainers?  Correct at the time.  At the time. So that's '97? '97.  You say that in the delays to the rollout of the Horizon IT System, because of that, you transferred to ICL Pathway to work as a trainee tester; is that correct?  That is correct.  So when the further offices started rolling out in '99,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	you left Peritas Limited?  None at all.  I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please.  The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received:  " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and requested feedback directly back from the attendees, as it was important to me that the training had been received and understood."  Just to clarify, the feedback you're referring to there, is that personally how you delivered the training or on the course as it was as a whole?  A combination of the two. So, if I recall and it is vague memory there were effectively two forms for the attendees to complete. One was on the training itself, the content, duration, you know, was it technically sufficient, and then the second was on the trainer, how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.  So the people who would eventually go out to train the end users, you helped put together documentation for training those trainers?  Correct at the time.  At the time. So that's '97?  '97.  You say that in the delays to the rollout of the Horizon IT System, because of that, you transferred to ICL Pathway to work as a trainee tester; is that correct?  That is correct.  So when the further offices started rolling out in '99, with the national rollout in 2000, did you return as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	you left Peritas Limited?  None at all.  I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please.  The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received:  " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and requested feedback directly back from the attendees, as it was important to me that the training had been received and understood."  Just to clarify, the feedback you're referring to there, is that personally how you delivered the training or on the course as it was as a whole?  A combination of the two. So, if I recall and it is vague memory there were effectively two forms for the attendees to complete. One was on the training itself, the content, duration, you know, was it technically sufficient, and then the second was on the trainer, how they delivered, what their technique was like, were you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	I understand that you delivered on either basis an agreed training plan to end users but you weren't involved in designing the training programme itself; is that right?  Not technically true. I did put together some of the training that trained the trainers in preparation for some of the Pathway I see.  training.  So the people who would eventually go out to train the end users, you helped put together documentation for training those trainers?  Correct at the time.  At the time. So that's '97? '97.  You say that in the delays to the rollout of the Horizon IT System, because of that, you transferred to ICL Pathway to work as a trainee tester; is that correct?  That is correct.  So when the further offices started rolling out in '99,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	you left Peritas Limited?  None at all.  I'd like to refer to your witness statement, please.  The reference is WITN04770100. Please could we turn to page 6, paragraph 19. Thank you.  In the second sentence it says that you received:  " feedback reviews from my Peritas manager at the time, which collated comments from subpostmasters in respect of training. I also read the feedback forms and requested feedback directly back from the attendees, as it was important to me that the training had been received and understood."  Just to clarify, the feedback you're referring to there, is that personally how you delivered the training or on the course as it was as a whole?  A combination of the two. So, if I recall and it is vague memory there were effectively two forms for the attendees to complete. One was on the training itself, the content, duration, you know, was it technically sufficient, and then the second was on the trainer, how

25

24

25

A. No, I remained in testing.

Q. Did you have any knowledge of the training then after  $$\rm 3$$ 

Q. But the forms you read they were the ones completed in

relation to training you delivered, rather than -- you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1		didn't look at other trainers' feedback forms?
2	A.	No, it was purely a case of collecting the data attend
3		then perusing it before returning it to the office and,
4		indeed, at the time talking to the attendees.
5	Q.	Please could we go to page 3 of the same statement and
6		paragraph 12. This describes the cash account or you
7		have put subheading "Cash Account". Then over the page,
8		the final sentence says that:
9		" I do not recall having any experience of
10		working with the "Cash Account" software."
11		Does this mean that you didn't train end users on
12		how to use the EPOSS application or how to balance?
13	A.	I don't believe so. I think at the time it's part of
14		I don't have an awful lot of recollection at all of what
15		the actual specific training was. I don't believe it
16		would have covered cash account at that time but I can't
17		say categorically no.
18	Q.	I want to move on now then to testing. Your evidence is
19		that you transferred from Peritas to ICL Pathway, as we
20		say, as this trainee tester. Could I ask, at the time,
21		what qualifications in IT did you have?
22	A.	At the time I did not have specific IT qualifications.
23		I think the position was that the rollout or the
24		training of the trainers was paused because the project
25		itself was at a pause. At the time, I was informed that
		5
1	O.	On that "quite some time ago", apologies, if I could now
2		ask us to go a bit further up the document to the top,
3		we'll see the date is 3 February 1998. Now, in your
4		statement you say that you joined as a trainee tester in
5		April 1998 so you must have presumably joined the
6		testing team before then.
7	Α.	Formally, I think I joined effectively my contract
8		with Peritas ended. My new contract with Pathway
9		effectively started in April. Prior to that, I was
10		effectively on loan to the testing community. So I'd
11		been there for some time.
12	O.	Can you give any indication, just to place how long
13	Ψ.	you'd been in the testing team at this point. At this
14		point, roughly how long had you been working on testing?
15	Α.	I'm afraid I couldn't say.
16	Q.	
17	۵.	introduction says that:
18		"This document details the direct interface test
19		specification between Pathway AP system"
20		Stopping there, that's the Pathway Automated
21		Payment System, isn't it?
22	Α.	That's correct.
23	Q.	It goes on:
24	Q.	" and POCL HAPS System."
		That being Post Office Counters Limited Host
25		7
		-

we were unsure whether that would be a three-month pause, a six-month pause and, because of the information and the training that I'd gathered, Pathway/Peritas made the decision it would be useful if I were to support the testing community because of some of the knowledge I'd picked up. So, initially, I was there purely to support and give a different perspective to the testing.

- Q. Just to clarify, had you worked in IT as a tester prior to that point?
- A. No.
- Q. Did you receive training from Pathway on your role as a training tester?
- A. I received on-the-job training. As I say, initially I was there to support but then I ended up shadowing the testers and gradually built my level of experience and knowledge.
  - Q. I'd like to turn to a document. The reference is FUJ00058375. This document is titled "Direct Interface Testing Specification Pathway to HAPS". We will come to the acronyms in a moment.

If we could just move down slightly on the screen, please -- thank you -- at the bottom you'll see you are the author. Do you recall writing this document first?

A. I vaguely recall writing it, yes. It was quite some time ago but yes.

6

Automated Payment System?

- A. Correct.
  - Q. When we are talking about the interface here, in simple terms, are we saying what you're testing is how data is transmitted from the Pathway Automated Payment System to POCL's back end system?
    - A. From APS to HAPS.
    - Q. The document goes on to say that:

"It identifies the requirements that will be used to accomplish direct interface testing between POCL and Pathway, as such this document must be owned and approved by POCL, Pathway and the PDA."

Indeed, if we can turn to page 2 of the document, please, and go down to "Approval Authorities", you see there that there are three approvals, Simon Palladino, Pathway; John Robson, POCL; and John Bruce, PDA. Could I ask what the role of the approval authorities was in relation to this document?

- A. To review and approve the document.
- Q. Did they have any input into its content from your recollection?
- 22 A. Not from my recollection.
  - Q. Would it have been possible to conduct this testing, the direct interface testing, without input from Post Office Counters Limited?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 A. I don't think so. 2 Q. Please can we turn to page 10 of the same document and 3 go down to heading 4: 4 "Each party will use its all fault reporting 5 system. Pathway will log any incidents using the fault 6 reporting system PinICL the incident number will be 7 passed back for future progression and clearance." 8 So, in essence, is that any problem that arose 9 during testing will be logged on PinICL on Pathway's 10 side? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. If we could go back to your witness statement, please --13 that's WITN04770100, page 5, paragraph 16 -- you say: 14 "In my role as trainee tester, I was given scripts 15 to run in order to test the equipment and/or counter. 16 I would then record the result of the test and feed the 17 results back to the Fujitsu test manager. It is my 18 understanding that the Fujitsu test manager would 19 communicate the results of the tests with the relevant 20 Post Office test manager." 21 So just to take it in stages, were you involved in 22 passing on any information about testing to the Post 23 Office itself? 24 A. I suspect I was, yes, at some stage. 25 Q. In what forum would that be? How would you pass on the 1 were in place regarding the communication of test results? 2 3 A. I can't say that I am. I think that it generally was 4 agreed -- again, my recollection is vague -- but I think 5 the principle was that, if there was a meeting, then 6 they were documented as part of that meeting. If it was 7 a PinICL and, as I say, or if the Post Office or PDA had 8 any issues, they would be reported via a mail into us. 9 Q. So, overall, your understanding is that things were 10 passed across at these meetings, possibly emails as 11 well, but is it fair to say your recollection is --12 It is very vague, I'm afraid. 13 Q. My understanding is that you remained in a testing role 14 until 2002 when you left ICL Pathway; is that right? 15 A. That is correct. 16 Q. You then returned to, then, Fujitsu in 2007. 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. At this stage, what's been known as Legacy Horizon was 19 still in use but it was looking for gearing towards 20 changing to Horizon Online and developing Horizon 21 Online. I understand you were involved in the 22 development of Horizon Online? 23 A. In, again, the testing of Horizon Online. 24 Now, the Inquiry will be considering the design, 25 development and testing of Horizon Online in greater 11

information?

- **A.** Potentially there may have been triparty calls, there would have been emails and potentially through reporting of the testing that was carried out.
- Q. Do you recall the type of information that you would have provided to Post Office Counters?
- A. At the time, and I can't say this because I don't actually recall it, but my assumption is that I would have been passing on details of the PinICL reference number and the faults that were found.
  - Q. Could you just give an overview of the types of areas that you were -- we see here the interface. What else did you test in your role as trainee tester?
  - I don't have a good recollection of that at all, I'm afraid.
  - Q. In respect of where you say your understanding was, that the Fujitsu test manager would communicate the results of the tests with the relevant Post Office test manager, what is the basis of that understanding?
  - A. Again, from my recollection when I did the witness statement back in August, is that I wasn't leading any of the discussions. There was always a senior either tester or manager in the meetings initially with myself and any triparty meetings.
  - Q. Are you aware of any formal procedures or protocols that 10

detail in due course. I want to limit what we discuss to a few small points, starting with testing, if I may.

Please could we bring up POL00029327. So this document, and I'll ask you for your held with the title, is "HNG-X: ITU V&I Business Continuity High Level Test Plan". It says you are the author at the bottom. Could you please provide a summary of what this document is describing?

- A. It is validation and integration and it is business continuity. So it's effectively providing assurance around resilience, business continuity, that the infrastructure will cope with a level of impact. So if, let's say, a server was to go down, that we have sufficient resilience that a single server going down won't impact service and that the service itself will fail over to another component providing the resilience and potentially also the business continuity. So if we were to fall into a disaster recovery scenario, that potentially we could move from one site, one data centre, effectively, to another data centre and maintain service, albeit there would be a period in which we would have to complete that move.
- Q. This specific area of testing, was this the sole area you were dealing with or did you deal with others as well?

1 A. Potentially, I would have dealt with others but I think 2 this was the -- one of the main areas at the time. 3 Q. Could I ask just to move down the document to the 4 "Approval Authorities". Again, here we have three 5 approval authorities. There's the HNG-X test manager 6 and then Andrew Thompson, Post Office Limited test 7 manager, and Tony Wicks, business continuity manager. 8 If it's different to what we went to before, can I just ask you to explain what the role of the approval 9 10 authority was for this document. 11 A. Again, to review and sanity check the proposal and to 12 provide their approvals from their respective positions. Q. Do you recall what input Mr Thompson from the Post 13 Office had on this document? 14 15 I can't. I'm afraid. 16 Q. Once this document was in its complete form, so 17 approved, would a copy be sent to all the relevant 18 approval authorities as well? 19 A. That is how the process should work, yes. 20 Q. Can I move to a different topic, please, and if I can 21 bring up document FUJ00084350. Actually, let's see, 22 we'll stay there for the moment but we may want to go to 23 the first page, if you need it. 24 This is a spreadsheet that was provided to the 25 Inquiry by Fujitsu and the file title is 20100526 CS 13 1 could be many things. 2 Q. You can't assist, yes. No, thank you. We can take that 3 document down now, thank you. 4 Moving on from Horizon Online, your witness 5 statement states that you became a problem manager in 6 around 2010 and that at this point was as a full-time 7 employee? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. Again, the Inquiry will be investigating the 10 identification and rectification of bugs, errors and 11 defects in the Horizon IT System in due course but I'd 12 like to explore some general points on the problem 13 management system with you first. 14 Please can I bring up the following document 15 FUJ00080043. This is titled the "RMGA Customer Service 16 Problem Management Process" and it's the second version. 17 Does "RMGA" stand for "Royal Mail Group Account"? 18 A. It does. 19 Q. It states that this is a process definition to describe 20 and document the customer service problem management 21 process. The document was drafted on 22 April 2008, so 22 before your time as problem manager. A. Yes. 23 24 But would it have described the process of problem 25 management when you became a problem manager in 2010?

1 prayers. It appears to be dated 26 May 2010. Please 2 could you clarify what "CS prayers" are? 3 A. I think it's customer services prayers, and prayers 4 would be a meeting that's held in the morning to discuss 5 issues 6 Q. Did you attend those prayers meetings? 7 A. I believe I would have attended on occasion, yes. 8 Q. We're looking here at the Closed tab you see at the 9 bottom it says "Closed" and in row 124, column C refers to a problem, saying: 10 11 "More than 2,000 critical events per day." 12 In column F there are a series of what I presume 13 to be dates listing various entries and at 9/2 in F it 14 savs: 15 "Steve Bansal running analysis on all events to 16 see what can be done." 17 Do you have any recollection of these events or 18 what this means? 19 A. Bear with me, I'll just ... 20 Q. Of course. 21 (Pause) 22 A. No, I can't say with any certainty. 23 Q. Are you able to help with what a critical event would be 24 generally? 25 A critical event could be a counter going offline, it 14

A. The likelihood is yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Do you know whether this document -- or, to your knowledge, was this document an internal one?

Actually, if we can scroll down slightly, please, before I put this question you see the distribution list. To your knowledge, was this document purely an internal document or would the Post Office have received it?

- **A.** Based on the information on that page, it would appear to be an internal document.
- Q. Please could we turn to page 6 of the document. So in this introduction, it sets out the process, objective and scope of problem management and a problem is defined as "the unknown underlying root cause of one or more Incidents".

We see in the documentation a distinction drawn between problems and incidents or major incidents, with different processes. Please could you help us with what the difference between a problem and the problem management process and an incident and a major incident process is?

A. Okay. A problem could be raised off the back of an incident or an issue in a single branch or multiple branches. We would use the problem itself, a problem ticket, to continue the investigation, the analysis,

1 until such time the incident is resolved. 1 2 For a major incident, the distinction there is the 2 3 3 severity and the priority and potentially the impact to 4 the wider estate. So a major incident would mean that 4 5 5 potentially a greater number of branches are down, 6 they're offline, there is not a service being offered. 6 7 7 So the priority there is resolution to get those 8 8 branches' services available as soon as possible. We 9 would then subsequently raise a problem ticket for any 9 10 outstanding issues where we've not developed/understood 10 11 the root cause to continue the investigation. 11 12 I think there was an element I haven't covered. 12 13 Q. Let's just break it down with that first, so we can 13 14 understand the difference. 14 15 So, for example, if there was an unexplained 15 16 discrepancy of a low amount, say, a £5 discrepancy at 16 17 a single Post Office reported, would that be classed as 17 18 an incident in itself? 18 19 A. That would be classed as an incident, yes. 19 20 Q. The underlying cause of that discrepancy, that would be 20 21 the problem? 21 22 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. A major incident would be, say, if there was a complete 23 24 24 outage of service for a period of time, which had a very 25 severe effect on the network but, again, the problem is 25 17 1 A. So unless there is a defined problem manager, it would 1 2 2 fall to the SDM, whose service that problem falls under. 3 3 Q. So the "SDM" being the service delivery manager? 4 A. Service delivery manager, correct. 4 5 Q. Is it the case that a service delivery manager can 5 6 appoint a problem manager and delegate responsibility 6 7 for that particular problem? 7 8 8 A. That can happen. 9 9 Q. In 2010, when you were described as a problem manager --10 A. Yes. 10 11 11 Q. -- were you a person to whom problems would be delegated 12 12 or were you a service delivery manager? 13 A. I was a problem to whom -- a person where the problems 13 14 14 would be appointed to. 15 Q. I understand that you became a service delivery manager 15 16 later in your career; is that right? 16 17 A. That is correct. 17 18 Q. When did that happen? 18 19 **A.** 2010. 19 20 Q. Right. Sorry, so you were -- you weren't a problem 20 21 manager in 2010, you were a service delivery manager in 21 22 22 23 A. My apologies. I started out in the service team as 23 24 a problem manager and then moved into becoming an SDM. 24 25 Q. In the same year? 25 19

trying to find the underlying cause of that major outage. Is that the distinction?

- A. Correct. It's getting the root cause.
- Q. So when we talk about problem management here. We're talking about finding the root causes of bugs, errors and defects, basically, or trying to find whether there is a bug, error or a defect?
- A. Correct.

Q. It refers to reactive and proactive problem management. We're going to, I think, look at that in due course as we go through this document here.

Can I start, though, by looking at some of the responsibilities for problem management and, if we turn to page 6 of this document, if we're on page 6, if we could go to the bottom of it, please. Thank you.

So the first point here is a "Process Owner" and it says:

"The owner of the process this POA Service
Delivery Manager responsible for the Service most
affected by the Problem. The Process Owner, otherwise
known as the Problem Manager, is appointed by the
Service Delivery Team Manager."

So if a problem arose, who would have day-to-day responsibility for the problem management process and seeing that the problem is investigated?

18

- 1 **A.** Later that year, 18 months afterwards.
  - Q. Roughly, yes.
  - But it was a progression.
    - Q. If we turn over the page, there is a role described as a "Problem Resolver", who's responsible for finding a resolution to the problem. Would that be, for example, someone in the SSC who's actually investigating, running diagnostics?
    - A. Possibly someone in the SSC but it would be someone who has the technical knowledge. So SSC, being the third line support team, would have knowledge, articles and information for them to investigate but it may be that the resolution would come from the fourth line support. So there isn't a specific problem resolver and it is allocated case by case.
    - Q. So your role as problem manager would be to, what, oversee them and -- well --
    - A. To ensure the process is followed and that we have the correct support, et cetera, and that we're doing the communication both internally and externally.
    - Q. Looking then at how this process works, could we start with problem identification and turn to page 10 of this document, with the flowchart at section 4.1.1, please.

So we see on the top left there's two ways into the problem management process: incident management and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

alerting of a pattern likely to cause a problem, at the far left. Is that what you would describe as proactive problem management where an incident is detected by Fujitsu itself?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Then we also have the major incident management in the second from the left.

Then it says to open a problem record at 1.1.1 in the middle. The third box on the top, hard to see but it says "Incident & Problem Alerting Process", was there a written procedure for the incident and problem alerting process that you're aware of?

- A. The incident and problem alerting process, to my recollection, would be the daily monitoring that is performed by the SMC. So they would effectively see alerts, because they're monitoring the system, and they would then the raise an incident. The incident would then be trended and that would be how we would then raise a problem record.
- Q. So that may be a way through opening a problem record but, looking at this flowchart, if we look at the box 1.1.1, we're at the stage where a problem record has been opened and then the flowchart goes off to three boxes. Now, the middle one is "Start Total Time Clock" and the second one is "Start [I assume Service Level 21

Agreement] SLA Clock".

Is that referring to, sort of, deadlines for when a problem should be resolved by?

- A. The SLA clock is if there is a Service Level Agreement in place. So, at that point, effectively, we're starting the clock.
- Q. Yes. So we're in the position where we've got the problem open?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So it may have come from the SMC or not, but the box we didn't look at on the left "Incident & Problem Alerting 12 Process", do you know to what that refers?
  - A. I don't, I'm afraid.
    - Q. When a problem record was opened, who would be told of the problem or provided with the problem record?
  - **A.** The problem manager would obviously be either made aware or would have raised the ticket themselves. That would then be put onto effectively a spreadsheet, a database, and then that would be informed to the wider account via an update on the actual incident ticket. So the incident ticket would then have a reference back to the problem record. The problem record should then have a reference back to the incident itself.
    - Q. So you said the wider account. That's the wider group of people within Fujitsu working on this account?

22

- A. Correct.
- Q. If we follow this flowchart through at the top right we see it says go to "A", after we've taken these various steps. If we could go to page 12, please, of the document -- thank you -- this section concerns classification and, in paragraph 4.1.2.1, which is just below the flowchart, it asks the problem manager and resolver to capture the sense and respond codes. Could you assist with what those are?
- A. I can't -- no. What I would say is that I'm not sure whether the -- how long the sense and response codes were actually in play and what I would say is that I think we have a matrix which would give us the priority and severity, which I think is further down in the document.
- Q. Yes, I want to turn to that now, actually. We see "Priority" is in a different section, so if you follow it across, it's to 1.2.3. So after the problem's been classified, a priority's set and, in that regard, we look at the appendix to this document.

I'd like to look at the final page first, which is page 23, please. This is a table which says "Priority". Is this the table to which you were referring to get a priority score for the problem?

A. Correct.

- 1 Q. On the column on the left, there is an impact score or 2 an impact value of 1 to 5 and then the columns on the 3 top, from the second column to the final column, these 4 are urgency scores, again of 1 to 5, which we'll come to 5 in a moment. But for present purposes, it looks like 6 this ends up with a score -- if you combine these two, 7 the impact and urgency to get a priority score of 8 somewhere between 1 and 5?
  - A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. Were there any deadlines or -- how were the different scores for priority treated? How was a "1" priority 11 12 different from a "2"?
  - A. So a 1 priority is the most immediate; so effectively resolve this with the highest priority. A 5 would be the lowest priority.
  - Q. Were there targets or deadlines for a priority 1 and then a priority 2?
    - A. Relating to --
- 19 Q. How long they needed to be -- within what time they 20 needed to be resolved?
- 21 A. Within problem management, I don't believe that there 22
- 23 Q. In practice, what effect did the priority level have on 24 the speed to which problems were resolved?
  - A. If you had a P1 then, effectively, we would trump any

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

1 other activity that's going on to be able to call SMEs, 2 the support units to come and prioritise this work to 3 look at the resolution of the incident or the issue and, 4 bearing in mind it was a problem that would already have 5 had a high priority incident allocated to it, that activity would have been ongoing. 6 7 So yes, a higher priority would have meant that 8 people would have paid attention and actually appropriately prioritised the activity. 9 10 Q. Is it possible to say, if you gave something 11 a priority 1, within what period of time you would have 12 expected the problem to be resolved? 13 A. As I say, with urgency but a problem is different to 14 an incident. An incident does have a four-hour SLA or 15 an eight-hour SLA or a three-day SLA. A problem does 16 not have the same SLAs because those incidents, high 17 priority, are being worked on as part of this problem 18 ticket. 19 Q. If you look at some of the factors that go into giving 20 us the priority, please can we turn to page 21. Thank 21 you. This first refers to, we see in the bottom the 22 impact value. There's a table there but, starting at 23 the top, the first step is to give it a criticality 24 value, which there are, again, five scores from critical 25 to cosmetic. 25 1 individual's judgement. 2 Q. Was there ever an incentive to lower the criticality 3 4 would have thought? 5 A. No. No, there was never any pressure to do anything 6

1 This would be assigned by the problem manager; is 2 that right? 3 4

- A. That is correct. Problem manager and SMEs.
- Q. Was there any guidance on what would be determined as a critical, high, medium or minor?
- A. The critical would be defined as something which has effectively a show stopper on a wider scale. So, again, if we go back to a P1 scenario, almost a disaster, service has stopped. That's regarded as critical.

And then we go down in severity down to the things which are cosmetic or minor.

- Q. So when you say the show stopper point there, critical, you suggested that's something that's stopping service but also would you take into account how many people were --
- A. Absolutely, and I think that's covered in the impact section below. So if it's one user or if it's the entire estate, they will have a different --
- Q. So that's taken into account under the impact but the criticality part, is it fair to say it's a judgement call at there's no particular written guidance on what is critical and what is medium?
- A. So, again, hence why it's the problem manager and the resolver group looking at this. So it's a collective view on how critical things are and it's not one

26

- score or to put in a lower score than you otherwise
- like that.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. When we go down -- if we could move down -- thank you -to the impact table, the number of users affected, obviously at the top we see it ranges from, on the left, over 70 per cent to, on the right, to a single user and that affects the overall impact score.

Was this -- "Number of users affected", was this the number of users that had been affected or would it be an assessment of how many users may be affected by a problem?

A. I think for a problem we would be -- we would take both into account. If the problem was well understood and defined, then potentially you'd be looking at just the affected users because, again, we'd be in a position to understand that.

If the issue/problem was relatively new and that was still being defined and understood, then we would also look at the potential wider impact and take that into account.

27

Q. So from a criticality point of view, if, say, less than

1 100 -- say less than 50 subpostmasters were reporting 2 unexplained discrepancies in their branch accounts, 3 where would that have fallen on the criticality score?

- To my mind, that would have been a critical.
- Q. That would have been --
- A. That would be a critical. If you're getting that many postmasters reporting something of that nature, that's something that needs to be looked at with urgency.
  - Q. So that's if they were reporting all at once. If it's just a single discrepancy that's being reported, how would that change things?
- A. That would change things because, until we've done some trending along that, we don't know where those discrepancies are. They could be related, they may not be related; so we would, as part of that problem review, pull together any child incidents to see if they actually are related.
  - Q. Could we turn the page, please, to the urgency score on page 22. Before I ask you about the detail of it, in broad terms can you explain how the impact score differed from the urgency score?
- 22 A. Sorry, my mind's gone blank. Can you repeat your 23 question?
  - Q. Of course. In what way -- what considerations or what different considerations would you take into account

1		when arriving at an urgency score, in comparison to the
2		impact score?
3	A.	I guess we would look at what potentially may unfold
4		over the next period. Depending on where the scenario
5		of the issue is, it could be that with the batch
6		processing that happens overnight that may then add to
7		the severity or the impact of the issue, and it could be
8		that during a working day there is the opportunity to
9		support the postmasters, support the post office with
10		a resolution so that would make that resolution within
11		that time span far more urgent than if there was a roll
12		
	_	on impact of an overnight batch.
13	Q.	Let's look at what the urgency table says and go through
14		it there. For the first level, which is the most urgent
15		it says it:
16		"Has a significant adverse impact on the delivery
17		of service to a large number of end users.
18		"Causes significant financial loss and/or
19		disruption.
20		"Results in any material loss or corruption of
21		customer data."
22		It says:
23		"For example, incidents with this urgency may
24		affect the COMPANY."
25		What company is being referred to there when it
		29
1		it offects 14 branches. It was reported by Steve Darker
1		it affects 14 branches. It was reported by Steve Parker
2		and you're listed as the problem manager.
3		Now, this concerns a bug in the system described
4		by Mr Justice Fraser as bug number 3, the suspense
5		account bug and, in essence, what this document shows or
6		suggests is, in some branches, there was data entered
7		into the local suspense account that was relevant to
8		balancing in trading periods 9 and 10 in 2010 and '11
9		and this data in the suspense account was retained in
10		the database. Therefore, when the branches came to
11		balance in the corresponding trading periods 9 and 10 in
12		later years, that 2010 data was reused incorrectly.
13		Is that a fair summary of the problem?
14	A.	I believe so, yes.
15	Q.	Whilst branches had experienced the error in 2012, it
16		was only reported to Fujitsu in 2013; is that right?
17	A.	As I understand it, yes.
18	Q.	If you could move down, please, the page, we see at this
19	٠.	stage 14 branches are listed as having discrepancies.
20		Some of them are small amounts. For example, the third
21		one down is 1 penny but, as you see the fourth one down
		, , , ,
22		is £9,799.88. Can we go to the top of the table,
23		
		please, again. Thank you.
24		Now, this was given an urgency score by you of 2.

when arriving at an urgency score, in comparison to the

1

1 says "the company"? 2 I'm afraid I don't know. 3 Q. Would it be the Post Office as a whole rather than 4 individual subpostmasters? 5 A. I don't know, I'm afraid. 6 Q. The urgency value 1, as we say, refers to significant 7 financial loss or disruption. The second score, it says 8 it causes -- sorry, urgency score 2 -- it says: 9 "Causes a financial loss and/or disruption to the 10 customer which is more than trivial but less severe than 11 the significant financial loss described in the 12 definition of an Urgency level of 1." 13 Are you aware of any guidance on how a problem 14 manager was to distinguish between trivial or 15 significant financial loss or somewhere in between? 16 A. No specific guidance. 17 Q. At the bottom of urgency score 2, it says: 18 "For example, incidents with this urgency may 19 affect a VIP SITE." 20 Do you know to what that refers? A. I think, historically, Post Office did have a number of 21 22 sites that they determined as VIP and -- yes, I'll say 23 no more. 24 Q. Could we look at an actual problem report. It's 25 POL00029568. We see this is a problem report. It says

A. I think at the time because there were 14 branches and because at the time we were looking to get the investigation underway. So I think, if memory serves, this had come through to us from Post Office. So we raised an immediate problem record to do effectively a historical investigation into those 14 branches.

30

That's why I think it was a 2 rather than a 1.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. When you were -- in a case like this when you're given an urgency score, would you consult the appendix to which we just referred or was it more of a sense of experience and feel to what score would you ascribe an urgency score?

- A. So I think I can't hand on heart say that I looked that appendix for this one. I think I may have done; I may not have done. So I can't comment. But, normally, I think the advice to the problem management team is to look at the appendix.
- Q. Please could we go back to the appendix -- it's FUJ00080043 -- and turn to page 23. On what you just said, the paragraph below the table does say:

"For example, if the agent decides that the Urgency score is 3, and the Impact has been calculated as 2, then from the Priority table, the final Priority will be automatically generated as 2. The assigned priority can be overridden if the problem is serious and

1		discussed with the Service Delivery Team Leader, but the	1		Darby, Mark Wardle and others) on 28th Feb about this
2		Problem Management process must be followed."	2		call, and the spreadsheet showing the impact of the
3		Now, in the problem record there that we just	3		problem on the 14 branches was sent to them by Steve
4		looked at, the priority score given was 4. If we look	4		Bansal. We are waiting to hear from Mark whether this
5		on the urgency score for an urgency score of 2, the only	5		is sufficient information for them to resolve the
6		priority scores you can give are 1, 2, 3, 3 and 5.	6		consequences on the branches and POLSAP."
7		Could you assist with why you considered that or gave	7		So do you recall how this problem was resolved
8		the priority score of 4 for that problem?	8		thereafter following this call?
9	۸	I'm afraid, I can't.	9	٨	I don't.
10		In practice, giving it a score of 4 rather than, say, 3,	10		You, mentioned that you remember this in particular at
11	Q.	what difference do you think that would have made in		Q.	·
12		•	11 12		there were several people on it. Was this problem given
	٨	practice to how the problem was resolved?			more resources than, say, another priority 4 problem
13	A.	On this occasion, I don't think an awful lot. Having	13		would be given?
14		read through the rest of the pack, I know that that	14	A.	I think that, in this particular scenario, I think Anne
15		particular issue was dealt with by a number of people	15		Chambers, it was her priority. She effectively dropped
16		and I think there were a number of high priority PEAKs	16		all other work, to my approximate knowledge, as it were,
17		that were raised and the investigation was quite	17		and this was her main focus. I believe there was
18	_	intensive.	18		another PEAK open and I think that in the background
19	Q.	You have raised it. Let's look at that. It's	19		other teams were also looking at different aspects in
20		POL00029671. Can we turn to page 6, please.	20		support of this. So Anne wasn't looking at this on her
21		There's an entry, 6 March 2013. I should say, for	21		own; there were wider teams looking at the scenario and
22		the record, that this PEAK is the PEAK referred to in	22		the issues.
23		the problem report we've just seen but the entry on	23	MR	STEVENS: Sir, I don't know if you want to have a break
24		6 March 2013 at 4.05 says:	24		this morning but this would be a good point to break for
25		"There was a conference call with POL (Laura 33	25		the hour mark?
		••			•
1	SIE	R WYN WILLIAMS: Yes Well certainly that's okay. All	1	SID	WVN WILLIAMS: Ves Lean Thank you
1	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. Well, certainly that's okay. All	1		WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can. Thank you.
2	SIF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have	2		STEVENS: Thank you. Mr Bansal, we will continue. Can
2	SIF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we	2		<b>STEVENS:</b> Thank you. Mr Bansal, we will continue. Can you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.
2 3 4		I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?	2 3 4		STEVENS: Thank you. Mr Bansal, we will continue. Can you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's
2 3 4 5		I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the	2 3 4 5		STEVENS: Thank you. Mr Bansal, we will continue. Can you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.
2 3 4	MF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.	2 3 4 5 6		STEVENS: Thank you. Mr Bansal, we will continue. Can you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry,
2 3 4 5 6 7	MF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask	2 3 4 5 6 7		STEVENS: Thank you. Mr Bansal, we will continue. Can you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask  Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		STEVENS: Thank you. Mr Bansal, we will continue. Can you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask  Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		STEVENS: Thank you. Mr Bansal, we will continue. Can you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask  Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		STEVENS: Thank you. Mr Bansal, we will continue. Can you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask  Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask  Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR	you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's  FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MF SIF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less. R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00? I'm easy to go through.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR	STEVENS: Thank you. Mr Bansal, we will continue. Can you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR SIF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask  Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00?  I'm easy to go through.  R WYN WILLIAMS: You would prefer to go through?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR	you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work? Yes. Now, as a problem manager at this stage, how do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MF SIF A. SIF A.	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask  Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00?  I'm easy to go through.  R WYN WILLIAMS: You would prefer to go through?  I would prefer to go through rather than stop for lunch.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR	STEVENS: Thank you. Mr Bansal, we will continue. Can you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work?  Yes.  Now, as a problem manager at this stage, how do you review or, in 2010, how would you review or keep track
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MF SIF A. SIF A.	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask  Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00?  I'm easy to go through.  R WYN WILLIAMS: You would prefer to go through?  I would prefer to go through rather than stop for lunch.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR A. Q.	you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work? Yes.  Now, as a problem manager at this stage, how do you review or, in 2010, how would you review or keep track of how problems were being resolved or diagnosed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. SIF A. SIF MF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less. R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00? I'm easy to go through. R WYN WILLIAMS: You would prefer to go through? I would prefer to go through rather than stop for lunch. R WYN WILLIAMS: Is that all right with you, Mr Stevens?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR A. Q.	you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work? Yes.  Now, as a problem manager at this stage, how do you review or, in 2010, how would you review or keep track of how problems were being resolved or diagnosed? So we would have regular meetings with the resolver and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. SIF A. SIF MF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask  Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00?  I'm easy to go through.  R WYN WILLIAMS: You would prefer to go through?  I would prefer to go through rather than stop for lunch.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Is that all right with you, Mr Stevens?  R STEVENS: It is, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR A. Q.	you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work? Yes.  Now, as a problem manager at this stage, how do you review or, in 2010, how would you review or keep track of how problems were being resolved or diagnosed? So we would have regular meetings with the resolver and possibly the support teams to understand exactly where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. SIF A. SIF MF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00?  I'm easy to go through.  R WYN WILLIAMS: You would prefer to go through?  I would prefer to go through rather than stop for lunch.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Is that all right with you, Mr Stevens?  R STEVENS: It is, sir.  R WYN WILLIAMS: So we will have a ten-minute break now and complete Mr Bansal's evidence and that will be it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work? Yes.  Now, as a problem manager at this stage, how do you review or, in 2010, how would you review or keep track of how problems were being resolved or diagnosed? So we would have regular meetings with the resolver and possibly the support teams to understand exactly where we are with getting to resolution.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. SIF A. SIF MF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask  Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00?  I'm easy to go through.  R WYN WILLIAMS: You would prefer to go through?  I would prefer to go through rather than stop for lunch.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Is that all right with you, Mr Stevens?  R STEVENS: It is, sir.  R WYN WILLIAMS: So we will have a ten-minute break now and complete Mr Bansal's evidence and that will be it for the day.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work? Yes.  Now, as a problem manager at this stage, how do you review or, in 2010, how would you review or keep track of how problems were being resolved or diagnosed? So we would have regular meetings with the resolver and possibly the support teams to understand exactly where we are with getting to resolution.  Would the regularity of those meetings be connected to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. SIF A. SIF MF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask  Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00?  I'm easy to go through.  R WYN WILLIAMS: You would prefer to go through?  I would prefer to go through rather than stop for lunch.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Is that all right with you, Mr Stevens?  R STEVENS: It is, sir.  R WYN WILLIAMS: So we will have a ten-minute break now and complete Mr Bansal's evidence and that will be it for the day.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<b>A.</b> Q.	you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work? Yes.  Now, as a problem manager at this stage, how do you review or, in 2010, how would you review or keep track of how problems were being resolved or diagnosed? So we would have regular meetings with the resolver and possibly the support teams to understand exactly where we are with getting to resolution.  Would the regularity of those meetings be connected to the priority of the problem or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. SIF A. SIF MF	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask  Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00?  I'm easy to go through.  R WYN WILLIAMS: You would prefer to go through?  I would prefer to go through rather than stop for lunch.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Is that all right with you, Mr Stevens?  R STEVENS: It is, sir.  R WYN WILLIAMS: So we will have a ten-minute break now and complete Mr Bansal's evidence and that will be it for the day.  R STEVENS: Thank you, sir, fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<b>A.</b> Q.	you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work? Yes.  Now, as a problem manager at this stage, how do you review or, in 2010, how would you review or keep track of how problems were being resolved or diagnosed? So we would have regular meetings with the resolver and possibly the support teams to understand exactly where we are with getting to resolution.  Would the regularity of those meetings be connected to the priority of the problem or Yes. If it was a high priority incident, then we would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. SIF MF SIF MF (12	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00?  I'm easy to go through.  R WYN WILLIAMS: You would prefer to go through?  I would prefer to go through rather than stop for lunch.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Is that all right with you, Mr Stevens?  R STEVENS: It is, sir.  R WYN WILLIAMS: So we will have a ten-minute break now and complete Mr Bansal's evidence and that will be it for the day.  R STEVENS: Thank you, sir, fine.  2.26 pm)  (A short break)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A.</b> Q.	you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work? Yes.  Now, as a problem manager at this stage, how do you review or, in 2010, how would you review or keep track of how problems were being resolved or diagnosed? So we would have regular meetings with the resolver and possibly the support teams to understand exactly where we are with getting to resolution.  Would the regularity of those meetings be connected to the priority of the problem or Yes. If it was a high priority incident, then we would be having almost daily conversations to track progress
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. SIF A. SIF MF (12	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less. R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00? I'm easy to go through. R WYN WILLIAMS: You would prefer to go through? I would prefer to go through rather than stop for lunch. R WYN WILLIAMS: Is that all right with you, Mr Stevens? R STEVENS: It is, sir. R WYN WILLIAMS: So we will have a ten-minute break now and complete Mr Bansal's evidence and that will be it for the day. R STEVENS: Thank you, sir, fine. 2.26 pm)  (A short break)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<b>A.</b> Q.	you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work? Yes.  Now, as a problem manager at this stage, how do you review or, in 2010, how would you review or keep track of how problems were being resolved or diagnosed? So we would have regular meetings with the resolver and possibly the support teams to understand exactly where we are with getting to resolution.  Would the regularity of those meetings be connected to the priority of the problem or Yes. If it was a high priority incident, then we would be having almost daily conversations to track progress but, again, we would also be reliant on the SME, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. SIF A. SIF MF (12	I don't want to do is to have a break and then have another long break if you see what I mean. How are we going with the witness, generally?  R STEVENS: Quicker than yes, there's probably about the same again, maybe less.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Okay. So should we let's ask Mr Bansal. If we have, say, a ten-minute break now, should we then complete his evidence without having a formal lunch break, so that that would take us to maybe 1.30 or would he prefer to have a formal lunch break at 1.00?  I'm easy to go through.  R WYN WILLIAMS: You would prefer to go through?  I would prefer to go through rather than stop for lunch.  R WYN WILLIAMS: Is that all right with you, Mr Stevens?  R STEVENS: It is, sir.  R WYN WILLIAMS: So we will have a ten-minute break now and complete Mr Bansal's evidence and that will be it for the day.  R STEVENS: Thank you, sir, fine.  2.26 pm)  (A short break)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A.</b> Q.	you please bring up on the screen POL00029671.  That's my error in the reference. It's FUJ00080043.  Thank you. If you could turn to page 13. Sorry, page 14. Thank you.  So once we've got the priority, it goes into this flowchart of managing root cause process and establishing corrective action and it's, at this point, I assume, where the problem resolver takes over the mainstay of the technical work? Yes.  Now, as a problem manager at this stage, how do you review or, in 2010, how would you review or keep track of how problems were being resolved or diagnosed? So we would have regular meetings with the resolver and possibly the support teams to understand exactly where we are with getting to resolution.  Would the regularity of those meetings be connected to the priority of the problem or Yes. If it was a high priority incident, then we would be having almost daily conversations to track progress

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Again -- yes. 3 Q. Could we turn to page 16, please. Now, this is, again, 4 part of this error control process and the step in the 5 flowchart here at 2.3.1 says "Assess if permanent 6 solution is required", and it gives eight options for 7 this assessment, ranging from "Impact minimal: not 8 cost-justifiable" with other ones requiring -- it says 9 "Resolution requires POL funding" or "Resolution 10 requires action by POL". 11 I want to look at the first two. Would anyone 12 from the Post Office be involved in this assessment of 13 whether a permanent solution was required? 14 **A.** Yes, they should be. So we would hold a regular review 15 of problem records with the Post Office and we would 16 take to them our findings and, if we were in a scenario 17 where we had to look at the justification in this 18 manner, if it wasn't apparent, ie that we had to fix it, 19 then we would have a conversation with Post Office. 20 Q. These meetings in 2010 that started around that point, 21 how often would you have those meetings with Post 22 23 A. I can't say with absolute certainty. I would suggest 24 minimally at a month but I can't say with any greater 25 recall. 37 1 to confirm that what they would like is that issue 2 addressed with a new set of requirements. 3 Q. So that would be covered, would it, by, if we look in 4 this diagram, "2.3.8 Resolution requires POL funding" or 5 "2.3.9 Resolution requires action by POL"? 6 A. Correct. 7 Q. So in 2.3.2, "not cost-justifiable", does that refer to 8 cost to Fujitsu? 9 A. No, that would also refer to cost to Post Office. So 10 I see what you're saying but it falls under that 11 category as well. So, yes, there may be an occasion 12 where Fujitsu, depending on what the impact is, may say 13 it's not justified, as Post Office might have done 14 historically as well. 15 Q. So in what circumstances would Fujitsu say the cost to 16 them meant it was unjustifiable to implement a permanent 17 18 A. So it could be that the impact is only to our support 19 teams. So if it means that we see something within our 20 monitoring, let's say, our error handling, and it --21 effectively we could then potentially ignore that 22 particular scenario. So what we then do is write 23 a knowledge base article to that effect so we don't then 24 have to put in a software or hardware update to achieve 25 that. So it's cheaper, therefore, to put together the

determine the regularity of those conversations.

1

- Q. At these meetings, would you discuss all active problems or a certain priority of problems?
- A. I think the approach would be that we would discuss all active problems but with priority given to those that are of the highest urgency priority, hot topics, et cetera, and then you would work your way down the list
- Q. So where it says in this chart "2.3.2 Impact minimal: not cost-justifiable", if the problem resolver had found a bug in the Horizon System that Fujitsu had provided to the Post Office, to whom was the cost not justifiable to enter a permanent solution: Fujitsu or the Post Office?
- A. That would be determined by what the root cause of the problem was.
- Q. You've identified the root cause of the problem and now
   the question is what action to take with it and one of
   the options is not to do anything or not to implement
   a permanent fix because it's not cost justifiable.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Whose costs are we looking at here?
  - A. Again, that is dependent on the resolution. If what is found to be which case is that it's missing requirements or incorrectly stated requirements originally, then that may be something that we would look to Post Office. Because it's a change of requirements, they would need

knowledge article in that scenario, where we see that again we know that, under those circumstances, we can ignore that event.

- Q. You said that the Post Office were involved in this assessment. What happened if there was a divergence of views on whether or not to implement a fix?
- **A.** Then we would follow the customer's recommendation.
- Q. Please can we bring up another document. It's FUJ00085191. This is another spread sheet. We're on the first page there. It was provided to the Inquiry by Fujitsu, the title was "POLS [so POL's] Weekly Problem Review 241013".

Do you recognise this type of document?

- A. I do recognise this type of document.
- Q. Who created this or -- not specifically which person, but which corporate entity would create this document?
- A. I'm going to say I'm little bit hazy whether it would have been something that Fujitsu produced or whether it's something Post Office produced but it was something that we reviewed collectively and updated collectively.
- Q. Can you recall when spreadsheets like this were used for collective discussions first?
- **A.** I can't say that I can recall when it started or whether it was practised when I joined.
- Q. In terms of the meetings you discussed earlier about

1		going through various problems that you had, you said at	1		the spreadsheet and if we could go to row 20. Thank
2		the least regular interval's monthly but you couldn't	2		you.
3		remember how often precisely	3		I think what we'll need to do, if we could drag
4	A.	Yes.	4		the row 20 down so that it's I think if you go to the
5	Q.	would this be the document that was used	5		left there and yes, thank you drag it down we'll
6	A.	This would be the type of thing, yes.	6		get that detail in. Thank you very much.
7	Q.	The type of thing?	7		So this we see from column D refers to the
8	A.	The type of thing. So, again, just to your point,	8		14 branches and the local suspense account issue and we
9		I think when I initially started as a problem manager it	9		have in column F, which is titled "Supplier Updates"
10		was monthly but, to my recollection at that time,	10		a series of entries with dates. If we could go across
11		I found that to be insufficient, so I brought that	11		to column G which is titled "POL Updates "there are also
12		forward to fortnightly and then that also was quite	12		entries on dates as well, not necessarily the same.
13		slow, so we went to a weekly meeting.	13		How were these columns updated; can you recall?
14	Q.	At these meetings, who from POL or at least who in	14	A.	Yes. They were potentially drawing the meetings. As we
15		terms of what job roles from POL would attend?	15		mentioned earlier, we would go down the sort of priority
16		I should say Post Office. Which job roles from Post	16		list and we would look for updates from either side on
17		Office would attend?	17		the particular issues.
18	A.	So I think we would have some representation from Post	18	Q.	Who maintained because if this is an updating
19		Office from a service perspective. We would have I'm	19		document that was used for meetings, was there a master
20		going to suggest some SDMs and senior service person.	20		copy or was someone responsible for maintaining a master
21		From memory, I'm not going to say names because I can't	21		copy?
22		remember all of them but, certainly, there was at least,	22	A.	I'm going to say that I think the master copy was held
23		you know, two to three people at all of these meetings	23		with Fujitsu and was shared post every meeting with the
24		early on.	24		Post Office representatives.
25	Q.	Could we now just turn to the "Closed" tab, please, on 41	25	Q.	Thank you. We can take that document down now. 42
_			,	_	F 4 6
1		Could we please bring up FUJ00085175. We were	1		Fujitsu staff.
2		previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service	2	Q.	Post Office didn't have access to that?
2 3		previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This	2	Q. <b>A</b> .	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.
2 3 4		previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which	2 3 4	Q. <b>A</b> .	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:
2 3 4 5		previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right?	2 3 4 5	Q. <b>A</b> .	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action
2 3 4 5 6		previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version?	2 3 4 5 6	Q. <b>A</b> .	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"
2 3 4 5 6 7		previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. <b>A</b> .	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here.  If we go up, sorry, slightly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. <b>A</b> .	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. <b>A.</b>	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. <b>A</b> .	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it,  and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes. Draft version.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. <b>A</b> .	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it,  and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which  contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes. Draft version.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. <b>A</b> .	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it,  and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which  contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes. Draft version.  Yes.  At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes. Draft version.  Yes.  At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed as a mandatory reviewer. So presumably you would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which  contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?  I believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes. Draft version.  Yes.  At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed as a mandatory reviewer. So presumably you would have seen this document at the time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?  I believe so.  Please could we move to a different document. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes. Draft version. Yes.  At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed as a mandatory reviewer. So presumably you would have seen this document at the time. I would have done.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?  I believe so.  Please could we move to a different document. It's  FUJ00085985. We see from it there's a note on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes.  Draft version.  Yes.  At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed as a mandatory reviewer. So presumably you would have seen this document at the time.  I would have done.  Please turn to page 9 of the same document. Under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?  I believe so.  Please could we move to a different document. It's  FUJ00085985. We see from it there's a note on the second paragraph of the substance:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes. Draft version.  Yes.  At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed as a mandatory reviewer. So presumably you would have seen this document at the time.  I would have done.  Please turn to page 9 of the same document. Under heading can we go to heading 1.5.1. This says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?  I believe so.  Please could we move to a different document. It's  FUJ00085985. We see from it there's a note on the second paragraph of the substance:  "Note Jan 2018: Document updated to reflect the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes. Draft version.  Yes.  At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed as a mandatory reviewer. So presumably you would have seen this document at the time.  I would have done.  Please turn to page 9 of the same document. Under heading can we go to heading 1.5.1. This says:  "The Problem Records for [Post Office Account] is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?  I believe so.  Please could we move to a different document. It's  FUJ00085985. We see from it there's a note on the second paragraph of the substance:  "Note Jan 2018: Document updated to reflect the changes on the POA Account."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes. Draft version.  Yes.  At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed as a mandatory reviewer. So presumably you would have seen this document at the time.  I would have done.  Please turn to page 9 of the same document. Under heading can we go to heading 1.5.1. This says:  "The Problem Records for [Post Office Account] is held on the"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?  I believe so.  Please could we move to a different document. It's  FUJ00085985. We see from it there's a note on the second paragraph of the substance:  "Note Jan 2018: Document updated to reflect the changes on the POA Account."  So we've jumped forward quite a bit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes.  Draft version.  Yes.  At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed as a mandatory reviewer. So presumably you would have seen this document at the time.  I would have done.  Please turn to page 9 of the same document. Under heading can we go to heading 1.5.1. This says:  "The Problem Records for [Post Office Account] is held on the"  Is it TRIOLE?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?  I believe so.  Please could we move to a different document. It's FUJ00085985. We see from it there's a note on the second paragraph of the substance:  "Note Jan 2018: Document updated to reflect the changes on the POA Account."  So we've jumped forward quite a bit. Have you seen this document before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes. Draft version. Yes. At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed as a mandatory reviewer. So presumably you would have seen this document at the time. I would have done. Please turn to page 9 of the same document. Under heading can we go to heading 1.5.1. This says:     "The Problem Records for [Post Office Account] is held on the"     Is it TRIOLE?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?  I believe so.  Please could we move to a different document. It's  FUJ00085985. We see from it there's a note on the second paragraph of the substance:  "Note Jan 2018: Document updated to reflect the changes on the POA Account."  So we've jumped forward quite a bit. Have you seen this document before, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes. Draft version.  Yes.  At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed as a mandatory reviewer. So presumably you would have seen this document at the time.  I would have done.  Please turn to page 9 of the same document. Under heading can we go to heading 1.5.1. This says:  "The Problem Records for [Post Office Account] is held on the"  Is it TRIOLE?  TRIOLE.  Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?  I believe so.  Please could we move to a different document. It's  FUJ00085985. We see from it there's a note on the second paragraph of the substance:  "Note Jan 2018: Document updated to reflect the changes on the POA Account."  So we've jumped forward quite a bit. Have you seen this document before?  I think I have seen this document before, yes.  Do you know it says "IP Handover". Do you know what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes.  Draft version.  Yes.  At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed as a mandatory reviewer. So presumably you would have seen this document at the time.  I would have done.  Please turn to page 9 of the same document. Under heading can we go to heading 1.5.1. This says:  "The Problem Records for [Post Office Account] is held on the"  Is it TRIOLE?  TRIOLE.  Thank you.  " Service Desk [system]."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?  I believe so.  Please could we move to a different document. It's  FUJ00085985. We see from it there's a note on the second paragraph of the substance:  "Note Jan 2018: Document updated to reflect the changes on the POA Account."  So we've jumped forward quite a bit. Have you seen this document before?  I think I have seen this document before, yes.  Do you know it says "IP Handover". Do you know what it was drawn up for?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes.  Draft version.  Yes.  At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed as a mandatory reviewer. So presumably you would have seen this document at the time.  I would have done.  Please turn to page 9 of the same document. Under heading can we go to heading 1.5.1. This says:  "The Problem Records for [Post Office Account] is held on the"  Is it TRIOLE?  TRIOLE.  Thank you.  " Service Desk [system]."  Who would have access to the TRIOLE service desk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?  I believe so.  Please could we move to a different document. It's  FUJ00085985. We see from it there's a note on the second paragraph of the substance:  "Note Jan 2018: Document updated to reflect the changes on the POA Account."  So we've jumped forward quite a bit.  Have you seen this document before?  I think I have seen this document before, yes.  Do you know it says "IP Handover". Do you know what it was drawn up for?  Sorry, could you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. Q.	previously looking at version 2 of the "Customer Service Problem Management Procedure" before the break. This is, if we go to the bottom, version 2.3, which I understand means it's in draft form; is that right? It's a draft, not approved version? I can't see approved on here. If we go up, sorry, slightly Ah, yes.  Draft version.  Yes.  At page 4 go to the bottom, please you're listed as a mandatory reviewer. So presumably you would have seen this document at the time.  I would have done.  Please turn to page 9 of the same document. Under heading can we go to heading 1.5.1. This says:  "The Problem Records for [Post Office Account] is held on the"  Is it TRIOLE?  TRIOLE.  Thank you.  " Service Desk [system]."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Post Office didn't have access to that?  Correct I don't believe so, no.  Over the page, if we may, it refers to, at the top:  "Problem Managers can access the Problem Action  Plans by"  Then it gives a reason sorry, the way to do it, and it says:  "These reports are held within a spreadsheet which contains three tabs: Horizon, POLSAP and Closed."  Is that referring to the spreadsheet or the types of spreadsheet we were seeing that we just took you to?  I believe so.  Please could we move to a different document. It's  FUJ00085985. We see from it there's a note on the second paragraph of the substance:  "Note Jan 2018: Document updated to reflect the changes on the POA Account."  So we've jumped forward quite a bit. Have you seen this document before?  I think I have seen this document before, yes.  Do you know it says "IP Handover". Do you know what it was drawn up for?

1		drawn?	1		I think earlier in your evidence you referred to
2	Δ	Yes, it was effectively a task list for IPs.	2		these calls going from a monthly to fortnightly and then
3	Q.		3		an even shorter period of time.
4	Α.	Industrial placement.	4		Do you have any recollection as to when they went
5		These people would help with the problem management and	5		to weekly calls?
6	α.	incident management processes?	6	Δ	I don't, I'm afraid.
7	Δ	They would help with various tasks across the service	7		The spreadsheet that's referred to, is it basically
8	۸.	team to give them some scope and bandwidth of some	8	α.	was it in a similar form to the spreadsheet we looked at
9		training and some understanding of how business works.	9		earlier?
10	0	Could you turn to page 4, please. This refers to the	10	Δ	I believe so.
11	σ.	"ATOS Problem Spreadsheet". I think at this stage it	11		So, fundamentally, the process you're describing hadn't
12		would be helpful to introduce ATOS. Could you state how	12	α.	changed, just the frequency of the
13		ATOS fit into the problem management process?	13	Α.	
14	۸	So I think at the time Post Office effectively brought	14	Q.	
15	Λ.	in a managing agent to work on their behalf and, as part	15	Q. <b>Α</b> .	
16		of that, they procured a problem management service	16	Δ.	spreadsheet that might give an indication of the
17		through ATOS.	17		regularity of that particular spreadsheet, whether that
18	0	So ATOS were when it says "ATOS Problem Spreadsheet" and	18		was weekly.
19	Q.	we talk about ATOS, that is subcontract or people	19	Q.	
20		contracted by the Post Office?	20	Q.	see that but thank you.
21	۸	Yes.	21		Can we please move to page 12 of this document.
22		It says that:	22		Now this talks about PEAK reporting. The Inquiry's
23	Q.	" the Problem Spreadsheet to ATOS Problem	23		heard a lot about PinICLs because of the time-frame but
24		Management with Fujitsu updates which are discussed	24		PEAKs were effectively the same as PinICLs in order that
25		on the weekly Problem Management call every Friday."	25		they well, the PEAK system was a system in which
20		45	20		46
1		problems were recorded and it was a flow of the	1		or a decrease, in which areas, and was that associated
2		information done to rectify those problems. Is that	2		to any new releases, was that associated to any updates
3		fair? It was a log, basically, of actions taken.	3		that had gone out, positive or negative we needed to
4	Α.	It was or it could be used in that form, yes.	4		understand what was going on and then potentially,
5		Was there any material difference between the PEAK and	5		proactively be able to get ahead of any issues as well.
6		the PinICL systems?	6	Q.	So who within Fujitsu was responsible for that trend
7	Α.	At a high level, I'm going to say I don't believe so.	7		analysis?
8	Q.	Page 12 says that these instructions are:	8	Α.	So responsibility for it would ultimately come to myself
9		" to generate a PEAK Report for Steve Bansal in	9		in that particular phase, but it was a number of teams
10		preparation for the Leadership Team Meeting on Friday."	10		that were producing that activity.
11		Who attended the leadership team meeting?	11	Q.	Which teams would they be?
12	Α.	That would be an internal Fujitsu meeting, from	12	Α.	So I think at the time they were the I think they are
13		recollection.	13		currently called the MAC team and I can't for the life
14	Q.	What was its purpose?	14		of me remember what they were called then.
15					•
	Α.	To provide an update to the leadership team on the	15	Q.	At the start of your evidence, or near the start, we
16	Α.	To provide an update to the leadership team on the status of service.	15 16	Q.	At the start of your evidence, or near the start, we discussed proactive problem management. Presumably this
16 17		status of service.		Q.	discussed proactive problem management. Presumably this
			16	Q.	-
17		status of service.  With the reporting of PEAKs, the second paragraph says", As PEAK Reporting is used to keep track of the trend of	16 17		discussed proactive problem management. Presumably this is an example of proactive problem management analysing
17 18		status of service. With the reporting of PEAKs, the second paragraph says",	16 17 18		discussed proactive problem management. Presumably this is an example of proactive problem management analysing the trends of PEAKs?
17 18 19		status of service.  With the reporting of PEAKs, the second paragraph says", As PEAK Reporting is used to keep track of the trend of PEAKs", and goes on to say "when there is a sudden increase or decrease", can you explain what Fujitsu did	16 17 18 19		discussed proactive problem management. Presumably this is an example of proactive problem management analysing the trends of PEAKs?  Yes. So the PEAKs will be done via that team apologies to talk across you and the problem manager
17 18 19 20		status of service.  With the reporting of PEAKs, the second paragraph says", As PEAK Reporting is used to keep track of the trend of PEAKs", and goes on to say "when there is a sudden	16 17 18 19 20		discussed proactive problem management. Presumably this is an example of proactive problem management analysing the trends of PEAKs?  Yes. So the PEAKs will be done via that team
17 18 19 20 21	Q.	status of service.  With the reporting of PEAKs, the second paragraph says", As PEAK Reporting is used to keep track of the trend of PEAKs", and goes on to say "when there is a sudden increase or decrease", can you explain what Fujitsu did in respect of trend analysis. How did it analyse trends	16 17 18 19 20 21	Α.	discussed proactive problem management. Presumably this is an example of proactive problem management analysing the trends of PEAKs?  Yes. So the PEAKs will be done via that team apologies to talk across you and the problem manager would be doing the problem effectively trending. But
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	status of service.  With the reporting of PEAKs, the second paragraph says", As PEAK Reporting is used to keep track of the trend of PEAKs", and goes on to say "when there is a sudden increase or decrease", can you explain what Fujitsu did in respect of trend analysis. How did it analyse trends in PEAKs?	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Α.	discussed proactive problem management. Presumably this is an example of proactive problem management analysing the trends of PEAKs?  Yes. So the PEAKs will be done via that team apologies to talk across you and the problem manager would be doing the problem effectively trending. But the two should meet.

25

an increase in them and, if we were seeing an increase

47

proactive problem management?

1			
	A.	I could say probably, yes, but nothing is coming to	1
2		mind. Apologies.	2
3	Q.	Before moving on, can we please move to page 16. This	3
4		refers to major incident reports and when we discussed	4
5		this earlier you referred to a major incident being	5
6		a particular incident that had a significant effect on	6
7		the network or it was particularly severe.	7
8	A.	Yes.	8
9	Q.	In this, it says, starting with the second line:	9
10		"In the event of a Major Incident, you alongside	10
11		the rest of the team will be expected to drop whatever	11
12		you are doing to manage the issue in the most effective	12
13		way."	13
14		I don't need to read the second paragraph. The	14
15		next one is:	15
16		"When producing the Major Incident Report, you	16
17		will be assisted by the Duty Manager who was running	17
18		the incident, who will provide you with a detailed	18
19		timeline of events, including calls that were made and	19
20		resolution steps taken by the individual teams. With	20
21		this information, you will do the typing of the first	21
22		draft using the account template	22
23		"Once you have completed the report, you will	23
24		review with the relevant parties, eg Duty Manager	24
25		involved and Steve Bansal, before sending the report to	25
		49	
1		I would have open dialogue with my Post Office	
			1
2		, ,	1 2
		counterpart and I would be providing them with updates.	
2		counterpart and I would be providing them with updates.  That's before and after a major incident. So the	2
2 3		counterpart and I would be providing them with updates.	2
2 3 4	Q.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.	2 3 4
2 3 4 5	Q.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him. Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him. Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him. Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall service in general. That included things such as number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall service in general. That included things such as number and end impact of incidents occurring before root	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall service in general. That included things such as number and end impact of incidents occurring before root problem is identified and resolved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. <b>A</b> .	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall service in general. That included things such as number and end impact of incidents occurring before root problem is identified and resolved.  Do you know who was responsible for including this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall service in general. That included things such as number and end impact of incidents occurring before root problem is identified and resolved.  Do you know who was responsible for including this in this document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall service in general. That included things such as number and end impact of incidents occurring before root problem is identified and resolved.  Do you know who was responsible for including this in this document?  I think, at the time, it was my predecessor or my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall service in general. That included things such as number and end impact of incidents occurring before root problem is identified and resolved.  Do you know who was responsible for including this in this document?  I think, at the time, it was my predecessor or my manager at the time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall service in general. That included things such as number and end impact of incidents occurring before root problem is identified and resolved.  Do you know who was responsible for including this in this document?  I think, at the time, it was my predecessor or my manager at the time.  Please can we now turn, on this issue, to the Horizon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall service in general. That included things such as number and end impact of incidents occurring before root problem is identified and resolved.  Do you know who was responsible for including this in this document?  I think, at the time, it was my predecessor or my manager at the time.  Please can we now turn, on this issue, to the Horizon Issues judgment, which can be found at POL00022840 and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall service in general. That included things such as number and end impact of incidents occurring before root problem is identified and resolved.  Do you know who was responsible for including this in this document?  I think, at the time, it was my predecessor or my manager at the time.  Please can we now turn, on this issue, to the Horizon Issues judgment, which can be found at POL00022840 and page 97. In this section, Mr Justice Fraser is making	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall service in general. That included things such as number and end impact of incidents occurring before root problem is identified and resolved.  Do you know who was responsible for including this in this document?  I think, at the time, it was my predecessor or my manager at the time.  Please can we now turn, on this issue, to the Horizon Issues judgment, which can be found at POL00022840 and page 97. In this section, Mr Justice Fraser is making findings on Mr Godeseth's evidence. Presumably you know Mr Godeseth as a member of Fujitsu as well?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A</b> . Q.	counterpart and I would be providing them with updates. That's before and after a major incident. So the technical written would support everything I'd been saying to him.  Can we please go back to FUJ00085175 and can we please turn to page 9. This was a document we were looking at a moment ago, version 2.3 of the problem management process. 1.4 refers to metrics to be reported monthly, which will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall service in general. That included things such as number and end impact of incidents occurring before root problem is identified and resolved.  Do you know who was responsible for including this in this document?  I think, at the time, it was my predecessor or my manager at the time.  Please can we now turn, on this issue, to the Horizon Issues judgment, which can be found at POL00022840 and page 97. In this section, Mr Justice Fraser is making findings on Mr Godeseth's evidence. Presumably you know Mr Godeseth as a member of Fujitsu as well?  Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

Steve Bansal. From this point Steve will make the final edits and send to the customer. Your main job is to type up the report and make sure all detail is recorded, Steve will make the decision to remove any unnecessary detail."

So in respect of major incidents, you were the sort of final point of call or the final interface of information between Fujitsu and the Post Office?

- A. Correct.
- Q. What type -- when it says you would make the decision to remove any unnecessary detail, what types of thing would you remove? Would they be substantive or --
- A. They would be what I call the "he said/she said". So effectively some of the chit-chat. So, again, the purpose of having an IP recording what was going on, effectively as a transcript almost, they would document everything that was kind of said and when that then came to me to review, I would remove some of that because it wouldn't be pertinent to the actual final report.
- Q. Were you ever under any pressure to downplay an incident?
- A. No, no.
- Q. Please --
- A. Apologies. I was going to say that, while the report is being produced and while the major incident is ongoing, 50

version of the problem management document we've been discussing. So as you will see in the second line, it refers to being copyrighted in 2017.

At paragraph 324, he refers to paragraph 1.4 of that document. He says:

"The following metrics, to be reported monthly, will be used to measure effectiveness of the process and drive performance of the process and overall service in general ..."

Over the page, we see the list which we saw in the document previously.

If we could go down please to 325 -- thank you -it says that:

"... the Claimants ... sought to obtain reports that would [have been] expected to exist [as a result of this policy]."

It says that:

"... Fujitsu stated (through the Post Office's solicitors) that 'Fujitsu believes that it does not record problems in such a way that would allow this to be determined without retrospectively carrying out detailed analyses' and that it would require 'a disproportionate effort and cost' to provide these."

Mr Justice Fraser then quotes from Mr Godeseth's evidence and he says:

1		"I have spoken to my colleague Steve Bansal,	1		locations, not necessarily as a specific problem KPI
2		Fujitsu's senior service delivery manager, who has	2		dashboard, shall we say, and the majority of that
3		informed me that the Post Office account customer	3		information was being discussed with Post Office. So if
4		service problem management procedure document was	4		I took those points and reviewed them in the context of
5		introduced by Saheed Salawu, Fujitsu's former Horizon	5		that meeting that we were having weekly, those points
6		lead service delivery manager and that Saheed Salawu	6		were being picked up.
7		left the Fujitsu Post Office account in around	7		What I hadn't what I didn't do was put them
8		February 2013, before the new procedure had been	8		into a dashboard.
9		implemented. I understand from Steve that Saheed	9	Q.	Fujitsu through the Post Office solicitors is recorded
10		Salawu's replacement did not wish to implement the	10		to have said that to retrospectively carry out detailed
11		changes and therefore the records referred to by	11		analyses, it would require a disproportionate effort and
12		Mr Coyne in paragraphs 5.157 to 5.159 of his report do	12		cost to provide these. If we could go up the page,
13		not exist, as we continued to follow the previous	13		would it have been difficult to ascertain these issues
14		existing reporting methodology."	14		or ascertain this data retrospectively?
15		Do you recall having a conversation like with	15	^	I think some we of the data would have been available
16		Mr Godeseth?	16	A.	but I don't think it would have been easy to have then
	٨				•
17		I do.	17		subsequently collated all of it.
18		Is Mr Godeseth's evidence correct in that regard?	18		As I say, some of those points, I think, are
19	Α.		19		discussed but is it there for anyone to root out?
20	Q.	So the 1.4 documentation and procedures were never	20		I don't think so. Depending on how far back anyone
21	_	implemented?	21		would like anyone to go to retrieve that historical
22		They were not implemented.	22	_	data, it would take some effort.
23		Why was that?	23	Q.	Do you think it would have been helpful to have this
24	A.	I think when I then subsequently took over, in my view	24		information available in a dashboard form, as you
25		most of the data was being captured in alternate	25		suggested?
		53			54
1	Δ	With hindsight, yes	1		bottom please this is an email chain in September 2010
1		With hindsight, yes.	1		bottom, please, this is an email chain in September 2010 from Gareth, lenkins. Did you know Gareth, lenkins?
2		Please can we move to another document. It's	2	۸	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins?
2		Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account]	2	_	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did.
2 3 4		Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract	2 3 4	Q.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him?
2 3 4 5		Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:	2 3 4 5	Q. <b>A</b> .	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes.
2 3 4 5 6		Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34	2 3 4 5 6	Q. <b>A</b> .	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer.
2 3 4 5 6 7		Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account]	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. <b>A</b> .	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted? Possibly '13 onwards.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become known as the receipts and payments mismatch book. Are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted? Possibly '13 onwards. Do you know why it was implemented?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become known as the receipts and payments mismatch book. Are you aware of the nature of that book?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted? Possibly '13 onwards. Do you know why it was implemented? Because I wasn't I didn't have that information, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A. A.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become known as the receipts and payments mismatch book. Are you aware of the nature of that book? I am at a high level, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted? Possibly '13 onwards. Do you know why it was implemented?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. A.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become known as the receipts and payments mismatch book. Are you aware of the nature of that book?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted? Possibly '13 onwards. Do you know why it was implemented? Because I wasn't I didn't have that information, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. A.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become known as the receipts and payments mismatch book. Are you aware of the nature of that book? I am at a high level, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted? Possibly '13 onwards. Do you know why it was implemented? Because I wasn't I didn't have that information, so I requested it to commence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become known as the receipts and payments mismatch book. Are you aware of the nature of that book? I am at a high level, yes. Just for ease, could you give your high level
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted? Possibly '13 onwards. Do you know why it was implemented? Because I wasn't I didn't have that information, so I requested it to commence. What did you want that information for?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become known as the receipts and payments mismatch book. Are you aware of the nature of that book? I am at a high level, yes. Just for ease, could you give your high level description of the book and how it operated?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted? Possibly '13 onwards. Do you know why it was implemented? Because I wasn't I didn't have that information, so I requested it to commence. What did you want that information for? So I could do a review of the problems and then I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become known as the receipts and payments mismatch book. Are you aware of the nature of that book? I am at a high level, yes. Just for ease, could you give your high level description of the book and how it operated? So I think effectively I'm not sure how to put it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted? Possibly '13 onwards. Do you know why it was implemented? Because I wasn't I didn't have that information, so I requested it to commence. What did you want that information for? So I could do a review of the problems and then I would have effectively a year-on-year view of what was going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become known as the receipts and payments mismatch book. Are you aware of the nature of that book? I am at a high level, yes. Just for ease, could you give your high level description of the book and how it operated? So I think effectively I'm not sure how to put it into words but I'm going to say no then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted? Possibly '13 onwards. Do you know why it was implemented? Because I wasn't I didn't have that information, so I requested it to commence. What did you want that information for? So I could do a review of the problems and then I would have effectively a year-on-year view of what was going on so I could trend at a much bigger scale.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become known as the receipts and payments mismatch book. Are you aware of the nature of that book? I am at a high level, yes. Just for ease, could you give your high level description of the book and how it operated? So I think effectively I'm not sure how to put it into words but I'm going to say no then. It was a case, was it, where postmasters would try to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted? Possibly '13 onwards. Do you know why it was implemented? Because I wasn't I didn't have that information, so I requested it to commence. What did you want that information for? So I could do a review of the problems and then I would have effectively a year-on-year view of what was going on so I could trend at a much bigger scale. Was this an internal document or was it shared with Post	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become known as the receipts and payments mismatch book. Are you aware of the nature of that book? I am at a high level, yes. Just for ease, could you give your high level description of the book and how it operated? So I think effectively I'm not sure how to put it into words but I'm going to say no then. It was a case, was it, where postmasters would try to put to do a trial balance, so they wouldn't do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted? Possibly '13 onwards. Do you know why it was implemented? Because I wasn't I didn't have that information, so I requested it to commence. What did you want that information for? So I could do a review of the problems and then I would have effectively a year-on-year view of what was going on so I could trend at a much bigger scale. Was this an internal document or was it shared with Post Office?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become known as the receipts and payments mismatch book. Are you aware of the nature of that book? I am at a high level, yes. Just for ease, could you give your high level description of the book and how it operated? So I think effectively I'm not sure how to put it into words but I'm going to say no then. It was a case, was it, where postmasters would try to put to do a trial balance, so they wouldn't do a complete balance but would try to do a trial balance,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	Please can we move to another document. It's FUJ00085953. This is a 2015 "[Post Office Account] Problem Management Problem Review" and the abstract says:  "This report contains the trend analysis of the 34 problem records raised in the [Post Office Account] Problem Management TfS database during 2015."  The TfS database, is that the TRIOLE Yes, TRIOLE for Service. Can you recall when this type of annual review was first conducted? Possibly '13 onwards. Do you know why it was implemented? Because I wasn't I didn't have that information, so I requested it to commence. What did you want that information for? So I could do a review of the problems and then I would have effectively a year-on-year view of what was going on so I could trend at a much bigger scale. Was this an internal document or was it shared with Post Office? I think it's internal.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	from Gareth Jenkins. Did you know Gareth Jenkins? Yes, I did. Did you work with him? I worked with him on occasion, yes. His role at this stage was distinguishing engineer. What does that mean or how did you see his role in Fujitsu at this point? I saw him as a 4LS, so fourth line support. He was an architect and an SME. Here he's referring to a receipts and payments mismatch issue and he's attached a document. This has now become known as the receipts and payments mismatch book. Are you aware of the nature of that book? I am at a high level, yes. Just for ease, could you give your high level description of the book and how it operated? So I think effectively I'm not sure how to put it into words but I'm going to say no then. It was a case, was it, where postmasters would try to put to do a trial balance, so they wouldn't do a complete balance but would try to do a trial balance, and there would be a discrepancy that they were asked to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

23

24

25

9

10

11

13

14

15

19

20

A. That sounds about right. I was going to say it had 1 2 suspense account. 3 Q. Then if you cancelled at that stage and you were taken 4 back to another screen where you're given various 5 options, but when they cancelled, in the local cache, 6 the counter's own system, the discrepancy was zeroised. 7 Does this sound right? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. So far. The problem was if they rolled over again from 10 that point there would be -- the fact the discrepancy 11 had zeroised would be essentially recognised and there 12 would be a discrepancy between what the counter showed 13 and what was in the actual back end systems. At that 14 a high level, does that sound --15 A. At a high level I think that sounds ... 16 Q. So going back to one of the problems we said earlier, in 17 terms of problems, that's really quite a significant 18 problem. 19 A. That's a very significant problem. 20 Q. In this email, the third paragraph down, Mr Jenkins 21 says: 22 "We probably need to formally raise this as 23 a problem with POL. I'm not sure how this is done, but 24 presumably you can initiate that. We should then plan 25 to do the initial analysis and provide POL with a view 57 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

that we would need what I called a White Paper, just a paper that would go through in detail because -- as I failed to articulate the summary of the scenario back to you is because in -- I think it was quite complex and so what I asked Gareth to do was to actually write it up in a White Paper so effectively when we communicated with Post Office we were clear in what the issue was, what the scenarios were and where we'd got to with our investigation, and it was that paper that I subsequently shared with Post Office. I think thereafter there were multiple

conversations which Gareth and occasionally I was also party to.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Can we leave that there then thank you and move to one final -- sorry, penultimate point, and it concerns a briefing to the NFSP. Please can we bring up POL00002091. This is a Fujitsu NFSP briefing on 4 July. If we turn to page 2, you see in the right-hand column that you're there to talk about capacity management, transaction monitoring and event management as well as major incident history.

Do you recall why you were asked to give this talk to the NFSP?

A. I think it's possibly because there had been in that particular period or leading up to that period a number 59

as to the scope and then agree how to progress it."

Why do you think it is that a senior member of Fujitsu's front line staff was not aware how to formally raise a problem at this point?

- A. I can't comment on that but yes. No, I can't comment on
- Q. Are you aware of any steps that Fujitsu took to make its own staff aware of the problem management process?
- A. I think that it is done not necessarily by a broadcast or a communication but the wider account are aware that the service team have a problem management function because they are involved, shall we say. Third line, fourth line are all aware of the problem management function and, as I say, they do support it. So I'm not sure why Mr Jenkins didn't know how the process worked.
  - Q. If we go to the top of this email, we see that Mark Wright subsequently sends you this?
- A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Were you the problem manager?
  - I then pick this up, yes.
- 21 Q. In broad terms, do you remember how the problem was 22 handled?
  - A. So I think from that point on we raised the problem record. We got -- Gareth was more heavily involved to do his analysis. When we discussed it, my feeling was 58

1 of major incidents and I think it was effectively to 2 confirm that and acknowledge that we'd had them, what 3 had been done about them and, effectively, how many 4 actions came out of them and to give them a level of 5 6 7 those incidents, rather. But, again, a long time ago, 8 so I think.

- Q. Do you recall, when you were drafting the content of the briefing, was that reviewed by anyone or was it your own work?
- 12 A. I think it was my own work.
  - Q. Did the Post Office have any input into the briefing?
  - I don't believe they did directly. Α.
    - O. You say "directly".
- 16 A. I may have discussed it with whoever at the time to give them an overview of what we were doing and whether they 17 18 were comfortable with that.
  - Q. Do you recall from anyone having any pressure put on you as to what should go in the briefing?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Can I ask the screen to go to page 48, please. This is 23 part of the problem management section on which you gave 24 a presentation and you provide a problem report and you 25 give the example of counter transaction processing. The 60

assurance and also to allow them to pass on any feedback and comments around each of those scenarios -- each of

1		summary states:	1	Δ	Apologies. Then not. I don't know and I can't recall
2		"Last week we analysed the milliseconds each	2	,	what the scope was, whether I just went back six months,
3		transaction takes and found an issue in the recent	3		whether I went back whatever. But, no, it wasn't
4		version of the IBM Tivoli software that has affected	4		mentioned if it wasn't on that slide.
5		counter transaction performance.	5	0	Can you explain why you use this problem rather than
6		"Most of the counters have this version of the	6	Q.	a more significant problem like the receipts and
7		software. We are still well inside SLA but it is not as	7		
					payments mismatch book?
8 9		optimum as we would usually prefer."	8		No, I can't.
		So is it a fair summary that this problem that's	9	Q.	Do you think choosing this as a problem was a fair
10		been picked here is that transaction times were	10		reflection of the problems in the Horizon IT System?
11		intermittently taking longer than expected?	11	Α.	I think at the time possibly I was trying to get
12		Yes.	12		a balance because, again, as I say, we'd gone through
13		How much longer are we discussing in this case?	13		a period where there had been some major incidents and
14	Α.	Again, I'm going to suggest it's in the milliseconds	14		I was trying to give some closure and some level of
15		and, as is alluded there, we are within SLA but it's	15		confidence that we were kind of over that period and
16		something we picked up and we are informing both Post	16		this particular one would have been I don't recall
17	_	Office and the National Federation of SubPostmasters.	17		exactly but possibly would have been fairly current.
18	Q.	Can you recall why you chose this as the example	18		I can't say at this moment where either of the two other
19		problem?	19		investigations you're referring to where they were,
20	A.	Possibly because it's a proactive update.	20		whether I had something substantive to be able to
21	Q.	Did you discuss problems such as the receipts and	21		provide.
22		payments mismatch book?	22	Q.	This was in 2012, this presentation. The receipts and
23	A.	I don't think so unless it's in the list in the	23		payments mismatch book was in 2010?
24		sorry, in the presentation.	24	A.	Yes, so I don't think the presentation would have gone
25	Q.	•	25		back two years.
		61			62
4	$\sim$	That's what I want to dool with an problem management	4		that a branch in Familiana and O
1	Q.	That's what I want to deal with on problem management.	1		that a branch in Farnborough?
2	Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document	2	A.	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were
2	Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.	2	A.	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no,
2 3 4	Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.	2 3 4	A.	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that
2 3 4 5	Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".	2 3 4 5	A.	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the
2 3 4	Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took	2 3 4	A.	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being	2 3 4 5 6 7		Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375. Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology. It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification". Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375. Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology. It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification". Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph: "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375. Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology. It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification". Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph: "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway" Now, where it says IT services there, is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way. So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way. So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively third party and is Farnborough-based, rather than IT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375. Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology. It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification". Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph: "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway" Now, where it says IT services there, is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way. So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway"  Now, where it says IT services there, is that referring to Post Office?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. <b>A</b> .	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way. So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively third party and is Farnborough-based, rather than IT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway"  Now, where it says IT services there, is that referring to Post Office?  I believe it is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. <b>A</b> .	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively third party and is Farnborough-based, rather than IT Services which would have been back to Post Office.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway"  Now, where it says IT services there, is that referring to Post Office?  I believe it is.  It says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. <b>A</b> .	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively third party and is Farnborough-based, rather than IT Services which would have been back to Post Office. Can we turn to page 12 of this document and down to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway"  Now, where it says IT services there, is that referring to Post Office?  I believe it is.  It says:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. <b>A</b> .	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively third party and is Farnborough-based, rather than IT Services which would have been back to Post Office. Can we turn to page 12 of this document and down to section 7. It says "Responsibilities test sign off":
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway"  Now, where it says IT services there, is that referring to Post Office? I believe it is.  It says:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway, if any incidents are found to be software or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. <b>A</b> .	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively third party and is Farnborough-based, rather than IT Services which would have been back to Post Office. Can we turn to page 12 of this document and down to section 7. It says "Responsibilities test sign off":  "This will be via a handover meeting at which the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway"  Now, where it says IT services there, is that referring to Post Office?  I believe it is.  It says:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway, if any incidents are found to be software or hardware faults these will be entered into PinICL.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. <b>A</b> .	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively third party and is Farnborough-based, rather than IT Services which would have been back to Post Office. Can we turn to page 12 of this document and down to section 7. It says "Responsibilities test sign off":  "This will be via a handover meeting at which the interfacing systems will give their approvals."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>A.</b> Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway"  Now, where it says IT services there, is that referring to Post Office?  I believe it is.  It says:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway, if any incidents are found to be software or hardware faults these will be entered into PinICL.  A copy of the PinICL report will be faxed to HAPS."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. <b>A</b> .	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively third party and is Farnborough-based, rather than IT Services which would have been back to Post Office.  Can we turn to page 12 of this document and down to section 7. It says "Responsibilities test sign off":  "This will be via a handover meeting at which the interfacing systems will give their approvals."  We've got POCL, PDA, Pathway. Could you just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<b>A.</b> Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway"  Now, where it says IT services there, is that referring to Post Office?  I believe it is.  It says:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway, if any incidents are found to be software or hardware faults these will be entered into PinICL.  A copy of the PinICL report will be faxed to HAPS."  Is HAPS Post Office?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. <b>A</b> .	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively third party and is Farnborough-based, rather than IT Services which would have been back to Post Office. Can we turn to page 12 of this document and down to section 7. It says "Responsibilities test sign off":  "This will be via a handover meeting at which the interfacing systems will give their approvals."  We've got POCL, PDA, Pathway. Could you just explain what that was it the case that all three had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<b>A.</b> Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway"  Now, where it says IT services there, is that referring to Post Office?  I believe it is.  It says:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway, if any incidents are found to be software or hardware faults these will be entered into PinICL.  A copy of the PinICL report will be faxed to HAPS."  Is HAPS Post Office?  I think HAPS is external in Farnborough.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively third party and is Farnborough-based, rather than IT Services which would have been back to Post Office.  Can we turn to page 12 of this document and down to section 7. It says "Responsibilities test sign off":  "This will be via a handover meeting at which the interfacing systems will give their approvals."  We've got POCL, PDA, Pathway. Could you just explain what that was it the case that all three had to approve the test scripts?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<b>A.</b> Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway"  Now, where it says IT services there, is that referring to Post Office?  I believe it is.  It says:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway, if any incidents are found to be software or hardware faults these will be entered into PinICL.  A copy of the PinICL report will be faxed to HAPS."  Is HAPS Post Office?  I think HAPS is external in Farnborough.  If we look at the it may help to look at page 3, just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively third party and is Farnborough-based, rather than IT Services which would have been back to Post Office. Can we turn to page 12 of this document and down to section 7. It says "Responsibilities test sign off":  "This will be via a handover meeting at which the interfacing systems will give their approvals."  We've got POCL, PDA, Pathway. Could you just explain what that was it the case that all three had to approve the test scripts?  Effectively, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A.</b> Q.	Just before I finish, I wanted to go back to a document I took you to right at the start. It's FUJ00058375.  Forgive me for the jumping around in the chronology.  It's the "Direct Interface Testing Specification".  Please could I ask that we turn to page 11. So I took you earlier to what it said about PinICLs, PinICLs being recorded. This says "IT SERVICES", at the top. This is the next paragraph:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway"  Now, where it says IT services there, is that referring to Post Office?  I believe it is.  It says:  "IT SERVICES will fax details of incidents raised to Pathway, if any incidents are found to be software or hardware faults these will be entered into PinICL.  A copy of the PinICL report will be faxed to HAPS."  Is HAPS Post Office?  I think HAPS is external in Farnborough.  If we look at the it may help to look at page 3, just for your assistance and the abbreviations.  Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Memory may be vague but I think HAPS themselves were based in Farnborough. So I think the initial no, I could just be completely wrong here. It could be that IT Services provide us the fax, we then raise the PinICL, and then send it back to them but then I don't know why it's worded that way.  So is the wording being raised a PinICL sorry, they inform Fujitsu of the problem, a PinICL's raised and the PinICL's faxed back to Post Office?  Yes, why it says "HAPS" and it doesn't say "IT Services" I'm unsure because HAPS, to my mind, is effectively third party and is Farnborough-based, rather than IT Services which would have been back to Post Office.  Can we turn to page 12 of this document and down to section 7. It says "Responsibilities test sign off":  "This will be via a handover meeting at which the interfacing systems will give their approvals."  We've got POCL, PDA, Pathway. Could you just explain what that was it the case that all three had to approve the test scripts?  Effectively, yes.  Thank you. I have no further questions but there are

1	MF	STEVENS: Sir, there are questions from Core	1	Q.	Now, in 2010 there's a document that I'd like to put
2	••••	Participants, I believe.	2	Δ.	up which is FUJ00084531. Now, can we just have a look
3	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. At the moment, I've just got	3		at this. Page 1 we can see and thank you. Could you
4		that last document on the screen so I can't see you	4		scroll so that we can see the yes, thank you, just
5		sadly.	5		there.
6	MF	STEVENS: I'm sorry.	6		Can you just make the screen a little bit smaller,
7		R WYN WILLIAMS: Who is going first then?	7		please? That's fine. Maybe a little bit bigger to your
8		STEIN: None from us, sir.	8		previous position forgive me. I just wanted to be
9		Questions by MR HENRY	9		sure that nothing had been cut out yes. Thank you.
10	MF	HENRY: Thank you, Mr Bansal. Could I just ask you to	10		So we have at that point a number of PEAKs. There
11		reflect on your role as a problem manager and then	11		are 25 on hold, correct?
12		a service SDM. When were you a problem manager? 2010?	12	Α.	Yes.
13	A.	Yes.	13	Q.	Three are impacting. Does that mean that they have some
14		Right. What I'm going to be suggesting to you is that	14	-	adverse effect on the system because they are impacting?
15		the White Paper was, in fact, to do with the receipts	15		Is that the description given?
16		and mismatch bug. You can't recall that specifically	16	Α.	I'm not sure if they're out for impacting; so to be
17		but if a document were to arise that in fact establishes	17		assessed.
18		that fact, you would not dispute it, would you?	18	Q.	The fact is that "impacting" often has a deleterious
19	A.	I wouldn't dispute it.	19		connotation, doesn't it, at times?
20		No, and so therefore that can be dealt with another	20	Α.	I believe they were out for assessment.
21		witness. But the fact is there were a number of	21		You've got investigation, which is again, it's not
22		problems, both when you were a PM and an SDM, to do with	22		resolved. So "hold" is not resolved, "investigation" is
23		Horizon Online. You must have been extremely busy. Do	23		not resolved. What does "monitoring" obviously
24		you agree?	24		you're just waiting to see whether it's going to get
25	Α.	It was the role, it was the job.	25		worse; is that right?
		65			66
1	Α.	They are monitoring to see what is happening, yes.	1	Q.	Sometimes prayers means "heaven help us". I mean, you
2	Q.		2	α.	can't think why there was that sort of was it an
3	Ψ.	please?	3		acronym? Did it stand for anything or was it "Oh my
4	Δ	"Release to live" means that they are being packaged and	4		God", you know, "look what we've got to deal with"?
5		distributed.	5	Α.	I couldn't say.
6	O		Ŭ		-
7		And then "to be closed tomorrow" that means that they	6	Ω	YOU COUIDD I SAV YOU DEVEL ASKED?
•		And then "to be closed tomorrow", that means that they are supposed to be sorted?	6 7		You couldn't say. You never asked?  Never asked
8		are supposed to be sorted?	7	A.	Never asked.
8 9	A.	are supposed to be sorted? Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on	7	A.	Never asked.  Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you.
9	A.	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring	7 8 9	<b>A.</b> Q.	Never asked.  Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you.  Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood?
9 10	<b>A.</b> Q.	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring  Right.	7 8 9 10	<b>A.</b> Q.	Never asked.  Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you.  Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood?  Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I
9 10 11	<b>A.</b> Q.	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring  Right.  a period has been agreed and that potentially is	7 8 9 10 11	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	Never asked.  Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you.  Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood?  Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role.
9 10 11 12	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring  Right.  a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.	7 8 9 10 11	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	Never asked.  Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you. Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood?  Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role.  Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further,
9 10 11 12 13	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring  Right.  a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.  And then "waiting fix" means that there's 14 that are	7 8 9 10 11 12	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	Never asked.  Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you.  Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood?  Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role.  Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further, please. You see we've got Mr Godeseth there as well.
9 10 11 12 13 14	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring  Right.  a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.  And then "waiting fix" means that there's 14 that are awaiting resolution?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Never asked. Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you. Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood? Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role. Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further, please. You see we've got Mr Godeseth there as well. Do you know notice his name?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring  Right.  a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.  And then "waiting fix" means that there's 14 that are awaiting resolution?  Correct.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<b>A</b> . Q. <b>A</b> . A.	Never asked. Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you. Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood? Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role. Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further, please. You see we've got Mr Godeseth there as well. Do you know notice his name? It's not jumping out at me but, yes, it will be there.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring Right.  a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.  And then "waiting fix" means that there's 14 that are awaiting resolution?  Correct.  So really we've got roughly, haven't we, 60 issues that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<b>A</b> . Q. <b>A</b> . A.	Never asked. Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you. Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood? Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role. Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further, please. You see we've got Mr Godeseth there as well. Do you know notice his name? It's not jumping out at me but, yes, it will be there. Don't worry. Torstein Godeseth. Carry on, please. If
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring  Right.  a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.  And then "waiting fix" means that there's 14 that are awaiting resolution?  Correct.  So really we've got roughly, haven't we, 60 issues that you're not on top of because you've got 25 on hold, 19	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<b>A</b> . Q. <b>A</b> . A.	Never asked. Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you. Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood? Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role. Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further, please. You see we've got Mr Godeseth there as well. Do you know notice his name? It's not jumping out at me but, yes, it will be there. Don't worry. Torstein Godeseth. Carry on, please. If you scroll up. And a little bit further up, please, so
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring Right.  a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.  And then "waiting fix" means that there's 14 that are awaiting resolution?  Correct.  So really we've got roughly, haven't we, 60 issues that you're not on top of because you've got 25 on hold, 19 which are being investigated, and 14 which are awaiting	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<b>A</b> . Q. <b>A</b> . A.	Never asked. Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you. Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood? Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role. Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further, please. You see we've got Mr Godeseth there as well. Do you know notice his name? It's not jumping out at me but, yes, it will be there. Don't worry. Torstein Godeseth. Carry on, please. If you scroll up. And a little bit further up, please, so I can get to the text of the message, if you please.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li></ul>	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring  Right.  a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.  And then "waiting fix" means that there's 14 that are awaiting resolution?  Correct.  So really we've got roughly, haven't we, 60 issues that you're not on top of because you've got 25 on hold, 19 which are being investigated, and 14 which are awaiting a fix roughly 60?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>A</b> . Q. <b>A</b> . A.	Never asked. Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you. Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood? Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role. Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further, please. You see we've got Mr Godeseth there as well. Do you know notice his name? It's not jumping out at me but, yes, it will be there. Don't worry. Torstein Godeseth. Carry on, please. If you scroll up. And a little bit further up, please, so I can get to the text of the message, if you please. Isn't a suggestion that here you are being asked if
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li></ul>	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring Right.  a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.  And then "waiting fix" means that there's 14 that are awaiting resolution?  Correct.  So really we've got roughly, haven't we, 60 issues that you're not on top of because you've got 25 on hold, 19 which are being investigated, and 14 which are awaiting a fix roughly 60?  That's what I think it says, yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<b>A</b> . Q. <b>A</b> . A.	Never asked. Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you. Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood? Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role. Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further, please. You see we've got Mr Godeseth there as well. Do you know notice his name? It's not jumping out at me but, yes, it will be there. Don't worry. Torstein Godeseth. Carry on, please. If you scroll up. And a little bit further up, please, so I can get to the text of the message, if you please. Isn't a suggestion that here you are being asked if there's anything you can do to speed things up? I think
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li></ul>	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring  Right.  a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.  And then "waiting fix" means that there's 14 that are awaiting resolution?  Correct.  So really we've got roughly, haven't we, 60 issues that you're not on top of because you've got 25 on hold, 19 which are being investigated, and 14 which are awaiting a fix roughly 60?  That's what I think it says, yes.  Thank you. Could we just scroll up, please.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Never asked. Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you. Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood? Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role. Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further, please. You see we've got Mr Godeseth there as well. Do you know notice his name? It's not jumping out at me but, yes, it will be there. Don't worry. Torstein Godeseth. Carry on, please. If you scroll up. And a little bit further up, please, so I can get to the text of the message, if you please. Isn't a suggestion that here you are being asked if there's anything you can do to speed things up? I think there's some further text and delay. Carry on, please.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li></ul>	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring  Right.  a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.  And then "waiting fix" means that there's 14 that are awaiting resolution?  Correct.  So really we've got roughly, haven't we, 60 issues that you're not on top of because you've got 25 on hold, 19 which are being investigated, and 14 which are awaiting a fix roughly 60?  That's what I think it says, yes.  Thank you. Could we just scroll up, please.  Why is it called "prayers" as a matter of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Never asked. Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you. Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood? Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role. Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further, please. You see we've got Mr Godeseth there as well. Do you know notice his name? It's not jumping out at me but, yes, it will be there. Don't worry. Torstein Godeseth. Carry on, please. If you scroll up. And a little bit further up, please, so I can get to the text of the message, if you please. Isn't a suggestion that here you are being asked if there's anything you can do to speed things up? I think there's some further text and delay. Carry on, please. Yes, I think you can see it's a communication to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li></ul>	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring Right a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.  And then "waiting fix" means that there's 14 that are awaiting resolution?  Correct.  So really we've got roughly, haven't we, 60 issues that you're not on top of because you've got 25 on hold, 19 which are being investigated, and 14 which are awaiting a fix roughly 60?  That's what I think it says, yes.  Thank you. Could we just scroll up, please.  Why is it called "prayers" as a matter of interest?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Never asked. Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you. Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood? Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role. Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further, please. You see we've got Mr Godeseth there as well. Do you know notice his name? It's not jumping out at me but, yes, it will be there. Don't worry. Torstein Godeseth. Carry on, please. If you scroll up. And a little bit further up, please, so I can get to the text of the message, if you please. Isn't a suggestion that here you are being asked if there's anything you can do to speed things up? I think there's some further text and delay. Carry on, please. Yes, I think you can see it's a communication to Sarah P, ENT:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li></ul>	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring Right.  a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.  And then "waiting fix" means that there's 14 that are awaiting resolution?  Correct.  So really we've got roughly, haven't we, 60 issues that you're not on top of because you've got 25 on hold, 19 which are being investigated, and 14 which are awaiting a fix roughly 60?  That's what I think it says, yes.  Thank you. Could we just scroll up, please.  Why is it called "prayers" as a matter of interest?  I think it was just a given name. I've no idea. This	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Never asked. Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you. Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood? Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role. Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further, please. You see we've got Mr Godeseth there as well. Do you know notice his name? It's not jumping out at me but, yes, it will be there. Don't worry. Torstein Godeseth. Carry on, please. If you scroll up. And a little bit further up, please, so I can get to the text of the message, if you please. Isn't a suggestion that here you are being asked if there's anything you can do to speed things up? I think there's some further text and delay. Carry on, please. Yes, I think you can see it's a communication to Sarah P, ENT: "Sheila, please could you take a look at the ones
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li></ul>	are supposed to be sorted?  Effectively they could be the ones that are currently on monitoring Right a period has been agreed and that potentially is tomorrow.  And then "waiting fix" means that there's 14 that are awaiting resolution?  Correct.  So really we've got roughly, haven't we, 60 issues that you're not on top of because you've got 25 on hold, 19 which are being investigated, and 14 which are awaiting a fix roughly 60?  That's what I think it says, yes.  Thank you. Could we just scroll up, please.  Why is it called "prayers" as a matter of interest?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Never asked. Right, okay. Scroll up, please. Thank you. Pat Lywood: who was Pat Lywood? Pat Lywood was a member of the Post Office account. I can't remember her exact role. Don't worry. Let's just scroll up a little bit further, please. You see we've got Mr Godeseth there as well. Do you know notice his name? It's not jumping out at me but, yes, it will be there. Don't worry. Torstein Godeseth. Carry on, please. If you scroll up. And a little bit further up, please, so I can get to the text of the message, if you please. Isn't a suggestion that here you are being asked if there's anything you can do to speed things up? I think there's some further text and delay. Carry on, please. Yes, I think you can see it's a communication to Sarah P, ENT:

1 ones." 1 Were you aware of that? 2 So this was basically a constant battle, wasn't 2 A. I wasn't necessarily aware of that. 3 it? 3 Q. You weren't told that, fine. 4 A. It was an update communication to everyone to see if 4 Could we move on, please, to POL00029460. This is 5 5 they can address any PEAKs to speed things up, yes. a major incident report and you're the owner. And could 6 Q. I mean, a constant battle with instability and errors, 6 I ask you, please, to help me. Was that data --7 7 wasn't it? familiarise yourself, please, with the document. Has it 8 8 A. It was a call to address PEAKs, yes. been shown to you in advance? 9 Q. 60 of which were, you know, as you've already said, 9 A. Possibly. 10 remain to be resolved. 10 Q. Do make yourself familiar with it. Tell me you're -- it 11 Could we now move on please to POL00029493, 11 would help if you could let the officer know who is very 12 please. 12 kindly assisting in scrolling up. So you just let her 13 I'm so sorry, I thought that that was notified. 13 know when you need to read more of the document. 14 So it's 00029493. That's interesting because I actually 14 (Pause) 15 have it on my system and it's one of the ones that I was 15 A. Could you scroll up. 16 allowed to ask. Don't worry, we don't need to put it 16 Q. I would like you to concentrate, please, on the first 17 17 three paragraphs within the box "analysis of problem". 18 I just want to ask you, please, just very -- were 18 Obviously, you must read everything but I want you to -of particular interest to "Post Office Limited" down to 19 you aware that this was a retro-engineered system? The 19 20 way in which it had been eventually allegedly made 20 "1 February". 21 acceptable was to reverse engineer it. Rather than 21 A. Are we also able to go down to the root cause? 22 22 prospectively design a logical system, it was Q. Yes, of course. Please do. I'm not trying 23 essentially dealing with a number of problems and trying 23 to ... (Pause) 24 24 to reverse engineer it but at the same time, when that Okay. Then if we could go back to that section. 25 was happening, further problems were being introduced. 25 Q. Of course, Mr Bansal. 70 69 1 Right, now my question is that this is described 1 with SU balancing that will result in a receipts 2 as an update but if you see the second paragraph in 2 payments mismatch. So given the fact that is authored 3 3 analysis of the problem: by Gareth Jenkins, we can fix his knowledge as to that 4 "This was a second iteration of the data as 4 problem in September and isn't that the White Paper that 5 a problem had been identified with the initial dataset 5 you were --6 that had been supplied during validation of the token 6 A. I believe this is the White Paper I was referring to. 7 data. The CTO update was in effect a primary package 7 MR HENRY: Well, I'm very grateful, sir. Thank you very 8 with an incremental update." 8 much for your time and your patience. 9 Was the data update in fact actually a fix because 9 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Are there any other questions? 10 of the problem identified within the initial dataset? 10 MR STEVENS: No, sir. That's everything, thank you. 11 A. I couldn't tell you. 11 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, I'm very grateful to you, 12 Q. You couldn't say. 12 Mr Bansal, for coming to give evidence to the Inquiry 13 13 and also being very flexible about the time when you A. I couldn't say at this moment in time. 14 Q. Fair enough. Don't worry. I now have permission, sir, 14 started giving evidence and the progress of your 15 to refer to POL00028830. We can see the date of this. 15 evidence and that's helped us to move along efficiently. 16 This is 28 September 2010 and it relates to PEAKs 16 So thank you very much again. 17 PC024765 and PC0204263 and then 64 and 63 is again 17 A. Thank you, sir. 18 mentioned. 18 MR STEVENS: Thank you, sir. That concludes today but we 19 Can I just ask you, please, to look at this 19 have Steve Muchow tomorrow. 20 document which I have permission to put to you. So, 20 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: So that will be at 10.00? 21 again, if you could inform the officer who is presenting 21 MR STEVENS: Yes. sir. 22 it. (Pause) 22 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: It is just Mr Muchow tomorrow? 23 A. Okay, if we could go up. 23 MR STEVENS: Yes, it is. 24 Q. You can see there, can't you, receipts and payments 24 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you. See everyone tomorrow. 25 mismatch. If you go back, PC0204263 describes a problem 25 Goodbye.

1	(1.42 pm)	
2	(Adjourned until 10.00 am the following day)	
3	INDEX	
4	STEVE BANSAL (affirmed)	1
5	Questions by MR STEVENS	1
6	Questions by MR HENRY	65
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	73	

		<b>14 branches [1]</b> 42/8	<b>50 [1]</b> 28/1	13/21 16/4 20/7 23/12	also [14] 4/9 12/17
	MR HENRY: [2]	<b>16 [3]</b> 9/13 37/3 49/3	_	23/16 25/8 28/17	21/6 26/14 27/23
	65/10 72/7	<b>18 months [1]</b> 20/1	6	46/15 59/5 69/14 71/9	
	MR STEIN: [1] 65/8	<b>19 [2]</b> 4/5 67/17	6 March 2013 [2]	add [1] 29/6	41/12 42/11 59/12
	MR STEVENS: [15]	<b>1998 [2]</b> 7/3 7/5	33/21 33/24	address [2] 69/5 69/8	
	1/3 1/5 1/9 34/23 35/5	2	<b>60 [3]</b> 67/16 67/19 69/9	addressed [1] 39/2	alternate [1] 53/25
	35/17 35/21 35/25	<b>2,000 [1]</b> 14/11	<b>63 [1]</b> 71/17	Adjourned [1] 73/2	always [1] 10/22
	36/2 65/1 65/6 72/10	<b>2.3 [2]</b> 43/4 51/8	<b>64 [1]</b> 71/17	advance [1] 70/8 adverse [2] 29/16	<b>am [4]</b> 1/2 11/3 56/15 73/2
	72/18 72/21 72/23	<b>2.3.1 [1]</b> 37/5		66/14	amount [1] 17/16
	SIR WYN WILLIAMS:	<b>2.3.2 [2]</b> 38/8 39/7	7	advice [1] 32/16	amounts [1] 31/20
	<b>[15]</b> 1/4 1/6 35/1	<b>2.3.8 [1]</b> 39/4	<b>70 per cent [1]</b> 27/10	affect [2] 29/24 30/19	
	35/7 35/14 35/16	<b>2.3.9 [1]</b> 39/5	9	affected [7] 18/20	analysed [1] 61/2
	35/18 36/1 65/3 65/7 72/9 72/11 72/20	<b>20 [2]</b> 42/1 42/4		27/8 27/12 27/13	<b>analyses [1]</b> 54/11
	72/22 72/24	<b>2000 [1]</b> 3/21	9 August 2022 [1]	27/14 27/19 61/4	analyses' [1] 52/22
	12/22 12/24	2002 [1] 11/14	1/20		analysing [1] 48/17
	•	<b>2007 [1]</b> 11/16	<b>9,799.88 [1]</b> 31/22 <b>9/2 [1]</b> 14/13		<b>analysis [9]</b> 14/15
	<b>'11 [1]</b> 31/8	<b>2008 [1]</b> 15/21	<b>97 [1]</b> 51/21	afraid [9] 7/15 10/15	16/25 47/21 48/7 55/6
	<b>'13 [1]</b> 55/13	<b>2010 [16]</b> 14/1 15/6 15/25 19/9 19/19		11/12 13/15 22/13	57/25 58/25 70/17 71/3
	<b>'97 [3]</b> 2/10 3/14 3/15	19/21 19/22 31/8	Α	30/2 30/5 33/9 46/6 after [5] 3/25 23/3	Andrew [1] 13/6
	<b>'99 [1]</b> 3/20	31/12 36/15 37/20	abbreviations [1]	23/18 51/3 67/25	Anne [2] 34/14 34/20
	'a [1] 52/23	56/1 62/23 65/12 66/1	63/23	<b>afterwards [1]</b> 20/1	annual [1] 55/11
	'a disproportionate	71/16	able [5] 14/23 25/1	again [29] 10/20 11/4	
	[1] 52/23	<b>20100526 [1]</b> 13/25	48/5 62/20 70/21	11/23 13/4 13/11 15/9	
	<b>'Fujitsu [1]</b> 52/19	<b>2012 [2]</b> 31/15 62/22	about [17] 1/15 8/3	17/25 24/4 25/24 26/7	
		<b>2013 [4]</b> 31/16 33/21	9/22 18/4 18/5 28/19 34/1 35/5 40/25 45/19	26/23 27/19 31/23	57/4 65/20
	[3] 7/24 52/18	33/24 53/8	46/22 46/23 57/1	35/6 36/24 37/2 37/3	any [ <b>37</b> ] 3/25 5/9
	56/18	<b>2015 [2]</b> 55/3 55/8	59/19 60/3 63/7 72/13	38/21 40/2 41/8 50/14	
- 1	and [1] 7/24	<b>2017 [1]</b> 52/3	absolute [1] 37/23	57/9 60/7 61/14 62/12	
	Fujitsu [1] 52/18	<b>2018 [1]</b> 44/17 <b>2022 [1]</b> 1/20	<b>Absolutely [1]</b> 26/16	66/21 71/17 71/21 72/16	11/8 14/17 14/22 17/9 24/10 24/25 26/4 27/5
	0	<b>2023 [1]</b> 1/1	abstract [1] 55/4	agent [2] 32/21 45/15	
	<b>00029493 [1]</b> 69/14	<b>21 [1]</b> 25/20	acceptable [1] 69/21	ago [4] 6/25 7/1 51/8	37/24 46/4 47/5 48/2
	4	<b>22</b> [1] 28/19	access [3] 43/24	60/7	48/2 48/5 50/4 50/11
	<u>1</u>	22 April 2008 [1]	44/2 44/5 accomplish [1] 8/10	agree [2] 58/1 65/24	50/20 58/7 60/5 60/13
	<b>1 February [1]</b> 70/20	15/21	account [28] 5/6 5/7	agreed [3] 3/2 11/4	60/19 63/17 69/5 72/9
	1 penny [1] 31/21	<b>23 [2]</b> 23/22 32/19	5/10 5/16 15/17 22/19	67/11	anyone [6] 37/11
	<b>1.00 [1]</b> 35/12	<b>241013 [1]</b> 40/12 <b>25 [2]</b> 66/11 67/17	22/24 22/25 26/14	Agreement [2] 22/1	54/19 54/20 54/21
	<b>1.1.1 [2]</b> 21/8 21/22	26 May 2010 [1] 14/1	26/19 27/17 27/24	22/4 Ab (41 / 42/0	60/10 60/19
	<b>1.2.3 [1]</b> 23/18 <b>1.30 [1]</b> 35/11	28 September 2010	28/25 31/5 31/7 31/9	Ah [1] 43/9 ahead [1] 48/5	anything [5] 27/5 38/17 48/23 68/3
	<b>1.4 [3]</b> 51/9 52/4	[ <b>1</b> ] 71/16	42/8 43/18 44/18	albeit [1] 12/21	68/20
	53/20	<b>28th Feb [1]</b> 34/1	49/22 53/3 53/7 55/3	alerting [5] 21/1	<b>AP [1]</b> 7/19
	<b>1.42 pm [1]</b> 73/1	<del></del>	55/7 56/25 57/2 58/10	21/10 21/12 21/13	apologies [6] 7/1
	<b>1.5.1 [1]</b> 43/17	3	68/10	22/11	19/23 48/20 49/2
	<b>10 [4]</b> 9/2 20/22 31/8	3 February 1998 [1]	accounts [1] 28/2	alerts [1] 21/16	50/24 62/1
	31/11	7/3	achieve [1] 39/24 acknowledge [1]	<b>all [18]</b> 4/2 5/14 9/4	apparent [1] 37/18
	<b>10.00 [2]</b> 72/20 73/2	<b>322 [1]</b> 51/25	60/2	10/14 13/17 14/15	appear [1] 16/9
	<b>100 [1]</b> 28/1	<b>324 [1]</b> 52/4 <b>325 [1]</b> 52/12	acronym [1] 68/3	28/9 34/16 35/1 35/16	
- 1	11 [1] 63/6	<b>34 [1]</b> 55/6	acronyms [1] 6/20	38/1 38/3 41/22 41/23	
	<b>11 January 2023 [1]</b> 1/1		across [5] 11/10	50/3 54/17 58/13 64/20	32/9 32/14 32/17 32/18
	<b>11.30 [1]</b> 1/2	4	23/18 42/10 45/7	allegedly [1] 69/20	application [1] 5/12
	<b>12 [5]</b> 5/6 23/4 46/21	<b>4 July [1]</b> 59/17	48/20	allocated [2] 20/15	appoint [1] 19/6
	47/8 64/15	<b>4.05</b> [1] 33/24	action [5] 36/10	25/5	appointed [2] 18/21
	<b>12.26 pm [1]</b> 35/22	<b>4.1.1 [1]</b> 20/23	37/10 38/16 39/5 44/5	<b>allow [2]</b> 52/20 60/5	19/14
	<b>12.38 [1]</b> 35/24	<b>4.1.2.1</b> [1] 23/6	actions [2] 47/3 60/4 active [2] 38/1 38/4	allowed [1] 69/16	approach [1] 38/3
	<b>124</b> [1] 14/9	<b>48 [1]</b> 60/22 <b>4LS [1]</b> 56/9	activity [4] 25/1 25/6	alluded [1] 61/15	appropriately [1]
- 1	<b>13 [1]</b> 36/6	<del></del>	25/9 48/10	almost [3] 26/8 36/23	
	<b>14 [8]</b> 31/1 31/19	5	actual [5] 5/15 22/20	50/16	approval [6] 8/14
	32/1 32/6 34/3 36/7 67/13 67/18	<b>5.157 [1]</b> 53/12	30/24 50/19 57/13	along [2] 28/13 72/15 alongside [1] 49/10	8/17 13/4 13/5 13/9 13/18
	37713 07710	<b>5.159 [1]</b> 53/12	actually [12] 10/8	already [2] 25/4 69/9	approvals [3] 8/15
- 1		j		į l	

<u>A</u>	<b>as</b> 3
<b>approvals [2]</b> 13/12 64/18	as
approve [2] 8/19	3
64/21 approved [4] 8/12	as
13/17 43/6 43/7	as
approximate [1] 34/16	<b>as</b>
April [3] 7/5 7/9	as
15/21	3 <b>as</b>
<b>April 1998 [1]</b> 7/5 <b>APS [1]</b> 8/7	as
architect [1] 56/10 are [61] 2/3 2/5 6/22	6 <b>at</b>
8/3 8/4 8/15 10/25	at A
12/6 14/2 14/12 14/23 17/5 23/9 24/4 25/17	4
25/24 26/11 26/25	4
28/14 28/17 30/13 31/19 31/20 33/6 34/4	at at
35/3 36/19 38/5 38/20	4
42/11 44/9 45/24 47/8 48/12 49/12 54/18	at 4
56/13 58/7 58/10	at 4
58/12 58/13 61/7 61/13 61/15 61/16	at
63/17 64/23 65/1	<b>A</b>
66/11 66/13 66/14 67/1 67/4 67/7 67/8	aı
67/13 67/18 67/18	aı aı
68/19 70/21 72/9 area [2] 12/23 12/23	8
areas [3] 10/11 13/2	aı A
48/1 <b>arise [1]</b> 65/17	8
arose [2] 9/8 18/23	<b>a</b> ı
around [6] 12/11 15/6 37/20 53/7 60/6	a١
63/4	5 <b>a</b> \
arriving [1] 29/1 article [2] 39/23 40/1	6
articles [1] 20/11 articulate [1] 59/3	<b>a</b> \
as [102]	5 5
<b>ascertain [2]</b> 54/13 54/14	7
ascribe [1] 32/11	a۱
<b>ask [19]</b> 1/10 1/11 1/25 2/7 5/20 7/2 8/17	В
12/4 13/3 13/9 28/19	<b>b</b> a
35/7 60/22 63/6 65/10 69/16 69/18 70/6	1
71/19	2 5
<b>asked [6]</b> 56/23 59/5 59/22 68/6 68/7 68/19	5
asks [1] 23/7	6
<b>aspects [1]</b> 34/19 <b>Assess [1]</b> 37/5	7
assessed [1] 66/17	<b>b</b> a
assessment [5] 27/14 37/7 37/12 40/5	ba
66/20	3 5

ssigned [2] 26/1 2/24 ssist [3] 15/2 23/9 3/7 ssistance [1] 63/23 ssisted [1] 49/17 ssisting [1] 70/12 ssociated [2] 48/1 8/2 **ssume [2]** 21/25 6/11 ssumption [1] 10/8 ssurance [2] 12/10 30/5 t [129] t me [1] 68/15 TOS [8] 45/11 45/12 battle [2] 69/2 69/6 5/13 45/17 45/18 5/18 45/19 45/23 ttached [1] 56/12 ttend [4] 5/2 14/6 1/15 41/17 ttended [2] 14/7 7/11 ttendees [3] 4/10 18 5/4 ttention [1] 25/8 ugust [2] 1/20 0/21 uthor [2] 6/23 12/6 uthored [1] 72/2 uthorities [5] 8/14 3/17 13/4 13/5 13/18 uthority [1] 13/10 utomated [4] 7/20 3/1 8/5 63/25 utomatically [1] 2/24 vailable [3] 17/8 4/15 54/24 waiting [2] 67/14 37/18 ware [13] 10/25 :1/12 22/16 30/13 6/14 58/3 58/7 58/8 8/10 58/13 69/19 0/1 70/2 wful [2] 5/14 33/13 ack [28] 4/10 8/6 )/7 9/12 9/17 10/21 6/22 22/21 22/23 :6/8 32/18 46/15 51/6 4/20 57/4 57/13 7/16 59/3 62/2 62/3 2/25 63/2 64/6 64/10 4/14 64/24 70/24 1/25 ackground [2] 2/13 4/18 alance [6] 5/12 1/11 56/21 56/22 6/22 62/12 44/13 46/10 47/7

**balancing** [2] 31/8 72/1 **bandwidth** [1] 45/8 **Bansal [16]** 1/5 1/7 1/9 1/13 14/15 34/4 35/8 36/2 47/9 49/25 50/1 53/1 65/10 70/25 72/12 73/4 Bansal's [1] 35/19 base [1] 39/23 based [3] 16/9 64/3 64/13 **basically [4]** 18/6 46/7 47/3 69/2 **basis** [2] 3/1 10/19 batch [2] 29/5 29/12 be [124] **Bear [1]** 14/19 bearing [1] 25/4 became [3] 15/5 15/25 19/15 because [30] 2/21 3/17 5/24 6/2 6/5 10/7 21/16 25/16 27/19 28/12 32/1 32/2 38/18 38/25 41/21 42/18 46/23 50/18 55/15 58/12 59/2 59/4 59/24 61/20 62/12 64/12 66/14 67/17 69/14 71/9 become [1] 56/12 becoming [1] 19/24 been [40] 4/11 4/23 7/11 7/13 7/14 8/23 10/2 10/3 10/9 11/18 21/23 23/18 25/6 27/13 28/4 28/5 32/22 40/18 51/4 52/1 52/15 53/8 54/13 54/15 54/16 54/23 59/24 60/3 61/10 62/13 62/16 62/17 64/14 65/23 66/9 67/11 69/20 70/8 71/5 71/6 before [15] 5/3 7/6 13/8 15/22 16/5 28/19 43/3 44/20 44/21 49/3 Bruce [1] 8/16 49/25 51/3 51/13 53/8 bug [6] 18/7 31/3 63/2 **behalf [2]** 1/10 45/15 being [23] 7/25 17/6 19/3 20/10 25/17 27/22 28/10 29/25 36/16 45/3 49/5 50/25 52/3 53/25 54/3 54/6 63/7 64/8 67/4 67/18 68/19 69/25 72/13 belief [1] 2/4 believe [16] 2/16 5/13 5/15 14/7 24/21 31/14 34/17 44/3

66/20 72/6 believes [1] 52/19 below [3] 23/7 26/17 32/20 best [1] 2/3 **between [10]** 7/19 8/10 16/17 16/19 24/8 30/14 30/15 47/5 50/8 57/12 bigger [2] 55/20 66/7 bit [7] 7/2 40/17 44/19 66/6 66/7 68/12 68/17 blank [1] 28/22 book [6] 56/13 56/14 56/17 61/22 62/7 62/23 **both [5]** 1/14 20/20 27/16 61/16 65/22 **bottom [9]** 6/22 12/6 14/9 18/15 25/21 30/17 43/4 43/12 56/1 box [4] 21/9 21/21 22/10 70/17 boxes [1] 21/24 branch [3] 16/23 28/2 64/1 branches [12] 16/24 17/5 31/1 31/6 31/10 31/15 31/19 32/1 32/6 34/3 34/6 42/8 **branches'** [1] 17/8 **break [11]** 17/13 34/23 34/24 35/2 35/3 35/8 35/10 35/12 35/18 35/23 43/3 briefing [5] 59/16 59/17 60/10 60/13 60/20 bring [7] 12/3 13/21 15/14 36/3 40/8 43/1 59/16 **broad [2]** 28/20 58/21 broadcast [1] 58/9 brought [2] 41/11 45/14 31/4 31/5 38/10 65/16 bugs [2] 15/10 18/5 **built [1]** 6/15 **bundle [1]** 1/17 **business** [6] 12/5 12/9 12/11 12/17 13/7 45/9 busy [1] 65/23 but [70] 2/18 3/2 4/24 cannot [1] 2/22 5/16 6/14 6/25 10/8 11/4 11/11 11/19 13/1 13/22 15/11 15/24 17/25 20/3 20/9 20/12 career [1] 19/16 21/9 21/21 22/10 24/5 carried [1] 10/4

60/14 63/14 65/2

25/13 25/22 26/14 26/19 30/10 31/21 32/15 33/1 33/23 34/24 36/24 37/24 38/4 39/10 40/16 40/19 41/2 41/10 41/22 46/20 46/23 48/9 48/21 49/1 54/16 54/19 56/19 56/22 57/5 57/23 58/5 58/10 60/7 61/7 61/15 62/3 62/17 64/2 64/6 64/23 65/17 65/21 67/25 68/15 69/24 70/18 71/2 72/18 C cache [1] 57/5 calculated [1] 32/22 call [10] 1/5 25/1

26/21 33/25 34/2 34/8 45/25 50/7 50/13 69/8 called [4] 48/13 48/14 59/1 67/22 calls [4] 10/2 46/2 46/5 49/19 came [3] 31/10 50/17 60/4 can [66] 1/3 1/4 7/12 8/13 9/2 13/8 13/20 13/20 14/16 15/2 15/14 16/4 17/13 18/12 19/5 19/8 25/20 28/20 28/22 31/22 32/25 33/6 33/20 35/25 36/1 36/2 40/2 40/8 40/21 40/23 42/13 42/25 43/17 44/5 46/19 46/21 47/20 49/3 51/6 51/6 51/19 51/20 55/2 55/11 55/24 55/24 57/24 59/14 59/16 60/22 61/18 62/5 64/15 65/20 66/2 66/3 66/4 66/6 68/18 68/20 68/22 69/5 71/15 71/19 71/24 72/3 can't [26] 5/16 10/7 11/3 13/15 14/22 15/2 23/10 32/13 32/15 33/9 37/23 37/24 40/23 41/21 43/7 48/13 58/5 58/5 62/1 62/8 62/18 65/4 65/16 68/2 68/11 71/24 **cancelled** [2] 57/3 57/5 capacity [1] 59/19 capture [1] 23/8

**captured** [1] 53/25

С	codes [2] 23/8 23/11	considered [1] 33/7	44/14 44/24 45/10	42/12
carry [3] 54/10 68/16	collated [2] 4/8 54/17	considering [1]	45/12 47/4 49/1 52/12	
68/21	colleague [1] 53/1	11/24	54/12 55/18 55/20	18/23 25/15 29/8
carrying [1] 52/21	collecting [1] 5/2	constant [2] 69/2	55/25 56/16 63/6 64/4	
case [9] 5/2 19/5	collective [2] 26/24	69/6	64/4 64/19 65/10 66/3	days [1] 67/25
20/15 20/15 32/8	40/22	consult [1] 32/9	67/8 67/21 68/24	deadlines [3] 22/2
38/22 56/20 61/13	collectively [2] 40/20	contains [2] 44/10	69/11 70/4 70/5 70/11	24/10 24/16
64/20	40/20	55/6	70/15 70/24 71/21	deal [3] 12/24 63/1
cash [4] 5/6 5/7 5/10	column [9] 14/9	content [3] 4/19 8/20	71/23	68/4
5/16	14/12 24/1 24/3 24/3	60/9	couldn't [7] 7/15 41/2	dealing [2] 12/24
categorically [1] 5/17	42/7 42/9 42/11 59/18	contents [1] 2/3	68/5 68/6 71/11 71/12	69/23
category [1] 39/11	columns [2] 24/2	context [1] 54/4	71/13	dealt [3] 13/1 33/15
cause [10] 16/14	42/13	continue [3] 16/25	counter [5] 9/15	65/20
17/11 17/20 18/1 18/3	combination [1] 4/16	17/11 36/2	14/25 57/12 60/25	decides [1] 32/21
21/1 36/9 38/13 38/15	combine [1] 24/0	continued [1] 53/13	61/5	decision [3] 6/4 50/4
70/21	come [/] 6/19/20/13	continuity [5] 12/5	counter's [1] 57/6	50/10
causes [4] 18/5	22/10 24/4 25/2 32/4	12/10 12/11 12/17	counterpart [1] 51/2	decrease [2] 47/20
29/18 30/8 30/9	48/8	13/7	counters [4] 7/25	48/1
cent [1] 27/10		contract [2] 7/7 7/8	8/25 10/6 61/6	defect [1] 18/7
centre [2] 12/20	60/18	contracted [1] 45/20	couple [1] 67/25	defects [2] 15/11
12/20	coming [2] 49/1	control [1] 37/4	course [10] 4/15 12/1	18/6
certain [1] 38/2	72/12	conversation [2]	14/20 15/11 18/10	defined [5] 16/13
certainly [4] 1/6 35/1	commence [1] 55/16		28/24 46/19 70/22	19/1 26/6 27/18 27/22
41/22 65/3	comment [3] 32/15	conversations [3]	70/25 70/25	definition [2] 15/19
certainty [2] 14/22	58/5 58/5	36/23 37/1 59/12	covered [4] 5/16	30/12
37/23	comments [2] 4/8	cope [1] 12/12	17/12 26/16 39/3	delay [1] 68/21
cetera [2] 20/19 38/6	60/6	copy [5] 13/17 42/20	Coyne [1] 53/12	delays [1] 3/16
chain [1] 56/1	communicate [2]	42/21 42/22 63/19	create [1] 40/16	delegate [1] 19/6
<b>Chambers [1]</b> 34/15	9/19 10/17	copyrighted [1] 52/3	created [1] 40/15	delegated [1] 19/11
chance [1] 1/23	communicated [1]	Core [1] 65/1	critical [12] 14/11	deleterious [1] 66/18
change [3] 28/11	59/6	corporate [1] 40/16	14/23 14/25 25/24	deliver [1] 2/14
28/12 38/25	communication [5]	correct [28] 1/22	26/5 26/6 26/9 26/12	delivered [5] 2/18 3/1
changed [1] 46/12	11/1 20/20 58/10	2/12 2/20 2/25 3/13	26/22 26/25 28/4 28/6	1
changes [2] 44/18	68/22 69/4	3/18 3/19 7/22 8/2	criticality [5] 25/23	delivery [12] 18/19
53/11	community [2] 6/5	11/15 11/17 15/8 18/3	26/20 27/2 27/25 28/3	18/22 19/3 19/4 19/5
changing [1] 11/20	7/10	18/8 19/4 19/17 20/19		19/12 19/15 19/21
chart [1] 38/8	company [4] 2/13 29/24 29/25 30/1	23/1 23/25 24/9 26/3	CTO [1] 71/7	29/16 33/1 53/2 53/6
chat [1] 50/14		39/6 44/3 50/9 51/24 53/18 66/11 67/15	current [1] 62/17	dependent [1] 38/21
cheaper [1] 39/25	comparison [1] 29/1	corrective [1] 36/10	<b>currently [2]</b> 48/13 67/8	<b>depending [3]</b> 29/4 39/12 54/20
check [1] 13/11	complete [7] 4/18 12/22 13/16 17/23	corresponding [1]		
child [1] 28/16	35/9 35/19 56/22	31/11	<b>customer [8]</b> 14/3 15/15 15/20 29/21	<b>describe [2]</b> 15/19 21/2
chit [1] 50/14	completed [2] 4/24	corruption [1] 29/20	30/10 43/2 50/2 53/3	described [6] 15/24
chit-chat [1] 50/14	49/23	cosmetic [2] 25/25	customer's [1] 40/7	19/9 20/4 30/11 31/3
choosing [1] 62/9	completely [1] 64/4	26/11	cut [1] 66/9	71/1
chose [1] 61/18	complex [1] 59/4	cost [9] 37/8 38/9		describes [2] 5/6
chronology [1] 63/4	component [1] 12/16		D	71/25
circumstances [2]	concentrate [1]	39/9 39/15 54/12	daily [2] 21/14 36/23	describing [2] 12/8
39/15 40/2	70/16	cost' [1] 52/23	Darby [1] 34/1	46/11
Claimants [1] 52/14	concerns [3] 23/5	cost-justifiable [3]	dashboard [3] 54/2	description [2] 56/17
clarify [3] 4/13 6/8	31/3 59/15	37/8 38/9 39/7	54/8 54/24	66/15
14/2	concludes [1] 72/18	costs [1] 38/20	data [16] 5/2 8/4	design [2] 11/24
classed [2] 17/17	conduct [1] 8/23	could [73] 1/11 1/25	12/19 12/20 29/21	69/22
17/19	conducted [1] 55/12	4/4 5/5 5/20 6/21 7/1	31/6 31/9 31/12 53/25	designing [1] 3/3
classification [1]	conference [1] 33/25		54/14 54/15 54/22	desk [2] 43/23 43/24
	<b>confidence</b> [1] 62/15		70/6 71/4 71/7 71/9	detail [7] 12/1 28/19
classified [1] 23/19 clear [1] 59/7	<b>confirm [2]</b> 39/1 60/2		database [4] 22/18	42/6 50/3 50/5 50/11
clear [1] 59/7	connected [1] 36/20	16/18 16/22 18/15	31/10 55/8 55/9	59/2
clock [4] 21/24 22/1	connotation [1]	20/21 23/4 23/8 27/7	dataset [2] 71/5	detailed [3] 49/18
22/4 22/6	66/19	28/14 28/18 29/5 29/7	71/10	52/22 54/10
closed [5] 14/8 14/9	consequences [1]	30/24 31/18 31/25	date [2] 7/3 71/15	details [4] 7/18 10/9
41/25 44/10 67/6	34/6	32/18 33/7 36/6 37/3	dated [3] 1/20 14/1	63/10 63/16
closure [1] 62/14	considerations [2]	39/18 39/21 41/25	67/25	detected [1] 21/3
5.00di 5 [1] 02/14	28/24 28/25	42/1 42/3 42/10 43/1	dates [3] 14/13 42/10	determine [1] 37/1
L	l	1		(22) carry - determine

17/2 18/2 46/6 47/7 49/14 54/16 efficiently [1] 72/15 46/1 48/15 51/22 D distinguish [1] 30/14 54/20 60/14 61/23 effort [3] 52/23 54/11 52/25 53/18 72/12 determined [4] 26/4 distinguishing [1] 62/1 62/16 62/24 64/6 54/22 72/14 72/15 30/22 38/13 52/21 56/6 68/12 68/16 69/16 eg [1] 49/24 exact [1] 68/11 developed [1] 17/10 distributed [1] 67/5 69/16 71/14 eg Duty [1] 49/24 **exactly [3]** 36/18 developed/understoo distribution [1] 16/5 done [11] 14/16 eight [2] 25/15 37/6 62/17 67/2 **d[1]** 17/10 28/12 32/14 32/15 either [5] 3/1 10/22 example [9] 17/15 divergence [1] 40/5 developing [1] 11/20 **do [53]** 5/9 6/23 10/5 39/13 43/15 47/2 22/16 42/16 62/18 20/7 29/23 30/18 development [2] 13/13 14/17 16/2 48/19 57/23 58/9 60/3 31/20 32/21 48/17 element [1] 17/12 11/22 11/25 22/12 27/5 30/20 32/5 down [29] 6/21 8/14 60/25 61/18 else [2] 10/12 48/23 diagnosed [1] 36/16 33/11 34/7 35/2 36/14 9/3 12/13 12/14 13/3 email [4] 55/25 56/1 exist [2] 52/15 53/13 diagnostics [1] 20/8 38/17 39/22 40/13 15/3 16/4 17/5 17/13 57/20 58/16 existing [1] 53/14 diagram [1] 39/4 40/14 42/3 44/7 44/22 23/14 26/10 26/10 emails [2] 10/3 11/10 **expected [4]** 25/12 dialogue [1] 51/1 44/22 44/25 46/4 27/7 27/7 31/18 31/21 **employee [1]** 15/7 49/11 52/15 61/11 did [28] 3/5 3/21 3/22 49/21 51/15 53/12 31/21 38/6 42/4 42/5 end [8] 2/14 3/2 3/11 experience [3] 5/9 3/25 5/21 5/22 6/11 6/15 32/11 53/15 53/17 54/7 42/15 42/25 52/12 5/11 8/6 29/17 51/13 8/20 10/13 10/20 54/23 55/14 55/18 55/24 57/20 64/15 57/13 experienced [1] 12/24 14/6 19/18 56/21 56/21 56/22 70/19 70/21 ended [2] 6/14 7/8 31/15 24/23 30/21 47/20 57/25 58/2 58/14 downplay [1] 50/20 ends [1] 24/6 **explain [6]** 13/9 47/21 48/24 53/10 draft [4] 43/5 43/6 58/21 58/25 59/5 **engineer [3]** 56/6 28/20 31/25 47/20 55/17 56/2 56/3 56/4 59/22 60/9 60/19 62/9 43/10 49/22 69/21 69/24 62/5 64/20 56/7 60/13 60/14 65/15 65/22 65/23 drafted [1] 15/21 engineered [1] 69/19 **explore [1]** 15/12 61/21 68/3 68/14 68/20 70/10 drafting [1] 60/9 external [1] 63/21 enough [1] 71/14 didn't [7] 5/1 5/11 70/22 drag [2] 42/3 42/5 **ensure [1]** 20/18 **externally [1]** 20/20 22/11 44/2 54/7 55/15 document [70] 6/17 drawing [1] 42/14 **ENT [1]** 68/23 **extremely [1]** 65/23 58/15 6/18 6/23 7/2 7/16 drawn [3] 16/16 enter [1] 38/12 differed [1] 28/21 7/18 8/8 8/11 8/13 44/23 45/1 entered [2] 31/6 difference [4] 16/19 fact [8] 57/10 65/15 8/18 8/19 9/2 12/4 drive [2] 51/11 52/8 63/18 17/14 33/11 47/5 65/17 65/18 65/21 12/7 13/3 13/10 13/14 drop [1] 49/11 entire [1] 26/18 different [13] 2/19 13/16 13/21 15/3 66/18 71/9 72/2 dropped [1] 34/15 entity [1] 40/16 6/7 13/8 13/20 16/18 15/14 15/20 15/21 factors [1] 25/19 due [4] 12/1 15/11 **entries [3]** 14/13 23/17 24/10 24/12 faded [1] 2/22 16/2 16/3 16/6 16/7 18/10 46/19 42/10 42/12 25/13 26/18 28/25 16/10 16/11 18/11 entry [2] 33/21 33/23 fail [1] 12/16 duration [1] 4/19 34/19 44/14 failed [1] 59/3 18/14 20/23 23/5 during [4] 9/9 29/8 **EPOSS [1]** 5/12 difficult [1] 54/13 fair [7] 11/11 26/20 23/15 23/20 31/5 40/8 55/8 71/6 **equipment [1]** 9/15 direct [5] 6/18 7/18 31/13 47/3 61/9 62/9 **Duty [2]** 49/17 49/24 40/13 40/14 40/16 **error [5]** 18/7 31/15 8/10 8/24 63/5 71/14 41/5 42/19 42/25 36/4 37/4 39/20 directly [3] 4/10 43/14 43/16 44/14 fairly [1] 62/17 **errors [3]** 15/10 18/5 60/14 60/15 each [4] 9/4 60/6 fall [2] 12/18 19/2 44/17 44/20 44/21 69/6 disaster [2] 12/18 60/6 61/2 fallen [1] 28/3 44/25 46/21 50/16 **essence [2]** 9/8 31/5 26/8 earlier [7] 40/25 falls [2] 19/2 39/10 51/7 51/16 52/1 52/5 **essentially [2]** 57/11 discrepancies [3] 52/11 53/4 55/2 55/21 42/15 46/1 46/9 49/5 familiar [1] 70/10 69/23 28/2 28/14 31/19 57/16 63/7 familiarise [1] 70/7 55/24 56/12 63/2 **establishes** [1] 65/17 discrepancy [8] early [1] 41/24 far [5] 21/2 29/11 64/15 65/4 65/17 66/1 establishing [1] 17/16 17/16 17/20 ease [1] 56/16 54/20 56/25 57/9 70/7 70/13 71/20 36/10 28/10 56/23 57/6 easy [2] 35/13 54/16 estate [2] 17/4 26/18 Farnborough [4] documentation [3] 57/10 57/12 edits [1] 50/2 63/21 64/1 64/3 64/13 3/11 16/16 53/20 et [2] 20/19 38/6 discuss [5] 12/1 14/4 effect [6] 17/25 24/23 Farnborough-based documented [1] 11/6 et cetera [2] 20/19 38/1 38/3 61/21 39/23 49/6 66/14 71/7 documents [2] 1/17 38/6 **[1]** 64/13 discussed [9] 33/1 effective [1] 49/12 fault [2] 9/4 9/5 46/19 even [1] 46/3 40/25 45/24 48/16 effectively [30] 4/17 faults [2] 10/10 63/18 does [15] 5/11 15/17 event [5] 14/23 14/25 49/4 54/3 54/19 58/25 15/18 25/14 25/15 7/7 7/9 7/10 12/10 fax [3] 63/10 63/16 40/3 49/10 59/20 60/16 12/20 21/15 22/5 64/5 32/20 39/7 52/19 56/7 events [4] 14/11 discussing [2] 52/2 22/18 24/13 24/25 faxed [2] 63/19 64/10 56/25 57/7 57/14 14/15 14/17 49/19 61/13 26/7 32/5 34/15 39/21 **Feb [1]** 34/1 66/13 66/23 67/2 **eventually [2]** 3/10 discussions [2] 45/2 45/14 46/24 **February [3]** 7/3 53/8 doesn't [2] 64/11 69/20 10/22 40/22 47/24 48/21 50/14 ever [2] 27/2 50/20 70/20 66/19 disproportionate [2] 50/16 55/19 56/18 doing [4] 20/19 48/21 every [2] 42/23 45/25 February 2013 [1] 52/23 54/11 49/12 60/17 59/6 60/1 60/3 64/12 53/8 everyone [2] 69/4 dispute [2] 65/18 don't [33] 5/13 5/14 64/22 67/8 Federation [1] 61/17 72/24 65/19 effectively ... I'm [1] feed [1] 9/16 5/15 9/1 10/7 10/14 everything [4] 50/17 disruption [3] 29/19 56/18 feedback [7] 4/7 4/9 22/13 24/21 28/13 51/4 70/18 72/10 30/7 30/9 4/10 4/13 5/1 36/25 30/2 30/5 33/13 34/9 effectiveness [2] evidence [13] 1/14 distinction [3] 16/16 34/23 35/2 39/23 44/3 51/10 52/7 2/6 5/18 35/9 35/19 60/5 (23) determined - feedback

 F	51/25 52/24	10/11 23/13 25/23	13/14 17/24 24/25	18/16 37/5 38/20 43/
	frequency [1] 46/12	33/6 45/8 46/16 56/16		56/11 61/10 64/4
feel [1] 32/11	Friday [2] 45/25	59/22 60/4 60/16	31/25 32/4 37/17	68/19
feeling [1] 58/25	47/10	60/25 62/14 64/18	37/18 38/9 38/10 41/1	
few [1] 12/2		72/12	48/3 49/6 53/8 57/1	0
file [1] 13/25	front [3] 1/16 1/17			25/16 26/5 33/16
final [9] 5/8 23/21	58/3	given [11] 9/14 31/24	57/11 59/24 60/2 60/3	
24/3 32/23 50/1 50/7	FUJ00058375 [2]	32/8 33/4 34/11 34/13		56/16 57/14 57/15
50/7 50/19 59/15	6/18 63/3	38/4 57/4 66/15 67/24		higher [1] 25/7
financial [5] 29/18	FUJ00080043 [3]	72/2	hadn't [2] 46/11 54/7	highest [2] 24/14
30/7 30/9 30/11 30/15	15/15 32/19 36/5	gives [2] 37/6 44/7	hand [2] 32/13 59/18	38/5
find [2] 18/1 18/6	FUJ00084350 [1]	giving [4] 1/14 25/19	handled [1] 58/22	him [4] 51/5 56/4
finding [2] 18/5 20/5	13/21	33/10 72/14	handling [1] 39/20	56/5 56/9
findings [2] 37/16	FUJ00084531 [1]	<b>go [46]</b> 3/10 5/5 7/2	handover [2] 44/22	hindsight [1] 55/1
51/22	66/2	8/14 9/3 9/12 12/13	64/17	his [7] 35/9 53/12
fine [4] 35/21 66/7	FUJ00085175 [2]	13/22 18/11 18/15	happen [2] 19/8	56/6 56/7 58/25 68/
70/3 72/24	43/1 51/6	23/3 23/4 25/19 26/8	19/18	72/3
	FUJ00085191 [1]	26/10 27/7 29/13	happened [1] 40/5	historical [2] 32/6
finish [1] 63/2	40/9	31/22 32/18 35/13	happening [2] 67/1	54/21
first [16] 6/23 13/23	FUJ00085953 [1]	35/14 35/15 42/1 42/4		historically [2] 30/2
15/13 17/13 18/16	55/3	42/10 42/15 43/4 43/8		39/14
23/21 25/21 25/23	FUJ00085985 [1]	43/12 43/17 46/15	<b>HAPS [10]</b> 6/19 7/24	history [1] 59/21
29/14 37/11 40/10	44/15	51/6 52/12 54/12	8/7 63/19 63/20 63/21	HNG [2] 12/5 13/5
40/22 49/21 55/11	Fujitsu [31] 9/17 9/18		63/25 64/2 64/11	HNG-X [2] 12/5 13/5
65/7 70/16	10/17 11/16 13/25	58/16 59/2 60/20	64/12	hold [5] 37/14 66/1
fit [1] 45/13	21/4 22/25 31/16	60/22 63/2 70/21	hard [1] 21/9	66/22 67/17 68/25
five [1] 25/24	38/10 38/12 39/8	70/24 71/23 71/25	hardware [2] 39/24	Horizon [18] 2/15
fix [8] 37/18 38/18	39/12 39/15 40/11	God [1] 68/4	63/18	2/18 2/23 3/16 11/1
39/17 40/6 67/13	40/18 42/23 44/1	Godeseth [4] 51/23	has [13] 2/22 20/10	11/20 11/20 11/22
67/19 71/9 72/3	45/24 47/12 47/20	53/16 68/13 68/16	21/22 26/6 26/9 29/16	
flexible [1] 72/13		Godeseth's [3] 51/22	32/22 53/2 56/12 61/4	
flow [1] 47/1	52/18 53/7 54/9 56/8	52/24 53/18	66/18 67/11 70/7	51/19 53/5 62/10
flowchart [7] 20/23	58/7 59/17 64/9	goes [5] 7/23 8/8	have [105]	65/23
21/21 21/23 23/2 23/7	Fujitsu's [3] 53/2	21/23 36/8 47/19	haven't [2] 17/12	Horizon IT [2] 15/1
36/9 37/5	53/5 58/3	going [23] 12/14	67/16	62/10
focus [1] 34/17	full [2] 1/11 15/6	14/25 18/10 25/1 35/4		Host [2] 7/25 63/25
follow [4] 23/2 23/17	function [2] 58/11	40/17 41/1 41/20	33/13 35/9 36/23	hot [1] 38/5
40/7 53/13	58/14	41/21 42/22 46/2 47/7	50/15 53/15 54/5	hour [3] 25/14 25/1
followed [2] 20/18	fundamentally [1]	48/4 50/15 50/24	60/19	34/25
33/2	46/11	55/19 56/19 57/1	hazy [1] 40/17	how [44] 4/14 4/20
following [4] 15/14	funding [2] 37/9 39/4	57/16 61/14 65/7	he [6] 35/11 50/13	5/12 5/12 7/12 7/14
34/8 52/6 73/2	further [9] 2/7 3/20	65/14 66/24	52/4 52/5 52/25 56/9	8/4 9/25 13/19 20/2
forgive [2] 63/4 66/8	7/2 23/14 64/23 68/12		he's [2] 56/11 56/12	21/18 23/11 24/10
form [6] 1/15 13/16	68/17 68/21 69/25	62/12 62/24	heading [3] 9/3 43/17	24/11 24/19 26/14
43/5 46/8 47/4 54/24			43/17	26/25 27/14 28/10
formal [3] 10/25	future [1] 9/7	good [3] 1/9 10/14 34/24		28/20 30/13 33/12
35/10 35/11	G		hear [3] 1/3 34/4	
formally [3] 7/7 57/22		Goodbye [1] 72/25 got [11] 22/7 36/8	35/25 heard [1] 46/23	34/7 35/3 36/14 36/ 36/16 37/21 41/3
58/3		58/24 59/8 64/19 65/3	heart [1] 40/23	42/13 45/9 45/12
former [1] 53/5	gathered [1] 6/3	66/21 67/16 67/17		47/21 54/20 56/7
forms [4] 4/9 4/17	gave [3] 25/10 33/7	68/4 68/13	heaven [1] 68/1	
4/24 5/1	60/23		heavily [1] 58/24	56/17 56/18 57/23
fortnightly [2] 41/12	gearing [1] 11/19	gradually [1] 6/15	held [5] 12/4 14/4	58/1 58/3 58/15 58/
46/2	general [3] 15/12	grateful [2] 72/7	42/22 43/19 44/9	60/3 61/13
forum [1] 9/25	51/12 52/9	72/11	help [8] 14/23 16/18	1
forward [2] 41/12	generally [3] 11/3	greater [3] 11/25	45/5 45/7 63/22 68/1	I actually [1] 69/14
44/19	14/24 35/4	17/5 37/24	70/6 70/11	
found [7] 10/10 38/9	generate [1] 47/9	group [3] 15/17	helped [2] 3/11 72/15	l am [2] 11/3 56/15
38/22 41/11 51/20		22/24 26/24	helpful [2] 45/12	
61/3 63/17	generated [1] 32/24	guess [1] 29/3	54/23	l ask [9] 1/10 1/11
four [1] 25/14	get [9] 17/7 23/23	guidance [4] 26/4	hence [1] 26/23	1/25 5/20 8/17 13/3
fourth [4] 20/13	24/7 32/2 42/6 48/5	26/21 30/13 30/16	HENRY [2] 65/9 73/6	28/19 63/6 70/6
31/21 56/9 58/13	62/11 66/24 68/18	Н	her [5] 34/15 34/17	l asked [1] 59/5
frame [1] 46/23	getting [3] 18/3 28/6		34/20 68/11 70/12	l assume [2] 21/25
Fraser [4] 31/4 51/21	36/19	had [34] 1/23 4/11	here [14] 8/3 10/12	36/11
- •	give [16] 6/7 7/12	4/23 6/8 7/14 11/7	13/4 14/8 18/4 18/11	I brought [1] 41/11

**IBM [1]** 61/4 66/22 68/25 I subsequently [1] Industrial [1] 45/4 ICL [4] 2/14 3/17 5/19 **inform [2]** 64/9 71/21 59/9 investigations [1] I call [1] 50/13 I suspect [1] 9/24 11/14 information [15] 4/22 62/19 I called [1] 59/1 I then [2] 53/24 58/20 idea [1] 67/24 6/2 9/22 10/1 10/5 involved [8] 3/3 9/21 I can [3] 13/20 40/23 I think [56] 5/13 5/23 16/9 20/12 34/5 47/2 11/21 37/12 40/4 identification [2] 68/18 49/21 50/8 54/3 54/24 49/25 58/12 58/24 7/7 11/3 11/4 13/1 15/10 20/22 I can't [20] 5/16 10/7 14/3 18/10 23/13 identified [4] 38/15 55/15 55/17 **IP [2]** 44/22 50/15 11/3 13/15 14/22 23/14 26/16 27/16 51/14 71/5 71/10 informed [3] 5/25 **IPs [2]** 45/2 45/3 23/10 32/13 32/15 30/21 32/1 32/3 32/13 identifies [1] 8/9 22/19 53/3 is [197] 33/9 37/23 37/24 isn't [4] 7/21 20/14 32/14 32/16 33/16 ie [1] 37/18 informing [1] 61/16 40/23 41/21 43/7 34/14 34/14 34/18 68/19 72/4 ie that [1] 37/18 infrastructure [1] 48/13 58/5 58/5 62/1 38/3 41/9 41/18 42/3 if [99] 12/12 issue [13] 16/23 25/3 62/18 65/4 ignore [2] 39/21 40/3 42/4 42/22 44/21 initial [4] 57/25 64/3 27/21 29/5 29/7 33/15 I could [5] 7/1 49/1 45/11 45/14 46/1 **immediate [2]** 24/13 71/5 71/10 39/1 42/8 49/12 51/19 55/18 55/20 64/4 46/15 48/12 48/12 32/5 initially [4] 6/6 6/13 56/12 59/7 61/3 I couldn't [3] 68/5 10/23 41/9 51/17 53/24 54/15 impact [24] 12/12 issue/problem [1] 71/11 71/13 54/18 55/23 56/18 12/15 17/3 24/1 24/2 initiate [1] 57/24 27/21 I did [4] 3/5 5/22 58/9 58/23 59/4 59/11 24/7 25/22 26/16 input [4] 8/20 8/24 issues [9] 11/8 14/5 10/20 56/3 59/24 60/1 60/8 60/12 26/19 27/8 27/11 13/13 60/13 17/10 34/22 42/17 I do [3] 5/9 40/14 48/5 51/20 54/13 62/11 63/21 64/2 64/3 27/23 28/20 29/2 29/7 Inquiry [9] 1/11 1/14 53/17 67/20 67/24 68/20 29/12 29/16 32/22 2/7 11/24 13/25 15/9 67/16 I don't [26] 5/13 5/14 34/2 37/7 38/8 39/12 I thought [1] 69/13 40/10 46/19 72/12 it [231] 5/15 9/1 10/7 10/14 I took [3] 54/4 63/3 39/18 51/13 Inquiry's [1] 46/22 it's [46] 5/13 12/10 22/13 24/21 30/2 30/5 impacting [4] 66/13 13/8 14/3 15/16 18/3 63/6 inside [1] 61/7 33/13 34/9 34/23 35/2 23/18 26/17 26/17 I understand [6] 3/1 66/14 66/16 66/18 instability [1] 69/6 44/3 46/6 47/7 49/14 26/20 26/23 26/24 11/21 19/15 31/17 **implement [4]** 38/17 instructions [1] 47/8 54/16 54/20 60/14 26/25 28/9 30/24 43/5 53/9 39/16 40/6 53/10 instructor [1] 2/10 61/23 62/1 62/16 I vaguely [1] 6/24 insufficient [1] 41/11 32/18 33/19 36/4 implemented [4] 62/24 64/6 53/9 53/21 53/22 36/10 38/18 38/22 I want [5] 5/18 12/1 integration [1] 12/9 I ended [1] 6/14 37/11 63/1 70/18 38/25 39/13 39/25 55/14 intensive [1] 33/18 I failed [1] 59/3 40/8 40/19 42/4 43/5 I wanted [1] 63/2 important [1] 4/11 interest [2] 67/23 I finish [1] 63/2 I was [14] 5/25 6/6 incentive [1] 27/2 43/6 44/14 55/2 55/23 70/19 I found [1] 41/11 59/24 61/14 61/15 6/14 7/9 9/14 9/24 incident [39] 9/6 interesting [1] 69/14 I guess [1] 29/3 19/13 50/24 57/1 16/20 16/20 16/23 **interface [8]** 6/18 61/20 61/23 63/3 63/5 I had [1] 62/20 59/12 62/11 62/14 17/1 17/2 17/4 17/18 7/18 8/3 8/10 8/24 64/7 66/21 66/24 I hadn't [1] 54/7 17/19 17/23 20/25 68/15 68/22 69/14 69/15 72/6 10/12 50/7 63/5 I have [4] 1/24 44/21 interfacing [1] 64/18 69/15 I wasn't [3] 10/21 21/3 21/6 21/10 21/11 53/1 64/23 21/13 21/17 21/17 55/15 70/2 intermittently [1] iteration [1] 71/4 I haven't [1] 17/12 I went [1] 62/3 22/11 22/20 22/21 61/11 its [5] 8/20 9/4 13/16 I initially [1] 41/9 I were [1] 6/4 22/23 25/3 25/5 25/14 internal [6] 16/3 16/7 47/14 58/7 I joined [3] 7/7 40/24 25/14 36/22 45/6 49/4 16/10 47/12 55/21 itself [10] 2/23 3/3 I will [1] 2/7 67/25 49/5 49/6 49/10 49/16 I worked [1] 56/5 55/23 4/18 5/25 9/23 12/15 I just [5] 13/9 62/2 49/18 50/21 50/25 16/24 17/18 21/4 I would [14] 9/16 internally [1] 20/20 65/10 66/8 69/18 interval's [1] 41/2 10/8 13/1 14/7 23/10 51/3 59/21 70/5 22/23 I know [1] 33/14 into [21] 8/20 11/8 23/12 35/15 37/23 incidents [16] 9/5 **ITU [1]** 12/5 I looked [1] 32/13 43/15 50/18 51/1 51/2 16/15 16/17 16/17 12/18 19/24 20/24 I may [4] 1/5 32/14 55/18 70/16 25/16 28/16 29/23 25/19 26/14 26/19 32/14 60/16 Jan [1] 44/17 30/18 50/6 51/13 60/1 27/17 27/24 28/25 I wouldn't [1] 65/19 I mean [3] 35/3 68/1 I'd [9] 4/3 6/3 6/5 January [1] 1/1 60/7 62/13 63/10 31/7 32/6 36/8 45/13 69/6 Jenkins [5] 56/2 56/2 6/17 7/10 15/11 23/21 63/16 63/17 54/8 56/19 56/24 I now [1] 71/14 57/20 58/15 72/3 51/4 66/1 included [1] 51/12 56/24 60/13 63/18 I presume [1] 14/12 **introduce [1]** 45/12 job [5] 6/13 41/15 **I'II [3]** 12/4 14/19 including [2] 49/19 **I put [1]** 16/5 41/16 50/2 65/25 30/22 51/15 introduced [2] 53/5 I recall [1] 4/16 I'm [30] 7/15 10/14 incorrectly [2] 31/12 69/25 John [2] 8/16 8/16 I received [1] 6/13 joined [6] 2/9 7/4 7/5 introduction [2] 7/17 11/12 13/15 22/13 38/23 I remained [1] 3/24 7/7 40/24 67/25 23/10 30/2 30/5 33/9 increase [3] 47/20 16/12 I requested [1] 55/16 investigate [1] 20/12 | judgement [2] 26/20 35/13 40/17 40/17 47/25 47/25 I saw [1] 56/9 27/1 41/19 41/21 42/22 incremental [1] 71/8 investigated [2] I say [2] 6/13 54/18 46/6 47/7 56/18 56/19 indeed [2] 5/4 8/13 18/25 67/18 judgment [1] 51/20 I see [3] 1/16 3/8 July [1] 59/17 57/23 58/14 61/14 indication [2] 7/12 investigating [2] 39/10 jumped [1] 44/19 64/12 65/6 65/14 46/16 15/9 20/8 I should [2] 33/21 66/16 69/13 70/22 jumping [2] 63/4 individual [3] 4/22 investigation [9] 41/16 68/15 72/7 72/11 30/4 49/20 16/25 17/11 32/3 32/6 I started [1] 19/23 33/17 59/9 66/21 just [45] 2/13 4/13 I've [2] 65/3 67/24 individual's [1] 27/1

just [43] 6/8 6/21 7/12 9/21 10/11 13/3 13/9 14/19 17/13 23/6 27/18 28/10 32/10 32/19 33/3 33/23 41/8 41/25 44/12 46/12 56/16 59/1 62/2 63/2 63/22 64/4 64/19 64/24 65/3 65/10 66/2
7/12 9/21 10/11 13/3 13/9 14/19 17/13 23/6 27/18 28/10 32/10 32/19 33/3 33/23 41/8 41/25 44/12 46/12 56/16 59/1 62/2 63/2 63/22 64/4 64/19
7/12 9/21 10/11 13/3 13/9 14/19 17/13 23/6 27/18 28/10 32/10 32/19 33/3 33/23 41/8 41/25 44/12 46/12 56/16 59/1 62/2 63/2 63/22 64/4 64/19
27/18 28/10 32/10 32/19 33/3 33/23 41/8 41/25 44/12 46/12 56/16 59/1 62/2 63/2 63/22 64/4 64/19
32/19 33/3 33/23 41/8 41/25 44/12 46/12 56/16 59/1 62/2 63/2 63/22 64/4 64/19
41/25 44/12 46/12 56/16 59/1 62/2 63/2 63/22 64/4 64/19
56/16 59/1 62/2 63/2 63/22 64/4 64/19
63/22 64/4 64/19
00/== 0 ./ . 0 ./ . 0
64124 6512 65140 6612
04/24 03/3 03/10 00/2
66/4 66/6 66/8 66/24
67/21 67/24 68/12
69/18 69/18 70/12
71/19 72/22
Justice [4] 31/4
51/21 51/25 52/24
justifiable [5] 37/8
38/9 38/11 38/18 39/7
justification [1]
37/17
justified [1] 39/13
1
K

keep [2] 36/15 47/18 **kind [2]** 50/17 62/15 **kindly [1]** 70/12 know [27] 1/9 4/19 16/2 22/12 28/13 30/2 30/5 30/20 33/14 34/23 40/2 41/23 44/22 44/22 44/25 51/15 51/22 55/14 56/2 58/15 62/1 64/7 68/4 68/14 69/9 70/11 70/13

knowledge [12] 2/4 3/25 6/5 6/16 16/3 16/6 20/10 20/11 34/16 39/23 40/1 72/3 known [3] 11/18 18/21 56/13

**KPI [1]** 54/1

large [1] 29/17 last [2] 61/2 65/4 later [4] 19/16 20/1 31/12 51/25 **Laura [1]** 33/25 lead [1] 53/6 Leader [1] 33/1 leadership [3] 47/10 47/11 47/15 leading [2] 10/21 59/25 least [3] 41/2 41/14 41/22 leave [1] 59/14 left [10] 4/1 11/14 20/24 21/2 21/7 22/11 24/1 27/9 42/5 53/7 **Legacy [1]** 11/18 Legacy Horizon [1]

11/18 less [4] 27/25 28/1 30/10 35/6 let [2] 70/11 70/12 let's [9] 2/9 12/13 13/21 17/13 29/13 33/19 35/7 39/20 68/12 level [15] 6/15 12/5 12/12 21/25 22/4 24/23 29/14 30/12 47/7 56/15 56/16 57/14 57/15 60/4 62/14 life [1] 48/13 like [15] 4/3 4/21 27/6 32/8 39/1 40/21 53/15 54/21 62/6 66/1 70/16 **likelihood** [1] 16/1 likely [1] 21/1 limit [1] 12/1 | limited [8] 2/9 2/13 2/18 4/1 7/25 8/25 13/6 70/19 line [8] 20/11 20/13 49/9 52/2 56/9 58/3 58/12 58/13 list [6] 16/6 38/7 42/16 45/2 52/10 61/23 listed [3] 31/2 31/19 43/12 **listing [1]** 14/13 little [5] 40/17 66/6 66/7 68/12 68/17 live [2] 67/2 67/4 loan [1] 7/10 local [4] 31/7 42/8 56/24 57/5 **locations** [1] 54/1 log [2] 9/5 47/3 logged [1] 9/9 logical [1] 69/22 long [6] 7/12 7/14 23/11 24/19 35/3 60/7 longer [2] 61/11 61/13 look [27] 5/1 18/10 21/21 22/11 23/20 23/21 25/3 25/19 27/23 29/3 29/13 30/24 32/17 33/4 33/19 37/11 37/17 38/24 39/3 42/16 46/19 63/22 63/22 66/2 68/4 68/24 71/19 looked [4] 28/8 32/13 33/4 46/8 looking [15] 11/19 14/8 18/12 20/21 21/21 26/24 27/18 32/2 34/19 34/20

34/21 38/20 43/2 47/24 51/7 looks [1] 24/5 loss [6] 29/18 29/20 30/7 30/9 30/11 30/15 lot [3] 5/14 33/13 46/23 **low [1]** 17/16 lower [2] 27/2 27/3 lowest [1] 24/15 lunch [3] 35/10 35/11 35/15 **Lywood [3]** 68/9 68/9 68/10 M 6/17 15/12 23/21 24/5 **MAC [2]** 47/23 48/13 made [5] 6/3 22/16 33/11 49/19 69/20 mail [2] 11/8 15/17 main [3] 13/2 34/17 50/2 mainstay [1] 36/12 maintain [1] 12/20 maintained [1] 42/18 maintaining [1] 42/20 major [18] 16/17 16/20 17/2 17/4 17/23 18/1 21/6 49/4 49/5 49/10 49/16 50/6 50/25 51/3 59/21 60/1 62/13 70/5 majority [1] 54/2 make [8] 29/10 50/1 50/3 50/4 50/10 58/7 66/6 70/10 making [1] 51/21 manage [1] 49/12

management [39] 15/13 15/16 15/20 15/25 16/13 16/20 18/4 18/9 18/13 18/24 20/25 20/25 21/3 21/6 24/21 32/16 33/2 43/3 meeting [10] 11/5 45/5 45/6 45/13 45/16 45/24 45/25 48/16 48/17 48/25 51/8 52/1 53/4 55/4 55/8 58/8 58/11 58/13 59/19 59/20 60/23 63/1 manager [46] 4/7 9/17 9/18 9/20 10/17 10/18 10/23 13/5 13/7 13/7 15/5 15/22 15/25 18/19 18/21 18/22 19/1 19/3 19/4 19/5 19/6 19/9 19/12 19/15 19/21 19/21 19/24 20/16 22/16 23/7 26/1 26/3 26/23 30/14 31/2 36/14 41/9 48/20 49/17 49/24 51/18 methodology [1] 53/2 53/6 58/19 65/11

53/14

65/12 **Managers** [1] 44/5 managing [2] 36/9 45/15 **mandatory** [1] 43/13 manner [1] 37/18 many [5] 15/1 26/14 27/14 28/6 60/3 **March [2]** 33/21 33/24 mark [4] 34/1 34/4 34/25 58/16 master [3] 42/19 42/20 42/22 material [2] 29/20 47/5 matrix [1] 23/13 matter [1] 67/22 may [24] 1/5 10/2 12/2 13/22 14/1 20/12 21/20 22/10 27/14 28/14 29/3 29/6 29/23 30/18 32/14 32/14 38/24 39/11 39/12 44/4 60/16 63/22 64/2 64/24 maybe [3] 35/6 35/11 66/7 me [12] 1/3 4/11 14/19 35/25 48/14 50/18 53/3 63/4 66/8 68/15 70/6 70/10 mean [8] 5/11 17/4 35/3 56/7 66/13 67/2 68/1 69/6 means [7] 14/18 39/19 43/5 67/4 67/6 67/13 68/1 meant [2] 25/7 39/16 measure [2] 51/10 medium [2] 26/5 26/22 meet [1] 48/22 11/6 14/4 41/13 42/23 47/10 47/11 47/12 54/5 64/17 meetings [15] 10/23 10/24 11/10 14/6 36/17 36/20 37/20 37/21 38/1 40/25 41/14 41/23 42/14 42/19 46/14 member [3] 51/23 58/2 68/10 members [1] 47/23 memory [4] 4/17 32/3 Mr Bansal's [1] 41/21 64/2 **mentioned [4]** 34/10 42/15 62/4 71/18

metrics [2] 51/9 52/6 middle [2] 21/9 21/24 might [2] 39/13 46/16 milliseconds [2] 61/2 61/14 mind [4] 25/4 28/4 49/2 64/12 mind's [1] 28/22 minimal [2] 37/7 38/8 minimally [1] 37/24 minor [2] 26/5 26/11 minute [2] 35/8 35/18 mismatch [8] 56/11 56/13 61/22 62/7 62/23 65/16 71/25 72/2 missing [1] 38/22 moment [8] 6/20 13/22 24/5 51/8 62/18 64/24 65/3 71/13 monitoring [7] 21/14 21/16 39/20 59/20 66/23 67/1 67/9 month [3] 6/1 6/2 37/24 monthly [5] 41/2 41/10 46/2 51/9 52/6 months [2] 20/1 62/2 more [10] 14/11 16/14 29/11 30/10 30/23 32/10 34/12 58/24 62/6 70/13 morning [3] 1/9 14/4 34/24 most [6] 18/19 24/13 29/14 49/12 53/25 61/6 move [16] 5/18 6/21 12/19 12/22 13/3 13/20 27/7 31/18 44/14 46/21 49/3 55/2 59/14 69/11 70/4 72/15 moved [1] 19/24 moving [2] 15/4 49/3 Mr [28] 1/5 1/8 1/9 13/13 31/4 35/8 35/16 35/19 36/2 51/21 51/22 51/23 51/25 52/24 52/24 53/12 53/16 53/18 57/20 58/15 65/9 65/10 68/13 70/25 72/12 72/22 73/5 73/6 Mr Bansal [7] 1/5 1/9 35/8 36/2 65/10 70/25 72/12 35/19 Mr Coyne [1] 53/12 Mr Godeseth [3] 51/23 53/16 68/13 message [1] 68/18 Mr Godeseth's [3] 51/22 52/24 53/18

M Mr Jenkins [2] 57/20 58/15 Mr Justice [4] 31/4 51/21 51/25 52/24 Mr Muchow [1] 72/22 Mr Stevens [1] 35/16 Mr Thompson [1] 13/13 much [5] 42/6 55/20 61/13 72/8 72/16 Muchow [2] 72/19 72/22 multiple [2] 16/23 59/11 must [5] 7/5 8/11 33/2 65/23 70/18 my [31] 1/9 4/7 6/15 7/7 7/8 8/22 9/14 9/17 10/8 10/20 11/4 11/13 notified [1] 69/13 19/23 21/13 28/4 28/22 34/16 36/4 41/10 51/1 51/17 51/17 53/1 53/24 58/25 60/12 64/12 64/24 68/3 69/15 71/1 myself [2] 10/23 48/8 name [4] 1/9 1/12 67/24 68/14 names [1] 41/21 national [2] 3/21 61/17

nature [2] 28/7 56/14 near [1] 48/15 necessarily [5] 2/22 42/12 54/1 58/9 70/2 need [8] 13/23 38/25 42/3 49/14 57/22 59/1 69/16 70/13 needed [3] 24/19 24/20 48/3 needs [1] 28/8 negative [1] 48/3 network [2] 17/25 49/7 never [4] 27/5 53/20 68/6 68/7 new [5] 7/8 27/21 39/2 48/2 53/8 next [3] 29/4 49/15 63/9 NFSP [3] 59/16 59/17 59/23 no [26] 3/24 5/2 5/17 6/10 14/22 15/2 23/10 26/21 27/5 27/5 30/16 30/23 39/9 44/3 50/22 50/22 56/19 58/5 60/21 62/3 62/8 64/3 64/23 65/20 67/24 72/10

None [2] 4/2 65/8 normally [1] 32/15 **not [45]** 3/5 5/9 5/22 8/22 17/6 17/10 22/10 officer [2] 70/11 23/10 25/16 26/25 28/14 32/15 37/7 38/9 offices [1] 3/20 38/11 38/17 38/17 38/18 39/7 39/13 40/6 40/15 41/21 42/12 43/6 52/19 53/10 53/13 53/22 54/1 58/14 61/7 62/1 65/18 on [117] 66/16 66/21 66/22 66/23 67/17 68/15 70/22 note [2] 44/15 44/17 nothing [2] 49/1 66/9 **notice** [1] 68/14 now [29] 2/6 2/7 2/13 5/18 7/1 7/3 11/24 15/3 21/24 23/16 31/3 ones [6] 4/24 37/8 36/14 37/3 38/15 41/25 42/25 46/22 51/19 56/12 63/12 66/1 66/2 69/11 71/1 71/14 number [18] 2/19 9/6 only [3] 31/16 33/5 10/10 17/5 27/8 27/12 39/18 27/13 29/17 30/21 31/4 33/15 33/16 48/9 onwards [1] 55/13 51/12 59/25 65/21 66/10 69/23

**objective [1]** 16/12 obtain [1] 52/14 obviously [4] 22/16 27/9 66/23 70/18 occasion [4] 14/7 33/13 39/11 56/5 occasionally [1] 59/12 occurring [1] 51/13 October [1] 2/10 off [3] 16/22 21/23 64/16 offered [1] 17/6 office [54] 5/3 7/25 8/24 9/20 9/23 10/6 10/18 11/7 13/6 13/14 16/7 17/17 29/9 30/3 30/21 32/4 37/12 37/15 37/19 37/22 38/11 38/12 38/24 39/9 39/13 40/4 40/19 out [14] 3/10 3/20 41/16 41/17 41/19 42/24 43/18 44/2 45/14 45/20 50/8 51/1 53/3 53/7 54/3 54/9 55/3 55/7 55/22 59/7 59/10 60/13 61/17

63/13 63/20 64/10 64/14 68/10 70/19 Office's [1] 52/18 71/21 offline [2] 14/25 17/6 often [3] 37/21 41/3 66/18 **Oh [1]** 68/3 okay [6] 16/22 35/1 56/18 57/23 58/3 58/9 35/7 68/8 70/24 71/23 once [4] 13/16 28/9 36/8 49/23 one [19] 4/18 12/19 12/19 13/2 16/3 16/14 21/24 21/25 26/17 26/25 31/21 31/21 32/14 38/16 49/15 57/16 59/14 62/16 69/15 31/24 33/3 35/8 35/18 67/8 68/24 69/1 69/15 ongoing [2] 25/6 50/25 Online [7] 11/20 11/21 11/22 11/23 11/25 15/4 65/23 onto [1] 22/18 open [4] 21/8 22/8

34/18 51/1

22/14

opened [2] 21/23

opening [1] 21/20

operated [1] 56/17

**optimum [1]** 61/8

options [3] 37/6

orally [1] 1/16

originally [1] 38/23

other [9] 5/1 25/1

34/16 34/19 37/8

46/15 48/23 62/18

otherwise [2] 18/20

our [5] 37/16 39/18

39/19 39/20 59/8

52/21 54/10 54/19

outstanding [1]

38/17 57/5

or [83]

72/9

27/3

68/15

13/1 34/1

own [5] 34/21 57/6 58/8 60/10 60/12 owned [1] 8/11 owner [4] 18/16 18/18 18/20 70/5 **P1 [2]** 24/25 26/8 pack [1] 33/14 package [1] 71/7 packaged [1] 67/4 page [45] 1/25 4/5 5/5 5/7 7/16 8/13 9/2 9/13 13/23 16/9 16/11 18/14 18/14 20/4 20/22 23/4 23/21 23/22 25/20 28/18 28/19 31/18 32/19 33/20 36/6 36/7 37/3 40/10 43/12 43/16 44/4 45/10 46/21 47/8 49/3 51/7 51/21 52/10 54/12 59/18 60/22 63/6 63/22 64/15 66/3 Page 1 [1] 66/3 page 10 [2] 9/2 20/22 page 11 [1] 63/6 opportunity [1] 29/8 page 12 [4] 23/4 46/21 47/8 64/15 page 13 [1] 36/6 page 14 [1] 36/7 page 16 [2] 37/3 49/3 page 2 [2] 8/13 59/18 order [2] 9/15 46/24 page 21 [1] 25/20 page 22 [1] 28/19 page 23 [2] 23/22 32/19 page 3 [2] 5/5 63/22 page 4 [2] 43/12 others [4] 2/24 12/24 45/10 page 48 [1] 60/22 page 5 [2] 7/16 9/13 page 6 [5] 4/5 16/11 18/14 18/14 33/20 page 7 [1] 1/25 10/4 16/12 19/23 48/3 page 9 [2] 43/16 51/7 page 97 [1] 51/21 pages [1] 1/20 60/4 66/9 66/16 66/20 paid [1] 25/8 **Palladino [1]** 8/15 outage [2] 17/24 18/2 paper [7] 59/1 59/2

17/10 over [11] 5/7 12/16 20/4 27/10 29/4 36/11 62/15 overall [4] 11/9 27/11 51/11 52/8 overnight [2] 29/6 29/12 overridden [1] 32/25 oversee [1] 20/17 overview [2] 10/11 60/17

59/6 59/9 65/15 72/4 72/6 paragraph [14] 4/5 44/4 52/10 53/24 57/9 5/6 9/13 23/6 32/20 44/16 47/17 49/14 51/25 52/4 52/4 57/20 63/9 71/2 paragraph 1.4 [1] 52/4 paragraph 12 [1] 5/6 paragraph 16 [1] 9/13 paragraph 19 [1] 4/5 paragraph 322 [1] 51/25 paragraph 324 [1] 52/4 paragraph 4.1.2.1 [1] 23/6 **paragraphs** [2] 53/12 70/17 Parker [1] 31/1 part [8] 5/13 11/6 25/17 26/20 28/15 37/4 45/15 60/23 Participants [1] 65/2 **particular** [13] 19/7 26/21 33/15 34/10 34/14 39/22 42/17 46/17 48/9 49/6 59/25 62/16 70/19 particularly [1] 49/7 parties [1] 49/24 party [3] 9/4 59/13 64/13 pass [2] 9/25 60/5 passage [1] 2/21 passed [3] 4/23 9/7 passing [2] 9/22 10/9 Pat [3] 68/9 68/9 68/10 Pathway [19] 2/14 3/7 3/18 5/19 6/3 6/11 6/19 7/8 7/19 7/20 8/5 8/11 8/12 8/16 9/5 11/14 63/11 63/17 64/19 Pathway's [1] 9/9 Pathway/Peritas [1] patience [1] 72/8 pattern [1] 21/1 pause [7] 5/25 6/2 6/2 14/21 70/14 70/23 71/22 paused [1] 5/24 Payment [4] 7/21 8/1 8/5 63/25 payments [7] 56/11 56/13 61/22 62/7 62/23 71/24 72/2 PC0204263 [2] 71/17

71/25

Р	
PC024765 [1] 71/17 PDA [4] 8/12 8/16	
11/7 64/19 <b>PEAK [9]</b> 33/22 33/22 34/18 46/22 46/25	
47/5 47/9 47/18 48/23 <b>PEAKs [11]</b> 33/16 46/24 47/17 47/19	
47/22 48/18 48/19 66/10 69/5 69/8 71/16 penny [1] 31/21	
penultimate [1] 59/15	
<b>people [10]</b> 3/10 3/22 22/25 25/8 26/14 33/15 34/11 41/23 45/5 45/19	
per [2] 14/11 27/10 performance [3] 51/11 52/8 61/5	
performed [1] 21/15	I
period [10] 12/21 17/24 25/11 29/4 46/3 59/25 59/25 62/13	
62/15 67/11 periods [2] 31/8	
31/11 Peritas [7] 2/9 2/13	
4/1 4/7 5/19 6/3 7/8 permanent [5] 37/5	ı
37/13 38/12 38/18 39/16	ı
permission [2] 71/14 71/20	
person [4] 19/11 19/13 40/15 41/20	1
personally [1] 4/14 perspective [2] 6/7 41/19	ı
pertinent [1] 50/19 perusing [1] 5/3	ı
phase [1] 48/9 pick [1] 58/20	ı
picked [4] 6/6 54/6 61/10 61/16 PinICL [9] 9/6 9/9	I
10/9 11/7 47/6 63/18 63/19 64/6 64/8	ı
<b>PinICL's [2]</b> 64/9 64/10	
<b>PinICLs [4]</b> 46/23 46/24 63/7 63/7	
<b>place [3]</b> 7/12 11/1 22/5	
placement [1] 45/4 plan [3] 3/2 12/6 57/24	
Plans [1] 44/6 play [1] 23/12	ı
please [69] 1/11 1/25 4/3 4/4 5/5 6/22 7/16	
8/14 9/2 9/12 12/3	

```
12/7 13/20 14/1 15/14 17/8 25/10
16/4 16/11 16/18
18/15 20/23 23/4
23/22 25/20 28/18
31/18 31/23 32/18
33/20 36/3 37/3 40/8
41/25 43/1 43/12
43/16 44/14 45/10
46/21 49/3 50/23 51/6
51/6 51/19 52/12 55/2
55/24 56/1 59/16
60/22 63/6 66/7 67/3
67/21 68/8 68/13
68/16 68/17 68/18
68/21 68/24 69/11
69/12 69/18 70/4 70/6
70/7 70/16 70/22
71/19
pm [4] 35/22 35/24
65/22 73/1
POA [2] 18/18 44/18
POCL [6] 7/24 8/10
8/12 8/16 63/25 64/19 postmasters [3] 28/7
POCL's [1] 8/6
point [20] 6/9 7/13
7/14 15/6 18/16 22/5
26/12 27/25 34/24
36/10 37/20 41/8 50/1
50/7 56/8 57/10 58/4
58/23 59/15 66/10
points [6] 12/2 15/12
48/24 54/4 54/5 54/18 33/10 33/12
POL [10] 33/25 37/9
37/10 39/4 39/5 41/14
41/15 42/11 57/23
57/25
POL's [1] 40/11
POL00002091 [1]
59/17
POL00022840 [1]
51/20
POL00028830 [1]
71/15
POL00029084 [1]
55/25
POL00029327 [1]
12/3
POL00029460 [1]
70/4
POL00029493 [1]
69/11
POL00029568 [1]
30/25
POL00029671 [2]
33/20 36/3
policy [1] 52/16
POLS [1] 40/11
POLSAP [2] 34/6
44/10
position [4] 5/23 22/7 principle [1] 11/5
27/19 66/8
positions [1] 13/12
positive [1] 48/3
possible [3] 8/23
```

```
17/7 23/14 23/17
                       23/22 23/24 24/7
possibly [9] 11/10
20/9 36/18 55/13
59/24 61/20 62/11
62/17 70/9
post [55] 7/25 8/24
9/20 9/22 10/6 10/18
11/7 13/6 13/13 16/7
17/17 29/9 30/3 30/21
32/4 37/12 37/15
37/19 37/21 38/11
38/12 38/24 39/9
39/13 40/4 40/19
41/16 41/16 41/18
42/23 42/24 43/18
44/2 45/14 45/20 50/8
51/1 52/18 53/3 53/7
54/3 54/9 55/3 55/7
55/21 59/7 59/10
60/13 61/16 63/13
63/20 64/10 64/14
68/10 70/19
29/9 56/20
potential [1] 27/23
potentially [13] 10/2
10/3 12/17 12/19 13/1
17/3 17/5 27/18 29/3
39/21 42/14 48/4
67/11
practice [3] 24/23
practised [1] 40/24
prayers [7] 14/1 14/2
14/3 14/3 14/6 67/22
68/1
precisely [1] 41/3
predecessor [1]
51/17
prefer [4] 35/11
35/14 35/15 61/8
preparation [2] 3/6
47/10
present [1] 24/5
presentation [5]
60/24 61/24 61/25
62/22 62/24
presenting [1] 71/21
pressure [3] 27/5
50/20 60/19
presumably [5] 7/5
43/13 48/16 51/22
57/24
presume [1] 14/12
previous [2] 53/13
66/8
previously [2] 43/2
52/11
primary [1] 71/7
prior [2] 6/8 7/9
prioritise [1] 25/2
prioritised [1] 25/9
priority [36] 17/3
```

```
24/11 24/11 24/13
24/14 24/15 24/16
24/17 24/23 25/5 25/7
25/11 25/17 25/20
32/23 32/23 32/25
33/4 33/6 33/8 33/16
34/12 34/15 36/8
36/21 36/22 38/2 38/4
38/5 42/15
priority 4 [1] 34/12
priority's [1] 23/19
proactive [6] 18/9
21/2 48/16 48/17
48/25 61/20
proactively [1] 48/5
probably [3] 35/5
49/1 57/22
problem [155]
problem's [1] 23/18
problems [20] 16/17
19/11 19/13 24/24
36/16 38/1 38/2 38/4
41/1 47/1 47/2 52/20
55/18 57/16 57/17
61/21 62/10 65/22
69/23 69/25
procedure [4] 21/11
43/3 53/4 53/8
procedures [2] 10/25
53/20
process [31] 13/19
15/16 15/19 15/21
15/24 16/12 16/20
16/21 18/16 18/18
18/20 18/24 20/18
20/21 20/25 21/10
21/12 21/13 22/12
33/2 36/9 37/4 45/13
46/11 51/9 51/11
51/11 52/7 52/8 58/8
58/15
processes [2] 16/18
45/6
processing [2] 29/6
60/25
procured [1] 45/16
produced [3] 40/18
40/19 50/25
producing [2] 48/10
49/16
programme [1] 3/3
progress [3] 36/23
58/1 72/14
progression [2] 9/7
20/3
project [3] 2/18 2/23
5/24
projects [1] 2/19
proposal [1] 13/11
prospectively [1]
69/22
protocols [1] 10/25
```

provide [10] 12/7 13/12 47/15 49/18 52/23 54/12 57/25 60/24 62/21 64/5 **provided [5]** 10/6 13/24 22/15 38/10 40/10 **providing [4]** 12/10 12/16 36/25 51/2 **pull [1]** 28/16 purely [3] 5/2 6/6 16/6 purpose [3] 44/25 47/14 50/15 purposes [1] 24/5 put [17] 3/5 3/11 5/7 16/5 22/18 27/3 39/24 39/25 54/7 56/18 56/21 56/24 56/24 60/19 66/1 69/16 71/20 Q qualifications [2] 5/21 5/22 question [4] 16/5 28/23 38/16 71/1 questions [10] 1/8 1/10 2/8 64/23 64/24 65/1 65/9 72/9 73/5 73/6

Quicker [1] 35/5 quite [7] 6/24 7/1 33/17 41/12 44/19 57/17 59/4 quotes [1] 52/24

raise [6] 17/9 21/17 21/19 57/22 58/4 64/5 raised [11] 16/22 22/17 32/5 33/17 33/19 55/7 58/23 63/10 63/16 64/8 64/9 ranges [1] 27/9 ranging [1] 37/7 rather [9] 4/25 30/3 32/7 33/10 35/15 60/7 62/5 64/13 69/21 reactive [1] 18/9 read [7] 1/23 4/9 4/24 33/14 49/14 70/13 70/18 really [2] 57/17 67/16 reason [1] 44/7 recall [21] 4/16 5/9 6/23 6/24 10/5 10/8 13/13 34/7 37/25 40/21 40/23 42/13 53/15 55/11 59/22 60/9 60/19 61/18 62/1 62/16 65/16 receipts [8] 56/11 56/13 61/21 62/6

rollout [4] 3/16 3/21 28/15 28/17 25/12 33/12 34/7 47/17 49/9 50/10 52/5 R 36/16 51/14 66/22 52/13 52/17 52/25 relates [1] 71/16 3/22 5/23 receipts... [4] 62/22 root [10] 16/14 17/11 **Relating [1]** 24/18 66/22 66/23 69/10 55/5 57/21 63/8 63/12 65/15 71/24 72/1 relation [2] 4/25 8/18 resolver [7] 20/5 18/3 18/5 36/9 38/13 63/15 64/11 64/16 receive [1] 6/11 67/20 **relatively [1]** 27/21 20/14 23/8 26/24 38/15 51/13 54/19 received [4] 4/6 4/12 Release [2] 67/2 67/4 36/11 36/17 38/9 70/21 scale [2] 26/7 55/20 6/13 16/8 releases [1] 48/2 roughly [4] 7/14 20/2 resources [1] 34/12 scenario [9] 12/18 recent [1] 61/3 relevant [5] 9/19 respect [5] 4/9 10/16 67/16 67/19 26/8 29/4 34/14 34/21 recently [1] 1/23 47/21 48/24 50/6 row [3] 14/9 42/1 37/16 39/22 40/1 59/3 10/18 13/17 31/7 recognise [2] 40/13 49/24 **respective [1]** 13/12 42/4 scenarios [2] 59/8 40/14 reliant [1] 36/24 respond [1] 23/8 **Royal [1]** 15/17 60/6 recognised [1] 57/11 remain [2] 3/22 69/10 response [1] 23/11 **run [1]** 9/15 scope [4] 16/13 45/8 recollection [13] remained [2] 3/24 responsibilities [2] **running [3]** 14/15 58/1 62/2 2/21 5/14 8/21 8/22 score [27] 23/24 24/1 11/13 18/13 64/16 20/8 49/17 10/14 10/20 11/4 remember [6] 34/10 responsibility [3] runs [1] 1/20 24/6 24/7 27/3 27/3 11/11 14/17 21/14 41/3 41/22 48/14 27/11 28/3 28/18 18/24 19/6 48/8 41/10 46/4 47/13 28/20 28/21 29/1 29/2 58/21 68/11 responsible [5] recollections [1] **sadly [1]** 65/5 30/7 30/8 30/17 31/24 remove [4] 50/4 18/19 20/5 42/20 48/6 2/23 Saheed [3] 53/5 53/6 50/11 50/12 50/18 31/25 32/9 32/11 51/15 recommendation [1] rest [2] 33/14 49/11 53/9 repeat [1] 28/22 32/12 32/22 33/4 33/5 40/7 said [12] 22/24 32/20 33/5 33/8 33/10 replacement [1] result [3] 9/16 52/15 record [14] 9/16 21/8 40/4 41/1 48/24 50/13 53/10 72/1 score 2 [1] 30/17 21/19 21/20 21/22 50/13 50/17 54/10 results [5] 9/17 9/19 scores [4] 24/4 24/11 report [15] 30/24 22/14 22/15 22/22 57/16 63/7 69/9 10/17 11/2 29/20 25/24 33/6 30/25 33/23 47/9 22/22 32/5 33/3 33/22 said/she [1] 50/13 49/16 49/23 49/25 retained [1] 31/9 screen [6] 6/21 36/3 52/20 58/24 **Salawu [2]** 53/5 53/6 50/3 50/19 50/24 retrieve [1] 54/21 57/4 60/22 65/4 66/6 recorded [4] 47/1 **Salawu's [1]** 53/10 53/12 55/6 60/24 retro [1] 69/19 scripts [2] 9/14 64/21 50/3 54/9 63/8 Sam [1] 1/10 63/19 70/5 scroll [7] 16/4 66/4 retrospectively [3] recording [1] 50/15 same [9] 5/5 9/2 52/21 54/10 54/14 67/21 68/8 68/12 reported [7] 11/8 records [4] 37/15 19/25 25/16 35/6 68/17 70/15 17/17 28/10 31/1 return [1] 3/21 43/18 53/11 55/7 42/12 43/16 46/24 31/16 51/9 52/6 returned [1] 11/16 scrolling [1] 70/12 recovery [1] 12/18 reporting [10] 9/4 9/6 returning [1] 5/3 69/24 **SDM [5]** 19/2 19/3 rectification [1] **sanity [1]** 13/11 10/3 28/1 28/7 28/9 19/24 65/12 65/22 reused [1] 31/12 15/10 Sarah [1] 68/23 46/22 47/17 47/18 reverse [2] 69/21 **SDMs [1]** 41/20 rectify [1] 47/2 69/24 saw [2] 52/10 56/9 53/14 second [14] 4/6 4/20 refer [6] 2/23 2/24 say [63] 2/17 2/22 15/16 21/7 21/25 24/3 reports [3] 44/9 49/4 review [12] 8/19 4/3 39/7 39/9 71/15 3/16 5/17 5/20 6/13 13/11 28/15 36/15 30/7 44/16 47/17 49/9 52/14 reference [6] 4/4 7/4 7/15 8/8 9/13 10/7 36/15 37/14 40/12 49/14 52/2 71/2 71/4 representation [1] 6/17 10/9 22/21 22/23 10/16 11/3 11/7 11/11 41/18 49/24 50/18 55/4 section [8] 20/23 36/4 12/13 14/22 17/16 55/11 55/18 23/5 23/17 26/17 representatives [1] referred [7] 29/25 17/23 23/10 23/12 51/21 60/23 64/16 42/24 reviewed [3] 40/20 32/10 33/22 46/1 46/7 25/10 25/13 26/12 **requested [2]** 4/10 54/4 60/10 70/24 49/5 53/11 26/20 27/25 28/1 30/6 reviewer [1] 43/13 section 4.1.1 [1] 55/16 referring [8] 4/13 30/22 32/13 32/20 20/23 require [2] 52/22 reviews [1] 4/7 22/2 23/23 44/11 33/10 33/21 34/12 right [25] 1/21 2/11 section 7 [1] 64/16 54/11 56/11 62/19 63/13 35/8 37/23 37/24 required [2] 37/6 2/15 2/19 3/4 11/14 see [49] 1/3 1/16 3/8 72/6 39/12 39/15 39/20 19/16 19/20 23/2 26/2 6/22 7/3 8/14 10/12 37/13 refers [14] 14/9 18/9 40/17 40/23 41/16 requirements [5] 8/9 27/10 31/16 35/16 13/21 14/8 14/16 16/5 22/12 25/21 30/6 41/21 42/22 47/7 38/22 38/23 38/25 43/5 56/25 57/1 57/7 16/16 20/24 21/9 30/20 42/7 44/4 45/10 47/19 49/1 50/24 54/2 39/2 59/18 63/3 65/14 21/15 23/3 23/16 49/4 51/9 51/25 52/3 54/18 56/19 57/1 requires [4] 37/9 66/25 67/2 67/10 68/8 25/21 27/9 28/16 52/4 58/12 58/14 60/15 30/25 31/18 31/21 37/10 39/4 39/5 71/1 reflect [2] 44/17 62/12 62/18 64/11 requiring [1] 37/8 right-hand [1] 59/18 35/3 35/25 39/10 65/11 68/5 68/6 71/12 71/13 resilience [3] 12/11 **RMGA [2]** 15/15 39/19 40/1 42/7 43/7 reflection [1] 62/10 saying [4] 8/4 14/10 44/15 46/20 52/2 12/14 12/16 15/17 regard [2] 23/19 **resolution [14]** 17/7 **Robson [1]** 8/16 39/10 51/5 52/10 56/7 58/16 53/18 says [44] 4/6 5/8 7/17 59/18 65/4 66/3 66/4 20/6 20/13 25/3 29/10 role [13] 6/11 8/17 regarded [1] 26/9 12/6 14/9 14/14 18/17 29/10 36/19 37/9 37/9 9/14 10/13 11/13 13/9 66/24 67/1 68/13 regarding [1] 11/1 21/8 21/10 23/3 23/22 38/21 39/4 39/5 49/20 20/4 20/16 56/6 56/7 68/22 69/4 71/2 71/15 regular [3] 36/17 29/13 29/15 29/22 67/14 65/11 65/25 68/11 71/24 72/24 37/14 41/2 30/1 30/7 30/8 30/17 resolve [3] 24/14 roles [2] 41/15 41/16 seeing [4] 18/25 regularity [3] 36/20 30/25 33/24 37/5 37/8 34/5 68/25 **roll [1]** 29/11 44/12 47/24 47/25 37/1 46/17 38/8 43/17 44/8 44/22 seen [4] 33/23 43/14 resolved [13] 17/1 rolled [1] 57/9 related [3] 28/14 45/18 45/22 47/8 22/3 24/20 24/24 44/20 44/21 rolling [1] 3/20

**shown [1]** 70/8 58/7 43/25 46/25 46/25 S **soon [1]** 17/8 **shows [1]** 31/5 **STEVE [13]** 1/7 14/15 57/6 62/10 66/14 **sorry [13]** 19/20 send [2] 50/2 64/6 side [2] 9/10 42/16 28/22 30/8 36/6 43/8 31/1 34/3 47/9 49/25 69/15 69/19 69/22 sending [1] 49/25 sign [1] 64/16 44/7 44/24 44/25 50/1 50/1 50/4 53/1 **systems [3]** 47/6 sends [1] 58/17 59/15 61/24 64/8 65/6 53/9 72/19 73/4 57/13 64/18 signature [1] 2/1 senior [4] 10/22 significant [9] 29/16 69/13 **Steven [1]** 1/13 41/20 53/2 58/2 29/18 30/6 30/11 sort [4] 22/2 42/15 **STEVENS [4]** 1/8 sense [3] 23/8 23/11 tab [2] 14/8 41/25 30/15 49/6 57/17 50/7 68/2 1/10 35/16 73/5 32/10 still [3] 11/19 27/22 table [8] 23/22 23/23 57/19 62/6 sorted [1] 67/7 sent [2] 13/17 34/3 25/22 27/8 29/13 similar [1] 46/8 sought [1] 52/14 61/7 sentence [2] 4/6 5/8 31/22 32/20 32/23 **Simon [1]** 8/15 sound [3] 56/25 57/7 **stop [1]** 35/15 **September [3]** 56/1 stopped [1] 26/9 tabs [1] 44/10 **simple [1]** 8/3 57/14 71/16 72/4 take [12] 9/21 15/2 single [5] 12/14 sounds [2] 57/1 **stopper [2]** 26/7 September 2010 [1] 26/14 27/16 27/23 16/23 17/17 27/10 57/15 26/12 56/1 28/25 35/10 37/16 28/10 **stopping [2]** 7/20 **span [1]** 29/11 series [2] 14/12 38/16 42/25 54/22 sir [14] 1/3 1/5 34/23 specific [6] 5/15 5/22 26/13 42/10 68/24 35/17 35/21 35/25 12/23 20/14 30/16 **SU [1]** 72/1 serious [1] 32/25 taken [6] 23/3 26/19 65/1 65/8 71/14 72/7 54/1 subcontract [1] server [2] 12/13 47/3 49/20 55/24 57/3 72/10 72/17 72/18 specifically [2] 40/15 45/19 12/14 takes [2] 36/11 61/3 72/21 65/16 subcontracted [1] serves [1] 32/3 site [2] 12/19 30/19 taking [1] 61/11 specification [3] 6/19 2/14 service [42] 12/15 sites [1] 30/22 talk [5] 18/4 45/19 7/19 63/5 subheading [1] 5/7 12/15 12/21 15/15 six [2] 6/2 62/2 speed [3] 24/24 48/20 59/19 59/22 subpostmasters [4] 15/20 17/6 17/24 talking [3] 5/4 8/3 **SLA [7]** 22/1 22/4 68/20 69/5 4/8 28/1 30/4 61/17 18/18 18/19 18/22 18/5 25/14 25/15 25/15 **spoken [1]** 53/1 subsequently [5] 19/2 19/3 19/4 19/5 talks [1] 46/22 61/7 61/15 17/9 53/24 54/17 **spread [1]** 40/9 19/12 19/15 19/21 targets [1] 24/16 **SLAs [1]** 25/16 spreadsheet [14] 58/17 59/9 19/23 21/25 22/4 26/9 **substance** [1] 44/16 task [1] 45/2 slide [1] 62/4 13/24 22/18 34/2 42/1 26/13 29/17 33/1 44/9 44/11 44/12 **substantive [2]** 50/12 tasks [1] 45/7 slightly [3] 6/21 16/4 41/19 41/20 43/2 45/11 45/18 45/23 team [17] 7/6 7/13 43/8 62/20 43/23 43/24 45/7 18/22 19/23 20/11 46/7 46/8 46/16 46/17 slow [1] 41/13 such [5] 8/11 17/1 45/16 47/16 47/23 32/16 33/1 45/8 47/10 **small [2]** 12/2 31/20 51/12 52/20 61/21 spreadsheets [1] 51/12 52/8 53/2 53/4 47/11 47/15 47/23 sudden [1] 47/19 40/21 smaller [1] 66/6 53/6 55/10 58/11 47/24 48/13 48/19 **SMC [2]** 21/15 22/10 **SSC [3]** 20/7 20/9 sufficient [4] 4/20 63/25 65/12 49/11 58/11 **SME [2]** 36/24 56/10 20/10 12/14 34/5 36/25 services [9] 14/3 teams [8] 34/19 **SMEs [2]** 25/1 26/3 **staff [3]** 44/1 58/3 suggest [3] 37/23 17/8 63/8 63/10 63/12 34/21 36/18 36/25 so [145] 58/8 41/20 61/14 63/16 64/5 64/11 39/19 48/9 48/11 stage [8] 9/24 11/18 **software [5]** 5/10 **suggested [2]** 26/13 64/14 49/20 39/24 61/4 61/7 63/17 21/22 31/19 36/14 54/25 set [2] 23/19 39/2 technical [3] 20/10 sole [1] 12/23 45/11 56/6 57/3 suggesting [1] 65/14 sets [1] 16/12 36/12 51/4 solely [1] 2/17 **stages [1]** 9/21 **suggestion [1]** 68/19 seven [1] 1/20 **stand [2]** 15/17 68/3 technically [2] 3/5 **solicitors [2]** 52/19 **suggests [1]** 31/6 several [1] 34/11 4/19 54/9 **stands** [1] 2/6 summary [5] 12/7 severe [3] 17/25 31/13 59/3 61/1 61/9 technique [1] 4/21 **solution [3]** 37/6 **start [8]** 2/9 18/12 30/10 49/7 tell [2] 70/10 71/11 20/21 21/24 21/25 supplied [1] 71/6 37/13 38/12 severity [4] 17/3 template [1] 49/22 some [30] 2/7 3/5 3/7 48/15 48/15 63/3 **Supplier [1]** 42/9 23/14 26/10 29/7 ten [2] 35/8 35/18 6/5 6/24 7/1 7/11 9/24 started [7] 3/20 7/9 support [16] 6/4 6/6 shadowing [1] 6/14 terms [6] 8/4 28/20 15/12 18/12 25/19 19/23 37/20 40/23 6/14 20/11 20/13 **shall [2]** 54/2 58/12 40/25 41/15 57/17 28/12 31/6 31/20 41/9 72/14 20/19 25/2 29/9 29/9 shared [3] 42/23 41/18 41/20 45/8 45/8 starting [4] 12/2 22/6 34/20 36/18 36/25 58/21 55/21 59/10 test [15] 7/18 9/15 45/9 50/14 50/18 25/22 49/9 39/18 51/4 56/9 58/14 she [2] 34/15 50/13 9/16 9/17 9/18 9/20 54/15 54/18 54/22 **state [2]** 1/11 45/12 supposed [1] 67/7 sheet [1] 40/9 10/13 10/17 10/18 62/13 62/14 62/14 **stated [2]** 38/23 sure [7] 23/10 50/3 Sheila [1] 68/24 11/1 12/5 13/5 13/6 66/13 68/21 68/25 52/18 56/18 57/23 58/15 short [1] 35/23 64/16 64/21 someone [4] 20/7 statement [12] 1/18 66/9 66/16 shorter [1] 46/3 tester [8] 3/18 5/20 20/9 20/9 42/20 1/23 2/1 2/3 2/6 2/17 suspect [1] 9/24 should [12] 1/17 6/8 6/12 7/4 9/14 4/3 5/5 7/4 9/12 10/21 something [12] **suspense [6]** 31/4 13/19 22/3 22/22 10/13 10/23 25/10 26/6 26/13 28/7 15/5 31/7 31/9 42/8 56/25 33/21 35/7 35/9 37/14 28/8 38/24 39/19 states [3] 15/5 15/19 testers [1] 6/15 57/2 41/16 48/22 57/24 testing [23] 3/23 3/24 40/18 40/19 40/19 system [25] 2/15 61/1 60/20 5/18 6/5 6/7 6/19 7/6 61/16 62/20 **status [1]** 47/16 3/17 7/19 7/21 7/24 **show [2]** 26/7 26/12 7/10 7/13 7/14 8/4 **Sometimes** [1] 68/1 **stay [1]** 13/22 8/1 8/5 8/6 9/5 9/6 **showed [1]** 57/12 step [2] 25/23 37/4 8/10 8/23 8/24 9/9 15/11 15/13 21/16 somewhere [2] 24/8 **showing [1]** 34/2 9/22 10/4 11/13 11/23 31/3 38/10 43/23 steps [3] 23/4 49/20 30/15

64/6 65/7 65/11 67/6 64/13 40/14 41/6 41/7 41/8 Т Torstein [1] 68/16 67/13 70/24 71/17 this [171] **Total [1]** 21/24 50/3 50/10 55/11 testing... [4] 11/25 there [80] **Thompson [2]** 13/6 towards [1] 11/19 types [3] 10/11 44/11 12/2 12/23 63/5 there's [11] 13/5 13/13 track [3] 36/15 36/23 50/11 tests [2] 9/19 10/18 20/24 25/22 26/21 those [19] 3/12 14/6 47/18 typing [1] 49/21 text [2] 68/18 68/21 33/21 35/5 44/15 66/1 17/7 23/9 25/16 28/13 trading [2] 31/8 **TfS [2]** 55/8 55/9 67/13 68/20 68/21 32/6 36/20 37/1 37/21 31/11 than [20] 1/5 4/25 ultimately [1] 48/8 train [3] 3/10 3/22 thereafter [2] 34/8 38/4 40/2 47/2 48/23 14/11 27/3 27/25 28/1 under [6] 19/2 26/19 54/4 54/5 54/18 60/6 59/11 5/11 29/11 30/3 30/10 39/10 40/2 43/16 therefore [4] 31/10 60/7 trained [1] 3/6 30/10 32/7 33/10 50/20 39/25 53/11 65/20 though [1] 18/12 trainee [5] 3/18 5/20 34/12 35/5 35/15 these [18] 11/10 underlying [3] 16/14 thought [2] 27/4 7/4 9/14 10/13 48/23 61/11 62/5 17/20 18/1 14/17 23/3 24/3 24/6 69/13 trainer [2] 3/22 4/20 64/13 69/21 understand [10] 3/1 37/20 38/1 41/14 three [10] 6/1 8/15 **trainers [3]** 3/6 3/12 thank [37] 1/14 2/6 11/21 17/14 19/15 41/23 42/13 44/9 45/5 13/4 21/23 25/15 5/24 4/5 6/22 15/2 15/3 27/20 31/17 36/18 46/2 47/8 52/23 54/12 41/23 44/10 64/20 trainers' [1] 5/1 18/15 23/5 25/20 27/7 43/5 48/4 53/9 54/13 63/18 66/13 70/17 training [21] 2/10 31/23 35/21 36/1 36/2 2/14 2/18 3/2 3/3 3/6 understanding [6] they [51] 2/5 4/21 through [16] 10/3 36/6 36/7 42/1 42/5 3/9 3/12 3/25 4/9 4/11 9/18 10/16 10/19 11/9 4/24 8/20 11/6 11/8 18/11 21/20 23/2 42/6 42/25 43/22 29/13 32/4 33/14 4/14 4/18 4/25 5/15 11/13 45/9 21/15 21/16 24/19 46/20 52/12 59/14 24/19 26/18 28/9 35/13 35/14 35/15 5/24 6/3 6/11 6/12 understood [4] 4/12 64/23 65/10 66/3 66/4 41/1 45/17 52/18 54/9 17/10 27/17 27/22 28/14 28/14 28/16 6/13 45/9 66/9 67/21 68/8 72/7 transaction [5] 59/20 underway [1] 32/3 30/22 37/14 38/25 59/2 62/12 72/10 72/16 72/17 39/1 42/14 45/7 45/16 ticket [6] 16/25 17/9 60/25 61/3 61/5 61/10 unexplained [2] 72/18 72/24 17/15 28/2 46/4 46/25 48/11 22/17 22/20 22/21 **transcript** [1] 50/16 that [356] unfold [1] 29/3 48/12 48/12 48/14 25/18 transferred [2] 3/17 that's [31] 2/12 2/20 units [1] 25/2 50/12 50/13 50/16 time [40] 2/21 3/13 5/19 3/14 7/20 7/22 9/13 unjustifiable [1] 53/22 56/21 56/23 3/14 4/8 5/4 5/13 5/16 transmitted [1] 8/5 14/4 22/24 25/1 26/9 39/16 56/24 57/5 57/9 58/12 5/20 5/22 5/25 6/25 treated [1] 24/11 26/13 26/13 26/16 unknown [1] 16/14 58/14 60/14 60/17 7/1 7/11 10/7 13/2 trend [5] 47/18 47/21 26/19 28/7 28/9 28/10 15/6 15/22 17/1 17/24 48/6 55/6 55/20 unless [2] 19/1 61/23 62/19 64/8 66/13 32/7 35/1 36/4 46/7 66/14 66/20 67/1 67/4 21/24 24/19 25/11 unnecessary [2] 50/4 trended [1] 21/18 51/3 57/17 57/19 61/9 50/11 67/6 67/8 69/5 29/11 32/1 32/2 41/10 trending [2] 28/13 63/1 66/7 67/20 69/14 unsure [2] 6/1 64/12 they're [3] 17/6 21/16 43/14 45/14 46/3 48/21 72/10 72/15 until [4] 11/14 17/1 46/23 48/12 51/17 trends [3] 47/21 66/16 their [6] 4/21 13/12 28/12 73/2 thing [4] 41/6 41/7 51/18 60/7 60/16 47/24 48/18 13/12 28/2 45/15 **up [32]** 6/6 6/14 7/2 41/8 50/11 62/11 69/24 71/13 trial [2] 56/21 56/22 64/18 12/3 13/21 15/14 24/6 72/8 72/13 things [9] 11/9 15/1 **TRIOLE [5]** 43/20 them [20] 20/12 36/3 40/8 43/1 43/8 26/10 26/25 28/11 time-frame [1] 46/23 43/21 43/24 55/9 20/17 31/20 34/3 34/5 44/23 50/3 54/6 54/12 28/12 51/12 68/20 timeline [1] 49/19 55/10 37/16 39/16 41/22 58/20 59/5 59/16 69/5 times [2] 61/10 66/19 triparty [2] 10/2 45/8 47/25 51/2 54/4 59/25 61/16 66/2 think [71] 5/13 5/23 title [3] 12/4 13/25 10/24 54/7 60/2 60/3 60/4 67/21 68/8 68/12 7/7 9/1 11/3 11/4 13/1 trivial [2] 30/10 30/14 40/11 60/4 60/5 60/17 64/6 titled [4] 6/18 15/15 68/17 68/17 68/20 14/3 17/12 18/10 true [2] 2/3 3/5 themselves [2] 22/17 69/5 69/17 70/12 23/13 23/14 26/16 42/9 42/11 trump [1] 24/25 64/2 27/16 30/21 32/1 32/3 **Tivoli [1]** 61/4 70/15 71/23 try [3] 56/20 56/22 then [69] 3/25 4/20 update [9] 22/20 32/7 32/13 32/14 today [3] 1/14 1/16 68/25 5/3 5/7 5/18 6/14 7/6 39/24 47/15 61/20 32/16 33/11 33/13 72/18 trying [6] 18/1 18/6 9/16 11/5 11/16 11/16 69/4 71/2 71/7 71/8 33/16 34/14 34/14 together [4] 3/5 3/11 62/11 62/14 69/23 13/6 17/9 19/24 20/21 34/18 38/3 41/9 41/18 28/16 39/25 70/22 21/6 21/8 21/17 21/18 42/3 42/4 42/22 44/21|token [1] 71/6 updated [3] 40/20 turn [28] 1/15 1/25 21/18 21/23 22/18 42/13 44/17 45/11 45/14 46/1 told [2] 22/14 70/3 2/7 4/4 6/17 7/16 8/13 22/19 22/21 22/22 updates [6] 42/9 46/15 48/12 48/12 tomorrow [5] 67/6 9/2 16/11 18/13 20/4 24/2 24/17 24/25 42/11 42/16 45/24 51/17 53/24 54/15 67/12 72/19 72/22 20/22 23/16 25/20 26/10 27/18 27/22 48/2 51/2 54/16 54/18 54/20 72/24 28/18 32/19 33/20 29/6 32/23 35/2 35/9 updating [1] 42/18 54/23 55/23 56/18 36/6 37/3 41/25 43/16 **Tony [1]** 13/7 36/22 37/19 38/6 urgency [22] 24/4 57/15 58/2 58/9 58/23 took [6] 44/12 53/24 45/10 51/7 51/19 38/23 39/21 39/22 24/7 25/13 28/8 28/18 59/4 59/11 59/24 60/1 54/4 58/7 63/3 63/6 59/18 63/6 64/15 39/23 40/7 41/12 44/7 60/8 60/12 61/23 62/9 top [12] 7/2 20/24 28/21 29/1 29/13 64/24 46/2 48/4 48/14 50/17 29/23 30/6 30/8 30/12 two [10] 4/16 4/17 62/11 62/24 63/21 21/9 23/2 24/3 25/23 52/24 53/24 54/16 30/17 30/18 31/24 20/24 24/6 37/11 64/2 64/3 67/20 67/24 27/9 31/22 44/4 58/16 55/18 56/19 57/3 31/25 32/9 32/12 68/2 68/20 68/22 63/8 67/17 41/23 48/22 48/24 57/24 58/1 58/20 32/22 33/5 33/5 38/5 third [6] 20/10 21/9 topic [1] 13/20 62/18 62/25 59/14 62/1 64/5 64/6 urgent [2] 29/11 31/20 57/20 58/12 type [9] 10/5 40/13 topics [1] 38/5

## 38/6 44/7 49/13 52/20 23/14 23/21 23/22 U **words [1]** 56/19 23/23 24/4 24/24 work [10] 3/18 13/19 64/7 69/20 urgent... [1] 29/14 25/24 26/6 26/11 ways [1] 20/24 25/2 34/16 36/12 38/6 us [11] 7/2 11/8 29/14 30/10 32/10 45/15 56/4 60/11 we [197] 16/18 23/13 25/20 we'd [4] 27/19 59/8 38/22 40/15 40/16 60/12 32/4 35/10 64/5 65/8 60/2 62/12 41/16 42/9 42/11 43/4 worked [4] 6/8 25/17 68/1 72/15 44/9 45/24 46/25 48/1 we'll [5] 7/3 13/22 56/5 58/15 use [5] 5/12 9/4 24/4 42/3 42/5 48/11 51/10 51/20 working [4] 5/10 7/14| 11/19 16/24 62/5 52/10 59/12 60/23 we're [10] 1/15 14/8 22/25 29/8 used [8] 8/9 40/21 18/4 18/10 18/14 64/14 64/17 66/2 works [2] 20/21 45/9 41/5 42/19 47/4 47/18 20/19 21/22 22/5 22/7 66/21 67/18 67/18 worry [4] 68/12 68/16 51/10 52/7 40/9 69/9 69/20 71/20 69/16 71/14 useful [1] 6/4 we've [12] 17/10 22/7 while [2] 50/24 50/25 worse [1] 66/25 user [2] 26/17 27/10 23/3 28/12 33/23 36/8 Whilst [1] 31/15 would [174] users [10] 2/15 3/2 44/19 52/1 64/19 White [5] 59/1 59/6 wouldn't [3] 50/19 3/11 5/11 27/8 27/12 67/16 68/4 68/13 65/15 72/4 72/6 56/21 65/19 27/13 27/14 27/19 **who [19]** 3/10 18/23 **Wednesday** [1] 1/1 Wright [1] 58/17 29/17 week [1] 61/2 20/9 22/14 40/15 write [2] 39/22 59/5 using [2] 9/5 49/22 weekly [6] 40/11 41/14 41/14 42/18 writing [2] 6/23 6/24 usually [1] 61/8 43/24 47/11 48/6 41/13 45/25 46/5 written [4] 1/15 21/11 49/17 49/18 51/15 46/18 54/5 26/21 51/4 well [18] 1/16 11/11 53/2 65/7 68/9 70/11 wrong [1] 64/4 vague [4] 4/17 11/4 12/25 13/18 20/17 71/21 11/12 64/2 27/17 35/1 39/11 **who's [2]** 20/5 20/7 vaguely [1] 6/24 year [4] 19/25 20/1 39/14 42/12 46/25 whoever [1] 60/16 validation [2] 12/9 55/19 55/19 48/5 51/23 59/20 61/7 whole [2] 4/15 30/3 71/6 years [2] 31/12 62/25 68/13 72/7 72/11 whom [3] 19/11 value [4] 24/2 25/22 19/13 38/11 went [5] 13/8 41/13 yes [76] 25/24 30/6 whose [2] 19/2 38/20 you [303] 46/4 62/2 62/3 various [5] 14/13 why [15] 26/23 31/25 you'd [2] 7/13 27/18 were [85] 23/3 41/1 45/7 57/4 32/7 33/7 53/23 55/14 you'll [1] 6/22 weren't [4] 2/17 3/2 version [9] 15/16 19/20 70/3 you're [16] 4/13 8/4 58/2 58/15 59/22 43/2 43/4 43/6 43/10 61/18 62/5 64/7 64/11 21/12 28/6 31/2 32/8 what [88] 51/8 52/1 61/4 61/6 39/10 43/12 46/11 what's [1] 11/18 67/22 68/2 version 2 [1] 43/2 57/4 59/19 62/19 whatever [2] 49/11 Wicks [1] 13/7 version 2.3 [2] 43/4 wider [8] 17/4 22/19 66/24 67/17 70/5 62/3 51/8 when [40] 2/9 3/20 22/24 22/24 26/7 70/10 very [11] 11/12 17/24 you've [5] 1/18 38/15 27/23 34/21 58/10 8/3 10/20 11/14 15/25 42/6 57/19 69/18 66/21 67/17 69/9 18/4 19/9 19/18 22/2 will [36] 2/7 6/19 8/9 70/11 72/7 72/7 72/11 your [37] 1/11 2/1 2/4 22/14 26/12 27/7 29/1 9/4 9/5 9/6 9/9 11/24 72/13 72/16 2/17 2/21 2/22 4/3 29/25 31/10 32/8 32/8 12/12 12/15 15/9 via [4] 11/8 22/19 5/18 6/11 7/3 8/20 40/21 40/23 40/24 26/18 32/24 35/18 48/19 64/17 9/12 10/13 10/16 11/9 41/9 45/18 46/4 47/19 35/19 36/2 48/19 view [5] 26/25 27/25 11/11 12/4 15/4 15/22 49/4 49/16 50/10 49/11 49/17 49/18 53/24 55/19 57/25 16/2 16/6 19/16 20/16 50/17 53/24 55/11 49/21 49/23 50/1 50/4 **views [1]** 40/6 28/22 38/6 41/8 46/1 57/5 58/25 59/6 60/9 51/10 52/2 52/7 63/10 VIP [2] 30/19 30/22 48/15 50/2 56/16 65/12 65/22 69/24 63/16 63/18 63/19 W 60/10 63/23 65/11 70/13 72/13 64/17 64/18 68/15 66/7 72/8 72/8 72/14 where [22] 10/16 72/1 72/20 waiting [3] 34/4 yourself [2] 70/7 17/10 19/13 21/3 wish [1] 53/10 66/24 67/13 70/10 21/22 22/7 28/3 28/13 within [11] 22/25 want [11] 5/18 12/1 29/4 36/11 36/18 24/19 24/21 25/11 13/22 23/16 34/23 37/17 38/8 39/12 40/1 29/10 39/19 44/9 48/6 35/2 37/11 55/17 63/1 zeroised [2] 57/6 56/20 57/4 59/8 62/13 61/15 70/17 71/10 69/18 70/18 57/11 62/18 62/19 63/12 without [3] 8/24 35/9 wanted [3] 56/24 whether [17] 6/1 16/2 52/21 63/2 66/8 18/6 23/11 34/4 37/13 WITN04770100 [2] Wardle [1] 34/1 40/6 40/17 40/18 4/4 9/13 was [185] 40/23 46/17 56/24 witness [7] 1/18 4/3 wasn't [9] 10/21 60/17 62/2 62/3 62/20| 9/12 10/20 15/4 35/4 34/20 37/18 55/15 66/24 65/21 62/3 62/4 69/2 69/7 which [47] 1/15 1/17 won't [1] 12/15 70/2

2/22 2/24 4/8 12/21

17/24 23/6 23/13

way [8] 21/20 28/24

worded [1] 64/7

wording [1] 64/8